Synthesis and biological evaluation of a MraY selective analogue of tunicamycins
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Abstract

Tunicamycins, which are nucleoside natural products, inhibit both bacterial phospho-N-acetylmuraminic acid (MurNAc)-pentapeptide translocase (MraY) and human UDP-N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc): polyprenol phosphate translocase (GPT). The improved synthesis and detailed biological evaluation of an MraY-selective inhibitor, 2, where the GlcNAc moiety was modified to a MurNAc amide, has been described.
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Introduction
Bacterial phospho-N-acetylmuraminic acid (MurNAc)-pentapeptide translocase (MraY) is an enzyme that is responsible for the biosynthesis of peptidoglycan [1-3]. MraY produces lipid I by catalyzing the reaction between UDP-MurNAc-pentapeptide (Park’s nucleotide) and undecaprenyl monophosphate. MraY is an essential bacterial enzyme and a good target for antibacterial drug discovery [4-6]. Tunicamycins [7-13] (I, FIGURE 1), which are isolated from the fermentation broths of Streptomyces lysosuperficus, are nucleoside natural products that inhibit MraY. Tunicamycins also strongly inhibit human UDP-N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc): polyprenol phosphate translocase (GPT), the enzyme responsible for the first N-acetylglycosamination of the N-linked glycopeptide in the endothelial reticulum [14].

The use of tunicamycins as antibacterial agents is limited by the off-target inhibition of human GPT. Efforts to develop tunicamycin as an antibiotic require rational design to eliminate the cytotoxicity that arises from its off-target effects on human GPT activity. Our collaborative study [15] and others’ [16] have elucidated the crystal structures of human GPT in complex with tunicamycin (FIGURE 2a). The complex structure of tunicamycins binding to MraY from Clostridium bolteae (MraY_{CB}) has also been elucidated [17] (FIGURE 2b) and these complex structures can be utilized for the development of analogues. Structural analyses reveal the difference between human GPT and MraY in their mechanisms of inhibition by tunicamycin. It has been elucidated that a distinct difference exists in the interaction of transmembrane domain 9b (TM9b) and the helix in loop E in the carbohydrate recognition site between MraY and human GPT, and this structural information was exploited to design the MraY-
specific inhibitor 2, where the GlcNAc moiety was modified to a MurNAc amide, as a potential antibiotics (FIGURE 3) [15]. Analogue 2 was identified as a highly selective MraY AA inhibitor.

[FIGURE 2 insert near here]

These results in conjunction with our structure-activity relationship study with truncated analogues [18] make a potential modification to the GlcNAc moiety feasible to improve the biological activity for future molecular design. Although the inhibitory activity of 2 against MraY from *Aquifex aeolicus* (MraY AA) and human GTP was evaluated, its inhibitory activity against MraY from bacterial pathogens, cytotoxicity against human cells, and antibacterial activity have not yet been investigated. Here, we describe a detailed biological evaluation of 2 in addition to an improved synthesis of 2.

[FIGURE 3 insert near here]

**Results and Discussion**

Although a synthetic route of 2 has been established [15], there is room for improvement of the overall chemical yield to provide 2, especially in the preparation of MurNAc amide 7. First, the synthesis of 7 was improved, as shown in SCHEME 1. The 3-hydroxy group of the known 2-deoxy-2-azidoglucose derivative 3 [19,20] was alkylated with triflate 4, which proceeded with an inversion of stereochemistry at the $\alpha$ position of the carbonyl group of 4, to cleanly give 5 [21] in 81% yield. The methyl ester of 5 was quantitatively hydrolyzed by Me$_3$SnOH in refluxing CICH$_2$CH$_2$Cl [22,23], and the liberated carboxylic acid was condensed with methylamine in the presence of 4-(4,6-dimethoxy-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)-4-methylmorpholinium chloride (DMT-MM) [24,25] and
\(N\)-methylmorpholine in \(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2\) to afford 6 in 89% yield over two steps from 5. Removal of the TBS group at the 1-\(O\)-position of 6 by TBAF in the presence of acetic acid in THF quantitatively proceeded and the resulting alcohol 7 was converted to \(O\)-trichloroacetimidate 8 in 89% yield, which is a glycosyl donor in the synthesis of 2. Selective construction of the 11\(^{\prime}\)-\(\beta\)-1\(^{\prime\prime}\)-\(\alpha\)-trehalose-type linkage out of four possible anomers was achieved by treatment of 8 and 9 [26] with TfOH in \(\text{Et}_2\text{O}/\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2\) at 0 °C. Because of the effects of the large-scale synthesis, the yield of desired glycoside 10 improved to 78% (69% in the previous small-scale synthesis) in a highly stereoselective manner, and the other three diastereomers were not obtained. The following four steps included transformation of the azide group to the acetamide group at the 2\(^{\prime\prime}\)-position, removal of the phthaloyl (Pht) group at the N\(^{10}\)-position, installation of the 13-methyltetradec-2-enoyl group onto the liberated amine, and global deprotection to successfully afford 2 [15]. This synthetic method greatly improved the total chemical yield of 2 compared to the method reported previously and provided a sufficient amount of material for further biological activity evaluation.

[SCHEME 1 insert near here]

The inhibitory activity for 1 and 2 against MraY\(_{\text{AA}}\) are within a similar range (IC\(_{50}\) 450 nM for 1 vs. 640 nM for 2) and were measured by using a thin-layer chromatography–based enzymatic assay using \(^{14}\text{C}\)-labeled Park’s nucleotide [15]. In this study, the MraY inhibitory activity of 2 was evaluated by fluorescence-based MraY inhibitory assay [27] using dansylated Park’s nucleotide and the purified MraY enzyme (\(S. \text{aureus}\)) (FIGURE 4). Analogue 2 exhibits potent inhibitory activity with the IC\(_{50}\) value of 87 nM, which displays 2.9-fold stronger activity than 1 (IC\(_{50}\) 250 nM). The observed superior potency
of 2 could be attributed to the different source of MraY.

To gain insight into the molecular interaction of 2 with MraY and GPT, molecular modelling of the complex structures was investigated by using multi-ligand bimolecular association with energetics (eMBrAcE) [28]. eMBrAcE uses multiple minimizations in which each of the specified prepositioned ligands is minimized, in turn, with the receptor. Both 1 and 2 were docked to MraY (pdb code: 5JNQ) [17] and GPT (pdb code: 6BW5) [15], and the results of the docking calculations are illustrated in Figure 5. The MraY complex bound to both 1 and 2 has a similar overall structure, and the difference in the eMBrAcE total energy for each complex was relatively small (FIGURE 5a). In contrast, calculations of the GPT complex bound to each 1 or 2 resulted in different binding modes (FIGURE 5b). Compared to the structure of 1 bound to GPT, analogue 2 generates a steric clash between loop E and the N-methylpropanamide moiety introduced at the GlcNAc moiety of 2 in the place of. Loop E in the 2-GPT complex moved 2.8 Å away from the N-methylpropanamide moiety upon minimization in 2. This conformational change reduces the total energy of the complex and greatly affects ligand recognition. These calculations support the reduced off-target activity to GPT and selectivity for MraY that was observed for 2.

Selective toxicity is a key issue in the development of tunicamycins in developing as antibacterial agents. Analogue 2 did not show any toxicity up to 100 μM whereas 1
showed cytotoxicity in a dose-dependent manner and cell viability was ca. 10% at a concentration of 100 μM treatment (FIGURE 6). As a result, 2 has been suggested to be a non-toxic MraY selective inhibitor.

[FIGURE 6 insert near here]

Finally, the antibacterial activity of 2 was examined against the ESKAPE pathogens (Enterococcus faecium, Staphylococcus aureus, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Acinetobacter baumannii, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Enterobacter species), which are the leading cause of nosocomial infections throughout the world [29,30]. (TABLE 1). Tunicamycin V (1) is known to inhibit limited gram-positive bacterial pathogens such as S. aureus and E. faecium [7]. Analogue 2 retains weak antibacterial activity against S. aureus although the MIC value was reduced by a factor of four compared to 1. Tunicamycins are also known to inhibit undecaprenyl-phosphate α-N-acetylglucosaminyl 1-phosphate transferase (WecA) for the synthesis of lipopolysaccharide and enterobacterial common antigen [31,34] and TarO/TagO, which are the first enzymes in the wall teichoic acid biosynthetic pathway in gram-positive bacteria [32-34]. These enzymes utilize UDP-GlcNAc as a substrate similar to GPT. Presumably, the antibacterial activity of tunicamycins is due by not only from the inhibition of MraY but also from the inhibition of WecA, TarO and TagO, and the observed decrease in the antibacterial activity of 2 against S. aureus and E. faecium, both of which are gram-positive bacteria, could be attributed to a decrease in the inhibitory activity against these other enzymes. However, the three-dimensional structure of these enzymes has not been elucidated yet, and more detailed study will be necessary.
Conclusion

Improved synthesis and detailed biological evaluation of the MraY-selective inhibitor, 2, where the GlcNAc moiety was modified to a MurNAc amide, was described. The synthetic method modified in this study greatly improved the total chemical yield of the preparation of 2. Analogue 2 exhibits potent inhibitory activity against MraY with an IC\textsubscript{50} value of 87 nM, which shows 2.9-fold stronger activity than that of 1. Molecular modelling of the complex structures indicated that 2 generates a steric clash between the N-methylpropanamide moiety introduced in the place of the GlcNAc moiety of 2 and loop E. Analogue 2 did not show any toxicity against HepG2 cells up to 100 \( \mu \)M, and 2 was found to be a nontoxic selective MraY inhibitor. Although 2 retains weak antibacterial activity against \textit{S. aureus}, the MIC value was reduced compared to 1. These results suggest that enhancement of the antibacterial activity of 2 is necessary. One strategies to develop nontoxic tunicamycin analogues with potent antibacterial activity might be to introduce additional chemical modifications into 2 to increase the affinity to MraY. The complex structure of muraymycin D2 [35], which is a natural product that shows strong and selective MraY inhibitory activity, could be very useful to guide the structure-based drug design of tunicamycin analogues in the future.

Experimental sections

General experimental methods. NMR spectra were reported in parts per million (\( \delta \)) relative to tetramethylsilane (0.00 ppm) as internal standard otherwise noted. Coupling constant (\( J \)) was reported in herz (Hz). Abbreviations of multiplicity were as follows; s: singlet, d; doublet, t: triplet, q: quartet, m: multiplet, br: broad. Data were presented as
follows; chemical shift (multiplicity, integration, coupling constant). Assignment was based on $^1$H–$^1$H COSY, HMBC and HMQC NMR spectra. Purity of 2 tested for biological evaluation was confirmed to be >95% by $^1$H NMR analyses.

**tert-Butyldimethylsilyl 2-azido-2-deoxy-4,6-O-isopropylidene-3-O-[(R)-1-(methoxycarbonyl)ethyl]-β-D-glucopyranoside (5)**

A solution of 3 (200 mg, 0.556 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was treated with NaHMDS (1.9 M in THF, 307 μL, 0.584 mmol, 1.05 equiv.) at 0 °C for 30 min. A solution of methyl (S)-2-(trifluoromethanesulfonyloxy)-propanoate [36] (4, 154 mg, 0.652 mmol, 1.17 equiv.) in THF (1 mL) was added dropwise to the mixture at 0 °C and the reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min. The reaction was quenched with sat. aq. NH$_4$Cl, and the resulting mixture was extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$, filtered and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by flash silica gel column chromatography (ϕ1.4×19 cm; hexane/EtOAc = 9/1 → 4/1) to afford 5 (201 mg, 81%) as a colorless syrup. $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz) δ 4.49 (d, 1H, H-1, $J_{1,2}$ = 7.7 Hz), 4.39 (q, 1H, CHCH$_3$, $J$ = 7.0 Hz), 3.85 (dd, 1H, H-6, $J_{gem}$ = 10.6, $J_{6,5}$ = 5.4 Hz), 3.77 (s, 3H, CO$_2$Me), 3.76 (t, 1H, H-6, $J$ = 10.4 Hz), 3.66 (t, 1H, H-4, $J$ = 9.3 Hz), 3.39 (t, 1H, H-3, $J$ = 9.3 Hz), 3.27 (dd, 1H, H-2, $J_{2,3}$ = 9.5, $J_{2,1}$ = 7.7 Hz), 3.17 (td, 1H, H-5, $J$ = 9.7, $J_{5,6}$ = 5.4 Hz), 1.48 (s, 3H, isopropylidene), 1.39 (d, 3H, CHCH$_3$, $J$ = 7.0 Hz), 1.38 (s, 3H, isopropylidene), 0.91 (s, 9H, tert-BuSi), 0.13 (s, 3H, MeSi), 0.12 (s, 3H, MeSi); $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz) δ 173.4, 99.5, 97.4, 79.9, 76.1, 74.3, 68.5, 67.1, 62.2, 52.0, 29.2, 25.6, 19.2, 19.1, 18.0, -4.3, -5.1; ESIMS-HR calcd for C$_{19}$H$_{38}$N$_3$O$_7$Si 446.2323, found 446.2304; [α]$^2$$_D$ -36.24 (c 1.05, CHCl$_3$). This compound is known compound and data are in good accordance with those reported previously [20].
tert-Butyldimethylsilyl 2-azido-2-deoxy-4,6-O-isopropylidene-3-O-[(R)-1-(N-methylcarbamoyl)ethyl]-β-D-glucopyranoside (6)

A solution of 5 (270 mg, 0.606 mmol) and Me$_3$SnOH (548 mg, 3.03 mmol, 5.0 equiv.) in 1,2-dichloroethane (3.5 mL) was refluxed for 2 h. The reaction mixture was cooled and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was diluted with EtOAc, and the mixture was washed with 1 M aq. HCl and brine, dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo to afford a crude carboxylic acid. A solution of the crude carboxylic acid, MeNH$_2$·HCl (81.8 mg, 1.21 mmol, 2.0 equiv.) and N-methylmorpholine (136 μL, 1.21 mmol, 2.0 equiv.) in MeOH (3.5 mL) was treated with DMT-MM (284 mg, 0.909 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) at room temperature, and the mixture was stirred for 3 h. Additional MeNH$_2$·HCl (40.9 mg), N-methylmorpholine (68 μL) and DMT-MM (142 mg) was added to the reaction mixture, and the mixture was stirred for another 2 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was diluted with EtOAc. The mixture was washed with sat. aq. NaHCO$_3$ and brine, dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash silica gel column chromatography (φ1.1×19 cm; hexane/EtOAc = 2/1) to afford an inseparable mixture of 6 and trimethoxytriazine (292 mg) as a white solid. The ration of 6 to trimethoxytriazine was 2:1 judged by $^1$H NMR, and the mixture contained 241 mg of 6 (89% over 2 steps). $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz) $\delta$ 7.36 (br d, 1H, NH, $J = 3.6$ Hz), 4.64 (d, 1H, H-1, $J_{1,2} = 7.3$ Hz), 4.37 (q, 1H, CHCH$_3$, $J = 6.8$ Hz), 3.86 (dd, 1H, H-6, $J_{\text{gem}} = 10.9, J_{6,5} = 5.4$ Hz), 3.77 (t, 1H, H-6, $J = 10.6$ Hz), 3.65 (t, 1H, H-4, $J = 9.3$ Hz), 3.31 (dd, 1H, H-2, $J_{2,3} = 10.0, J_{2,1} = 7.3$ Hz), 3.23-3.17 (m, 2H, H-3, H-5), 2.85 (d, 3H, NMe, $J = 5.0$ Hz), 1.49 (s, 3H, isopropylidene), 1.38 (d, 3H, CHCH$_3$, $J = 6.8$ Hz), 1.37 (s, 3H, isopropylidene), 0.93 (s, 9H, tBuSi), 0.16 (s, 3H, MeSi), 0.14 (s, 3H, MeSi); $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz) $\delta$ 174.0, 99.6, 97.9, 77.9, 77.7, 75.1, 67.7, 67.2, 62.1,
29.2, 25.8, 25.6, 19.6, 19.1, 18.0, -4.3, -5.1; ESIMS-HR calcd for C_{19}H_{37}N_{4}O_{9}Si 445.2482, found 445.2471; [α]^{22}_D -9.45 (c 1.01, CHCl₃).

2-Azido-2-deoxy-4,6-O-isopropylidene-3-O-[(R)-1-(N-methylcarbamoyl)ethyl]-D-glucopyranose (7)

A solution of an inseparable mixture of 6 and trimethoxytriazine (482 mg, the ration of 6 to trimethoxytriazine was 3:1 judged by $^1$H NMR, and the mixture contained 421 mg, 0.948 mmol) in THF (1 mL) was treated with AcOH (141 μL, 2.46 mmol, 2.6 equiv.) and TBAF (1.0 M in THF, 1.23 mL, 1.23 mmol, 1.3 equiv.) at 0 °C for 1 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc, and the resulting mixture was washed with sat. aq. NaHCO₃ and brine, dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (ϕ1.4×10.5 cm; hexane/EtOAc = 1/2 → 1/3) to afford 7 (292 mg, 93%, α/β = 3/5) as a colorless syrup. $^1$H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.40 (br d, 1H, NHβ, J = 4.1 Hz), 7.18 (br d, 0.6H, NHα, J = 4.5 Hz), 5.42 (d, 0.6H, H-1α, J_{1,2} = 3.6 Hz), 4.79 (d, 1H, H-1β, J_{1,2} = 7.7 Hz), 4.37 (q, 1H, CHCH₃β, J = 6.8 Hz), 4.33 (q, 0.6H, CHCH₃α, J = 6.8 Hz), 3.95-3.89 (obscured, 0.6H, H-5α), 3.91 (dd, 1H, H-6β, J_{gem} = 10.9, J_{6,5} = 5.4 Hz), 3.85 (dd, 0.6H, H-6α, J_{gem} = 10.4, J_{6,5} = 5.0 Hz), 3.77 (t, 1H, H-6β, J = 10.6 Hz), 3.76 (t, 0.6H, H-3α, J = 9.7 Hz), 3.71 (t, 0.6H, H-6α, J = 10.4 Hz), 3.67 (t, 1H, H-4β, J = 9.3 Hz), 3.61 (t, 0.6H, H-4α, J = 9.3 Hz), 3.37 (dd, 1H, H-2β, J_{2,3} = 10.0, J_{2,1} = 7.7 Hz), 3.30 (dd, 0.6H, H-2α, J_{2,3} = 10.0, J_{2,1} = 3.6 Hz), 3.28-3.21 (m, 2H, H-3β, H-5β), 2.86 (d, 1.8H, NHCH₃α, J = 5.0 Hz), 2.85 (d, 3H, NHCH₃β, J = 5.0 Hz), 1.50 (br s, 4.8H, isopropylidene), 1.41-1.38 (m, 9.6H, isopropylidene, CHCH₃); $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 174.9, 174.7, 99.7, 99.7, 97.2, 92.5, 78.2, 78.1, 77.6, 76.2, 75.7, 75.0, 67.1, 66.4, 63.2, 62.5, 62.1, 29.2, 29.1, 26.2, 25.9,
19.7, 19.5, 19.2, 19.1; ESIMS-HR calcd for C_{13}H_{23}N_{3}O_{6} 331.1618, found 331.1631; [α]_{27}^{D} +122.19 (c 1.00, CHCl_{3}).

2-Azido-2-deoxy-4,6-O-isopropylidene-3-O-[(R)-1-(N-methylcarbamoyl)ethyl]-β-D-glucopyranosyl trichloroacetimidate (8)

A solution of 7 (243 mg, 0.736 mmol) in CH_{2}Cl_{2}/CCl_{3}CN (v/v 4/1, 8 mL) was treated with K_{2}CO_{3} powder (81.3 mg, 0.588 mmol, 0.8 equiv.) at 0 °C, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 19 h. The insoluble solid was filtered off through a Celite pad and washed with CH_{2}Cl_{2}. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (φ 1.4 × 8.5 cm, treated with Et_{3}N; hexane/EtOAc = 3/2 → 1/1) to afford 8 (341 mg, 98%, α/β = 2/25) as a colorless oil. {\textsuperscript{1}}H NMR (CDCl_{3}, 500 MHz) δ 8.84 (s, 1H, imidate NH), 7.20 (br d, 1H, amide NH, J = 4.0 Hz), 5.77 (d, 1H, H-1, J_{1,2} = 8.6 Hz), 4.41 (q, 1H, CHCH_{3}, J = 6.9 Hz), 3.98 (dd, 1H, H-6, J_{gem} = 10.9, J_{6,5} = 5.7 Hz), 3.79 (t, 1H, H-6, J = 10.6 Hz), 3.73 (t, 1H, H-4, J = 9.2 Hz), 3.67 (dd, 1H, H-2, J_{2,3} = 9.7, J_{2,1} = 8.6 Hz), 3.41-3.35 (m, 2H, H-3, H-5), 2.86 (d, 3H, N-CH_{3}, J = 5.2 Hz), 1.51 (s, 3H, isopropylidene), 1.41 (d, 3H, CHCH_{3}, J = 6.9 Hz), 1.39 (s, 3H, isopropylidene); {\textsuperscript{13}}C NMR (CDCl_{3}, 100 MHz) δ 173.7, 160.7, 99.9, 97.2, 90.2, 78.1, 78.0, 74.4, 67.8, 65.2, 61.8, 29.0, 25.9, 19.7, 19.2; ESIMS-HR calcd for C_{13}H_{23}Cl_{3}N_{3}O_{6} 474.0708, found 474.0726; [α]_{14}^{D} +6.37 (c 0.81, CHCl_{3}).

3-(Benzyloxymethyl)-1-(11S-6,10-dideoxy-11-O-[(2-azido-2-deoxy-4,6-O-isopropylidene-3-O-[(R)-1-(N-methylcarbamoyl)ethyl]-α-D-gluco-hexopyranosyl]-2,3:8,9-di-O-isopropylidene-5-O-(methoxymethyl)-10-phthalimido-L-galacto-β-D- allo-undecodialdo-1,4-furanose-11,7-pyranos-1-yl)uracil (10)
A solution of 8 (338 mg, 0.712 mmol, 2.0 equiv.), 9 (278 mg, 0.356 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and molecular sieves 4A (500 mg) in Et₂O/CH₂Cl₂ (v/v 5/1, 10 mL) was treated with TfOH (1 M in Et₂O, 71.2 μL, 0.2 equiv.) at 0 °C for 1.5 h. Additional TfOH (1 M in Et₂O, 71.2 μL, 0.2 equiv.) was added to the reaction mixture at 0 °C, and the mixture was stirred for 7.5 h. The reaction was quenched with Et₃N (0.5 mL) and the mixture was warmed to room temperature. The insoluble solid was filtered off through a Celite pad and washed with EtOAc. The filtrate was washed with sat. aq. NaHCO₃ and brine, dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash silica gel column chromatography (Φ1.4×18 cm; hexane/EtOAc = 1/2 → 1/3 → 1/4) to afford 10 (303 mg, 78%) as a white powder.

**1H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ** 7.85 (br s, 2H, Phth), 7.74 (br s, 2H, Phth), 7.38-7.27 (m, 6H, Ph, H-6), 6.61 (q, 1H, NH-Me, J = 4.6 Hz), 5.83 (d, 1H, H-1', J₁',₂' = 3.4 Hz), 5.75 (d, 1H, H-5, J₅,₆ = 8.0 Hz), 5.51 (d, 1H, N-CH₂-OBn, J₉ = 9.7 Hz), 5.49 (d, 1H, N-CH₂-OBn, J₉ = 9.7 Hz), 5.26 (d, 1H, H-11', J₁₁',₁₀' = 8.6 Hz), 5.01 (d, 1H, H-1", J₁",₂" = 3.4 Hz), 4.93 (dd, 1H, H-3', J₃',₂' = 6.9, J₃',₄' = 4.9 Hz), 4.77-4.74 (m, 2H, H-2', H-9'), 4.71-4.67 (m, 4H, Bn, O-CH₂-OMe), 4.32 (t, 1H, H-10', J = 9.2 Hz), 4.16-4.11 (m, 2H, CH-CH₃, H-5'), 4.09 (dd, 1H, H-8', J₈',₉' = 4.6, J₈',₇' = 1.7 Hz), 4.03-3.99 (m, 2H, H-4', H-7'), 3.91-3.84 (m, 2H, H-5", H-6''), 3.66 (t, 1H, H-6", J = 10.0 Hz), 3.51 (t, 1H, H-4", J = 9.2 Hz), 3.46 (t, 1H, H-3", J = 9.2 Hz), 3.43 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.24 (dd, 1H, H-2", J₂",₃" = 9.2, J₂",₁" = 3.4 Hz), 2.64 (d, 3H, N-CH₃, J = 4.6 Hz), 2.20 (m, 1H, H-6'), 1.77 (m, 1H, H-6'), 1.68 (s, 3H, isopropylidene), 1.60 (s, 3H, isopropylidene), 1.43 (s, 3H, isopropylidene), 1.37 (s, 3H, isopropylidene), 1.36 (s, 3H, isopropylidene), 1.33 (s, 3H, isopropylidene), 1.30 (d, 3H, CH-CH₃, J₉,CH₃ = 6.9 Hz); **13C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ** 173.5, 162.6, 150.8, 139.9, 138.0, 134.2 (broad), 132.1 (broad), 128.4, 127.8, 115.3, 110.8, 102.5, 100.3, 100.3, 99.7, 98.6, 91.9, 87.8, 84.1, 79.4, 77.8, 75.8, 75.7, 75.6, 74.9, 74.5, 72.4, 70.5, 69.6, 64.4, 64.2, 62.2, 56.4, 55.0, 33.8, 29.1, 28.2, 27.4, 26.6, 25.7, 25.6, 19.5,
19.1; ESIMS-HR calcd for C$_{52}$H$_{66}$N$_7$O$_{19}$ 1092.4408, found 1092.4406; [$\alpha$]$^{18}_{D}$ +54.60 ($c$ 0.97, CHCl$_3$).

**MurNAc analogue (2)**

A solution of 10 (22.1 mg, 20.2 µmol) in pyridine (1 mL) was treated with thioacetic acid (0.5 mL) at room temperature for 5 days under the dark condition. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo and co-evaporated with toluene. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (φ0.9×9 cm; CHCl$_3$/MeOH = 0% → 1% → 2%) to afford a corresponding acetamide (20.3 mg, 91%) as a white powder.

A solution of the corresponding acetamide (19.6 mg, 17.7 µmol) in EtOH (1 mL) was treated with ethylenediamine (11.6 µL, 177 µmol, 10 equiv.) and the mixture was heated at 80 °C for 5 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, concentrated in vacuo and co-evaporated with toluene. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (φ0.4×4.5 cm, treated with 0.1% Et$_3$N; CHCl$_3$/MeOH = 3% → 5% → 8%) to afford the crude amine. A solution of the amine in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (0.5 mL) was treated with Et$_3$N (7.38 µL, 53.1 µmol, 3.0 equiv.), HOAt (2.4 mg, 17.7 µmol, 1.0 equiv.), carboxylic acid (8.5 mg, 35.4 µmol, 2.0 equiv.) and EDCI (7.5 mg, 38.9 µmol, 2.2 equiv.) sequentially at room temperature for 1.5 h. Methanol (0.5 mL) was added to the reaction mixture and the mixture was stirred for 2 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (φ0.4×4.5 cm; hexane/EtOAc = 1/1 → CHCl$_3$/MeOH = 0% → 1% → 2%) to afford a protected-MurNAc analogue (14.9 mg, 70% over 2 steps) as a white powder.

A solution of the protected-MurNAc analogue (14.8 mg, 12.3 µmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (0.6 mL) was treated with BCl$_3$ (1 M in CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 369 µL, 369 µmol, 30 equiv.) at -78 °C for 15 min, and the mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 30 min. Sodium methoxide (5 M in MeOH,
369 μL, 1845 μmol, 150 equiv.) was added to the mixture at 0 °C, and the resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 10 min. The mixture was neutralized with Dowex 50W×4 and the insoluble solid was filtered off. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, the residue was purified by HPLC (YMC-Pack R&D ODS D-ODS-5-A, 250 × 20 mm, 0.1% TFA 60% MeCN/H2O) to afford 2 (9.1 mg, 81%) as a white solid.

Spectrum data was shown in ref. 15.

**Fluorescence-based MraY assay**

Reactions were carried out in 384-well microplate. Reaction mixtures contained, in a final volume of 20 μL, 50mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.6), 50mM KCl, 25mM MgCl2, 0.2% Triton X-100, 8% glycerol, 50 μM C55-P and 10 μM UDP-MurNAc-dansylpentapeptide. The reaction was initiated by the addition of Staphylococcus aureus MraY enzyme (55 ng/5 μL/well). After 3 h incubation at room temperature, the formation of dansylated lipid I was monitored by fluorescence enhancement (excitation at 355 nm, emission at 535 nm) by using infinite M200 microplate reader (Tecan). The inhibitory effects of each compound were determined in the MraY assays described above. The mixtures contained 2% dimethyl sulfoxide in order to increase the solubility of the compounds. Commercial tunicamycins was purchased from FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical Corporation.

**Molecular modelling**

The interaction energy calculation of 1 and 2 with MraY and GPT were performed by employing the automated mechanism of Multi-Ligand Bimolecular Association with Energetics using embrace minimization program of Macro Model ver. 11.4 of Schrödinger suites. Complexes of tunicamycin-MraY (PDB: 5JNQ) and tunicamycin-
GPT (PDB: 6BW5) were used as templates. The complexes of analogue 2 and MraY/GPT were prepared by manual docking. The calculations were performed by using OPLS-3 force field in water as a solvent. Embrace minimization calculations were performed using an energy difference mode, in which the calculation is performed first on the receptor, then on the ligand and finally on the complex, taking as input the complexes obtained. The energy difference is then calculated using the equation ($\Delta E = \Delta E_{\text{complex}} - \Delta E_{\text{receptor}} - \Delta E_{\text{ligand}}$).

**Evaluation of cytotoxicity**

Cytotoxic activity of the compounds against HepG2 cell was measured using Cell Counting Kit-8 according to manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, HepG2 cells (1×10^5 cells/well) in a 96 well plate were cultures in D-MEM (Low Glucose) medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum in the presence of test compounds at 37 °C for 24 h under 5% CO₂ atmosphere. A solution of Cell Counting Kit-8 reagent in medium (1:10) was added. The plates were incubated at 37 °C for 2 h under 5% CO₂ atmosphere, then 450 nm\, absorbance was measured.

**Evaluation of antibacterial activity**

MICs were determined by a microdilution broth method as recommended by the CLSI with cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton broth (CA-MHB). Serial two-fold dilutions of each compound were made in appropriate broth, and the plates were inoculated with 5×10^4 CFU of each strain in a volume of 0.1 mL. Plates were incubated at 37 °C for 18 h and then MICs were scored.
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FIGURE 1. Structure of tunicamycin V
FIGURE 2. Comparison of human GPT and MraY_{CH} in complex with tunicamycins
FIGURE 3. Structure of tunicamycin analogue 2
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FIGURE 4. Fluorescence-based MraY assay. The inhibitory activities of the compounds against purified MraY from S. aureus. Reaction was conducted with 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.6), 50 mM KCl, 25 mM MgCl₂, 0.2% Triton X-100, 8% glycerol, 100 mM C55-P, 100 mM UDP-MurNAc-dansylpentapeptide, and MraY enzyme (11 ng/5 mL/well). After 3-4 h incubation at room temperature, the formation of dansylated lipid I was monitored by fluorescence enhancement (excitation at 355 nm, emission at 535 nm).
FIGURE 5. Proposed docking model of 2 bound to MraYAA (a) and GPT (b). Tunicamycin V (1, orange) and its analogue 2 (green) are represented by ball and stick model. MraY and GPT are represented by cartoon models and those docked with 1 and 2 are colored by lavender and green, respectively. Calculation was conducted by embrace minimization program; Force field OPLS-3, MacroModel ver. 11.5
FIGURE 6. Cytotoxicity of 1 and 2 against HepG2 cells. HepG2 cells (1x10^4 cells/well) in a 96-well plate were cultured in D-MEM (Low Glucose) containing 10% fetal bovine serum in the presence of test compounds at 37 °C for 24 h under 5% CO₂ atmosphere. A solution of the Cell Counting Kit-8 reagent was added. The plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h under 5% CO₂ atmosphere, and then absorbance was measured at 450 nm.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strains</th>
<th>MIC (µg/mL)²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S. aureus ATCC25923</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. pneumoniae ATCC13883</td>
<td>&gt;128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. baumannii ATCC19606</td>
<td>&gt;128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. cloacae ATCC13047</td>
<td>&gt;128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. aeruginosa ATCC27853</td>
<td>&gt;128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. faecium ATCC35667</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

²MICs were determined by a microdilution broth method as recommended by the CLSI with cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton broth (CA-MHB). Serial two-fold dilutions of each compound were made in appropriate broth, and the plates were inoculated with 5 × 10⁴ CFU of each strain in a volume of 0.1 mL. Plates were incubated at 37 °C for 18 h and then MICs were scored.