

HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

Title	The extractability of potassium and radiocaesium in soils developed from granite and sedimentary rock in Fukushima, Japan
Author(s)	Ogasawara, Sho; Nakao, Atsushi; Eguchi, Tetsuya; Ota, Takeshi; Matsunami, Hisaya; Yanai, Junta; Shinano, Takuro
Citation	Journal of radioanalytical and nuclear chemistry, 323(1), 633-640 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10967-019-06971-2
Issue Date	2020-01
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/80114
Rights	This is a post-peer-review, pre-copyedit version of an article published in Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry. The final authenticated version is available online at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10967-019-06971-2
Туре	article (author version)
File Information	Extractability_of_K_and_RCs (Final).pdf



1	Title Page
2	Names of authors: Sho Ogasawara ^{1, 5} , Atsushi Nakao ¹ , Tetsuya Eguchi ² , Takeshi
3	Ota ³ , Hisaya Matsunami ² , Junta Yanai ¹ , Takuro Shinano ⁴
4	Title: Extractability of potassium and radiocaesium in soils developed from granite
5	and sedimentary rock in Fukushima, Japan
6	Affiliations and addresses of the authors:
7	¹ Graduate School of Life and Environmental Sciences, Kyoto Prefectural University,
8	Kyoto 606-8522, Japan
9	² Tohoku Agricultural Research Centre, NARO, Fukushima 960-2156, Japan
10	³ Bio-oriented Technology Research Advancement Institution, NARO, 210-0005
11	Kanagawa, Japan
12	⁴ Graduate School of Agriculture, Hokkaido University, Hokkaido 060-8589, Japan
13	^e Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, 102-0083 Tokyo, Japan
14	E-mail address of the corresponding author:
15	Sho Ogasawara (shooga.0206@gmail.com) 1

16	The extractability of potassium and radiocaesium in soils developed from
17	granite and sedimentary rock in Fukushima, Japan
18	Sho Ogasawara ^{1, 5} , Atsushi Nakao ¹ , Tetsuya Eguchi ² , Takeshi Ota ³ , Hisaya
19	Matsunami ² , Junta Yanai ¹ , Takuro Shinano ⁴
20	¹ Graduate School of Life and Environmental Sciences, Kyoto Prefectural
21	University, 606-8522 Kyoto, Japan
22	² Tohoku Agricultural Research Centre, NARO, 960-2156 Fukushima, Japan
23	³ Bio-oriented Technology Research Advancement Institution, NARO, 210-0005
24	Kanagawa, Japan
25	⁴ Graduate School of Agriculture, Hokkaido University, 060-8589 Hokkaido, Japan
26	⁵ Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, 102-0083 Tokyo, Japan
27	
28	Abstract
29	Potassium (K) and radiocaesium (RCs) were chemically extracted from soils derived

30 from granite (G soils) and sedimentary rock (S soils) in Fukushima, Japan. The

31	extractants employed were 1 M HNO ₃ , concentrated HNO ₃ , and HF + HClO ₄ . As S
32	soils contain a lower amount of trioctahedral 2:1 phyllosilicates than G soils, the
33	RCs/K ratio was higher in S soils than in G soils with 1 M HNO3 extraction,
34	indicating that the potential risk of soil-to-plant transfer of RCs is higher in S soils
35	than in G soils. In conclusion, information about surface geology is important in
36	predicting the spatial pattern of soil characteristics related to transferability of RCs.
37	Keywords
38	agricultural soils, Fukushima prefecture, micaceous mineral, potassium,
39	radiocaesium
40	Introduction
41	Phytoavailability of potassium (K) in soil is one of the most important factors in
42	controlling the transfer of radiocaesium (RCs) from soil to plants. For the similar effective
43	ionic radii of K and RCs, K competes with RCs at the ionic transporter in the root system
44	[1, 2]. Therefore, uptake of RCs by plants is restricted in soils with a higher content of
45	phytoavailable K, which is generally distinguished between exchangeable K [3-5] and
46	nonexchangeable K [6, 7]. The exchangeable K is bound to soil components with weak

electrostatic power and can be thus absorbed readily by plants. In Fukushima prefecture, 47 an exchangeable K content of $>210 \text{ mg K kg soil}^{-1}$ is recommended to reduce transfer of 48 RCs from the soil to plants based on the findings by Kato et al. [4]. Nonexchangeable K 49 is retained more strongly in the soil and released more slowly than exchangeable K. 50 Therefore, it is a secondary important reservoir of phytoavailable K, which can be utilized 51 by plants once exchangeable K has been exhausted in the soil adjacent to the root surface 52 (i.e., rhizosphere). Although little attention has been devoted to the effect of 53 nonexchangeable K on the phytoavailability of RCs, recent research has shown that it is 54 important in soils that have a low content of exchangeable K [7]. 55

Nonexchangeable K is retained mainly in the interlayer of micaceous minerals (micas). 56 As the interlayer site in mica can also adsorb RCs strongly, mica is considered to be a 57 reservoir of both RCs and nonexchangeable K. Mica can be categorized into two types, 58 i.e., trioctahedral mica (e.g., biotite) and dioctahedral mica (e.g., illite), based on the 59 number of metal cations occupying the octahedral structure. Trioctahedral mica is known 60 to be able to release its interlayer K^+ more readily than the dioctahedral mica [8]. Which 61 62 of the two types is dominant in a particular soil is highly relevant to surface geology. In the eastern Fukushima prefecture, surface geology can be divided into granite and 63

64	sedimentary rock [9]. Biotite is dominant in soils derived from granite (G soils) [10],
65	whereas illite is dominant in soils derived from sedimentary rock (S soils) [11]. Given the
66	relevance of geology to soil mineralogy, it is very likely that G soils have a higher
67	nonexchangeable K content than S soils and, therefore, a lower risk of soil-to-plant
68	transfer of RCs. Few studies in Fukushima prefecture evaluated the difference in the
69	nonexchangeable K content of soils with different geological backgrounds. Therefore,
70	this study aims to investigate the relative abundance of di- or trioctahedral minerals in
71	soils in Fukushima prefecture and clarify the relationship between the mineralogy and
72	extractability of K and RCs from soils with different geological backgrounds.
73	Materials
73 74	Materials Twenty-eight soil samples were collected at depths of 0–10 cm from 14 agricultural
73 74 75	Materials Twenty-eight soil samples were collected at depths of 0–10 cm from 14 agricultural fields in granitic areas (sample names: G1–G14) and 14 fields in sedimentary rock
73 74 75 76	Materials Twenty-eight soil samples were collected at depths of 0–10 cm from 14 agricultural fields in granitic areas (sample names: G1–G14) and 14 fields in sedimentary rock areas (S1–S14) in Fukushima prefecture. The G12 and G13 soils were sampled from
73 74 75 76 77	Materials Twenty-eight soil samples were collected at depths of 0–10 cm from 14 agricultural fields in granitic areas (sample names: G1–G14) and 14 fields in sedimentary rock areas (S1–S14) in Fukushima prefecture. The G12 and G13 soils were sampled from a buckwheat field and from pasture, respectively. The remaining soil samples were
73 74 75 76 77 78	Materials Twenty-eight soil samples were collected at depths of 0–10 cm from 14 agricultural fields in granitic areas (sample names: G1–G14) and 14 fields in sedimentary rock areas (S1–S14) in Fukushima prefecture. The G12 and G13 soils were sampled from a buckwheat field and from pasture, respectively. The remaining soil samples were collected from paddy fields, including fallow fields. Surface geology was assessed
 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 	Materials Twenty-eight soil samples were collected at depths of 0–10 cm from 14 agricultural fields in granitic areas (sample names: G1–G14) and 14 fields in sedimentary rock areas (S1–S14) in Fukushima prefecture. The G12 and G13 soils were sampled from a buckwheat field and from pasture, respectively. The remaining soil samples were collected from paddy fields, including fallow fields. Surface geology was assessed on the basis of the surface geology map produced by AIST [9]. The sampling

81	decontaminated soils because the decontamination procedure generally involves
82	applying uncontaminated soil brought from other areas [12]. The soil samples were
83	air-dried and sieved using \leq 2-mm mesh. During sieving, as many plant residues as
84	possible were removed using tweezers.

85 **Experimental**

86 1. Mineralogical analysis

87 The types of phyllosilicate minerals, di- and/or trioctahedral, contained in the soil were distinguished by (060) reflections of powdered X-ray diffraction (XRD) 88 analysis (SmartLab-FE, Rigaku, Tokyo, Japan, CuKa radiation). A 3-g portion of 89 90 soil was suspended in water via ultrasonic treatment, and wet sieving and freezedrying were used to collect the clay-silt fraction (particle diameter $\leq 20 \ \mu m$) in the 91 92 soil. The dried particles were ground softly using a ceramic pestle and mortar. Removal of organic matter and iron oxides, which are standard pretreatments for 93 XRD analysis of soils' clays, was avoided because these treatments alter the structure 94 of iron-bearing 2:1 clay minerals via either oxidative or reductive reactions [13]. A 95 portion of the powdered clay-silt fraction was oriented randomly on a glass slide and 96 scanned from 59° to 63° 2θ , with steps of 0.0050° 2θ and a scan speed of 0.1° 2θ 97

98 min⁻¹. Areas of the diffraction peaks recorded were quantified by decomposition into
99 a Lorentzian-shaped peak using PeakFit software ver. 4.12 (SeaSolve Software Inc.,
100 Framingham, MA).

101 2. Chemical extractions

Potassium and RCs were extracted from soils using three methods: hot 1 M nitric acid (HNO₃) extraction (Ex. 1), concentrated HNO₃ extraction (Ex. 2), and residue decomposition (Ex. 3). The Ex. 1 was almost the same as the extraction procedure for phytoavailable K in soil [14]. The Ex. 2 was the modified method of the "strong acid dissolution" by Saito et al. [15]. The Ex. 3 was the decomposition of the residue that remained after the Ex. 2 using hydrofluoric acid (HF) and perchloric acid (HClO₄).

Ex. 1 (hot 1 M HNO₃ extraction): A 10-g portion of soil and 100 mL of 1 M HNO₃
were mixed in a 200-mL Erlenmeyer flask and preheated on a hotplate for 20 min
until boiling and then heated for a further 15 min. After heating, the flask was allowed
to cool for 5 min at room temperature, and the suspension was filtered using filter
paper. The residue on the filter paper was washed using 0.1 M HNO₃. The filtrate

- was then filtered using a 0.45-µm syringe filter and brought up to 100 mL with 0.1
 M HNO₃.
- Ex. 2 (concentrated HNO₃ extraction): A 5-g portion of soil and 25 mL 13.4 M HNO₃ (density = 1.38) were mixed in a Teflon beaker and heated on a hotplate for 3 h at 100°C. After heating, the suspension was diluted with ultrapure water, centrifuged to recover the residue, and filtered using a 0.45- μ m syringe filter. The filtrate was brought up to 100 mL with pure water. The residue was dried in an oven overnight at 105°C.

Ex. 3 (digestion of residue): The dried residue was powdered using a tungsten carbide
pestle and mortar. A 0.5-g portion of powdered soil was weighed into a Teflon beaker
and digested using HF and HClO₄ while being heated on a hotplate. The decomposed
products were dissolved using hydrogen chloride and HNO₃ and brought up to 50
mL.

The above extractions were performed in duplicate. The Ex. 1 and Ex. 2 were performed independently, and not subsequently, to obtain higher concentrations of RCs in the extracted solution for more precise radiometric analyses. The amount of K and RCs extracted using Ex. 1 was denoted as fraction 1 (F1). The fraction 2 (F2)

was calculated by subtracting the amounts of K or RCs in the Ex.1 solutions from
those in the Ex. 2 solutions. The amount of K and RCs extracted using Ex. 3 was
denoted as fraction 3 (F3).

134 **3. Quantification of K and RCs**

135	The K concentrations in the Ex. 1, Ex. 2, and Ex. 3 solutions were determined using
136	atomic absorption spectrometry (ZA-3000, Hitachi High-Technologies Corporation,
137	Tokyo, Japan). The RCs dissolving in the Ex. 1 and Ex. 2 solutions were concentrated
138	via the ammonium phosphomolybdate (AMP) method [16] and determined using a
139	sodium iodide (NaI) scintillation counter (2480 WIZARD ² , Perkin Elmer, MA,
140	USA), with a relative standard deviation (RSD) of <5 %. The AMP has a strong
141	ability to adsorb Cs ⁺ and has low solubility in water and particularly in nitric acid
142	[17]; therefore, RCs in the acidic solution can be concentrated and recovered as an
143	AMP-Cs compound. As described in detail by Aoyama and Hirose [16],
144	approximately 0.2 g of AMP was added to the Ex. 1 and Ex. 2 solutions and stirred
145	with a magnetic stirrer for 1 h, and the AMP-Cs compound was recovered on the
146	next day using the 0.45- μ m filter. The collected AMP-Cs compound was dissolved
147	using 2 mL of 1 M sodium hydroxide in a 75-mm-long polypropylene tube with a

148	12-mm radius for geometry matching, and the RCs content was measured using an
149	NaI scintillation counter (2480 WIZARD ² , Perkin Elmer, MA, USA), with an RSD
150	of <5 %. The RCs concentrations in the Ex. 3 solutions were not determined directly,
151	but the RCs concentration in F3 was calculated by subtracting those in F1 and F2
152	from the total RCs concentration in the soil. The total RCs concentration in soil was
153	calculated from the total concentration of 137 Cs in soil and the half-life of 137 Cs (30.1
154	y) and 134 Cs (2.07 y) assuming that RCs is the sum of 137 Cs and 134 Cs and that the
155	¹³⁷ Cs/ ¹³⁴ Cs activity ratio was 1.0 at the time of the Fukushima Dai-ichi Nuclear
156	Power Plant accident [18]. The total ¹³⁷ Cs concentration in soil was determined using
157	the Ge semiconductor detector (GC2520, Canberra, Meriden, CT, USA), with an
158	RSD of <5%.

159 **Results and Discussions**

160 1. Mineralogy of soil samples

The XRD patterns at 59–63 °2θ of the clay–silt fraction from G and S soils are shown
in Fig. 2. All the samples exhibited four prominent peaks at approximately 59.9 °2θ,
60.1 °2θ, 61.8 °2θ, and 62.3 °2θ, corresponding to the presence of quartz (Qz),
trioctahedral mineral (Tri), dioctahedral mineral (Di), and kaolinite (Kl), respectively 10

165	[19]. The peak positions for Tri and Qz and those for Di and Kl were close enough
166	to overlap with each other. The G soils exhibited prominent Tri peaks, but they
167	exhibited a very small Di peak that was nearly concealed by the adjacent large Kl
168	peaks, suggesting that these soils were enriched with trioctahedral phyllosilicates. In
169	contrast, most of the S soils exhibited a prominent Di peak together with a distinct
170	Tri peak, suggesting that these soils contained both di- and trioctahedral
171	phyllosilicates. Trioctahedral phyllosilicates in the S soils may have been transported
172	there by streams running through granite in the uplands.

Table 1 shows the peak areas of Tri and Di. The peak areas in the powder XRD may 173 vary depending on the amount of sample oriented on glass slides. Hence, we 174 determined the ratios of Tri peak areas against the sum of the Tri and Di peak areas 175 (Tri/Di+Tri) as a quantitative indicator of the relative abundance of trioctahedral 176 phyllosilicates. For G soils, the average value of Tri/Di+Tri was 0.72, whereas it was 177 0.43 for S soils. The Tri/Di+Tri values for G soils were significantly higher (P <178 0.01) than those for S soils, which is a direct indication that the G soils contained a 179 180 higher amount of trioctahedral minerals than the S soils. Relatively low Tri/Di+Tri

values for G5, G11, and G12 also corresponded with the presence of Di peaks in their
XRD patterns (Fig. 2).

183 2. The extractability of RCs and K

Individual data on the extractability of K and RCs from soils are presented in Table 184 185 2, and their summary data are shown as boxplots in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4, respectively. For G soils, the medians of K extractability were 5.0% in F1, 13.3% in F2, and 82.5% 186 in F3, whereas those for S soils were 2.7% in F1, 2.6% in F2, and 94.9% in F3. In G 187 188 soils, the K extractability in F1 and F2 was significantly higher than that in S soils, confirming that the phytoavailable K content in G soils is higher than that in S soils. 189 190 However, even in G soils, the K extractability of G soils with Di peaks (G5, G11, and G12) was relatively low. The K extractability for G5, G11, and G12 in F1 were 191 1.4%, 2.3%, and 3.0%, respectively, and the corresponding values in F2 were 2.9%, 192 193 6.3%, and 7.7%, respectively (Table 2). These values were lower than the medians of K extractability for G soils, indicating lower contribution of dioctahedral mica to 194 195 the K supply.

For G soils, the medians of extractability of RCs were 20.4% in F1, 16.8% in F2, and
61.7% in F3, whereas those for S soils were 25.6% in F1, 28.6% in F2, and 44.6% in 12

198	F3. In contrast to those of K, the medians of extractability of RCs in F1 and F2 for
199	G soils were lower than those for S soils and the extractability of RCs in F3 for G
200	soils was significantly higher than that for S soils. This higher persistence in F3 for
201	G soils may be linked to these soils having a larger amount of fixation sites for RCs
202	than is the case with S soils. Fixation of RCs in soil occurs on the weathered edge of
203	mica, known as the frayed edge site (FES) [20]; Ogasawara et al. [7] showed that S
204	soils had lower FES content than G soils. A higher FES content in soil is responsible
205	for the lower extractability of RCs by ammonium ions (NH_4^+) [7, 21, 22] and by 0.1
206	M hydrochloric acid [22] while these methods extract RCs adsorbed on soil more
207	weakly than extractions performed in this study. The effect of the FES content in soil
208	on the strength of the adsorption of RCs should be examined further.

3. RCs uptake risk assessment based on the extractability of RCs and K

Kondo et al. [23] reported that the relative extractability of ¹³⁷Cs to K by NH₄⁺ was proportional to the concentration of ¹³⁷Cs in plants, indicating that higher extractability of RCs and/or lower extractability of K results in a higher uptake of RCs by plants. In addition, in the current study, we calculated the relative extractability of RCs to K (RCs/K) was calculated to assess the risk of uptake of RCs

215	by plants (Table 3). These summary data are presented as a boxplot in Fig. 5. The
216	median values of RCs/K for G soils were 3.7 in F1, 1.3 in F2, and 0.8 in F3, whereas
217	the values for S soils were 9.6 in F1, 12.5 in F2, and 0.5 in F3. The RCs/K value for
218	G soils was significantly lower than that for S soils in F1 ($P < 0.05$) and F2 ($P < 0.05$)
219	0.001), and the RCs/K value in F3 for G soils was significantly higher ($P < 0.01$)
220	than that for S soils, indicating that G soils have a lower risk of transfer of RCs than
221	S soils. This finding corresponds with those of previous studies reported that uptake
222	of RCs by rice in granite areas was smaller than that in sedimentary rock areas [7,
223	24]. Moreover, RCs/K values in F1 were negatively correlated ($P < 0.001$) with
224	Tri/Di+Tri values (Fig. 6). This relationship indicated that soils containing more
225	trioctahedral minerals have lower risk of RCs uptake by plants. Although most of the
226	G soils were plotted in the lower-right area, G soils with a Di peak, especially G5
227	and G 11, were plotted in the upper-left area. This indicated that some G soils have
228	lower trioctahedral mineral contents and, hence, have a higher risk of uptake of RCs
229	by plants. Therefore, use of XRD analysis data as supporting information for surface
230	geology would be an effective and more reliable method to estimate the relative risk
231	of transfer of RCs from soils to plants.

232 Conclusion

233	The effect of mica type, either trioctahedral mica or dioctahedral mica, in soil on the
234	extractability of K and RCs was examined using G soils and S soils. The release of
235	more K and less RCs from G soils than from S soils demonstrated that the risk of
236	uptake of RCs by plants is potentially lower in G soils than in S soils. Though surface
237	geology is useful in estimating whether either trioctahedral mica or dioctahedral mica
238	is dominant in the soil, some soils in granite areas can have relatively lower contents
239	of trioctahedral minerals. The combined use of surface geology information and
240	XRD analysis would be a more effective and reliable approach for estimating the risk
241	of transfer of RCs from soils to plants.

242 Acknowledgments

Gratitude is expressed to the Food Safety and Consumer Affairs Bureau, MAFF, prof.
Shin Moono (Faculty of Food and Agricultural Sciences, Fukushima University), Dr.
Yuzo Manpuku (Institute for Agro-Environmental Sciences, NARO), Dr. Tomoaki
Nemoto (Fukushima Prefectural Government), Dr. Takashi Saito (same as above), Mr.
Kazuhiro Kohata (same as above), and the agricultural department of Minamisoma city
and Namie town who helped soil samples collection. Authors also appreciate Ms. Yuko

249	Abe (Tohoku Agricultural Research Centre, NARO) for the help of experiments. The
250	authors would like to thank Enago (www.enago.jp) for the English language review.
251	Analyses of RCs measurements were carried out in the Laboratory of Radioisotopes of
252	Kyoto Prefectural University.
253	Funding
254	This work was financially supported by the JSPS KAKENHI [grant number
255	JP15J06569 and 16H06188].
256	References
257	1. Smolders E, Van Den Brande K, Merckx R (1997) Concentrations of ¹³⁷ Cs and K in
258	soil solution plant availability of ¹³⁷ Cs in soils. Environ Sci Technol. 31, 12:
259	3432–3438
260	2. White PJ, Broadley MR (2000) Mechanisms of caesium uptake by plants.New
261	Phytol. 147, 2: 241–256
262	3. MAFF (2014) Causes of contamination by radiocesium and countermeasures for
263	these problems. Minist Agric For Fish. 0–24

264	4. Kato N, Kihou N, Fujimura S, Ikeba M, Miyazaki N, Saito Y, Eguchi T, Itoh S
265	(2015) Potassium fertilizer and other materials as countermeasures to reduce
266	radiocesium levels in rice: Results of urgent experiments in 2011 responding to
267	the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant accident. Soil Sci Plant Nutr. 61:
268	179–190
269	5. Kubo K, Fujimura S, Kobayashi H, Ota T, Shinano T (2017) Effect of soil
270	exchangeable potassium content on cesium absorption and partitioning in
271	buckwheat grown in a radioactive cesium-contaminated field. Plant Prod Sci.
272	2017.
273	6. Eguchi T, Ohta T, Ishikawa T, Matsunami H, Takahashi Y, Kubo K, Yamaguchi N,
274	Kihou N, Shinano T (2015) Influence of the nonexchangeable potassium of mica
275	on radiocesium uptake by paddy rice.J Environ Radioact.147: 33-42
276	7. Ogasawara S, Eguchi T, Nakao A, Fujimura S, Takahashi Y, Matsunami H, Tsukada
277	H, Yanai J, Shinano T (2019) Phytoavailability of ¹³⁷ Cs and stable Cs in soils
278	from different parent materials in Fukushima, Japan. J Environ Radioact. 198:
279	117–125

280	8. Fanning D, Keramidas V, El-Deskoy M (1989) Micas. In: Dixon JB, Weed SB (Eds.),
281	Minerals in Soil Environments, Soil Sci Soc Am, Madison, 551–634
282	9. AIST (ed.), Geological Survey of Japan 2014. Seamless digital geological map of Japan
283	1: 200,000. Jan 14, 2014 version, Geological Survey of Japan, National Institute of
284	Advanced Industrial Science and Technology
285	10. Mukai H, Hatta T, Kitazawa H, Yamada H, Yaita T, Kogure T (2014) Speciation of
286	radioactive soil particles in the Fukushima contaminated area by IP
287	autoradiography and microanalyses. Environ Sci Technol. 48, 22: 13053–13059
288	11. Ramseyer K, Boles JR (1986) Mixed-layer illite/smectite minerals in tertiary
289	sandstones and shales, San Joaquin Basin, California. Clays Clay Miner. 34, 2:
290	115–124
291	12. Fukushima Prefectural Government, 2018
292	http://www.pref.fukushima.lg.jp/site/portal-english/en02-03.html (accessed on
293	Aug. 20, 2019)
294	13. Ross GJ, Rich CI (1974) Effect of oxidation and reduction on potassium exchange
295	of biotite. Clays Clay Miner. 22, 4: 355–360

296	14. Helmke PA, Sparks DL (1996) Lithium, Sodium, Potassium, Rubidium, and Cesium.
297	In: Sparks, D. L. (Ed.), Methods of soil analysis Part 3-Chemical methods, SSSA
298	Book Series. no. 5, Madison, WI, 560–563.
299	15. Saito T, Makino H, Tanaka S (2014) Geochemical and grain-size distribution of
300	radioactive and stable cesium in Fukushima soils: Implications for their long-
301	term behavior. J Environ Radioact. 138: 11–18
302	16. Aoyama M, Hirose K (2008) Radiometric determination of anthropogenic
303	radionuclides in seawater. Radioact Environ. 11: 137–162
304	17. Budavari S, O'Neil MJ, Smith A, Heckelman PE, Kinneary JF (Eds) (1996) The
305	Merck Index, An Encyclopedia of Chemicals, Drugs, and Biologicals (12th
306	ed.). Merck & Co., Inc., New Jersey, 589
307	18. Hirose K (2012) 2011 Fukushima Dai-ichi nuclear power plant accident: Summary
308	of regional radioactive deposition monitoring results. J Environ Radioact. 111:
309	13–17
310	19. Moore DM, Reynolds RC Jr. (1997) Identification of clay minerals and associated
311	minerals, In: X-ray diffraction and the identification and analysis of clay minerals
312	(Second Edition). Oxford University Press, Madison, NY, 227–260.

313	20. Sawhney BL (1972) Selective sorption and fixation of cations by clay minerals: A
314	review. Clays Clay Miner. 20: 93–100
315	21. Delvaux B, Kruyts N, Cremers A (2000) Rhizospheric mobilization of radiocesium
316	in soils. Environ Sci Technol. 34, 8: 1489–1493
317	22. Vandebroek L, Hees MV, Delvaux B, Spaargaren O, Thiry Y (2012) Relevance of
318	radiocaesium interception potential (RIP) on a worldwide scale to assess soil
319	vulnerability to ¹³⁷ Cs contamination. J Environ Radioact. 104: 87–93
320	23. Kondo M, Maeda H, Goto A, Nakano H, Kiho N, Makino T, Sato M, Fujimura S,
321	Eguchi T, Hachinohe M, Hamamatsu S, Ihara H, Takai T, Arai-Sanoh Y, Kimura
322	T (2015) Exchangeable Cs/K ratio in soil is an index to estimate accumulation of
323	radioactive and stable Cs in rice plant. Soil Sci Plant Nutr. 61: 133–143
324	24. Yamamura K, Fujimura S, Ota T, Ishikawa T, Saito T, Arai Y, Shinano T (2018) A
325	statistical model for estimating the radiocesium transfer factor from soil to brown
326	rice using the soil exchangeable potassium content. J Environ Radioact. 195:
327	114–125

328

329 Table 1 Peak areas of tri- (Tri) and dioctahedral minerals (Di) contained in (a) G

soils and (b) S soils and the peak area ratio of trioctahedral minerals against the sum

(a)				(b)			
Sampla nama	Peak	area	Tri/Di Tri	Sampla nama	Peak	Tri/Di Tri	
Sample name –	Tri	Di	- III/DI+III	Sample name -	Tri	Di	- 111/DI+111
G1	1490	1120	0.57	S1	930	2410	0.28
G2	1910	809	0.70	S2	776	2160	0.26
G3	3050	583	0.84	S 3	596	2190	0.21
G4	1910	267	0.88	S4	647	2310	0.22
G5	1030	1620	0.39	S5	1270	1590	0.44
G6	2940	681	0.81	S 6	2340	1110	0.68
G7	1620	380	0.81	S 7	1800	494	0.78
G8	1170	473	0.71	S 8	715	261	0.73
G9	2470	729	0.77	S 9	2330	1590	0.59
G10	1350	597	0.69	S10	1130	5540	0.17
G11	1080	1380	0.44	S11	2020	1760	0.53
G12	1230	1040	0.54	S12	1920	1580	0.55
G13	1850	42.8	0.98	S13	1770	5240	0.25
G14	1630	158	0.91	S14	872	2100	0.29
average	1770	706	0.72	average	1370	2170	0.43

331 of di- and trioctahedral minerals.

Table 2 Extractability of K and RCs for G soils and S soils

				K extracta	bility]	RCs extract	ability	/		
	sample name	F1		F2		F3		Total	F1		F2		F3		Total
		$(g kg^{-1})$	(%)	$(g kg^{-1})$	(%)	$(g kg^{-1})$	(%)	$(g kg^{-1})$	$(Bq kg^{-1})$	(%)	$(Bq kg^{-1})$	(%)	$(Bq kg^{-1})$	(%)	$(Bq kg^{-1})$
	G1	0.71	6.6	0.64	6.0	9.4	87	10.8	220	23	130	14	610	64	960
	G2	1.14	5.2	2.10	10	18.6	85	21.9	370	34	120	11	610	55	1100
	G3	0.63	3.1	2.20	11	17.9	86	20.8	330	24	380	27	690	49	1400
	G4	0.98	5.3	2.79	15	14.8	80	18.6	450	26	490	29	760	45	1700
	G5	0.37	1.4	0.78	2.9	25.6	96	26.7	1700	31	900	17	2800	52	5400
	G6	1.69	12	3.63	25	9.2	63	14.5	260	20	170	13	870	67	1300
Gacile	G7	0.76	5.6	3.00	22	9.8	72	13.6	320	17	370	19	1200	63	1900
O SOIIS	G8	0.80	4.8	2.95	18	12.8	77	16.5	190	11	450	26	1100	65	1700
	G9	1.43	8.4	5.08	30	10.5	62	17.1	220	17	190	15	890	68	1300
	G10	0.80	4.7	3.58	21	12.8	74	17.2	220	18	160	13	820	68	1200
	G11	0.55	2.3	1.52	6.3	22.0	91	24.1	220	22	170	17	610	61	1000
	G12	0.75	3.0	1.92	7.7	22.4	89	25.0	140	10	110	8	1200	86	1400
	G13	2.01	13	4.85	32	8.3	55	15.1	430	18	550	23	1400	58	2400
	G14	0.93	3.0	3.57	12	26.1	85	30.6	29000	21	55000	39	56000	40	140000
	S1	0.43	2.6	0.19	1.2	15.6	96	16.3	990	29	810	24	1600	47	3400
	S2	0.55	3.9	0.48	3.4	12.9	93	13.9	2300	29	2400	31	3100	40	7800
	S3	0.32	2.7	0.31	2.6	11.1	95	11.7	1100	30	1200	32	1400	38	3700
	S4	0.46	3.9	0.37	3.1	11.0	93	11.8	520	20	780	30	1300	50	2600
	S5	0.74	4.4	1.05	6.2	15.0	89	16.8	11000	25	12000	27	21000	48	44000
	S6	0.24	1.1	0.13	0.6	21.3	98	21.6	150	10	370	25	980	65	1500
S soils	S 7	0.42	2.6	0.35	2.2	15.3	95	16.1	150	8	520	27	1200	63	1900
5 50115	S8	0.53	2.8	0.15	0.8	18.2	96	18.8	230	15	230	15	1000	67	1500
	S9	0.88	5.5	1.13	7.1	14.0	87	16.0	380	25	370	25	750	50	1500
	S10	0.26	1.1	0.37	1.6	22.4	97	23.0	310	26	400	33	490	41	1200
	S11	0.53	2.8	0.91	4.8	17.3	92	18.8	410	29	460	33	530	38	1400
	S12	0.53	2.8	1.28	6.7	17.4	91	19.2	530	33	470	29	600	38	1600
	S13	0.33	1.4	0.60	2.5	22.5	96	23.4	270	23	490	41	440	37	1200
	S14	0.40	2.0	0.44	2.2	19.2	96	20.0	570	30	530	28	800	42	1900

336

			RCs/K	
	sample name	F1	F2	F3
	G1	3.4	2.3	0.73
	G2	6.4	1.1	0.65
	G3	7.7	2.6	0.57
	G4	5.0	1.9	0.56
	G5	23	5.7	0.54
	G6	1.7	0.5	1.06
Cacila	G7	3.0	0.9	0.87
G sons	G8	2.3	1.5	0.84
	G9	2.0	0.5	1.1
	G10	3.9	0.6	0.92
	G11	9.6	2.7	0.67
	G12	3.3	1.0	0.96
	G13	1.4	0.7	1.1
	G14	6.8	3.4	0.47
	S 1	11	20	0.49
	S2	7.5	9.0	0.43
	S 3	11	12	0.40
	S 4	5.2	9.6	0.54
	S 5	5.7	4.4	0.53
	S 6	8.9	41	0.66
	S 7	3.0	13	0.66
5 sons	S 8	5.5	19	0.69
	S 9	4.6	3.5	0.57
	S 10	23	21	0.42
	S 11	10	6.8	0.41
	S12	12	4.4	0.41
	S13	16	16	0.38
	S14	15	13	0.44

Table 3 Relative extractability of RCs to K

338



Fig. 1 Locations of the soils collected and their surface geology. The geological map 341 was adopted from 1:20,000Seamless Digital Geological Map of Japan in Geomap 342 Navi (https://gbank.gsj.jp/geonavi/geonavi.php) by Geological Survey of Japan, 343 AIST (2014). Authors combined it with blank map downloaded from 344 (https://n.freemap.jp/). The other information including scales, direction, and legends 345 by added authors. Detailed legends available online 346 were are (https://gbank.gsj.jp/seamless/legend e.html). 347

348



Fig. 2 X-ray diffraction patterns for clay-silt fraction collected from soil samples.

351 Abbreviations; Qz: Quartz, Tri: Trioctahedral mineral, Di: Dioctahedral mineral, Kl:

- 352 Kaolinite
- 353



Fig. 3 The extractability of K for (a) G soils and (b) S soils in each fraction. The grey
colored boxes describe the range of 25–75th percentiles and the horizontal line means

the median value. The vertical lines describe the range of maximum and minimum

358 values.

359



Fig. 4 The extractability of RCs for (a) G soils and (b) S soils in each fraction. The grey
colored boxes describe the range of 25–75th percentiles and the horizontal line means the
median value. The vertical lines describe the range of maximum and minimum values.



Fig. 5 The ratios of the RCs extractability against that of K for (a) G soils and (b) S
soils in each fraction. The grey colored boxes describe the range of 25–75th
percentiles and the horizontal line means the median value. The vertical lines
describe the range of maximum and minimum values.



Fig. 6 The relationship between the Tri/Di+Tri and the extractability of RCs against

374 K in F1. (r: Pearson's correlation coefficient)

375