

HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

Title	Taxonomy and natural history of Cavernocypris hokkaiensis sp. nov., the first ostracod reported from alpine streams in Japan
Author(s)	Munakata, Mizuho; Tanaka, Hayato; Kakui, Keiichi
Citation	Zoosystematics and Evolution, 98(1), 117-127 https://doi.org/10.3897/zse.98.80442
Issue Date	2022-04-05
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/85396
Rights(URL)	https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/
Туре	article
Additional Information	There are other files related to this item in HUSCAP. Check the above URL.
File Information	2022_Munakata _et al_Cavernocypris_suppl4.pdf ()



Zoosystematics and Evolution: Supplementary file 4

Taxonomy and natural history of *Cavernocyrpris hokkaiensis* sp. nov., the first ostracod reported from alpine streams in Japan

Mizuho Munakata¹, Hayato Tanaka², Keiichi Kakui³

¹Department of Natural History Sciences, Graduate School of Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Hokkaido 060-0810, Japan
²Tokyo Sea Life Park, Edogawa-ku, Tokyo 134-8587, Japan
³Department of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Hokkaido 060-0810, Japan

Corresponding author: Mizuho Munakata (munakata.mizuho.k0@elms.hokudai.ac.jp)

Supplementary File 4: File S1.

Phylogenetic analysis of cypridoidean ostracods based on 18S rRNA sequences

Methods

The 18S dataset for a phylogenetic analysis included one sequence we determined and 65 sequences greater than 1600 bp long from 64 cypridoidean species and one outgroup taxon (Pontocypris mytiloides, Pontocypridoidea) taken from the INSD (see supplementary file 1: Table S1). Five cypridoidean sequences (AY191451-191453, AY191457, AY457057), greater than 1600 bp long and available in the INSD were not included in our dataset because a preliminary alignment showed that they contained long indels not present in the other species. Sequences were aligned by eye according to the secondary structure of the honeybee 18S sequence (Gillespie et al. 2006) and the structure predicted with the RNAfold WebServer (Gruber et al. 2008; Lorenz et al. 2011); the aligned sequences were trimmed in MEGA7 (Kumar et al. 2016) to the shortest length among them (see supplementary file 2: Alignment S1). Alignment-ambiguous sites were then removed with Gblocks ver. 0.91b (Castresana 2000) in NGPhylogeny.fr (Lemoine et al. 2019) under the "relaxed" parameters described in Talavera and Castresana (2007) (1547 positions in the alignment dataset; see supplementary file 3: Alignment S2). The optimal substitution model (GTR + G + I) was determined under the corrected AIC (Akaike information criterion) option in PartitionFinder 2.1.1 (Lanfear et al. 2017), using the greedy algorithm (Lanfear et al. 2012). A maximum likelihood (ML) analysis was conducted in IQ-TREE 2.1.2 (Minh et al. 2020), with nodal support values obtained from an ultrafast bootstrap analysis of 1000 pseudoreplicates under the "bnni" option (Hoang et al. 2018). The ML tree was drawn with FigTree v1.4.4 (Rambaut 2021).

Results

In our ML tree based on 18S sequences (the figure below), *C. hokkaiensis* sp. nov. appears as the sister taxon to a strongly supported clade (97% ultrafast bootstrap support [uBS]) comprising all other cypridoideans. Cyprididae and Cypridopsinae, in which *Cavernocypris* is classified, do not show as monophyletic. Four other families for which two or more species each were included in our analysis (Paracyprididae, Ilyocyprididae, Candonidae, and Cyclocypridae) comprise strongly supported clades (99–100% uBS). Cyclocypridae contains one sequence and Candonidae three sequences determined from specimens possibly misidentified as cypridids (*Dolerocypris sinensis* [DQ531760], *Dolerocypris* sp. CH-2004 [AY622194], Cyprididae sp. CH-2004 [AY622196], and *Cypridopsis* sp. OC-2001 [AF363307]).



Figure. Maximum-likelihood (ML) tree based on 18S sequences (1547 positions). Numbers near nodes are ultrafast bootstrap support (uBS) greater than 60%. Filled circles indicate 100% uBS. Taxa in Cypridopsinae are shown in red letters. Two clades each comprising *Ilyocypris* and *Heterocypris+Eucypris* sequences were collapsed (terminal triangle). The taxon names labeling sidebars are family names.

References

Castresana J (2000) Selection of conserved blocks from multiple alignments for their use in phylogenetic analysis. Molecular Biology and Evolution 17(4): 540–552. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a026334

Gillespie JJ, Johnston JS, Cannone JJ, Gutell RR (2006) Characteristics of the nuclear (18S, 5.8 S,

28S and 5S) and mitochondrial (12S and 16S) rRNA genes of *Apis mellifera* (Insecta: Hymenoptera): structure, organization, and retrotransposable elements. Insect Molecular Biology 15: 657–686. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2583.2006.00689.x

- Gruber AR, Lorenz R, Bernhart SH, Neuböck R, Hofacker IL (2008) The Vienna RNA websuite. Nucleic Acids Research 36(suppl 2): W70–W74. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkn188
- Hoang DT, Chernomor O, Von Haeseler A, Minh BQ, Vinh LS (2018) UFBoot2: improving the ultrafast bootstrap approximation. Molecular Biology and Evolution 35(2): 518–522. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msx281
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K (2016) MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. Molecular Biology and Evolution 33(7): 1870–1874. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msw054
- Lanfear R, Calcott B, Ho SY, Guindon S (2012) PartitionFinder: combined selection of partitioning schemes and substitution models for phylogenetic analyses. Molecular Biology and Evolution 29(6): 1695–1701. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mss020
- Lanfear R, Frandsen PB, Wright AM, Senfeld T, Calcott B (2017) PartitionFinder 2: new methods for selecting partitioned models of evolution for molecular and morphological phylogenetic analyses. Molecular Biology and Evolution 34(3): 772–773. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msw260
- Lemoine F, Correia D, Lefort V, Doppelt-Azeroual O, Mareuil F, Cohen-Boulakia S, Gascuel O (2019) NGPhylogeny.fr: new generation phylogenetic services for non-specialists. Nucleic Acids Research 47(W1): W260–W265. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz303
- Lorenz R, Bernhart SH, Zu Siederdissen CH, Tafer H, Flamm C, Stadler PF, Hofacker IL (2011) ViennaRNA Package 2.0. Algorithms for Molecular Biology 6(1): 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1186/1748-7188-6-26
- Minh BQ, Schmidt HA, Chernomor O, Schrempf D, Woodhams MD, Von Haeseler A, Lanfear R (2020) IQ-TREE 2: new models and efficient methods for phylogenetic inference in the genomic era. Molecular Biology and Evolution 37(5): 1530–1534. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msaa015
- Rambaut WS (2021) FigTree v1.4.4. http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/ [Accessed on 19 December 2021]
- Talavera G, Castresana J (2007) Improvement of phylogenies after removing divergent and ambiguously aligned blocks from protein sequence alignments. Systematic Biology 56(4): 564– 577. https://doi.org/10.1080/10635150701472164