Title	A new species of Zeuxo (Crustacea: Peracarida: Tanaidacea) from Japan, with remarks on carapace pigmentation as a potentially useful taxonomic character
Author(s)	Okamoto, Nobuya; Oya, Yuki; Kakui, Keiichi
Citation	Marine Biology Research, 16(5), 411-422 https://doi.org/10.1080/17451000.2020.1766693
Issue Date	2020
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/83369
Rights	This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Taylor & Francis in Marine Biology Research on 2020, available online: http://www.tandfonline.com/10.1080/17451000.2020.1766693 .
Type	article (author version)
Additional Information	There are other files related to this item in HUSCAP. Check the above URL.
File Information	Marine Biology Research_2020.pdf

[Instructions for use](#)

This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Taylor & Francis
in *Marine Biology Research* on 5 Aug. 2020, available online:
<http://www.tandfonline.com/10.1080/17451000.2020.1766693>.

**A new species of *Zeuxo* (Crustacea: Peracarida: Tanaidacea) from Japan, with remarks
on carapace pigmentation as a potentially useful taxonomic character**

Nobuya Okamoto^a, Yuki Oya^a, and Keiichi Kakui^b

^a*Graduate School of Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan*

^b*Faculty of Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan*

Corresponding author: Nobuya Okamoto, nobu.okmt@gmail.com; Graduate School of
Science, Hokkaido University, N10 W8, Kita-ku, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan

Acknowledgements

We thank Shinri Tomioka for collecting and providing specimens from Rishiri Island; Koji
Shibazaki, Shimpei Hiruta, Daisuke Shimada, Hiroshi Yamasaki, Taiki Yoshihara, and Shushi
Abukawa for help in sampling; and Matthew H. Dick for reviewing the manuscript and editing
our English.

Funding

This study was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) under
KAKENHI grants JP16K18597 and JP19K06800 to KK.

20

21 **Abstract**

22 We describe *Zeuxo ezoensis* sp. nov. from Hokkaido, Japan. This species closely resembles *Z.*
23 *phytalensis*, *Z. shitipingensis*, and *Z. turkensis* in having the pleopodal endopod with one inner
24 plumose seta, maxillipedal palp article 4 with one outer simple seta, the left mandible with a
25 wide, denticulate lacinia mobilis and one bifurcate accessory seta, and the right mandible with
26 a peg-like lacinia mobilis and two accessory setae. It differs from them in having (1) antennal
27 article 6 longer than wide, (2) the distal region of maxillipedal endite with four spiniform setae
28 and two circumplumose setae, (3) maxillipedal palp article 2 with one outer simple seta, (4) the
29 chelipedal carpus with three or four dorsodistal simple setae, (5) the chelipedal dactylus with
30 one inner simple seta, (6) the pereopod-1 basis with one or two ventrodistal simple setae, (7)
31 the pereopod-1 carpus with one ventrodistal simple seta, and (8) the uropod with four or five
32 articles (basal article plus three or four ramus articles). Partial nucleotide sequences for the
33 cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit I (COI) gene (655 nt) from *Z. ezoensis* specimens from four
34 localities in Hokkaido showed Kimura 2-parameter (K2P) divergences of 0–0.5% and 0.6–
35 1.5% within and between populations, respectively. Based on the COI data, we examined the
36 phylogenetic position of *Z. ezoensis* within *Zeuxo*. Six specimens used for morphological
37 observations and 10 specimens used for COI sequencing showed a similar dorsal pigmentation
38 pattern on carapace. We briefly discuss the validity of using this pattern as a diagnostic

39 character in *Zeuxo* taxonomy.

40

41 **KEYWORDS:** Malacostraca, Tanaididae, North Pacific, Hokkaido, Oshoro, DNA barcoding

42

Introduction

The genus *Zeuxo* Templeton, 1840, with 37 species (Bird 2019; but see the next paragraph), is the most speciose genus in the family Tanaididae. *Zeuxo* differs from the other 19 confamilial genera in having the following combination of characters: (1) five free pleonites, (2) the length/width ratio of antennular article 1 more than 2.5, (3) the antenna with seven articles, (4) no distal cluster of setae on antennal article 2, and (5) the uropod with the terminal article not reduced (Sieg 1980; Bamber 2005; Bamber and Boxshall 2006; Chim and Tong 2019).

Species of *Zeuxo* have been found from tropical to subpolar regions worldwide. They are tube-dwellers, making a self-woven tube in bottom sediments, on seagrasses, or on seaweeds (Kakui 2016) and have been reported from depths of 0–30 m (García-Herrero et al. 2019).

Three species have been reported from Japan: *Zeuxo maledivensis* Sieg, 1980, *Zeuxo coralensis* Sieg, 1980, and *Zeuxo normani* (Richardson, 1905). *Zeuxo maledivensis* was synonymized with *Z. kurilensis* (Kussakin and Tzareva, 1974) by Sieg (1983), but here we reinstate *Z. maledivensis* as a valid species because it morphologically differs from *Z. kurilensis* (e.g., the number of inner plumose setae on the pleopodal endopod was one in the former but three in the latter; Kussakin and Tzareva 1974; Sieg 1980). In Japan, *Zeuxo maledivensis* and *Z. coralensis* were reported from Nagasaki Prefecture (Sieg 1980), and *Z. normani* from Wakayama (Shiino 1951), Mie (Shiino 1951), and Hokkaido prefectures (Takashima et al. 2002). However, their type localities are far away (Addu Atoll, Maldives for

Z. coralensis; Fadiffolu Atoll, Maldives for *Z. maledivensis*; Monterey Bay, USA for *Z. normani*; Sieg 1980), so the occurrence of these species in Japan are suspect and need to be checked.

Around Hokkaido, northern Japan, unidentified *Zeuxo* individuals all having a similar pigmentation pattern on the carapace have been reported from Rishiri Island, Okushiri Island, and Oshoro Bay (Kakui et al. 2014, 2017; Kakui 2015); specimens from Rebun Island reported in Kakui et al. (2011) also show a similar pigmentation pattern (KK unpublished data).

Through morphological observations and molecular phylogenetic analyses, we found these tanaidaceans to be conspecific and to represent an undescribed taxon. Here we describe it as new and report partial sequences for the mitochondrial cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit I (COI) to access its phylogenetic position within *Zeuxo*. Additionally, we briefly discuss the dorsal pigmentation pattern on the carapace as a diagnostic character in *Zeuxo* taxonomy.

Materials and methods

Tanaidaceans were collected among brown algae in the intertidal zone at Oshoro and on Rebun, Rishiri, and Okushiri islands. Some individuals from Oshoro were maintained for several months in a small aquarium (20°C; 14h L/10h D; fed every 3 days), and one female and one male hatched in the aquarium were photographed live to document their body pigmentation pattern. All specimens were fixed and preserved in 70–99% ethanol. The methods used for

dissection, preparation of slides, light microscopy, scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and drawing were as described by Kakui and Angsupanich (2012).

Orientation and terminology here follow Larsen (2003), except that the term “plumose sensory seta(e)” (PSS; Bird 2011) is used instead of “broom seta(e)”, and two additional setal terms are used: 'flattened denticulate seta' (Edgar 2008) and 'step-tipped plumose seta' (Kakui et al. 2010). Body length (BL) was measured from the base of the antennules to the tip of the pleotelson, and body width at the widest portion of the cephalothorax (CW, cephalothorax width). Appendages were measured in holotype and allotype specimens. Measurements were made axially with ImageJ (Rasband 2020) from digital images: dorsally on the body, antennules, antennae, and uropods; laterally on the pereopods and pleopods.

Total DNA was extracted from the cheliped or whole body of 2, 2, 3, and 3 specimens from Oshoro and Rebun, Rishiri, and Okushiri islands, respectively, by using a NucleoSpin Tissue XS Kit (TaKaRa Bio, Japan); the carapace pigmentation patterns on these specimens were photographed before extraction. After extraction, exoskeletons were recovered and preserved in 99% ethanol. Part of the COI gene was amplified by PCR with primers LCO-1490 and HCO-2198 (Folmer et al. 1994). PCR amplification conditions with TaKaRa Ex Taq DNA polymerase (TaKaRa Bio) were 94°C for 1 min; 35 cycles of 98°C for 10 s, 50°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 50 s; and 72°C for 2 min. Nucleotide sequences were determined by direct sequencing with a BigDye Terminator Kit ver. 3.1 and a 3730 Genetic Analyzer (Life Technologies, USA).

100 All sequences we determined were deposited in the International Nucleotide Sequence
 101 Database (INSD) through the DNA Data Bank of Japan (DDBJ). MEGA7 (Kumar et al. 2016)
 102 was used to align the 10 COI sequences we obtained (655 nt, no indels, encoding 218 amino
 103 acids) and to calculate Kimura (1980) 2-parameter (K2P) and p distances within and among
 104 populations.

105 The COI dataset for a phylogenetic analysis included the 10 COI sequences we determined
 106 and eight sequences from the following species, obtained from INSD: *Zeuxo exsargasso* Sieg,
 107 1980 (three sequences, accession numbers KF928318–928320; Larsen et al. 2014); *Zeuxo*
 108 *holdichi* Bamber, 1990 (KF928322; Larsen et al. 2014); *Zeuxo koreaensis* Larsen, 2014
 109 (KF928321; Larsen et al. 2014); *Zeuxo turkensis* Larsen, 2014 (KF928323; Larsen et al. 2014);
 110 *Zeuxo cf. normani* (Richardson, 1905) (HM016203; Drumm 2010); and *Arctotanais alascensis*
 111 (Richardson, 1899) (outgroup taxon; LC322249; Tanabe et al. 2017). The dataset was aligned
 112 by using MAFFT ver. 7 (Katoh and Standley 2013) with the “Auto” strategy (“L-INS-i”)
 113 selected (Katoh et al. 2005), after which the aligned sequences were trimmed in MEGA7 to the
 114 shortest length among the sequences (389 nt). The optimal substitution models for different
 115 partitions determined under the corrected AIC in PartitionFinder 2.1.1 (Lanfear et al. 2016)
 116 were TVM+I for the first codon position, K81u+G for second position, and HKY for the third
 117 position. A partitioned ML analysis was conducted in IQ-TREE ver. 1.6.8 (Nguyen et al. 2015;
 118 Chernomor et al. 2016), with nodal support values obtained by ultrafast bootstrap analysis of

119 10,000 pseudoreplicates (Hoang et al. 2018). The ML tree was drawn by using FigTree v1.4.4
120 (Rambaut 2020).

121

122 **Systematics**

123 **Family Tanaididae Nobili, 1906**

124 **Genus *Zeuxo* Templeton, 1840**

125 [Japanese name: *Nami-tanaisu-zoku*]

126 *Zeuxo* Templeton, 1840: 203. Type species: *Zeuxo westwoodiana* Templeton, 1840

127

128 ***Zeuxo ezoensis*** sp. nov.

129 [New Japanese name: *Ezo-nami-tanaisu*]

130 (Figures 1–5)

131

132 *Anatanais normani* (not of Richardson 1905): Kito 1975, p. 152.

133 *Zeuxo* sp. 1: Kakui et al. 2011, p. 751; Kakui et al. 2012, p. 128, figure 1F; Kakui et al. 2017, p.

134 130, figures 4, 5.

135 *Zeuxo* spp.: Kakui et al. 2014, p. 9, figure 3Z1, Z2 (in part).

136 *Zeuxo* sp.: Kakui 2015, p. 2, figures 1, 4B–D.

137

Diagnosis

Antennal article 2 with inner distal simple seta. Antennal article 6 longer than wide. Left mandible with wide denticulate lacinia mobilis and bifurcate accessory seta. Right mandible with peg-like lacinia mobilis and two accessory setae. Maxilliped with endite bearing four spiniform setae and two circumplumose setae in distal region; palp article 4 with outer simple seta. Chelipedal dactylus with inner simple seta. Male chelipedal fixed finger with nine outer dorsal simple setae but without triangular mid-dorsal process on cutting surface. Pereopod 1 with coxa bearing slight dorsal process; basis with one or two ventrodistal simple setae; carpus with ventrodistal simple seta. Pleopods 1–3 with endopod bearing inner plumose seta. Uropod with four or five articles (including basal article). Carapace pigmentation comprising dark background with V-shaped pattern of lighter, irregular spots.

Etymology

The specific name is an adjective referring to the old name for Hokkaido Island, where the type locality is located.

Material examined

See Table I.

Description of female, based primarily on holotype, with observation of maxillular palp from

ICHUM6064

Body (Figures 1A, a1, 3A, B) 5.34 times as long as wide, with reddish brown pigmentation (retained in ethanol). Cephalothorax 0.22 times BL, with pair of mid-lateral simple setae and pair of simple setae posterior to eyes; dorsal pigmentation comprising dark background with V-shaped zone of lighter, irregular spots (Figures 1, 2). Pereonites 1–6 with length ratio 1.0:1.85:1.96:2.42:2.23:1.51; each pereonite with several pairs of simple setae. Pereonites 1–6 with width to length ratios 0.28, 0.46, 0.58, 0.79, 0.72, and 0.46, respectively. Pleonites 1–3 with one or two pairs of dorsolateral simple setae and two to five pairs of lateral plumose setae; pleonites 4 and 5 with one to three pairs of lateral simple setae. Pleotelson with three to five pairs of simple setae.

Antennule (Figure 3C) 0.59 times as long as cephalothorax; articles 1–4 with length ratio 1.00:0.42:0.40:0.05. Article 1 with one inner proximal, two inner distal, and three outer distal simple setae and several proximal and distal PSS. Article 2 with four distal simple setae and three distal PSS. Article 3 with three distal simple setae and distal PSS. Article 4 with three shorter and eight longer simple setae, and five aesthetascs.

Antenna (Figure 3D) 1.06 times as long as antennule; articles 1–7 with length ratio 1.00:1.30:0.72:1.51:1.36:0.41:0.01. Articles 1 and 3 naked. Article 2 with one inner distal, one dorsodistal, and two mid-ventral simple setae. Article 4 with five distal simple setae. Article 5

176 with three inner distal simple setae and three distal PSS. Article 6 longer than wide, with four
 177 distal simple setae. Article 7 with six distal simple setae.

178 Labrum (Figure 3G) setulate distally. Mandibles (Figures 3H–J, 5) with well-developed
 179 molar process bearing many small teeth on masticatory surface; left mandible (Figures 3H, 5A)
 180 with smooth incisor, wide, denticulate lacinia mobilis, and bifid serrate accessory seta; right
 181 mandible (Figures 3I, J, 5B) with smooth incisor, peg-like lacinia mobilis, and two serrate
 182 accessory setae. Labium (Figure 3K) with inner and outer lobes setulate on distal margin; labial
 183 palp setulate, articulated with outer lobe. Maxillule (Figure 3L, M) with endite bearing seven
 184 distal spiniform setae and outer subdistal setation; palp (from paratype female ICHUM6064)
 185 with six distal simple setae. Maxilla (Figure 3N) with finely serrate outer and distal margins.

186 Maxilliped (Figure 3O, P) with coxa bearing two simple setae. Basis with ventrodistal
 187 simple seta. Endite with outer serration, two tiny dorso-subdistal and two distal spiniform
 188 setae, and two ventro-subdistal circumplumose setae; ventrodistal region setulate. Palp article
 189 1 with outer subdistal simple seta; article 2 with three inner ventral and one outer simple setae,
 190 and three inner plumose setae; article 3 with 13 inner plumose setae; article 4 with outer simple
 191 seta and 10 inner plumose setae. Epignath (Figure 3Q) with kidney-shaped lobe, margins finely
 192 setulate; terminal seta setulate.

193 Cheliped (Figures 3B, 4A) with triangular articulation to cephalothorax via sclerite
 194 (Figure 3B). Basis nearly as long as wide, with one outer dorsal and one ventrodistal simple

195 setae. Merus with two dorsal and two ventral simple setae. Carpus 1.46 times as long as wide,
 196 with one dorsal, three dorsodistal, and three ventral simple setae. Propodal palm with three
 197 outer simple setae and inner plumose seta at insertion of dactylus; fixed finger with three
 198 ventral, five outer dorsal, and two inner subdistal simple setae, dorsal lamellar expansion
 199 showing straight dorsal margin, and triangular claw. Dactylus as long as fixed finger, with
 200 inner simple seta and row of ventral spiniform setae; unguis triangular.

201 Pereopods cylindrical, with length ratio 1.00:0.81:0.80:0.89:0.93:0.90 (unguis of
 202 pereopod 3 broken, not measured). Pereopod 1 (Figure 4C) 0.29 times as long as BL, with
 203 length ratio of basis, merus, carpus, propodus, and dactylus-unguis 1.00:0.26:0.34:0.60:0.30.
 204 Coxa with slight dorsal process bearing dorsal simple seta. Basis cylindrical, narrow, 2.67
 205 times as long as wide, with one dorso-subproximal and one ventrodistal simple setae and
 206 dorso-subproximal PSS. Merus with ventrodistal simple seta. Carpus with one dorsodistal and
 207 one ventrodistal simple setae, and dorsodistal spiniform seta. Propodus with one mid-inner,
 208 one dorsodistal, and four ventrodistal simple setae, and mid-dorsal PSS. Dactylus with dorsal
 209 simple seta; unguis length half dactylus length, naked. Pereopod 2 (Figure 4D) with length
 210 ratio of articles from basis to dactylus-unguis 1.00:0.42:0.30:0.45:0.17. Coxa with dorsal
 211 simple seta. Basis cylindrical, narrow, 3.50 times as long as wide, with one dorso-subproximal
 212 and one ventrodistal simple setae, and dorso-subproximal PSS. Merus with one dorsodistal and
 213 two ventrodistal simple setae and ventrodistal spiniform seta. Carpus with one dorsodistal and

one ventrodistal simple setae, and four distal spiniform setae. Propodus with two dorsodistal,
 one ventro-subdistal, and one ventrodistal simple setae, and mid-dorsal PSS; dactylus with
 dorsal simple seta; unguis as long as dactylus, naked. Pereopod 3 (Figure 4E) with length ratio
 of articles from basis to dactylus 1.00:0.52:0.35:0.51:0.15; similar to pereopod 2, except basis
 with two dorso-subproximal PSS, and carpus with five distal spiniform setae but without
 ventrodistal simple seta. Pereopod 4 (Figure 4F) with length ratio of articles from basis to
 dactylus-unguis 1.00:0.44:0.37:0.49:0.39. Coxa naked. Basis thicker than in pereopods 1–3,
 1.98 times as long as wide, with two ventrodistal simple setae, three dorso-subproximal PSS,
 and two ventro-subdistal PSS. Merus with two dorsodistal and one ventrodistal simple setae,
 and two ventrodistal spiniform setae. Carpus with two dorsodistal simple setae and five distal
 spiniform setae. Propodus with two dorsodistal, one outer distal, and one mid-ventral simple
 setae, and dorsodistal PSS. Dactylus-unguis fused to form claw, strongly arched, with inner and
 outer rows of ventral spines. Pereopod 5 (Figure 4G) with length ratio of articles from basis to
 dactylus-unguis 1.00:0.48:0.44:0.56:0.37; similar to pereopod 4 except basis with one
 ventro-subdistal PSS but without dorso-subproximal PSS. Pereopod 6 (Figure 4H, I) with
 length ratio of articles from basis to dactylus-unguis 1.00:0.43:0.40:0.64:0.29. Basis, merus,
 carpus, and dactylus-unguis similar to those of pereopod 4 except basis with two
 dorso-subproximal PSS but without ventro-subdistal PSS. Propodus with two dorsodistal, one
 outer distal, and one mid-ventral simple setae, dorsodistal PSS, and seven inner distal flattened

233 denticulate setae.

234 Pleopod 1 (Figure 4J) with basal article bearing one inner and five outer plumose setae;
 235 endopod with one inner and nine outer plumose setae, and outer distal step-tipped plumose
 236 seta; exopod 1.45 times as long as endopod, with 21 outer plumose setae. Pleopod 2 (Figure
 237 4K) similar to pleopod 1 except exopod with 20 outer plumose setae (inner plumose seta on
 238 basal article broken in holotype). Pleopod 3 (Figure 4L) with basal article bearing two outer
 239 plumose setae; endopod with one inner and seven outer plumose setae, and outer distal
 240 step-tipped plumose seta; exopod 1.38 times as long as endopod, with 17 outer plumose setae.

241 Uropod (Figure 4M) with four articles (basal article and triarticulate ramus). Basal article
 242 with five distal simple setae. Ramus article 1 with distal simple seta and distal PSS; article 2
 243 with four distal simple setae and two distal PSS; article 3 with six distal simple setae and two
 244 PSS.

245

246 *Description of male, based on allotype*

247 Body (Figure 1B, b1) similar to female.

248 Antennule (Figure 3E) 0.92 times as long as cephalothorax; articles 1–4 with length ratio
 249 1.00:0.34:0.25:0.05. Article 1 with setation similar to that of female. Article 2 with eight distal
 250 simple setae and four PSS. Article 3 with four distal simple setae and two distal PSS. Article 4
 251 with five shorter and 11 longer simple setae, and seven aesthetascs.

252 Antenna (Figure 3F) 0.88 times as long as antennule; articles 1–7 with length ratio
 253 1.00:2.29:1.01:2.59:1.77:0.54:0.12. Articles 1 and 3 naked. Article 2 with one inner distal, one
 254 dorsodistal, and one mid-ventral simple setae. Article 4 with four distal simple setae and distal
 255 PSS. Article 5 with three distal simple and four distal PSS. Article 6 longer than wide, with
 256 seven distal simple setae. Article 7 with eight distal simple setae.

257 Labrum, mandibles, labium, maxillule, and maxilla similar to those of female.

258 Maxilliped with coxa bearing three simple setae. Basis with two ventrodial simple setae.
 259 Endite similar to female. Palp article 1 with three (left) or two (right) outer subdistal simple
 260 setae; article 2 with five inner ventral and one outer simple setae and three inner plumose setae;
 261 article 3 with 11 inner plumose setae; article 4 with outer simple seta and 14 inner plumose
 262 setae. Epignath similar to female.

263 Cheliped (Figure 4B) with triangular articulation to cephalothorax via sclerite. Basis and
 264 merus similar to those of female. Carpus 0.90 times as long as wide, with one dorsal, four
 265 dorsodistal, and five ventral simple setae. Propodal palm with six outer simple setae and inner
 266 plumose seta at insertion of dactylus; fixed finger with five ventral, nine outer dorsal, and two
 267 inner subdistal simple setae, dorsal lamellar expansion showing straight dorsal margin, and
 268 triangular claw. Dactylus strongly arched ventrally, with inner simple seta and row of ventral
 269 spiniform setae; unguis triangular.

270 Pereopods 1–6 with length ratio 1.00:0.82:0.77:0.83:0.84:0.88; pereopod 1 length 0.35

271 times BL. Pereopods similar to those of female, with following exceptions. Pereopod 1: basis
272 with two simple dorso-subproximal and one or two ventrodistal simple setae; merus with
273 dorsodistal simple seta; carpus with one or two dorsodistal simple setae, propodus with six or
274 seven ventrodistal simple setae. Pereopod 2: basis with two dorso-subproximal and three
275 ventrodistal simple setae, and two dorso-subproximal PSS; merus with four ventrodistal simple
276 setae; carpus with two dorsodistal simple setae; propodus with one dorsodistal and four
277 ventrodistal simple setae. Pereopod 3: basis with two dorso-subproximal and two ventrodistal
278 simple setae; merus with two dorsodistal and three ventrodistal simple setae; carpus with two
279 dorsodistal simple setae; propodus with one dorsodistal and two mid-ventral simple setae.
280 Pereopod 4: basis with three ventrodistal simple setae; merus with three dorsodistal and two
281 ventrodistal simple setae; carpus with three dorsodistal simple setae and six distal spiniform
282 setae. Pereopod 5: basis with three ventrodistal simple setae and dorso-subproximal PSS;
283 merus with three dorsodistal and two ventrodistal simple setae; propodus with two mid-ventral
284 simple setae. Pereopod 6: basis with three ventrodistal simple setae; merus with three
285 dorsodistal and three ventrodistal simple setae; carpus with three dorsodistal simple setae;
286 propodus with two mid-ventral simple setae and 10 inner distal flattened denticulate setae.

287 Pleopods similar to those of female, with following exceptions: basal articles of pleopods
288 1–3 with six, six, and three outer plumose setae, respectively; endopods of pleopods 1–3 with
289 12, 13, and 11 outer plumose setae, respectively; exopods of pleopods 1–3 with 30, 32, and 25

290 outer plumose setae, respectively.

291 Uropod with five articles (basal article and 4-articulate ramus). Basal article with eight
 292 distal simple setae. Ramus article 1 with two distal simple setae and distal PSS; article 2 with
 293 six distal simple setae and distal PSS; article 3 with five distal simple setae and two distal PSS;
 294 article 4 with six distal simple setae and two distal PSS.

295

296 ***Variation and stability***

297 In addition to the holotype and allotype, two female (ICHUM6061, 6064) and two male
 298 (ICHUM6062, 6063) paratypes of *Zeuxo ezoensis* sp. nov. were dissected and all appendages
 299 were observed. The morphological data we obtained are in Table SI. All specimens shared the
 300 same character state for the following selected characters (for all characters, see Table SI): (1)
 301 dorsal pigmentation pattern on carapace comprising dark background with V-shaped zone of
 302 lighter, irregular spots; (2) antennal article 2 with one inner distal and one dorsodistal simple
 303 setae; (3) left mandible with wide, denticulate lacinia mobilis and one bifurcate accessory seta;
 304 (4) right mandible with peg-like lacinia mobilis and two accessory setae; (5) maxillipedal
 305 endite with two tiny dorso-subdistal and two distal spiniform setae; (6) maxillipedal palp
 306 articles 2 and 4 with one outer simple seta; (7) chelipedal basis with one outer dorsal simple
 307 seta; (8) chelipedal dactylus with one inner simple seta and row of ventral spiniform setae; (9)
 308 pereopod-1 carpus with one ventrodistal simple seta; (10) endopod of pleopods 1–3 with one

inner plumose seta; and (11) pleopod-3 basal article without inner plumose setae.

The following selected setae or articles varied in number among specimens (for all characters, see Table SI) (ranges in parentheses): dorsodistal simple setae on chelipedal carpus (3–4), ventrodiscal simple setae on pereopod-1 basis (1–2), and articles of uropod (4–5).

Sexually dimorphic characters found in this species were typical for this genus: male antennules and antennae were longer than those of females; male cheliped had a wider carpus and a larger chela than that of females.

Phylogenetic analysis and genetic divergence

Partial COI sequences (655 nt) were determined from 2, 2, 3, and 3 individuals collected from populations at Oshoro and Rebun, Rishiri, and Okushiri islands, respectively. In the ML tree (Figure 6) based on COI, the 10 sequences from Hokkaido form a clade (*Zeuxo ezoensis* sp. nov.), with K2P distances of 0–0.5% within populations and 0.6–1.5% among populations (Table II; the *p* distances were identical to the K2P distances). The *Z. ezoensis* clade is the sister group to a clade comprising *Z. holdichi*, *Z. koreaensis*, and *Z. cf. normani*, with 80% ultrafast bootstrap support (uBS), which in turn forms a clade with *Z. turkensis* (uBS, 93%), with *Z. exsargasso* comprising the sister group to the other *Zeuxo* species. Genetic distances among the six *Zeuxo* species were 12–36% (K2P) or 11–29% (*p* distance), much greater than the intraspecific distances for *Z. ezoensis*.

328

329 **Discussion**

330 The results that all our Hokkaido sequences form a single clade, with much lower genetic
 331 distances within the clade than between it and other, previously described *Zeuxo* species,
 332 indicate that our 10 specimens from four localities are conspecific. While there is relatively
 333 little information in the literature on ranges of intraspecific variation for COI in Tanaidacea, the
 334 K2P distances among populations we found were similar to those reported for the tanaidid
 335 *Hexapleomera ulsana* Wi et al., 2018 (up to 1.1%; Wi et al. 2018), and distances within
 336 populations were lower than within a single population of the kalliapseudid *Mesokalliapseudes*
 337 *macsweenyi* (Drumm, 2003) (up to 3%; Drumm and Kreiser 2012). In the tree, our species
 338 from Hokkaido was not in sister relation to another Japanese species, *Z. cf. normani*. This
 339 implies that there may be multiple species groups in *Zeuxo*.

340 Among 38 congeners [37 in Bird (2019) plus *Z. maledivensis*], *Zeuxo ezoensis* sp. nov.
 341 closely resembles *Z. phytalensis* Sieg, 1980 from the Kerguelen Islands, *Z. shitipingensis*
 342 Tzeng and Hsueh, 2015 from Taiwan, and *Z. turkensis* from Turkey in having the following
 343 combination of characters: (1) left mandible with wide denticulate lacinia mobilis and one
 344 bifurcate accessory seta, (2) right mandible with peg-like lacinia mobilis and two accessory
 345 setae, (3) maxillipedal palp article 4 with one outer simple seta, and (4) pleopodal endopod
 346 with one inner plumose seta.

347 *Zeuxo ezoensis* differs from *Z. phytalensis* in the following characters (character states of
 348 *Z. phytalensis* in parentheses; Sieg 1980): antennal article 6 longer than wide (shorter),
 349 chelipedal basis with one outer dorsal simple seta (without the seta), chelipedal carpus with
 350 three or four dorsodistal simple setae (one or two setae), chelipedal dactylus with one inner
 351 simple seta (without the seta), and pleopod-3 basal article without inner plumose setae (with
 352 one plumose seta). Additionally, male *Z. ezoensis* differs from male *Z. phytalensis* in having the
 353 chelipedal fixed-finger with nine outer dorsal simple setae (six in *Z. phytalensis*).

354 *Zeuxo ezoensis* differs from *Z. shitipingensis* in the following characters (character states
 355 of *Z. shitipingensis* in parentheses; Tzeng and Hseuh 2015): maxilipedal endite with two
 356 circumplumose setae (four); chelipedal dactylus with one inner simple seta (without the seta),
 357 dorsal process on pereopod-1 coxa slight (prominent), pereopod-1 carpus with one ventrodistal
 358 simple seta (without the seta), and uropod with four or five articles (six or seven). Additionally,
 359 male *Z. ezoensis* differs from male *Z. shitipingensis* in having maxillipedal palp article 2 with
 360 one outer simple seta (the seta is lacking in *Z. shitipingensis*), and the chelipedal fixed-finger
 361 with nine outer dorsal simple setae (five in *Z. shitipingensis*).

362 *Zeuxo ezoensis* differs from *Z. turkensis* in the following characters (character states of *Z.*
 363 *turkensis* in parentheses; Larsen 2014): antennal article 2 with one inner distal simple seta
 364 (without the seta), distal region of maxillipedal endite with four spiniform setae (two setae),
 365 chelipedal dactylus with one inner simple seta (without the seta), and pereopod-1 basis with

one or two ventrodistal simple setae (without setae in this position). Males of the two species differ in the shape of the fixed finger: the dorsal margin is nearly straight in *Z. ezoensis* whereas it bears one triangular mid-dorsal process in *Z. turkensis*. *Zeuxo ezoensis* was 29–31% divergent (K2P) from *Z. turkensis* in the partial COI sequences (389 nt), and in our ML tree (Figure 6) the two species are separated by the clade comprising *Z. koreaensis*, *Z. cf. normani*, and *Z. holdichi*. These results support the conclusion from our morphological analysis, that *Z. ezoensis* and *Z. turkensis* are different species.

The pigmentation pattern on the carapace differs between *Z. ezoensis* and *Z. shitipingensis*. In *Z. ezoensis*, the carapace has a dark background, with a V-shaped zone of lighter, irregular spots (Figures 1, 2); this pattern was the same in the six specimens studied morphologically and the 10 specimens used for DNA sequencing (Figure 2). At least in one female and one male of *Z. shitipingensis*, the anterior and middle regions of the carapace are dark, while other regions lack dark pigmentation (Tzeng and Hsueh 2015: figures 6A, 9A). Larsen et al. (2014) concluded that the pigmentation pattern on carapaces in *Zeuxo* can show intraspecific variation, though his paper did not show the pigmentation patterns of specimens actually used for sequencing DNA. While we observed slight differences in the degree of dark pigmentation among *Z. ezoensis* specimens, and some specimens collected had strongly faded pigmentation on the carapace (data not shown), the overall pattern was the same. Our observation of multiple specimens suggests that carapace pigmentation patterns may be

consistent within species. If this is the case, differences among species may be consistent and provide a useful character for *Zeuxo* taxonomy in situations where “species discrimination is extremely difficult” (Bird 2019: p. 47). The validity of carapace pigmentation patterns as a diagnostic character in *Zeuxo* taxonomy should be tested with additional studies involving multiple individuals from populations, combined with molecular confirmation of conspecificity.

Key to species of *Zeuxo* having the combination of characters referred in the Discussion section

1. Antennal article 6 shorter than wide *Z. phytalensis*
- Antennal article 6 longer than wide 2
2. Maxillipedal endite with four plumose setae; dorsal process on pereopod-1 coxa prominent; uropod with six or seven articles *Z. shitipingensis*
- Maxillipedal endite with two plumose setae; dorsal process on pereopod-1 coxa slight or not appreciable; uropod with four or five articles 3
3. Maxillipedal endite with two distal spiniform setae; chelipedal dactylus without simple setae; ventrodistal corner of pereopod-1 basis without simple setae; (in male) dorsal margin of fixed finger with one triangular mid-dorsal process *Z. turkensis*
- Maxillipedal endite with four distal spiniform setae; chelipedal dactylus with one inner simple seta; ventrodistal corner of pereopod-1 basis with one or two simple setae; (in male)

405 dorsal margin of fixed finger nearly straight*Z. ezoensis* **sp. nov.**

406

407 **Acknowledgements**

408 We thank Shinri Tomioka for collecting and providing specimens from Rishiri Island; Koji

409 Shibazaki, Shimpei Hiruta, Daisuke Shimada, Hiroshi Yamasaki, Taiki Yoshihara, and Shushi

410 Abukawa for help in sampling; and Matthew H. Dick for reviewing the manuscript and editing

411 our English. This study was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science

412 (JSPS) under KAKENHI grants JP16K18597 and JP19K06800 to KK.

413

414 **References**

415 Bamber RN. 2005 The tanaidaceans (Arthropoda: Crustacea: Peracarida: Tanaidacea) of

416 Esperance, Western Australia, Australia. In: Wells FE, Walker DI, Kendrick GA,

417 editors. The Marine flora and fauna of Esperance, Western Australia. Perth: Western

418 Australian Museum; p. 613–727.

419 Bamber RN, Boxshall GA. 2006. A new genus and species of the Langitanainae (Crustacea:

420 Peracarida: Tanaidacea: Tanaidae) bearing a new genus and species of nicothoid

421 parasite (Crustacea: Copepoda: Siphonostomatoida: Nicothoidae) from the New

422 Caledonia Slope. Species Diversity. 11:137–148.

423 Bird GJ. 2011. Paratanaoidean tanaidaceans (Crustacea: Peracarida) from littoral and shallow

- 424 sublittoral habitats in New Zealand, with descriptions of three new genera and seven
425 new species. Zootaxa. 2891:1–62.
- 426 Bird GJ. 2019. Tanaidacea (Crustacea: Peracarida) from the Southern French Polynesia
427 Expedition, 2014. I. Tanaidomorpha. Zootaxa. 4548:1–75.
- 428 Chernomor O, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. 2016. Terrace aware data structure for phylogenomic
429 inference from supermatrices. Systematic Biology. 65:997–1008.
- 430 Chim CK, Tong SJW. 2019. *Xenosinelobus balanocolus*, a new tanaidid genus and species
431 (Crustacea: Peracarida: Tanaidacea) from barnacles on intertidal rocky shores and
432 seawalls in the Singapore Strait. Zootaxa. 4629:413–427.
- 433 Drumm DT. 2010. Phylogenetic relationships of Tanaidacea (Eumalacostraca: Peracarida)
434 inferred from three molecular loci. Journal of Crustacean Biology. 30:692–698.
- 435 Drumm DT, Kreiser B. 2012. Population genetic structure and phylogeography of
436 *Mesokalliapseudes macsweenyi* (Crustacea: Tanaidacea) in the northwestern Atlantic
437 and Gulf of Mexico. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology. 412:58–
438 65.
- 439 Edgar GJ. 2008. Shallow water Tanaidae (Crustacea: Tanaidacea) of Australia. Zootaxa.
440 1836:1–92.
- 441 Folmer O, Black M, Hoeh W, Lutz R, Vrijenhoek R. 1994. DNA primers for amplification of
442 mitochondrial cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates.

- 443 Molecular Marine Biology and Biotechnology. 3:294–299.
- 444 García-Herrero Á, Sánchez N, García-Gómez G, Pardos F, Martínez A. 2019. Two new
445 stygophilic tanaidomorphs (Peracarida, Tanaidacea) from Canary Islands and
446 southeastern Iberian Peninsula. Marine Biodiversity. 49:107–130.
- 447 Hoang DT, Chernomor O, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ, Vinh LS. 2018. UFBoot2: improving the
448 ultrafast bootstrap approximation. Molecular Biology and Evolution. 35:518–522.
- 449 Kakui K. 2015. [First report of *Zeuxo* sp. (Crustacea: Tanaidacea) as prey for the fork-tongue
450 goby, *Chaenogobius annularis* Gill, 1859]. Rishiri Studies. 34:1–6. Japanese.
- 451 Kakui K. 2016. Species diversity of animals in Japan: review of the taxonomy, diversity,
452 ecology, and other biological aspects of order Tanaidacea from Japan and surrounding
453 waters. In: Motokawa M, Kajihara H, editors. Species diversity of animals in Japan.
454 Berlin: Springer; p. 603–627.
- 455 Kakui K, Angsupanich S. 2012. *Birdotanaïs songkhlaensis*, a new genus and species of
456 Nototanaididae (Crustacea: Tanaidacea) from Thailand. The Raffles Bulletin of
457 Zoology. 60:421–432.
- 458 Kakui K, Hayakawa Y, Katakura H. 2017. Difference in size at maturity in annual and
459 overwintering generations in the tanaidacean *Zeuxo* sp. in Oshoro Bay, Hokkaido,
460 Japan. Zoological Science. 34:129–136.
- 461 Kakui K, Kajihara H, Mawatari SF. 2010. A new species of *Nesotanaïs* Shiino, 1968

- 462 (Crustacea, Tanaidacea) from Japan, with a key to species and a note on male cheliped.
463 ZooKeys. 33:1–17
- 464 Kakui K, Katoh T, Hiruta SF, Kobayashi N, Kajihara H. 2011. Molecular systematics of
465 Tanaidacea (Crustacea: Peracarida) based on 18S sequence data, with an amendment
466 of suborder/superfamily-level classification. Zoological Science. 28:749–757.
- 467 Kakui K, Kobayashi N, Kajihara H. 2012. Phylogenetic position of *Arctotanais* in the suborder
468 Tanaidomorpha (Peracarida: Tanaidacea). Journal of Crustacean Biology. 32:127–139.
- 469 Kakui K, Tomioka S, Yamasaki H. 2014. [Tanaidaceans from Rishiri Island (Crustacea:
470 Peracarida)]. Rishiri Studies. 33:7–12. Japanese.
- 471 Katoh K, Kuma K, Toh H, Miyata T. 2005. MAFFT version 5: improvement in accuracy of
472 multiple sequence alignment. Nucleic Acids Research. 33:511–518.
- 473 Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:
474 improvements in performance and usability. Molecular Biology and Evolution.
475 30:772–780.
- 476 Kimura M. 1980. A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions
477 through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. Journal of Molecular Evolution.
478 16:111–120.
- 479 Kito K. 1975. Preliminary report on the phytal animals in the *Sargassum confusum* region in
480 Oshoro Bay, Hokkaido. Journal of the Faculty of Science, Hokkaido University Ser

- 481 VI, Zoology. 20:141–158.
- 482 Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K. 2016. MEGA7: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis
483 version 7.0 for bigger datasets. Molecular Biology and Evolution. 33:1870–1874.
- 484 Kussakin GO, Tzareva LV. 1974. К фауне клешненосных (Crustacea, Tanaidacea) литорали
485 Курильских островов [On the fauna of Tanaidacea (Crustacea, Tanaidacea) from the
486 intertidal zone of the Kurile Islands]. In: Symposium No. 1: Fauna and Flora of the
487 Near Shore Zone of the Kurile Islands. Vladivostok: Far Eastern Science Center,
488 USSR Academy of Sciences; p. 215–226. Russian.
- 489 Lanfear R, Frandsen PB, Wright AM, Senfeld T, Calcott B. 2016. PartitionFinder 2: new
490 methods for selecting partitioned models of evolution for molecular and
491 morphological phylogenetic analyses. Molecular Biology and Evolution. 34:772–773.
- 492 Larsen K. 2003. Proposed new standardized anatomical terminology for the Tanaidacea
493 (Peracarida). Journal of Crustacean Biology. 23:644–661.
- 494 Larsen K. 2014. New species of the genus *Zeuxo* (Peracarida, Tanaidacea). Crustaceana.
495 87:715–754.
- 496 Larsen K, Tuya F, Froufe E. 2014. Genetic divergence of tanaidaceans (Crustacea: Peracarida)
497 with low dispersal ability. Scientia Marina. 78:81–90.
- 498 Nguyen L-T, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. 2015. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective
499 stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum likelihood phylogenies. Molecular

- 500 Biology and Evolution. 32:268–274.
- 501 Rambaut A. 2020. FigTree v1.4.4 [software]. [accessed 2020 Feb 22].
- 502 <http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/>.
- 503 Rasband WS. 2020. ImageJ v1.52t [software]. [accessed 2020 Feb 22].
- 504 <https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/index.html>.
- 505 Richardson H. 1905. Descriptions of a new genus of Isopoda belonging to the family Tanaidae
- 506 and of a new species of *Tanais*, both from Moterey Bay, California. Proceedings of the
- 507 United States National Museum. 28:367–370.
- 508 Shiino SM. 1951. Note on three species of Tanaidae from Japanese coast. Miscellaneous
- 509 Reports of the Research Institute for Natural Resources. 19–21:32–38. Japanese.
- 510 Sieg J. 1980. Taxonomische monographie der Tanaidae Dana, 1849 (Crustacea, Tanaidacea).
- 511 Abhandlungen der Senckenbergischen Naturforschenden Gesellschaft. 537:1–267.
- 512 Sieg J. 1983. Crustaceorum Catalogus 6. Hague: Dr W. Junk Publishers. German.
- 513 Takashima Y, Murano G, Kaneko T, Kishibayashi H, Anan M. 2002. [Epiphytic fauna in
- 514 seaweed beds in Oshoro Bay]. Annual Report of Marine Biological Research Institute
- 515 of Japan. 2002:67–78. Japanese.
- 516 Tanabe Y, Hayashi R, Tomioka S, Kakui K. 2017. *Hexapleomera urashima* sp. nov. (Crustacea:
- 517 Tanaidacea), a tanaidid epibiotic on loggerhead sea turtles at Yakushima Island, Japan.
- 518 Zootaxa. 4353:146–160.

- 519 Templeton R. 1840. Description of a minute crustaceous animal from the Island of Mauritius.
520 Transactions of the Entomological Society of London. 2:203–207 + plate 18.
- 521 Tzeng YW, Hsueh PW. 2015. Additions of new species and records to the Tanaidomorpha
522 (Crustacea: Tanaidacea) of Taiwan. Zootaxa. 4013:27–50.
- 523 Wi JH, Jeong MK, Kang C-K. 2018. A new species of the genus *Hexapleomera* Dudich, 1931
524 (Crustacea: Tanaidacea: Tanaididae) from the South Korea, with molecular evidence.
525 ZooKeys. 739:1–28.

526

527 **Figure legends**

528

- 529 **Figure 1.** *Zeuxo ezoensis* sp. nov., paratype female (A, a1; ICHUM6068) and male (B, b1;
530 ICHUM6069), dorsal views. A, B, living individuals; a1, b1, anterior portion of body, ethanol
531 fixed specimens. Scales: 1 mm (A, B), 0.1 mm (a1, b1).

532

- 533 **Figure 2.** Carapaces of *Zeuxo ezoensis* sp. nov. specimens used for DNA extraction, ethanol
534 fixed, dorsal view. A, B, Oshoro specimens (ICHUM6066, 6067); C, D, Rebun Island
535 specimens (ICHUM6070, 6071); E–G, Rishiri Island specimens (ICHUM6072–6074); H–J,
536 Okushiri Island specimens (ICHUM6075–6077).

537

538 **Figure 3.** *Zeuxo ezoensis* sp. nov. A–D, G–K, M–Q, holotype, female; E, F, allotype, male; L,
 539 paratype (ICHUM6064), female. A, B, body, dorsal (A) and lateral (B) views; C, E, antennule,
 540 outer view of left (C) and inner view of right (E); D, F, left (D) and right (F) antenna, inner
 541 view; G, labrum; H, left mandible (distal portion and molar); I, right mandible; J, distal portion
 542 of right mandible; K, labium, L, maxillule; M, maxillular palp; N, maxilla; O, maxillipeds
 543 (right palp, setal ornamentation and setation on right basis and left endite omitted), ventral
 544 view; P, distal portion of maxillipedal endites (circumplumose setae on left endite omitted),
 545 ventral view; Q, epignath. Scales: 1 mm (A, B); 0.1 mm (C–I, K–O, Q); 0.05 mm (J, P).

546

547 **Figure 4.** *Zeuxo ezoensis* sp. nov. A, C–M, holotype, female; B, allotype, male. A, B, right
 548 cheliped, inner view; C–H, pereopods 1–6, inner (C, H) and outer (D–G) views; I, distal
 549 portion of pereopod 6, inner view; J–L, right pleopods 1–3, setal ornamentation omitted; M,
 550 uropod. Scales: 0.1 mm.

551

552 **Figure 5.** *Zeuxo ezoensis* sp. nov., paratype (ICHUM6065), female, SEM images. A, B, distal
 553 portion of left (A) and right (B) mandibles, inner view. Scale: 10 μ m.

554

555 **Figure 6.** ML tree based on COI sequences (389 nt) from six *Zeuxo* species and an outgroup
556 taxon, *Arctotanais alascensis*. Numbers near nodes are ultrafast bootstrap values in percent
557 (80% or more). The scale indicates branch length in number of substitutions per site.
558

559 **Table I.** Collection information for specimens of *Zeuxo ezoensis* sp. nov. utilized in this study.

560 –, COI not determined; nd, no data.

561

ICHUM/INSD	Status	Sex; (mm)	BL/CW	Substratum; locality; date; collector
6059/–	Holotype	Female; 2.71/0.55		Brown algae; intertidal zone, west coast of Oshoro
6060/–	Allotype	Male; 3.70/0.81		Bay, Oshoro (43°12'40.3"N 140°51'24.2"E);
6061/–	Paratype	Female; 2.84/0.70		11.v.2018; NO
6062/–	Paratype	Male; 2.41/0.60		
6063/–	Paratype	Male; 2.23/0.51		
6064/–	Paratype	Female; 2.90/0.53		
6065/–	Paratype	Female; 1.64/0.36		
6066/529716	Paratype	Female; nd/0.59		<i>Sargassum confusum</i> ; intertidal zone, Uchikabuto,
6067/529717	Paratype	Female; nd/0.65		Oshoro (43°12'40.3"N 140°51'24.2"E);
				2.v.2012; KK
6068/–	Paratype	Female; nd/0.51		(hatched in aquarium; fixed on 11.i.2019)
6069/–	Paratype	Male; nd/0.57		<i>Neorhodomela aculeata</i> ; intertidal zone,
				Uchikabuto, Oshoro, 3.ix.2018; KK and NO
6070/529718	Nontype	Male; nd/0.47		<i>Sargassum</i> sp.; intertidal zone, Nishiuedomari,
6071/529719	Nontype	Male; nd/0.39		Rebun Island (45°24'27.2"N 140°59'29.3"E);
				17.x.2007; KK
6072/529720	Nontype	Male; nd/0.47		<i>Sargassum</i> sp.; intertidal zone, Motodomari,
6073/529721	Nontype	Female; nd/0.48		Rishiri Island (45°15'09.3"N 141°11'15.1"E);
6074/529722	Nontype	Female; nd/0.40		7.vii.2018; Shinri Tomioka
6075/529723	Nontype	Female; nd/0.77		<i>Sargassum thunbergii</i> ; intertidal zone, Monai,
6076/529724	Nontype	Female; nd/0.61		Okushiri Island (42°06'53.05"N
6077/529725	Nontype	Female; nd/0.56		139°25'04.98"E); 9.v.2010; KK

562

563

Table II. K2P distances (in percent) among COI sequences from four tanaidacean populations around Hokkaido (2, 2, 3, and 3 sequences from Oshoro and, Rebun, Rishiri, and Okushiri Islands, respectively; 655 nt). Average values are in parentheses.

	Oshoro	Rebun	Rishiri	Okushiri
Oshoro	0			
Rebun	1.2 (1.2)	0.5		
Rishiri	0.8 (0.8)	0.8–1.1 (0.9)	0–0.2 (0.1)	
Okushiri	1.1–1.4 (1.2)	1.1–1.5 (1.3)	0.6–1.1 (0.8)	0–0.3 (0.2)

A

B

A, B

a1

b1