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It is difficult to accurately simulate supercurrent in high-temperature superconducting bulk even by adopting the finite-element method. The equivalent electrical conductivity of high-temperature superconducting bulk, which has a strong nonlinearity according to the \( E-J \) power law, is introduced for the supercurrent analysis. However, the strong nonlinearity results in bad convergency of the nonlinear equation solvers, i.e., the Newton–Raphson (NR) method. Consequently, the unsuitable and/or undulating supercurrent is observed. Therefore, in this paper, the accuracy of the supercurrent analysis in the high-temperature superconducting bulk is discussed and a new method is proposed for the accurate high-temperature superconducting bulk simulation. Then, the method combined a line search with the NR method is adopted as a nonlinear equation solver, and the improvement of convergency and computation time are investigated.

Index Terms—\( E-J \) power law, high-temperature superconducting (HTS) bulk, nonlinear equation solver, supercurrent distribution.

I. INTRODUCTION

In applications of high-temperature superconducting (HTS) bulk, such as flywheels, magnetic levitations, bulk magnets, and so on, it is very important to comprehend the accurate characteristics of HTS bulk. Therefore, it is necessary to estimate the highly accurate Lorentz force, which is calculated from the supercurrent distribution, for design of HTS bulk applications [1], [2]. Consequently, the supercurrent distribution, depending on an external magnetic field and its history, is one of the important HTS bulk characteristics. To simulate the supercurrent, it is treated as an eddy current in normal conductor with a non-linear equivalent electrical conductivity [1], [3], [4]. By using of the finite-element method (FEM), even with very fine mesh, it occurs that the evaluated supercurrent partly flows in the opposite direction to the theoretical one (see Fig. 1). The simulation results are caused by the strong nonlinearity of the equivalent electrical conductivity, yielded from the \( E-J \) characteristics, as shown in Fig. 2. Therefore, a development or a modification of the highly accurate simulation method for HTS bulk is strongly desired. So, we propose a newly developed numerical simulation method to comprehend the electromagnetic characteristics of HTS bulk and investigate the accuracy compared with the FEM. Moreover, for verification to solve the strong nonlinear problem, the Newton–Raphson (NR) method and a line search (LS) combined with the NR method [5] are compared.

Many methods to simulate the HTS bulk were proposed, i.e., flux flow creep method [6], and Ginzburg–Landau method [7]. However, the microscopic physical parameters in the methods are required. Therefore, we focus on the large-scale simulation methods, such as FEM, which needs the macroscopic physical characteristics, i.e., \( \eta \) value, since they are easily measurable.

II. MATHEMATICAL MODEL

To simulate a space including the HTS bulk, the governing equation derived from the Maxwell’s equations is

\[
\nabla \times (\nu \mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{J}_0 + \mathbf{J}_{SC} \tag{1}
\]
where \( \mathbf{B}, I, \) and \( \mathbf{J}_0 \) are the magnetic flux density, the reluctivity, and the source current density, respectively. The supercurrent density \( \mathbf{J}_{SC} \) is calculated by the following relations:

\[
\mathbf{J}_{SC} = \sigma_{SC} \mathbf{E} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{E} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t}
\]

where \( \sigma_{SC}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{A}, \) and \( t \) are the equivalent electrical conductivity, the electrical fields, the magnetic vector potential, and the time, respectively. Moreover, the accurate supercurrent density simulation in HTS bulk is achieved by taking account of the \( E-J \) power law

\[
\mathbf{E} = E_C \left( \frac{|\mathbf{J}_{SC}|}{J_C} \right)^n
\]

where \( J_C \) and \( E_C \) are the critical current density \((=1.25 \times 10^7 \text{ A/m}^2)\) and the electric field criterion \((=10^{-7} \text{ V/mm})\) that defines \( J_C \), respectively, and \( n (=20) \), in this paper) is the index number, called “\( n \) value,” which concerns the strength of the nonlinearity. Here, the equivalent electrical conductivity is derived from

\[
\sigma_{SC} = \frac{J_C}{E_C} \left( \frac{E}{E_C} \right)^{1/n}
\]

These equations are to be solved numerically as the nonlinear problem.

### III. PROPOSED METHOD

By using the weight function \( W \) (1) yields

\[
\int \int_{\Omega} W \{ \nabla \times (\mu \mathbf{B}) - \mathbf{J}_0 - \mathbf{J}_{SC} \} d\Omega = 0.
\]

In the FEM employing the linear triangular element, the magnetic flux density \( \mathbf{B} \) in (6) is substituted for

\[
\mathbf{B}^{(e)}_{\text{element}} = \nabla \times \left( \sum_{i=1}^{3} w_i A_i \right)
\]

where \( \mathbf{B}^{(e)}_{\text{element}} \) represents the magnetic flux density in the element \( e \), and \( w_i \) and \( A_i \) are the weight function and the vector potential at node \( i \). Then, the equations obtained from (6) and (7) are solved.

In the proposed method, the magnetic flux density \( \mathbf{B} \) in (6) is substituted for the magnetic flux density \( \mathbf{B}_{\text{propose}} \) obtained as to each node from their neighboring elements. That is, \( \mathbf{B}_{\text{propose}} \) is obtained by

\[
\mathbf{B}_{\text{propose}} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} w_i \mathbf{B}^{(i)}_{\text{node}}.
\]

Here, \( \mathbf{B}^{(i)}_{\text{node}} \) is yielded from

\[
\mathbf{B}^{(i)}_{\text{node}} = \frac{\sum_{e=1}^{m} \mathbf{B}^{(e)}_{\text{element}}}{m}
\]

where the magnetic flux density on elements, \( \mathbf{B}^{(e)}_{\text{element}} \), which is also calculated from (7), and \( m \) is the number of the neighboring elements which connect with node \( i \). In the proposed method, the equations obtained from substituting \( \mathbf{B} \) in (6) for \( \mathbf{B}_{\text{propose}} \) in (8) are to be solved as the vector potential \( \mathbf{A} \) is unknown.

The difference between the FEM and the proposed method is in the way of the evaluation of the \( \mathbf{B} \) field. The \( \mathbf{B} \) obtained from (7) is constant on each element in the FEM with linear triangle element, while \( \mathbf{B} \) in (8) linearly varies in each element. The constant value of \( \mathbf{B} \) is considered as one of the factors to lead to the unsuitable result. In the proposed method, therefore, the nodal values of \( \mathbf{B} \), which are evaluated from their neighboring elements, are introduced, and the \( \mathbf{B} \) in each element is approximated as a linear function by the different way from the quadratic triangle element. In consequence, the magnetic flux density \( \mathbf{B} \) is evaluated accurately. In addition, to obtain the accurate magnetic flux density conducts the accurate magnetic vector potential \( \mathbf{A} \) and the supercurrent density \( \mathbf{J}_{SC} \).

This formulation enables to obtain the suitably smooth magnetic vector potential \( \mathbf{A} \) with high accuracy in regard to the strong nonlinear \( \sigma_{SC} \).

### IV. APPLICATION AND RESULTS

In order to confirm the validity and the utility of the proposed method, an HTS bulk simulation was carried out. The axially symmetrical analysis model consists of an HTS bulk disk and an exciting coil as shown in Fig. 3. In the proposed method, the nodal linear triangular element is employed, and all the simulation is performed with the same mesh. The numbers of nodes and elements are 11 634 and 23 072, respectively. In addition, the number of elements of the HTS bulk is 13 068.

#### A. Bean Model

At first step, the critical state model (bean model), which corresponds to \( n = \infty \) in (5), was employed in the simulation. Here, the way to simulate the bean model is proposed by [8]. The coil current monotonously and slowly increases from 0 at 80 A/s, and the analytical time interval of \( \Delta t \) is 1.0. In the FEM, the nodal linear and quadratic triangle elements are tested for comparing the proposed method. The convergence properties of the NR in both the proposed method and the FEM are not bad for the bean model. The supercurrent density inside of the HTS bulk on \( z = 0 \) at \( t = 10 \) s, obtained by the proposed method and
the FEM, are shown in Fig. 4. The unsuitably undulating supercurrent densities of both the linear and the quadratic FEMs are observed in the range of \( r = 13.8-14.2 \text{ mm} \) on Fig. 4. On the other hand, the supercurrent density of the proposed method suitably smoothly varies. Moreover, a good agreement between the theoretically obtained supercurrent density [8] and that obtained from the proposed method is also revealed from Fig. 4.

B. \( E-J \) Power Law Model

At second step, in order to take account of the time-varying external magnetic field, the \( E-J \) power law (4) was employed in the simulation. The coil current monotonously and quickly increases from 0 at 2240 A/s, and the analytical time interval \( \Delta t \) is 0.05 s. To solve the nonlinear problem, the NR method and the method in [5] (which combines a LS with the NR method) are adopted, and the convergence characteristics are investigated.

The convergence criterion of the nonlinear equation solver is adopted as follows:

\[
\left| \frac{\delta A^{(k)}}{A^{(k+1)}} \right| < 1.0 \times 10^{-3}
\]

(10)

where \( k \) is the number of the nonlinear iteration. Note, in the case that the magnetic vector potential \( A \) is nearly 0, the convergence criterion is adopted as follows:

\[
|\delta A^{(k)}| < 1.0 \times 10^{-25}.
\]

(11)

The result of the FEM is shown in Fig. 1; the reasonable supercurrent distribution could not be obtained, obviously.

The supercurrent distributions inside of the HTS bulk at \( t = 0.05, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5 \text{ s} \), obtained by the proposed method with the LS method, are shown in Fig. 5. There are no differences between the results of the proposed method with both the LS and the NR methods. The unsuitably undulating supercurrent density in the distributions in Fig. 5 is not observed at all.

V. DISCUSSION

A. Proposed Method and FEM

The validity of the proposed method is confirmed by comparison of the supercurrent density with the theoretically obtained one, as shown in Fig. 4.

On the other hand, the convergence property of the NR in the FEM is obviously bad for the \( E-J \) power law model, as shown in Fig. 6. In the FEM, the convergence criterion was never satisfied without reference to employing the LS method or the common NR method. Consequently, the magnetic vector potential \( A \) and the supercurrent density \( J_{SC} \) cannot be evaluated. Therefore, in this attempt, the iterative computation of the nonlinear solver only in the FEM is stopped at 500 times, even if the convergence does not reach in order to proceed the time step. In this simulation model, the supercurrent distribution has to be symmetric against the \( r \) axis. However, the supercurrent distribution obtained from the FEM with unsuitable
The power law model, the proposed method was applied. The supercurrent distributions obtained by the proposed method are suitably smooth, while that obtained by the FEM are unsuitable and undulate because of the bad convergence. The convergence characteristic of the proposed method was considerably improved as compared with the FEM. Consequently, the convergence criterion (that cannot be done in the FEM) can be configured and the suitable supercurrent distribution can be obtained.

Finally, the improvement of the convergence characteristic has been investigated by adopting the LS method. The improvement was confirmed to the proposed method, while there is no effect of the LS method to the FEM.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have proposed the method for highly accurate HTS bulk simulation and confirmed its validity as compared with the FEM and the theoretical analysis. The suitable result in the case of the critical state model (bean model) was obtained, and it agreed with the theoretical solution well. For the \( E-J \) power law model, the proposed method was applied. The supercurrent distributions obtained by the proposed method are suitably smooth, while that obtained by the FEM are unsuitable and undulate because of the bad convergence. The convergence characteristic of the proposed method was considerably improved as compared with the FEM. Consequently, the convergence criterion (that cannot be done in the FEM) can be configured and the suitable supercurrent distribution can be obtained.

Finally, the improvement of the convergence characteristic has been investigated by adopting the LS method. The improvement was confirmed to the proposed method, while there is no effect of the LS method to the FEM.
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