



Title	Removal of Hydrogen Sulfide and Ammonia by Goethite-Rich Limonite in the Coexistence of Coke Oven Gas Components
Author(s)	Mochizuki, Yuuki; Ogawa, Ayumu; Tsubouchi, Naoto
Citation	ISIJ International, 57(3), 435-442 https://doi.org/10.2355/isijinternational.ISIJINT-2016-561
Issue Date	2017-03-15
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/79332
Rights	著作権は日本鉄鋼協会にある
Type	article
File Information	ISIJ Int. 57(3)_ 435-442 (2017).pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

Removal of Hydrogen Sulfide and Ammonia by Goethite-Rich Limonite in the Coexistence of Coke Oven Gas Components

Yuuki MOCHIZUKI, Ayumu OGAWA and Naoto TSUBOUCHI*

Center for Advanced Research of Energy and Materials, Faculty of Engineering, Hokkaido University, Kita 13 Nishi 5, Kita-ku, Sapporo, Hokkaido, 060-8628 Japan.

(Received on September 20, 2016; accepted on November 14, 2016)

Hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) removal and catalytic ammonia (NH₃) decomposition performance of limonite in the presence of coke oven gas (COG) components has been studied in a cylindrical quartz reactor at 300–850°C under a high space velocity of 51 000 h⁻¹ to develop a novel hot gas cleanup method. The H₂S removal behavior in 50% H₂/He depends on the temperature, with high performance observed at lower temperature. An investigation of the removal behavior of H₂S in the presence of COG components (CH₄, CO, CO₂ and H₂O) at 400°C reveals that CH₄ does not affect the removal performance. On the other hand, the coexistence of CO drastically decreases the H₂S removal performance. However, the addition of 5% H₂O to 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/He dramatically improves the H₂S removal performance, whereas the performance is low at 5% CO₂ with 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/He. In addition, the H₂S breakthrough curve strongly depends on the space velocity.

The limonite catalyst achieves almost complete decomposition of NH₃ in He at 850°C until 240 min. When the decomposition run is performed in the presence of COG components, the coexistence of 30% CH₄ deactivates limonite with significant formation of deposited carbon. On the other hand, the addition of 5% CO₂, 5% H₂O or 5% CO₂/5% H₂O to 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO improves the catalytic activity without carbon deposition, and > 99% conversion of NH₃ to N₂ is maintained until 240 min.

KEY WORDS: hydrogen sulfide; ammonia; removal; decomposition; catalyst; limonite; coke oven gas.

1. Introduction

Coke oven gas (COG) produced during coal carbonization is generally used as a fuel source for coke ovens and other combustion units in iron steel making process. COG has recently attracted much attention as a low-cost source of hydrogen and value-added products for the chemical industry.¹⁾ However, COG contains a variety of impurities, such as hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) and ammonia (NH₃), which are detrimental in some industrial applications. In conventional processes, the hot raw COG (>800°C) must be quenched to near room temperature using aqueous ammonia solution to remove tarry materials contained in the COG.¹⁾ On the other hand, the catalytic or noncatalytic reforming of COG is a promising technology that effectively utilizes the energy of the hot COG.^{2–5)} Upon coal carbonization, some of the nitrogen and sulfur present in coal are retained in the solid phase, and the rest is released as volatile-N (N₂, NH₃, HCN and tar-N) and -S (H₂S, COS, CS₂ and tar-S) species.⁶⁾ It is well known that NH₃, HCN, tar-N, H₂S, organic-S and tar-S not only serve as sources of NO_x and SO_x but also are catalyst poison materials used for COG reforming and/or gas tube corrosion.

Our research group has been working on vapor deposition

of gaseous-tar, which is contained in COG, into the pores of limonite or cold-bonded pellets to develop carbon-containing iron materials (composite) with enhanced strength and reducibility for blast furnaces.⁷⁾ A vapor deposition temperature of 350°C is optimum for obtaining completely filled mesopores in limonite or cold-bonded pellets using carbonaceous materials derived from tar via vapor infiltration. However, during vapor deposition treatment, N and S in the feed gases are likely to transfer from the gas-phase to the composite, which may cause high N and S contents in the resulting composite. When such a composite is used for blast furnaces, the N and S species in the composite may have adverse effects on the reduction rate, strength or exhaust gas purification equipment. N and S in COG mainly exist as NH₃ and H₂S, with contents of approximately 1 vol% and 3 000 ppmv, respectively. Therefore, it is very important to develop a removal method for gaseous-N and -S species in COG.

Our research group has been investigating the catalytic decomposition of NH₃ or model tar-N compounds (pyridine or pyrrole) using inexpensive iron catalysts.^{8–18)} We have found that fine particles of metallic iron (α -Fe) formed from low-value iron ore (limonite) give high activity in the decomposition of NH₃ and model tar-N compounds. Limonite can achieve almost complete decomposition of 2 000 ppmv NH₃ in inert or simulated fuel gas from coal gasification at 500–850°C.¹⁰⁾ In addition, we have recently

* Corresponding author: E-mail: tsubon@eng.hokudai.ac.jp
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.2355/isijinternational.ISIJINT-2016-561>

shown that the limonite-derived α -Fe can provide stable activity for the decomposition of 100 ppmv C_5H_5N to N_2 in He, with fuel gas or COG components at 500–850°C.^{17,18)} On the other hand, iron oxide is known to be effective for the removal of H_2S in fuel gas at 300–700°C.^{19–23)} Thus, it is possible that limonite-derived α -Fe will be a good adsorbent for H_2S in COG. However, only a few studies have reported H_2S removal in COG by iron-based adsorbents, such as iron oxide and/or iron-bearing sorbents combined with other metals.^{24–27)} It is well-known that a typical COG is composed of 54–59% H_2 , 24–28% CH_4 , 4–7% CO , 3–5% CO_2 , 1–3% CO_2 and 1–3% H_2O with impurities, but the influence of the individual COG components on H_2S removal has not been investigated in previous reports.^{24–27)}

In this paper, therefore, we focus on investigating H_2S removal and catalytic NH_3 decomposition performance using an Australian limonite in the presence of COG components to develop a novel gas cleaning method for removing H_2S and NH_3 .

2. Experimental

2.1. Sample

An Australian natural limonite ore composed of about 70% goethite (α -FeOOH) was employed in the present study. The metal composition of the limonite was Fe, 44; Si, 9.4; Al, 7.2; Mg, 0.15; and Ca, 0.07 mass%-dry.¹⁷⁾ The as-received limonite was sieved to select the 250–500 μm size fractions, and the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) specific surface area was measured as 40 m^2/g .

2.2. Hydrogen Sulfide Removal and Ammonia Decomposition

The experiments to evaluate H_2S removal and catalytic NH_3 decomposition performance were carried out in a cylindrical fixed-bed quartz reactor (8 mm i.d.) under ambient pressure. The details of the experimental apparatus have been described elsewhere.¹⁷⁾ The temperature was controlled with a K-type thermocouple attached to the exterior surface of the reactor. Approximately 0.25 g of limonite was first charged into the reactor with quartz wool, and a flow of high-purity He (>99.9995%) was then passed through the reactor until the concentration of N_2 in the experimental system decreased to less than 20 ppmv. After taking precautions against leakage, the reactor was heated electrically to 500°C. At this temperature, the He was replaced with high-purity H_2 (>99.9999%), and limonite was reduced by H_2 for 2 h. After the reduction pretreatment, the atmosphere was restored to He, and the reactor was held at a temperature of 300–850°C. The H_2S removal or NH_3 decomposition experiments began by passing 3 000 ppmv H_2S or 1 vol% NH_3 diluted with He and simulated COG (50% H_2/He , 50% $H_2/30\% CH_4/He$, 50% $H_2/30\% CH_4/5\% CO/He$, 50% $H_2/30\% CH_4/5\% CO/5\% CO_2/He$, 50% $H_2/30\% CH_4/5\% CO/5\% H_2O/He$ or 50% $H_2/30\% CH_4/5\% CO/5\% CO_2/5\% H_2O/He$) over the catalyst bed. The space velocity (SV) was maintained at 51 000 h^{-1} throughout each run, unless otherwise noted.

2.3. Gas Analysis

In the H_2S removal experiments, the H_2S concentration

in the exit gas was measured using a standard detector tube (Gastec) at arbitrary times to obtain the breakthrough curves. The breakthrough and saturation points were defined as $C_i/C_0 = 0.1$ and 0.9, respectively. Here, C_0 and C_i are the initial concentration and the H_2S concentration in the exit gas at arbitrary time, respectively. The extent of sulfidation was calculated based on the iron content in limonite and the results of the breakthrough curves.

In the NH_3 decomposition runs, the amount of N_2 produced during the decomposition of NH_3 was measured at 3 min intervals with a high-speed micro gas chromatograph (GC; Agilent) equipped with a thermal conductivity detector. The conversion of NH_3 to N_2 was calculated based on the amount of NH_3 inlet and the N_2 concentration at the reactor exit.

2.4. Characterization

Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD; Shimadzu) measurements of the samples (as-received, after H_2 reduction and after H_2S removal or NH_3 decomposition) were performed with Mn-filtered Fe-K α radiation. To avoid rapid oxidation of the α -Fe particles upon exposure to laboratory air, the limonite after H_2 reduction, H_2S removal or NH_3 decomposition was passivated using 1% O_2/He at room temperature and then recovered from the reactor.¹⁰⁾

Temperature-programmed oxidation (TPO) was carried to quantitate the formation amounts of Fe_3C and C deposition during H_2S removal or NH_3 decomposition runs. In a TPO run, the limonite in the reactor after H_2S removal or NH_3 decomposition was first quenched to room temperature in a stream of high purity He and then heated at 10°C/min to 950°C in 10% O_2/He . The concentrations of CO and CO_2 evolved in this process were monitored using the micro GC. Some samples after TPO were also subjected to XRD measurements.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Effect of COG Components on H_2S Removal

This section focuses on the effect of coexisting of H_2 , CH_4 , CO , CO_2 and H_2O on H_2S removal by reduced-limonite. The changes in the breakthrough curves of reduced-limonite with temperature in 50% H_2/He were first examined, and the results are summarized in **Table 1**. In addition, the relationship between the extent of sulfidation and H_2S concentration in the exit gas based on the breakthrough curves are presented in **Fig. 1**. In the H_2S breakthrough curve at 300°C, a removal extent of 100% was measured until about 30 min, and the H_2S concentration in the exit gas increased after the breakthrough point at 40 min with increasing time on stream. The saturation point was observed at 65 min. Although similar tendencies were measured at 400, 500 and 600°C, the breakthrough points decreased with increasing temperature and were observed at 35, 30 and 24 min, respectively. On the other hand, at 700°C, the removal extent of H_2S decreased to 85% at 1 min after the experimental run start, and this value was maintained until 15 min. Subsequently, the H_2S removal extent decreased slightly to 75% until to 40 min, and then decreased with increasing time on stream, reaching saturation at 80 min. Although a similar tendency was observed at 800°C, the removal extent

of H₂S at 2 min after the experimental run start was 67%, which was smaller than that of 700°C. The above-mentioned breakthrough points corresponded to sulfidation extents of 0.75, 0.65, 0.55 and 0.45 at 300, 400, 500 and 600°C, respectively, as seen in Fig. 1, and these values decreased with increasing temperature. At 700 and 800°C (Fig. 1(b)), the reduced-limonite attained breakthrough points immediately after the runs started. These results suggest that H₂S removal by reduced-limonite in a reduction atmosphere (50% H₂/He) depends on the temperature and has higher efficiency at lower temperatures.

XRD measurements of limonite after the H₂S removal

Table 1. Summaries of breakthrough and saturation point at breakthrough curves, the extent of sulfidation and crystalline forms of Fe before and after H₂S removal in 50%H₂/He.

Temperature (°C)	Breakthrough point (min)	Saturation point (min)	Extent of Sulfidation (H ₂ S/Fe) ^a	Iron species after H ₂ S removal ^b
Reduced limonite	–	–	–	α-Fe
300	40	65	1.04	Fe _{1-x} S(m)
400	35	60	0.95	Fe _{1-x} S(m)
500	30	60	0.94	Fe _{1-x} S(m), FeS(w)
600	24	58	0.92	Fe _{1-x} S(m), FeS(m)
700	<1	80	0.93	Fe _{1-x} S(w), FeS(s)
800	<1	90	0.90	FeS(s)

^aAfter reaction. ^bXRD intensities designated by w (weak), m (medium), and s (strong).

runs were carried out to clarify the temperature dependencies of H₂S removal in 50% H₂/He. Table 1 also summarizes the results of the XRD measurements. The main peak attributed to Fe_{1-x}S at approximately 55.8° was observed for the samples obtained after runs in 50% H₂/He at 300–400°C. On the other hand, the intensity of this peak gradually decreased with temperature (300–600°C), whereas the main peak at 600–800°C was observed at approximately 55.15°. This peak is attributed to FeS, and this observation indicates that the form of adsorbed H₂S on α-Fe changes with temperature. The H₂S/Fe ratios at after reaction in 50% H₂/He ranged from 0.9 to 1.05 (Table 1), and these values trended to decrease with increasing temperature. This result shows that almost all Fe in limonite was sulfidized by H₂S, and the difference in removal performance with increasing temperature is due to different adsorption forms (Fe_{1-x}S and FeS). The sulfidation extent of more than 1.0 at 300°C in Fig. 1 and Table 1 is due to analytical error. As mentioned in the Introduction, the optimum vapor deposition conditions for preparing the composites, in which the carbonaceous material fills the mesopores in de-hydrated limonite via vapor deposition of gaseous-tar, is 350°C. Therefore, 400°C was used for H₂S removal by reduced-limonite in subsequently investigations.

Figure 2 shows the influence of coexisting gases on the relationships between the extent of sulfidation and H₂S concentration in the exit gas calculated from the H₂S breakthrough curves measured at 400°C in different feed gas compositions. The breakthrough and saturation points of the H₂S breakthrough curves and the extent of sulfidation at these points are summarized in **Table 2**. The breakthrough and saturation points in 50% H₂/He were observed at sul-




Fig. 1. Change in sulfidation behavior of limonite-reduced with temperature in 50% H₂/He.




Fig. 2. Influence of coexisting gases on the relationships between the extent of sulfidation and H₂S concentration in the exit gas at 400°C. (a) In 50% H₂/He, (b) In 50% H₂/30% CH₄/He, (c) In 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/He, (d) 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/5% CO₂/He, (e) 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/5% H₂O/He, (f) 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/5% CO₂/5% H₂O/He.

Table 2. Summaries of breakthrough and saturation point at breakthrough curves and sulfidation curves with H₂S/Fe ratio at 400°C.

Atmosphere	Breakthrough curve		Extent of sulfidation (H ₂ S/Fe)		
	Breakthrough point (min)	Saturation point (min)	At breakthrough point	At saturation point	At after reaction
50%H ₂ /He	35	65	0.65	0.93	0.95
50%H ₂ /30%CH ₄ /He	32	65	0.68	1.00	1.00
50%H ₂ /30%CH ₄ /5%CO/He	10	38	0.18	0.41	0.42
50%H ₂ /30%CH ₄ /5%CO/5%CO ₂ /He	10	42	0.18	0.51	0.53
50%H ₂ /30%CH ₄ /5%CO/5%H ₂ O/He	32	67	0.63	0.98	1.00
50%H ₂ /30%CH ₄ /5%CO/5%CO ₂ /5%H ₂ O/He	28	60	0.63	0.94	0.95

fidation extent of 0.65 and 0.93, respectively (Fig. 2(a)). When 30% CH₄ was added to 50% H₂/He, the breakthrough and saturation points were observed at 32 and 65 min, corresponding to sulfidation extent of 0.68 and 1.0, respectively, as well as those in 50% H₂/He, and the presence of CH₄ did not significantly affect H₂S removal. On the other hand, the breakthrough and saturation times in 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/He drastically decreased from 35 and 65 min in 50% H₂/30% CH₄/He to 10 and 38 min, respectively. The extent of sulfidation at the breakthrough and saturation points also decreased to 0.18 and 0.4, respectively, and the two curves ((b) and (c) in Fig. 2(a)) were quite different. The H₂S removal performance drastically decreased in the presence of CO. Figure 2(b) also shows the results with 5% CO₂, 5% H₂O or both coexisted to 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/He. With 5% CO₂ added, the breakthrough point was observed at 10 min, and sulfidation curves was similar to that of 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/He. These results indicate that the presence of CO₂ did not affect H₂S removal in 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/He. On the other hand, the removal performance was improved with 5% H₂O addition, as seen in Fig. 2(b), and breakthrough and saturation points increased to 32 and 67 min from 10 and 38 min in presence 5% CO, respectively. As seen in Fig. 2(b), similar behavior was observed in 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/5% CO₂/5% H₂O/He. The order of sulfidation extent in Fig. 2 and Table 2 is 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/He < 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/5% CO₂/He < 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/5% H₂O/He ≈ 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/5% CO₂/5% H₂O/He ≈ 50% H₂/30% CH₄/He. From these results, it was found that H₂O addition can improve the H₂S removal performance of limonite, whereas CO addition decreases the removal ability of reduced-limonite under these conditions.

3.2. Possible Mechanisms of Influence of COG Components on H₂S Removal Performance

XRD measurements of the samples recovered after reaction were carried out to investigate the difference in H₂S breakthrough curves with coexisting gases at 400°C. The results are summarized in Table 3. In these samples, iron always takes the form of Fe_{1-x}S, regardless of the gas composition used, and thus, it was difficult to clarify the influence of coexisting gases on the H₂S removal performance from the XRD results alone. Therefore, TPO of the samples were investigated in 10% O₂/He up to 950°C, as shown in Fig. 3. No CO and CO₂ evolution was measured

Table 3. Crystalline form of Fe after H₂S removal in different atmosphere and the amount of CO and CO₂ evolved during temperature programmed oxidation of samples after sulfidation.

Atmosphere	Iron species after H ₂ S removal ^a	Evolution amount (μmol/g)	
		CO	CO ₂
50%H ₂ /30%CH ₄ /He	Fe _{1-x} S(m)	0	10
50%H ₂ /30%CH ₄ /5%CO/He	Fe _{1-x} S(m)	290	1 400
50%H ₂ /30%CH ₄ /5%CO/5%CO ₂ /He	Fe _{1-x} S(m)	210	1 100
50%H ₂ /30%CH ₄ /5%CO/5%H ₂ O/He	Fe _{1-x} S(m)	40	180
50%H ₂ /30%CH ₄ /5%CO/5%CO ₂ /5%H ₂ O/He	Fe _{1-x} S(m)	30	60

^aXRD intensities designated by m (medium)

during TPO of the sample recovered after the 50% H₂/30% CH₄/He run. However, during TPO of the sample after the 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/He run, CO and CO₂ were evolved above approximately 300°C (Fig. 3(a)), with main and shoulder peaks for the CO and CO₂ formation rates at approximately 350 and 450°C, respectively. As similar profile was observed for sample recovered after the 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/5% CO₂/He run (Fig. 3(b)). On the other hand, the peaks of the CO and CO₂ formation rates from the samples recovered after the 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/5% H₂O/He and 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/5% CO₂/5% H₂O/He runs were considerably smaller than those for coexisting CH₄/CO and CH₄/CO/CO₂ (Fig. 3(c)). Table 3 summaries of the amounts of CO and CO₂ evolved during the TPO runs. The Fe_{1-x}S observed in all samples after H₂S treatment was completely transformed into Fe₂O₃ after TPO. The order of the amounts of CO and CO₂ evolved during TPO is 50% H₂/30% CH₄/He < 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/5% CO₂/5% H₂O/He < 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/5% H₂O/He << 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/5% CO₂/He ≤ 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/He. This order was almost the inverse of that for the amount of H₂S removal.

The reaction between metallic Fe and H₂S is well known to occur according to Eqs. (1) and (2) to form pyrrhotite (Fe_{1-x}S) and iron sulfide (FeS), and these reactions are supported by thermodynamic calculations because the corresponding standard Gibbs free energies (ΔG) at 400°C are -13 and -14 kcal/mol.








Fig. 3. Formation rate and cumulative amount of CO or CO₂ during temperature-programmed oxidation of samples after sulfidation: (a) In 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/He, (b) In 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/5% CO₂/He, (c) In 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/5% H₂O/He.



In addition, C deposition and Fe₃C formation from CH₄ occur according to Eqs. (3) and (4):




The $\Delta G_{400^\circ\text{C}}$ values for Eqs. (3) and (4) are 3.6 and 6.0 kcal/mol, respectively, and thus, C deposition or Fe₃C formation via these is not thermodynamically favorable under these conditions. Therefore, the H₂S breakthrough curve is similar to that in 50% H₂/He. In other words, CH₄ does not affect H₂S removal by reduced-limonite under the present conditions. This is supported by the TPO results, in which no significant evolution of CO and CO₂ was observed. In the case of 5% CO addition to 50% H₂/30% CH₄/He, the H₂S removal performance decreased, as seen in Fig. 2(a). C deposition or Fe₃C formation from CO may occur according to Eqs. (5) and (6).



As the $\Delta G_{400^\circ\text{C}}$ values for Eqs. (5) and (6) are -13 and -10 kcal/mol, respectively, these reactions are likely to be favorable under the conditions applied. The driving force for

Eq. (7) ($\Delta G_{400^\circ\text{C}} = -9.4$ kcal/mol) is smaller than those for Eqs. (5) and (6). The $\Delta G_{400^\circ\text{C}}$ value for Eq. (8) (2.4 kcal/mol) indicates that this reaction is also not thermodynamically favored. Although Fe₃C was not detected by XRD in the sample recovered after the run in 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/He, significant CO and CO₂ formation were observed during TPO of this sample, as seen in Fig. 3(a). Therefore, Eq. (9), which has a $\Delta G_{400^\circ\text{C}}$ values of -45 kcal/mol, should also be considered. The decreased H₂S removal performance in the presence of CO may be caused by deposition of C derived from disproportionation reactions of CO on reduced-limonite active sites, and C deposited from Eqs. (5)–(7) and (9) will be a main factor for the decreased H₂S removal performance. The H₂S breakthrough curve in 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/5% CO₂/He was similar to that in 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/He. The Boudouard reaction ($\Delta G_{400^\circ\text{C}} = 13$ kcal/mol), expressed as Eq. (10), is not thermodynamically favorable. In addition, if Fe₃C forms under the present conditions, Eq. (11) also does not occur ($\Delta G_{400^\circ\text{C}} = 10$ kcal/mol). Therefore, coexisting CO₂ may not affect the removal performance of H₂S. This speculation supported by the similar amounts of CO and CO₂ evolved during TPO of the samples recovered from the 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/He and 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/5% CO₂/He runs (Table 3).



In contrast, in the cases of H₂O coexisting in the feed gases (50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/5% H₂O/He or 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/5% CO₂/5% H₂O/He), the H₂S breakthrough curves showed the similar tendencies to that of 50% H₂/30% CH₄/He, and the performance of the sample with 5% CO was improved by H₂O addition. In addition, the amounts of CO and CO₂ evolved during TPO were significantly smaller than those with coexisting CO, as seen in Table 3. These results shows that C deposition is inhibited by H₂O. Although the $\Delta G_{400^\circ\text{C}}$ values of two water-gas reactions (6.0–9.4 kcal/mol) expressed as Eqs. (12) and (13) are not thermodynamically favorable, the water-gas shift reaction of Eq. (14) ($\Delta G_{400^\circ\text{C}} = -3.3$ kcal/mol) may occur. Although Fe₃C was not observed by XRD, the H₂S removal performance may be proceeded by the reaction shown in Eq. (9), which has a $\Delta G_{400^\circ\text{C}}$ values (-45 kcal/mol) that is smaller than those of Eqs. (15) and (16) (3.6–7.0 kcal/mol), even if Fe₃C is formed under these present conditions. Thus it may be possible that H₂O addition prevents C deposition according to Eqs. (5)–(7) due to the water-gas shift reaction (Eq. (14)), and as a result, the H₂S removal performance is improved.




Figure 4 illustrated the effect of space velocity on the H₂S breakthrough curves in 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/5% CO₂/5% H₂O/He. Here, the space velocity was varied from 51 000 to 5 600 h⁻¹. When the SV decreased to 5 600 h⁻¹, H₂S was not detected in the exit gas until 120 min. The breakthrough increased more than 6-fold from 27 min at an SV of 51 000 h⁻¹ to 165 min at an SV of 5 600 h⁻¹. Therefore, the breakthrough curves strongly depend on SV.

3.3. Decomposition of Ammonia in the Presence of COG Components

This section focuses on the effect of CH₄, CO, CO₂ and H₂O on limonite-catalyzed NH₃ decomposition. These runs were carried out at 850°C to simulate the utilization of COG sensible heat for NH₃ decomposition. Limonite-catalyzed N-containing species decomposition at other temperatures has been already reported at our previous reports.^{10–18)}

Figure 5 presents the effect of the presence of CH₄, CO, CO₂ and H₂O on NH₃ decomposition. The decomposition of NH₃ was maintained until 240 min in He, whereas N₂ conversion in 50% H₂/30% CH₄/He and 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/He dramatically decreased after 90 min. A significant amount of C deposition was found on the catalyst surface in the presence of CH₄ and/or CO. As the formation of carbonaceous materials occurred under the present conditions, the catalyst deactivation observed in 50% H₂/30% CH₄ and 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO (Fig. 5) may be ascribed to C deposi-




Fig. 4. Effect of space velocity on H₂S breakthrough curves at 400°C in 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/5% CO₂/5% H₂O/He.





Fig. 5. Effect of the presence of CH₄, CO, CO₂ and H₂O on limonite-catalyzed NH₃ decomposition at 850°C. (a) In He, (b) In 50% H₂/30% CH₄/He, (c) In 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/He, (d) In 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/5% CO₂/He, (e) In 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/5% H₂O/He, (f) In 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/5% CO₂/5% H₂O/He.

tion according to the Eqs. (3) and (4), with $\Delta G_{850^\circ\text{C}}$ values of -7.8 and -8.0 kcal/mol, respectively. These ΔG values are smaller than those of Eqs. (5), (6) and (7) ($\Delta G_{850^\circ\text{C}} = 6.3, 6.1$ and 6.0 kcal/mol, respectively). On the other hand, the reaction show in Eq. (8) may also occur ($\Delta G_{850^\circ\text{C}} = -0.2$ kcal/mol).

Figure 5 also illustrates the effects of 5% CO₂, 5% H₂O or 5% H₂O/5% CO₂ coexisting to 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO on NH₃ decomposition. In 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/5% CO₂/He, 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/5% H₂O/He and 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/5% CO₂/5% H₂O/He, the NH₃ decompositions performance was maintained until 240 min, and >99% conversion of NH₃ to N₂ was observed. Moreover, no significant C deposition was found on the catalysts recovered after the experimental runs. These results show that the coexistence of CO₂, H₂O or CO₂/H₂O with 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO can improve NH₃ decomposition. As mentioned above, the deactivation of limonite for NH₃ decomposition mainly occurs due to deposition of C according to Eqs. (3) and (4). From the thermodynamic calculation results, preventing C deposition in the presence of CO₂ may occur by Eqs. (10) and (11) ($\Delta G_{850^\circ\text{C}} = -6.3$ and -6.1 kcal/mol, respectively). On the other hand, in the case of H₂O addition to 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO, $\Delta G_{850^\circ\text{C}}$ values for Eqs. (12) and (13) are almost -6.0 and -5.8 kcal/mol, respectively, and these reactions are more favorable than Eq. (14), which has a $\Delta G_{850^\circ\text{C}}$ value of 0.2 kcal/mol. In addition, Eqs. (15) and (16) ($\Delta G_{850^\circ\text{C}} = -5.6$ and -5.8 kcal/mol, respectively) are also thermodynamically favorable. Therefore, preventing C deposition and retransformation of Fe₃C into metallic Fe, as shown in Eqs. (10), (12) and (13) and Eqs. (11), (15) and (16), respectively, may be the driving force for the high NH₃ decomposition performance with 5% CO₂, 5% H₂O or 5% CO₂/5% H₂O coexisted to 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO. Similar results have been reported for NH₃ decomposition by limonite in a simulated air-blown coal gasification composition (10% CO₂ or 3% H₂O coexisted in 20% CO/10% H₂).¹²⁾

3.4. Possible Mechanisms of Influence of COG Components on NH₃ Decomposition

Figure 6 shows the XRD results for the catalysts after NH₃ decomposition in each gas composition, and these results are summarized in **Table 4**. When limonite was reduced in H₂, only α -Fe was observed (Fig. 6(a)). This signal was also observed for the catalysts recovered after the He runs, and transformation of the iron form was not observed. According to previous our studies, the catalytic decomposition of NH₃ to N₂ with reduced-limonite occurs due to the cyclic reaction via iron nitride (Fe_xN) intermediates, according to Eqs. (17) and (18).^{8–14,16)}



On the other hand, crystallized carbon (CC) was detected at around 32° in the samples recovered after reaction in 50% H₂/30% CH₄/He, along with peaks corresponding to α -Fe and Fe₃C. The peaks attributable to crystallized C and Fe₃C were also observed for the in catalyst after the decomposition run in 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/He. The formation




Fig. 6. XRD profiles of catalysts after NH_3 decomposition at 850°C in various atmosphere. (a) Reduced-limonite, (b) In He, (c) In 50% H_2 /30% CH_4 /He, (d) In 50% H_2 /30% CH_4 /5% CO /He, (e) In 50% H_2 /30% CH_4 /5% CO_2 /He, (f) In 50% H_2 /30% CH_4 /5% CO /5% H_2O /He, (g) In 50% H_2 /30% CH_4 /5% CO /5% CO_2 /5% H_2O /He.

Table 4. Summarize of TPO results and Fe forms after NH_3 decomposition run at different atmosphere.

NH_3 decomposition atmosphere	Iron species after NH_3 decomposition ^a	Evolution amount ($\mu\text{mol/g}$)	
		CO	CO_2
He	$\alpha\text{-Fe}$ (s)	n.a. ^b	n.a. ^b
50% H_2 /30% CH_4 /He	$\alpha\text{-Fe}$ (m), Fe_3C (vw), Crystallized carbon(m)	10 700	40 300
50% H_2 /30% CH_4 /5% CO /He	$\alpha\text{-Fe}$ (w), Fe_3C (w), Crystallized carbon(m)	15 300	28 700
50% H_2 /30% CH_4 /5% CO /5% CO_2 /He	$\alpha\text{-Fe}$ (s)	0	350
50% H_2 /30% CH_4 /5% CO /5% H_2O /He	$\alpha\text{-Fe}$ (s)	0	40
50% H_2 /30% CH_4 /5% CO /5% CO_2 /5% H_2O /He	$\alpha\text{-Fe}$ (s)	10	40

^aXRD intensities designated by w (weak), m (medium), and s (strong), ^bNot analyzed.

of crystallized C and Fe_3C may indicate that deactivation of the catalyst occurs by Eqs. (4) and (8) ($\Delta G_{850^\circ\text{C}} = -8.0$ and -0.2 kcal/mol, respectively), in the presence of 30% CH_4 . On the other hand, no measurable Fe_3C signals were observed in the sample obtained after reaction in 50% H_2 /30% CH_4 /5% CO /5% CO_2 /He, and the diffraction peak of crystallized C was significantly smaller than those in 50% H_2 /30% CH_4 /He and 50% H_2 /30% CH_4 /5% CO /He. In addition, the intensity of the $\alpha\text{-Fe}$ signal was almost that same as that of the catalyst before the reaction. Similar results were observed for the catalysts after NH_3 decomposition runs in 50% H_2 /30% CH_4 /5% CO /5% H_2O /He and 50% H_2 /30% CH_4 /5% CO /5% CO_2 /5% H_2O /He. From these results, the formation of Fe_3C and crystallized C may inhibit the NH_3 decomposition performance by limonite because NH_3 conversion drastically decreased in the 50% H_2 /30% CH_4 /He and 50% H_2 /30% CH_4 /5% CO /He runs, in which Fe_3C and crystallized C were detected in the spent catalysts. Accord-

ing previous report about investigation of NH_3 decomposition by limonite and commercial Fe_3C in syngas, CO_2 or H_2O /syngas,¹²⁾ it has been suggested that the disproportionation of CO in syngas and the subsequent formation of Fe_3C are responsible for the catalyst deactivation. In addition, NH_3 decomposition performance of commercial Fe_3C is very small.¹²⁾ Therefore, the influence of Fe_3C formation on limonite-catalyzed NH_3 decomposition may be greater than that of crystallized C in this study.

Figure 7 presents the CO and CO_2 evolution profiles during TPO, and Table 4 summarizes the amounts of CO and CO_2 evolved during the TPO runs. CO and CO_2 formation started above 300°C in the sample recovered after the 50% H_2 /30% CH_4 /He run, with main and shoulder peaks observed in the range from 400 to 650°C (Fig. 7(a)). Similar profiles were observed for the sample obtained after the 5% CO coexisted to 50% H_2 /30% CH_4 /He run (Fig. 7(b)). The evolution rates of CO and CO_2 and these cumulative




Fig. 7. Formation rate and cumulative amount of CO or CO₂ during temperature-programmed oxidation of samples after NH₃ decomposition: (a) In 50% H₂/30% CH₄/He, (b) In 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/He.

amounts were quite different with those of H₂S. This distinction may cause by the difference of deposited-C forms in H₂S removal and NH₃ decomposition runs. These forms are distinguished to amorphous-C derived from disproportionation reaction of CO in H₂S removal run and crystallized-C derived from CH₄ decomposition in NH₃ decomposition run, respectively. On the other hand, the amount of CO and CO₂ evolved during TPO of the sample recovered after in the 5% H₂O and 5% CO₂ coexisted to 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/He run were considerably smaller than those for the run in the presence of 30% CH₄ and 5% CO. These results show that C deposition is not caused by deactivation of the catalyst for NH₃ decomposition in the presence of 5% CO₂ and 5% H₂O.

4. Conclusions

The removal of 3 000 ppmv H₂S and catalytic decomposition of 1 vol% NH₃ in the presence of COG components with limonite rich in α -FeOOH has been studied in a cylindrical quartz reactor under a pressure of 0.1 MPa, temperature of 300–850°C and an SV of 51 000 h⁻¹. The principal conclusions are summarized as follows:

(1) Although the reduced-limonite shows high H₂S removal performance in 50% H₂/He, the performance decreases with increasing temperature. The XRD analyses showed that α -FeOOH-derived active α -Fe is transformed into Fe_{1-x}S and FeS.

(2) In the presence of 5% CO, the H₂S removal performance at 400°C decreases considerably due to carbon deposition. In addition, 5% CO₂ addition to 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/He did not affect the H₂S removal ability of reduced-limonite.

(3) The addition of 5% H₂O to 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/He suppresses carbon formation and consequently improves the H₂S removal performance of limonite significantly.

(4) Although the limonite catalyst achieves almost complete decomposition of NH₃ in inert He at 850°C, presence of 30% CH₄ or 30% CH₄/5% CO in 50% H₂/He deactivates

limonite and causes appreciable formation of deposited carbon.

(5) When 5% CO₂, 5% H₂O or both is added to 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/He, the catalytic activity dramatically improves without carbon deposition. The NH₃ to N₂ conversion of >99% is maintained until 240 min.

(6) The XRD analyses reveal the transformation of α -FeOOH-derived active α -Fe into Fe₃C in the presence of 30% CH₄ and 30% CH₄/5% CO. On the other hand, when 5% H₂O, 5% CO₂ or 5% CO₂/5% H₂O is added to 50% H₂/30% CH₄/5% CO/He, α -Fe is the only Fe form.

Acknowledgments

The present study was supported in part by the Iron and Steel Institute of Japan (ISIJ) Research Promotion Grant and the Steel Foundation for Environmental Protection Technology (SEPT). The authors acknowledge the supply of limonite from Kobe Steel Ltd and Mitsubishi Chemical Corp. in Japan.

REFERENCES

- 1) T. Aramaki: *J. Jpn. Inst. Energy*, **85** (2006), 342.
- 2) K. Miura, M. Kawase, H. Nakagawa, R. Ashida, T. Nakai and T. Ishikawa: *J. Chem. Eng. Jpn.*, **36** (2003), 735.
- 3) M. Onozaki, K. Watanabe, T. Hashimoto, H. Saegusa and Y. Katayama: *Fuel*, **85** (2006), 143.
- 4) L. Li, K. Morishita and T. Takarada: *J. Chem. Eng. Jpn.*, **39** (2006), 461.
- 5) H. Cheng, X. Lu, X. Liu, Y. Zhang and W. Ding: *J. Nat. Gas Chem.*, **18** (2009), 467.
- 6) N. Tsubouchi, Y. Mochizuki, Y. Ono, K. Uebo, N. Sakimoto and T. Takahashi: *Energy Fuel*, **27** (2013), 7330.
- 7) Y. Mochizuki, M. Nishio, N. Tsubouchi and T. Akiyama: *Energy Fuel*, **30** (2016), 6233.
- 8) Y. Ohtsuka, C. Xu, D. Kong and N. Tsubouchi: *Fuel*, **83** (2004), 685.
- 9) C. Xu, N. Tsubouchi, H. Hashimoto and Y. Ohtsuka: *Fuel*, **84** (2005), 1957.
- 10) N. Tsubouchi, H. Hashimoto and Y. Ohtsuka: *Catal. Lett.*, **105** (2005), 203.
- 11) N. Tsubouchi, H. Hashimoto and Y. Ohtsuka: Catalytic decomposition of ammonia in fuel gas components with inexpensive limonite at high temperatures, *Advanced Gas Cleaning Technology*, ed. by C. Kanaoka, H. Makino and H. Kamiya, Jugei Shobo, Tokyo, (2005), 483.
- 12) N. Tsubouchi, H. Hashimoto and Y. Ohtsuka: *Energy Fuel*, **21** (2007), 3063.
- 13) N. Tsubouchi, H. Hashimoto and Y. Ohtsuka: *Powder Technol.*, **180** (2008), 184.
- 14) Y. Ohtsuka, N. Tsubouchi, T. Kikuchi and H. Hashimoto: *Powder Technol.*, **190** (2009), 340.
- 15) T. Matsuyama, N. Tsubouchi and Y. Ohtsuka: *J. Mol. Catal. A-Chem.*, **356** (2012), 14.
- 16) N. Tsubouchi, H. Hashimoto and Y. Ohtsuka: *J. Mol. Catal. A-Chem.*, **407** (2015), 75.
- 17) N. Tsubouchi, A. Ogawa and Y. Mochizuki: *Appl. Catal. A-Gen.*, **499** (2015), 133.
- 18) A. Ogawa, Y. Mochizuki and N. Tsubouchi: *ISIJ Int.*, **56** (2016), 1132.
- 19) Z. Meng, W.-d. Jong, R. Pal and H. M. Verkerk: *Fuel Process. Technol.*, **91** (2010), 964.
- 20) S. Cheah, D. L. Carpenter and K. A. Marini-Bair: *Energy Fuel*, **23** (2009), 5291.
- 21) W. Bao, Z.-y. Zhang, Z.-r. Ren, F. Li and L.-p. Chang: *Energy Fuel*, **23** (2009), 3600.
- 22) B. Guo, L. Chang and K. Xie: *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, **53** (2014), 8874.
- 23) F. Yin, J. Yu, S. Gupta, S. Wnag, D. Wang and J. Dou: *Fuel Process. Technol.*, **117** (2014), 17.
- 24) F. Yin, J. Yu, J. Dou, S. Gupta, B. Moghtaderi and J. Luca: *Energy Fuel*, **28** (2014), 2481.
- 25) J. Yu, F. Yin, S. Wnag, L. Chang and S. Gupta: *Fuel*, **108** (2013), 91.
- 26) Y. Fneg, J. Dou, A. Tahmasebi, J. Xu, X. Li, J. Yu and F. Yin: *Energy Fuel*, **29** (2015), 7124.
- 27) K. Miura, K. Mae, T. Inoue, T. Yoshimi, H. Nakagawa and K. Hashimoto: *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, **31** (1992), 415.