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#### Abstract

Common knowledge/belief is an important component in game theory, but its infinitary nature often hinders progress of game theory as a part of social science. The state of affairs behind a game situation may include such an infinite structure as latent. Formally, we consider an infinite-regress logic $\operatorname{IR}_{\beta}$ with two agents, which is a fixed-point logic. The subscript $\beta$ is be a bound on the nested depths of beliefs and fixed-point (infinite-regress) operators; the limit case $\beta=\omega$ is unbounded. A proof system $\mathrm{IR}_{\beta}$ is constructed within the bound $\beta$, but the corresponding Kripke semantics already includes an infinite valuation, which is uniform over different bounds $\beta$ up to $\omega$. The smallest meaningful case is $\beta=3$. The soundness-completeness theorem connecting $\operatorname{IR}_{\beta}$ with its semantics is provided; the proof theoretical part is interpreted as going from the surface to deeper layers as $\beta$ becomes larger. In logic $\mathrm{IR}_{\beta}$, each's basic beliefs may be different from the other's, in order to capture the feature that the individual beliefs are in the mind of each agent. Nevertheless, they have external interactions through their social world, after each's individual logical calculation, and after external interactions, each may revise his internal basic beliefs. Then, the situation starts again.


