



Title	Prevalence and Analysis of Risk Factors for Gastrointestinal Parasites in Beef Cattle Herds in Aranyaprathet District, Sa Kaeo Province, Thailand
Author(s)	Suchawan, Pornsukarom; Benjaporn, Sanguanprasit; Kanyarat, Sangwittayanon; Marchita, Chan-on; Pattaraporn, Tyobamrung; Kanokwan, Singasa; Charay, Udomying
Citation	Japanese Journal of Veterinary Research, 68(2), 69-76
Issue Date	2020-05
DOI	10.14943/jjvr.68.2.69
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/78592
Type	bulletin (article)
Additional Information	There are other files related to this item in HUSCAP. Check the above URL.
File Information	JJVR68-2_69-76_SuchawanPornsukarom.pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

Prevalence and Analysis of Risk Factors for Gastrointestinal Parasites in Beef Cattle Herds in Aranyaprathet District, Sa Kaeo Province, Thailand

Suchawan Pornsukarom^{1,*}, Benjaporn Sanguanprasit¹,
Kanyarat Sangwittayanon¹, Marchita Chan-on¹,
Pattaraporn Tyobamrung¹, Kanokwan Singasa¹
and Charay Udomying¹

¹ Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Rajamangala University of Technology Tawan-ok, Chonburi, Thailand

Received for publication, August 8, 2019; accepted, December 4, 2019

Abstract

A cross-sectional study was conducted to investigate the potential risk factors and prevalence of gastrointestinal (GI) parasites in beef cattle herds from Aranyaprathet district, Sa Kaeo province, Thailand in January 2019. A total of 239 bovines according to 34 herds (1-85 animals /herd) were selected. Fresh fecal samples were collected and tested by simple flotation as well as sedimentation techniques. Structured questionnaires via phone interviews were also applied to collect herd information. As the results, the overall prevalence of intestinal parasites was 59.0% (141/239). The most frequent identified parasites were strongylids 58.2% (139/239), followed by *Fasciola* sp. 7.9% (19/239) and *Toxocara* sp. 4.2% (10/239). In addition, the majority of the infection was only one type of GI parasite with 40.2% (96/239). The possible risk factors for strongyle infection were analyzed by univariate analysis for herd level. Feeding system was significantly associated with prevalence of strongylids (P -value = 0.013). Herd size with greater than or equal to 50 animals and every-6-month deworming program showed the highest prevalence rate. The high prevalence of GI parasitism of beef cattle in these areas might have been due to the feeding management and inadequate deworming program. Our findings serve as a baseline information for treatment and control strategies against intestinal parasites for livestock production in the future.

Key Words: Intestinal parasites, Prevalence, Beef cattle, Sa Kaeo, Thailand

Introduction

Helminthiasis, especially gastrointestinal (GI) parasites, is considered as a chronic problem of livestock production in most developing countries^{16,28}. Clinical and sub-clinical GI parasite

infection constitutes to pose a critical health threat and a limitation to the productivity and reproduction of ruminants^{2,27}. The infection of GI parasite causes decrease in feed intake and increase in feed conversion ratio (FCR)⁵. The impaired absorption of nutrients regarding

* Corresponding author: Suchawan Pornsukarom, Veterinary Diagnostic Center, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Rajamangala University of Technology Tawan-ok, 43 Moo 6 Bangphra, Sriracha, Chonburi 20110 Thailand.

E-mail: suchawan_po@rmutto.ac.th

doi: 10.14943/jjvr.68.2.69

Table 1. Overall prevalence of gastrointestinal parasite infections in beef cattle herds in Aranyaprathet district, Sa Kaeo province, Thailand during January 2019

		Percent of prevalence
By animal	Tha kham subdistrict	60.0 (51/85)
	Klong nam sai subdistrict	58.3 (7/12)
	Thap prik subdistrict	68.5 (50/73)
	Phan suek subdistrict	74.1 (20/27)
	Klong thap chan subdistrict	31.0 (13/42)
	Total	59.0 (141/239)
By herd	Tha kham subdistrict	100 (1/1)
	Klong nam sai subdistrict	100 (4/4)
	Thap prik subdistrict	85.7 (12/14)
	Phan suek subdistrict	100 (1/1)
	Klong thap chan subdistrict	57.1 (8/14)
	Total	76.5 (26/34)
Type of infection^a	Single infection	40.2 (96/239)
	Multiple infection	18.8 (45/239)
Feeding system^a	Stall barn	78.8 (26/33)
	Grazing pasture	55.8 (115/206)
Herd size^a	< 50 animals	55.7 (59/106)
	≥ 50 animals	61.7 (82/133)
Deworming^a	Every month	28.7 (25/87)
	Every 3 months	75.5 (83/110)
	Every 6 months	78.6 (33/42)
Rearing system^a	With other animal species	60.0 (51/85)
	Without other animal species	58.4 (90/154)

^a The prevalence was based on the number of animals.

study and associated factors analyses were conducted to provide an overview of the situation in the borderline beef cattle area of Aranyaprathet district, Sa Kaeo province, Thailand.

Materials and Methods

Description of study area

The study was conducted along with beef cattle herds during January 2019 in Aranyaprathet district of Sa Kaeo province, Thailand. This district is located on the most eastern part of Thailand connecting to Cambodia. The total five subdistricts (Tambons) were included in this study: Tha kham, Klong nam sai, Thap prik, Phan suek, and Klong thap chan. The study area

is shown in Fig. 1.

Study design and sampling method

Cross sectional study was employed to determine the prevalence of GI parasite using fecal examination. A total of 239 bovines according to 34 beef cattle herds (1-85 bovines/herd) with assorted gender, breed, age (1-8 years in range), rearing system, and herd size were sampled. The sample size for each herd, number of herds, and ages within each herd was chosen be proportional to the municipality's population of region 2⁴) followed the surveillance and visit programs of provincial livestock officers, with an expected prevalence of 0.46, margin of error of 0.07, and a 95% confidence interval⁸). In addition, the sample numbers of each herd were also depended on the

Table 2. Prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites by species in beef cattle herds in Aranyaprathet district, Sa Kaeo province, Thailand during January 2019

Parasites	Percent of prevalence ^a
Nematodes	
strongylids	58.2 (139/239)
<i>Toxocara</i> sp.	4.2 (10/239)
<i>Trichuris</i> sp.	1.3 (3/239)
Trematodes	
<i>Fasciola</i> sp.	7.9 (19/239)
Cestodes	
<i>Moniezia</i> sp.	2.1 (5/239)

^a The prevalence was based on the number of animals.

physical condition of cattle, the stubbornness of animals and the permission of herd owners. A structured phone interview with questionnaires was designed to achieve the herd information including feeding, management, and deworming program of each herd.

Sample collection and fecal examination

Fecal samples (at least 10 g each) were collected directly from the rectum of 239 beef cattle with 1-8 years in range of age during January 2019. All samples were stored at 4°C and shipped within 24 hr to the laboratory for processing. Simple floatation and simple sedimentation techniques¹¹⁾ were performed to determine parasite eggs in fecal samples. The microscopic examination was used to investigate the types of parasite based on morphology and size of eggs.

Statistical analyses

Descriptive statistics were computed for the most variables. Chi-square test was used to analyze the significant difference among the proportions, where a *p*-value < 0.05 was regarded as significant. The relationship between the prevalence of strongyle infection using fecal examination and independent variables of herd characters was analyzed. The results were presented as odds ratios (OR) along with 95% confidence intervals. The analytical software SPSS for windows, version 24.0 was used for the statistical analysis.

Results

Overall prevalence

The overall prevalence of GI parasites of the 239 beef cattle in Aranyaprathet district, Sa Kaeo province, Thailand was 59.0% across the five different subdistricts. The prevalence of each subdistrict was 60.0% (n=85) in Tha kham, 58.3% (n=12) in Klong nam sai, 68.5% (n=73) in Thap prik, 74.1% (n=27) in Phan suek, and 31.0% (n=42) in Klong thap chan (Table 1). The herd prevalence was 76.5% (n=34). All the herds in Tha kham, Klong nam sai, and Phan suek subdistricts were positive to GI parasites (Table 1). The prevalence of single-parasite infection was 40.2%, while the prevalence of multi-parasite infection was 18.8% (Table 1).

Prevalence by types of GI parasite

Nematodes had the highest frequency in this study followed by trematodes, and cestodes. Both roundworm and tapeworm eggs were detected using simple floatation technique, while liver fluke egg was found by simple sedimentation technique. Species-wise prevalence of strongylids, *Fasciola* sp., *Toxocara* sp., *Moniezia* sp. and *Trichuris* sp. were recorded as 58.2, 7.9, 4.2, 2.1, and 1.3%, respectively (Table 2).

Risk factor analysis

Risk factor analysis for beef cattle affected by GI parasites focusing on strongyle infection which was the most significant prevalence in this study (58.2%, *P*-value = 0) revealed that the feeding system by stall barn had higher prevalence (78.8%) than grazing pasture (54.9%) with OR = 3.06, 95% CI = 1.270-7.359, *P*-value = 0.013 (Table 3). The odds of being infected by strongylids were determined by the herd size. The herd containing equal or more than 50 animals had 61.7% of prevalence with OR = 1.38, while the herd with less than 50 animals had 53.8% of prevalence (Table 3). The frequency of deworming program using albendazole and ivermectin in these areas had the effect on the odds of strongyle infection.

Table 3. Univariate analysis of risk factors associated with strongyle infection in beef cattle herds in Aranyaprathet district, Sa Kaeo province, Thailand during January 2019

	Risk factors	Number of samples	Prevalence ^a (%)	Odds ratio	95% CI (OR)	P-value ^b
Subdistrict	Tha kham	85	60.0	1.13	0.657 - 1.928	0.668
	Klong nam sai	12	41.7	0.50	0.153 - 1.610	0.243
	Thap prik	73	68.5	1.88	1.053 - 3.361	0.033*
	Phan suek	27	74.1	2.23	0.906 - 5.506	0.075
	Klong thap chan	42	31.0	0.25	0.124 - 0.517	0.000*
Feeding system	Stall barn	33	78.8	3.06	1.270 - 7.359	0.013*
	Grazing pasture	206	54.9	0.33	0.136 - 0.788	0.013*
Herd size	< 50 animals	106	53.8	0.72	0.431 - 1.214	0.220
	≥ 50 animals	133	61.7	1.38	0.824 - 2.319	0.220
Deworming	Every month	87	26.4	0.11	0.061 - 0.204	0.000*
	Every 3 months	110	75.5	4.01	2.297 - 6.992	0.000*
	Every 6 months	42	78.6	3.15	1.431 - 6.925	0.004*
Rearing system	With other species	85	60.0	1.13	0.657 - 1.928	0.668
	Without other species	154	57.1	0.89	0.519 - 1.523	0.668

^a The prevalence was based on the number of animals.

^b Chi-square test.

* significance level of $P < 0.05$.

The lowest frequent program (every 6 months) showed the highest prevalence (78.6%) with OR = 3.15, 95% CI = 1.431 - 6.925, P -value = 0.004 compared to every-3-month and every-month programs showed the prevalence of 75.5% and 26.4%, respectively along with P -value = 0 (Table 3). Rearing with other animal species which was meat goat in this case also affected the prevalence of strongyle infection (60.0%), while rearing only beef cattle had 57.1% of prevalence along with the odds ratio of 1.13 (Table 3). In addition, the location was also considered as the risk factor of infection. It was found that Phan seuk and Thap prik subdistricts had the odds ratio of strongyle infection with 2.33 and 1.88, while Klong thap chan had the lowest odds ratio (0.25) with P -value = 0 (Table 3).

Discussion

Based on an uncommercial production system in majority rural parts of Thailand, GI parasitic

infection is still considered as the potential impact of livestock industry in Aranyaprathet district of Sa Kaeo. The objective of this study was to identify provincial prevalence of GI parasites and the risk factors of infection associated with geographical distribution and management practices. The overall prevalence of GI parasite infection in beef cattle in Aranyaprathet district was 59.0%. Our finding correlated with the previous studies in cattle herds across different locations of Thailand including 61.0% in (northern-) Nan province⁹, 65.9% in (north-eastern-) Udon Thani province²², 86.4% in (western-) Kanchanaburi province²⁵, and 46.6% overall the country⁸. These data indicated that GI parasite infection is still a common problem in livestock production in Thailand. Due to the large geographic area of Aranyaprathet district and beyond Cambodian borderline, the official management and support are inadequate and not easy-to-access. Moreover, the herd prevalence in our study (76.5%) indicated the widespread distribution of intestinal parasite in beef cattle herds which supported the study from

Jittapalapong et al. with 67.8% of herd prevalence across the whole country⁸⁾.

The most common GI parasite infection in this study was strongylids (58.2%) which is in accordance with several studies in Thailand, and *Fasciola* spp. was also frequently reported^{9,15,25)}. Similar prevalence of about 30-80% were observed for strongyle type in beef and dairy cows across different parts of the world^{3,14,18)}. Result for *Fasciola* was as similar as those reported by Jittapalapong et al.⁸⁾. However, *Toxocara* sp. infection is quite low in cattle production, it has been observed on irrigated farms with relentless moist or wet land¹⁴⁾. According to Aranyaprathet of Sa Kaeo, there are plenty of ponds, small rivers, and dams nearby, together with rainfall and soil moisture. These are important factors influencing the development of the parasite from egg to miracidium and supporting a biotic potential for intermediate host (*Lymnaea* spp.) for *Fasciola* spp. in humid tropical areas²³⁾. It has been assumed that the prevalence of different species of the GI parasites can be explained by geographical distribution and related climatic conditions¹⁴⁾. Furthermore, the single-type infection of GI parasite in this study showed the higher prevalence (40.2%) than multiple infection (18.8%) (Table 1). These results supported previous studies of cattle and goat herds in Thailand and other countries^{6,14,22,25)}.

Factors affecting GI parasites focusing on strongyle infection including study area, feeding system, herd size, deworming program and rearing with other animal species were reported in the study. Because of the most significant prevalence, unique epidemiological and biological characteristics of strongylids, analyzing as separated category would represent more significant information of risk factors. The prevalence of infection across different subdistricts in Aranyaprathet district indicated that Phan suek had 2.33 times the risk of strongyle infection to other subdistricts, while Klong thap chan which has no connecting border to Cambodia (Fig. 1) showed the least odds ratio (Table 3). It implied

that international transportation potentially leads to parasitic transmission. Comparing the feeding system in this study, there was a significant difference of infection prevalence (P -value = 0.013) between grazing pasture and stall barn. The latter system showed greater risk than the previous one (Table 3) which contrasts to the study of goat raised in Nakhon Pathom province, Thailand¹⁵⁾. The excess prevalence of stall barn in our study may be the consequence of lacking in appropriate housing hygiene and management. Chaparro et al. reported that the larger herds showed lower levels of liver fluke infection than small-scale herds, conversely in strongylids³⁾. Comparing to our study, the smaller herds (< 50 animals) presented lower risk of strongyle infection than larger herds (\geq 50 animals) resulting from capability on comprehensive management in backyard farms. The frequency of deworming program has a significant impact on the risk of strongyle infection. The least frequent program in this study (every 6 months) showed the highest odds of infection compared to other more frequent programs (OR = 3.15, P -value = 0.004). Our finding recommends that treatment once annually is not enough for livestock in this area, as also suggested by Zanzani et al.²⁶⁾. However, the standard criteria of deworming program was not complementary applied in this area. The application (using albendazole and ivermectin) was usually made by the provincial livestock officers coinciding with foot and mouth disease vaccination schedule or serological test for brucellosis. The odds of strongyle infection in mixed-species herds was higher than single-specie herds, even it was a trending (Table 3). In this study, meat goat was raised together with beef cattle. However, a few studies confirmed that multiple parasite species cannot infect two different animal species and recommended for pasture rotation which alternated between cattle, sheep, goats and/or horses helping to break the parasite's life cycles^{10,12)}.

In conclusion, this study provides baseline data on the prevalence of GI parasites in

Aranyaprathet district of Sa Kaeo province which is considered as a center for livestock production of eastern Thailand and neighbor counties. Knowledge from our results can be used for development of appropriate control strategies and comparison with future studies. The results suggest that suitable husbandry in parasite prevention associated with routine effective treatment are recommended.

Acknowledgements

This study was conducted with the support of the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Rajamangala University of Technology Tawanok and Khoban Burapha project. We appreciate Sa Kaeo Provincial Livestock office, Pongphon Tongsangiam, Natkamon Changsri and the farmers in Aranyaprathet district for the sampling collection process.

Supplemental data

Supplemental data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at <http://dx.doi.org/10.14943/jjvr.68.2.69>

References

- 1) Abonyi G, Zola AM, Suwannakarn E. Support for implementing the action plan for transport and trade facilitation in the GMS: scoping study on developing border economic areas and cross-border linkages between Thailand and its Neighbors. Prepared for the Asian Development Bank, Thailand Resident Mission, 2013.
- 2) Ayaz MM, Raza MA, Murtaza S, Akhtar S. Epidemiological survey of helminths of goats in southern Punjab, Pakistan. *Trop Biomed* 30, 62-71, 2013.
- 3) Chaparro JJ, Ramírez NF, Villar D, Fernandez JA, Londoño J, Arbeláez C, López L, Aristizabal M, Badel J, Palacio LG, Olivera M. Survey of gastrointestinal parasites, liver flukes and lungworm in feces from dairy cattle in the high tropics of Antioquia, Colombia. *Parasite Epidemiol Control* 1, 124-130, 2016.
- 4) Department of Livestock Development, Thailand (DLD). Number of livestock inventory in Thailand on 2017. <http://en.dld.go.th/index.php/en/statistics-menu/statistics-livestock-in-thailand-menu/190-number-livestock2017>.
- 5) Eisa NZ, Babiker SA, Abdalla HS. Effect of natural gastrointestinal parasitic infection on fattening performance of Sudan desert sheep. *J Anim Sci Livest Prod* 1,1, 2017.
- 6) Gebeyehu EB, Seo MG, Jung BY, Byun JW, Oem JG, Kim HY, Kwak D. Prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites in Korean native goats (*Capra hircus aegagrus*). *J Anim Plant Sci* 23, 986-989, 2013.
- 7) Hassan MM, Hoque MA, Islam SKMA, Khan SA, Roy K, Banu Q. A prevalence of parasites in Black Bengal goats in Chittagong, Bangladesh. *Int J Livestock Prod* 2, 40-44, 2011.
- 8) Jittapalapong S, Sangwaranond A, Nimsuphan B, Inpankaew T, Phasuk C, Pinyopanuwat N, Chimnoi W, Kengradomkit C, Arunwipat P. Prevalence of Gastro-intestinal parasites of dairy cows in Thailand. *Kasetsart J Nat Sci* 45, 40-45, 2011.
- 9) Kaewthamasorn M, Wongsamee S. A preliminary survey of gastrointestinal and haemoparasites of beef cattle in the tropical livestock farming system in Nan Province, northern Thailand. *Parasitol res* 99, 306-308, 2006.
- 10) Kumar N, Rao TK, Varghese A, Rathor VS. Internal parasite management in grazing livestock. *J Parasit Dis* 37, 151-157, 2013.
- 11) MAFF. Fisheries and Food Reference Book vol. 418: Manual of veterinary parasitological laboratory techniques. Ministry of Agriculture, HMSO, London, 1986.

- 12) Maqbool I, Wani ZA, Shahardar RA, Allaie IM, Shah MM. Integrated parasitemanagement with special reference to gastro-intestinal nematodes. *J Parasit Dis* 41, 1-8, 2017.
- 13) Minister, Ministry of Interior. Ministerial regulation of Sakaeo city plan 2015. https://www.dpt.go.th/wan/lawdpt/data/01/2555/132_55a_300658.pdf
- 14) Pfukenyi DM, Mukaratirwa S. A review of the epidemiology and control ofgastrointestinal nematode infections in cattle in Zimbabwe. *Onderstepoort J Vet Res*80, 1-12, 2013.
- 15) Ratanapob N, Arunvipas P, Kasemsuwan S, Phimpraphai W, Panneum S. Prevalenceand risk factors for intestinal parasite infection in goats raised in Nakhon PathomProvince, Thailand. *Trop Anim Health Prod* 44, 741-745, 2012.
- 16) Raza MA, Younas M, Schlecht E. Prevalence of gastrointestinal helminths in pastoralsheep and goat flocks in the Cholistan desert of Pakistan. *J Anim Plant Sci* 24, 127-134, 2014.
- 17) Sakaeo Provincial Livestock Office, Thailand. Khoban burapha project. <http://pvlo-srk.dld.go.th/index.php/th/news-menu-2/info-menu/1038-2018-11-21-06-27-57>
- 18) Stromberg BE, Gasbarre LC, Ballweber LR, Dargatz DA, Rodriguez JM, Koprak CA,Zarlenga DS. Prevalence of internal parasites in beef cows in the United States: Results of the national animal health monitoring system's (NAHMS) beef study,2007-2008. *Can J Vet Res* 79, 290-295, 2015.
- 19) Sykes AR. Parasitism and production in farm animals. *Anim Prod* 59, 155-172, 1994.
- 20) Terefe D, Demissie D, Beyene D, Haile S. A prevalence study of internal parasitesinfecting Boer goats at Adami Tulu Agricultural Research Center, Ethiopia. *J VetMed Anim Health* 4, 12-16, 2012.
- 21) Torgerson PR. One world health: socioeconomic burden and parasitic disease controlpriorities. *Vet Parasitol* 195, 223-232, 2013.
- 22) Yuwajita C, Pruangka S, Sukwong T. Prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites of cattlein Udon Thani, Thailand. 6th I-SEEC2014, 2014.
- 23) Waller P, Chandrawathani P. *Haemonchus contortus*: parasite problem no.1 fromtropics-polar circle. problems and prospects for control based on epidemiology. *TropBiomed* 22, 131–137, 2005.
- 24) Wikipedia contributors. Sa Kaeo Province. Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia; 2019. https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Sa_Kaeo_Province&oldid=904132449.
- 25) Wongsawang W, Sanyutitham S, Nakthong C. The Survey of gastro-intestinal parasites in beef, Sai-Yok District, Kanchanaburi Province. *J Appl Anim Sci* 7, 33-42. 2014.
- 26) Zanzani SA, Gazzonis AL, Di Cerbo A, Varady M, Manfredi MT. Gastrointestinalnematodes of dairy goats, anthelmintic resistance and practices of parasite control inNorthern Italy. *BMC Vet Res* 10, 114, 2014.
- 27) Zeryehun T. Helminthosis of sheep and goats in and around Haramaya, southeasternEthiopia. *J Vet Med Anim Health* 4, 48-55, 2012.
- 28) Zvinorova PI, Halimani TE, Muchadeyi FC, Matika O, Riggio V, Dzama K.Prevalence and risk factors of gastrointestinal parasitic infections in goats in low-input low-output farming systems in Zimbabwe. *Small Ruminant Res* 143, 75-83,2016.