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Corrosion inhibition effect of metal cations on mild steel was investigated by immersion tests and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy in simulated fresh water with high pH at different temperatures. Immersion tests showed the different corrosion rates in the different solutions, and the Zn$^{2+}$ containing solution showed the minimum corrosion rate at the experimental temperatures. The specimen immersed in the Zn$^{2+}$ containing solution showed comparatively smooth surface which was observed by scanning electron microscopy and atomic force microscopy. EIS and XPS results suggested that Zn$^{2+}$ attached to the steel surface and formed a layer, thereby improving the corrosion inhibition ability of steel.
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1. **Introduction**

Mild steels are very common and widely used metallic material due to low cost and good mechanical properties. These steels are used in the room temperature and in high temperatures environments such as boilers, liquid transportation pipelines and machinery parts. Corrosion of steel is a serious problem that depends on some important factors such as dissolved oxygen, concentration of Cl\(^-\), pH and temperature of the environment. There are many studies have been carried out on corrosion of mild steel in aqueous environment [1-8]. Passive films on the surface have a great influence on corrosion inhibition of steel, and the stability and structure of the passive films depends on the environmental conditions. The passive films are usually destroyed in presence of anions especially Cl\(^-\) [9-12], and after destruction of the passive films, metal dissolution starts with a coupled of electrochemical reactions (cathodic and anodic reactions).

There are several studies have been carried out that metal cations inhibit the corrosion of steel in aqueous solutions [13-26]. Drazic *et al.* [13] explained that Cd\(^{2+}\), Mn\(^{2+}\) and Zn\(^{2+}\) inhibited the corrosion of iron by lowering the hydrogen evaluation current density in H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) solutions at room temperature. Leidheiser Jr. *et al.* [14, 15] explained that some metal cations act as an effective corrosion inhibitors under certain experimental condition. They reported that Sn\(^{2+}\) and Pb\(^{2+}\) effectively inhibited the corrosion of iron and steel in acid solutions by changing the protective nature of oxide on the surface, and Co\(^{2+}\) and Ni\(^{2+}\) effectively inhibited the corrosion of galvanized steel in 3% NaCl solutions by forming an insoluble barrier layer at the metal surface. Application of rare earth (RE) metal cation as a corrosion inhibitor was first proposed by Goldie and McCarrol in 1984. Khedr *et al.* [16, 17] reported the effect of some metal cations (K\(^+\), Mg\(^{2+}\), Cu\(^{2+}\), Zn\(^{2+}\), Hg\(^{2+}\), Cd\(^{2+}\), Co\(^{2+}\) and Ni\(^{2+}\)) on the corrosion of aluminum in neutral and acid Cl\(^-\) solutions. In some nuclear power reactors, zinc ions are added into high temperature water for suppressing corrosion of the reactor component materials [18]. Zhang *et al.*
al. [19] reported that the inhibition effect of metal cations (Na\(^+\), Ca\(^{2+}\), Mn\(^{2+}\) and Zn\(^{2+}\)) to intergranular stress corrosion cracking of sensitized type-304 stainless steel in 10\(^{-5}\) kmol/m\(^3\) sulfate solutions, and they showed that hard metal cation forms a layer on the surface by bonding with the surface film. Amadeh et al. [20] introduced the usages of rare earth metal cations (Ce\(^{4+}\) and La\(^{4+}\)) as corrosion inhibitors for carbon steel in aerated NaCl solution. Otani et al. [21] reported that the inhibition effects of metal cations (Na\(^+\), K\(^+\), Ca\(^{2+}\), Mg\(^{2+}\), Zn\(^{2+}\) and Ni\(^{2+}\)) on corrosion of A3003 aluminum alloy in model tap water at room temperature, and they [22] also reported that Zn\(^{2+}\) and Al\(^{3+}\) significantly inhibited the corrosion of mild steel in model fresh water. Islam et al. [23-25] reported that Zn\(^{2+}\) inhibited the corrosion of mild steel in Cl\(^-\) aqueous solution at room temperature, and they [26] also explained that Al\(^{3+}\) significantly inhibited the corrosion of type-304 stainless steel in 0.5 mol L\(^{-1}\) Cl\(^-\) aqueous solution at room temperature. Several researchers also reported that metal cations significantly enhanced the inhibition performance of some corrosion inhibitors [27-34]. Therefore, it has been established that metal cations significantly inhibit the corrosion of metal in aqueous solutions.

Almost all of studies regarding the corrosion inhibition of metal by metal cations have been carried out at room temperature, and the inhibition effects were not fully explained as well. Moreover, it is still not fully elucidated the mechanism of corrosion inhibition effect of metal cations on mild steel in fresh water with a high pH at different temperatures. In the case of boiler feed water, the pH is usually controlled at a value of higher than 9.5 [35-38] to avoid the formation of carbonic acid (H\(_2\)CO\(_3\)) and its species (HCO\(_3^\-)\) [39]. Therefore, the experimental tests were carried out in fresh water with a high pH (9.5). The present research purpose is to clarify the mechanism of inhibition effects of metal cations on corrosion of mild steel at different temperatures in the high pH simulated fresh water.

In the present study, the differences in the corrosion inhibition abilities of Na\(^+\), Mg\(^{2+}\), Zn\(^{2+}\) and Al\(^{3+}\) in the high pH simulated fresh water at different temperatures were investigated by
electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) and immersion tests with mass loss measurement. Influences of metal cations on the surface film structure of mild steel were analyzed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), and changes in the surface morphology due to immersion in the solutions were observed by scanning electron microscope (SEM) and atomic force microscope (AFM).

2. Experimental

2.1 Specimens

The composition (mass%) of mild steel samples used for this experiment was as follows: C = 0.02; Mn = 0.18; P = 0.015; S < 0.01; and Fe = balance. The mild steel sheet of 0.7 mm thickness was cut into 7 × 7 mm to carry out different tests. For the potentiodynamic polarization and EIS measurements, each specimen was connected to a conductive wire and embedded in epoxy resin leaving the exposed surface. For the immersion corrosion tests, the specimens were also embedded in resin leaving the exposed surface. For both experiments, the exposed surface of specimens was mechanically abraded with a series of SiC abrasive paper up to #4000 grit size. In the case of immersion tests, the abraded specimens were removed from the resin beforehand the tests. Before the experimental tests, all the specimens were ultrasonically cleaned in ethanol and in highly purified water.

2.2 Test solutions

Three different salt solutions of 10⁻⁴ mol L⁻¹ MgCl₂ (Mg_sol), 10⁻⁴ mol L⁻¹ ZnCl₂ (Zn_sol) and 10⁻⁴ mol L⁻¹ AlCl₃ (Al_sol) were prepared. The Cl⁻ concentration also plays an important role in corrosion of metals, therefore, the concentration of Cl⁻ of all these solutions was adjusted to 10⁻³ mol L⁻¹ by NaCl, which is similar to that of usual fresh water [22]. These solutions were used as test solutions together with 10⁻³ mol L⁻¹ NaCl (Na_sol) which was used as a reference.
solution. The pH of the solutions was adjusted to about 9.5 using 10^{-1} \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ NaOH} (\text{Table 1}).

Fig. S1 shows the condition of solutions before the experiment.

The pH of the solutions before and after immersion tests was measured by the pH meter (Eutech Instruments, Cyber-Scan 6000). Water used in this experiment was highly purified (MILLIPORE, Simplicity UV). Before the experiment, all the test solutions were colorless and transparent (Fig. S1). All the chemicals used in this study were commercially available special grade and were obtained from Kanto Chemical Co. Ltd.

2.3 Immersion tests

Specimens were immersed in each solution at 25, 50 and 80°C for 1, 2 and 3 d. Immersion tests were carried out with three replicates of each solution in each temperature at a time. The exposed surface area of the specimens was 0.49 cm^2, and the test was carried out by keeping the solutions open to the air. The mass of the specimen before and after the tests was measured using a microbalance (METTLER TOLEDO MX5, Pro FACT) to obtain the mass change. Corrosion rates and the corrosion inhibition efficiencies of metal cations were calculated based on the mass loss during the immersion tests by the Eqs. (1) and (2) [23-26].

\[
\text{Corrosion rate (µm/y)} = \frac{M_1 - M_2}{D \times S \times t} \times 365 \times 10000
\]

(1)

Here \(M_1\) (g) is the mass of the specimen before immersion, \(M_2\) (g) is the mass of the specimen after immersion, \(D\) (g/cm^3) is the density of the specimen, \(S\) (cm^2) is the surface area of the specimen and \(t\) (d) is the immersion time.

\[
\text{Corrosion inhibition efficiency (%) =} \frac{\text{Na}_{\text{CR}} - \text{CAT}_{\text{CR}}}{\text{Na}_{\text{CR}}} \times 100
\]

(2)

Here \(\text{Na}_{\text{CR}}\) is the corrosion rate of specimens immersed in the Na_{sol}, and \(\text{CAT}_{\text{CR}}\) is the corrosion rate of specimens immersed in Mg_{sol}, Zn_{sol} and Al_{sol}, and the corrosion inhibition efficiency of Na^+ is considered zero.
A digital camera (Nikon, D80) was used for taking the photograph of the sample surface and the glass container overview before and after immersion, a scanning electron microscope (SEM, JEOL, JSL6510-LA) was used for high magnification observation of the sample surface. The red color corrosion products (rust) formed on the specimen surface after immersion in the solutions was analyzed by X-ray diffraction (XRD, Rigaku, SmartLab). For the processing of XRD data, integrated X-ray powder diffraction software (PDXL2) was used. The surface roughness [40, 41] was measured by atomic force microscope (AFM, SPA400) using dynamic force mode with the cantilever type, SI-DF40. X-ray photoelectron spectroscope (XPS, JEOL, JPS-9200) was used for surface analysis. During the XPS analysis, Al Kα was used as an X-ray source (1486.6 eV), and the measurement region of photoelectrons was 3 × 3 mm. The XPS depth analysis was carried out by sputtering of Ar ion. The sputtering time was converted to depth by the sputtering rate of SiO₂. Before the surface observation and analysis, the immersed specimens were cleaned ultrasonically first in ethanol and then in highly purified water.

2.4 Electrochemical measurements

Electrochemical tests were performed in a conventional three-electrode cell using a potentiostat (IVIUM TECHNOLOGIES, Pocketstat) connected to a personal computer. The tests were carried out with three replicates of each solution at 25, 50 and 80°C. Before the tests, the specimens were immersed in the solutions for 1 h at all these temperatures. A Pt plate was used as the counter electrode and the reference electrode was Ag(s)|AgCl(s)|Cl⁻ (aqueous, saturated KCl) (SSE). The exposed surface area of the specimen (working electrode) in the solution was 0.49 cm². The polarization measurements were carried out from immersion potential to the cathodic and anodic direction with a scan rate of 60 mV/min. The cathodic and anodic scan was started individually to obtain the specific electrochemical properties of mild steel immersed in the solutions with metal cations. The EIS measurements were carried out at
open circuit potential in the frequency range from 10 kHz to 1 mHz and a modulation amplitude of 10 mV. The IVIUM software was used to fit the EIS data.

3. Results and discussions

3.1 Immersion tests

The appearances of solutions and specimens after immersion in the solutions for 1, 2 and 3 d at 25, 50 and 80°C are shown in Fig. S2. The brightness of the solutions is different and the Zn<sub>sol</sub> is brighter as compared to the other solutions after 3 d immersion at 80°C. Red color corrosion products are observed on the specimens and in the solutions. The appearances (red color) and the brightness of the solutions may indicate the degree of corrosion of steel in the solutions. Fig. S3 a) and b) show the appearances of the specimen surfaces after immersion in the solutions for 3 d at 25, 50 and 80°C before the ultrasonic cleaning and after the ultrasonic cleaning respectively. All the cases red corrosion products (rust) are found on the specimen surface (Fig. S3 a)).

The pH of the test solutions before and after immersion for 3 d at 25, 50 and 80°C are shown in Table 1, where pH<sub>int</sub> is the initial pH of solutions, pH<sub>corr</sub> is the pH obtained after immersion tests. The pH is decreased after immersion (pH<sub>corr</sub>) in all solutions of metal cations. Several researchers also reported that the pH of solutions in such cases decreases with the hydrolysis of Fe<sup>2+</sup> and Fe<sup>3+</sup> [42, 43].

The mass of specimens was measured after immersion in the solutions at 25, 50 and 80°C for 1, 2 and 3 d. Fig. 1 a) shows the average mass changes of specimens with time. After 1 d immersion in the solutions, it is found that the average mass changes of specimens immersed in Mg<sub>sol</sub>, Zn<sub>sol</sub>, and Al<sub>sol</sub> are nearly the same, whereas the average mass change of the specimen immersed in Na<sub>sol</sub> is different. The average mass changes of specimen are gradually increasing with time. After 2 and 3 d immersion, each solution shows the different mass change, and Na<sub>sol</sub>
shows the highest mass change and Zn\text{sol} shows the lowest mass change as compared to the other solutions at all of the experimental temperatures.

The corrosion rates were calculated from the mass change after immersion in the solutions at 25, 50 and 80°C for 3 d. Fig. 1 b) shows the corrosion rates at different temperatures. The corrosion rate is gradually increasing with increasing the temperature. Na\text{sol} shows the highest and Zn\text{sol} shows the lowest corrosion rate as compared to the other solutions at all these temperatures. This result indicates that Zn\text{sol} has better corrosion inhibition ability than other solutions.

3.2 Surface observations and analysis

Corrosion behavior of specimens can be clarified by surface morphology changes that are occurred due to immersion in the solutions. The surface morphologies were observed by SEM to clarify the situation. Fig. 2 shows the surface SEM images of specimen immersed in the solutions at 25, 50, and 80°C for 1, 2 and 3 d. Different surface morphologies are observed on the specimen immersed in the different solutions. SEM images clearly indicate the numbers of pits and grain boundaries that are observed on the surface of specimen immersed in Na\text{sol}, Mg\text{sol} and Al\text{sol} at all the temperatures. The clearer grain boundaries are observed with increasing the temperatures on the surface of specimen immersed in Na\text{sol}, Mg\text{sol} and Al\text{sol}. These clear grain boundaries and pits represent the severe corrosion occurred on the mild steel surface. Some small pits are observed on the surface of specimen immersed in Zn\text{sol}, and the numbers of pits are increased with increasing the temperatures. Relatively smooth surface is observed on the specimen immersed in Zn\text{sol} as compared to the others. The results of surface observations are in good agreement with the corrosion rate as showed in the Fig. 1 b).

The surface roughness of each specimen was measured by AFM after immersed in the solutions at 25, 50, and 80°C for 3 d, and the AFM-3D images are shown in the Fig. S4. Different surface roughness is observed of specimen immersed in the different solutions with
metal cations. The average surface roughness ($R_a$) was calculated from the respective 3D images to enumerate the surface roughness. The calculated $R_a$ values are shown in Fig. 3 as a function of temperature. For all the cases, average surface roughness is increased with increasing the temperature. However, the specimen immersed in the Zn$_{sol}$ shows the lowest $R_a$ as compared to the specimen immersed in the other solutions. Zn related products may be covered the steel surface and thus metal dissolution reactions were inhibited. From this reason specimen immersed in the Zn$_{sol}$ showed the lowest roughness. These results correspond well to the surface observation by SEM as showed in the Fig. 2.

The red corrosion products (rust) formed on the specimen surface shown in Fig. S3 a) were analyzed by XRD to determine the crystal structure. Fig. 4 shows XRD patterns of the corrosion products on specimens after immersion in the solution at 80°C for 3 d. The results indicate that the corrosion products formed on the specimen surface in all the solutions consisted of FeOOH, Fe$_3$O$_4$, Fe$_2$O$_3$, and Fe, and metal cations are not detected in the corrosion products.

The specimen surfaces were examined by XPS to clarify the existence of metal cations on the mild steel surface after immersion in the solutions. Fig. 5 shows the results of XPS analysis of the surface of specimen immersed in each solution at 25, 50, and 80°C for 1 h. In the wide spectra, Zn2p1/2 and Zn2p3/2 peaks are observed on the specimens immersed in the solution at all temperatures whereas the other peaks of Na1s, Mg1s, and Al2p3/2 are not observed on the specimen immersed in the corresponding solution. This result indicates that only Zn existed on the surface after immersion in the Zn$_{sol}$ at all the experimental temperatures. XPS narrow scan with depth was performed to determine how Zn was distributed in the surface films. Right side of Fig. 5 shows the XPS narrow spectra of Zn2p3/2 with depth at 25, 50 and 80°C. At 25°C, sharp peaks of Zn2p3/2 are appeared with a depth of approximately 100 nm. It means that the thickness of the Zn-layer is about 100 nm. At 50 and 80°C, peaks of Zn2p3/2 are also appeared and the thickness of the layers are approximately 20 nm and 10 nm respectively. The
results suggest that Zn-layer exists on the surface at all temperatures. However, the thickness of the Zn-layer is decreased with increasing the temperature.

XPS narrow scan was analyzed to determine the chemical state of zinc that is existed on the steel surface. Fig. 6 shows the XPS narrow scan of Zn2p3/2 at 25, 50, and 80°C. In the case of 25°C (Fig. 6 a)), the peak of Zn2p3/2 is observed at 1022.5 eV (binding energy) which is related to the peak of Zn2+ and it is indicated to the formation of zinc hydroxide (Zn(OH)2) [44, 45]. Leygraf et al. [46] and Winiarski et al. [47] reported about the formation of corrosion product of Zn2+ as zinc hydroxide (Zn(OH)2) and carbonate based corrosion product as hydrozincite (Zn5(CO3)2(OH)6) on the steel surface at normal temperature. In the case of 50°C and 80°C (Fig. 6 b) and c)), the peak of Zn2p3/2 is observed at 1021.4 eV (binding energy) which is also related to the peak of Zn2+ and it is indicated to the formation of zinc oxide (ZnO) [44, 45]. Zhang [48] reported that Zn(OH)2 is generally the corrosion product formed in zinc containing water in the temperature range 0-30°C, and ZnO is the corrosion product in the temperature range 30-90°C. Winiarski et al. [47] also reported that ZnO is formed at the temperature around 60°C. Therefore, from the XPS results, it can be suggested that Zn2+ existed on the specimen surface as zinc hydroxide (Zn(OH)2) and carbonate based zinc corrosion product as hydrozincite (Zn5(CO3)2(OH)6) at 25°C, and at the higher temperatures (50°C and 80°C) Zn2+ existed on the specimen surface as zinc oxide (ZnO) after immersion in the solution, and it further made a chemical bond with the passive films which led to a layer of Zn2+. The layers of Zn2+ may have protected the steel from the Cl− attack and inhibited the metal dissolution reactions. The Zn-layer may have also some defects, and the area of defect may be increased with increasing the temperature. The defects of film further led to the formation of pits [34] and the numbers of pits were increased with increasing the temperatures which were showed in the Fig. 2. From this reason, the metal dissolution rate in Zn3sol (Fig. 1 b)) also increased with increasing the temperature. Na+ cannot existed on the steel surface as oxides or
hydroxides in the experimental conditions [22-26, 49]. From the mass change after 1 d immersion in the solutions (Fig. 1 a)), it is signifying that Mg$^{2+}$ and Al$^{3+}$ may be precipitated as oxides or hydroxides on the steel surface which inhibited the metal dissolution like as Zn$^{2+}$. However, the precipitations of both Mg$^{2+}$ and Al$^{3+}$ might not be stable and cannot form bond with the surface film with time as larger mass changes were observed compared with Zn$_{sol}$ after 2 and 3 d immersion (Fig. 1 a)). From these reasons the corrosion rate in Mg$_{sol}$ and Al$_{sol}$ showed lower than that in Na$_{sol}$ and higher than that in Zn$_{sol}$, and the lowest corrosion rate was observed in Zn$_{sol}$ as compared to the other solutions (Fig. 1 b)) at the experimental temperatures.

3.3 Electrochemical tests

3.3.1 Polarization curves

From the immersion tests results (surface observations and analysis), Zn$_{sol}$ showed better corrosion inhibition ability than other solutions. Therefore, the polarization behavior was studied only in Na$_{sol}$ and Zn$_{sol}$ at 25, 50 and 80°C. The potentiodynamic polarization curves are shown in Fig. 7. Fig. 7 a) shows the cathodic polarization curves in Na$_{sol}$ and Zn$_{sol}$ at 25, 50 and 80°C. At 25°C, higher current density is observed in Na$_{sol}$ than that in Zn$_{sol}$ at around the potential -0.5 V, and after the potential at around -1.0 V, there is no significant difference in the current density between Na$_{sol}$ and Zn$_{sol}$. At 50°C, higher current density is observed in Zn$_{sol}$ than that in Na$_{sol}$ at around the potential -0.5 V. However, after the potential at -1.0 V, Zn$_{sol}$ shows lower current density than that in Na$_{sol}$. At 80°C, Na$_{sol}$ shows higher current density than that in Zn$_{sol}$ after the potential at around -1.0 V. Fig. 7 b) shows the anodic polarization curves in Na$_{sol}$ and Zn$_{sol}$ at 25, 50 and 80°C. Lower current density is observed in Zn$_{sol}$ than that in Na$_{sol}$ at all the experimental temperatures. Therefore, it can be suggested that Zn$^{2+}$ containing solution has inhibition ability both in cathodic and anodic reactions as compared to the Na$^{+}$ containing solution at all the experimental temperatures.

3.3.2 EIS tests
EIS tests were carried out at 25, 50 and 80°C, and Figs. 8 a) to f) show the Bode diagram of impedance and phase shift plots. Fig. 8 g) shows the equivalent circuit which was used to fit the experimental data that simulates an electrode with a protective film having defect [34, 50]. The fitted lines are also shown in Figs. 8 a) to f) which were calculated by the equivalent circuit (Fig. 8 g)). The equivalent circuit consists (Fig. 8 g)) of bulk solution resistance ($R_{sol}$), resistance of the defects in the protective film ($R_d$), charge transfer resistance at the metal/solution interface inside the defect ($R_{ct}$), constant phase element of the double layer at the defect interface ($Q_{dl}$) and the constant phase element of the protective film ($Q_f$). The fitted lines correspond well to the experimental plots (Figs. 8 a) to f)). Some pits were also observed on the specimen immersed in the Zn$^{2+}$ containing solutions (as shown in Fig. 2) suggesting that the protective Zn-layer formed on the immersed specimens had some defects that led to the formation of pits [34]. The number of pits on the specimen immersed in the Zn$^{2+}$ containing solution were increased with increasing the temperature (as showed in Fig. 2). The magnitude of impedance indicates the corrosion resistance of steel in the solutions [22-24]. From Fig. 8 a) to f), it is found that the impedance and phase shift are decreased with increasing the temperature. However, Zn$_{sol}$ shows the highest impedance and highest phase shift as compared to the other solutions at all the experimental temperatures. These results indicate that Zn$_{sol}$ has better corrosion resistance ability than the other solutions at the experimental temperatures.

Calculated electrochemical impedance parameters of mild steel after immersion in the solutions for 1 h at different temperatures are shown in Table 2. The corrosion resistance ($R_c$) and the inhibition efficiency ($\eta$) were calculated by the Eqs. (3) and (4):

$$R_c = R_d + R_{ct}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$\eta\% = \frac{(R_{c_{sol}} - R_{c_{ref}}) / R_{c_{sol}} }{100}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Where $R_{c_{sol}}$ and $R_{c_{ref}}$ are the values of corrosion resistance in the solutions ($Mg_{sol}$, Zn$_{sol}$ and Al$_{sol}$), and in the reference solution (Na$_{sol}$). From Table 2, the $\eta$ and $R_c$ of Zn$_{sol}$ show the
highest value as compared to the other solutions at all the experimental temperatures. These results indicate that the charge transfer may be inhibited by the Zn-layer (in the Zn$^{2+}$ containing solution) that was formed on the steel surface and the inhibition ability was decreased with increasing the temperature. The value of $Q_{dl}$ in Zn$^{2+}$ containing solution ($Zn_{sol}$) is lower than that in other solutions at all the temperatures. The decrease in $Q_{dl}$ value indicates that the defect in the film is decreased on the mild steel [34, 51]. The results obtained from the EIS tests are in good agreement with the immersion tests results.

3.4 Corrosion inhibition efficiency

From the experimental results, it was found that the solutions containing different metal cations showed different corrosion rate. Therefore, it is considered that Zn$^{2+}$ and Al$^{3+}$ significantly inhibited the metal dissolution in the solutions, and Zn$^{2+}$ containing solution showed the lowest corrosion rate at all the experimental temperatures (as shown in Fig. 1 b)) as compared to the other solutions. The corrosion inhibition efficiencies of metal cations were calculated based on the immersion tests and the Fig. 9 shows the corrosion inhibition efficiencies of metal cations as a function of temperature in which mean values of mass loss data were used. Different corrosion inhibition efficiencies of metal cations are observed at different temperatures. Corrosion rate is increased with increasing the temperature (as shown in Fig. 1 b)), however, corrosion inhibition efficiencies of metal cations are increased at a higher temperature (80°C). Because at a higher temperature corrosion is rapidly increased in Na$_{sol}$ as compared in the other solutions, and efficiencies were calculated by comparing the Na$_{sol}$. Therefore, Zn$^{2+}$ and Al$^{3+}$ show higher corrosion inhibition efficiency at 80°C, and Zn$^{2+}$ shows the highest corrosion inhibition efficiency as compared to the other metal cations at all the experimental temperatures.
3.5 Corrosion inhibition mechanism

From the above experimental results, Zn\(^{2+}\) effectively inhibited the mild steel corrosion in model fresh water as compared to the other metal cations used in this study at the experimental temperatures. Based on the experimental results, a possible corrosion inhibition mechanism of mild steel by Zn\(^{2+}\) can be proposed. Fig. 10 shows the Zn\(^{2+}\) layer formation on the specimen surface at different temperatures and corrosion inhibition mechanism in the solution. At 25°C, Zn\(^{2+}\) layer is formed on the steel surface (Fig. 10 a) and b)). However, Zn\(^{2+}\) layer may not cover all the exposed surface of steel, and the layer contains some defects. The Cl\(^-\) attack at the defects and initiate the metal dissolution (Fig. 10 b)). When the temperature is increased to 50°C, the thickness of the Zn\(^{2+}\) layer is decreased and the area of the defects is increased (Fig. 10 c)). The possible reasons by which the thickness of Zn-layer decreased and the area of the defects increased are as follows:

a) The composition of Zn-layer is changed with temperature as discussed in ‘surface observations and analysis.’ At 25°C, Zn(OH)\(_2\) and Zn\(_5\)(CO\(_3\))\(_2\)(OH)\(_6\) are formed, and at 50 and 80°C, ZnO is formed [44-48]. Thus, the layer thickness is decreased at a higher temperature.

b) Dissolution rate in the layer may increase with increasing the temperature.

c) Some part of the layer may be removed while metal dissolution occurs, and the area of defects increased at a higher temperature.

Due to the above-mentioned reasons, the corrosion rate is increased at a higher temperature as compared to that at a lower temperature. When the temperature is further increased to 80°C, the thickness of the Zn\(^{2+}\) layer is decreased and the area of the defects is also increased (Fig. 10 d)). From these points of view, the corrosion rate is increased at 80°C as compared to at 25 and 50°C. However, Zn\(^{2+}\) containing solution showed the lowest corrosion rate as compared to
the other solutions at all the experimental temperatures due to inhibition of the corrosion
reactions by the Zn$^{2+}$ layer that was formed on the steel surface.

4. Conclusions

The effects of metal cations on the corrosion inhibition of mild steel in simulated fresh
water at 25, 50 and 80°C were investigated by immersion tests, EIS tests and surface
investigations were carried out by SEM, AFM, and XPS. It can be concluded as follows:

1) The corrosion rate of steel in each solution obtained from the immersion corrosion tests
decreased in the order of Na$_{sol}$ > Mg$_{sol}$ > Al$_{sol}$ > Zn$_{sol}$.

2) Comparatively smooth surfaces of the specimens immersed in the Zn$_{sol}$ at all the
experimental temperatures were observed by SEM and AFM.

3) The charge transfer resistance ($R_{ct}$) from the EIS tests decreased in the order of Zn$_{sol}$ > Al$_{sol}$
> Mg$_{sol}$ > Na$_{sol}$. From these, it was suggested that Zn$^{2+}$ present in the aqueous solution had a
function of suppressing corrosion.

4) From the XPS analysis of the sample surface immersed in different solutions, only Zn$^{2+}$ was
detected on the specimen immersed in the Zn$_{sol}$.

5) Zn$^{2+}$ formed a layer on the surface films and protected the steel surface from Cl$^{-}$ attack and
inhibited the corrosion reactions.
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Captions of Figures and Tables

Table 1 pH of solutions before and after the immersion tests for 3 d at 25, 50 and 80°C.

Table 2 Calculated electrochemical impedance parameters of mild steel after immersion in the solutions for 1 h at different temperatures.

Fig. 1 a) Mass changes of specimens with immersion time and b) Corrosion rate at different temperatures.

Fig. 2 Surface SEM images of specimen after immersion in the solutions for 3 d at 25, 50 and 80°C.

Fig. 3 Average surface roughness of specimens as a function of temperature after immersion in the solutions for 3 d.

Fig. 4 XRD patterns of the corrosion products (rust) formed on specimens after immersion in the solutions for 3 d at 80°C.

Fig. 5 XPS wide spectra of specimen surface after immersion in the solutions for 1 h, and narrow spectra of Zn2p3/2 with depths (inset) at 25, 50 and 80°C.

Fig. 6 XPS narrow spectra of Zn2p3/2 with chemical state and binding energy of specimen surface after immersion in the solutions for 1 h at a) 25°C, b) 50°C and c) 80°C.
Fig. 7 Potentiodynamic a) cathodic and b) anodic polarization curves after immersion in the Na\textsubscript{sol} and Zn\textsubscript{sol} for 1 h at 25, 50 and 80°C.

Fig. 8 Bode diagram of impedance and phase shift plots a) and d) at 25°C, b) and e) at 50°C, c) and f) at 80°C, and g) Schematic representation of the equivalent circuit of mild steel electrode with a protective film having a defect.

Fig. 9 Corrosion inhibition efficiency of metal cations as a function of temperature.

Fig. 10 Corrosion inhibition mechanism of mild steel by the Zn-layer at different temperatures, a) Formation of Zn-layer on the steel surface, b) Cl\textsuperscript{-} attack at the defect sites and initiation of metal dissolution at 25°C, c) decreasing of Zn-layer thickness and increasing of defect area at 50°C, d) decreasing of Zn-layer thickness and increasing of defect area at 80°C.
Table 1 pH of solutions before and after the immersion tests for 3 d at 25, 50 and 80°C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solution of metal cations</th>
<th>pH$_{\text{int}}$</th>
<th>pH$_{\text{corr}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25°C</td>
<td>50°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$_{\text{sol}}$</td>
<td>9.65</td>
<td>6.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg$_{\text{sol}}$</td>
<td>9.60</td>
<td>6.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn$_{\text{sol}}$</td>
<td>9.64</td>
<td>6.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_{\text{sol}}$</td>
<td>9.54</td>
<td>6.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 Calculated electrochemical impedance parameters of mild steel after immersion in the solutions for 1 h at different temperatures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solute</th>
<th>$R_c$ (kΩcm$^2$)</th>
<th>$Q_{dl}$ (µsΩ$^{-1}$cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$n_{dl}$</th>
<th>$\eta$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25° C</td>
<td>50° C</td>
<td>80° C</td>
<td>25° C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$_{sol}$</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>5.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg$_{sol}$</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>5.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn$_{sol}$</td>
<td>309.5</td>
<td>7.54</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_{sol}$</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>2.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Captions of Supplementary Electronic Materials

Fig. S1 Test solutions condition before the experiment

Fig. S2 Appearance of solutions and specimens after immersion in the solutions for 1, 2 and 3 d at 25, 50 and 80°C.

Fig. S3 Appearance of specimen surface after immersion in the solutions for 3 d at 25, 50 and 80°C a) before ultrasonic cleaning, b) after ultrasonic cleaning.

Fig. S4 AFM 3D images of specimen surface after immersion in the solutions for 3 d at 25, 50 and 80°C.
Fig. S1 Test solutions condition before the experiment
Fig. S2 Appearance of solutions and specimens after immersion in the solutions for 1, 2 and 3 d at 25, 50 and 80°C.
Fig. S3 Appearance of specimen surface after immersion in the solutions for 3 d at 25, 50 and 80°C a) before ultrasonic cleaning, b) after ultrasonic cleaning.
Fig. S4 AFM 3D images of specimen surface after immersion in the solutions for 3 d at 25, 50 and 80°C.
Fig. 1 a) Mass changes of specimens with immersion time and b) Corrosion rate at different temperatures.
Fig. 2 Surface SEM images of specimen after immersion in the solutions for 3 d at 25, 50 and 80°C.
Fig. 3 Average surface roughness of specimens as a function of temperature after immersion in the solutions for 3 d.
Fig. 4 XRD patterns of the corrosion products (rust) formed on specimens after immersion in the solutions for 3 d at 80°C.
Fig. 5 XPS wide spectra of specimen surface after immersion in the solutions for 1 h and narrow spectra of Zn2p3/2 with depths (inset) at 25, 50 and 80°C.
Fig. 6 XPS narrow spectra of Zn2p3/2 with chemical state and binding energy at a) 25°C, b) 50°C and c) 80°C.
Fig. 7 Potentiodynamic a) cathodic and b) anodic polarization curves after immersion in the Na\textsubscript{sol} and Zn\textsubscript{sol} solutions for 1 h at 25, 50 and 80°C.
Fig. 8 Bode diagram of impedance and phase shift plots a) and d) at 25°C, b) and e) at 50°C, c) and f) at 80°C, and g) Schematic representation of equivalent circuit of mild steel electrode with a protective film having defect.
Fig. 9 Corrosion inhibition efficiency of metal cations as a function of temperature.
Fig. 10 Corrosion inhibition mechanism of mild steel by the Zn-layer at different temperatures, a) Formation of Zn-layer on the steel surface b) Cl\(^-\) attack at the defect sites and initiation of metal dissolution at 25°C c) Decreasing of Zn-layer thickness and increasing of defect area at 50°C d) Decreasing of Zn-layer thickness and increasing of defect area at 80°C.