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ABSTRACT
Radio-resistance induced under low oxygen pressure plays an important role in malignant progression in fractionated radiotherapy. For the general approach to predict cell killing under hypoxia, cell-killing models (e.g., the Linear-Quadratic model) have to be fitted to in vitro experimental survival data for both normoxia and hypoxia to obtain the oxygen enhancement ratio (OER). In such a case, model parameters for every oxygen condition needs to be considered by model-fitting approaches. This is inefficient for fractionated irradiation planning. Here, we present an efficient model for fractionated radiotherapy the integrated microdosimetric-kinetic model including cell-cycle distribution and the OER at DNA double-strand break endpoint (OERDSB). The cell survival curves described by this model can reproduce the in vitro experimental survival data for both acute and chronic low oxygen concentrations. The OERDSB used for calculating cell survival agrees well with experimental DSB ratio of normoxia to hypoxia. The important parameters of the model are oxygen pressure and cell-cycle distribution, which enables us to predict cell survival probabilities under chronic hypoxia and chronic anoxia. This work provides biological effective dose (BED) under various oxygen conditions including its uncertainty, which can contribute to creating fractionated regimens for multi-fractionated radiotherapy. If the oxygen concentration in a tumor can be quantified by medical imaging, the present model will make it possible to estimate the cell-killing and BED under hypoxia in more realistic intravital situations.

I. INTRODUCTION
In radiation therapy, hypoxia decreases the radio-sensitivity of cancer cells, and has a critical role in malignant progression.1-2 The degree of the radio-resistance induced under an oxygen (O2) concentration of less than 20% is generally evaluated by oxygen enhancement ratio (OER) defined as the ratio between the dose under hypoxic conditions and the dose in the presence of oxygen for the same biological effect.3-4 The Linear-Quadratic (LQ) model5-9 is generally applied to the in vitro dose-response curve on cell survival to obtain the OER values under various oxygen conditions.3,10,11
The OER obtained from cell survival depends largely on the cell survival level (e.g., 10% or 37% cell survival), because the dose-response curve exhibits a linear-quadratic feature in the relation between absorbed dose and logarithm of cell survival. Furthermore, the shape of the cell survival curve changes depending on the period of time that the cells are exposed to hypoxic conditions (acute or chronic). This is believed to be induced by a change in cell-cycle phase when irradiated. For this reason, the parameters in the LQ model have to be obtained by fitting the models to experimental data for various hypoxic conditions including reoxygenation. However, such fitting procedures to various experimental data make the LQ model inefficient for predicting cell survival curve. To solve such inefficiency, it is essential to develop a model that can determine the model parameters from limited experimental data under normoxia and predict survival probabilities under any oxygenation pressures. Our interest is thus to develop a theoretical cell-killing model considering oxygen effects, which is able to predict the cell killing for both acute and chronic lower oxygen concentrations.

After irradiation under pressure of O₂ (pO₂) ≥ 20%, initial DNA damage which can lead to cell death with a certain probability, i.e. involving a DNA double-strand break (DSB), is induced by both direct and indirect effects. Oxygen effects are intrinsically related to indirect effects. Oxygen is a mediator inducing DNA damage, in which the interaction of radiation with liquid water (H₂O) produces several types of free radicals, such as the hydroxyl radical (•OH) which is the most reactive with DNA. There are two primary pathways of the indirect effect associated with oxygen effects to yield more strand breaks: one is through sugar peroxyl radicals; the other is base-to-sugar radical transfer from •OH-mediated base radicals. The increase of DNA damage (radio-sensitivity) from these chemical pathways are completed within milliseconds at an early stage of cell life. Therefore, the paucity of radical reactions with DNA in the early stages leads to reduced cell killing under hypoxia. In these regards, to consider the progression of oxygen effects, a more biologically detailed model based on DNA damage yield than the conventional LQ model is necessary. When compared to many available models for hypoxia, the integrated microdosimetric-kinetic (IMK) model has unique features such as involvement of DNA damage kinetics and the cell-cycle phase. The model is suitable for estimating radio-sensitivity under chronic lower oxygen pressure. The development for incorporating mechanistically the oxygen effects into the IMK model enables us to evaluate biological effects (e.g., OER value at the cell survival endpoint) with the uncertainties for various oxygen pressures, and can contribute to a better treatment planning for fractionated radiotherapy.

This work proposes an integrated cell-killing model that can reproduce radio-sensitivity for various oxygen conditions. In the improved IMK model, OER is set in the coefficient that accounts for potentially lethal lesions (PLLs). The model can predict biological effective dose (BED) and associated uncertainties, which is useful in fractionated radiotherapy. The model results show that our theoretical cell-killing model for hypoxia makes it possible to estimate the OER value accurately with its uncertainty.
II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

II.A. Integrated microdosimetric-kinetic model

The integrated cell-killing model used in this study, so-called “integrated microdosimetric-kinetic (IMK) model”, is composed of targeted effects and non-targeted effects. The inclusion of the effects has been tested by comparing the outcomes with in vitro experimental survival data. Here, the oxygen effect is incorporated into the model for the targeted effects considering microdosimetry, cell recovery during irradiation, and cell-cycle distribution. The expression of cell survival with the target effects is summarized below.

In the model for DNA-targeted effects, the cell nucleus as a target of radiation is divided into a number of micron-order sections called domains, while sub-lethal and lethal lesions so called potentially lethal lesions (PLLs) and lethal lesions (LLs) are assumed for describing DNA damage repair kinetics after irradiation. The domains may be interpreted as interphase chromosome territories, while PLL and LL may be associated with DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) and unstable chromosome aberrations.

Here, we consider continuous irradiation with a relatively short time of dose-delivery at constant dose-rate in air. Assuming that the time courses of PLLs and LLs during irradiation as well as after irradiation are given by: (i) transformation from a PLL to a LL, (ii) interaction of two PLLs, and (iii) repair of a PLL, the cell surviving fraction as a function of absorbed dose with the Lea-Catcheside time factor is deduced as

\[- \ln S = \left( a_0 + \gamma \beta_0 \right) D T + \frac{2 \beta_0}{(a + c)^{\frac{1}{2}}} T (a + c) T + \frac{e^{-(a + c) T}}{2} \left( \frac{T}{(a + c)} \right)^2 \]

where \( \gamma \) is the microdosimetric quantity (= \( \frac{y_D}{\rho \pi r_d^2} \)), \( y_D \) is the dose-mean lineal energy in keV/\( \mu \)m, \( r_d \) and \( \rho \) are the radius and density of the domain, respectively (which are set to be \( r_d = 0.5 \) \( \mu \)m, \( \rho = 1.0 \) g/cm\(^3\)), \( a + c \) can be approximated to be \( c \), representing sub-lethal damage repair (SLDR) rate (h\(^{-1}\)), \( D = \dot{D} T \), \( a \equiv a_0 + \gamma \beta_0 \), and \( \beta \equiv \beta_0 \). The Lea-Catcheside time factor describes the dose-rate effects induced by cell recovery during irradiation. It has been tested and the factor can reproduce experimental cell survival data. Further,

\[ a_0 = \frac{a k_d (G)}{(a + c)} \equiv \frac{a k_d (G)}{c} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_0 = \frac{b_d k_d^2 (G^2)}{2p(a + c)} \equiv \frac{b_d k_d^2 (G^2)}{2p c}, \]

where \( k_d \) is the PLL yield per DNA amount per Gy, \( <G> \) is the mean amount of DNA per cell nucleus,
is the mean number of domains packed in a cell nucleus, \((a+c)\) is the sum of rate constants of \(a\) and \(c\). In Eq. (3), \((a+c)\) is approximated by \(c\) because the value of \(a\) is known to be a few percent of \(c\). The set of model parameters of \((\alpha_0, \beta_0, a+c)\) is regarded as cell-specific parameters, which can be determined by fitting the model to in vitro cell survival data in split-dose and single-dose experiments. It should be noted that the model parameters of \((\alpha_0, \beta_0)\) depends on cell-cycle phase represented as DNA amount \(<G>\) and SLDR rate \(c\), as expressed in Eq. (3). The set of model parameters \((\alpha_0, \beta_0)\) as functions of \(<G>\) and \(c\) can represent the difference of cell survival curves between the plateau phase and the logarithmic growth phase, as reported previously.\(^{31}\)

II.B. Incorporation of OERDSB into the IMK model

Considering strand breaks induced by oxygen-related radicals,\(^{1,21,27}\) the yield ratio of PLL under any oxygen pressure, \(p_{O2}\) (%), and that by direct and indirect effect under 100% \(O_2\), \(\varphi(p_{O2})\) (in other word, weighting factor of PLL induction by the radicals), is newly introduced in our model. The PLL yield in the previous IMK model can be replaced by \(k_d = k_0 \varphi(p_{O2})\), where \(k_0\) is PLL yield per DNA amount per Gy without oxygen-related indirect effects. The OER at the endpoint of DSB (OERDSB) is defined by the ratio,

\[
\text{OER}_{\text{DSB}}(p_{O2}) = \frac{k_0 \varphi(100\%)}{k_0 \varphi(p_{O2})}. \quad \therefore \text{OER}_{\text{DSB}}(p_{O2}) \geq 1.0, (4)
\]

where \(\varphi(100\%)\) is for oxygen \(p_{O2} (= 100\%)\), and \(\varphi(p_{O2})\) is for a lower oxygen concentration compared to \(\varphi(100\%)\). Throughout, we consistently refer to the oxygen concentration of \(p_{O2} \geq 20\%\) as oxic condition. By incorporating the OERDSB\((p_{O2})\) into the expression of PLL yield described in Eq.(3), the cell-specific parameters of \((\alpha_0, \beta_0)\) and surviving fraction can be re-expressed as follows,

\[
\alpha_0^* = \frac{\alpha_0}{\text{OER}_{\text{DSB}}(p_{O2})}, \quad \beta_0^* = \frac{\beta_0}{[\text{OER}_{\text{DSB}}(p_{O2})]^2}, (5)
\]

\[
-\ln S = (\alpha_0^* + \gamma \beta_0^*)D + F \beta_0^* D^2. (6)
\]

Here, it is noted that the parameters \(\alpha_0^*\) and \(\beta_0^*\) are cell specific, and OER is the value as a function of \(p_{O2}\) at the endpoint of DSB as denoted by OERDSB\((p_{O2})\).

For the dependency of \(p_{O2}\) on the OERDSB, we used the traditional Alper and Howard-Flanders model.\(^{43}\) This model has been developed by Alper and Howard-Flanders\(^{43}\) to describe the relationship between radiosensitivity and oxygen concentration. The model function is given by

\[
\text{OER}_{\text{DSB}}(p_{O2}) = \frac{p_{O2} + p_{O2\text{half}}}{p_{O2} + p_{O2\text{half}} \cdot \text{OER}_{\text{DSB}}(0\%)} (7)
\]

where \(\text{OER}_{\text{DSB}}(0\%)\) is the maximum OER\(_{\text{DSB}}\) under the condition of \(p_{O2} = 0\%\), and \(p_{O2\text{half}}\) is the oxygen pressure in % corresponding to the half effect on radio-sensitivity. It should be noted that once the set of model parameters \((\alpha_0, \beta_0, \text{OER}_{\text{DSB}}(0\%), p_{O2\text{half}})\) is determined by a fitting approach, the set of cell-specific parameters considering OER\(_{\text{DSB}}\) \((\alpha_0^*, \beta_0^*)\) and cell survival probability \(S\) under any oxygen pressure, \(p_{O2}\), can be derived using Eqs. (5) and (6).
II.C. Determination of model parameters by MCMC

To test the present model expressed by Eqs. (4)-(7), the model was applied to experimental survival of CHO-K1 cells after the exposure to 250 kVp X-rays under various oxygen conditions acutely created ($p_{O_2} = 0\%, 0.5\%, 20\%$). Among the three tested oxygen concentrations, the cell-cycle phase remains almost unchanged, and the cell-cycle dependency can thus be ignored. To obtain the model parameters, we simultaneously fitted the IMK model to experimental data under normoxia and acute low oxygen conditions. The model consists of six parameters of $(\alpha_0, \beta_0, \gamma, (a+c), \text{OER}_{\text{DSB}}(0\%), p_{O_2,\text{half}})$ as expressed in Eqs. (5) and (6). Among them, because $\gamma$ is a physical parameter based on the radiation track structure, its value for 250 kVp X-rays is obtained from a previous report on Monte Carlo simulation for radiation transport. The set of cell-specific parameters $(\alpha_0, \beta_0, (a+c))$ for CHO-K1 cells in the logarithmic growth phase, which can be used for testing the present model in comparison with experimental data, has already been presented in our previous report. Using those parameters as the prior information and the experimental data, we updated the set of cell-specific parameters $(\alpha_0, \beta_0, (a+c))$ and determined the chemical-related parameters $(\text{OER}_{\text{DSB}}(0\%), p_{O_2,\text{half}})$ via Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) simulation based on the Bayesian theorem.

In the MCMC approach, the likelihood for logarithm of $S$ was assumed to be a normal distribution as previously reported. The prior information for $p_{O_2,\text{half}}$ was also set to be a uniform distribution, while that for $\text{OER}_{\text{DSB}}(0\%)$ associated with the cell survival data under $p_{O_2} = 0\%$ is sampled. After the determination of the five parameters $(\alpha_0, \beta_0, (a+c), \text{OER}_{\text{DSB}}(0\%), p_{O_2,\text{half}})$, we calculated the cell surviving fraction by the present model (Eqs. (5)-(7)) and compared the results with experimental data taken from the literatures.

II.D. Dependency of oxygen concentration on OER at 10 % cell survival level

For checking the dependency of $p_{O_2}$ on the traditional OER at 10 % survival level (hereafter noted by OERSF_{10}), we also calculated the model-deduced OERSF_{10} (Eqs. (5)-(7)), and compared with the reference data for photon irradiation. Using absorbed dose leading to 10% cell survival as a function of $D_{10}(p_{O_2})$, the OERSF_{10} can be defined by

$$\text{OERSF}_{10}(p_{O_2}) = \frac{D_{10}(p_{O_2})}{D_{10}(100\%)},$$

where $D_{10}(100\%)$ is the $D_{10}$ under an oxygen-rich condition with $p_{O_2}=100\%$.

II.E. Estimation of cell survival considering cell cycle for chronic hypoxia and reoxygenation

In order to deal with chronic low oxygen conditions and reoxygenation in fractionated radiotherapy, the dependency of radio-sensitivity on cell-cycle phase should be taken into account. For this, we modified the part of the IMK model related to the cell-cycle condition. The parameters $(\alpha_0, \beta_0)$ depend on the cell-cycle condition of $C_p = (<G>, <G^2>, c)$ as expressed in Eqs. (2) and (3), where $<G>$ is the mean DNA amount per nucleus and $c$ is the constant rate of SLDR. In our previous
model\textsuperscript{31}, $\langle G \rangle$ and $\langle G^2 \rangle$ were calculated from the probability density of $G$ (DNA profile) measured by flow cytometer. However, the cell-cycle information is commonly given by the three phases, G\textsubscript{1}, S, and G\textsubscript{2}/M. Thus, taking approximation that relative DNA amount of S phase to G\textsubscript{1} phase is 1.5, we tried to obtain $\langle G \rangle$ and $\langle G^2 \rangle$. Here, let $f_{G1}$, $f_S$ and $f_{G2/M}$ be the fractions of G\textsubscript{1}, S and G\textsubscript{2}/M phases, respectively, then $\langle G \rangle$ and $\langle G^2 \rangle$ can be obtained from the cell-cycle fractions according to the following formulae,

\begin{align*}
\langle G \rangle &= G_{G1} f_{G1} + G_S f_S + G_{G2/M} f_{G2/M} \tag{9a} \\
\langle G^2 \rangle &= G_{G1}^2 f_{G1} + G_S^2 f_S + G_{G2/M}^2 f_{G2/M}. \tag{9b}
\end{align*}

where $G_{G1}$, $G_S$ and $G_{G2/M}$ are DNA amount per nucleus for G\textsubscript{1}, S and G\textsubscript{2}/M phase (= 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 as the relative $G$ values for simplicity), respectively. Meanwhile, the SLDR rate of $c$ depends on the fraction of cells in S phase,\textsuperscript{31} which is written by

\begin{equation}
\frac{dc}{df_S} = c_0 + \frac{dc}{df_S}. \tag{10}
\end{equation}

Here, $c_0$ is the intrinsic SLDR rate independent of $f_S$, and $dc/df_S$ is the differential rate of SLDR per $f_S$, previously given as $0.0287 \pm 0.0128$ in h\textsuperscript{-1}/% for the CHO-K1 cells.\textsuperscript{31}

Using the values of OER\textsubscript{DSB}(p\textsubscript{O2}) and $C_r = (\langle G \rangle, \langle G^2 \rangle, c)$, we estimated survival curves for various oxygen conditions, i.e., chronic lower oxygen ($p\textsubscript{O2} = 0\%$, 0.5\%) and reoxygenation ($p\textsubscript{O2} = 20\%$).

II.F. Biological effective dose

The $\alpha/\beta$ value is characterized on the basis of the linear-quadratic relation as functions of dose-delivery time\textsuperscript{35} and OER\textsubscript{DSB}(p\textsubscript{O2}). We calculated the $\alpha/\beta$ and the BED values by the following equations,

\begin{align*}
\frac{\alpha}{\beta} &= \frac{\alpha_0}{\beta_0 F} + \gamma F, \tag{11} \\
\text{BED} &= nD_n \left( 1 + \frac{D_n}{\alpha/\beta} \right), \tag{12}
\end{align*}

where $D_n$ is the absorbed dose per fraction and $n$ is the number of fractions in multi-fractionated radiotherapy. It should be noted that this work does not consider repopulation during fractionated irradiation.\textsuperscript{46} We calculated the uncertainty of BED as well by utilizing the MCMC method.\textsuperscript{35}

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

III.A. Validation of the proposed model considering OER\textsubscript{DSB}

Figure 1 shows the comparison of the present model with experimental data.\textsuperscript{14,15} The model parameters ($\alpha_0$, $\beta_0$, $\gamma$; ($a+c$), OER\textsubscript{DSB}(0\%), $p_{O2,hal}$) determined by MCMC simulations are listed in Table I. Based on this analysis, OER\textsubscript{DSB}(20\%), OER\textsubscript{DSB}(0.5\%) and OER\textsubscript{DSB}(0\%) were estimated to be $1.02 \pm 0.14$, $1.50 \pm 0.21$ and $2.39 \pm 0.33$, respectively. The coefficient of determination ($R^2$ value) of
the model curves calculated from the mean values of the parameters was found to be 0.966, which
indicates that the inclusion of OER_{DSB}(p_{O2}) works well to reproduce the dose-response curves on the
cell survival for acute hypoxic (p_{O2} = 0.5%) and anoxic (p_{O2} = 0%).

![Graph showing cell survival curves for various oxygen concentrations](image)

**Fig. 1.** Cell survival curve for various oxygen concentrations acutely created. The model parameters
\( \alpha_0, \beta_0, \gamma, (a+c), \text{OER}_{DSB}(0\%) \) and \( p_{O2,\text{half}} \) which describe the survival curve are listed in Table I. In Fig.
1, the line and symbol represent the calculated curve and the experimental data,\(^{14,15}\) respectively, for
three oxygen pressures, i.e., \( p_{O2} = 0\%, 0.5\% \) and 20%. Note that the cell-cycle is in a logarithmic
growth phase in the cell growth curve.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Values (mean ± sd)</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>How to determine the value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_0 )</td>
<td>1.81×10^{-1} ± 0.15×10^{-1}</td>
<td>Gy^{-1}</td>
<td>Coefficient to ( D(p_{O2} = 100%) )</td>
<td>MCMC with Refs. (14,15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \beta_0 )</td>
<td>1.89×10^{-2} ± 0.17×10^{-2}</td>
<td>Gy^{-2}</td>
<td>Coefficient to ( D^2(p_{O2} = 100%) )</td>
<td>MCMC with Refs. (14,15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma )</td>
<td>0.924</td>
<td>Gy</td>
<td>( y_6/\rho_i r^2 ) for 250 kVp X-rays</td>
<td>Ref. (34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( (a+c) )</td>
<td>1.81 ± 0.43</td>
<td>h^{-1}</td>
<td>SLDR rate ([a+c] \cong c)</td>
<td>MCMC with Refs. (14,15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( dc/df_s )</td>
<td>2.87×10^{-2} ± 1.28×10^{-2}</td>
<td>h^{-1}/%</td>
<td>Differential SLDR rate per ( f_s )</td>
<td>Ref. (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OER_{DSB}(0%)</td>
<td>2.39 ± 0.33</td>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum OER_{DSB}(p_{O2} = 0%)</td>
<td>MCMC with Refs. (14,15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p_{O2,\text{half}} )</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.29</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>( p_{O2} ) leading to half oxygen effects</td>
<td>MCMC with Refs. (14,15)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Published experimental OER_{DSB} values range from 2.0 to 3.2.\(^{47,48}\) These agree well with the
model-deduced OER_{DSB} value of 2.39 ± 0.33, suggesting that the incorporation of the OER_{DSB}(p_{O2})
into the yield of PLLs \( (k_d) \) as the enhancement ratio by oxygen-related indirect effects\(^{1,27}\) is precise,
and the PLL can be linked to lethal lesions caused by DSBs. To further test this modeling of oxygen effects, we also checked the dependency of oxygen concentration in % on OER at 10% survival level (conventional OER noted by OERSF10). By adapting the Alper and Howard-Flanders model\textsuperscript{43} to the present model (Eqs. (5)-(7)), we calculated the dependency of OERSF10 on oxygen pressure $p_{O2}$ as shown in Fig. 2, where solid and dotted lines represent the mean value and 95% CI calculated by the model, respectively. The OERSF10 curve calculated by this model agreed well with the OER values in the literatures\textsuperscript{10,43} (squares and diamonds in Fig. 2). Focusing on the maximum OERSF10 value of $p_{O2} = 0\%$, the OERSF10(0\%) is 2.43 with 26.7\% uncertainty (OERSF10 = 1.78-3.08: 95\% CI), which agrees well with previous in vitro OERSF10 value of $2.3 \pm 0.1$\textsuperscript{15} and $2.8 \pm 0.2$\textsuperscript{40} for irradiation with 200 kVp and 250 kVp X-rays in CHO-K1 cells. In addition, even in case of $p_{O2} = 100\%$, the OERSF10(100\%) contains 28.4\% uncertainty with 95\% CI due to the uncertainties of model parameters [$\alpha_0$, $\beta_0$, ($a+c$)].

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig2.png}
\caption{Dependency of OERSF10 on oxygen concentration. Solid and dotted lines represent the mean value and the uncertainty with 95\% CI calculated by the present model (Eqs. (5)-(7)), while the symbols (square and diamond) are the reference data on OER at the endpoint of 10\% survival level.\textsuperscript{10,43} The uncertainty represented by the dotted line was calculated using a MCMC simulation.}
\end{figure}

In the course of uncertainty evaluation, the OER\textsubscript{DSB} obtained by applying the present model with oxygen effects to experimental cell survival data\textsuperscript{14,15} is consistent with previous data reported in the literatures,\textsuperscript{47,49} suggesting that the model accurately describes any radio-sensitivities for a variety of oxygen concentrations, i.e., normoxia, acute hypoxia and acute anoxia.
III.B. Estimation of cell survival for chronic hypoxia and reoxygenation

Based on the results as shown in Figs. 1 and 2, the radio-sensitivities (cell survival curves) under chronic hypoxia (pO₂ = 0.5%) and anoxia (pO₂ = 0%) were calculated. Taking account of experimental cell-cycle data\textsuperscript{15} as a potential cause leading to different radio-resistance degrees under acute and chronic anoxia, we calculated the cell survival for two cases of chronic hypoxia (pO₂ = 0.5%) and chronic anoxia (pO₂ = 0%). Figures 3A and 3B show the cell survival curves under the chronic hypoxia and chronic anoxia, respectively, where the red dotted line is the curve under acute lower oxygen cases, the blue solid line is that under the chronic case, and the black solid line is that under the oxic condition (pO₂ = 20%). As shown in Fig. 3A, there is no change of the survival curve between the chronic hypoxia pO₂ = 0.5% (black solid line) and the acute hypoxia (red dotted line) because of the similar cell-cycle distribution between acute hypoxia (which has the same distribution as normoxia) and chronic hypoxia (as shown in Table II). Meanwhile, in good agreement with experimental data\textsuperscript{15} (circle in Fig. 3B), the radio-sensitivity under chronic anoxia pO₂ = 0% (blue solid line in Fig. 3B) is estimated to be higher than acute anoxia due to the reduced fraction of cells in S phase. The model analysis suggests that the change of cell-cycle under chronic anoxia should be considered for reproducing experimental radio-sensitivity under chronic anoxia (pO₂ = 0%). If we assume a therapeutic situation, the radio-sensitivity under chronic anoxia (shown in Fig. 3B) should be taken into account for irradiating necrotic anoxic cell population more than 70 µm away from blood capillaries throughout tumour.\textsuperscript{3}

Table II Model parameters for various oxygen pressures chronically created

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pO₂</th>
<th>G₁</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>G₂</th>
<th>relative &lt;G₁&gt; **</th>
<th>relative &lt;G₂&gt; **</th>
<th>ε (h⁻¹)</th>
<th>α₀*</th>
<th>β₀*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 %</td>
<td>62.7 ± 1.3</td>
<td>19.6 ± 1.1</td>
<td>17.4 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.08 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>4.28 × 10⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5 %</td>
<td>36.6 ± 2.3</td>
<td>42.4 ± 1.2</td>
<td>20.4 ± 1.5</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.19 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>8.04 × 10⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 %</td>
<td>34.4 ± 3.0</td>
<td>43.1 ± 2.5</td>
<td>21.8 ± 0.7</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.78 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>1.89 × 10⁻²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Cell-cycle data\textsuperscript{15} provided by Ma et al. in a private communication.

** The DNA amounts noted as <G₁> and <G₂> were normalized by the values under pO₂ = 20%.
Fig. 3. Estimation of cell survival curve under chronic hypoxia and anoxia: (A) chronic hypoxia (\(p_{O2} = 0.5\%\)) and (B) chronic anoxia (\(p_{O2} = 0\%\)). The curves in Fig. 3 were predicted by using Eqs. (5)-(7), (9), (10) and Table I and II, where the red dotted line is the curve under acute lower oxygen case, the blue solid line is that under chronic case, and the black solid line is that in air (\(p_{O2} = 20\%\)). The \(R^2\) values for chronic hypoxia and anoxia were 0.986 and 0.943, respectively.

We next focused on reoxygenation from chronic cases. According to the experimental cell-cycle dynamics during reoxygenation,\(^{15}\) the accumulation of cells in G\(_1\) phase under chronic anoxia (\(p_{O2} = 0\%\)) decreases with the increase of the cells in S phase, while the cell fraction in S phase increases slightly at 24 h after reoxygenated from the chronic hypoxia (\(p_{O2} = 0.5\%\)). Taking these facts into account, we estimated the cell parameters \(C_p = (\langle G \rangle, \langle G^2 \rangle, c)\) as shown in Table III and calculated the cell survival curve for the case of reoxygenation (\(p_{O2} = 0\%\) or \(0.5\% \rightarrow 20\%\)). Figure 4 shows a comparison between the model calculation and the experimental survival.\(^{15}\) As expected by the changes of OER\(_{DSB}(p_{O2})\) (i.e., from 2.39 ± 0.33 to 1.02 ± 0.14 for the anoxic case, and from 1.50 ± 0.21 to 1.02 ± 0.14 for the hypoxic case), the calculated radio-sensitivities after reoxygenation are almost the same as that in air (\(p_{O2} = 20\%\)), except for the case of 1 h after releasing cultured cells from chronic anoxia to normoxia. Meanwhile, as shown in Fig. 4A, the model exhibits higher radio-sensitivity for case of 24 h after reoxygenation (recovered from chronic hypoxia) than that in air even at the same absorbed dose. Note that this change of radio-sensitivity results from the change in cell-cycle phase from this model estimation.

From these comparisons between the estimation and the experimental data,\(^{15}\) both oxygen effects, OER\(_{DSB}(p_{O2})\), and cell-cycle phase, \(C_p\), are necessary to predict the difference of radio-sensitivity after reoxygenation from air. However, the model estimation for reoxygenation from chronic anoxia 1 h prior to irradiation (Fig. 4B) shows discrepancies with the experimental data.\(^{15}\) At this point, incomplete recovery of oxygen concentrations from chronic anoxia is suspected.\(^{50}\) On the contrary, this model does not consider the time course of oxygen concentrations after returning cells to normoxia. Further investigation and model development for the early phase of reoxygenation from anoxia are necessary for investigating the cause of the discrepancies.
Table III Model parameters for reoxygenation from chronic hypoxia and anoxia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$p_{O_2}$</th>
<th>$t$ (h)</th>
<th>Cell-cycle distribution (%)*</th>
<th>Cell condition $C_p$</th>
<th>Coefficients for dose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$G_1$</td>
<td>$S$</td>
<td>$G_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1 h</td>
<td>60.5 ± 3.5</td>
<td>18.4 ± 4.2</td>
<td>21.1 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>12 h</td>
<td>42.6 ± 0.9</td>
<td>39.0 ± 3.9</td>
<td>18.3 ± 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0 h</td>
<td>36.6 ± 2.3</td>
<td>42.4 ± 1.2</td>
<td>20.4 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>42.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>36.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>20.8 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Cell-cycle data$^{15}$ provided by Ma et al. in a private communication.

** The DNA amounts noted as $<G>$ and $<G^2>$ were normalized by the values under $p_{O_2} = 20%$.

Fig. 4. Estimation of reoxygenation for chronic hypoxia and anoxia: (A) hypoxia ($p_{O_2} = 0.5%$) and (B) anoxia ($p_{O_2} = 0%$). As in the same manner as Fig. 3, the curves were estimated based on Eqs. (5)-(7), (9), (10) and Table I, II and III. The $R^2$ values for 1 h and 12 h after reoxygenation from chronic hypoxia were 0.915 and 0.922, respectively, and those for 1 h and 24 h after reoxygenation from chronic anoxia were 0.507 and 0.893, respectively.

III.C. Uncertainty of BED value for various oxygen pressures

Assuming realistic clinical cases eliminating cancer in external radiotherapy with 6MV-linac X-rays at a high dose rate of 2.5 Gy/min, we used the set of model parameters \([ (\alpha_0, \beta_0, \gamma, (a+c)) = (0.100 \pm 0.027, 0.035 \pm 0.002, 0.480, 2.218 \pm 0.401) ]\) for non-small lung carcinoma (NSCLC).$^{35}$ Using Eqs. (12) and (13), we provide the calculated BED as a function of absorbed dose per fraction ($D_n$) (total dose 60 Gy$^{51}$) as an example of a clinical case.

Figure 5 shows the dose-dependency of the BED value under three oxygen concentrations, (A) for $p_{O_2} = 20$, (B) for $p_{O_2} = 0.5$ and (C) for $p_{O_2} = 0%$, where the solid line and the dotted line represent the mean value and the 68% uncertainty, respectively. Focusing on the conventional 2 Gy per fraction (Fig. 5(i)), the BED under $p_{O_2} = 0%$ is 76.7 (72.7-84.3: 68% CI) while that under $p_{O_2} = 0.5%$ is 85.1 (79.4-95.6: 68% CI). Based on the outcome of NSCLC in a clinical application,$^{51}$ the hypo-fractionated scheme$^{51,52}$ i.e., $3 \times 20$ Gy is a tolerated dose resulting in high local control rates.
with minimum normal tissue damage. To this regimen, the BED under $p_{O_2} = 0\%$ is 151.7 (130.5-190.8: 68% CI) while that under $p_{O_2} = 0.5\%$ is 120.8 (106.3-148.6: 68% CI), as shown in Fig. 5(ii).

As reported previously, the BED value increases as the number of fractions increases in radiotherapy due to dose-rate effects. In addition, it should be noted that the BED as a function of OER<sub>DSB($p_{O_2}$)</sub> is newly provided here, showing that the BED is reduced as the oxygen concentration decreases. By taking advantage of the model considering OER<sub>DSB($p_{O_2}$)</sub>, the mean value and the uncertainty of the biological impacts in fractionated radiotherapy can be calculated for various oxygen concentrations.

![Figure 5](image)

**Fig. 5.** BED as functions of dose per fraction and oxygen concentration: (A) oxia, (B) hypoxia and (C) anoxia. Left and right panels are the range of low dose per fraction and that of the wide dose range. A realistic clinical case to eliminate NSCLC in the external radiotherapy with 6MV-linear X-rays at 2.5 Gy/min is assumed. Solid line and dotted line represent the mean value and the 68% uncertainty, which are calculated by using the set of model parameters in H1299 cells as reported previously.
The BED value with its uncertainty in tumour cells (shown in Fig. 5) is important information for planning within the fractionated regimen, while the logarithm of cell survival ($-\log S$), which can be obtained from Eq. (1), can contribute to pre-clinical evaluation for predicting optical fractionated regimen from the plot of the relation between tumour damage and damage to organ at risk (TO plot). The proposed model enables us to predict cell killing and the BED values for various oxygen concentrations (shown in Fig. 1, Fig. 3, Fig.4A and Fig. 5); however, there are still some issues within the model concerning reoxygenation from chronic anoxia (as shown as blue line in Fig. 4B). Assuming the case of the conventional fractionated radiotherapy with 2 Gy per fraction every day (at about 24h intervals), the model can provide the cell survival probability under reoxygenation with high precision (as shown with the red line in Fig. 4B). Further model development for evaluating time course of oxygen concentration is obviously necessary in future study, while the present model development is sufficient for predicting biological effects in fractionated radiotherapy at around 24 h intervals.

IV. CONCLUSION

This work presents a theoretical cell-killing model reproducible for radio-sensitivities for both acute and chronic low oxygen concentrations. By incorporating OER_{DSB($p_{O2}$)} into the DNA damage yield term, the present IMK model enables us to calculate the conventional tendency of OER at the endpoint of 10% survival level and cell survival curves flexibly under acute and chronic low oxygen concentrations. The results suggest that oxygen-enhancement to DNA damage and cell-cycle phase are necessary for predicting radio-sensitivity under chronic hypoxia and anoxia. In addition, except for the case of 1 h after releasing cultured cells from chronic anoxia to normoxia, most cases under reoxygenated conditions can also be reproduced by using this model. Focusing on pre-clinical evaluation for fractionated regimens, the biological effectiveness dose (BED) along with its uncertainty can be also calculated by this model considering oxygen effects, which may contribute to making treatment plans in radiation therapy. If the oxygen concentration ($p_{O2}$) in the tumor can be quantified by medical imaging, i.e., MRI, the cell-killing effects and BED value in various cell lines could be estimated using the OER_{DSB} values presented here. However, the cell-cycle dynamics under chronic anoxia and the time course of oxygen pressure in the cell nucleus after reoxygenated have to be further investigated in future studies.
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