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Abstract

Partitioning of spleen proteinase from yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacores*) in an aqueous two-phase system (ATPS) was investigated. Phase compositions including PEG molecular mass and concentration as well as types and concentration of salts affected the protein partitioning. ATPS comprising PEG1000 (15% w/w) and magnesium sulfate (20% w/w) provided the best condition for the maximum partitioning of the proteinase into the top phase and gave a highest specific activity (47.0 units/µg protein) and purification fold (6.61). The yield of 69.0% was obtained. Under the same ATPS condition used, the partitioning of proteinase of splenic extract from three tuna species involving skipjack tuna, yellowfin tuna and tongol tuna were compared. The purity of splenic extract from all tuna species increased after ATPS process. Among all species tested, yellowfin tuna showed the highest purification fold, followed by tongol tuna and skipjack tuna, respectively. SDS-substrate gel electrophoresis revealed that the band intensity of major proteinase in ATPS fraction from all tuna species slightly increased with the concomitant decrease in band intensity of other contaminating proteins, indicating the greater specific activity of splenic extract. Therefore, ATPS was an effective method for partitioning and recovery of proteinases from tuna spleen.
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1. Introduction

Tuna processing industry, especially canning, has become increasingly important as an income generator for Thailand. In terms of volume, Thailand is the world's largest exporter of canned tuna, for over 20 million cans annually during the past 5 years [1,2]. Large volumes of raw tuna go through the canning process, by which about two-third of the whole fish are utilized. As a consequence, processing wastes from the tuna canning industry are generated and estimated at 450,000 metric tons annually [1]. More than 200,000 metric tons of tuna viscera and offal can be collected and used mostly for animal feed.

Fish viscera is a potential source for recovering enzymes such as proteinases that may have some unique properties for industrial applications; e.g. in the detergent, food, pharmaceutical, leather and silk industries [3-7]. The use of alkaline proteinases has increased remarkably since they are both stable and active under harsh conditions such as at temperatures of 50 to 60°C, high pHs and in the presence of surfactants or oxidizing agents [7,8].

Trypsins have been characterized thoroughly based on their physicochemical and enzymatic properties from the intestine of crayfish [9,10], dogfish [11], mackerel [12] and capelin [13]. Bezerra et al. [14] partially purified trypsin from pyloric caeca of tambaqui (Colossoma macropomum) and found that the enzyme had an optimal pH of 9.5. Byun et al. [15] purified and characterized serine proteinases from pyloric caeca of tuna (Thunnus thynnus). Recently, Klomklao et al. [2] reported that major proteinases in spleen of three tuna species including skipjack tuna, yellowfin tuna and tongol tuna were trypsin-like serine proteinases. Among the spleen from three species, that from yellowfin tuna showed the highest activity.
Partitioning in an aqueous two-phase system (ATPS) is a selective method used for biomolecule purification [16]. Aqueous two-phase system is generally formed by mixing two or more incompatible polymers in aqueous condition. Phase separation occurs over certain concentrations of phase components. Alternatively, polymer and salt can also be used to generate an aqueous two-phase [16]. Among the polymer/salt systems, polyethylene glycol (PEG)/potassium phosphate and PEG/magnesium sulphate are most frequently used [17-19]. Generally, the biomolecule partition coefficient, K, defined as the ratio of the biomolecule concentration in the top phase to that in the bottom phase, was used to quantify the biomolecule partition behaviour. If the partition coefficients (or ratios) of two substances differ by a factor of 10 or more, their separation can be satisfactorily carried out [20]. When a single component must be extracted from a mixture, phase system compositions are often manipulated in such a manner that the component partitions into one of the phases, while the other components of the mixture partition into the other phase [20]. Additionally, the surface charge of biological materials is one of the most significant factors affecting the separation by use of partitioning [21]. Molecular weight, shape, hydrophobicity and specific binding sites of biological materials also affect the partition profiles. Electrical interaction and repulsion between charged aqueous phase systems and the proteins affect the partitioning of system [21].

ATPS have found application in the industrial scale purification of proteins from biomass [22]. The use of ATPS in downstream processing has been focused on the extraction, separation and concentration of various biomolecules including xylanases [23], amylase [24], anyloglucosidase [25], amino acid [26], etc. However, sometimes it is used as a potential primary purification technique to reduce the bulk of the processing stream, if not the only step to be followed by more selective final
purification steps such as chromatography, electrophoresis, etc [27]. Industries desire procedures which are less time consuming and give high enzyme yields with considerable purity. In this regard, partitioning in ATPS provides a powerful method for separating and purifying mixtures of proteins [23-25]. ATPS also offers many advantages including low process time, low energy consumption and biocompatible environment to the biomolecule due to the presence of large amounts of water in the extraction systems [22]. Furthermore, ATPS can remove contaminants such as nucleic acids and undesirable proteins. Hence, ATPS has been recognized as an efficient and economical downstream processing method due to the ease and lower cost [22, 28].

Our objective was to investigate the feasibility of utilizing ATPS for partitioning and recovery of proteinase from tuna spleen.
2. Materials and methods

2.1 Chemicals

Polyethylene glycol (PEG) 1000 and 4000 were obtained from Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan). Sodium caseinate, β-mercaptoethanol (βME), L-tyrosine, high-molecular-weight markers, low-molecular-weight markers and bovine serum albumin were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA.). Trichloroacetic acid, tris (hydroxymethyl) aminomethane and Folin-Ciocalteu’s phenol reagent were obtained from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), Coomassie Blue R-250 and N,N,N',N'-tetramethyl ethylene diamine (TEMED) were purchased from Bio-Rad Laboratories (Hercules, CA, USA).

The salts and other chemicals with the analytical grade were procured from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany).

2.2 Fish Sample preparation

Internal organs from three species of tuna including skipjack tuna (Katsuwonus pelamis), yellowfin tuna (Thunnus albacares) and tongol tuna (Thunnus tonggol) were obtained from Chotiwat Industrial Co. (Thailand) Ltd., Songkhla. Those samples (5 kg) were packed in the polyethylene bag, kept in ice and transported to the Department of Food Technology, Prince of Songkla University, Hat Yai, Thailand within 30 min. Pooled internal organs were then excised and separated into individual organs. Only spleen was collected, immediately frozen and stored at –20°C until used.
2.3 Preparation of spleen extract

Frozen spleens were thawed using a running water (26-28°C) until the core temperature reached −2 to 0°C. The samples were cut into pieces with a thickness of 1-1.5 cm. Samples were ground into powder in the liquid nitrogen using a National Model MX-T2GN blender (Taipei, Taiwan) according to the method of Simpson and Haard [29] as modified by Garcia-Carreno et al. [30].

Spleen powder was suspended in the distilled water at a ratio of 1:9 (w/v) and stirred continuously at 4°C for 15 min. The suspension was centrifuged for 15 min at 4°C at 5,000×g using a Sorvall Model RC-B Plus centrifuge (Newtown, CT, USA) to remove the tissue debris. The supernatant was collected and referred to as “tuna spleen extract”.

2.4 Enzyme assay

Proteinase activity of spleen extract from each tuna was determined using casein as a substrate according to the method of An et al. [31] with a slight modification. To initiate the reaction, 200 µl diluted spleen extract (500 folds) was added into assay mixtures containing 2 mg of casein, 200 µl of distilled water and 625 µl of assay buffer (0.1 M glycine-NaOH, pH 9.0). The mixture was incubated at 55°C for precisely 15 min. Enzymatic reaction was terminated by adding 200 µl of 50% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid (TCA). Unhydrolyzed protein substrate was allowed to precipitate for 15 min at 4°C, followed by centrifuging at 7,000×g for 10 min. The oligopeptide content in the supernatant was determined by the Lowry assay [32] using tyrosine as a standard. One unit of activity was defined as that releasing 1 nmole of
tyrosine per min (nmol/Tyr/min). A blank was run in the same manner, except the
enzyme was added after addition of 50 %TCA (w/v).

2.5 Preparation of aqueous two phase systems

ATPS were prepared in a 10-ml centrifuge tubes by adding the appropriate
amount of PEG, salts and tuna spleen extract. To study the effect of salts on
partitioning the proteinase from tuna spleen extract using ATPS, different salts
including NaH$_2$PO$_4$, K$_2$HPO$_4$, MgSO$_4$, Na$_3$C$_6$H$_5$O$_7$, (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ and Na$_2$SO$_4$ at
different concentrations (15, 20 and 25% w/w) were mixed with 20% PEG1000 in
aqueous system. Distilled water was used to adjust the system to obtain the final
weight of 5 g. The mixtures were mixed continuously for 3 min using a Vortex mixer
(Vortex-genie2, G-560E, USA). Phase separation was achieved by centrifugation for
5 min at 2000 × g. Top phase was carefully separated using a pasteur pipette and the
interface of each tube was discarded. Volumes of the separated phases were measured.
Aliquots from each phase were taken for enzyme assay and protein determination.

Purification factor (PF), defined as the ratio of specific proteinase activity
(SA) of each phase to the initial specific proteinase activity of crude extract was
calculated. Partition coefficient (K$_E$ or K$_p$), the ratio of enzyme activity or protein
concentration in the top phase to that in the bottom phase was also calculated. The
volume ratio (V$_R$) defined as the ratio of volume in the top phase to that in the bottom
phase was recorded.

To study the effect of the concentrations (10, 15, 20 and 25% w/w) of
PEG1000 and PEG4000 on partitioning of proteinase in tuna spleen extract, MgSO$_4$ at
a level of 20% was used in the system. Partitioning was performed as previously
described. All experiments were run in duplicate. The ATPS rendering the most
effective partitioning was chosen. Phase with high specific activity, was dialyzed
against 10 volumes of 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5 for 18 h with 3 changes of buffer in
the first 3 h and 5 changes in the last 15 h.

2.6 Sodium dodecyl sulfate-gel electrophoresis

SDS-PAGE was performed according to the method of Laemmli [33]. Protein
solutions were mixed at 1:1 (v/v) ratio with the SDS-PAGE sample treatment buffer
(0.125M Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 4% SDS, 20% glycerol, 10% β-mercaptoethanol) and
boiled for 3 min. The samples (20 µg) were loaded on the gel made of 4% stacking
and 10% separating gels and subjected to electrophoresis at a constant current of 15
mA per gel using a Mini-Protean II Cell apparatus. After electrophoresis, the gels
were stained with 0.2% Coomassie brilliant blue R-250 in 45% methanol and 10%
acetic acid and destained with 30% methanol and 10% acetic acid.

2.7 Activity staining

Spleen extract and selected phase with high specific proteolytic activity
obtained from ATPS were separated on SDS-PAGE, followed by activity staining
according to the method of Garcia-Carreno et al. [30]. The samples were mixed with
sample buffer (0.125M Tris-HCl, pH 6.8 containing 20% (v/v) glycerol, 10% β-
mercaptoethanol) at a ratio of 1:1 (v/v). Two µg of proteins were loaded into the gel
made of 4% stacking and 12% separating gels. The proteins were subjected to
electrophoresis at a constant current of 15 mA per gel by a Mini-Protean II Cell
apparatus. After electrophoresis, gels were immersed in 100 ml of 2% casein (w/v) in
50 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.5 for 1 h with constant agitation at 0°C to allow the
substrate to penetrate into the gels. The gels were then transferred to 2% casein (w/v)
in 0.1 M glycine-NaOH, pH 9.0 and incubated at 55°C for 15 min with constant
agitation to develop the activity zone. The gels were fixed and stained with 0.125%
Coomassie blue R-250 in 45% ethanol and 10% acetic acid and destained in 30%
methanol and 10% acetic acid. Development of clear zones on blue background
indicated proteolytic activity.

2.8 Protein determination

Protein concentration was measured by the method of Bradford [34] using
bovine serum albumin as a standard.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Effect of salts on the proteinase partitioning in ATPS

The partitioning of spleen proteinase from yellowfin tuna was carried out in
several biphasis system of 20% PEG1000 with different salts, NaH$_2$PO$_4$, K$_2$HPO$_4$,
MgSO$_4$, Na$_3$C$_6$H$_5$O$_7$, (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ and Na$_2$SO$_4$ at different concentrations (Table 1).
With either PEG1000 or salts alone, no phase separation was observed (data not
shown) indicating that the combination of both PEG and salt was necessary for
partitioning process. After phase separation, two phases were obtained, PEG-rich top
phase and salt-rich lower phase. For all ATPS studied, the proteinase was partitioned
predominantly in the PEG-rich top phase, principally those with hydrophobic
characteristics [20]. However, the recovery of proteinase from the opposite phase
(lower phase) was relatively low. In general, negatively charged proteins prefer the
upper phase in PEG/salt systems, while positively charged proteins normally partition
selectively to the bottom phase [35]. Hence, most of spleen proteinases partitioned in
top phase might be negatively charged. The maximum SA and PF of proteinase
obtained in PEG1000/salt systems depended on the medium composition (Table 1). A
phase system containing 20% PEG1000 and 20% MgSO$_4$ gave the highest SA (24.5
units/µg protein) and PF (3.4 fold). Pan and Li [36] reported that the use of 25% (w/v)
PEG1500 and 20-25% (w/v) NaH$_2$PO$_4$ was effective in concentrating and purifying
the β-xylosidase. The system containing 20% MgSO$_4$ was selected for further study
on the effect of PEG concentration on proteinase partitioning.

The distribution of the proteins in ATPS is characterized by partition
coefficient K. K values for proteinase and protein partitioning are reported as K$_E$ and
K$_P$, respectively. From the result, ATPS with magnesium sulfate at a concentration of
20% showed the lowest K$_P$ (0.32) indicating that it caused a shift of contaminant
proteins, nucleic acid and other undesirable components to the lower phase. Thus, the
extraction conditions employed resulted in the enrichment of specific proteinase
activity, which was due to the differential partitioning of the desired proteinase and
contaminating enzymes and proteins to the opposite phases. Table 1 also showed that
increasing salt concentration resulted in a less activity recovery. Loss in activity might
be due to the denaturation of proteinases causes by “salting out” effect [2]. Isable and
Otero [35] found that the presence of high concentrations of salt in the reaction
medium greatly decreased both the yield and the selectivity towards the trisaccharide
from lactose. Pan and Li [36] also reported that increasing NaH$_2$PO$_4$ concentration
resulted in a less activity recovery as well as a poorer specific activity. Therefore, type
of salt and concentration used were critical for yellowfin tuna spleen proteinase
recovery or partitioning in ATPS.
3.2 Effect of PEG molecular weight on the proteinase partitioning in ATPS

Proteinase partitioning in ATPS with varying concentration of PEG and 20% MgSO₄ is shown in Table 2. Partitioning of proteinase in PEG/ MgSO₄ system was strongly dependent on the molecular weight of the PEG. With PEG1000, all proteinases partitioned into the top phase ($K_E > 1$). Conversely, most proteinases were partitioned into the lower phase ($K_E < 1$) in ATPS containing PEG4000. Thus, $K_E$ values depended on the PEG molecular weight. The $K_P$ increased when PEG with higher molecular weight was used. However, Reh et al. [20] reported that most proteins were partitioned to the top phase in phase systems with low molecular weight PEG. The influence of the molecular mass of PEG on protein partitioning can be explained on the basis of Flory Huggins theory for polymers in solution [20]. A preferential interaction between the PEG molecule and the protein domain decreased when the molecular mass of PEG increased due to its exclusion from the protein domain [20]. This might lead to the movement of proteinase to the salt lower phase. Additionally, use of the higher molecular weight PEG gave a lower yield of proteinase recovered, compared with the lower molecular weight PEG (Table 2). Fernandez Lahore et al. [37] reported that the use of high molecular weight PEG is unsuitable for purification purpose. Among all ATPS tested, system comprising 15% PEG 1000 and 20% MgSO₄ partitioned the proteinase to the top PEG-rich phase and undesired protein to the bottom salt phase most effectively. Under the optimal conditions, 69% of the enzyme was recovered in the top phase, providing approximately 6.6 folds of purification for spleen proteinase of yellowfin tuna.

3.3 Recovery of spleen proteinase from other tuna species
The 15%PEG-20%MgSO$_4$ ATPS was used to partition spleen proteinase from three tuna species (Table 3). With ATPS partitioning, higher folds of purification were obtained for splenic extract of yellowfin tuna, compared with those of tongol tuna and skipjack tuna. The recovery yields were of 69.2, 73.6 and 82.5 for yellowfin tuna, skipjack tuna and tongol tuna, respectively (Table 3). Different protein compositions among three species possibly affected the partitioning of proteinase in ATPS used. It is also speculated that differences in the level of purification fold after ATPS process among tuna species might be related to different physicochemical and enzyme properties. Most of the methods reported for proteinase purification from fish digestive organs involved several steps, including ammonium sulfate precipitation, size-exclusion and ion-exchange chromatography [14,15], hydrophobic interaction chromatography [5] and affinity chromatography [29, 38]. In view of their characteristics, these multi-step methods result in high cost and time consuming purification process. Thus, ATPS could be an efficient method for the recovery of proteinase from tuna spleen due to the ease and lower cost.

3.4 Protein pattern and activity staining of spleen proteinase from three tuna species partitioned with ATPS

The purity of the enzyme from three tuna species after ATPS process was analyzed by SDS-PAGE (Fig. 1). Crude splenic extract contained a variety of proteins with different molecular weight. However, a large number of contaminating proteins were removed after partitioning with ATPS, particularly proteins with higher or lower MW. As a result, a higher purity of interested proteinase was obtained. When the proteins or enzymes to be separated differ significantly in their structural properties
from others, partitioning can be carried out successfully. The partitioning by ATPS becomes more complicated when those differences are minor [21].

The proteinases in tuna splenic extract and fraction obtained from ATPS were identified by SDS-substrate polyacrylamide gels (Fig. 2). The apparent MWs of the major activity bands were estimated to be 48, 23 and 23 kDa for skipjack, tongol and yellowfin tuna, respectively. However, minor activity bands were observed with apparent MW of 32 and 21 kDa for tongol and 31 and 21 kDa for yellowfin, respectively. The results indicated the differences in the major proteinases in splenic extract among all tuna species tested. Generally, activity bands of skipjack were different from those of other two species. Slightly greater band intensity in ATPS fraction was observed, suggesting the higher specific activity of proteinase loaded into the gel.

4. Conclusion

Aqueous two-phase system was demonstrated to be an efficient primary purification step for the tuna spleen proteinase. The best condition of ATPS for partitioning proteinase from tuna spleen was the PEG1000 (15%)-MgSO₄ (20%) system. Scaling up two-phase partition could form part of future industrial purification protocols for recovery of tuna spleen proteinase.
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Table 1: Effect of phase composition in PEG 1000-Salt ATPS on partitioning of spleen proteinase from yellowfin tuna.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase composition</th>
<th>( V_R )</th>
<th>( K_P )</th>
<th>( K_E )</th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>PF</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20%PEG1000 - 15%Na(_2)HPO(_4)</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>12.71</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>69.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%PEG1000 - 20%Na(_2)HPO(_4)</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>33.59</td>
<td>13.37</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>57.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%PEG1000 - 25%Na(_2)HPO(_4)</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>26.11</td>
<td>12.40</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%PEG1000 - 15%K(_2)HPO(_4)</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>23.54</td>
<td>13.79</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>76.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%PEG1000 - 20%K(_2)HPO(_4)</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>35.89</td>
<td>14.46</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>64.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%PEG1000 - 25%K(_2)HPO(_4)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>22.89</td>
<td>12.42</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%PEG1000 - 15%MgSO(_4)</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>16.58</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>83.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%PEG1000 - 20%MgSO(_4)</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>24.55</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>71.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%PEG1000 - 25%MgSO(_4)</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>13.50</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>55.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%PEG1000 - 15%Na(_3)C(_6)H(_5)O(_7)</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>15.44</td>
<td>11.32</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>72.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%PEG1000 - 20%Na(_3)C(_6)H(_5)O(_7)</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>11.79</td>
<td>12.19</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>64.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%PEG1000 - 25%Na(_3)C(_6)H(_5)O(_7)</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>22.44</td>
<td>13.03</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>52.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%PEG1000 - 15%(NH(_4))(_2)SO(_4)</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>22.49</td>
<td>11.28</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>73.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%PEG1000 - 20%(NH(_4))(_2)SO(_4)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>42.60</td>
<td>13.36</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>53.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%PEG1000 - 25%(NH(_4))(_2)SO(_4)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>62.47</td>
<td>16.41</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>66.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%PEG1000 - 15%Na(_2)SO(_4)</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>22.12</td>
<td>17.28</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>59.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%PEG1000 - 20%Na(_2)SO(_4)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>24.49</td>
<td>12.14</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>44.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%PEG1000 - 25%Na(_2)SO(_4)</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>21.26</td>
<td>15.14</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>35.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- \( V_R \): Volume ratio (Upper/Lower)
- \( K_P \): Partition coefficient of protein
- \( K_E \): Partition of proteinases in the upper phase
- \( SP \): Specific activity (U/mg Protein)
- \( PF \): Purification factor
- Yield: Recovery yield
Table 2. Effect of PEG molecular mass and concentration in a PEG-MgSO₄ ATPS on partitioning of spleen proteinase from yellowfin tuna.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase composition</th>
<th>Vᵣ</th>
<th>Kᵢ</th>
<th>Kₑ</th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>PF</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10%PEG1000 - 20% MgSO₄</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15%PEG1000 - 20% MgSO₄</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>46.87</td>
<td>6.61</td>
<td>69.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%PEG1000 - 20% MgSO₄</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>23.66</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>71.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25%PEG1000 - 20% MgSO₄</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>16.04</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>68.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%PEG4000 - 20% MgSO₄</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>11.14</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>37.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15%PEG4000 - 20% MgSO₄</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>9.14</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>38.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%PEG4000 - 20% MgSO₄</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>36.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25%PEG4000 - 20% MgSO₄</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- No phase separation

Abbreviation: See Table 1 footnote.
Table 3 Purification of spleen proteinase from three tuna species using 15%PEG1000 – 20%MgSO$_4$ ATPS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fraction</th>
<th>Total activity</th>
<th>Total protein</th>
<th>Specific activity</th>
<th>Purification fold</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Units</td>
<td>µg</td>
<td>Units/µg protein</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellowfin tuna</td>
<td>10898.14</td>
<td>1551.77</td>
<td>7.02</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATPS*</td>
<td>7543.86</td>
<td>162.21</td>
<td>46.51</td>
<td>6.62</td>
<td>69.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skipjack tuna</td>
<td>10588.54</td>
<td>1801.04</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATPS*</td>
<td>7787.42</td>
<td>379.70</td>
<td>20.51</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>73.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tongol tuna</td>
<td>10032.25</td>
<td>1889.59</td>
<td>5.31</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATPS*</td>
<td>8276.36</td>
<td>429.67</td>
<td>19.26</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>82.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*15%PEG1000 – 20%MgSO$_4$ ATPS
Fig. 1
**Fig. 2**
Figure Legends

Figure 1. SDS-PAGE of spleen extracts and ATPS fraction from different tuna species. L, Low-molecular-weight standard; lane 1,3,5, spleen extract; lane 2,4,6, 15% PEG1000-20% MgSO₄ ATPS fraction.

Figure 2. Activity staining of spleen extracts and ATPS fraction from different tuna species. L, Low-molecular-weight standard; lane 1,3,5, spleen extract; lane 2,4,6, 15%PEG1000-20%MgSO₄ ATPS fraction.