



Title	Revisiting the relationship between resort companies and local communities focusing on personal communications : two case studies of Shimukappu Village in Hokkaido, Japan
Author(s)	神, 志穂
Citation	北海道大学. 博士(環境科学) 甲第14189号
Issue Date	2020-09-25
DOI	10.14943/doctoral.k14189
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/79620
Type	theses (doctoral)
File Information	Shiho_Jin.pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

**Revisiting the relationship between resort companies and
local communities focusing on personal communications:
two case studies of Shimukappu Village in Hokkaido, Japan**

(個人的コミュニケーションに注目した
リゾート企業と地域の関係の再考：
北海道占冠村での2つの事例研究)

北海道大学大学院環境科学院

神 志 穂

Shiho Jin

Graduate School of Environmental Science

Hokkaido University

Abstract

This doctoral dissertation revisited the role of the resort companies operating the resorts developed under the Law for Development of Comprehensive Resort Areas, “Resort Law” in local communities. Previous research regarded these resort developments did not contribute to community development because of serious damages to local communities in the developing process (e.g. Otsuka, 1992; Sato, 1998). There were some previous studies described employees who migrated during resort development (Yurugi et al., 1993; Takeda, 1994; Sato, 1998). However, there have been no recent studies on the topic, as a series of resort failures occurred before and after these studies.

Previous studies focusing on migrants as key persons in community development have examined those who work and live in the local community, such as farmers and guest house managers (e.g. Ohno, 2010; Tsutsui et al., 2015), and not resort employees. The migrants working in tourism often depend on employees’ communities formed with migrant colleagues rather than local communities (Tanigawa, 2004; Lundberg et al., 2009). Many studies pointed out a strong bond between employees of the tourism industry (e.g. Lee-ross, 2004; Junta et al., 2011), and no study clarified how the employees’ communities formed in the resorts have changed over the long term of decades.

This dissertation focused on short-term and long-term relationships between resort employees and residents. The analysis of resort employees’ consciousness and behaviors clarified that the employees connecting with the residents had a positive impact on the local community. This study also clarified processes and reasons for changing an employee-centered community to a player group of local community development over the decades to date.

A resort in Simukappu village, in Hokkaido, Japan was the site in this dissertation. This resort development was a model project of developments under “Resort Law” (Umekawa et al., 1997). The development began in 1982, the initial developers drew a magnificent blueprint for the domestically largest facility, which would cost 200 billion yen (Sato, 1998). The resort was applied to “Resort Law” in 1989, but after the burst of the bubble economy, its operating company went bankrupt, and the development was

stopped in the middle of the plan. In 1998, the village purchased the resort facilities with a donation of 500 million yen from a tourism company in Hokkaido and entrusted the company to resort operation (Yamaji, 2006). Since 2005, only another company has been operating the resort through the history of recovering from failure as a typical exogenous resort development to its failure and renovation. The resort, Simukappu village, and Graduate School of Environmental Science, Hokkaido University signed a cooperative agreement with industry, government, and academia in 2012.

Chapter 2 investigated the current relationship between the resort company and the local community, through analyzing the factors for the employees to build cooperative relationship with producers in the resort event using local agricultural products. As an action research approach, while being involved in the event from planning to implementation, data were collected by participant observation and semi-structured interviews. This study revealed that their daily interactions and their trust with residents motivated employees to contribute to the local community, resulting in strengthening the cooperative relationship in the event. It was also suggested that the relationship could turn an exogenous company into a contributor to locally endogenous development. Since the author has worked as a catalyst in changing this relationship, its impact on this relationship would be checked in further study.

Chapter 3 investigated the long-term relationship between the resort company and the local community, through analyzing the reasons for changing the resort migrant workers' perspectives to become human resources contributing to community development in Shimukappu village. Focusing on the migrants' community which was generated at the resort and engaging in community development, this study discussed the process of their changes over the decades since they had worked at the resort. Data were collected through participant observations and semi-structured interviews. This study clarified the processes in which resort employees had formed a community within the resort area that promoted them to become local human resources with some former employees' support for their livings, which have not been pointed out in the previous studies. It was also found that the employees' community itself has gradually changed from a group of employees to a player of local revitalization.

An important knowledge presented by this dissertation is that resort employees, who had been simply seen as the resort labor force of resort companies, play a role in formation of local communities. This dissertation also focused on the employees' community and showed the possibility of organized, continuous relationships between employees and residents. The findings of this dissertation will help advance the academic knowledge about the migrants belonging to the relatively isolated organizations with many migrants.

CHAPTER 1 GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	2
1.2 Background	2
1.3 Target	7
1.4 Research Question in this doctoral dissertation	10
CHAPTER 2	12
2.1 Introduction	13
2.2 Study area and methodology	14
2.3 The relationship between the resort and farmers in the process of launching C&M	17
2.4 Analysis and discussion	21
2.5 Conclusion and suggestions	25
Notes	26
CHAPTER 3	27
3.1 Introduction	28
3.2 Study methods	30
3.3 Study area and interviewees	30
3.4 Findings	33
3.5 Discussion	43
3.6 Conclusion	47
Notes	48
CHAPTER 4 GENERAL CONCLUSION	51
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	56
REFERENCES	58

Chapter 1 General introduction

1.1 Introduction

From a long-term perspective, Japan and its region Hokkaido are shifting from the high economic growth period in the last century to sustainable societies in the 21st century. One of the major symbols during this shift is resort development causing the bubble economy. From the viewpoint of sustainable society/community development toward the future, this study focused on two cases carried out in Shimukappu Village in Hokkaido and discussed that a large resort development had given the community not only a negative legacy but also good presents for sustainable community development.

In the 1980s and 1990s, many resorts were developed under “the Law for Development of Comprehensive Resort Areas,” to vitalize rural areas facing depopulation and aging, and then most of the resorts collapsed due to the bubble burst. Previous researches on the relationship between resorts and local communities mainly focused on the impact of resort development on local communities (e.g. Sato, 1990; Tsuchiya, 1990; Yurugi et al., 1993).

A few studies focused on the interaction of resort employees with the local community (e.g. Ooi et al., 2016). In the opening of resorts, most of the resort employees were migrants. However, at present, they may be regarded as members of the local community since it has been more than 30 years from the opening.

In Section 1.2, researches on resort development, resort employees as migrants, and migrants becoming local human resources in general cases except resort development are briefly reviewed. In Section 1.3, resort development in Shimukappu Village as a background for discussing Chapters 2 and 3 is described. In Section 1.4, the research question and structure of this dissertation are set.

1.2 Background

1.2.1 Rural development and resort development

Resort development under “the Law for Development of Comprehensive Resort Areas” (hereafter “Resort Law”) enforced in 1987 was exogenous rural development, which was opposite to endogenous rural development, the current mainstream, led by residents and local capital. Many local governments facing depopulation and the decline of the local economy due to the outflow to the urban area since the 1960s got into resort development.

In 1997, the basic concept approved by the national government was implemented in 41 prefectures, and the total area of a specific area designated by the Resort Law was about 6.27 million ha, accounting for about 17% of the national land (Umekawa et al., 1997).

In the period of developing resorts, many researchers investigated the impact of the development on local communities in terms of local society, local economy, living environment, and natural environment (e.g. Mizoo, 1991; Yurugi et al., 1993). In rural areas, local government officials had persuaded farmers to acquire land for development, and many farmers had sold their farmland accordingly, and some of them got jobs at resorts for economic reasons (Sato, 1990; Sato, 1998). Resort development brought benefits such as employment, youth migrants, and good publicity to rural areas (Mizoo, 1991). Meanwhile, exogenous development companies lead the causes of various negative effects such as environmental destruction, loss of local identity, and leakage of economic ripple effects from the local areas, because of large-scale and nation-widely uniform development aimed at real-estate speculation (Yang, 2006; Osawa, 2018). Most of the researches at that time were critical of resort development, because of issues such as lack of linkage with the local economy, destruction of living and natural environments, and developers' indifference to residents (Sato, 1990; Tsuchiya, 1990; Sato, 1998). Many campaigns against resort development by residents, parliamentarians, bar associations also happened throughout the country (Sato, 1990; Goto, 1992; Otsuka, 1992).

After the collapse of the bubble economy in 1991, most of the resort development failed due to the bankruptcy or withdrawal of the resort developers (Oura, 2004; Okada, 2010), and many undeveloped sites were left around the country (Oura, 2004). The municipality that had invested in the resort suffered a huge amount of debt, causing deterioration of the living environment such as welfare and education of residents (Okada, 2010; Makidaira, 2013). Since the end of resort development, the number of researches on resorts has been declined. Some studies have examined the causes of the failure of resort development by analyzing the factors of the resort management crisis (Adachi, 2000) and the advantages and disadvantages of the joint public-private enterprises being responsible for the development (Matsui, 2002). There is no knowledge of how the

resort, which was regenerated by another company after its failure, was involved with the local community.

The adverse impacts of exogenous developers in resort development gave rise to an alternative idea, endogenous community development. Based on the criticism of exogenous development, Miyamoto (1989) advocated "endogenous development," which argued community development by local governments, local capital, and residents. After the end of resort development, regional policies shifted from economic revitalization to support the endogenous development of residents (Matsumiya, 2007). In terms of tourism, the local communities have taken the initiative in promoting "community development tourism" that aims to create a sustainable community by using local resources (Morishige, 2015; Osawa, 2018).

It has been generally considered that resort development was not helpful for rural revitalization while having serious impacts on the local communities. Previous researches focused on the development period when the resorts were making the most visible changes to the rural communities. At present decades after the development period, there is no discussion about the impacts of the resorts on residents and their roles in community development.

1.2.2 Resort employees as migrants

The resort companies had employed many migrants, who were year-round or seasonal young single workers and transferring workers from affiliated companies (Tanno, 1986; Mizoo, 1991; Takeda, 1994; Nozaki, 1995). Few studies have focused on the characteristics and experiences of the migrants. Regarding the employees of the resort, the target of this study, several studies have mentioned as follows: their daily lives were irregular hours due to seasonality of tourism and their work shift patterns including early morning and late-night (Takeda, 1993), many young employees moved in and out in a short period of several years (Takeda, 1993; Sato, 1998), and their mobility correlated with the limited social interaction with the residents and their attitudes of indifference to the local community (Takeda, 1993). There is no knowledge of employees regarding regenerated resorts, as many resorts closed their business before and after these studies.

Overseas resorts are different from Japanese resorts in the way of having a law system like the Resort Law, but they have commons such as their employment depending on migrants. Employees' work and lifestyle are associated with resorts' features such as unclear boundaries between leisure and work, seasonality in the business, many multinational migrant workers, and high mobility of employees (e.g. Adler et al., 1999; Boon, 2006). Many employees work in seasonal or short-term employment for adventure, excitement, skill development, self-actualization, and career advancement and transfer in a few years at longest (Adler et al., 1999; Uriely, 2001). The employees as migrants tend to form communities with colleagues as migrants having the same lifestyle and mindset (Adler et al., 1999; Lee-ross, 1999; Lundberg et al., 2009).

Little is known about the relationship between migrant employees and the local community (e.g. Ooi et al., 2016). The existing studies have pointed out that seasonal employees spend a lot of time with members in the migrant employees' community (Lundberg et al., 2009) and that some of them wish to join the local community (Ooi et al., 2016) or become a permanent resident over time (Perdue, 2004). Regarding tourism work, it is found that the interactions with residents as customers improve migrant workers' linguistic and cultural understandings of the host communities and help them to adapt to their work environment and their host societies (Junta et al, 2011).

The interests of the previous studies focusing on resort employees are mostly in terms of workers in the tourism industry, with little attention to their impact on residents and local communities. There are no studies on how migrants staying long years since the opening of the resorts and the employees working in regenerated resorts currently interact with local communities.

1.2.3 Migrants as local human resources

Previous studies except resort studies clarified that migrants are helpful for the development of rural communities. Migrants bring new knowledge, skills, and connections to local communities and discover local resources and advantages from a different perspective from locals (Shikida, 2009; Ohno, 2010; Tsutsui et al., 2015). They also use local resources for entrepreneurship (Tsutsui et al., 2015), information dissemination (Tabata et al., 2018), interaction with urban residents (Oyama et al., 2015)

and regional regeneration (Shibata, 2006), resulting in invigorating local communities and attracting new migrants. That is, migrants became “local human resources” as those who carry out activities for the revitalization of local communities using local resources.

As for migrants’ settlement and becoming local human resources, the previous studies have examined those who work and live in the local community, such as farmers and guest house managers (e.g. Yoshikawa, 2005; Ohno, 2010; Tsutsui et al., 2015). They individually got into the local community and how they interact with locals affects their behaviors. The settlement of migrants is generally facilitated by friendships with locals in daily life (Kuwabara et al., 2014) and locals’ support of interactions with other residents and adaptation to their jobs and local society (Hara, 2001; Nakanishi, 2008). Most of the migrants who became local human resources had not originally had their willingness to contribute to community development and the personal connections helpful for their activities (Hagihara, 2014). They generally have the experiences, triggers of their changes, such as interactions with other residents and encounters with local resources and grow as key persons in community development with forming new networks and communities with other residents (Ohno, 2010; Hagihara, 2014).

Regarding migrants working in tourism, it was pointed out that they often connected deeply with the communities formed with migrant colleagues rather than local communities (Tanigawa, 2004; Lundberg et al., 2009). The employees’ communities help migrants to adapt to their jobs and new lives (Tanigawa, 2004; Junta et al., 2011; Robinson et al., 2014). At that same time, their communities reduce opportunities for migrants to involve with local communities and make a boundary to feel cohesiveness with local people (Kato, 2014). The survey of hotel employees in tourist destinations (Lee-ross, 2004) found that employees belonging to their work communities tended to neglect other communities.

The previous studies focusing on the migrants as local human resources, but not specified as resort employees. In addition, many studies pointed out a strong bond between employees in the tourism industry, and no study clarified how the employees’ communities formed in the resorts have changed over several decades.

1.3 Target

Resort development in Shimukappu village, Hokkaido, was regarded as a model for the developments under the Resort Law. The original development plan, which spends a total of 200 billion yen over 20 years, is by far the most grandiose in Japan (Azumai, 1989), and the constructed resort boasts a national reputation as a luxury resort with urban facilities (Takeda, 1993). However, the resort management companies collapsed after the burst of the bubble economy, and the plan was halted in the middle of the development. The history of the resort from its development to the present are described below.

In 1982, Shimukappu village started resort development with the third sector, the business entity established by the village and two private companies, to revitalize their community undergoing the decline of the agricultural and forestry industry and depopulation. Its capital is 98 million yen, with 50 million villages and 48 million private companies. The initial plan for the development had proposed that the third sector would involve in the business planning of the resort. However, in fact, the companies proposed a plan to manage and operate the resort without the third sector under the condition of paying the full cost of building facilities. The role of the village was limited to solving some difficulties for private companies, such as securing lands for the development and getting the permissions for road construction and cableway projects (Kannon, 1991; Takeda, 1993; Yamaji, 2006).

The resort was constructed geographically away from residential areas (Figure 1); it is about 6 km from the nearest residential area, the Tomamu district, and about 25 km from the Central district where the town hall and the roadside station are located. The road connecting the Tomamu area, which was used to be called “Isolated Island”, to the central area was paved at the time of the resort development. Even now, the road from both districts to the resort is surrounded by trees, and there are almost no houses or shops along the street.

Although, only a part of it was developed in the end, the initial development plan drew a magnificent blueprint including the largest facility in Japan, such as a 90-hole (553ha) golf course in a national forest, accommodation facilities with a total of 50,000 beds, a wave pool and an international conference hall (Sato, 1998). The private company that developed and managed the resort was a real estate agent and aimed to make a profit by




Figure 1. Locations of the resort and all districts in Shimukappu village, Hokkaido

selling the villa instead of the hotel accommodation fee. They enriched the leisure facilities to raise the value of the real estate (Azumai, 1989).

Land acquisition and development were carried out concurrently with resort operation (Kannon, 1991; Yamaji, 2006). The resort opened in 1983 as “Alpha Resort Tomamu” at the stage of developing a ski site and an attached hotel. After that, facilities such as membership tower hotels, luxury condominiums, a golf course (18 holes), tennis courts (19 courts), a wave pool, a motocross bike course were added one after another. In 1989, this resort was designated as a priority area under the Resort Law.

The development changed the industrial structure of the village. In the Tomamu area, four out of five livestock farmers sold their farmland and left (Sato, 1998). Some farmers who left the farm got jobs in the resort (Yamaji, 2006). After the resort was open, seven guest houses and pensions were open in the Tomamu area, of which three

were run by locals (Mizoo, 1990). The population of the village increased from 1,463 (when the resort opened in 1983) to 2,124 (1993). Most of the migrants were young resort employees (Sato, 1998).

Initially, the local industries were linked to the resort by using the village's fuel, agricultural products, and milk at the resort. However, as the village products did not meet the resort standards of quality, quantity, and price, the resort became to use the suppliers in Sapporo, the largest city in Hokkaido. The locals hoped that the resort development would lead to the revitalization of local industries, but that was not realized (Takeda, 1993).

After the bubble burst, due to the worsening of cash flow, the private company responsible for development and operation went bankrupt in 1998 (Sato 1998). The village purchased a resort facility with a donation of 500 million yen from Kamori Kanko, a tourism company in Hokkaido, and entrusted Kamori Kanko to the operation (Yamaji, 2006). Since 2005, Hoshino Resort Co., Ltd., a resort management company outside Hokkaido, has been managing the resort.

After its regeneration, the resort changed their business to a large resort which attracted many tourists with local resources such as a summer landscape of “the sea of clouds” made by surrounding mountains and Ice Shells (the dome made of ice) taking an advantage of the extremely cold and snowy conditions. In Chapter 2, the resort built a new cooperative relationship with farmers in 2012, which was not the one-sided relationship such as biased towards resort-side efficiency and economic benefits when its initial development. In the same year, the village, the resort, and the Graduate School of Environmental Science at Hokkaido University signed a partnership agreement. The significance of this collaboration for industry-academia collaboration was explained in Higuchi and Yamanaka (2017).

It is an interesting point in the current working situations of the resort employees that some people are continuously living in the village even after resigning the job at the resort, although, in a few years, many people move to the affiliated resorts in Japan and overseas owned by the operating company.

1.4 Research Question in this doctoral dissertation

As described in Section 1.2, previous research on the impact of resorts on the local community focused on the resort development period. The knowledge of the relationship between migrant employees and local communities is also limited to young employees migrated in the period of resort development and seasonal employees. As described in Subsection 1.2.3, previous studies except resort studies have pointed out that migrants often discovered buried regional resources and produced the vitality for local communities. However, there are no studies investigating resort employees as local human resources.

Currently, more than 30 years after the resort development, there is a possibility that the relationship between resort employees and residents changed due to changes in working positions or tasks at the regenerated resort and their lifestyle such as marriage and retirement of employees migrating when the development of the resort. In particular, the employees who have lived for many years may be rediscovering the advantage of being local. Furthermore, if some members of the employees' communities mentioned in Subsection 1.2.3 became the main players in local community development, they would provide the opportunity to work together for the other members.

This dissertation reconsiders the role of the resort in the local community analyzing short-term and long-term relationships between resort employees and residents. The resort in Shimukappu Village built new relationships with local farmers and villages after regenerated by a different exogenous company. In addition, since there are no other resort companies in the village and most of the migrants in the village are resort employees, this resort is suitable measuring the impact of the employees on the local community.

From a short-term perspective, Chapter 2 analyzed the factors that helped employees build cooperative relationships with producers at the event that used local produce from the resort. This chapter will show that daily interactions and trust with residents motivate employees to contribute to the local community and that such motivated employees connect local communities and resorts.

From a long-term perspective, Chapter 3 clarified how the former employees after leaving the resort settled down in the local community area and became involved in

community development. In particular, this chapter will focus on how the employees' community which the key persons who migrated at the resort developing involved in has changed over the decades to date. In addition, from the viewpoint of migrants' becoming local human resources, this Chapter will clarify the process of how the migrant employees became into local human resources via the resort.

Based on Chapters 2 and 3, Chapter 4 will make the conclusion, and mention how the two studies contributed to the academic knowledge and what is still unanswered from the standpoint of the role of resorts in the local community, with prospects for future research, as remarks.

Chapter 2

Case study one: A new relationship between a resort company and local farmers for the creative local resource use in the rural area: A case study of collaboration between Hoshino resort Tomamu and farmers in Shimukappu, Hokkaido

2.1 Introduction

Since the late 1960s, rural areas have suffered from depopulation, aging, and a decline of key industries such as agriculture and forestry (Tsutsui, 2008; Hobo, 2013). In order to revitalize rural economy and community, tourism has been promoted nationally since the 1970s.

However, in the process of large-scale tourism development, exogenous companies caused some adverse effects on rural areas. In the 1980s, under the Resort Law, many exogenous developers destroyed natural environment of the rural areas and brought a great loss to the rural economies due to their bankrupt associated with the burst of the bubble economy in the 1990s. The developers neither took a consideration of intentions among residents nor had partnerships with them about the developments. They put a priority on the recovery of their investments and wasted local resources without taking responsibility for their conservation (Okata, 2012; Otsuka, 1992; Sato, 1998).

When exogenous actors which are not limited to resort companies used local resources, they often gave a disadvantage to the community due to a lack of understandings and considerations (Morishige, 2012). Using local resources without consensus with local community, as resort development in the 1980s, was tended to conflict with local community and not sustainable.

“The theory of endogenous development”, an alternative community development theory based on the autonomous activity by rural residents, was advocated from criticism to exogenous development. In this theory, it was desirable that local actors as local companies and organizations should lead community development. Miyamoto (1989) argued with the theory and indicated that it was difficult to sustain community development without collaboration and partnership with exogenous actors. Therefore, it was unnecessary to refuse all of exogenous capitals and techniques. If we consider the current situation of rural community, it is impossible to maintain rural community isolated from others (Shikida, 2005). Nakamura (2000) pointed out the necessity to bring exogenous development close to endogenous development and organize a rural collaboration system for the prosperous coexistence of local people and exogenous company.

These arguments focused on the relationship with exogenous actors in rural development. It is needed to analyze specific case studies about processes and factors that exogenous actors close to endogenous development and build collaboration with rural actors. Particularly, it is important to reveal the collaboration process built between exogenous actors and rural actors and analyze the factor of the collaboration, because autonomous activities by actors are important in the theory of endogenous development.

Research on relationships between local communities and resorts, which were developed during the resort development boom, have focused on mainly the negative impacts of exogenous development on community, such as issues of the resort law as regional policy (Okada, 2010), lack of consensus among residents on resort development (Takizawa, 1997), and impacts on the local natural environment (Adachi, 2000). Few researchers have examined roles of resort developers in the community and changes their relationships with residents.

Therefore, this paper examined the relationship between exogenous and local actors in “Kumonoshita Café & Marché”, planned by “Hoshino Resort Tomamu Co., Ltd.” (hereafter, “H Tomamu”) that was an exogenous resort in Shimukappu Village, Hokkaido. H Tomamu collaborated with farmers in Shimukappu Village in order to use local produce in the event. The relationship between H Tomamu and the farmers was considered as a mutualistic relationship with a community built by exogenous actor. The purpose of this research was to clarify the factors of changes in H Tomamu actors’ perspective and behavior to the farmers associated with the interaction with the farmers and locals.

2.2 Study area and methodology

2.2.1 Shimukappu Village

As already introduced in Chapter 1, this chapter also give a brief description of Shimukappu Village. Shimukappu Village is a mountain village surrounded by mountains on all its sides, the total area 571.31 km² and population 1,191(at the end of May 2013).

The population of this village has decreased from 4,705 since 1960. This area has an inland climate and the temperature changes drastically in a day and seasons. It is extremely cold in winter, and the snow accumulation is over 100cm. There are 6

districts in the village. The main village office is located at Chuou district that has the largest population. H Tomamu is located at Tomamu district which is 25km away from Chuou district (Figure 1, in Section 1.3).

Mountains and forests cover 94% of the total area of the village. Since its settlement in 1902, the village has developed agriculture and forestry as its core industries. The number of farm household decreased to 18 on April 2013 from 46 in 2008. The household living on cultivating crops is 5 including the part-time farmer. The village addressed agriculture decline owing to aging and a lack of successors by supporting for newly farmer.

In the working population of Shimukappu Village (as of 2010), the ratio of the tertiary industry (63%) is higher than primary industry (9%) and secondary industry (28%). This largely depends on the existence of H Tomamu, but in Tomamu district, there are also 5 small accommodations such as pension other than H Tomamu, and most residents engage in tourism. Tourism has been a key industry of the village. An important tourism facility of the village is a roadside station “Shizen-Taikan Shimukappu” in Chuou district, where local products such as farm produce are sold by the local tourism association.

2.2.2 Hoshino Resort Tomamu

In 2006, H Tomamu opened “Unkai Terrace” to show tourists the sea of clouds from halfway up Mt.Tomamu. At the end of 2012, the number of hotel guests was about 306,000, and the number of “Unkai Terrace” visitors was about 97,000 including one-day tourists. The number of customers in H Tomamu has been increasing year after year.

H Tomamu in Shimukappu Village and Graduate School of Environmental Science at Hokkaido University signed a cooperative agreement with industry, government, and academia on September 21, 2012. The purpose of this cooperation is mutual efforts of such as education and research and the interactions of people with the aim of environmental conservation, tourism promotion, and development of the local community¹⁾.

2.2.3 Kumo-no-shita Café & Marché

This study focused on “Kumo-no-shita Café & Marché” (hereafter, “C&M”) held in H Tomamu from July 14 to September 2, 2012. At C&M, there was a café offering breakfast made with locally grown produce in the area. The farm produce from Shimukappu Village and nearby Shimukappu are used in the café and sold in a marché. Staff A, a corporate communication officer of H Tomamu (at the time), mainly made the C&M concepts and contents. Staff A also made a leaflet and display panels for introducing the farmers’ effort of caring produce to visitors. The details will be described below. Staff B, who is responsible for the marché, and Staff C, who is responsible for the café, negotiated with farmers for the purchase volume, arranged their staffs, and managed. The number of visitors at C&M was about 12,000.

The café got the highest customer satisfaction among the restaurants in the event²⁾. The marché was attempt as a pilot small shop, sales were not sufficient affected by the smallness of items and its unattended service.

2.2.4 study method

Data were collected through participant observation and semi-structured interviews conducted from March to October 2012, mainly in Shimukappu Village and H Tomamu. An action research approach was used to collect data. The interviewees were actors for C&M (H Tomamu employees, farmers in Shimukappu Village) including people who did not participated in C&M. In addition, the farmers around Shimukappu (Minami-FuranoTown, Furano City) who provided their farm products for C&M were interviewed in this study.

The interviews with producers were conducted before and after C&M (survey one, two).

To grasp their current state, issues, and interest level for the marché, survey one was conducted to four producers in Shimukappu Village on May 16 and 17, 2012, before C&M. Three producers engaged in farming in the village and one engaged in processing and selling of forest resources in the village. The district details were Two Sosyubetsu District, one Tomamu District, and one Shimukappu District. Three interviewees have had contact with H Tomamu in the past such as having worked as year-round employees,

traded of their produce with the resort, and helped with the resort's events. One is a current employee of H Tomamu.

In order to grasp the influence of changes of H Tomamu actors' behavior for farmers on farmers' perception of H Tomamu and on motivation to participate in C&M, survey two was conducted to five farms participating in C&M on September 11 and 12, 2012, after C&M. Three farmers engaged in farming, one engaged in fruit growing and a café business, and one engaged in growing and selling flowering plants. The district details were two Sosyubetsu District, one Tomamu District, and producers in outside the village were one Minami-Furano Town, and one Furano City. Four interviewees have had contact with H Tomamu such as having worked as year-round or seasonal employees and traded of their produce with the resort, including interviewee in survey one. The other is an acquaintance of Staff B.

The author pointed out merits and demerits of C&M based on survey one and two to H Tomamu. Participant observations and interviews were conducted to investigate the impact of her suggestions on H Tomamu actors' perspectives and behaviors to the farmers. Analysis of the public relations leaflets, Simukappu Village homepage and documents recorded by H Tomamu also accompanied these observations and interviews.

2.3 The relationship between the resort and farmers in the process of launching C&M

2.3.1 Planning in the resort

Staff A who was a corporate communication officer in H Tomamu planned C&M and the project had started since 2012. In H Tomamu, Staff A has been leading a project to create a new attraction with the Sea of Clouds since 2010. In this discussion, C&M was planned as a place to introduce crops growing under the sea of clouds and attractions of the land where the sea of clouds occurred. Staff A had another task of showing a vision of the company in and out of the company. She had handled many projects in the past and played a central role in C&M.

At the planning stage of C&M, Staff A considered that using the amenity of the local area was necessary for providing visitors with "real experience". She also considered that "making the place with local people" was especially important in C&M.

Consequently, C&M was planned as a place where local producers contact directly with consumers selling at the store.

Though the main purpose of C&M was to improve the satisfaction of visitors to H Tomamu, Staff A also hoped that C&M would lead to regional vitalization as well as remind producers of the goodness of their products and region through the communication with consumers. Staff A shared those purposes with H Tomamu actors in a project meeting (March, 2012), and with Staff B who was responsible for the marché and Staff C who was responsible for the café (April-May, 2012). However, H Tomamu did not exchange their opinions with producers and know producers' states and issues. Survey one was conducted in this situation.

2.3.2 The gaps between the plan and the local producers' states

According to survey one, producers tended to show a negative attitude to contact with H Tomamu, because of the following reasons; producers had met employees at H Tomamu only few times and have only transient business dealings with H Tomamu and the poor sales of village products at the past events in H Tomamu. Producers, except a current employee, rarely went to H Tomamu. A producer has never gone to H Tomamu even though he had supplied his farm products to there. That was caused not only by the fact that H Tomamu was a tourism facility but also there was long distance between Tomamu district and other districts. The way from Tomamu district to Chuou or Sosyubetsu district took about 30 to 40 minutes by car. Therefore all interviewees had a difficulty of the transition frequently.

All farms in Shimukappu village were small family-run. Beside a H Tomamu employee, all the farmers mentioned that they did not have an extra worker for selling in marché because C&M was held on July and August that were busiest seasons for farmers in a year.

Some producers had experiences of selling farm products at stores and were vitalized by exchanging with customers – “I was glad to hear that my produce was delicious form customers”. A few issues of producers were found due to the aging of village people – “The sales have been down because of increasing the number of people who cannot come to buy in my market”.

2.3.3 Behavior changes in Resort actors

The author pointed out issues of C&M based on survey one to Staffs A and B (May 17, 2012). Subsequently, they changed their behaviors after confirming the facts pointed out by her and consulting with local acquaintances.

First, Staff A reconfigured the plan of C&M and proposed it again. To avoid giving producers the impression that “I am being taken advantage of H Tomamu”, the following details clearly shown on new proposal: “we must express respect to producers for creating things together”. Based on this proposal, H Tomamu actors shared the idea that they should build a positive relationship with producers in the medium and long-term (June 4, 2012). The theme of this proposal was that they create C&M together with producers who understood their attitudes to the local community.

Second, in order to inform producers’ information to C&M visitors and lead them to the farm where they can buy farm products directly, Staff A made a leaflet and display panels both of which described producers’ characteristics and passion with their product. The purpose of leading C&M visitors to farms was not only increasing producers’ income from selling farm products but also vitalizing producers through communication with tourists. Staff A with the author interviewed with each producer to make a leaflet and display panels. The leaflet was delivered to producers by Staff A or the author and distributed to C&M visitors. The display panels were put up in the C&M place. Staffs B and C were invited by Staff A to accompany the interviews with producers. These interviews were opportunities for communication between H Tomamu and producers. Staff C sometimes visited producers of farm products used in café after the interviews.

Third, Staff B also changed the way to contact with producers, because he gave top priority on building a continuous relationship with them. Staff B initially planned a briefing of marché for producers in H Tomamu, but he finally visited producers who had traded their products with H Tomamu in order to ask for their cooperation. In addition, to build trust relationships with producers, Staff B decided to have many opportunities to communicate with them. He often bought products directly from the producers, and he visited the farm as much as possible for other than purchasing the products. These awareness and actions had never been in H Tomamu’s dealing with producers.

2.3.4 The influence of resort actors' changes on producers

According to survey two, the producers to whom H Tomamu actors had visited frequently tended to be impressed by substantial communication with H Tomamu. H Tomamu's behaviors also gave an impression as "different from others as before C&M" to producers who had known H Tomamu in the past. Producers felt that H Tomamu had given consideration to them and showed sincere attitude to make a place of C&M because of the operation suited their working conditions and the leaflet and display panels for introducing their minds to guests. However, a producer thought "no different from the usual" because his idea with marché was not reflected as much as he expected.

In terms of the economic effects, producers gained profit from café, however there was not much profit from marché due to the poor sales. On the other hand, one of the producers who participate in marché did not expect the high sales. He was impressed by H Tomamu to build a good relationship with the local community and satisfied with contributing his effort to the local revitalization. Producers also pointed out issues. For examples, they had to learn new ordering system for marché and there was no full-time salesperson in marché. Nevertheless, four producers would like to participate in the event next year. One producer who was not willing to participate in it felt that he did not see any changes in relationships with H Tomamu and also had a big burden of new system with a small deal and less profit.

2.3.5 The awareness shared by resort actors

For reviewing of C&M, six staffs (A, B, C, food and beverage unit manager, a café staff and a facility staff) in H Tomamu participated in the meeting held after C&M finished (October 4, 2012), in which the author presented C&M's positive and negative results described in subsection 2.3.4. By their discussion based on her presentation, all C&M actors understood that they should maintain good relationships with producers. Moreover, they discussed the relationship with producers from the aspect of economic benefit. Staff B in charge of the marché, which generated a small profit, hold a negative view on the deals with local producers. With his consideration on the merit and demerit for them and producers, he intended to purchase farm products from not only local producers but also other regions' farmers dealing with H Tomamu. On the other hand,

Staff C in charge of the café, which provided good profits for producers, pointed out the beneficial impact on customer satisfaction by using local farm products. After discussing how they could contribute to local producers through this event, they eventually shared an goal to construct a mutualistic place with producers in next year.

2.4 Analysis and discussion

After the survey one, H Tomamu actors changed their behaviors to build a trust relationship with producers. For example, they chose the way to purchase not through distributors as usual but directly with producers. Based on their experiences of building a relationship with producers and our presentation about survey two, H Tomamu actors recognized the necessity to reconsider the way to get economic profit from C&M for H tomamu and producers. The way corresponds to “the company which consider the development area’s natural and social conditions due to taking social responsibility” pointed out by Hurutani et.al. (2012) as a feature of sustainable developments. To reveal the process of exogenous actors to build “mutualistic relationship” with local actors, the author analyzed the causes of H Tomamu’s way to change their actions in this case.

2.4.1 The factors of resort actors’ change of behavior after survey I

In the planning stage of C&M, staff A and B assumed that producers might hesitate to contact with H Tomamu, as the resort had not met residents’ expectations by the past bankruptcy and the resort had not maintained a relationship with producers but made only temporary deals with producers in the past. They had worried that producers still had “a negative impression” to H Tomamu. Their anxieties had become a psychological barrier for H Tomamu actors to build relationships with producers at the planning stage of C&M.

There were three factors that eliminated their barrier.

First of all, they were willing to contribute to their local community: building local identity or pride as residents and revitalizing of the local community through C&M. All H Tomamu actors who were interviewed also felt the attraction of the natural environment in this village, relationships with local residents, and village lives. They also wanted to let visitors know the attraction.

Uno and Tsurumi(1994) presented the concept of “key person” who was a main person to realize the potential endogenous development; they defined the ideal “key person” as “persons who value the benefits and potentials of other people as well as oneself” and “persons who feel an attachment with people and environment of their hometown and care about environmental conservation of the town”. H Tomamu actors were “key person” as follows: they hoped to contribute to the local community that they were attracted by residents and their lives and sought to realize mutualism with producers at C&M by respecting producers’ opinions. Especially, the intendment to contribute to the local community is important to understand the behavior change of H Tomamu actors. In the next section, I discuss the reasons why they had the mind before C&M.

Second, staff A and B understood the importance of cooperation with producers in order to provide “a real experience” for visitors in C&M. This common understanding was shared among H Tomamu actors when they build relationships with producers before C&M opening.

Third, staff A and B have local producers and acquaintances that they knew well long time before the event. After the survey I, they went to discuss about their concerns and issues of C&M immediately with the locals. Listening to their opinions and advice directly, the staff deeply understood producers’ thoughts of H Tomamu and issues of C&M and reflected their new perspectives to their actions. If they had not built personal trust relationships already, they would not have a chance to change their actions.

2.4.2 The background for resort actors to build their mind to contribute to local community

There were three backgrounds in common on the development of H Tomamu actors’ mind to contribute to the local community.

The first background was the policy of Hoshino Resort, “producing local attraction”. The policy intended to improve customer satisfaction by providing customer services using local resources with value added based on their knowledge. The CEO of Hoshino Resort and the general manager of H Tomamu stated this policy at general employee meetings of Hoshino Resort and H Tomamu, respectively, and all H Tomamu actors who told us that they recognized the policy. Moreover, the general manager of H Tomamu

made a hotel which had been bankrupted move in to black by using local attractions, and strongly recommended employees using local resources as attractions of H tomamu.

The second background is the promotion for H Tomamu actors to build relationships with locals. The local person, who personally had trust relationships with staffs A and B, informed H Tomamu staff including spokespersons announcements of meetings and events in which residents would participate, toward the interactions between H Tomamu and residents. H Tomamu actors who participated in the meetings or events not only understood the local community but also built new personal connections with residents. They strongly wished to contribute to the local community as increased opportunities for interaction with the locals, although all H Tomamu actors did not always participate.

The third background is that some H Tomamu actors are residents, who had daily interactions with other residents in the village. Staff B and C, coming from outside Hokkaido, lived in Tomamu district for working in H Tomamu. Living in a residential quarter, they could easily interact with other residents compared to other employees living in corporate dormitory far from the quarter. They enjoyed interactions with their neighbors such as participations to festivals or events at the district. Even though they were migrants, living in the local community allowed them to interact naturally with the locals and to understand about the local community. The interactions were caused by residents' positive attitudes to accept migrants as well as the locality of Tomamu district where many tourism employees lived.

2.4.3 Positioning of H Tomamu in endogenous development

The author considered whether C&M corresponded or not to endogenous development by referring to definition and principles noted by Miyamoto (1989). Miyamoto (1989) defined "endogenous development" as that "local development should be planned by local individuals, companies, and associations and required their own development in technology to achieve conserving and using local natural resources. The development associated with economic development rooted in the local culture should be implemented by the local government and lead the improvement of the local welfare". He also indicated the following principles of endogenous development: based on local technology,

industry, and culture; regional inter-industry relations in which additional values belong to the local area in every stage.

The features of H Tomamu actors' behaviors and consciousness correspond to Miyamoto's definition and principles. First of all, they built the inter-industry relations with local industry toward sustainably economic development of their company and the local area. H Tomamu actors maintained comfortable relationships with producers to develop sustainable C&M. Second, they used local resources reasonably while they conserved local environment. They implemented producers' opinions respectively in a their plan which was earned high customer satisfaction. Third, they produced an economic effect on the local area. They organized C&M strongly seeking economic profit for producers as well as H Tomamu. These are important to consider endogenous development.

H Tomamu is, of course, an exogenous company, and they had used local resources to create their benefits by improving customer satisfaction. Therefore, this resort development did not imply to endogenous development. However, C&M can be considered as an endogenous development, as their behavior and awareness were also matched with the definition and principles of endogenous development presented by Miyamoto (1989). H Tomamu actors hoped C&M contribute to community development, and they have been challenging to develop C&M as a sustainable and successful event. If they evolves C&M in the future, local community might be vitalized by increasing profit and empowerment of local producers.

Furthermore, it was significant that the contribution of H Tomamu (not actors) to endogenous development of the local community. H Tomamu actors built positive relationships with producers toward the sustainable development of C&M. To build one-to-one trust relationships with producers, H Tomamu actors took actions such as visiting producers frequently, making a simply framework for producers, and telling H Tomamu's new way to contribute to the local community through C&M. Good relationships with producers made H Tomamu actors strongly be aware of producers' profit from C&M. On the other hand, producers had their good impressions of H Tomamu, because they could feel felt the new way to contribute to the local community through communications with H Tomamu actors. These positive impressions motivated

the producers to participate in C&M continuously. Therefore, in conducting C&M, H Tomamu was regarded as a contributor to endogenous development through the interaction between H Tomamu actors and producers, in spite of a mere exogenous actor originally.

2.5 Conclusion and suggestions

In this case, a resort company, exogenous developer, built trust relationships with producers to lead mutualism that both of producers and the company could make a profit. The reason that H Tomamu built a sustainable relationship with the local community was not only the company's policy, providing "real experience" for visitors to improve customer satisfaction, but also individual staff at H Tomamu actors changed their own perspectives to contribute to the local community. Personal trust relationships with residents in public and private motivated the staff to change their ways to contribute to the locals.

H Tomamu actors had various relationships with residents: interaction for the purpose of making interests of exogenous company, interaction along with personal mind, daily interaction as an inhabitant, indirect interaction through the third persons. The company's approach toward the local community was changed by developing interpersonal trust relationships based on the various relationships. The relationships between employees and residents restrained the one-sided use of local resources and helped exogenous developer to develop a mutualistic relationship with local community.

Thus, this study suggested that a relationship between a company and a local community is changed by enriched relationships between employees and residents. The relationship building between the resort company and the local community was influenced by the local actors. Local people changed their perception of the resort as an isolated place, because of sweeping away their image of the resort as one-side development and realizing café and marché as a business advantageous to both resort and local community. Through an ordinary relationship building with an exogenous company, local community can easily collaborate with exogenous companies for local development.

It should be remarked that the author's actions might play a catalyst in this changing as a third party. That is, there is a possibility that the third action which is not related to tourism or agricultural income promoted H Tomamu actors' actions.

The activity has been limited to join for only some actors. In the near future, the activity would become sustainable regional vitalization based on having trust relationships and the empowerment of local producers. As suggested in this study, the local community should provide more face to face meetings for residents and employees of exogenous companies to lead the companies to the endogenous development of the local community.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to special thanks to Hoshino Resort Tomamu Co., Ltd., Shimukappu Village, and producers for their cooperation.

Notes

1. It includes natural scientific researches as a prediction of a sea of clouds and education programs for children in Simukappu village. In this cooperation, Hokkaido university did not receive any support from H Tomamu except accommodation.
2. This finding was based on customer satisfaction surveys of their guests by H Tomamu (conducted from July 13 to September 4, 2012).

Chapter 3

**Case study two: A Study on the transformation process
of community from migrant workers in the resort to
development actors in the rural area**

3.1 Introduction

Tourism has promoted the migration of urban residents to rural areas as well as the revitalization of rural economy contracted by a decline of local industries (Nagatomo, 2004). Previous studies, which focused on the relationship between tourism and migration, suggested a connection between tourism experiences and decision-making processes to the tourism destinations (Yamashita,1999; Gosnell et.al., 2012) and the increase of migration for jobs relevant to the development of tourism industry (Tanikawa, 2004; Yoshikawa, 2005). However, the previous studies have hardly attended to the process how migrant workers in the tourism industry from urban areas became long-termed residents in rural areas and local resource.

Our purpose of this research focused on migrants who worked at a resort enterprise was explained as follows. Under the Resort Law, resorts, which were developed in rural areas around Japan in the 1980s, had employed many workers moved from urban areas. Despite this situation, the previous studies have not paid attention to workers' capabilities and roles as residents in rural areas¹⁾. Compared to migrants who had jobs deeply related to their local community as farmers or Community-Reactivating Cooperator Squad, workers at the resorts have different roles and perspectives about the local community because of their motivations for migration. They migrated not for living in the local area but for working at resorts. Most of them transferred or changed their jobs within a few years (Sato, 1998), and local community did also not expect to interact with them (Sato, 1990).

Even if workers had various reasons for migration, getting new residents would be beneficial for local communities where extreme depopulation had been ongoing. If local communities could expect workers to participate in community development and to play roles in their communities, building relationships between workers and local communities would be meaningful for the community.

In fact, the involvement in the local community is relevant to the consciousness to local community such as love of local including natural environment and local lives (Hobo, 1996) and as confidence and pride in local place and community (Yoshimoto, 2008). Moreover, Sakamoto et al. (2009) pointed that positive awareness of societies and resources motivated people to show understandings about local resources and participate

in community activities. Interactions with residents could be a motivation for resort workers to become local human resources.

This study focused on how migrants who employed by the resort became local human resources engaging in their community development. The resort in Shimukappu Village, Hokkaido, was selected as our case study because people migrated from urban areas actively engaged in the community development. Chapter 2 examined an event which was organized by the resort's employees for using local resources and found the reasons for their behavior changes. The changes occurred during planning and implementation of the event and the resort employees showed care for local farmers through the event. During the examination, the author noticed that most of the people playing central roles in the village's community development were migrants who had been employed by the resort. Therefore, this study focused on the long-term process of their participations in the community development since they had worked at the resort. Also, this study focused on the relationships between migrants, other local residents, and local resources in the resort and the village, as it was found that there were enriched personal relationships between migrants and local residents. The relationships should be a main cause of behavioral changes and as was explained in the previous chapter. The purpose of this research was to explain the cause of their changes becoming local human resources, based on the growth of their understandings of the local community and resources and their relationships with others formed through the experiences.

Based on the interviews inquiring their consciousness and experience, this study analyzed how they were affected by circumstance in the community surrounding them and relationships with others. This study focused on the community which was formed through working collaboratively at the resort and engaging in the community development.

In this study, the terms are defined as follows: the community of former employees (CFE) is a community of six former employees (including five interviewees) who are currently engaging in the community development, and local society is social relationship with cooperativeness and uniformity between people who involved in their community such as local governors and residents living in a certain area of land²).

3.2 Study methods

In this study, former employees were interviewed to analyze their consciousness and attitudes behind their actions, which was using local resources since they worked at the resort, the changes of their relationships with local residents, and the process how they had gradually engaged in the community development. Five interviewees were migrants who worked at an endogenous resort, Alpha Resort Tomamu, a predecessor of Hoshino Resort Tomamu. Co., Ltd. (hereafter, “Resort”) in Shimukappu Village, Hokkaido, and engaged in community development of this village voluntarily after they had left Resort. Interviews were conducted in the village in November 2013 and January 2014. Data were collected by semi-structured interviews, and unstructured interviews were conducted to complement and to ensure the data.

To assess consciousness of villagers³⁾ toward community development by migrants and circumstances of the village, the following research was conducted: semi-structured interviews with members of the village council (five except interviewee D) in November 2013, participant observation and unstructured interviews with villagers in an event planned by migrants on March 21-22, 2014. Analysis of the official book of village history, public relations leaflets of the village and the village council, the documents relevant to migrants’ activities (meeting materials, participant lists, a date of income and expenses) also accompanied these interviews and observations.

3.3 Study area and interviewees

3.3.1 Study area

As already introduced in Chapter 1, this chapter also give a brief description of Shimukappu Village. Shimukappu Village, Hokkaido, is a mountain village surrounded by mountains on all of its sides, total area 571.31 km², and population 1,248 (at the end of January 2016). Mountains and forests cover 94% of the total area, and there are the headwaters of the Mukawa River that is one of the rivers with good water quality in Japan⁴⁾. The weather is severe in winter, and -35.8 degrees Celsius, the lowest temperature ever observed in Japan in the 21st century, was recorded in 2001. The snow accumulation is heavy, usually around 100 cm. There are six districts in the village.

Tomamu district, where Resort was located, is about 25 km away from Chuou district, where the largest population and a village office is located.

Since the settlement in 1902, the village has been developed based on agriculture and forestry as key industries. After the 1960's, the population decreased because of the forestry decline. Despite the promotion of local industries such as agriculture and the processing of wild vegetables, depopulation in the village further progressed. The village started resort development to local revitalization since the 1980s. The resort started as "Alpha Resort Tomamu" in 1983 and was applied the Resort Law in 1988. The population of this village temporarily increased with moving of resort developers and workers from outside the village. However, in 1998, the resort filed for voluntary bankruptcy resulted from financial embarrassment due to the burst of the bubble economy in Japan, and the numbers of workers decreased from about 500 people at its peak around 1992 to about 200-250. Most of the job losers left this village, and the population of this village decreased again. The village bought the facility of the resort and entrusted the management to "Kamori Kanko" that managed "Rusutsu Resort" etc. in Hokkaido. After 2005, Hoshino Resort Co., Ltd. took over the management of Resort.

In the working population of Shimukappu Village (as of 2010) is primary industry (9%), secondary industry (28%), and tertiary industry (63%). The number of farm household decreased from 46 (in 2008) to 18 (in April 2013) because of aging and a lack of successors. Restaurants and accommodations account for about 40% of the tertiary industry owing to many people related to the resort and the five small-scale accommodations such as pensions in Tomamu district. The village positions tourism as well as agriculture as key industries and carries out tourism promotion in which rich natural resources such as a river, forests, animals, and plans are utilized.

3.3.2 Interviewees

As noted above, most of the villagers taking the leadership in their activities for the community development were the former employees who migrated from urban areas. Before the former employees started their activities, only a few villagers voluntarily engaged in the activities of the community development⁵⁾. Therefore, the author

Table.1 Overview of interviewees

Inter- viewees	Age	Place of residence before migration	Place of residence after migration	Working period Occupation	Behavior after leaving the resort
D	40's	Habikino City, Osaka Prefecture	The corporate dormitory (lived for five years) →Out of the corporate dormitory in the village	1989-2001 Planning events and public relations	D is leader of their activities. He opened a design studio in the village after leaving the resort in 2001. Since 2006, he has started activities of community development with members of CFE. He chaired a social education committee of the village from 2006 to 2011 and has engaged in the village government as the village council since 2011.
E	50's	Funabashi City, Chiba Prefecture →Asahikawa City, Hokkaido →Furano City, Hokkaido	Minamifurano City	1989-2008 Planning and conducting outdoor activities	Since 2009, living in near the village and working at on a company outside of the village as planning and guiding outdoor activities, E engaged in activities of community development in the village. Since 2011, he has taken a charge of a decrepit spa facility in the village as a designated manager and worked on its rebuilding and management. In 2015, he opened a restaurant in the village and migrated in the village.
F	60's	Sapporo City, Hokkaido	Out of the corporate dormitory in the village	1983-2010 Operation and maintenance of cableway equipment	F worked on hunting and processing of meets of Yezo-sika deer in the village while he worked in the resort. He opened a company engaged in Yezo- sika deer meat processing and selling in the village after leaving the resort in 2010.
G	40's	Kasukabe City, Saitama Prefecture	The corporate dormitory (lived for four years) → Out of the corporate dormitory in the village	1995-2008 Reservation management→ Planning and conducting outdoor activities	Since 2008, G worked at a tourism association of the village and produced attractions of the village in a roadside station and events. She works in a workshop of crafting wood works in the village now.
H	50's	Toyonaka City, Osaka Prefecture	General housing area in the village	1995-2007 Reservation management→ manpower management	Since 2007, H worked in post office and the village office. In 2014, she planned and conducted an event for mothers in a community café of the village.

considered that the migrants could become one of the important local human resources for this village.

Six former employees, who engaged in the activities for the community development, belonged to a community. Five of them cooperated with this research for taking interviews; three were taking leaderships and two were helping them. All of them were visitors in the past. Some of them migrated from urban areas, and the others commuted from outside this village to work at the resort. All of them were already left the jobs at the resort; however, they are still actively involved in the community development of the village at present. Overview of interviewees is shown in Table 1.

3.4 Findings

3.4.1 Consciousness and behaviors to the village and surrounding area while working in the resort

3.4.1.1 Daily life

Interviewee D migrated from Osaka Prefecture to this village for work at the resort. He lived in a corporate dormitory for five years, during which he had no relationship with villagers⁶⁾ and no participation in the community activities in daily life. Initially, he felt that the village was a lack of cultural impacts. After he moved out of the corporate dormitory in the village, he was often invited for activities of residents' association and gatherings by neighbors. He participated in the meetings whenever his work condition allowed because he realized how important having communication was with his neighbors. Through the communication with neighbors, he was getting interested in self-government of the village. In 1998, he participated in an activity of self-government of the village for the first time and enjoyed it. After the experiences, he positively started to engage in the activities of self-government and grew a sense of commitment as a member of the village.

Interviewee E, who was originally from Hokkaido, had lived and worked at a company in Chiba prefecture for a while. After being hired by Resort, he started living in Minamifurano town nearby the village. Besides commuting to the resort, there were not many opportunities to visit at the village. He did not actively interacted with villagers and participate in any community activities. However, he had a good impression of natural environment and landscape of the village and an interest in the village, when he visited the village several times to meet his acquaintance before starting his work at the resort.

Interviewee F migrated to the village from Sapporo city, Hokkaido, because of a job opportunity at the resort which newly opened, his dream of living in countryside and relatives by his marriage in the village. He did not live in the corporate dormitory in the village from the beginning of migration. His living environment helped him to get closer to his neighbors, such as daily interaction and acquaintanceships through hobbies. After participation in the parent-teacher association, he became actively engaged in activities of residents' association. He had the following favorable view to the village

through these relationships with villagers: *“I feel residents are good-natured. This village is not convenient to live such as urban areas, but there are warm-hearted people in this village.”*

Interviewee G had visited Hokkaido for a trip for several times before working at the resort and become hoped to live in Hokkaido. After getting a job in the resort, she migrated from Saitama prefecture to this village. She lived in the corporate dormitory for four years after migration, during which she had no relationship with villagers in daily life. Even though after she moved out of the dormitory, her relationships with neighbors were limited to exchanging greetings and rarely participating in community activities. At that time, she described her situation as follows: *“I was so busy with work that I hardly spent my time in the village and seen acquaintances in the village.”*

Interviewee H had worked at the business office of the resort in Osaka and transferred to the resort and migrated to this village after married with a colleague who had migrated to the village before. She did not live in the corporate dormitory in the village from the beginning of migration, and the numbers of acquaintances gradually increased with daily interactions with neighbors and participations in activities of residents' association. The activities of residents' association also gave her opportunities to know about food culture of this village and local resources. She spoke about her village life as follows: *“At a flower-viewing party and seasonal parties which were hosted by the residents' association, I ate Mutton-Barbecue and edible wild plants grown in this village for the first time. Through my life in the village, I gradually became felt that time flows comfortably in this village.”*

3.4.1.2 Resort work

Their relationships between the village and the interviewees were different in different occupations. Interviewee D, who works for planning events and public relations of the resort at that time, had more connections to the village compared to the rest of four interviewees because the resort cooperated with an administration of the village on resort development and tourism promotion in the early period of the resort. Later, he did not have many opportunities for working with villagers due to the expansion of the resort. At that time, interviewee D thought about the village as follows: *“I regarded the resort as*

more valuable than this village.” On the other hand, the four other interviewees, who worked in different occupations as shown in Table.1, had few contacts with villagers in their works. In addition, villagers working in the resort and migrant employees living out of the resort rarely talked about the village during daily operation at work.

It was a turning point of changing the interviewees’ awareness and behaviors to the village and surrounding areas that they had started to use local resource in activities to issue publicity papers (hereafter, AIPP), for visitors at Resort since 1994. Four interviewees except F participated in AIPP.

Participants in AIPP⁷⁾ mainly introduced the way to enjoy the local resources on the publicity papers. At that time, the participants in AIPP were not interested in collaborating with the local community and contributing their efforts to the revitalization of the village. Based on their interests, they introduced mainly the local resources relevant to the local natural environment, local foods, and local history. In order to provide high-quality contents of the paper, they searched the local resources and moreover learned the knowledge and techniques which are necessary for using the local resources from locals. Though the participants had few opportunities for learning directly from the residents, they learned the skills to make and repair things, which are necessary for living. Also, they learned knowledge and technique to use natural resources based on experiences and folklore, such as the ways to saw wood and to pick edible wild plants. Through the experiences, the participants felt the residents’ energy of surviving in rural areas, impressed, and respected the residents. After joining the plan to pick edible wild plants, four interviewees participated in AIPP also held gatherings to pick and eat edible wild plants in the village every year. Their awareness and behaviors to local resources and residents changed through AIPP as Table.2.

Moreover, Community of Former Employees (hereafter, CFE) was formed through AIPP. The interviewees mentioned their relationships between CFE and their motivation to participate in the activities of community development and to live in the village: “*CFE members were connected each other (Interviewee D)*”, “*The major reason (why I engage in the community development of this village) is having comrades in this village (Interviewee E)*”, “*I was blessed with good people (so I settled in this village) (Interviewee G)*”. The employees other than interviewees also participated in and

Table.2 Change in their awareness and behavior to local resources and residents through AIPP

Items	Contents
Develop interest and concern with local resources	AIPP was an opportunity for me to be interested in local resources, and I started to search of them (Interviewee G). I found how beautiful nature around living area was. I came to often find familiar plants such as flowers (Interviewee H).
Respects and sympathy for locals	I learned the energy to live through residents in countryside. They were able to do anything, so I felt that they were amazing (Interviewee D). I was motivated to learn more from residents and found similarity in their nature (Interviewee E).
Attachment to the natural environment and culture of local area	Through learning about local natural environment and foods, I realized how nice the village was in the process of learning about local environment and food (Interviewee D). I began to feel matters and things that must be preserved and be restored in this village. I want to keep the environment of this village where fireflies inhabit (Interviewee E).
Awareness of the value of local resources	I came to think that local history and culture were more valuable than those in the resort (Interviewee D). I was attracted by local history and natural resource after knowing them in-depth (Interviewee G).
Awareness of the value of a lifestyle using local resources	I felt that producing many things, such as cultivating, harvesting, and eating buckwheat, was exciting at first. These were opportunities to reconsider about foods, and I was willing to use local foods (Interviewee G).

cooperated with AIPP. Interviewee D explained the relationships with their consciousness of community formation as follows: *“I built the relationship with various employees beyond the sectors of the resort in AIPP. I cultivated the current sense to form a community through those experiences”*. Interviewees also spoke that a point of view of their activities of community development and contents of the activities based on experiences and consciousness to local resources participating in AIPP: *“AIPP was the origin of all (Interviewee D)”*, *“AIPP was the small bud (of current activities) (Interviewee G)”*.

3.4.2 Relationship with people in the village after leaving the resort

Interviewees D, F, and H, who built rapport with villagers since working at the resort, expanded their human network of personal relationships in the village based on existing relationship after leaving the resort. They changed their consciousness to the village associated with expanding their network. For example, interviewee H was introduced a

job for after leaving the resort by a neighbor whom she had got to know through daily life. She realized to be a part of the society through working in the village: *“After I begun to work in this village, I had more opportunities to interact and relate deeply with villagers than before”*. Interviewee D, who was elected to the chairman of the social education committee of the village in 2006 with the recommendation by village office workers, after he built a trust relationship with him through activities of self-government. Interviewee D mentioned about his change after becoming a chairman as follows: *“I had a good relationship with various people, and my words became effective to the villagers, so my remarks had been improved”*. He started the activities of community development with CFE in 2006 triggered by finding a kindred spirit in a villager, whom he got to know through activities of self-government, to hold an event using local resources.

On the other hand, Interviewee E and G, who had few relationships with villagers during working in the resort, changed the relationships with villagers after engaging in the above activities of community development started in 2006. The activities made them feel being a part of the local community: *“I learned how important the personal relationships were in this village after I had related with villagers deeply through the activities (Interviewee E)”*, *“The activity became the first opportunity for me to involve in this village, and a villager, whom I have know throug the activitiy, gave me a job after leaving the resort (Interviewee G)”*. Their voluntary actions were promoted in the village after the relationship with villagers was extended. For example, interviewee E mentioned as follows: *“Partly because of requests from some villagers and workers of the village office, I decided to become a designated manager of a spa facility of this village and to start my business in this village”*. Furthermore, they had more opportunities cooperate with CFE members after interviewee D and E left the resort. The relationships with CFE members also promoted their motivation to live in the village.

Interviewee F, who had not participated in AIPP, joined in CFE. He was motivated to participate in CFE by the relationships with interviewee D through a local resource in 2001. They made their friendships after interviewee D sympathized with interviewee F in his action and mind of using Yezo-sika deer as a local resource, even though they had never worked together. Furthermore, interviewee F often consulted interviewee D and E about starting his own business after leaving the resort (around 2010). He explained

the reason as follows: “D and E also worked in the resort and were not original from the village, so I felt things in common in our background.”. After the encounters above, interviewee D, E, and F came to often cooperated with each other in their workplaces and the activities of community development by CFE

3.4.3 Forming and transforming process of resort employees’ community

Our interview revealed that AIPP affected the former employees not only to become local human resources but also to form CFE. Their changes were divided in four steps by focusing on CFE (Figure 2) as follows.




Figure 2. Forming and Transforming Process of Resort Employees’ Community

Note: the blue human forms show interviewees (including upper side in step 3), other resort employees (upper side excluding step 3) and residents (lower side)

3.4.3.1 Utilization of local resources as resort work: AIPP

The publicity paper provided the information known or collected by workers in the resort as an information tool for guests (since 1994). Interviewee D, who was in charge of planning events and public relations at that time, played in a central role until he left the resort. In the beginning, young workers mainly in their twenties joined from each sector of the resort issuing the publicity paper. With increasing the number of issues, AIPP became voluntary work and, more people joined in AIPP. Four interviewees except F participated in AIPP.

AIPP members worked on the plans that they actually used local resources themselves (hereafter, “practice”) as well as provided the information of them. In “practice,” they explored, picked, processed, and cooked local resources in the resort and surrounding

areas⁸⁾. They also applied some “practices” to experience activities in the resort since 1995.

3.4.3.2 Forming CFE in the resort

Development of the consciousness of local community

At the beginning of AIPP, a members used the local resource only for introducing to the public. Then, their interests in local resource became deeper and started to use them positively for their activities. Their motivation to explore local resources was increased not only by recognizing various local resources such as animals, plants, minerals, and fossils but also by obtaining new perspective and discovery, such as the relationships between natural resources and local history. Furthermore, as described the above, learning the knowledge and technique to use the local resources from the residents led them to respect and feel empathy for the residents.

The peculiarity of the local areas became clear for them to understand, through their exploring local resources, learning the knowledge and technique from the residents, and using local resources. Some of them also felt the following differences between the local areas and the resort as follows: in the residents used local resources proficiently for revitalization in the community and corporate each other, whereas the resort focused on efficiency and profit on their own, did not use local resources, and operated their business with top-down decision-making. These findings affected their minds to increase their loyalty to the local community: “*I felt the specialty and uniqueness of this community, and those were not seen in other places (Interviewee G)*”, “*I want to keep the nature and culture remaining in this village (Interviewee E)*”.

Relationship with other employees and forming CFE

At the beginning of AIPP, visitors gave positive responses to AIPP⁹⁾, which encouraged the members. On the other hand, some Resort employees who did not participate in AIPP criticized their activities¹⁰⁾. They thought that AIPP would be gradually accepted by people who have critical opinions and followed the plans which were interesting and important for them. In fact, the number of employees who agreed and cooperated with AIPP was increased with the progress of AIPP. The employees who joined AIPP

provided the information on local resources and participated in planning meetings and writing articles. Interviewee E also mentioned as follows: “*We sometimes involved and took critical people into AIPP.*” The broaden relationships between the employees beyond the sectors of the resort supported them to feel confidence, motivation, and pleasure in AIPP as well as to create the various topics of AIPP.

In the meeting of AIPP, participants often shared their consciousness to the local community as mentioned above. In addition, they also got confidence in AIPP through the growth of their relationship with employees and good responses by guests. Through repeating these experiences, they shared a positive consciousness to local community shown in Table.2. Based on their shared consciousness to the local community, they built a self-motivation and deepened relationships¹¹⁾ beyond the sectors of the resort. Therefore, they built up a sense of fellowship after their perception of the local community was changed from consuming in the resort to sharing with companions. After that, they collaborated to make CFE with their companions who have a strong bond with each other.

3.4.3.3 CFE moving to the village

CFE gradually shifted a field of their activities from the resort to the village since 1998. The events that promoted the process were as follows.

(i) Interviewee D had developed a sense of responsibility and commitment to be a member of the village after working for public services in the village since 1998. Through activities of self-government, he built a trust relationship with villagers and knew mutual assistance for their activities of villagers. The interaction with villagers promoted his motivation for activities of local community development: “*I felt not only interests in voluntary activities of self-government but also villagers’ support for my activities*”.

In 2006, CFE started an act of community development in collaboration with volunteers in the village. The beginning of the involvement in the activity was that interviewee D found a congenial spirit in a villager who had a strong motivation to local community development. CFE members were able to plan and practice easily their activity with their interests because of their experiences in planning and practicing of

AIPP and understandings each other. The activity created an opportunity to reconfirm the relationship of CFE. The members who worked in the resort at that time also realized the importance of participation in local society through the activity.

(ii) Since 2001, members of CFE left the resort, and they worked or started their businesses in the village. Working in the village contributed to their participation in local society. Furthermore, many members engaged in the work using local resources. It was accessible for them to consult with other CFE members about their work and request for the corporation of their work because many members had successful experiences using local resources in AIPP. The relationship with other members was one of the factors to increase their motivation of them to live in the village.

(iii) Interviewee D built a relationship with interviewee F sharing his concern for the local resources of the village (around 2001). Based on their similarities as both the resort employees and their empathy for the awareness of local resources, they formed the fellowship. This expansion of CFE in the village promoted their motivation to live in the village.

(iv) Since CFE members worked on picking edible wild plants in AIPP, they held a party to pick and eat edible wild plants in the village every year. After Interviewee D left the resort and started his business in the village, he had fewer opportunities to meet other members of CFE than when he worked in the resort. The members felt united through picking and eating edible wild plants and realize the amenity of the village. At that same time, all members shared awareness of local community each other.

3.4.3.4 Activities of community development by CFE

They have started the activities of local community development with using local resources, such as events, workshops, and tours since 2006. The purpose of their activities was forming a community with people who were interested in the village and their activities. They believed that the people in above community would cooperate with their activities and interact with the villagers, resulting in the village's development. The subjects of their activities are such as natural environment, local food, food culture, history, and local life. In their activities, they taught participants about the importance of ways of living with saving local nature and using local resources. When they

searched local resources, they always learned how the resources were used in village life from villagers. They also invited the villagers to join in their search and activities of local community development. Those experiences lead to the expansion of their network with villagers.

They allowed anyone to participate in their activities to build a community with various people. They invited not only villagers but also the present resort employees who had a connection with them to their activities by emails. Their activities were also opportunities for the present resort employees to have a relationship with villagers. They worked as volunteers, and the profits from their activities were used appropriately to further activities by them.

They made use of their experiences of AIPP for stances and practices in their activities of community development. For example, they searched local resources of their village based on in their interests and concerns, found a value of the resources with their point of view, and presented the value to the participants in their activities. Their motivation to their activities was increased by exploring new perspectives and discoveries while connecting with various people in the activities. They respected the autonomy of CFE members. They also valued on cooperation each other and continuing their activities without overdoing as important.

However, they could not make the villagers be fully interested in their activities¹²⁾. Some villagers had negative opinions that their activities would not lead to solving the problems of this village and that their activities were more likely their hobbies¹³⁾. They recognized those opinions and tried to gain empathy from more villagers thought their activities. Based on these similar experiences in AIPP, they considered that diversity was important for their community. They also had the experience that the number of participants and supporters of AIPP was increased with continuing AIPP. In fact, the number of the villagers who participated in their activities was gradually increased with each time. Many people who came from out of the village also participated in their activities. The villagers learned a new value on the village and rediscovered local resources through communicating with participants who came from outside of the village¹⁴⁾.

3.5 Discussion

The process that migrants, who employed by the resort became local human resources, was organized by forming the process of building and transforming their community as fig.1. We discussed the causes of promoting this process as follows: the causes of the forming of CFE and their common understanding to the local community as 2 of fig.2, the relevance between CFE and CFE members' motivation to live in the village, the causes of promoting their voluntary activities of the community development.

3.5.1 The causes of the forming of their common understanding to the local community

Interviewees' community had common understanding about the value and conservation of local resources. Despite they were migrants, it was easy for them to obtain the cooperation of local residents for their activities of community development because of their attitudes which they learned and utilized local culture for the local development (Sakamoto et al., 2009). The reason why interviewees owned the perspective on the local community through resort work are as follows.

First, they were able to create various plans of AIPP since they had understood the character of local culture. When they used local resources for their plans, they also presented the relationship with local industries and the way of using locals. This additional information enhanced the value of AIPP to visitors. They continued to make efforts to understand local culture because that leads to the profit of the resort. In addition, they had a charge to issue publicities monthly as their duty. This continuous duty made them build responsibilities of their tasks, understand the local culture deeply, and keep commitments to AIPP.

Second, the existence of rural residents affects young people moving to rural areas, especially because their skills and productivities in their ordinary lives are admirable and respectable for young population even before migration (Odagiri, 2014). In this research, the interviewees felt admiration and respect to the locals and started to learn the usage of the local resources. Interviewees got necessary knowledge and techniques from locals such as acquaintances of them, people who met them through "practice" in local area, other employees, and families or acquaintances of other employees. They had respect for locals and awareness of conservation of local resources through the

relationship with such locals; “Locals were able to handle local resources proficiency. I genuinely felt that they were amazing (Interviewee D)”, “I respected them with the way to use local resources of residents. I realized the value of conserving the local resources (Interviewee E)”. They understood the consciousness and attitude of the local resource of local residents because it was easy for them to build relationships with locals who had knowledge and technique of the way to use local resource use.

Third, their work environment promoted forming their positive consciousness regarding local community. They were in an environment in which they always worked on AIPP together and easily obtained evaluations of their awareness of local resources from guests and the employees who did not participate in AIPP¹⁵⁾. According to Tanaka (1997), in the changing process from each member’s image of local community to the common identity of local community¹⁶⁾, the affirmative evaluation by the outside world as well as the interaction with residents in local community formed a dominant image of local community. Subsequently, the image changed an identity of the local community in self-organizing manner. Interviewees often shared their perspectives of the local community with others in AIPP. As responses from the outside of AIPP, they also got positive reactions to their plans of the publicity paper from guest and employees who did not participate in AIPP. Through repeating this internal interactions and external responses, the positive understanding to local community accepted by other people was changed into the common understanding among interviewees.

This situation was a unique to resort employees engaging in local resource use and did not apply to all employees. However, this research results indicated that people who engaged in the jobs related to local resources usage had more potential to become human resources.

3.5.2 Promotion of CFE members’ settlement in the village by CFE

Due to forming CFE in the resort, the process of the resort employees’ settlement in the village is different from the process of migrants who had a desire to country life and new farmers.

After their migration, the migrants’ settlement in the community was promoted by people who supported them to adopt new work environments and the local community

(Hara, 2002) and the relationship with villagers in daily life (Kuwabara et al., 2014). In this study, CFE helped interviewees to work in the village, participate in the local society, and deepen their friendship each other in the village.

For example, Interviewee D, who was the first person in CFE to leave from the resort, gave other members his advice about their work in the village after leaving the resort and opportunities to participate in community development in the village.

Moreover, due to the increase of CFE members settled in the area, personal experiences and relationships became magnificent support for the members living in the village, and CFE became helpful to their settlement. In fact, increased opportunities to consult and work together with members encouraged them to remain in the village after leaving from the resort; *“There were people who rely on me in this village (Interviewee E)”*, *“I appreciated that people were very nice (Interviewee G)”*. Interviewee F, who did not participate in AIPP, also was able to reduce his anxiety about leaving the resort and beginning his business in the village due to advice from CFE members.

The shift of a place for CFE’ activities to the village was promoted by the relationships between members and locals as well as among members. The community having a strong bond between migrants who did not need with residents’ commitment and interfered migrants’ integration into local society (Janta, H et al., 2011). In this study, building relationships with locals and the practice of community development in the village also strengthened the relationships between interviewees. This is because their fellowship was created by sharing their awareness of local community such as understanding the peculiarity of local community and sympathizing with locals through AIPP. These findings suggest that CFE made members settled in the village through sharing positive consciousness to local community and accumulating of experiences of some members in local community.

3.5.3 Promotion to act community development on their own initiative

Interviewees, who were influenced by the characteristics of CFE, voluntarily participated in activities of community development.

In a voluntary nonprofit organization, each members’ participation was motivated by desire for realization of their personal ideal, and the issue of the organization was

harmonization of members' personal ideals and the purpose of organization (Hukuda et al., 2007). In CFE, members' personal ideals were easy to harmonize with the purpose of CFE, because their common image of "ideal local community", which they aimed to build through community development, was based on their common perspective on the local community formed through AIPP.

They also welcomed anyone to participate in their activities of community development from planning to practicing and took an initiative to involve more people who had knowledge and techniques relating to their plans in their actions. According to interviewee D, diversity of participants is important for them to build their ideal community; *"I think that my ideal community is that people having with various opinions and backgrounds come together"* They were valued on the openness of CFE based on their experiences of being able to practice a wide range of activities as AIPP members and building various relationships with residents.

Moreover, their initiative on their actions of community development was supported by members who had had multiple relationships with local residents before they had begun their activities. When migrants took an initiative in community development, they often faced disagreements from elderly residents (Shibata, 2006). Shibata (2006) pointed out that the keys for migrants to proceed community development smoothly were daily interactions with residents and supports of influential persons in the local community. Interviewees took an initiative in their activity of community development from the beginning, because based on the interactions with residents and cooperative relationships with people who were public employees in the village. They also felt themselves being trusted and accepted by local residents as members of local community through the interactions with local residents in daily life and community activities; *"Villagers did not exclude people who came from outside of the village (intervieweeD)"*, *"An energy of being united filled in the village and cooperative attitude in this village (interviewee F)"*, *"Neighbors were helping each other in this village (interviewee H)"*. These feeling led the ease of starting their activities and requesting villagers to cooperate with their actions

These findings suggested that even if they worked in exogenous resorts, each employee's consciousness of local community and personal connections with residents

helped CFE to develop the community on their initiative. Moreover, the approach from residents will also promote the former employees to become local human resources. Residents should accept resort employees as members of local community and cooperate with them in daily life and local community activities.

3.6 Conclusion

This study has disclosed the process of changes from Resort migrant workers to be a part of local human resources. The community formed by migrants was identified as the contributor to settle the migrants in the local community and to change them into local human resources.

The changes of former employees to become local human resources were relevant to the psychological aspects of CFE that they understood cultural context and the value of local resources and had sympathy and respect to local residents. Even if they engage in the business for making profit in resort companies, the employees would have positive consciousness of local community by learning the knowledge and techniques of using local resources from locals and understanding the relationship between local resources and community. Moreover, the employees' sympathy and respect to locals, change the way of using local resources. If local community, in which many migrants working in tourism companies live, inherits the knowledge and technique to use local resources from senior residents and build the setup to support for establishing relationships between the migrants and residents, the community could get human resources.

This study also found that the commercial use of local resources by resort companies gave the local communities the opportunity to get human resources, contrary to the general knowledge that resort companies were exploiters of local resources. Some studies had pointed out that the migrants working in tourism companies tended to form a community with their colleagues (Tanikawa, 2004; Janta et al., 2011). This study suggested that such migrants also have a potential for becoming local human resources.

However, as this study was focused on the employees who worked for using the local resource at the resort company, the findings were inadaptable to the employees who had not worked in a resort industry. Further studies are needed to clarify the relationship between working experiences of resort employees and their settlement in local community.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their special thanks to residents in Shimukappu Village, and staffs of Hoshino Resort Tomamu Co., Ltd. for their cooperation.

Notes

1. In previous studies on resort developments in 1980s, resorts were often considered as encumbrances to local areas due to destructions of local environment by the development (Ootsuka, 1992) and the economic loss of local community by their business failure (Sakurai, 1994).
2. Yoshida (2006) presented required conditions for local society as follows: a definite geographic space, people living in the area, formation of social relationships between residents, generation of common sensitivity about their community. According to Koyama (2006), a concept of local society includes “a space with a specific function or a character” and “a space with cooperation and uniformity in social life”.
3. In this study, the term “villager” is defined as a person who was born and raised in the village or who migrated for any reasons other than working in resorts and lived out of the corporate dormitory in the village.
4. In 2011, a survey conducted by Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transport, the water quality of the river was the highest in Japan.
5. This finding was based on interviews with members of the village council.
6. According to interviewees D and G, the corporate dormitory was far from the living areas of villagers. Therefore, the resort workers had not much interaction with villagers.
7. In this study, the term “Participants in AIPP” indicates resort workers who engaged in planning and editing of the publicity papers includes four interviewees except F. The number of participants was about 5 to 15 depending on contents of the publications.
8. They conducted various activities using nature, foods, and history of local community such as nature observation in Resort, mushroom gathering around Resort, searching for fossil ammonite in the village, searching for ancient local passes on the mountain and making soba by using local products.

9. Those are included feedback postcards from guests to the editorial department of publicity paper and reactions of guests who participated in the experience activities.
10. Interviewee D and E mentioned these critical opinions as follows: “*At the beginning, other employees blamed us, ‘what are you doing?’, ‘Did you get a permission for that?’, and ‘you enjoy yourself at AIPP’. I thought that these were critique of new actions conducted by young workers (Interviewee D)”, “I felt that people might feel jealous (Interviewee E)”*”.
11. Interviewee D spoke about AIPP as follows: “*Employees of various sections participated in AIPP. They usually took a day off to participate in AIPP or joined us after their work. So I was free from the organization of Resort.*” Interviewee E and G mentioned why they voluntarily participated in AIPP: “*In AIPP, we could put our ideas into practice (Interviewee E)”, “I enjoyed AIPP without any stress. I also enjoyed relationships with members of the other sections through AIPP (Interviewee G)”*”.
12. According to Interviewee D and G, only a few villagers cooperated with their activities, and there were sometimes more cooperators from outside the village than villagers in the activities.
13. This finding was based on interviews with members of the village council.
14. A villager (70’s) began a voluntary action for the community after he participated in interviewees’ activities of community development. He voluntarily repaired an old shrine where participants visited for the activities. The shrine was in the district where he had lived in the past and where is uninhabited at present. Before interviewees visited at the shrine for their activities, no one maintained it and it almost collapsed ready to fall. The presence of visitors from out of the village promoted him to take the action.
15. Participants of AIPP were easily obtained the evaluation from guests because they could receive feedbacks on the articles from guest and know their reaction of guests directly in experience activities. They also seemed to be easy to obtain the evaluation from the employees who did not participate in AIPP because of publication of their activities.

16. Tanaka (1997) defined “an identity of local community” as follows; the image that was crystallized through the interaction between the internal image, the own image of local community, and the external image, the image held by people living in outside of local community. The identity was also shared as a common perspective among local people to distinguish inside and outside community.

Chapter 4 General conclusion

This dissertation focused on the relationships between resort employees and locals over the past decades after the resort development and described how the relationships built from short-termed (Chapter 2) and long-termed (Chapter 3) perspective.

Chapter 2 analyzed the factors for the employees to build a cooperative relationship with producers, focusing on the resort event using local agricultural products. In the initial stage, the event was, in a sense, conventional “one-way planning by resort employees,” but after consultations with local producers, the employees changed their mindsets proactively, such as exhibiting from the producers’ messages to consumers in the event. The producers also improved their impressions of the resort and their willingness to work with the resort. Previous resort studies have only perceived the resorts as exogenous development, but with focusing on such events in which employees cooperated with locals, the resorts could be regarded as a contributor to local endogenous development. In particular, it was found that their daily interactions and their trust with residents motivated employees to contribute to the local community, resulting in strengthening the cooperative relationships in the event.

After my report in Chapter 2, the resort’s general manager was replaced, and the resort was less engaged with the village. It must be noted that the stance of the resort on the local community will also change.

Chapter 3 clarified how the former employees, migrants from urban areas, settled down in the local community area and became local human resources. Through their previous jobs introducing information about local resources to visitors at the resort, the former employees formed an employee community nurturing and sharing their awareness of the area with other members. The previous researches had focused on mainly young employees who migrated in the development period, but this study focused on former employees who were no longer young, decades after their migration, and showed that they became leaders of local revitalization. In addition, while researchers have treated resort companies as isolated from the local communities, this study clarified a positive impact of resort companies on the local communities through the processes in which a “human resource group leaking from a resort” became a local human resource.

Although not explicitly pointed out in Chapter 3, if resorts actively create the employees’ forum for discussing the use of local resources, from a long-term perspective,

this will lead to a better relationship between companies and the community, through the development of human resources interesting in the community.

As another perspective discussed in Chapter 3, this study clarified the route for migrants to become local human resources, which is different from previous studies focused on migrants individually joining in the local communities. Noteworthy findings are the community in the resort that promoted employees to become local human resources as well as the route above. Through the route, the key person who paid attention to utilizing local resources left the resort first and participated in the local community, and then other employees gradually settled in the local community. The employees' community promoted migrant employees to settle in the local community area through supporting their major life events: retirement from the resort and getting a new job in the local community. This study also clarified that the employees' community itself has gradually changed from a group of employees to a player of local revitalization.

It should remind the readers that the resort's stance on its employees and the community has changed significantly from the time of the former employees focused on in Chapter 3 to the event investigated Chapter 2. When the former employees were working there, the resort was indifferent to local resources and the way employees worked, whereas the resort after the regenerated, when the event investigated Chapter 2, took active interest in local resources and promoted its employees to be creative.

An important knowledge presented by this dissertation is that resort employees, who had been simply seen as the resort labor force of resort companies, play a role in formation of local communities. In the past, the resorts have been negatively perceived from an economic and environmental impacts of development. This dissertation would not deny the image. This dissertation proposes that, as a result of collaborative action research and long-term interviews with employees and former employees, each employee can have a positive impact on the community. It can also change the image the community has of the resort. Now after 30 years of resort development, while the negative effects of resort development decreased, the positive impact on employees was evident. In other words, this study suggests that a longer-term perspective is also essential when discussing resort development.

Chapters 2 and 3 emphasized the positive aspects found in this dissertation on the cooperation between employees and producers and the changes of former employees to become local human resources. However, the factors of these working well need to be discussed in the future.

The circumstances of some interviewees have changed after the publishing of surveys and discussions described in Chapters 2 and 3. Some of the employees focused in Chapter 2 left the village for personal reasons after my report in Chapter 2 although they had an attachment to the village. In addition, after my report in Chapter 2, it had been popular in the tourism industry that the resort displayed local produced ingredients as a visualization of the value, but the resort stopped displaying the producing area due to disguising the area of ingredients used in hotels and restaurants as a social issue around 2013. As a result, the employees have lost the incentive to actively use local products. Although individual events come and go depending on the resignations of employee and the circumstances of the times. However, the emphasis in Chapter 2 is on the overall perspective of "the relationship between employees and local producers connects the resort to the community". The key person, Interviewee D, introduced in Chapter 3, sadly passed away in the fall of 2019. While the other members of the community spotlighted in Chapter 3 expressed their intentions to continue contributing to their local community (November 9, 2019), it is necessary to pay attention to what the future holds for this community.

As seen above, in focusing on the individuals, it is undeniable that each event and activity are dependent on the circumstances surrounding them. However, what will happen to the employees' community described in Chapter 3 will determine whether the findings of this Chapter are dependent on individuals and temporary, or organized and continuous. The author worked as a liaison for changing the relationship between employees and producers, as mentioned in Chapter 2. It is necessary to have further discussions on how she influenced the relationship.

The largest weakness of this dissertation is that it is a case study focusing on the relationship between the resort in Shimukappu Village and residents. It is necessary to consider and discuss whether there are similar cases in other rural communities. As introduced in Chapter 3, the case that a migrant eventually became local human resource

after belonging to relatively isolated organizations is also found in another region. For example, a migrant from an actor's training school where many migrants live together began the local parenting support after her marriage and childbirth and became a town councilor. What we have found in this study can be developed through finding and discussing some cases like above.

Acknowledgments

First of all, I would like to express my sincere thanks to Shimukappu Village and Hoshino Resorts Tomamu Co., Ltd., for their cooperation. The village residents and the resort employees have always told me their stories friendly even my visits were frequent during their work hours. The village officials and the resort employees also kindly provided me some requested materials and spent time for consultations for my research.

In particular, Mr. Yamamoto, who was also one of the interviewees, not only help my survey by introducing many people and giving me advice but he also friendly communicates with me other than the survey. He had talked a lot about nature, people, and communities, and his rich insight and his perspective on life had influenced on me with a lot of learning. I would like to sincerely thank him.

The resort allowed me to roam around the resort, to live in the employees' dormitories, and to see all the facilities of the resort including those not in use. It has helped me to understand deeply about the resort and resort employees that the resort gave me full support for the research. I would like to thank Mr. Sato, the general manager at the time, for warmly watching over my research, and Mr. Tanaka, the employee, for his willingness to provide consultations and support.

In Chapter 2, I also interviewed producers outside the village. They always warmly welcomed me and sometimes gave me their harvests. I would like to take this opportunity to thank them for their kindness.

The research was very pleasant, but the results of the research were difficult to summarize, and I took maternity and childcare leave, so it took me nine years to complete the doctoral dissertation. I truly cannot stop thanking Professor Yasuhiro Yamanaka of the Graduate School of Environmental Science, Hokkaido University for patiently and politely teaching me. I think this doctoral dissertation would not have been completed without the encouragement from Professor Yamanaka. I deeply appreciate his help.

In writing the papers in Chapters 2 and 3, I received a great deal of advice from Professor Asami Shikida of the Center for Advanced Tourism Studies, Hokkaido University (now belonging School of Knowledge Science, Japan Advanced Institute of

Science and Technology). At the seminar hosted by him, I received various inspiration from him through discussions and his students who participated.

Professor Teiji Watanabe, Professor Junjiro Negishi and Professor Tomonori Sato of the Graduate School of Environmental Science, Hokkaido University, Associate Professor Takuya Hayashi of the Faculty of Humanities and Human Sciences, Hokkaido University, Specially Appointed Associate Professor Takafumi Hirata of Arctic Research Center, Hokkaido University, Associate Professor Takayuki Shiraiwa of the Institute of Low Temperature Science, Hokkaido University, who participated in the review of this paper gave me valuable advice. Especially, the advices by Professor Teiji Watanabe, Associate Professor Takuya Hayashi, and Associate Professor Takafumi Hirata have helped me to improve my doctoral dissertation.

The students of my laboratory Ms. Takeya and Ms. Ara, the secretary of Professor Yamanaka, also provided me with various cooperation and advice for my research. I would like to take this opportunity to thank them.

Finally, I would like to thank my family for supporting me to become a student after resigned from a job. My husband helped me as taking care of the housework and our child together.

Thanks to the help of various people, I was able to put this paper together. I am grateful to everyone who has supported me, and I hope to continue my research for the benefit of many people in the future.

References

- Adachi, T. (2000). Management Analysis of Resort Development Business in Miyazaki Prefecture: The Case of the Third Sector, Phoenix Resort Corporation. *The Bulletin of the Japan Academy of Labor and Management*, 9(0), 1-6.
- Adler, P. A., and Adler, P. (1999). Transience and the postmodern self: The geographic mobility of resort workers. *Sociological Quarterly*, 40(1), 31-58.
- Azumai, M. (1989). The Present Condition and Problem of Kleingarten, Tourist Farm, and Resort Development in Japan. *The economic review of Kansai University*, 39(4-5), 907-928.
- Boon, B. (2006). When leisure and work are allies: The case of skiers and tourist resort hotels. *Career Development International*, 11(7), 594-608.
- Fukuda, K., Sato, T. and Datai, H. (2007). Analyzing Factors of Successive Participation toward the Community Activation: On the Utility Performances of Member's Contributions. *Journal of Rural Planning Association*, 26(2), 76-85.
- Furutani, K and Koike, M. (2012). An Examination of Social and Economic Effects which Exogenous Development had on the Community: A Case Study of Second Home Development by Forest of MITSUI in the Tateshina Highlands, Chino City, Nagano. *Journal of Forest Economics*, 58(2), 42-53.
- Gosnell, H. and Abrams, J. (2011). Amenity migration: diverse conceptualizations of drivers, socioeconomic dimensions, and emerging challenges. *Geo Journal*, 76(4), 303-322.
- Goto, Y. (1992). The Resort Law and Resort Development: The development of the residents' movement. *The Sociology of law*, 44, 218-222.
- Hagihara, M. (2013). Building Creative Rural Communities and Sustainability. In *Creative Rural Communities*, edited by Sasaki, M., Kawaida, S., and Hagihara, M., Kyoto: Gakugei Shuppan-Sha, pp. 50-69.

- Hara-Fukuyo, J. (2002). Support Network for Agricultural Newcomers from Non-agricultural Sectors. *Journal of rural studies*, 8(2), 24-35.
- Higuchi, Y. and Yamanaka, Y. (2017). Knowledge sharing between academic researchers and tourism practitioners: A Japanese study of the practical value of embeddedness, trust and co-creation. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*. 25(10), 1456-1473.
- Hobo, T. (1996). *The Theory of Endogenous Development and Japan's Rural Villages*. Tokyo: Iwanami Shoten, Publishers.
- Janta, H., Brown, L., Lougosi, P. and Ladkin, A. (2011). Migrant relationships and tourism employment. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 38(4), 1322-1343.
- Kannon, N. (1991). The Resort Development and Village Development (Special Lecture). *Transactions of the Meeting in Hokkaido Branch of the Japanese Forest Society*, 39(0), 1-3.
- Kato, J. and Maemura, N. (2014). The Effect of social capital on the adjustment of domestic emigrants to Okinawa. *Human Science*, 31, 111-143.
- Kawanishi, K. (2000). Strategies for Survival of Ski Resorts in Hokkaido. *Sapporo Gakuin University review of economics and business*, 16(3-4), 53-141.
- Koyama, T. (2006). Chapter 1: Organization and Restructuring of Regions and Communities: The Macrostructure of Regions and Communities. In *Sociology of Region and Community 1: Its Perspectives and Methodology*, supervised by Nittagai, K. and chief editor Machimura, T., Tokyo: Toshindo publishing.
- Koyama, T., Soshiroda, A., and Tsutsumi, T. (2015). A study on the roles of urban-rural interchange institution as an intermediary organization in depopulated area: Case study of "Nabekura Kogen Mori-no-ie" in Iiyama City, Nagano. *Journal of the City Planning Institute of Japan*, 50(2), 184-194.
- Kuwabara, Y., Nakajima, M. (2014). Process and Issues of Promoting Migration of Supporters for Rural Regeneration: A Case of Midori-no-Furusato-Kyoryokutai in Kutsuki District, Shiga Prefecture. *Journal of Rural Planning Association*, 33(Special_Issue), 251-256.

- Lee-Ross, D. (1999). Seasonal Hotel Jobs: An Occupation and a Way of Life. *International Journal of Tourism Research*, 1(4), 239-53.
- Lee-Ross, D. (2004). A preliminary cross-cultural study of occupational community dimensions and hotel work. *Cross Cultural Management: An International Journal*, 11(4), 77-90.
- Lundberg, C., Gudmundson, and A., Andersson, T. D. (2009). Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory of work motivation tested empirically on seasonal workers in hospitality and tourism. *Tourism management*, 30(6), 890-899.
- Makidaira, T. (2013). Chapter 2: The Theories of Regional Regeneration and Rural Communities. In *Challenges to Rural Regeneration*, edited by Odagiri, T., Tokyo: Iwanami Shoten, Publishers, pp. 27-54.
- Matsui, Y. (2002). "The Third Sector" – A Study of Their Merits and Demerits –. *Bulletin of Saitama Women's Junior College*, 13, 269-287.
- Matsumiya, A. (2007). Endogenous development theory in Japan: history and future. *Bulletin of the Faculty of Letters, Aichi Prefectural University*, 56, 119-138.
- Miyamoto, K. (1989). *Environmental Economics*. Tokyo: Iwanami Shoten, Publishers, pp. 305-328.
- Mizoo, Y. (1991). Current Resort Development and Recommended Options in Japan. *Annals of the Association of Economic Geographers*, 37(1), 39-50.
- Morishige, M. (2012). Significance of the Classification of Tourist Resources and their Management Process. *Bulletin of Hannan University the Hannan ronshu. Humanities & natural science*, 47(2), 113-124.
- Morishige, M. (2015). Current Status and Issues of Tourism-based Community Development Studies from Viewpoint of Definitions. *Bulletin of Hannan University the Hannan ronshu. Humanities & natural science*, 50(2), 21-37.

- Nagatomo, J. (2015). Conceptualizing Lifestyle Migration: A Literature Review in Migration Studies. *Journal of international studies*, 4(1), 23-32.
- Nakamura, K. (2000). Development of Endogenous Development Theory. *Policy science*, 7(3), 139-161.
- Nakanishi, H. (2008). A Study on Co-habitation of Newly Settled and Old Residents in Rural Area. *Journal of Rural Problems*, 44(1), 140-145.
- Nozaki, S. (1995). The Influence of the Development of Tourism: Industry for Regional Society. *Journal of Business and Economics*, 23(1), 99-124.
- Odagiri, T. (2014). *Rural villages will not disappear*. Tokyo: Iwanami Shoten, Publishers.
- Ohno, T., (2010). The Newcomers' Role in an Introduction of Green Tourism: A Case Study of Hokkaido Yubari-County Naganuma-City R-District. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 17(1), 11-22.
- Ohsawa, K. (2018). The Theoretical Issue of "Kankou-Machizukuri" (Community Development Tourism). *The Wakayama economic review*, 392, 81-107.
- Okada, I. (2010). Law on development of comprehensive resort areas and its effect on local communities. *Tokyo Seitoku University bulletin*, 17, 135-143.
- Okata, Y. (2012). Management of Ecotourism - The Role of Electronic Museum in Ecotourism in Munakata City. *Bulletin of the Munakata electronic museum*, 4, 19-28.
- Ooi, N., Mair, J., and Laing, J. (2016). The Transition from Seasonal Worker to Permanent Resident: Social barriers faced within a mountain resort community. *Journal of Travel research*, 55(2), 246-260.
- Otsuka, T., (1992). Regional Development and Environment Protection. *Journal of the Faculty of Sociology, Ryutsu Keizai University*, 2(2), 1-12.
- Oura, Y. (2004). Recreational enterprises in national forests and their influence on local society. *Nagoya University forest science*, 23, 19-98.

- Perdue, R. R. (2004). Sustainable Tourism and Stakeholder Groups: A Case Study of Colorado Ski Resort Communities. *Consumer Psychology of Tourism, Hospitality and Leisure*, 3, 253-64.
- Robinson, R.N.S., Kralj, A., Solnet, D.J. Goh, E., and Callan, V. (2014). Thinking job embeddedness not turnover: Towards a better understanding of frontline hotel worker retention. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 36, 101-109.
- Sakamoto, T., Hiroshige, Y., Nakajima, M. and Senga, Y. (2008). A Study on The Cooperation Framework for Local Resources Management by Outsiders and Residents in Rural Areas: A Case Study of "NPO Kamiechigo Yamazato Fan Club" in Joetsu City, Nigata Prefecture. *Journal of Rural Planning Association*, 7, 299-304.
- Sakurai, R. (1994). Resort Development and public Finance: Atami City and Yuzawa Town. *Hokei Ronshu: review of law and economics*, 73, 1-25.
- Sato, M. (1990). *The Resort Islands*. Tokyo: Iwanami Shoten, Publishers.
- Sato, N., (1998). Regional Development and Local Government: The Third Sector and the Role of Local Government. *Bulletin of Ogaki Women's College*, 39, 31-44.
- Shibata, K. (2006). "Stranger" Making a Town and Local Inhabitant. *Journal of the Socio-Cultural Research Institute, Ryukoku University*, (8), 5-17.
- Shikida, A. (2009). The Possibility of the community Development in Collaboration with Outsiders. *Enu no kuni*, 50, 74-85.
- Shikida, A. (2009). The role of outsiders in the community development process. *The journal of international media, communication, and tourism studies*, 9, 79-100.
- Tabata, H., Onitsuka, K., and Hoshino, S. (2018). The Actual Situation of Social Media Use for Information Communication by Community-Reactivating Cooperator Squad and Challenges for the Future Practical Use. *Journal of Rural Planning Association*, 37(1), 51-60.
- Takeda, I., (1993). 2. Resort development and community (Symposium of the Kita-Nippon Forest Economics Research Society in 1992): case study on Tomamu resort. *Forest Economy*, 46(2), 21-26.

- Takeda, I., (1994). The Development Debate and Regional Change through Resort Development: Consideration of the cases of Kiroro, Tomamu Sahoro (Hokkaido) and Yufuin (Oita Prefecture). *The review of economics and business management*, 13, 173-187.
- Takizawa, Y. (1997). The Process of the Local Resident's Consensus Building for Golf Links Making. *Journal of Forest Economics*, 43(2), 77-82.
- Tanaka, Y. (1997). *Local Image Dynamics*. Tokyo: Gihodo Syuppan.
- Tanigawa, N. (2004). Life History of Migrants, Life Story of a Community:A Case Study of the Osumi-shoto Islands. *Japanese Journal of Human Geography*, 56(4), 393-409.
- Tanno, A. (1989). The Formation of Resort-Type Tourist Areas along Coastal Regions: The Example of Hazako Settlement in Hamajima-cho, Mie Prefecture. *Japanese Journal of Human Geography*, 38(1), 7-25.
- Tsuchiya, T. (1990). Problem of Resort Development and Forest Economics Research. *Forest Economy*, 43(6), 23-32.
- Tsutsui, K. (2008). Chapter 11: Regional Development in Rural Areas. In *Introduction to Regional Policy: Building Communities for the Future*, edited by Fujii, T., Mitsuda, N., Ono, T. and Ienaka, S., Kyoto: Minerva Shobo, pp.191-209.
- Tsutsui, K., Sakuma, Y., and Kasami, K. (2015). Regional Regeneration by Business in Rural Community of In-migrants from Urban Areas. *Journal of Rural Planning Association*, 34(1), 45-50
- Umekawa, T., and Hara, J. (1997). Approval of the Comprehensive Resort Area Development Act and the following Changes:Past 10 Years since the Approval of the Act. *Journal of the City Planning Institute of Japan*, 32(0), 265-270
- Uno, S. and Turumi, K. (1994). Introduction: Endogenous and external development. In *Endogenous and external development: Intersections in Contemporary China*, edited by Uno, S. and Turumi, K., Tokyo: University of Tokyo Press, pp.1-12.

- Uriely, N. (2001). 'Travelling Workers' and 'Working Tourists': Variations across the Interaction between Work and Tourism. *International Journal of Tourism Research*, 3(1), 1-8.
- Yamaji, C. (2006). *History of Shimukappu Village 100 years*. Hokkaido: Shimukappu Village.
- Yamashita, S. (1999). *Tourism Anthropology Lessons in Bali*. Tokyo: University of Tokyo Press.
- Yang, J. (2006). Development and possibilities of sustainable tourism: Present situations and the prospects of sightseeing in Sirakawagou. *Bulletin of the Graduate School of International Cultural Studies Aichi Prefectural University*, 7, 115-144.
- Yoshida, H. (2006). *Tourism and Communities*, Kyoto: Minerva Shobo.
- Yoshikawa, M. (2005). The Role of I-Turned People in the Development of Green Tourism:A Case Study of Tono City in Iwate Prefecture. *Japanese Journal of Farm Management*, 43(2), 55-59.
- Yoshimoto, T. (2008). *Let's start Jimoto-gaku*. Tokyo: Iwanami Shoten, Publishers.
- Yurugi, T., Shimizu, J., and Kumonoya, J. (1993). Spread Effects in Local Economy by Large Scale Resort Development: An Empirical Study on Appi-kogen Ski Area and Ashiro Town. *Instructions for Manuscript Submission/Presentation*, 12(2), 34-45.