

HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

Title	Hydrogenation of Carbon Dioxide over Doped Metal Oxide Catalysts
Author(s)	Shaikh, Nazmul Hasan Mohammad Dostagir
Citation	北海道大学. 博士(理学) 甲第14690号
Issue Date	2021-09-24
DOI	10.14943/doctoral.k14690
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/86888
Туре	theses (doctoral)
File Information	Dostagir.pdf



## Hydrogenation of Carbon Dioxide over Doped Metal Oxide Catalysts

(ドープ型金属酸化物触媒による二酸化炭素の水素化)

### Shaikh Nazmul Hasan Mohammad Dostagir

Hokkaido University

北海道大学

2021

### Table of Contents

1.	1. General introduction1				
	1.1 Background	1			
	1.2 CO <sub>2</sub> hydrogenation to methanol and CO	2			
	1.3 Thermodynamic consideration	4			
	1.4 Heterogeneous catalysts for CO <sub>2</sub> hydrogenation	5			
	1.5 Catalyst design	6			
	1.5.1 CO <sub>2</sub> hydrogenation to methanol	6			
	1.5.1.1 Cu based catalysts	6			
	1.5.1.2 Metal oxides as emerging catalysts for CO <sub>2</sub> hydrogenation to metha	nol 7			
	1.5.2 Reverse water gas shift reaction	.11			
	1.6 Objective of this work	.12			
	1.7 Outline of the thesis	.13			
2.	Rh promoted In <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> as a highly active catalyst for C	<b>O</b> <sub>2</sub>			
	hydrogenation to methanol	21			
	hydrogenation to methanol	.21			
	hydrogenation to methanol         2.1 Introduction         2.2 Experimental method	.21 .22 .24			
	hydrogenation to methanol         2.1 Introduction.         2.2 Experimental method.         2.2.1 Materials	.22 .22 .24 .24			
	hydrogenation to methanol         2.1 Introduction         2.2 Experimental method         2.2.1 Materials         2.2.2 Catalyst preparation	.22 .22 .24 .24 .24			
	hydrogenation to methanol         2.1 Introduction.         2.2 Experimental method.         2.2.1 Materials         2.2.2 Catalyst preparation         2.2.3 Catalyst characterization	.22 .22 .24 .24 .24 .24			
	hydrogenation to methanol2.1 Introduction.2.2 Experimental method.2.2 Experimental method.2.2.1 Materials.2.2.2 Catalyst preparation.2.2.3 Catalyst characterization2.2.4 Evaluation of catalytic activity.	<b>21</b> .22 .24 .24 .24 .24 .25 .27			
	hydrogenation to methanol2.1 Introduction2.2 Experimental method2.2 Experimental method2.2.1 Materials2.2.2 Catalyst preparation2.2.3 Catalyst characterization2.2.4 Evaluation of catalytic activity2.2.5 Equations	<b>21</b> .22 .24 .24 .24 .25 .27 .28			
	hydrogenation to methanol         2.1 Introduction.         2.2 Experimental method.         2.2.1 Materials         2.2.2 Catalyst preparation.         2.2.3 Catalyst characterization         2.2.4 Evaluation of catalytic activity         2.2.5 Equations         2.2.6 Theoretical calculations.	<b>21</b> .22 .24 .24 .25 .27 .28 .28			
	hydrogenation to methanol         2.1 Introduction         2.2 Experimental method         2.2.1 Materials         2.2.2 Catalyst preparation         2.2.3 Catalyst characterization         2.2.4 Evaluation of catalytic activity         2.2.5 Equations         2.2.6 Theoretical calculations         2.3 Results and Discussion	<ul> <li>21</li> <li>.22</li> <li>.24</li> <li>.24</li> <li>.25</li> <li>.27</li> <li>.28</li> <li>.28</li> <li>.30</li> </ul>			
	hydrogenation to methanol2.1 Introduction2.2 Experimental method2.2 Experimental method2.2.1 Materials2.2.2 Catalyst preparation2.2.3 Catalyst characterization2.2.4 Evaluation of catalytic activity2.2.5 Equations2.2.6 Theoretical calculations2.3 Results and Discussion2.3.1 Catalytic activity	<ul> <li>21</li> <li>.22</li> <li>.24</li> <li>.24</li> <li>.25</li> <li>.27</li> <li>.28</li> <li>.28</li> <li>.30</li> <li>.30</li> </ul>			
	hydrogenation to methanol2.1 Introduction2.2 Experimental method2.2 Experimental method2.2.1 Materials2.2.2 Catalyst preparation2.2.3 Catalyst characterization2.2.4 Evaluation of catalytic activity2.2.5 Equations2.2.6 Theoretical calculations2.3 Results and Discussion2.3.1 Catalytic activity2.3.2 Characterization	<ul> <li>21</li> <li>.22</li> <li>.24</li> <li>.24</li> <li>.25</li> <li>.27</li> <li>.28</li> <li>.30</li> <li>.30</li> <li>.32</li> </ul>			

3. Co single atoms in $ZrO_2$ with inherent oxygen vacancies for sele	ective
hydrogenation of CO <sub>2</sub> to CO	45
3.1 Introduction	46
3.2 Experimental method	47
3.2.1 Catalyst Preparation	47
3.2.2 Catalyst characterization	48
3.2.3 Evaluation of catalytic activity	50
3.2.4 Theoretical calculations	50
3.3 Results and discussion	51
3.3.1 Preparation and characterization of doped and impregnated Co cataly ZrO <sub>2</sub>	ysts on 51
3.3.2 Modeling the Co doped ZrO <sub>2</sub> surface and its interaction with CO <sub>2</sub>	58
3.3.3 Catalytic activity for CO <sub>2</sub> hydrogenation	61
3.3.4 Elucidation of mechanism and active site in doped catalyst	64
3.4 Conclusion	70
4. Role of support in controlling CO <sub>2</sub> hydrogenation pathway	75
4.1 Introduction	76
4.2 Experimental method	77
4.2.1 Catalyst Preparation	77
4.2.2 Catalyst characterization	78
4.2.3 Evaluation of catalytic activity	79
4.3 Results and discussions	79
4.3.1 Catalytic activity	79
4.3.2 Catalyst structure	81
4.3.3 Surface properties	82
4.3.4 Mechanistic details	85
4.3.5 Discussion	89
4.3.6 Significance of interface in impregnated catalysts	90
4.4 Conclusion	91

5. Conclusion	95
List of Publications	
Acknowledgement	

### **Chapter 1**

### **General introduction**

#### 1.1 Background

The world has seen a massive growth in population and industrial production in the last century. Consequently, the demand for energy has risen exponentially. Fossil fuels have been the main source of energy supporting this growth. As a result, the carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) released from fossil fuels has been accumulating in the atmosphere. At the starting of 20<sup>th</sup> century, the concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> in atmosphere was 295 ppm. As of May 2021, this value has increased by 40% to become 414 ppm.<sup>1</sup> The excess anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> has a warming effect on the planet. According to Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), the emission of green-house gases such as CO<sub>2</sub> is the main reason behind global warming.<sup>2</sup> This increase in CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the atmosphere is already affecting the environment. For example, the increased uptake of CO<sub>2</sub> by oceans is causing their acidification and threatening marine life.<sup>3</sup> It is now high time to adopt drastic measures to prevent a manmade climate catastrophe. Governments around the globe have pledged to reduce the emission of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere. For example, Japan has set up a goal to become carbon neutral until 2050 and achieve a net zero CO<sub>2</sub> emission.<sup>4</sup>

One way to reduce the  $CO_2$  emission is to use  $CO_2$  as a chemical feedstock through a process known as carbon capture and utilization (CCU). Currently, a large part of energy demand is fulfilled by fossil fuels. One of the main sources of energy is gasoline range hydrocarbons, which are derived from fossil fuels. A majority of carbon based chemicals (for example, benzene, toluene, xylene, methanol, ethylene, propylene) are also derived from fossil fuels. Therefore, use of  $CO_2$  to produce fuels and chemicals would result in a substantial reduction of  $CO_2$  emission from fossil fuels. For example, it has been estimated that if fuels and chemicals were only produced from  $CO_2$  in 2018, almost 14.2 Gt of  $CO_2$  emission could be avoided, which was 42% of total  $CO_2$  emission from the combustion of oil, gas and coal in 2018.<sup>5,6</sup> Hence, production of compounds that are important for chemical industry and in energy sector from  $CO_2$  is highly important.

#### 1.2 CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation to methanol and CO

CO<sub>2</sub> can be converted to various industrially important chemicals (Figure 1.1).<sup>7</sup> Among chemicals, urea production is the largest consumer of CO<sub>2</sub>. Almost 190 Mt of urea is produced per year utilizing 120 Mt of CO<sub>2</sub>.<sup>8</sup> CO<sub>2</sub> is also utilized in the synthesis of formic acid, salicylic acid and carbonate polymers, whose combined production demand is 0.86 Mt per annum.<sup>9,10</sup> However, production of these chemicals cannot help energy sector (for example: use of fossil fuel in industry and transport) to be carbon neutral and brings up additional problems. For example, in case of nitrogen containing fertilizers, they produce N<sub>2</sub>O gas, which has 300 time more potential as a greenhouse gas than CO<sub>2</sub>.<sup>11</sup> Among the CO<sub>2</sub> derived products, methanol and CO are two of the most important precursors as they are used in both energy sector and in chemical industry.

The global production of methanol is 135 Mt year<sup>-1,6</sup> Methanol is largely consumed as formaldehyde, which is used for the production of resins, plastics, paints, pesticides, and pharmaceuticals.<sup>12</sup> Acetic acid produced from carbonylation of methanol is consumed for the production of vinyl acetate. Dimethyl ether (DME) made by dehydration of methanol is used as fuel and fuel blend.<sup>13</sup> Ethylene and propylene, which are the precursors for plastics can be produced via methanol-to-olefins (MTO)

process. Methanol-to-gasoline (MTG) is a process used for producing gasoline range fuels. Aromatics such as benzene-toluene-xylene (BTX) can be obtained from methanol. Another important use of methanol is the storage and transportation of  $H_2$ . Moreover, methanol is used in large volume as solvent for various chemical transformations. Therefore, efficient production of methanol from direct  $CO_2$ hydrogenation is of great interest.



**Figure 1.1:** Pathways for formation of value-added product directly from CO<sub>2</sub> and through methanol and CO as intermediate precursors.

CO is also a versatile chemical building unit and it can be converted to various important molecules. Syngas, a mixture of CO and H<sub>2</sub>, is used to produce fuels and olefins via Fischer-Tropsch synthesis (FTS).<sup>14–16</sup> This process has already been commercialized and is economically viable.<sup>15</sup> Syngas is also used for the production of higher alcohols, which have high applicability as fuels and fuel blender, hydrogen carrier, precursors, and reagents for the production of detergents and plasticizers.<sup>17–19</sup>

Methanol and CO can be used both in the energy sector and in the chemical industry. They are used in the production of liquid fuels, which can decrease the use of fossil fuels. Synthesis of many important fine and bulk chemicals also includes methanol and CO as precursors. In order to produce all those industrially important products directly from CO<sub>2</sub>, methanol and/or CO need to be synthesized from CO<sub>2</sub> as the initial product. Therefore, it is important to study CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation to methanol and CO.

#### **1.3 Thermodynamic consideration**

Thermodynamic stability of  $CO_2$  is high owing to the highest oxidation state of carbon in  $CO_2$ . Figure 1.2 shows the Gibbs free energy of formation of  $CO_2$  and products derived from  $CO_2$  hydrogenation. All products are less stable than  $CO_2$ . This means that a substantial amount of energy is required for the  $CO_2$  hydrogenation reaction. This is why, in thermochemical process, elevated temperature is helpful for  $CO_2$  conversion.



**Figure 1.2:** Plot showing Gibbs free energy for formation for CO<sub>2</sub> and chemicals derived from its hydrogenation. Reprinted with permission form ref. (6). Copyright 2020 American Chemical Society.

CO production from CO<sub>2</sub>, known as reverse water gas shift (RWGS) reaction (Equation 1.1), is endothermic while methanol and methane formation (Equation 1.2 and 1.3 respectively) are exothermic in nature.<sup>20</sup> This means that RWGS reaction is favored at higher temperature while methanol and methane formation are favored at lower temperature. In addition, higher pressure tends to favor the formation of methanol

and methane while change in pressure should not have any influence over the RWGS reaction.

 $CO_{2} + H_{2} \Leftrightarrow CO + H_{2}O \qquad \Delta H_{298K}^{\circ} = +41.2 \ kJ \ mol^{-1} \ (1.1)$   $CO_{2} + 3H_{2} \Leftrightarrow CH_{3}OH + H_{2}O \qquad \Delta H_{298K}^{\circ} = -49.5 \ kJ \ mol^{-1} \ (1.2)$  $CO_{2} + 4H_{2} \Leftrightarrow CH_{4} + 2H_{2}O \qquad \Delta H_{298K}^{\circ} = -165.0 \ kJ \ mol^{-1} \ (1.3)$ 

#### 1.4 Heterogeneous catalysts for CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation

The high stability of CO<sub>2</sub> poses a challenge for its conversion to other products. Therefore, catalysts are required to lower the activation barrier for its hydrogenation. Several homogeneous catalysts such as transition metal complexes, frustrated Lewis acid-base pairs (FLPs), or N-heterocyclic carbenes (NHCs) show decent activity at mild reaction condition.<sup>21–24</sup> However, homogeneous catalysts are not suitable for production of chemicals in large volume due to the difficulties in their separation from reaction mixture and poor process economy. Therefore, research focus has been turned towards heterogeneous catalysts.

Heterogeneous catalysts can be applied for CO<sub>2</sub> conversion using photochemical thermochemical processes.<sup>6,16,25–30</sup> electrochemical, and In photochemical process, the efficiency is much lower than the current industrial demand. For electrochemical conversion, H<sub>2</sub> can be obtained directly from water, eliminating the requirement of external H<sub>2</sub> gas. However, the faradic efficiency is not sufficient for industrial scale application at present.<sup>6</sup> Thermochemical CO<sub>2</sub> reduction process has the potential for industrial application and is common in practice. Although from an environmental point of view, successful implementation of this technology would require H<sub>2</sub> from renewable sources, which would increase the cost of production, thermochemical conversions can still be economically viable. One such representative example is the "George Olah Renewable Methanol Plant" in Iceland.<sup>31</sup> H<sub>2</sub> required for  $CO_2$  hydrogenation to methanol is obtained by electrolysis of water. The energy to run both the water electrolysis and  $CO_2$  hydrogenation systems comes from the local geothermal energy sources. This is the first plant for direct recycling of  $CO_2$  to methanol converting ~5600 tons of  $CO_2$  to methanol yearly.

#### **1.5 Catalyst design**

The pathway of  $CO_2$  hydrogenation to C1 products (Scheme 1.1) is complicated because the desired products can undergo further hydrogenation. Therefore, controlling selectivity of a single product is the main concern in  $CO_2$  hydrogenation reaction. Accordingly, design of a highly selective, stable, active, and inexpensive catalyst is important.



Scheme 1.1: Schematic representation of CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation pathway to C1 products.

#### **1.5.1 CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation to methanol**

#### **1.5.1.1 Cu based catalysts**

Since 1960s, after the discovery of Cu-ZnO catalyst for methanol production from syngas by Imperial Chemical Industries (ICI), Cu based catalysts were extensively studied for CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation to methanol.<sup>6,32,33</sup> The activity and selectivity of CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation to methanol over Cu based systems were found to be dependent on catalyst structure and nature of support. The good performance of current industrial catalyst, Cu-ZnO-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, was due to high dispersion of Cu species on ZnO and stability was enhanced by using Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> as support.<sup>6</sup> It was suggested that the presence of stepped sites at the Cu surface was helpful for high activity and presence of Zn<sup> $\delta$ +</sup> near the defect enhanced the stability of formate species.<sup>34,35</sup> As a result, methanol formation improved

via further hydrogenation of formate species. Kattel et al. showed that ZnCu bimetallic alloy underwent oxidation to form ZnO-Cu interfaces, which promoted the formate formation and subsequent formation of methanol.<sup>36</sup> Introduction of other oxides also improved the activity of Cu based systems.<sup>6,29,37</sup> ZrO<sub>2</sub> increased the stability of highly dispersed Cu species and helped to improve the activity by stabilizing intermediates at the interfacial sites.<sup>38–40</sup>

Although Cu based catalytic systems are inexpensive, they have several problems regarding stability, activity, and selectivity of CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation to methanol. When applied for direct CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation to methanol, competitive RWGS reaction also occurs and decreases methanol selectivity. Furthermore, in a CO<sub>2</sub> rich feed, the Cu-ZnO-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst deactivates rapidly.<sup>41–43</sup> Water, formed as a byproduct of CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation reaction, promotes sintering of Cu and reduces activity.<sup>43–45</sup> Huang et al. reported 34% activity loss of Cu-ZnO-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst after 720 h on stream due to oxidation of Cu<sup>0</sup> to Cu<sup>2+</sup> and agglomeration of ZnO.<sup>46</sup> In addition, high coverage of the adsorbed species (for example: carbonates and formate) on the catalyst surface also slowed down the reaction at high CO<sub>2</sub> concentration.<sup>47–51</sup> In Cu/ZrO<sub>2</sub> and Cu/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts, the active sites were poisoned by the over stabilization of formate species.<sup>52</sup> Consequently, the mechanism for methanol formation gradually changes from formate mechanism to RWGS and CO hydrogenation mechanism. Therefore, while Cu based catalysts are commercially used for syngas conversion, their application for direct hydrogenation of CO<sub>2</sub> rich feed is not yet feasible.

### **1.5.1.2** Metal oxides as emerging catalysts for CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation to methanol

Recently, indium oxide  $(In_2O_3)$  was discovered as a stable and selective catalyst for direct CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation to methanol.<sup>53</sup> Since then, the research focus has shifted towards use of metal oxide based catalytic systems. The superiority of  $In_2O_3$  is largely

attributed to the oxygen vacancies present on the surface that act as active sites for CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption.<sup>54–58</sup>  $In_2O_3$  is a reducible oxide and oxygen vacancies can form on its surface by partial reduction under hydrogen atmosphere. Although oxygen vacancies on the reducible oxides were known to promote CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation, its ability to selectively hydrogenate CO<sub>2</sub> to methanol was not realized. Figure 1.3 shows the mechanism of methanol formation on the surface of In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.<sup>54</sup> Oxygen vacancy present on the surface can strongly adsorb CO<sub>2</sub>. Heterolytic hydrogen dissociation happens over the neighboring partially reduced indium atoms forming In-H and In-O-H species. Transfer of hydride from indium to carbon of CO<sub>2</sub> produces formate species. Subsequent hydrogen dissociation and addition to carbon of formate species produces methanol and replenishes the oxygen defect. Further hydrogenation regenerates the active oxygen vacancy to complete the cycle. The main advantage of In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst is that it can suppress the competing RWGS reaction resulting in high selectivity of methanol. The formation of COOH (carboxyl) species (intermediate of RWGS reaction over In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst) from CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> is endothermic and experiences a high energy barrier. In contrast, the formation of formate species (intermediate of methanol) is exothermic and experiences a low energy barrier.<sup>54,58,59</sup>



**Figure 1.3:** Mechanism of methanol formation over the surface of In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> during direct CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation. Reprinted with permission form ref. (54). Copyright 2013 American Chemical Society.

In 2015, first experimental work showcased the methanol formation over commercial In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts with a methanol selectivity of 55%.<sup>60</sup> Following year, Ramirez et al. demonstrated that controlled synthesis of In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> having high density of oxygen vacancy showed 100% methanol selectivity.<sup>53</sup> However, the activity of pure In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was low and these seminal works were followed by extensive research to promote the activity. When In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was supported over monoclinic ZrO<sub>2</sub>, stability and activity were increased (Figure 1.4a).<sup>53</sup> The activity and stability of In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZrO<sub>2</sub> were better as compared to the commercial Cu-ZnO-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Figure 1.4b).<sup>53</sup> The influence of ZrO<sub>2</sub> was attributed to promotion of oxygen vacancy formation over In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> surface because of crystal mismatch between cubic In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and ZrO<sub>2</sub>. In addition, formation of solid solution between indium and zirconium and electronic transition from ZrO<sub>2</sub> to In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> were also reported a probable cause.<sup>61-63</sup> In a different work, when In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was supported over Co metal, charge transfer from Co metal to In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> also led to creation of more oxygen vacancy and improved hydrogen dissociation over Co resulted in higher methanol productivity.<sup>64</sup>



**Figure 1.4:** (a) Promotion of  $In_2O_3$  by supporting it over different metal oxides. (b) Comparison of  $In_2O_3/ZrO_2$  with commercial Cu-ZnO-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Reproduced with permission from ref. (53). Copyright 2016 John Wiley and Sons.

Another strategy to promote the activity of  $In_2O_3$  is the addition of noble metals. Rui et al. showed that having small Pd clusters on  $In_2O_3$  can improve methanol productivity (Space time yield (STY) = 0.89  $g_{MeOH}$  h<sup>-1</sup>  $g_{cat}$ <sup>-1</sup>).<sup>65</sup> Synergy between  $In_3Pd_2$ and  $In_2O_3$  phase was helpful to enhance the methanol formation.<sup>66</sup> Ramirez et al. showed that Pd clusters having nuclearity higher than 4 resulted an increase in the competing RWGS reaction and formation of intermetallic Pd<sub>2</sub>In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and Pd<sub>3</sub>In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> clusters greatly improved the methanol formation (STY = 1.0  $g_{MeOH}$  h<sup>-1</sup>  $g_{cat}$ <sup>-1</sup>).<sup>67</sup> Similarly, in presence of bimetallic RhIn and RhIn<sub>3</sub> alloy nanoparticles, formed by the decoration of reduced indium species over Rh metal, formate formation was enhanced.<sup>68</sup> As a result, methanol productivity increased (STY = 1.0  $g_{MeOH}$  h<sup>-1</sup>  $g_{cat}$ <sup>-1</sup>). Although In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> offers good selectivity and stability, its cost is an issue in commercial application. Therefore, the search is still going on to find an inexpensive, selective, and active catalyst to replace the Cu-ZnO-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst used in industry.

Other metal oxide based catalysts have also emerged as a replacement of  $In_2O_3$ . Li et al. showed that a solid solution of ZnO-ZrO<sub>2</sub> can produce methanol from CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation with selectivity of 86-91% and an STY of 0.7  $g_{MeOH}$  h<sup>-1</sup>  $g_{cat}$ -1.<sup>69</sup> It is worth mentioning that pure ZnO and ZrO<sub>2</sub> did not show any activity. The formation of solid solution produced oxygen vacancy on the surface, which increased CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption and stabilized formate species for subsequent hydrogenation to methanol.<sup>69,70</sup> In their next work, they investigated a series of solid solution catalysts and found out that CdO-ZrO<sub>2</sub> and Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalysts also have high activity.<sup>71</sup> CdO-ZrO<sub>2</sub> showed a high STY of 0.85  $g_{MeOH}$  h<sup>-1</sup>  $g_{cat}$ -1 with methanol selectivity of 80%. In these doped  $ZrO_2$  catalysts, the interfacial site between Zn/Cd/Ga and neighboring Zr atom is the active site. While Zn/Cd/Ga helps in hydrogen dissociation, neighboring Zr atoms help to stabilize the formate intermediate and make it susceptible for further hydrogenation to methanol. Similarly, a combination of  $MnO_x$  and  $Co_3O_4$  was helpful for methanol production under milder condition.<sup>72</sup> The interface of cobalt oxide and manganese oxide was suggested as the active site.

#### **1.5.2 Reverse water gas shift reaction**

Contrary to methanol formation, the RWGS reaction is endothermic in nature and is favored at higher temperatures. Moreover, as shown in scheme 1.1, CO can be intermediate for formation of methanol and methane. Therefore, the main challenge in RWGS reaction is to achieve high selectivity of CO by avoiding its further hydrogenation, especially at high CO<sub>2</sub> conversion. CO selectivity can be increased by tuning the adsorption strength of CO on the catalyst to facilitate its desorption without further hydrogenation. Careful design of catalyst to reduce CO adsorption without influencing CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation ability is necessary to maximize CO yield. One strategy is to alter the crystal structure of support to influence the electronic charge on supported metals. In Ru/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts, changing the support from rutile TiO<sub>2</sub> to anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> allowed electron transfer from Ru to support and resulted in formation of oxidized Ru species. This weakened the interaction of CO with Ru and decreased the chance of further CO hydrogenation.<sup>73</sup> Similar effect was observed on Ir/CeO<sub>2</sub>, where due to strong metal-support interaction, partially oxidized Ir species were formed that were less capable of CO adsorption and its further hydrogenation.<sup>74</sup> Shielding the metal by creating an overlayer of support also reduced CO adsorption on metals. Forming an overlayer of reduced TiO<sub>x</sub> over Ir particles in a Ir/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst weakened the adsorption of CO and improved CO selectivity.<sup>75</sup> In a PtCo/support catalyst system, changing the

support from TiO<sub>2</sub> to CeO<sub>2</sub> or ZrO<sub>2</sub> increased the adsorption of intermediates such as formate and methoxy at the interface and the selectivity of CH<sub>4</sub> increased because of total hydrogenation of the adsorbed species.<sup>76</sup> Therefore, tuning the adsorption of intermediates and CO is one of the key factors for achieving high CO selectivity in RWGS reaction.

Size of metal particles in a catalyst also has a significant influence on the selectivity of CO during CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation.<sup>77–80</sup> Large metal particles strongly adsorb CO on their surface. On large particles, the backdonation of electron from metal atoms to CO increases and as a result interaction of CO with metals becomes stronger. Consequently, hydrogenation of CO becomes easier to yield CH<sub>4</sub> as the primary product. In contrast, smaller metal particles interact weakly with CO, which promotes its desorption and increases selectivity. This effect is most pronounced in the presence of single atom catalysts (SAC). Chirstopher et al. showed that high CO selectivity was achieved over isolated Rh atoms due to facile desorption of CO from single atom.<sup>81</sup> In addition, SACs lack high density of dissociatively adsorbed hydrogen species present on nanoparticle surface and are only able to perform 2e<sup>-</sup> redox cycle of CO<sub>2</sub> to CO.<sup>82</sup> However, the isolation of metal atoms is not the only factor and the interface of single metal atoms with oxide support also plays a crucial role in determining product selectivity. For example, in a Ru/CeO<sub>2</sub> single atom catalyst, CO was stabilized at the interface and methane was produced by its successive hydrogenation.<sup>83</sup> Therefore, better strategy is required for designing catalysts for RWGS reaction.

#### **1.6 Objective of this work**

In CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation, methanol and CO are the target products because methane is also thermodynamically stable and its upgradation is difficult. The main challenge in producing methanol and CO is to obtain a single product stream with high selectivity in order to maximize the yield and avoid byproduct formation.

Specific to methanol synthesis, it is important to obtain high productivity for the process to be economical and scalable. Moreover, the catalyst should be stable for long term operation. With respect to CO synthesis, avoiding the subsequent hydrogenation of CO to methane and maintaining a high CO<sub>2</sub> conversion is required.

The overall objective of this thesis is to develop highly active and selective catalysts for  $CO_2$  hydrogenation, while exploring the parameters and the underlying factors that influence the product selectivity. From the perspective of catalyst design, it is clear that conventional supported metal catalysts are not effective for selective  $CO_2$  hydrogenation to methanol and CO. Oxide catalysts with interfacial sites are able to activate  $CO_2$  and influence product selectivity. With that in mind, this thesis aims at designing metal oxide catalysts doped with transition metals for  $CO_2$  hydrogenation. In doped oxides, dopant metal atoms are dispersed throughout the crystal matrix of the support oxide, which is expected to increase the stability of the dopants. In addition to investigating the activity of doped metal oxide catalysts, this thesis also focuses on understanding the contribution of support metal oxide in controlling the  $CO_2$  hydrogenation selectivity and reaction pathway at the interfacial site.

#### **1.7 Outline of the thesis**

This thesis starts with a focus on the development of highly active  $In_2O_3$  based catalysts for  $CO_2$  hydrogenation to methanol (Chapter 2). While pure  $In_2O_3$  shows high selectivity to methanol the productivity is low owing to poor  $CO_2$  conversion. My strategy to overcome this issue was to incorporate transition metals in  $In_2O_3$  structure. Among several transition metals tested, Rh showed the highest promotional effect. Thorough characterization was carried out to understand the nature of the active site and the promotional effect of Rh atom. It was found that  $In_2O_3$  catalyst having atomically dispersed Rh showed high methanol productivity without reducing the methanol selectivity. Rh single atom at the oxygen vacant site (Rh-V<sub>o</sub>-In, V<sub>o</sub> = oxygen vacancy) promoted the formation of formate intermediate. The formate readily hydrogenated to methanol with very high productivity. The interface between Rh and partially reduced In atoms stabilized the formate intermediate and further hydrogenation of formate by Rh atoms increased the methanol productivity.

In chapter 3, I used the strategy of oxygen vacancy formation near single metal atom for CO<sub>2</sub> activation to obtain CO as the primary product. By using a Co doped  $ZrO_2$ catalyst oxygen vacancies were created near Co atoms through a mismatch of charge between cations. Using this catalyst, high selectivity of CO was achieved during CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation. The oxygen vacancy was helpful to increase CO<sub>2</sub> chemisorption. The interfacial site between Co and neighboring Zr atom were responsible to stabilize the formate intermediate, which then decomposed to CO selectively instead of undergoing further hydrogenation to produce methanol. The strong adsorption of CO<sub>2</sub> as compared to CO at the oxygen vacant interface helped in desorption of CO and prevented its further hydrogenation to CH<sub>4</sub>. As a result, methane formation was suppressed and high CO selectivity (>95%) was achieved. The interfacial site between Co and Zr was the active site for the stabilization of formate intermediate and its conversion to CO.

Based on the results of chapters 2 and 3, it was clear that while the metal catalyst played a pivotal role in the reaction, the interface created by its interaction with support was crucial to determine product selectivity. To investigate the support effect over indium based catalyst, two different metal oxides doped with indium (In-ZrO<sub>2</sub> and In-TiO<sub>2</sub>) were prepared and investigated for CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation (Chapter 4). I found that support has substantial influence on CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation mechanism and product selectivity. The reducibility of support was one of the key factors. In-TiO<sub>2</sub> followed redox mechanism because of the high reducibility of TiO<sub>2</sub> and as a result, CO was produced. In contrast, due to the non-reducible nature of ZrO<sub>2</sub>, In-ZrO<sub>2</sub> followed formate mechanism to produce methanol as the major product.

At the end, this work is summarized in Chapter 5 to draw insights on the role of interfacial sites for  $CO_2$  hydrogenation to methanol and CO. My comments on the outlook and future research direction in this field are also added.

#### References

- Mauna Lao Lab, Global Monitoring Laboratory Carbon Cycle Greenhouse Gases, https://gml.noaa.gov/ccgg/trends/gl\_trend.html, (accessed May 2021).
- 2. Global Warming of 1.5 °C, https://www.ipcc.ch/sr15/, (accessed May 2021).
- Ocean acidification, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, https://www.noaa.gov/education/resource-collections/ocean-coasts/oceanacidification, (accessed May 2021).
- Japan's 2050 Carbon Neutral Goal, METI Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry, https://www.meti.go.jp/english/policy/energy\_environment/global\_warming/roadmap /report/20201111.html, (accessed May 2021).
- 5. Petrolium, B. BP Statistical Review of World Energy Report, BP: London, U.K. 2019.
- 6. S. De, A. Dokania, A. Ramirez and J. Gascon, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, **10**, 14147–14185.
- W. Li, H. Wang, X. Jiang, J. Zhu, Z. Liu, X. Guo and C. Song, *RSC Adv.*, 2018, 8, 7651– 7669.
- 8. S. M. Jarvis and S. Samsatli, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, 2018, **85**, 46–68.
- 9. E. Alper and O. Yuksel Orhan, *Petroleum*, 2017, **3**, 109–126.
- S. Ye, S. Wang, L. Lin, M. Xiao and Y. Meng, *Adv. Ind. Eng. Polym. Res.*, 2019, 2, 143– 160.
- C. Hepburn, E. Adlen, J. Beddington, E. A. Carter, S. Fuss, N. Mac Dowell, J. C. Minx,
   P. Smith and C. K. Williams, *Nature*, 2019, 575, 87–97.
- M. Bertau, H. Offermanns, L. Plass, F. Schmidt and H. J. Wernicke, *Methanol: The basic chemical and energy feedstock of the future: Asinger's vision today*, Springer, Heidelberg, 2014.
- T. A. Semelsberger, R. L. Borup and H. L. Greene, J. Power Sources, 2006, 156, 497– 511.
- 14. J. R. Regalbuto, *Science*, 2009, **325**, 822–824.

- 15. J. Bao, G. Yang, Y. Yoneyama and N. Tsubaki, ACS Catal., 2019, 9, 3026–3053.
- F. Jiao, J. Li, X. Pan, J. Xiao, H. Li, H. Ma, M. Wei, Y. Pan, Z. Zhou, M. Li, S. Miao,
   J. Li, Y. Zhu, D. Xiao, T. He, J. Yang, F. Qi, Q. Fu and X. Bao, *Science*, 2016, 351, 1065–1068.
- 17. J. J. Spivey and A. Egbebi, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2007, 36, 1514–1528.
- 18. X. Pan, Z. Fan, W. Chen, Y. Ding, H. Luo and X. Bao, *Nat. Mater.*, 2007, 6, 507–511.
- 19. M. Gupta, M. L. Smith and J. J. Spivey, ACS Catal., 2011, 1, 641–656.
- 20. C. Jia, J. Gao, Y. Dai, J. Zhang and Y. Yang, J. Energy Chem., 2016, 25, 1027–1037.
- 21. S. Kar, J. Kothandaraman, A. Goeppert and G. K. S. Prakash, *J. CO*<sub>2</sub> *Util.*, 2018, 23, 212–218.
- 22. N. Onishi, G. Laurenczy, M. Beller and Y. Himeda, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2018, **373**, 317–332.
- 23. K. A. Grice, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2017, 336, 78–95.
- 24. K. Sordakis, C. Tang, L. K. Vogt, H. Junge, P. J. Dyson, M. Beller and G. Laurenczy, *Chem. Rev.*, 2018, **118**, 372–433.
- D. Li, M. Kassymova, X. Cai, S. Q. Zang and H. L. Jiang, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2020, 412, 213262.
- S. Yu, A. J. Wilson, G. Kumari, X. Zhang and P. K. Jain, ACS Energy Lett., 2017, 2, 2058–2070.
- F. Y. Gao, R. C. Bao, M. R. Gao and S. H. Yu, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2020, 8, 15458– 15478.
- S. Nitopi, E. Bertheussen, S. B. Scott, X. Liu, A. K. Engstfeld, S. Horch, B. Seger, I. E. L. Stephens, K. Chan, C. Hahn, J. K. Nørskov, T. F. Jaramillo and I. Chorkendorff, *Chem. Rev.*, 2019, **119**, 7610–7672.
- 29. E. C. Ra, K. Y. Kim, E. H. Kim, H. Lee, K. An and J. S. Lee, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, **10**, 11318–11345.
- A. Álvarez, A. Bansode, A. Urakawa, A. V. Bavykina, T. A. Wezendonk, M. Makkee, J. Gascon and F. Kapteijn, *Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **117**, 9804–9838.
- A. Goeppert, M. Czaun, J. P. Jones, G. K. Surya Prakash and G. A. Olah, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, 43, 7995–8048.
- 32. K. C. Waugh, *Catal. Today*, 1992, **15**, 51–75.
- I. U. Din, M. S. Shaharun, M. A. Alotaibi, A. I. Alharthi and A. Naeem, *J. CO<sub>2</sub> Util.*, 2019, 34, 20–33.
- M. Behrens, F. Studt, I. Kasatkin, S. Kühl, M. Hävecker, F. Abild-Pedersen, S. Zander,
   F. Girgsdies, P. Kurr, B. L. Kniep, M. Tovar, R. W. Fischer, J. K. Nørskov and R.
   Schlögl, *Science*, 2012, 336, 893–897.

- S. Kuld, M. Thorhauge, H. Falsig, C. F. Elkjær, S. Helveg, I. Chorkendorff and J. Sehested, *Science*, 2016, 352, 969–974.
- 36. S. Kattel, P. J. Ramírez, J. G. Chen, J. A. Rodriguez and P. Liu, *Science*, 2017, **355**, 1296–1299.
- 37. X. Jiang, X. Nie, X. Guo, C. Song and J. G. Chen, *Chem. Rev.*, 2020, **120**, 7984–8034.
- K. Larmier, W. C. Liao, S. Tada, E. Lam, R. Verel, A. Bansode, A. Urakawa, A. Comas-Vives and C. Copéret, *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.*, 2017, 56, 2318–2323.
- X. Fang, Y. Men, F. Wu, Q. Zhao, R. Singh, P. Xiao, T. Du and P. A. Webley, J. CO<sub>2</sub> Util., 2019, 29, 57–64.
- 40. S. Tada, S. Kayamori, T. Honma, H. Kamei, A. Nariyuki, K. Kon, T. Toyao, K. I. Shimizu and S. Satokawa, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, **8**, 7809–7819.
- 41. K. Klier, V. Chatikavanij, R. G. Herman and G. W. Simmons, *J. Catal.*, 1982, **74**, 343–360.
- 42. C. J. Schack, M. A. McNeil and R. G. Rinker, *Appl. Catal.*, 1989, **50**, 247–263.
- 43. M. Sahibzada, I. S. Metcalfe and D. Chadwick, J. Catal., 1998, 174, 111–118.
- 44. O. Martin and J. Pérez-Ramírez, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2013, **3**, 3343–3352.
- 45. J. Wu, M. Saito, M. Takeuchi and T. Watanabe, *Appl. Catal. A Gen.*, 2001, **218**, 235–240.
- B. Liang, J. Ma, X. Su, C. Yang, H. Duan, H. Zhou, S. Deng, L. Li and Y. Huang, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2019, **58**, 9030–9037.
- F. Studt, M. Behrens, E. L. Kunkes, N. Thomas, S. Zander, A. Tarasov, J. Schumann,
  E. Frei, J. B. Varley, F. Abild-Pedersen, J. K. Nørskov and R. Schlögl, *ChemCatChem*, 2015, 7, 1105–1111.
- Y. Yang, C. A. Mims, D. H. Mei, C. H. F. Peden and C. T. Campbell, *J. Catal.*, 2013, 298, 10–17.
- 49. T. S. Askgaard, J. K. Nørskov, C. V. Ovesen and P. Stoltze, *J. Catal.*, 1995, **156**, 229–242.
- 50. Q. Sun, C. W. Liu, W. Pan, Q. M. Zhu and J. F. Deng, *Appl. Catal. A Gen.*, 1998, **171**, 301–308.
- 51. L. C. Grabow and M. Mavrikakis, ACS Catal., 2011, 1, 365–384.
- 52. S. Kattel, B. Yan, Y. Yang, J. G. Chen and P. Liu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 12440–12450.
- O. Martin, A. J. Martín, C. Mondelli, S. Mitchell, T. F. Segawa, R. Hauert, C. Drouilly,
   D. Curulla-Ferré and J. Pérez-Ramírez, *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.*, 2016, 55, 6261–6265.
- 54. J. Ye, C. Liu, D. Mei and Q. Ge, ACS Catal., 2013, 3, 1296–1306.
- M. S. Frei, M. Capdevila-Cortada, R. García-Muelas, C. Mondelli, N. López, J. A. Stewart, D. Curulla Ferré and J. Pérez-Ramírez, *J. Catal.*, 2018, 361, 313–321.

- 56. M. Dou, M. Zhang, Y. Chen and Y. Yu, *Comput. Theor. Chem.*, 2018, **1126**, 7–15.
- S. Dang, B. Qin, Y. Yang, H. Wang, J. Cai, Y. Han, S. Li, P. Gao and Y. Sun, *Sci. Adv.*, 2020, 6, 2060–2077.
- J. Wang, G. Zhang, J. Zhu, X. Zhang, F. Ding, A. Zhang, X. Guo and C. Song, ACS Catal., 2021, 11, 1406–1423.
- 59. J. Ye, C. Liu and Q. Ge, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2012, 116, 7817–7825.
- K. Sun, Z. Fan, J. Ye, J. Yan, Q. Ge, Y. Li, W. He, W. Yang and C. J. Liu, *J. CO<sub>2</sub> Util.*, 2015, 12, 1–6.
- M. S. Frei, C. Mondelli, A. Cesarini, F. Krumeich, R. Hauert, J. A. Stewart, D. Curulla Ferré and J. Pérez-Ramírez, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, 10, 1133–1145.
- 62. A. Tsoukalou, P. M. Abdala, A. Armutlulu, E. Willinger, A. Fedorov and C. R. Müller, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, **10**, 10060–10067.
- C. Yang, C. Pei, R. Luo, S. Liu, Y. Wang, Z. Wang, Z. J. Zhao and J. Gong, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2020, 142, 19523–19531.
- A. Bavykina, I. Yarulina, A. J. Al Abdulghani, L. Gevers, M. N. Hedhili, X. Miao, A.
  R. Galilea, A. Pustovarenko, A. Dikhtiarenko, A. Cadiau, A. Aguilar-Tapia, J.-L.
  Hazemann, S. M. Kozlov, S. Oud-Chikh, L. Cavallo and J. Gascon, *ACS Catal.*, 2019, 9, 6910–6918.
- N. Rui, Z. Wang, K. Sun, J. Ye, Q. Ge and C. jun Liu, *Appl. Catal. B Environ.*, 2017, 218, 488–497.
- J. L. Snider, V. Streibel, M. A. Hubert, T. S. Choksi, E. Valle, D. C. Upham, J. Schumann, M. S. Duyar, A. Gallo, F. Abild-Pedersen and T. F. Jaramillo, *ACS Catal.*, 2019, 9, 3399–3412.
- M. S. Frei, C. Mondelli, R. García-Muelas, K. S. Kley, B. Puértolas, N. López, O. V. Safonova, J. A. Stewart, D. Curulla Ferré and J. Pérez-Ramírez, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, 10, 1–11.
- M. M. J. Li, H. Zou, J. Zheng, T. S. Wu, T. S. Chan, Y. L. Soo, X. P. Wu, X. Q. Gong, T. Chen, K. Roy, G. Held and S. C. E. Tsang, *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 16039– 16046.
- 59. J. Wang, G. Li, Z. Li, C. Tang, Z. Feng, H. An, H. Liu, T. Liu and C. Li, *Sci. Adv.*, 2017, 3, 1–11.
- 70. S. Zhou and S. Li, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2020, 124, 27467–27478.
- J. Wang, C. Tang, G. Li, Z. Han, Z. Li, H. Liu, F. Cheng and C. Li, *ACS Catal.*, 2019, 9, 10253–10259.
- C. S. Li, G. Melaet, W. T. Ralston, K. An, C. Brooks, Y. Ye, Y. S. Liu, J. Zhu, J. Guo,
   S. Alayoglu and G. A. Somorjai, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, 6, 1–5.

- X. Li, J. Lin, L. Li, Y. Huang, X. Pan, S. E. Collins, Y. Ren, Y. Su, L. Kang, X. Liu, Y. Zhou, H. Wang, A. Wang, B. Qiao, X. Wang and T. Zhang, *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.*, 2020, 59, 19983–19989.
- S. Li, Y. Xu, Y. Chen, W. Li, L. Lin, M. Li, Y. Deng, X. Wang, B. Ge, C. Yang, S. Yao,
  J. Xie, Y. Li, X. Liu and D. Ma, *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.*, 2017, 56, 10761–10765.
- Y. Zhang, Z. Zhang, X. Yang, R. Wang, H. Duan, Z. Shen, L. Li, Y. Su, R. Yang, Y. Zhang, X. Su, Y. Huang and T. Zhang, *Green Chem.*, 2020, 22, 6855–6861.
- S. Kattel, W. Yu, X. Yang, B. Yan, Y. Huang, W. Wan, P. Liu and J. G. Chen, *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.*, 2016, 55, 7968–7973.
- 77. A. Wang, J. Li and T. Zhang, *Nat. Rev. Chem.*, 2018, **2**, 65–81.
- 78. J. H. Kwak, L. Kovarik and J. Szanyi, *ACS Catal.*, 2013, **3**, 2449–2455.
- 79. J. H. Kwak, L. Kovarik and J. Szanyi, *ACS Catal.*, 2013, **3**, 2094–2100.
- H. C. Wu, Y. C. Chang, J. H. Wu, J. H. Lin, I. K. Lin and C. S. Chen, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2015, 5, 4154–4163.
- J. C. Matsubu, V. N. Yang and P. Christopher, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 3076– 3084.
- M. M. Millet, G. Algara-Siller, S. Wrabetz, A. Mazheika, F. Girgsdies, D. Teschner, F. Seitz, A. Tarasov, S. V. Levchenko, R. Schlögl and E. Frei, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, 141, 2451-2461.
- Y. Guo, S. Mei, K. Yuan, D. J. Wang, H. C. Liu, C. H. Yan and Y. W. Zhang, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, 8, 6203–6215.

### **Chapter 2**

# **Rh** promoted In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> as a highly active catalyst for CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation to methanol

#### Abstract

In search for a selective and stable catalytic system for CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation to methanol, In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> has emerged as a promising alternative to the current industrial catalyst, Cu-ZnO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. However, the methanol productivity over pure In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is low due to poor CO<sub>2</sub> conversion. This chapter focuses on promoting the activity of In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> to maximize methanol productivity. To increase the activity of In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, it was doped with transition metals from group 8, 9 and 10 of the periodic table. Among all the dopants used, Rh was found to be the most effective to increase the methanol productivity without altering the methanol selectivity. Rh doped catalyst showed a high space time yield (STY) of methanol of 1.0 g<sub>MeOH</sub> h<sup>-1</sup> g<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup>. Characterization showed that Rh atoms were atomically dispersed in the In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> crystal. Under reaction conditions, Rh atoms were stabilized through the electronic charge transfer from neighboring partially reduced In atoms, which increased the stability of catalyst. Under reaction condition, Rh atoms formed high density of oxygen vacancy near it and promoted the strong CO<sub>2</sub> chemisorption. The adsorbed CO<sub>2</sub> was hydrogenated to formate species that were intermediate for methanol formation.

#### **2.1 Introduction**

Among the valorization techniques of  $CO_2$ , direct  $CO_2$  hydrogenation to methanol is attractive because methanol is produced in large quantities and is used as solvent and precursor to produce many bulk chemicals industrially.<sup>1</sup> In this process, exothermic methanol production (Equation 1.2) is accompanied by endothermic reverse water gas shift reaction (RWGS) (Equation 1.1).<sup>1</sup> The current industrial catalyst, Cu-ZnO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, produces methanol from syngas (CO + H<sub>2</sub>) containing small amount of CO<sub>2</sub> and deactivates rapidly in presence water formed as a byproduct.<sup>2</sup> Therefore, development of highly active, stable, and selective catalyst for direct CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation to methanol is important.

In search for a stable and selective catalyst,  $In_2O_3$  has emerged as a promising alternative.  $In_2O_3$  surface can promote methanol formation while suppressing RWGS reaction. Oxygen vacancy along with neighboring indium atoms over  $In_2O_3$  surface was suggested as the active site for methanol production.<sup>3</sup> Oxygen vacancy can activate  $CO_2$  while neighboring indium atoms can perform heterolytic hydrogen dissociation and hydrogenation of  $CO_2$  to form formate species. Successive hydrogenation of formate then produces methanol. Methanol selectivity as high as 100% can be achieved over pure  $In_2O_3$  at low temperature.<sup>4</sup> However, STY of methanol remains low due to low  $CO_2$  conversion. Several studies have been directed to improve the activity, although the methanol productivity remains low.

One way to increase the STY of methanol was to increase the oxygen vacancy density over  $In_2O_3$ . Supporting  $In_2O_3$  over  $ZrO_2$  increased oxygen vacancy due to the tensile force generated because of the crystal mismatch between  $In_2O_3$  (cubic) and  $ZrO_2$  (monoclinic).<sup>5</sup> Another way was to increase the H<sub>2</sub> dissociation by introducing transition metals (specially, noble metals). While transition metal promoters could

effectively increase the methanol productivity, the activity was found to be dependent on the structure and the nature of the active site. For example, Li et al. showed that single atomic  $Pt^{n+}$  species helped in heterolytic H<sub>2</sub> dissociation that promoted methanol formation while Pt nanoparticle promoted RWGS reaction via homolytic dissociation of H<sub>2</sub>.<sup>6</sup> Small clusters of Pd were found to be effective for methanol production via increasing hydrogen dissociation and spillover on the surface of In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.<sup>7,8</sup> On the other hand, synergy between Pd-In or Rh-In alloy with In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> enhanced methanol production.<sup>9,10</sup> Formation of low nuclearity clusters of In with Pd was the active site for the production of methanol with high STY of 1.01 g<sub>MeOH</sub> h<sup>-1</sup> g<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup>).<sup>11</sup>

From the above discussion it is clear that the structure and nature of the metal promoters have additional crucial influence on the catalytic activity of  $In_2O_3$  besides increasing the formation of oxygen vacancies and hydrogen dissociation. Although several promotional strategies were employed, there is little information about the effect of a dopant in  $In_2O_3$  for  $CO_2$  hydrogenation to methanol. Use of dopants can ensure the formation of one type of active site (M-O-In). Achieving atomic dispersion using dopants will also ensure the highest utilization of the interfacial site. Therefore,  $In_2O_3$  catalysts doped with different transition metals from groups 8, 9 and 10 of the periodic table were designed to find out the best promoter for  $In_2O_3$  and to investigate the influence of atomically dispersed dopants on the catalytic activity. The results show that atomically dispersed Rh atoms in  $In_2O_3$  are highly active to promote the  $CO_2$  hydrogenation to methanol. Rh directly takes part in  $CO_2$  activation and increased the intermediate formate formation apart from increasing oxygen vacancies and  $H_2$  dissociation.

23

#### **2.2 Experimental method**

#### 2.2.1 Materials

Indium nitrate (In(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>· 3H<sub>2</sub>O), rhodium nitrate (aqueous solution of Rh(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (25 wt.%) and nitric acid (9 wt.%), iron nitrate (Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·9H<sub>2</sub>O), nickel nitrate (Ni(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O), cobalt nitrate (Co(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O), ruthenium chloride (RuCl<sub>3</sub>·xH<sub>2</sub>O), hydrogen hexachloroplatinate (H<sub>2</sub>PtCl<sub>6</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O), zinc nitrate (Zn(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O), citric acid, sodium carbonate (Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) and silicon carbide (SiC) ( $\beta$  form, particle size 50 nm) were purchased from Fujifilm Wako Pure Chemical Corporation. Palladium nitrate (Pd(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O) was bought from Sigma Aldrich.

#### 2.2.2 Catalyst preparation

The In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> based doped oxide catalysts were prepared by using a sol–gel method in the presence of citric acid. A typical procedure to obtain the Rh-1.3-In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst is as follows: In(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O (4.75 mmol) and Rh(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (0.062 mmol) were added to a beaker containing 30 mL of distilled water along with 5 mmol of citric acid. The beaker was placed over a hot plate maintained at 130 °C and stirred until a foaming gel was formed. The gel was then dried by placing the beaker in an oven maintained at 130 °C for 5 h. The resulting composite was crushed and calcined at 500 °C for 3h to obtain the final catalyst. Other catalysts were prepared by changing the amount or type of the second metal precursor. The catalysts were named as M-X-In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, where X is the atom% of M with respect to the total metal content (M + In) of the catalyst and M represents the type of dopant metal (Fe, Ru, Co, Rh, Ni, Pd, or Pt) [X = (M/(M+In)) × 100%]. Undoped In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was produced using the same method in the absence of dopant precursors.

For catalyst synthesis using coprecipitation method, 0.1 g/mL Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> solution was added dropwise to a 30 mL aqueous solution of  $In(NO_3)_3 \cdot 3H_2O$  (4.75 mmol) and Rh(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (0.062 mmol) under vigorous stirring until the pH became 9. After aging for

1 h under stirring condition, the precipitate was centrifuged and washed thoroughly with water. It was dried at 130 °C in an oven for 12 h followed by calcination at 500 °C for 3 h. The catalyst was named as Rh-1.3-In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> CP.

For catalyst prepared using wet impregnation, an equivalent amount of Rh present on the surface of Rh-1.3-In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was loaded on In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. In a typical procedure, In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (0.5 g, prepared by sol-gel method) was dispersed in 30 mL of water followed by the addition of Rh(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (20  $\mu$ L of 0.0491 g mL<sup>-1</sup> aqueous solution) and the mixture was kept under stirring at room temperature for 30 minutes. Water was evaporated under reduced pressure and then the powder was dried at 130 °C for 12 h followed by calcination at 500 °C for 3h. This catalyst was named as Rh/In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> WI.

#### 2.2.3 Catalyst characterization

X-ray diffraction (XRD) was measured with Rigaku MiniFlex using CuK $\alpha$  X-ray ( $\lambda = 1.54$  Å) operating at 40 kV and 20 mA. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed with JEOL JPS-9010MC instrument. Charge correction was made by adjusting the external carbon peak to 284.6 eV. High-angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) images were obtained in a JEOL JEM-ARM200F atomic resolution electron microscope at an acceleration voltage of 200 kV equipped with EDS detector EX-24221M1G5T. Temperature programmed reduction (TPR) of the catalysts were carried out in the presence of a H<sub>2</sub> / Ar mixture (H<sub>2</sub> = 5%) on a BELCAT II instrument equipped with a TCD. Prior to the measurement, the catal flow rate of 50 mL min<sup>-1</sup> with a ramp rate of 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup>. CO pulse chemisorption was also performed on the BELCAT II at 50 °C. Prior to the measurement, the samples were reduced under a H<sub>2</sub> flow at 200 °C for 1 h. Calculations were done considering the stoichiometric ratio of Rh : CO = 1 : 2 because the Rh single

atom binds with two CO molecules.<sup>12</sup> The CO<sub>2</sub> temperature programmed desorption (CO<sub>2</sub> TPD) experiment was performed on the BELCAT II instrument equipped with a BELMass gas mass spectrometer. Prior to the measurement, the samples were pretreated either with Ar or with a mixture of CO<sub>2</sub> : H<sub>2</sub> (1 : 4) at 300 °C for 30 min. The sample was then cooled to room temperature under a gas flow and then He was used to purge the sample cell for 30 min. In TPD analysis under a He flow, the temperature was increased by 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup>. For formic acid TPD, formic acid was first impregnated on the catalyst in a hexane solution followed by drying at room temperature. The TPD analysis was carried out under a He flow and the temperature was increased by 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup>.

X-ray absorption fine structure (XAFS) measurements at the Rh K-edge of the Rh-1.3-In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (fresh and used) and Rh(acac)<sub>3</sub> samples were performed at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Light Source (SSRL) at beamline 4-1. This beamline is an unfocused, 20-pole, 2-Tesla wiggler side station with a vertical collimating mirror. The samples were prepared via pressing 70 mg of the catalyst into a pellet approximately 7 mm in diameter. The pellet was then placed between two layers of Kapton tape and held in the beamline at a 45 degree angle in the direction of the fluorescence Lytle detector. XAS data were collected from 22 984 to 24 081 eV (~14.9 Å–1 in k-space) on both the sample and Rh metal reference foil simultaneously. In order to improve the signal to noise ratio, four scans (11 minutes each) were collected, and then aligned and merged using the Rh foil spectrum collected simultaneously for each scan. The samples were scanned simultaneously in transmission and fluorescence detection modes using ion chambers (filled with argon) and the Lytle detector, respectively.

#### **2.2.4 Evaluation of catalytic activity**

The catalytic activity for CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation was evaluated in a stainless-steel packed bed flow system (Figure 2.1). Products were analyzed using an online GC (Shimadzu, GC 8A) equipped with two columns (Porapak Q and molecular sieve) and a TCD. The gas line from the outlet of the reactor to the inlet of the GC was heated at 150 °C to prevent condensation of methanol and H<sub>2</sub>O. Typically, 200 mg of catalyst (mixed with an equal amount of silicon carbide (SiC)) was loaded into the reactor and held in place by quartz wool. A thermocouple was inserted into the reactor to measure the catalyst bed temperature. Prior to the reactor was cooled to 50 °C under Ar and pressurized under reaction conditions using a mixture of H<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub> and Ar having the ratio H<sub>2</sub> : CO<sub>2</sub> : Ar = 4 : 1 : 1. After the system pressure became stable, the reactor temperature was increased to a desired value. Reactions at a space velocity (SV) higher than 30,000 mL h<sup>-1</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> were carried out by lowering the amount of catalyst and maintaining the same gas flow rate.



Figure 2.1: Schematic representation of reaction set up.

#### **2.2.5 Equations**

CO<sub>2</sub> conversion, selectivity of CO, CH<sub>3</sub>OH and CH<sub>4</sub> and STY of CH<sub>3</sub>OH were calculated using the following equations.

CO<sub>2</sub> conversion:

$$X_{(CO_2)} = \left(\frac{nCO_{out} + nCH_3OH_{out} + nCH_{4out}}{nCO_{2out} + nCO_{out} + nCH_3OH_{out} + nCH_{4out}}\right) \times 100\%$$
(2.1)

CO and CH<sub>3</sub>OH selectivity

$$S_{(CO)} = \left(\frac{nCO_{out}}{nCO_{out} + nCH_3OH_{out} + nCH_4out}\right) \times 100\% \quad (2.2)$$

$$S_{(CH_3OH)} = \left(\frac{nCH_3OH_{out}}{nCO_{out} + nCH_3OH_{out} + nCH_4out}\right) \times 100\% \quad (2.3)$$

$$S_{(CH_4)} = \left(\frac{nCH_{4out}}{nCO_{out} + nCH_3OH_{out} + nCH_4out}\right) \times 100\% \quad (2.4)$$

STY:

$$STY_{(product)} = \left(\frac{SV \times [CO_2] \times X_{(CO_2)} \times S_{(product)} \times M_{(product)}}{22400}\right) (2.5)$$

Where  $nCO_{2 \text{ out}}$ ,  $nCO_{out}$ ,  $nCH_{3}OH_{out}$ ,  $nCH_{4out}$  are moles of CO<sub>2</sub>, CO and CH<sub>3</sub>OH calculated from GC analysis. SV is space velocity in mL h<sup>-1</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>, [CO<sub>2</sub>] is the concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> present in the feed gas mixture in %, and M<sub>(product)</sub> is molecular weight of respective product. STY of CH<sub>3</sub>OH is reported in g<sub>MeOH</sub> h<sup>-1</sup> g<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup>.

#### **2.2.6 Theoretical calculations**

Gaussian 16 rev. A.03 program was used for density functional theory (DFT) calculation and initially a  $In_{24}O_{36}$  cluster was built to qualitatively evaluate the chemical reactions on  $In_2O_3$  (110) surface, where the initial geometry was the same as that of crystal  $In_2O_3$ . The DFT calculations for Rh doping on the surface were performed by using the PBE functional with the density fitting approximation.<sup>13–15</sup> Basis sets were def2-SVP for the central three metal atom sites that were potential Rh doping sites; 6-

31+G(d) for O atoms surrounding the three metal atoms; 3-21+G for other O atoms; LanL2DZ for In atoms in vicinal positioning to O atoms with the 6-31+G(d) basis set; LanL2MB for other In atoms.<sup>16–20</sup> This combination was used to decrease the number of basis as much as possible so that the self-consistent field calculations converged within acceptable time (ca. one week using an Intel Xeon Gold 6142 processor). Suitable effective core potential (ECP) was applied for Rh and In atoms. Empirical dispersion was included by Grimme's D3 function.<sup>21</sup> Spin multiplicity was optimized, and the self-consistent field solution was re-optimized with the stable=opt option to find the most stable electronic state in all calculations. The material had three possible sites for Rh ( $M_1$  to  $M_3$  in Figure 2.10a), locating at the center of the model. After replacing one In atom with Rh, orientation of the three metal sites and surrounding O atoms was optimized, where other atoms were frozen to preserve the crystal structure. The *loose* level of geometry optimization [root mean square (RMS) force < 0.001667 a.u., RMS displacement < 0.006667 a.u.] was used to decrease calculation time. Error in electronic energy due to use of the *loose* option was less than 0.1 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, which was accurate enough to discuss the Rh sites.

Other calculations were performed after reducing the number of atoms to 40 at the PBE0-D3 level of theory with the *tight* level of geometry optimization (RMS force < 0.00045 a.u., RMS displacement < 0.0012 a.u.), and zero-point vibration energy was involved in the energy calculations.<sup>22,23</sup> Basis sets were slightly improved, compared to that employed for the 60-nuclei system. The In atom at the central light blue atom, corresponding to M<sub>3</sub> in Fig. 2.10a, was replaced with Rh when effect of doping was evaluated. To calculate the removal energy of lattice oxygen, oxygen atoms surrounding M<sub>3</sub> (In or Rh) were removed by the following Equation 2.6:

$$MO_x + H_2 \to MO_{x-1} + V_0 + H_2O$$
 (2.6)
For the evaluation of  $CO_2$  activation,  $CO_2$  was located above the left (M<sub>2</sub>) and the central light blue metal (M<sub>3</sub>) atoms after removing a designated number of lattice oxygen atoms around M<sub>3</sub>. In the transition state calculations, only one imaginary frequency vibration and intrinsic reaction coordinates (IRC) that connect expected substrates and products were confirmed.

# **2.3 Results and Discussion**

#### **2.3.1 Catalytic activity**

The doped indium oxide catalysts were prepared by sol-gel by using citric acid as the chelating agent. After polymerization of gel, it was calcined at 500 °C to obtain the doped oxides. For initial screening all doped catalysts were prepared with 5 atom % metal doping in In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. The catalytic activity of transition metal doped In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts along with pure In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was evaluated at 270 °C, 5 MPa and 30,000 mL h<sup>-1</sup> g<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup> space velocity (SV) (Figure 2.2a). This condition was chosen as the lower temperature and higher pressure favors methanol formation. At this condition, pure  $In_2O_3$  showed 70% methanol selectivity with 3.7 % CO<sub>2</sub> conversion. The methanol STY was 0.18 g<sub>MeOH</sub> h<sup>-</sup>  $^{1}$  g<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup>. This result was in line with previously reported activity of pure In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Among the doped catalysts, Fe and Ru doped In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> increased the methanol selectivity in comparison to pure In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (87% and 79% respectively). However, the CO<sub>2</sub> conversion was low resulting lower STY. Pt doped In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst produced CO as major product instead of methanol. Co, Ni, Pd and Rh dopants showed increased CO<sub>2</sub> conversion without major change in methanol selectivity. Rh doped catalyst surpassed all other catalysts and high CO<sub>2</sub> conversion (10%) was achieved with a methanol selectivity of 71%. As a result, the STY of methanol was 0.52 g<sub>MeOH</sub> h<sup>-1</sup> g<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup>, which was 2.9 times higher than that of pure In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.

Next, In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts with different amount of Rh were tested to identify optimum Rh loading (Figure 2.2b). Catalyst containing Rh loading as low as 0.64 atom% with respect to total metal content showed 1.8 time higher STY of methanol than pure In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Rh loading of 1.3 atom% was enough to obtain high methanol productivity. Increasing the loading beyond 1.3 atom% did not increase the methanol STY. Different methods of catalyst preparation were also compared to access their influence on activity. (Figure 2.2c). Catalyst prepared by sol-gel method showed the highest activity when compared with catalysts prepared by co-precipitation and impregnation methods. It was determined that presence of higher concentration of Rh atoms on the surface of sol-gel catalyst was the reason behind its high activity (*vide infra*).



**Figure 2.2:** (a) Screening of different metal promoters for CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation (reaction conditions: M-5-In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 270 °C, 5 MPa, 30,000 mL h<sup>-1</sup>  $g_{cat}^{-1}$ , and H<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> = 4); (b) optimization of Rh loading (reaction conditions: Rh-X-In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 270 °C, 5 MPa, 30,000 mL h<sup>-1</sup>  $g_{cat}^{-1}$ , and

 $H_2/CO_2 = 4$ ); (c) comparison of Rh-1.3-In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts prepared using different methods. SG, CP and WI represent sol–gel, co-precipitation and wet impregnation methods, respectively (reaction conditions: 270 °C, 5 MPa, 30,000 mL h<sup>-1</sup> g<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup>, and H<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> = 4); (d) effect of temperature on methanol production from CO<sub>2</sub> (reaction conditions: Rh-1.3-In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 5 MPa, 45,000 mL h<sup>-1</sup> g<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup>, and H<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> = 4); (e) evaluation of methanol selectivity and STY over time on stream (reaction conditions: Rh-1.3-In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 300 °C, 5 MPa, 60,000 mL h<sup>-1</sup> g<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup>, and H<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> = 4); (f) graphical representation of Rh doped into the In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (110) plane (blue for Rh, light brown for In and red for the oxygen atom, respectively).

Optimization of reaction condition was performed using Rh-1.3-In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst. Figure 2.2d shows the effect of temperature on methanol selectivity and STY. At 270 °C (under 5 MPa and 45,000 mL h<sup>-1</sup> g<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup> space velocity) methanol selectivity was 87% with a STY of 0.39 g<sub>MeOH</sub> h<sup>-1</sup> g<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup> and CO<sub>2</sub> conversion of 4.2%. Increasing temperature had a positive effect on the STY until 300 °C. The CO<sub>2</sub> conversion increased from 4.2% to 9.3% with a methanol selectivity of 75% when the reaction temperature was increased from 270 °C to 300 °C. As a result, the STY increased from 0.39 to 0.75 g<sub>MeOH</sub> h<sup>-1</sup> g<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup>. Further increase in temperature promoted the competing RWGS reaction and the methanol selectivity decreased (Figure 2.2d). The best result was obtained at 300 °C, 60,000 mL h<sup>-1</sup> g<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup> SV and 5 MPa pressure. At this condition, the methanol STY was 1.0 g<sub>MeOH</sub> h<sup>-1</sup> g<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup>, which is one of the highest reported so far under comparable reaction condition (Figure 2.2e). The catalyst stability was checked for 50 h under this condition and no change in STY or methanol selectivity was observed.

#### 2.3.2 Characterization

Having confirmed the high activity and stability of Rh doped  $In_2O_3$  catalysts, they were thoroughly characterized to understand the promotional effect of Rh. Citric acid based sol-gel method was used to prepare mixed oxide with Rh and In to avoid heterogeneity in the distribution of Rh atoms. Because of the formation of mixed oxide, it is expected that the Rh atoms were doped throughout the In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> crystal (Figure 2.2f) and some Rh atoms were present on the surface. The amount of Rh present on the surface was calculated using CO as probe molecule. The amount of CO adsorbed on the surface of the catalyst prepared by citric acid based sol-gel method was 76  $\mu$ L g<sup>-1</sup>. In comparison, CO adsorption over catalysts prepared using co-precipitation (70  $\mu$ L g<sup>-1</sup>) and wet impregnation (48  $\mu$ L g<sup>-1</sup>) was lower. Furthermore, the surface Rh/In ratio calculated from XPS was higher for sol-gel catalyst (0.018) as compared to co-precipitated catalyst (0.012). Therefore, the higher activity of sol-gel catalyst in comparison to co-precipitated and impregnated catalyst can be explained by higher exposed Rh present on the surface.

Undoped  $In_2O_3$  had a cubic crystal structure with crystallite size of 15-20 nm (Figure 2.3a). The crystal structure and size did not change after any amount of Rh doping. Diffraction peaks for Rh nanoparticles were not detected in XRD analysis before and after the reaction. With increasing the Rh loading, the 222 peak of  $In_2O_3$  shifted towards higher 2 $\theta$  value (Figure 2.3b). This shifting was caused by the decrease in the interplanar distance as a result of replacement of  $In^{3+}$  (0.8 Å) with Rh<sup>3+</sup> having smaller ionic radius (0.66 Å).<sup>24</sup> Consequently, a gradual decrease in the lattice parameter value was also observed (Table 2.1). Hence, it can be concluded that doping of Rh in  $In_2O_3$  crystal happened without the formation of Rh clusters.



**Figure 2.3:** (a) XRD of Rh doped  $In_2O_3$  catalysts and (b) shifting of  $In_2O_3$  (222) peak as a result of doping of Rh.

Rh mol %	20 (degree)	d spacing (Å)	Lattice parameter (a) (Å)
0	30.57	2.921	10.1186
0.64	30.60	2.918	10.1082
1.3	30.61	2.917	10.1048
2	30.63	2.915	10.0978
5	30.66	2.912	10.0875

Table 2.1: XRD analysis of (222) peak for In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Rh-X-In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts.

Figure 2.4a and 2.4e show the HAADF-STEM images for fresh and used Rh-1.3-In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts. No Rh nanoparticles were detected in both the fresh and used catalysts. Although atomic resolution was attained, individual Rh atoms could not be identified because of the similar brightness of Rh and In atoms in the HAADF-STEM analysis. Nevertheless, Rh was uniformly distributed in the catalyst as observed in the EDX analysis (Figure 2.4b-d and f-h).



**Figure 2.4:** HAADF-STEM images for fresh (a) and used (e) catalysts. EDX mapping for fresh (b, c, d) and used (f, g, h) catalysts.

XAFS analysis was carried out to understand the local structure around Rh atoms. The Rh K-edge in the X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) region for fresh and used Rh-1.3-In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was at a lower energy than for Rh foil. The adsorption edge for used catalyst was slightly lower than that for the fresh catalysts (Figure 2.5a). The fitting for the extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) was done by replacing one In atom with Rh in the In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> cubic crystal (Figure 2.5b). The results of the fitting are shown in Table 2.2. For fresh catalyst, in the first coordination shell, Rh-O bonding was detected with a coordination number of  $6.1 \pm 0.7$  and bond length of  $2.05 \pm 0.01$  Å. This bond length was lower than the expected In-O bond length due to lattice contraction as observed in the XRD.<sup>25</sup> Rh-In coordination distances were  $3.30 \pm 0.01$ Å and  $3.38 \pm 0.03$  Å for second and the third coordination shell respectively. The Rh-In distances were also slightly short as compared to the expected In-In distances indicating the replacement of In with Rh atoms.<sup>26</sup> In the used catalyst, the Rh-O coordination number in the first shell was slightly lower ( $5.2 \pm 0.6$ ). The lower coordination number was the result of reduction of surface Rh atoms under hydrogenation condition. Moreover, metallic Rh-Rh bonding was not observed in both the fresh and used catalysts (Figure 2.5c). These results in association with HAADF-STEM and XRD results suggest that Rh atoms are atomically dispersed in  $In_2O_3$  crystal matrix (Figure 2.2f).



**Figure 2.5:** (a) XANES and (b) EXAFS spectra of fresh and used Rh-1.3- $In_2O_3$  catalysts. Black and red lines in EXAFS spectra represent the data and fitting using Rh doped model, respectively. (c) EXAFS spectra of fresh and used Rh-1.3- $In_2O_3$  along with reference materials (Rh(acac)<sub>3</sub> and Rh foil).

**Table 2.2:** Results of fitting of the EXAFS spectra for fresh and used Rh-1.3- $In_2O_3$  catalystsand  $Rh(acac)_3$  used as reference.

Sample	Fresh <sup>a</sup>	After reaction <sup>a</sup>	Rh(acac) <sub>3</sub>
N <sub>Rh-O</sub>	6.1±0.7	5.2±0.6	6.4±0.9
R <sub>Rh-O</sub> (Å)	$2.05 \pm 0.01$	$2.05 \pm 0.01$	$1.99 \pm 0.01$
$\sigma^2_{\text{Rh-O}} \times 10^3 (\text{\AA}^2)$	5±1	4±1	2±2
$\Delta E_{0 \text{ Rh-O}} (eV)$	7.0±1.3	8.3±1.2	$7.9 \pm 1.9$
N <sub>Rh-In/Rh</sub>	5.3±1.8	5.3±1.8	-
R <sub>Rh-In/Rh</sub> (Å)	3.30±0.01	3.30±0.02	-
$\sigma^2_{\text{Rh-In/Rh}} \times 10^3 (\text{\AA}^2)$	8±2	9±3	-
$\Delta E_{0 \text{ Rh-In/Rh}} (eV)$	7.0±1.3	8.3±1.2	-
N <sub>Rh-In/Rh</sub>	4.5±2.7	3.0±2.5	-
R <sub>Rh-In/Rh</sub> (Å)	$3.82{\pm}0.03$	3.83±0.03	-
$\sigma^2_{\text{Rh-In/Rh}} \times 10^3 (\text{\AA}^2)$	8±4	7±5	-
$\Delta E_{0 \text{ Rh-In/Rh}} (eV)$	7.0±1.3	8.3±1.2	-
Reduced $\chi^2$	249	199	9433
R-factor	0.0086	0.0094	0.0022

XPS analysis was carried out to understand the chemical state of surface Rh and neighboring In atoms. The analysis of Rh 3d XPS showed prominent Rh  $3d_{5/2}$  peak at 308.7 eV, which is indicative of the presence of Rh<sup>3+</sup> species (Figure 2.6a).<sup>27,28</sup> A small peak around 307.2 eV appeared for Rh with a chemical state close to zero due to the partial reduction of surface Rh species during XPS analysis. For the used catalyst, the prominent peak appeared at 307.0 eV was assigned to presence of Rh<sup>8-</sup> (Figure 2.6b). This slight negative state of reduced Rh atoms was present because of the electronic charge transfer from partially reduced In atoms. The formation of partially reduced In atoms was also detected in the MNN spectra of the used catalyst (Figure 2.6c). The shoulder peak appeared in between the expected binding energy for In<sup>3+</sup> and In<sup>0</sup> representing the formation of partially reduced In species.<sup>29</sup> This kind of strong metal-support interaction has been previously reported for TiO<sub>2</sub> and ZnO supported Rh catalysts to stabilize the Rh atoms, which are prone to sinter under hydrogenation condition.<sup>30,31</sup>



**Figure 2.6:** (a and b) Rh 3d XPS analysis of fresh and used Rh-1.3-In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts. (c) In MNN XPS spectra of fresh and used catalysts. The used catalyst was analyzed after 10 h of reaction.

The formation of partially reduced In and reduced Rh species suggests removal of oxygen and creation of oxygen vacancy. This was investigated in the  $H_2$  TPR analysis (Figure 2.7). Pure In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> showed a small H<sub>2</sub> consumption peak around 160 °C indicating creation of oxygen vacancy on the surface.<sup>4</sup> On Rh-1.3-In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst, a peak

at 95 °C appeared along with a tail extended towards higher temperature. The main peak was assigned to reduction of surface Rh species and the tail was assigned to further formation of oxygen vacancies. Theoretically, 0.0408 mmol  $g^{-1}$  of H<sub>2</sub> was required to reduce 0.0068 mmol  $g^{-1}$  of Rh atoms present on the surface of the catalyst. Experimental H<sub>2</sub> consumption in TPR analysis was 0.067 mmol  $g^{-1}$ , which was 1.6 times higher than expected theoretical H<sub>2</sub> consumption for only reduction of Rh. This extra hydrogen was consumed to create oxygen vacancy on the surface.



Figure 2.7: H<sub>2</sub> TPR analysis of Rh-1.3-In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and pure In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.

The formation of oxygen vacancy was also observed in the O 1s XPS spectra. Figure 2.8 shows the O 1s XPS spectra of pure  $In_2O_3$ , fresh Rh-1.3- $In_2O_3$  and used Rh-1.3- $In_2O_3$  catalysts. In the XPS spectra, lattice oxygen atoms near an oxygen vacancy were assigned to the peak at 530.9 eV ( $O_{near vacancy}$ ) and oxygen in surface hydroxyl (O-H) groups were assigned to the peak at 532 eV, which can also form because of creation of oxygen vacancy under hydrogenation atmosphere. Increase in proportion of these two peaks indicated the generation of oxygen vacancy. Cumulative of these two peaks was termed as  $O_{defect}$ . The amount of  $O_{defect}$  for pure  $In_2O_3$  was 38% (Figure 2.8a) which did not change for the fresh Rh-1.3- $In_2O_3$  catalyst (Figure 2.8b) because Rh<sup>3+</sup> replaced  $In^{3+}$  with a similar coordination number. After reaction for 1h (Figure 2.8c),  $O_{defect}$ increased to 43% and did not change after reaction of 10 h (Figure 2.8d).



**Figure 2.8:** O 1s XPS of (a) pure  $In_2O_3$ , (b) fresh Rh-1.3- $In_2O_3$ , (c) used Rh-1.3- $In_2O_3$  (after reacting for 1 h) and (d) used Rh-1.3- $In_2O_3$  (after reacting for 10 h).

The effect of oxygen vacancy on  $CO_2$  adsorption was studied by TPD of preadsorbed  $CO_2$  (Figure 2.9a). During TPD of  $CO_2$ , the desorption peak above 400 °C was assigned to chemisorbed  $CO_2$  on the oxygen vacancy site of  $In_2O_3$ .<sup>11,32,33</sup> The amount of chemisorbed  $CO_2$  increased for Rh-1.3-In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> indicating that inclusion of Rh helped in  $CO_2$  adsorption.

Next, the TPD analysis was performed after pretreating the Rh-1.3-In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst under reaction condition (300 °C, 0.1 MPa, CO<sub>2</sub>:  $H_2 = 1:4$ ) (Figure 2.9b, red line showing the evolution of CO<sub>2</sub>). One prominent peak appeared at 270 °C instead of the chemisorbed CO<sub>2</sub>. It is speculated that this peak appeared because of the decomposition of formate, which is an intermediate for methanol formation. In the TPD spectra of a sample containing pre-adsorbed formic acid over the surface of Rh-1.3-In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Figure 2.9b, green line), a similar peak appeared at the same temperature (270  $^{\circ}$ C) confirming the presence of formate.



**Figure 2.9:** (a)  $CO_2$  TPD profile over different catalysts. (b) TPD profile of Rh-1.3-In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> after treating under reaction condition (red line) and after impregnating formic acid (green line) showing the evolution of  $CO_2$ .

DFT calculations were carried out to understand the role of Rh doping in more detail. An  $In_{24}O_{36}$  cluster model with atomic arrangement similar to 110 plane of  $In_2O_3$  was used as the model. This structure was chosen because the 110 plane was reported as the most active for  $CO_2$  hydrogenation (Figure 2.10). Doping of Rh at M3 site resulted in an average Rh-O bond length of 2.05 Å, which was similar to the Rh-O bond distance observed in EXAFS analysis. Under hydrogenation condition, incorporation of Rh atoms created high density of oxygen vacancy which promoted the  $CO_2$  adsorption. Next hydrogen dissociation happened over Rh site and transfer of one H atom from Rh to C of  $CO_2$  produced formate with low activation barrier (59 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>). These results are consistent with experimental observations that in the presence of Rh atoms, oxygen vacancies are increased leading to enhanced  $CO_2$  adsorption and formate formation as an intermediate to methanol.



**Figure 2.10:** Model for evaluating oxygen vacancy formation and CO<sub>2</sub> activation. (a) structure of this system, (b) the removal of lattice oxygen around surface Rh or In (MO<sub>x</sub> + H<sub>2</sub>  $\rightarrow$  MO<sub>x<sup>-1</sup></sub> + V<sub>0</sub> + H<sub>2</sub>O), (c) CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption on RhO site and (d) reduction of the CO<sub>2</sub>, where only the important centers are depicted. Gray: C; pink purple: Rh. Basis sets: light blue metal atoms: def2-SVP; O atoms shown as red balls: 6-31++G(d); In atoms shown as brown balls: LanL2DZ; O atoms depicted as red stick: 3-21+G. The 6-31++G(d) basis set was applied for additional atoms (CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>).

# 2.4 Conclusion

In conclusion, among transition metals from group 8, 9 and 10 of periodic table, Rh was highly effective for increasing methanol productivity over  $In_2O_3$ . Rh was atomically dispersed and did not sinter under hydrogenation condition due to electronic charge transfer with neighboring partially reduced In atoms. Rh loading of as low as 1.3 atom% (0.96 wt%) was enough to achieve high methanol productivity. A stable STY of 1.0 g<sub>MeOH</sub> h<sup>-1</sup> gcat<sup>-1</sup> was achieved over Rh-1.3-In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst, which is one of the highest till date. The promotional role of Rh was attributed to three factors – creation of oxygen vacancy, increase in strong CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption and promotion of formate formation. Under reaction condition, reduction of Rh atoms created oxygen vacancies around themselves. As a result, neighboring In atoms were also partially reduced. These oxygen vacancies along with Rh and partially reduced In atoms promoted strong CO<sub>2</sub> chemisorption and formate formation. The high methanol productivity under industrially relevant condition clearly points out the advantages of atomically dispersed Rh in In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts having oxygen vacancy close to Rh atoms. Therefore, this chapter shows that oxygen vacancy is one of the keys to improve the CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption and conversion. By doping of a suitable transition metal, the density of oxygen vacancy on In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> can be enhanced. Consequently, the oxygen vacancy at the interface of the two metals can be utilized to achieve selective products with high yield from CO<sub>2</sub>. This leads to higher CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption and improved methanol yield while maintaining the high selectivity towards methanol.

# References

- J. Zhong, X. Yang, Z. Wu, B. Liang, Y. Huang and T. Zhang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2020, 49, 1385–1413.
- M. B. Fichtl, D. Schlereth, N. Jacobsen, I. Kasatkin, J. Schumann, M. Behrens, R. Schlögl and O. Hinrichsen, *Appl. Catal. A Gen.*, 2015, 502, 262–270.
- 3. J. Ye, C. Liu, D. Mei and Q. Ge, ACS Catal., 2013, **3**, 1296–1306.
- O. Martin, A. J. Martín, C. Mondelli, S. Mitchell, T. F. Segawa, R. Hauert, C. Drouilly, D. Curulla-Ferré and J. Pérez-Ramírez, *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.*, 2016, 55, 6261–6265.
- M. S. Frei, C. Mondelli, A. Cesarini, F. Krumeich, R. Hauert, J. A. Stewart, D. Curulla Ferré and J. Pérez-Ramírez, ACS Catal., 2020, 10, 1133–1145.
- 6. Z. Han, C. Tang, J. Wang, L. Li and C. Li, J. Catal., 2021, 394, 236–244.
- 7. J. Ye, C. J. Liu, D. Mei and Q. Ge, *J. Catal.*, 2014, **317**, 44–53.
- N. Rui, Z. Wang, K. Sun, J. Ye, Q. Ge and C. jun Liu, *Appl. Catal. B Environ.*, 2017, 218, 488–497.

- J. L. Snider, V. Streibel, M. A. Hubert, T. S. Choksi, E. Valle, D. C. Upham, J. Schumann, M. S. Duyar, A. Gallo, F. Abild-Pedersen and T. F. Jaramillo, *ACS Catal.*, 2019, 9, 3399–3412.
- M. M. Li, H. Zou, J. Zheng, T. Wu, T. Chan, Y. Soo, X. Wu, X. Gong, T. Chen, K. Roy,
  G. Held and S. C. E. Tsang, *Angew. Chemie*, 2020, **132**, 16173–16180.
- M. S. Frei, C. Mondelli, R. García-Muelas, K. S. Kley, B. Puértolas, N. López, O. V. Safonova, J. A. Stewart, D. Curulla Ferré and J. Pérez-Ramírez, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, 10, 1–11.
- R. Lang, T. Li, D. Matsumura, S. Miao, Y. Ren, Y. T. Cui, Y. Tan, B. Qiao, L. Li, A. Wang, X. Wang and T. Zhang, *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.*, 2016, 55, 16054–16058.
- 13. J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1997, 78, 1396.
- 14. J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1996, 77, 3865–3868.
- 15. B. I. Dunlap, J. Chem. Phys., 1983, 78, 3140–3142.
- 16. P. J. Hay and W. R. Wadt, J. Chem. Phys., 1985, 82, 299–310.
- 17. W. R. Wadt and P. J. Hay, J. Chem. Phys., 1985, 82, 284–298.
- 18. P. J. Hay and W. R. Wadt, J. Chem. Phys., 1985, 82, 270–283.
- 19. R. Ditchfield, W. J. Hehre and J. A. Pople, J. Chem. Phys., 1971, 54, 720–723.
- 20. R. Gulde, P. Pollak and F. Weigend, J. Chem. Theory Comput., 2012, 8, 4062–4068.
- 21. S. Grimme, J. Antony, S. Ehrlich and H. Krieg, J. Chem. Phys., 2010, 132, 154104.
- 22. J. P. Perdew, M. Ernzerhof and K. Burke, J. Chem. Phys., 1996, 105, 9982–9985.
- 23. C. Adamo and V. Barone, J. Chem. Phys., 1999, 110, 6158–6170.
- 24. R. D. Shannon, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A, 1976, **32**, 751–767.
- D. Bruce Buchholz, Q. Ma, D. Alducin, A. Ponce, M. Jose-Yacaman, R. Khanal, J. E. Medvedeva and R. P. H. Chang, *Chem. Mater.*, 2014, 26, 5401-5411.
- 26. C. A. Hoel, J. F. Gaillard and K. R. Poeppelmeier, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 2010, **183**, 761–768.
- A. Bueno-López, I. Such-Basáñez and C. Salinas-Martínez De Lecea, *J. Catal.*, 2006, 244, 102–112.
- 28. Y. Kwon, T. Y. Kim, G. Kwon, J. Yi and H. Lee, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 17694–17699.
- 29. K. D. B. J. F. Moulder, W. F. Stickle, P. E. Sobol, *Handbook of X-ray Photoelectron* Spectroscopy, Minnesota, 1992.
- R. Lang, T. Li, D. Matsumura, S. Miao, Y. Ren, Y. T. Cui, Y. Tan, B. Qiao, L. Li, A. Wang, X. Wang and T. Zhang, *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.*, 2016, 55, 16054–16058.
- M. K. Samantaray, V. D'Elia, E. Pump, L. Falivene, M. Harb, S. Ould Chikh, L. Cavallo and J. M. Basset, *Chem. Rev.*, 2020, **120**, 734–813.

- P. Gao, S. Li, X. Bu, S. Dang, Z. Liu, H. Wang, L. Zhong, M. Qiu, C. Yang, J. Cai, W. Wei and Y. Sun, *Nat. Chem.*, 2017, 9, 1019–1024.
- 33. C. Y. Chou and R. F. Lobo, *Appl. Catal. A Gen.*, 2019, **583**, 117144.

# **Chapter 3**

# Co single atoms in ZrO<sub>2</sub> with inherent oxygen vacancies for selective hydrogenation of CO<sub>2</sub> to CO

# Abstract

Chapter 2 showed that the atomic interface between single atomic Rh and  $In_2O_3$ along with oxygen vacancy was important for methanol synthesis via formate intermediate. In this chapter, the concept of interfacial site with oxygen vacancy was applied to  $CO_2$  hydrogenation to CO. This chapter shows that a catalyst with Co single atom in  $ZrO_2$  having inherent oxygen vacancy is selective for the transformation of  $CO_2$ to CO. The CO selectivity over these doped catalysts was higher than 95%. Due to the charge imbalance between  $Co^{2+}$  and  $Zr^{4+}$ , oxygen vacancies were formed near Co atoms. These oxygen vacancies improved  $CO_2$  adsorption. Mechanistic study suggested that, first,  $CO_2$  was hydrogenated to formate intermediate followed by its decomposition to CO instead of forming methanol via further hydrogenation. Methane formation was suppressed because CO adsorption was less favorable than  $CO_2$ adsorption. Interfacial site between Co single atom and  $ZrO_2$  having oxygen vacancy was found to be important for formate stabilization and weak CO adsorption leading to high CO selectivity.

# **3.1 Introduction**

Among the C1 products obtained from reductive valorization of CO<sub>2</sub>, CO is a versatile precursor for synthesis of several value added chemicals. Syngas, a mixture of CO and H<sub>2</sub> is widely used for the synthesis of many industrially important chemicals including alcohols, organic acids and hydrocarbons.<sup>1–7</sup> The reductive recycling of CO<sub>2</sub> to CO, also known as reverse water gas shift reaction (RWGS) (Equation 1.1) is an endothermic reaction.

The primary challenge in RWGS reaction is to achieve high CO selectivity because exothermic methanol and methane formation reactions (Equations 1.2 and 1.3) also happen simultaneously, especially at lower temperature. Therefore, significant efforts have been devoted towards designing catalysts for maximizing selectivity and understanding the underlying mechanism of CO formation.<sup>8–10</sup> Moreover, metal nanoparticles in conventional supported metal catalysts strongly adsorb CO formed via RWGS reaction. As a result, CO cannot desorb easily and the chance of further hydrogenation of CO to methane or methanol increases. Consequently, a better strategy in catalyst design is required.

In chapter 2, it was seen that design of catalysts with interfacial sites containing oxygen vacancies is a promising strategy for preparing highly active catalyst for CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation.<sup>11,12</sup> The oxophilic nature of oxygen vacancy promotes CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption and activates it for the hydrogenation by adjacent metal atoms resulting in high activity.<sup>13</sup> The oxygen vacant sites tend to stabilize the reaction intermediates such as formate and methoxy species and as a result, deep hydrogenation of CO<sub>2</sub> to CH<sub>3</sub>OH and CH<sub>4</sub> was promoted.<sup>14–16</sup> Similarly, in a ZnO-ZrO<sub>2</sub> solid solution catalyst, methanol was formed via the hydrogenation of formate species stabilized at the interfacial site having oxygen vacancy.<sup>16</sup> Therefore, catalysts with isolated metal atoms adjacent to

oxygen deficient interface tend to produce  $CH_3OH$  and  $CH_4$  selectively because the further hydrogenation of intermediates stabilized over the oxophilic interface is easier. In contrast, this chapter shows that high  $CO_2$  hydrogenation activity along with high CO selectivity can be achieved by atomically dispersed Co atoms in  $ZrO_2$  crystal through doping. It was speculated that if formate species were formed at the interfacial site of Co single atom and neighboring Zr atom and the further hydrogenation of formate to methanol is suppressed, then the formate species can decompose to CO. In this way, the selectivity of CO via  $CO_2$  hydrogenation will be high, suppressing the formation of side product.

In this chapter, Co doped  $ZrO_2$  catalysts were synthesized to form atomically dispersed Co atoms with oxygen vacancies and were applied for  $CO_2$  hydrogenation under varying reaction conditions. The oxygen vacancies were formed due to the charge imbalance between  $Co^{2+}$  and  $Zr^{4+}$ . *Operando* diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform spectroscopy (DRIFTS) analysis was carried out to understand the reaction mechanism. DFT calculation was used to clarify the effect of Co doping on oxygen vacancy formation and its effect on  $CO_2$  activation. The structure and property of active sites are elucidated in the view of the change in selectivity from methanol to CO to methane.

# **3.2 Experimental method**

#### **3.2.1 Catalyst Preparation**

Cobalt doped  $ZrO_2$  catalysts were prepared using co-precipitation method. A typical process to obtain Co-10-ZrO<sub>2</sub> is as follows. Co(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O (3 mmol, 0.87 g) and zirconyl nitrate (27 mmol, 7.2 g) were dissolved in a round bottomed flask containing 150 mL of water. NH<sub>4</sub>OH solution was added dropwise to the metal solution under vigorous stirring until the pH became 9. The solution was aged for 1 h under

stirring condition. The precipitate was washed with water until the pH became 7. The precipitate was dried at 150 °C for 5h. The final catalyst was obtained after calcination at 500 °C for 3 h (ramp rate of 2 °C min<sup>-1</sup>). Catalysts were named as Co-X-ZrO<sub>2</sub>, where X is the atom % of Co with respect of total metal content (Co + Zr). Other catalysts with different Co loading were prepared by changing the amount of the precursors. Undoped  $ZrO_2$  was prepared using the same method in the absence of Co precursor.

For comparison, Co impregnated on  $ZrO_2$  catalyst was prepared with 10 atom % Co using the following method.  $ZrO_2$  (1.2 g), prepared as per above method, was dispersed in 30 mL H<sub>2</sub>O followed by the addition of Co(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O (0.29 g). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. Water was evaporated under reduced pressure and then the powder was dried at 150 °C for 12 h followed by calcination at 500 °C for 3 h. This catalyst was named as Co/ZrO<sub>2</sub>.

#### 3.2.2 Catalyst characterization

XRD pattern was measured with Rigaku MiniFlex using CuK $\alpha$  X-ray ( $\lambda = 1.54$  Å) operating at 40 kV and 20 mA. N<sub>2</sub> adsorption isotherms were measured at –196 °C using a Belsorp mini analyzer. Prior to the adsorption, all samples were degassed under vacuum at 120 °C for 2 h. Surface area was calculated by using BET theory between the relative pressure range 0.05 to 0.35 in the N<sub>2</sub> adsorption isotherm.<sup>17</sup> XPS was performed with JEOL JPS-9010MC instrument. Charge correction was done by adjusting the carbon peak to 284.6 eV. HAADF-STEM images were obtained in a JEOL JEM-ARM200F atomic resolution electron microscope at an acceleration voltage of 200 kV equipped with EDS detector EX-24221M1G5T. H<sub>2</sub> TPR of catalysts was carried out in presence of H<sub>2</sub>-Ar mixture (H<sub>2</sub> = 5%) in a BELCAT II instrument equipped with a TCD detector. Prior to measurement, catalysts were pretreated at 150 °C for 1 h under Ar flow. Measurements were done at a total flow rate of 50 mL min<sup>-1</sup>

with ramp rate of 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup>. CO<sub>2</sub> TPD experiment was performed in the BELCAT II instrument equipped with BELMass gas mass spectrometer. Prior to measurement, the samples were pretreated under Ar at 340 °C for 30 min followed by CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption at room temperature for 30 min. After purging with He for 30 min, TPD was performed with a ramp rate of 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup>. For formic acid decomposition experiment, formic acid was first impregnated on the catalyst in a hexane solution followed by drying at room temperature. The TPD of this catalyst was carried out under same condition used for CO<sub>2</sub> TPD.

XAFS measurements were carried out at BL9C beamline of photon Factory at the Institute for Material Structure Science in High Energy Accelerator Research Organization. (KEK-IMSS-PF)(Proposal No.2019P18). The X-rays emitted from 2.5 GeV storage ring operating at 450mA were monochromatized by a Si(111) double crystal monochromator. X-ray beam was focused, and higher harmonics were rejected by a bent cylindrical mirror. The incident and transmitted X-rays were monitored by 17 cm and 34 cm long ionization chambers filled with N<sub>2</sub>. The samples were diluted with boron nitride and pressed into pellets with appropriate thickness. The presence of large amount of ZrO<sub>2</sub> caused severe absorption and limited edge height to 0.1-0.2. XAFS analysis was carried out using the REX package programs.<sup>18</sup> The amplitude and the phase functions were derived from FEFF calculation.<sup>19</sup> Additional analysis was done using Athena software using standard parameters.<sup>20</sup>

DRIFTS experiments were done using a Perkin Elmer Spectrum 100 FTIR spectrometer equipped with MCT detector cooled with liquid N<sub>2</sub>. The catalyst was first pretreated under He at 280 °C for 30 min. One spectrum of catalsyt was recorded as background under He before flowing reactant gases (H<sub>2</sub>:CO<sub>2</sub> = 4). Final IR spectrum of the adsorbed species was obtained by substracting the background spectrum of the

catalsyt. For operando experiment, the gas exiting the DRIFTS cell was fed to an online GC equipped with a molecular sieve column and a TCD. CO adsorption DRIFTS experiments were done at room temperature. Prior to the CO adsorption at room temperature, the catalyst was reduced under H<sub>2</sub> at 450 °C for 1 h.

#### **3.2.3 Evaluation of catalytic activity**

Catalytic activity for CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation was evaluated in a stainless-steel packed bed flow reactor system as shown in Chapter 2 (Figure 2.1). In a typical reaction, 450 mg of catalyst was loaded into the reactor and held in place by quartz wool. Prior to reaction, the catalyst was pretreated under 0.5 MPa Ar for 1 h at 300 °C. Then the reactor was cooled to 50 °C under Ar and pressurized to reaction condition using a mixture of H<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub> and Ar having the ratio H<sub>2</sub>:CO<sub>2</sub>:Ar = 4:1:1. After the system pressure was stable, reactor temperature was increased to desired value. Total flow rate was 75 mL min<sup>-1</sup> to maintain space velocity at 10,000 mL h<sup>-1</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>. Reactions at space velocity higher than 30,000 mL h<sup>-1</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> were carried out by reducing the amount of catalyst and increasing the flow rate. CO<sub>2</sub> conversion, selectivity of CO, CH<sub>3</sub>OH and CH<sub>4</sub>, space time yield (STY) of CH<sub>3</sub>OH and CO were calculated using Equations 2.1 to 2.5 stated in Chapter 2. STY of CO is reported in µmol g<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>.

#### **3.2.4 Theoretical calculations**

DFT calculations were carried out by using the Generalized gradient approximation  $(GGA)^{21}$  with Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) functional implemented in the Vienna *ab initio* simulation package (VASP).<sup>22</sup> The DFT + U approach with a value of U = 4.0 eV was used in this calculation. The calculated lattice parameters for tetragonal ZrO<sub>2</sub> are a = b = 3.66 Å and c = 5.19 Å, which is consistent with the experimental value a = b = 3.64 Å and c = 5.27 Å.<sup>23</sup>

The  $ZrO_2(101)$  surface with  $(2 \times 3 \times 2)$  element unit cell was chosen as the slab model with a vacuum spacing of 15 Å to separate the slabs. The computational model of the Co doped ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalyst (Co-ZrO<sub>2</sub>) was created by replacing one Zr atom at the top layer of ZrO<sub>2</sub>(101) surface with one Co atom. The bottom layer was fixed to represent the bulk state and the other layers were fully relaxed. The forces tolerance on all unconstrained atoms were converged to 0.03 eV/Å. An energy cut-off of 400 eV and  $3 \times 3 \times 1$  k-point grid gave reliable converged results for total energies and forces. The threshold of SCF convergence was set to  $10^{-4}$  eV. All calculations were spin polarized. The adsorption energy was calculated by Equation (3.1)

$$E_{ads} = E_{mol/slab} - E_{mol} - E_{slab} \quad (3.1)$$

where  $E_{\text{mol/slab}}$ ,  $E_{\text{mol}}$  and  $E_{\text{slab}}$  correspond to the total energy of adsorbate on slab model, the energy of the isolated molecule in gas phase and surface substrate, respectively.

The oxygen vacancy formation energy  $(E_f)$  was calculated using Equation 3.2 as follows

$$E_f = E_{sur-vac} + E_{H_2O} - (E_{sur} + E_{H_2}) \quad (3.2)$$

where  $E_{\text{sur-vac}}$ ,  $E_{H2O}$ ,  $E_{H2}$ ,  $E_{\text{sur}}$  are the total energy of the surface with one oxygen vacancy, the energy of gas water, hydrogen molecule, and surface without oxygen vacancy, respectively.

# 3.3 Results and discussion

# 3.3.1 Preparation and characterization of doped and impregnated Co catalysts on $ZrO_2$

Co doped  $ZrO_2$  catalysts were prepared via co-precipitation method and were named as Co-X-ZrO<sub>2</sub> (X = atom % of Co with respect to total metal content Co+Zr; X = 1, 5, 10, 15, 50). For comparison, one catalyst was also prepared via impregnation method containing 10 atom% Co loading.

All catalysts were first characterized to determine the physical structure and chemical state of doped catalysts. The physical morphology of catalysts did not change due to Co doping as observed in the N<sub>2</sub> adsorption analysis (Figure 3.1). In XRD pattern analysis, pure ZrO<sub>2</sub> showed diffraction patters for both monoclinic (*m*-ZrO<sub>2</sub>) and tetragonal (*t*-ZrO<sub>2</sub>) polymorphs (Figure 3.2a). Doping of Co changed the *m*-ZrO<sub>2</sub> phase to *t*-ZrO<sub>2</sub> due to incorporation of Co in the ZrO<sub>2</sub> crystal matrix. For doped catalysts with Co loading of 1-15 atom%, formation of CoO or Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> was not observed. In Co-50-ZrO<sub>2</sub> and impregnated catalysts, diffraction for Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> was observed. For catalysts with loading of 1-15 atom%, the (101) reflection of t-ZrO<sub>2</sub> gradually shifted to higher 20 value with increase in Co loading (Figure 3.2b). This was caused by the reduction in interplanar distance in ZrO<sub>2</sub> because of incorporation of smaller Co<sup>2+</sup> (0.74 Å) in place of Zr<sup>4+</sup> (0.84 Å).<sup>24</sup> This also led to a gradual decrease in lattice parameter value. The change of *m*-ZrO<sub>2</sub> to *t*-ZrO<sub>2</sub> and gradual decrease in interplanar distance and lattice parameter with increasing Co loading suggests homogeneous doping of Co atoms in the ZrO<sub>2</sub> crystal matrix.



Figure 3.1: N<sub>2</sub> adsorption isotherms of Co-X-ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalysts.

Figure 3.2c shows the Co K-edge XANES spectra for all catalysts along with reference materials. The edge position of doped catalysts with Co loading of 1-15 atom% was at 7722 eV, which was assignable to  $Co^{2+}$  species. Co-50-ZrO<sub>2</sub> showed the

edge position at 7726 eV due to presence of  $Co^{3+}$  in  $Co_3O_4$  (observed in XRD). Figure 3.2d shows the pre-edge region of the XANES spectrum. The height of the pre-edge peak around 7710 eV was related to 1s to 3d electronic transition, which was less favorable in the presence of centrosymmetry, for example in the case of CoO and Co(CH<sub>3</sub>COO)<sub>2</sub>. A larger pre-edge peak was observed for Co-50-ZrO<sub>2</sub> and impregnated catalyst because of the absence of centrosymmetry in Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> due to its tetrahedral structure. The pre-edge peaks for doped catalysts (X = 1-15 atom%) were larger than CoO and Co(CH<sub>3</sub>COO)<sub>2</sub> indicating that Co was not present in a centrosymmetric crystal structure, which is expected when it is homogeneously doped in ZrO<sub>2</sub>. Figure 3.2e shows the EXAFS region for Co-10-ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalyst. The noise in EXAFS region was high due to the large background absorbance of ZrO<sub>2</sub>. However, the EXAFS profile for Co-10-ZrO<sub>2</sub> differed from that of CoO and Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. The Co-O bond distance of Co-10-ZrO<sub>2</sub> after curve fitting was 1.88 Å, smaller than Co-O in CoO (Table 3.1). The peak at 2.71 Å was assigned as the Co-Zr interaction although the bond length was short. From XAFS analysis, it was concluded that, in doped catalysts, Co atoms were divalent in nature and did not resemble the structure of CoO or Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.



**Figure 3.2:** (a) XRD patterns of CO-X-ZrO<sub>2</sub> and Co/ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalysts. (b) Shifting of (101) plane of *t*-ZrO<sub>2</sub> as a result of doping of Co. (c) XANES spectrum of doped and impregnated catalysts along with standard Co compounds. (d) Expanded spectra showing the pre-edge region for Co XANES spectrum. (e) Fourier transform of EXAFS region for Co-10-ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalyst and reference Co oxides.

**Table 3.1:** Co-ordination number and radial distance for the first coordination sphere Co-O in Co-10-ZrO<sub>2</sub> in comparison to CoO, Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and Co-50-ZrO<sub>2</sub>.  $S^2 = 0.83$  which was determined by using FEFF.

Sample	N (corrected)	R (Å)	σ
CoO	6	2.12	0.078
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	6	1.94	0.054
Co-10-ZrO <sub>2</sub>	2.4	1.88	0.06
Co-50-ZrO <sub>2</sub>	4.4	1.92	0.066

Further characterization of Co-10-ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalyst and its comparison with impregnated catalyst was performed to understand the nature of the Co atoms in doped catalyst. Figure 3.3 shows the HAADF-STEM images of both the catalysts. For doped catalyst, a uniform crystal structure was observed, and Co particles or clusters were not observed (Figure 3.3a). Although atomic resolution was attained, single Co atoms were not observed because both Co and Zr appear with similar brightness owing to their similar atomic weight. In EDX mapping, homogeneous distribution of Co atoms was observed (Figure 3.3b, c). On the other hand, Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> particles over ZrO<sub>2</sub> were observed for impregnated catalyst (Figure 3.3d). In this catalyst, Co atoms were confined to Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> particle (Figure 3.3e, f).



**Figure 3.3:** HAADF-STEM analysis of (a) Co-10-ZrO<sub>2</sub> and (d) Co/ZrO<sub>2</sub>. EDX mapping of Co and Zr in Co-10-ZrO<sub>2</sub> (b,c) and (e,f) 10% Co/ZrO<sub>2</sub>. Red and green colors in EDX mapping signify Zr and Co, respectively.

In the H<sub>2</sub>-TPR analysis, pure  $ZrO_2$  did not show any reduction feature due to its irreducible nature (Figure 3.4a). Impregnated Co/ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalysts showed two reduction

features owing to the presence of  $Co_3O_4$  particles. The peak around 360 °C appeared because of the reduction of  $Co^{3+}$  to  $Co^{2+}$  and the peak around 540 °C was for reduction of  $Co^{2+}$  to  $Co^0$ . For doped Co-10-ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalyst, no prominent reduction feature was observed below 600 °C. Reduction features above 600 °C suggest the presence of highly dispersed Co species interacting strongly with the support.<sup>25–26</sup>

CO adsorption at room temperature using DRIFTS analysis after reducing the catalyst at 450 °C provided further information about the nature of Co species (Figure 3.4b). For both the doped and impregnated catalysts, broad peaks around 2174 and 2116 cm<sup>-1</sup> were observed and assigned to gaseous CO.<sup>27</sup> Peaks at 2030 and 1973 cm<sup>-1</sup> (shoulder) appeared for impregnated Co/ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalyst. These peaks were the characteristics of the adsorbed CO species in linear and bridging fashions over metallic Co.<sup>28–31</sup> No such peaks of adsorbed CO species were observed for doped Co-10-ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalyst because isolated Co atoms bound to oxygen atoms were known to have poor ability to bind CO.<sup>32</sup> These results confirmed the atomic dispersion of Co in doped catalyst.



**Figure 3.4:** (a)  $H_2$  TPR spectra of different catalysts. (b) CO DRIFTS over Co-10-ZrO<sub>2</sub> (black line) and Co/ZrO<sub>2</sub> (red line) showing gaseous CO and adsorbed CO in linear and bridge fashion (*l*-CO and *b*-CO respectively).

XPS analysis was carried out to understand the chemical environment around the Co atoms (Figure 3.5a). In Co 2p XPS analysis, 2p<sub>3/2</sub> peak at 781.0 eV and satellite at 786.0 eV suggest the presence of Co<sup>2+</sup> species.<sup>33,34</sup> The mismatch between oxidation states of  $Co^{2+}$  and  $Zr^{4+}$  created a charge imbalance in the crystal. This mismatch can be neutralized by creating oxygen vacancy near to Co atoms.<sup>35</sup> In O1s XPS spectra, the peak at 532 eV increased with increasing Co loading (Figure 3.5b). This peak (Ovacancy) was assigned as the oxygen atoms near oxygen vacancy site and oxygen atoms as O-H groups on the surface.<sup>36,37</sup> As shown in Chapter 2, CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption can be favorable over such oxygen vacancies. In the CO<sub>2</sub> TPD analysis (Figure 3.5c), the desorption feature in the low temperature region (100-300 °C) was assigned to physisorbed and weakly adsorbed CO<sub>2</sub> species. The desorption peak at 320 °C was assigned to chemisorbed CO<sub>2</sub> species. The intensity of this peak increased with increasing Co loading. Moreover, a linear co-relation was observed for increase in oxygen vacancy and chemisorbed CO<sub>2</sub> amount with increasing Co loading (Figure 3.5d). Therefore, it was concluded that doping of Co in ZrO<sub>2</sub> led to the formation of oxygen vacancy near Co atoms due to charge imbalance in the crystal structure. As a result, CO<sub>2</sub> chemisorption improved over the surface.



**Figure 3.5:** (a) Co 2p XPS spectrum for Co-10-ZrO<sub>2</sub> showing divalent nature of Co atoms after doping. (b) O1s XPS spectra for doped Co-X-ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalysts. (c) CO<sub>2</sub> TPD spectra showing increase in chemisorbed CO<sub>2</sub> amount at higher Co loading. (d) Correlation of Co loading with ratio of peaks in O1s XPS spectrum  $[O_{vacancy}]/[O_{crystal}]$  and chemisorbed CO<sub>2</sub> amount, respectively.

# 3.3.2 Modeling the Co doped ZrO<sub>2</sub> surface and its interaction with CO<sub>2</sub>

In order to understand the effect of Co doping on the generation of oxygen vacancy in  $ZrO_2$  in more detail, DFT calculation was carried out. For doped catalysts, only *t*- $ZrO_2$  was observed in XRD and the 101 facet of *t*- $ZrO_2$  is reported to be the most stable one, both theoretically<sup>38</sup> and experimentally<sup>39</sup>. Therefore, the 101 facet of *t*- $ZrO_2$  was chosen as the computational model. Co doped  $ZrO_2$  model was created by replacing one Zr of the top layer with Co atom.

Figure 3.6 shows the optimized structures of  $ZrO_2$  and Co doped  $ZrO_2$ . As compared to perfect  $ZrO_2$ , the Co doped  $ZrO_2$  without oxygen vacancy (Figure 3.6, Co-

ZrO<sub>2</sub>) was slightly distorted. Zr atom on the surface of perfect ZrO<sub>2</sub> was coordinated with 7 O atoms whereas Co atom in Co-ZrO<sub>2</sub> was coordinated with 5 O atoms. This imbalance in co-ordination number between Co and Zr would likely create an oxygen vacancy. To simulate this, O atoms were removed from two possible sites, vol and vo2 (circled in Figure 3.6). The formation of oxygen vacancy over perfect ZrO<sub>2</sub> was endothermic while over Co-ZrO<sub>2</sub> it was exothermic. Over perfect ZrO<sub>2</sub>, the formation energies of oxygen vacancies at the surface and subsurface layers were 3.20 eV and 2.7 eV respectively. The oxygen vacancy formation energy decreased to -1.17 eV and -1.45 eV for Co-ZrO<sub>2</sub>\_vol and Co-ZrO<sub>2</sub>\_vo2, respectively. Therefore, doped Co atoms strongly favored oxygen vacancy formation in ZrO<sub>2</sub>. This observation is in line with experimental results.



**Figure 3.6:** The side and top views for optimized  $ZrO_2$  and  $Co-ZrO_2$  surfaces with and without oxygen vacancies (denoted as  $Co-ZrO_2_vx$ , where x = o1, o2). The atoms at top layer and the second layer were represented by ball and stick, respectively. The other atoms were omitted for clarity. The dashed circle represents the position of oxygen vacancy. The Zr, O and Co atoms were represented in cyan, red and blue colors, respectively.

The influence of Co doping and the presence of oxygen vacancy on  $CO_2$  adsorption was also investigated using DFT calculation. Two type of vacancies, Co-ZrO<sub>2</sub>\_vo1 and Co-ZrO<sub>2</sub>\_vo2 would competitively exist in the system as the formation energies for both the vacancies were similar. Hence, both the geometries were considered for CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption study. The most stable geometries for CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption in linear and carbonate fashion are shown in Figure 3.7a and 3.7b, respectively. For linear adsorption, there was no significant change in adsorption energy for all models. On the other hand, CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption in carbonate fashion was more stable than linear fashion. Over perfect  $ZrO_2$  surface, the carbonate formation energy was -0.62 eV. The stability did not increase in the presence of only Co atom without oxygen vacancy (Figure 3.7b, Co- $ZrO_2$ , -0.25 eV). The formation energies of carbonate were decreased to -1.16 eV for Co- $ZrO_2$ \_vol and -1.37 eV for Co- $ZrO_2$ \_vo2. The C atom of CO<sub>2</sub> interacted with lattice oxygen and the two O atoms of CO<sub>2</sub> interacted with unsaturated metal atoms at the oxygen vacancy site. These results of improved CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption in presence of oxygen vacancy support the experimental results.

(a) Linear adsorption

-0.62 eV



-0.25 eV

60

-1.16 eV

-1.37 eV

**Figure 3.7:** The most stable geometry for (a) linearly adsorbed  $CO_2$  molecule and (b) formation of carbonate species on  $ZrO_2$  and the surrounding of Co atom in Co- $ZrO_2$ , Co- $ZrO_2$ \_vo1, and Co- $ZrO_2$ \_vo2. The atoms at top layer and the second layer were represented by ball and stick, respectively. The other atoms were omitted for clarity. The corresponding adsorption energies for  $CO_2$  molecule is shown at the bottom of each geometry. The Zr, O, Co and C atoms were represented in cyan, red, blue and gray colors, respectively.

### 3.3.3 Catalytic activity for CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation

CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation activity of all catalysts was analyzed in a stainless-steel fixed bed reactor. In the presence of undoped ZrO<sub>2</sub>, at 340 °C and 3 MPa, CO<sub>2</sub> conversion was 1.8% with 100% CO selectivity (Figure 3.8a). In case of doped catalysts, the activity increased with increasing Co loading without any significant drop in selectivity. Co-10-ZrO<sub>2</sub> showed the highest STY of CO of 3.8  $\mu$ mol g<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> with 19% CO<sub>2</sub> conversion and 97% CO selectivity and the rest being methanol. Both Co-50-ZrO<sub>2</sub> and Co/ZrO<sub>2</sub> contained Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and produced methane as the main product. Therefore, catalysts with single atom Co in ZrO<sub>2</sub> network favored CO formation over methanol and methane.

The product selectivity was influenced by reaction temperature (Figure 3.8b). Methanol selectivity was increased at lower temperature because of the exothermic nature of the methanol formation reaction. At 280 °C, methanol selectivity was 29% along with 71% CO. CO formation was prominent at the temperature range of 300-360 °C. Methane started to form at 380 °C and at 420 °C, CO selectivity was 84% and methane selectivity was 16%. Introduction of Co single atoms to ZrO<sub>2</sub> crystal is prone towards methanol and CO formation at lower temperature while at higher temperature, methane was formed via total hydrogenation of CO<sub>2</sub>.

The selectivity of CO was not influenced by any other reaction parameters such as pressure, space velocity and  $H_2$  to  $CO_2$  ratio. Under ambient pressure, the  $CO_2$ 

conversion was 9.8% with 100% CO selectivity (Figure 3.8c). Changing the pressure to 4 MPa increased the conversion to 21% along with 96% CO selectivity. Similarly, changing the space velocity from 5000 to 60,000 mL h<sup>-1</sup>  $g_{cat}^{-1}$  did not change the CO selectivity, which remained above 95% under all space velocity (Figure 3.8d). Changing the H<sub>2</sub> to CO<sub>2</sub> ration also did not change the selectivity (Figure 3.8e).



**Figure 3.8:** (a) Effect of Co loading on catalytic activity of doped (Co-X-ZrO<sub>2</sub>) and impregnated catalysts (Reaction condition: 340 °C, 10,000 mL h<sup>-1</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>, 3 MPa, H<sub>2</sub>:CO<sub>2</sub> = 4:1), (b) Effect of temperature (Reaction condition: 30,000 mL h<sup>-1</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>, 3 MPa, H<sub>2</sub>:CO<sub>2</sub> = 4:1), (c) Effect of pressure (Reaction condition: 340 °C, 10,000 mL h<sup>-1</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>, H<sub>2</sub>:CO<sub>2</sub> = 4:1), (d) Effect of space velocity (Reaction condition: 340 °C, 3 MPa, H<sub>2</sub>:CO<sub>2</sub> = 4:1), (e) Effect of H<sub>2</sub> to CO<sub>2</sub> ratio (Reaction condition: 340 °C, 3 MPa, H<sub>2</sub>:CO<sub>2</sub> = 4:1), (e) Effect of H<sub>2</sub> to CO<sub>2</sub> ratio (Reaction condition: 340 °C, 3 MPa, 10,000 mL h<sup>-1</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>) on the activity and product selectivity for Co-10-ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalyst.

The catalyst stability was checked for Co-10-ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalyst under the condition of 340 °C, 3 MPa and 10,000 mL h<sup>-1</sup> g<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup> (Figure 3.9a). The catalytic activity was stable without drop in CO selectivity or CO<sub>2</sub> conversion. The XRD of used catalyst was same as the fresh one (Figure 3.9b). XPS spectra showed the presence of Co<sup>2+</sup> and formation of Co<sup>0</sup> was not observed (Figure 3.9c). As a result, the relative percentage of oxygen vacancy remained similar in the used catalyst as compared to the fresh catalyst (18%) (Figure 3.9d). This was in accordance with H<sub>2</sub> TPR spectra (Figure 3.4a), which showed that Co species were not reduced at the temperature used for the reaction. This suggests the high stability of the catalyst.



**Figure 3.9:** (a) Long-term reaction over Co-10-ZrO<sub>2</sub>. Reaction condition: 340 °C, 3 MPa, 10,000 mL h<sup>-1</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>, H<sub>2</sub>:CO<sub>2</sub> = 4:1, (b) XRD of fresh and used Co-10-ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalyst, (c) Co 2p XPS of fresh and used catalysts and (d) O 1s XPS of used Co-10-ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalyst.

#### **3.3.4 Elucidation of mechanism and active site in doped catalyst**

Operando DRIFTS analysis was carried out to understand the reaction mechanism. Co-10-ZrO<sub>2</sub> was pretreated under He at 280 °C for 30 minutes in the IR cell. After pretreatment, reactant gases (H<sub>2</sub>:CO<sub>2</sub> = 4:1) were fed at 280 °C followed by stepwise increase in temperature. Several species were detected in DRIFTS spectra at 280 °C (Figure 3.10a). Peaks at 2972, 2882, 2740, 1585, 1384 and 1365 cm<sup>-1</sup> were assigned as bidentate formate species (HCOO<sup>\*</sup>).<sup>16,40-42</sup> Peaks at 1632, 1221 cm<sup>-1</sup> were assigned to bicarbonate species (HCO3<sup>\*</sup>) and peaks around 1518, 1458, and 1423 cm<sup>-1</sup> were assigned to carbonate species (CO3<sup>\*</sup>).<sup>42-44</sup> At 300 °C, bicarbonate disappeared and carbonate species decreased, and formate increased. Formate was the dominant surface species at 340 °C. During IR measurement, CO formation was simultaneously analyzed by online GC (Figure 3.10b). CO formation rate was proportional to increase in formate species in IR as the temperature increased. Hence, it can be speculated that the formation of CO follows the formate pathway. It is worth mentioning that formate is also an intermediate for methanol formation.<sup>45</sup>



**Figure 3.10:** Operando DRIFTS experiment over Co-10-ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalyst. (a) IR spectrum recorded at different temperature. Three regions were shown where "Region I" shows the spectrum in the range of 3050-2650 cm<sup>-1</sup> (showing formate species), "Region II" shows the range 2230-2000 cm<sup>-1</sup> (showing gaseous CO) and "Region III" shows the range 1700-1100 cm<sup>-1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> (showing formate, bicarbonate and carbonate species). The y-axis scale is different for each region for clarity. (b) Formation rate of CO during the DRIFTS experiment. Reaction condition: 0.1 MPa, 280 - 340 °C, H<sub>2</sub>:CO<sub>2</sub> = 4:1.

The following experiment was carried out to understand the relation between formate and CO formation. In the DRIFTS cell, a mixture of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> were flown over Co-10-ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalyst at 340 °C for 30 min. Gas flow was changed to He and the temperature was reduced rapidly to room temperature under He to retain all the species on the catalyst surface. After flushing for several minutes, the gas was changed to H<sub>2</sub> and the temperature was increased while recording the IR spectrum (Figure 3.11). Adsorbed species such as bicarbonates, carbonates reduced rapidly with increasing temperature (Figure 3.11a). In contrast, the intensity of the formate peak was stable until 300 °C. Above 300 °C, the formate peak intensity decreased fast. Methoxy species appeared in the temperature range of 100-300 °C (Figure 3.11b). When the same experiment was performed in TPD instrument, the evolution of CO gas started over 300 °C, which was consistent with formate decomposition (Figure 3.12). Formation of methoxy below 300 °C, rapid decomposition of formate above 300 °C along with formation of CO is in line with the selectivity pattern of products with temperature in Figure 3.8b. These experiments prove that formate was the intermediate for both CO and methanol formation.


**Figure 3.11:** Evolution of different species (formed *in situ*) with temperature over Co-10-ZrO<sub>2</sub>. (a) Evolution of formate along with carbonate and bicarbonate species and (b) evolution of methoxy species (shown in dotted box).



**Figure 3.12:** Mass profile of CO in TPD experiment of Co-10-ZrO<sub>2</sub> after pretreating the catalyst under reaction condition (340 °C, 0.1 MPa and  $H_2$ :CO<sub>2</sub> = 4:1).

To understand the nature of the active site capable of converting formate to CO, in situ DRIFTS spectra for Co-10-ZrO<sub>2</sub> and pure ZrO<sub>2</sub> were compared (Figure 3.13a). Pure ZrO<sub>2</sub> showed enough activity for CO formation that formate species were observed in IR spectra. Over ZrO<sub>2</sub> formate appeared at lower wavenumber (10-20 cm<sup>-1</sup>) as compared to Co-10-ZrO<sub>2</sub>. Formate is known to bond to two Zr atoms over ZrO<sub>2</sub> to form a stable structure (Zr-O-Zr like active site).<sup>16,42,46</sup> The increase in wavenumber of formate species over the surface of Co-10-ZrO<sub>2</sub> could be a result of bonding of one O atom of formate with  $Co^{2+}$  instead of  $Zr^{4+}$  indicating an active site similar to Co-O-Zr for formate stabilization. Similar shifting has been reported when formate was stabilized at the interfacial site of  $In_2O_3/ZrO_2$ , where both In and Zr atoms were involved for formate stabilization.<sup>47</sup>

Figure 3.13b shows the evolution of CO gas during the decomposition of preadsorbed formic acid over ZrO<sub>2</sub> and Co-10-ZrO<sub>2</sub> surface. CO was the primary product during formic acid decomposition over both materials. For ZrO<sub>2</sub>, one broad peak appeared at 350 °C. In contrast, two peaks appeared for Co-10-ZrO<sub>2</sub>. The lower temperature peak at 325 °C (shown in red) was assigned to the formate decomposition over Co-O-Zr site whereas the higher temperature peak at 350 °C (shown in blue, same temperature for formate decomposition over ZrO<sub>2</sub>) was assigned to the formate decomposition over Zr-O-Zr site. These experiments prove that, over the surface of Co doped ZrO<sub>2</sub>, Co-O-Zr site is responsible for the stabilization of formate and its conversion to CO.



**Figure 3.13:** (a) Comparison of peak positions of formate species over Co-10-ZrO<sub>2</sub> and ZrO<sub>2</sub> during *in situ* DRIFTS study. Reaction condition: 340 °C, 0.1 MPa, H<sub>2</sub>:CO<sub>2</sub> = 4:1. (b) Formic acid decomposition to CO over ZrO<sub>2</sub> and Co-10-ZrO<sub>2</sub>. Red and blue regions showed the fitting for Co-10-ZrO<sub>2</sub>.

In situ DRIFTS analysis was also carried out over impregnated Co/ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalyst (Figure 3.14a). The peak position of formate was at the same wavelength as for Co-10-ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalyst. Moreover, formate species did not form over impregnated Co/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst (Figure 3.14b). This suggests that, even in the impregnated Co/ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalyst, formate was able to form at the interfacial site of Co-O-Zr. Although formate formed at the interface over the impregnated catalyst, CO selectivity was low because of further hydrogenation of CO to methane over the metallic Co surface. Over the surface of Co-10-ZrO<sub>2</sub>, subsequent hydrogenation of CO was not possible leading to high CO selectivity. On the other hand, CO was strongly adsorbed over the surface of impregnated catalyst and methane formation was favorable via CO hydrogenation. Adsorption of CO was only observed for impregnated catalyst containing metallic Co in DRIFTS analysis (Figure 3.4b).



**Figure 3.14:** Comparison of *in situ* DRIFTS spectra over (a) Co-10-ZrO<sub>2</sub> and Co/ZrO<sub>2</sub> and (b) Co/ZrO<sub>2</sub> and Co/SiO<sub>2</sub>. Reaction condition:  $340 \degree C$ , 0.1 MPa, H<sub>2</sub>:CO<sub>2</sub> = 4:1.

To understand the CO adsorption behavior of Co-10-ZrO<sub>2</sub> surface, DFT study was carried out. Figure 3.15 shows the optimized geometries of CO adsorption. Adsorption energies for CO on pure ZrO<sub>2</sub>, Co-ZrO<sub>2</sub>, Co-ZrO<sub>2</sub>\_vo1 and Co-ZrO<sub>2</sub>\_vo2 were calculated to be -0.62, -0.93, -0.53 and -0.82 eV, respectively. Although CO could

bind either to Zr or to Co atom, the adsorption over Co was favorable. On the surface of Co-ZrO<sub>2</sub> without oxygen vacancy (Figure 3.15, Co-ZrO<sub>2</sub>), CO adsorption energy was -0.93 eV and CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption energy in carbonate mode was -0.25 eV (Figure 3.7b, Co-ZrO<sub>2</sub>). Hence, without oxygen vacancy, CO adsorption is stronger than CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption, which would slow down the RWGS reaction and poison the active site. However, on the Co-ZrO<sub>2</sub> surface with oxygen vacancy, the CO adsorption energy was lower than CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption energy in carbonate mode (CO adsorption, Figure 3.15, Co-ZrO<sub>2</sub>\_ov1 and Co-ZrO<sub>2</sub>\_ov2 vs CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption, Figure 3.7b, Co-ZrO<sub>2</sub>\_ov1 and Co-ZrO<sub>2</sub>\_ov2). Therefore, in presence of oxygen vacancy, CO<sub>2</sub> would replace CO to make a way for the next catalytic cycle. Therefore, oxygen vacancy helps to prevent strong adsorption of CO over Co single atom.



**Figure 3.15:** The most stable geometries for CO adsorption on ZrO<sub>2</sub>, and Co-ZrO<sub>2</sub> surfaces. The atoms at top layer and the second layer were represented by ball and stick, respectively. The other atoms were omitted for clarity. The corresponding adsorption energies for CO molecule is shown at the bottom of each geometry. The Zr, O, Co and C atoms were represented in cyan, red, blue and gray colors, respectively.

Based on these observations, the following reaction mechanism for  $CO_2$  hydrogenation over the surface of Co-10-ZrO<sub>2</sub> was proposed (Figure 3.16). Because of

doping of  $Co^{2+}$  in ZrO<sub>2</sub>, oxygen vacancies are formed due to charge imbalance in the crystal lattice. The oxygen vacancy promotes CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption as carbonate species. Co single atom does H<sub>2</sub> dissociation followed by transfer of H to C of carbonate to form the formate species at the interfacial site. Selective decomposition of formate to CO occurs followed by removal of H<sub>2</sub>O molecule. CO molecule does not undergo further hydrogenation because CO<sub>2</sub> replaces CO at the active site and the next cycle continues.



Figure 3.16: Schematic representation of reaction mechanism of CO formation from  $CO_2$  over the Co doped  $ZrO_2$  surface.

#### **3.4 Conclusion**

This chapter shows that single atom sites close to an oxygen vacancy can be active for CO formation from CO<sub>2</sub>. Doping of cobalt in  $ZrO_2$  catalysts created oxygen vacancies near Co atoms as a result of charge imbalance between Co<sup>2+</sup> and Zr<sup>4+</sup> in the ZrO<sub>2</sub> crystal. Doped catalysts containing Co single atom produced CO with selectivity more than 95%. In situ and operando DRIFTS analysis showed that formate was the intermediate for CO production. Atomically dispersed Co in association with neighboring Zr atom favored decomposition of formate to CO at temperatures above  $300 \,^{\circ}$ C. Presence of oxygen vacancy near Co single atom hampered the adsorption and further hydrogenation of CO leading to high CO selectivity. DFT calculations confirmed that Co doping in ZrO<sub>2</sub> leads to the formation of oxygen vacancies, which are necessary for CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption and formate formation.

### References

- 1. J. Bao, G. Yang, Y. Yoneyama and N. Tsubaki, ACS Catal., 2019, 9, 3026–3053.
- Y. Li, W. Gao, M. Peng, J. Zhang, J. Sun, Y. Xu, S. Hong, X. Liu, X. Liu, M. Wei, B. Zhang and D. Ma, *Nat. Commun.*, 2020, 11, 1–8.
- W. Z. Li, J. X. Liu, J. Gu, W. Zhou, S. Y. Yao, R. Si, Y. Guo, H. Y. Su, C. H. Yan, W. X. Li, Y. W. Zhang and D. Ma, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*, 2017, **139**, 2267–2276.
- J. Qi, J. Finzel, H. Robatjazi, M. Xu, A. S. Hoffman, S. R. Bare, X. Pan and P. Christopher, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2020, 142, 14178–14189.
- 5. S. Lyu, L. Wang, Z. Li, S. Yin, J. Chen, Y. Zhang, J. Li and Y. Wang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2020, **11**, 1–8.
- J. Li, Y. He, L. Tan, P. Zhang, X. Peng, A. Oruganti, G. Yang, H. Abe, Y. Wang and N. Tsubaki, *Nat. Catal.*, 2018, 1, 787–793.
- 7. P. Munnik, P. E. De Jongh and K. P. De Jong, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, **136**, 7333–7340.
- 8. W. Wang, S. Wang, X. Ma and J. Gong, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 3703–3727.
- 9. S. De, A. Dokania, A. Ramirez and J. Gascon, ACS Catal., 2020, 10, 14147–14185.
- A. Jangam, S. Das, N. Dewangan, P. Hongmanorom, W. M. Hui and S. Kawi, *Catal. Today*, 2020, **358**, 3–29.
- 11. A. Parastaev, V. Muravev, E. Huertas Osta, A. J. F. van Hoof, T. F. Kimpel, N. Kosinov and E. J. M. Hensen, *Nat. Catal.*, 2020, **3**, 526–533.
- 12. S. Kattel, P. Liu and J. G. Chen, J. Am. Chem. Soc, 2017, 139, 9739–9754.
- 13. J. Ye, C. Liu, D. Mei and Q. Ge, ACS Catal., 2013, **3**, 1296–1306.
- J. Wang, C. Tang, G. Li, Z. Han, Z. Li, H. Liu, F. Cheng and C. Li, ACS Catal., 2019, 9, 10253–10259.
- 15. Y. Wang, Z. Chen, P. Han, Y. Du, Z. Gu, X. Xu and G. Zheng, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, **8**, 7113–7119.
- J. Wang, G. Li, Z. Li, C. Tang, Z. Feng, H. An, H. Liu, T. Liu and C. Li, *Sci. Adv.*, 2017, 3, 1–11.
- 17. S. Brunauer, P. H. Emmett and E. Teller, J. Am. Chem. Soc, 1938, 60, 309–319.

- Y. Wasawa, Ed., X-ray absorption fine structure for catalysis and surfaces, World Scientific, 1996.
- 19. J. J. Rehr and R. C. Albers, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 2000, 72, 621–654.
- 20. B. Ravel and M. Newville, J. Synchrotron Radiat., 2005, 12, 537–541.
- 21. J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1996, 77, 3865–3868.
- 22. G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, *Phys. Rev. B Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1996, **54**, 11169–11186.
- 23. G. Teufer, Acta Crystallogr., 1962, 15, 1187–1187.
- 24. R. D. Shannon, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A, 1976, **32**, 751–767.
- 25. H. Zhao and H. Lu, *React. Kinet. Catal. Lett.*, 2009, 97, 289–293.
- 26. J. G. Chen and Y. H. Sun, Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal., 2004, 147, 277–282.
- W. Li, Y. Liu, M. Mu, F. Ding, Z. Liu, X. Guo and C. Song, *Appl. Catal. B Environ.*, 2019, 254, 531–540.
- 28. D. Song, J. Li and Q. Cai, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2007, 111, 18970–18979.
- 29. N. Kumar, K. Jothimurugesan, G. G. Stanley, V. Schwartz and J. J. Spivey, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2011, **115**, 990–998.
- J. Scalbert, I. Clémençon, P. Lecour, L. Braconnier, F. Diehl and C. Legens, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2015, 5, 4193–4201.
- J. A. Singh, N. Yang, X. Liu, C. Tsai, K. H. Stone, B. Johnson, A. L. Koh and S. F. Bent, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2018, 122, 2184–2194.
- K. Khivantsev, A. Biancardi, M. Fathizadeh, F. Almalki, J. L. Grant, H. N. Tien, A. Shakouri, D. A. Blom, T. M. Makris, J. R. Regalbuto, M. Caricato and M. Yu, *ChemCatChem*, 2018, 10, 736–742.
- 33. D. Lee, Q. X. Xia, J. M. Yun and K. H. Kim, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2018, **433**, 16–26.
- K. Hadjiivanov, V. Avreyska, G. Tzvetkov, P. Stefanov, C. Chupin, C. Mirodatos and T. S. Marinova, *Surf. Interface Anal.*, 2001, **32**, 175–178.
- S. Jiang, R. Zhang, H. Liu, Y. Rao, Y. Yu, S. Chen, Q. Yue, Y. Zhang and Y. Kang, J. Am. Chem. Soc, 2020, 142, 18.
- 36. Y.-X. Pan, C.-J. Liu, D. Mei and Q. Ge, *Langmuir*, 2010, 26, 5551–5558.
- V. P. Indrakanti, J. D. Kubicki and H. H. Schobert, in *Fuel Process. Technol.*, 2011, 92, 805–811.
- 38. W. Xia, F. Wang, L. Wang, J. Wang and K. Chen, *Catal. Letters*, 2020, **150**, 150–158.
- 39. H. Y. T. Chen, S. Tosoni and G. Pacchioni, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2015, 119, 10856–10868.
- 40. C. Schild, A. Wokaun and A. Baiker, J. Mol. Catal., 1990, 63, 243–254.
- 41. I. A. Fisher and A. T. Bell, J. Catal., 1997, 172, 222–237.
- 42. K. Pokrovski, K. T. Jung and A. T. Bell, *Langmuir*, 2001, **17**, 4297–4303.

- 43. E. M. Köck, M. Kögler, T. Bielz, B. Klötzer and S. Penner, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2013, 117, 17666–17673.
- 44. K. D. Dobson and A. J. McQuillan, *Langmuir*, 1997, **13**, 3392–3396.
- 45. S. Kattel, B. Yan, Y. Yang, J. G. Chen and P. Liu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 12440–12450.
- 46. S. T. Korhonen, M. Calatayud and A. Outi I Krause, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2008, **112**, 16096–16102.
- 47. T. Y. Chen, C. Cao, T. B. Chen, X. Ding, H. Huang, L. Shen, X. Cao, M. Zhu, J. Xu, J. Gao and Y. F. Han, *ACS Catal.*, 2019, 9, 8785–8797.

# **Chapter 4**

# Role of support in controlling CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation pathway

### Abstract

It is clear from Chapters 2 and 3 that both the nature of dopant and the nature of support/host oxide can influence the CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation activity and selectivity. In order to understand the role of the support in doped metal oxides, this chapter focuses on the designing indium doped mixed oxides with different supports. Two doped oxides, In-ZrO<sub>2</sub> and In-TiO<sub>2</sub>, were prepared and the effect of the support was studied. It was found that the presence of Zr and Ti at the active site could control the mechanism and selectivity of CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation. In-ZrO<sub>2</sub> produced methanol as the major product, while In-TiO<sub>2</sub> produced CO as the only product. In-ZrO<sub>2</sub> followed formate pathway for methanol discussed in Chapter 2. However, CO production on In-TiO<sub>2</sub> followed redox mechanism without the involvement of any intermediate. This change in selectivity and mechanism was dictated by the reducible nature of the support.

#### **4.1 Introduction**

Support plays an important role in heterogeneous catalysis. In addition to stabilizing metal nanoparticles on the surface, support also helps in the formation of interfacial active sites, induces change in electronic property of metals via electronic charge transfer, and helps in the adsorption of substrate and intermediates.<sup>1-9</sup> Therefore, tuning the properties of support is an effective way to control activity and selectivity of reactions having complex mechanism such as CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation (Scheme 1.1, Chapter 1).<sup>1</sup>

In CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation, support plays a prominent role in adsorption/desorption behavior of intermediate species. For example, changing the support from TiO<sub>2</sub> to CeO<sub>2</sub> or ZrO<sub>2</sub> increased the adsorption of C,O-bound species (for example: CO<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup>, HOCO<sup>\*</sup>) and O-bound species (for example: HCOO<sup>\*</sup> and CH<sub>3</sub>O<sup>\*</sup>) at the interfacial sites of PtCo bimetallic nanoparticle and as a result, the selectivity changes from CO to CH<sub>4</sub>.<sup>9</sup> When ZnO was used as support for Cu based catalysts for CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation, ZnO stabilized formate species as intermediate for methanol production.<sup>10,11</sup> In contrast, when TiO<sub>2</sub> or ZrO<sub>2</sub> was used as support, the active sites were poisoned because of over stabilization of formate species.<sup>12,13</sup> This chapter investigates the role of support as an integral part of the active site for CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation over In based catalysts.

For In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst, In-V<sub>o</sub>-In (V<sub>o</sub> = oxygen vacancy) has been suggested as the active site, which can strongly adsorb CO<sub>2</sub> and can stabilize the formate intermediate.<sup>4</sup> Only ZrO<sub>2</sub> support has been reported to promote the stability and the activity of In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.<sup>14</sup> Several studies have investigated the reasons for promotional effect of ZrO<sub>2</sub>. Ramirez et al. proposed the generation of oxygen vacancy over the surface of In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> because of the mismatch between crystal structures of In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (cubic) and ZrO<sub>2</sub> (monoclinic).<sup>15</sup> Another reasoning is that electronic transition from ZrO<sub>2</sub> to In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> improved the oxygen

vacancy formation.<sup>16</sup> Muller et al. showed that a solid solution between In and Zr is formed because of the disintegration of  $In_2O_3$  over the surface of  $ZrO_2$  and promoted methanol formation.<sup>17</sup> In contrast, Han et al. drew an opposite conclusion that interfacial site of In and Zr was active for CO formation via formate decomposition.<sup>18</sup> Therefore, the behavior of interfacial site between indium and support material remains elusive.

This chapter shows that  $CO_2$  hydrogenation selectivity and pathway at the interfacial site of indium and support material was controlled by the nature of the support material. Two catalysts, indium doped  $ZrO_2$  and  $TiO_2$  (In- $ZrO_2$  and In- $TiO_2$ ) were prepared, and the effect of the support was studied.  $ZrO_2$  and  $TiO_2$  were chosen because they are widely used in  $CO_2$  hydrogenation and they are distinct in terms of their reducibility. The In- $ZrO_2$  produced methanol as the major product and In- $TiO_2$  showed CO as the only product. Presence of  $ZrO_2$  promoted formate formation over the surface while  $TiO_2$  favored redox mechanism without involving any reaction intermediate.

#### 4.2 Experimental method

#### **4.2.1 Catalyst Preparation**

Indium nitrate (In(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O), zirconyl nitrate (ZrO(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O), aqueous titanium chloride solution (aqueous solution of 20% TiCl<sub>3</sub> containing 5% HCl) and citric acid were purchased from Fujifilm Wako Pure Chemical Corporation. Indium doped catalysts were prepared using a sol-gel method in the presence of citric acid. A typical procedure to obtain In-ZrO<sub>2</sub> and In-TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts is as follows: In(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O (1 mmol) and ZrO(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O (9 mmol) or TiCl<sub>3</sub> (9 mmol) solution were added to a beaker containing 60 mL of H<sub>2</sub>O along with 10 mmol of citric acid. The beaker was placed on a hot plate maintained at 150 °C and stirred until a foaming gel was formed.

The gel was then dried in an oven maintained at 150 °C for 5 h. The resulting composite was crushed and calcined at 500 °C for 3 h (ramp rate: 2 °C min<sup>-1</sup>) to obtain the final catalyst. In both the catalysts, the indium metal content was kept 10 atom% with respect to total metal content [In /(In + Zr (Ti)) = 0.1].

#### 4.2.2 Catalyst characterization

XRD was measured with Rigaku MiniFlex using CuK $\alpha$  X-ray ( $\lambda = 1.54$  Å) operating at 40 kV and 20 mA. XPS was performed with JEOL JPS-9010MC instrument. Charge correction was made by adjusting the external carbon peak to 284.6 eV. H<sub>2</sub> TPR of catalysts were carried out in presence of H<sub>2</sub>-Ar mixture (H<sub>2</sub> = 5%) in a BELCAT II instrument equipped with a TCD detector. Prior to measurement, catalysts were pretreated at 200 °C for 1 hour under Ar flow. Measurements were done at a total flow rate of 50 mL min<sup>-1</sup> with ramp rate of 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup>. CO<sub>2</sub> TPD expreminet was performed in the BELCAT II instrument. Prior to measurement, the samples were pretreated with He at 200 °C for 1 h. The sample was then cooled to room temperature and then  $CO_2$  was used to purge the sample sell for 30 min followed by He flow for another 30 min. In TPD analysis under He flow, temperature was increased by 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup>. For formic acid TPD, formic acid was first impregnated on the catalyst in a hexane solution followed by washing with hexane to remove the physisorbed formic acid and was dried at room temperature. The TPD analysis was carried out under He flow and temperature was increased by 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup>. DRIFTS were recorded in a Perkin Elmer Spectrum 100 FTIR spectrometer equipped with MCT detector cooled with liquid N<sub>2</sub>. The catalyst was first pretreated in He at 300 °C for 30 min. One spectrum was recorded for only catalyst. Next, the reactant gases started to flow  $(H_2:CO_2 = 4)$ and specta were recorded with different time interval. Final spectrum of the adsorbed species was yielded after correction with the spectrum of only catalyst.

#### **4.2.3** Evaluation of catalytic activity

Catalytic activity for CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation was evaluated in a stainless-steel packed bed flow reactor system (Figure 2.1, Chapter 2). Products were analyzed using an online GC (Shimadzu, GC 8A) equipped with two columns – Porapak Q and Molecular Sieve and a TCD detector. Gas line from the outlet of the reactor to the inlet of the GC was heated at 150 °C to prevent condensation. Typically, 200 mg of catalyst was loaded into the reactor and held in place by quartz wool. A thermocouple was inserted into the reactor to measure catalyst bed temperature. Prior to reaction, the catalyst was pretreated under 0.5 MPa Ar for 1 h at 300 °C. Then the reactor was cooled to 50 °C under Ar and pressurized to reaction condition using a mixture of H<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> having the ratio H<sub>2</sub>:CO<sub>2</sub> = 4:1. After the system pressure was stable, reactor temperature was increased to desired value. Total flow rate was 100 mL min<sup>-1</sup> to maintain space velocity at 25,000 mL h<sup>-1</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>. CO<sub>2</sub> conversion, selectivity of CO, CH<sub>3</sub>OH and CH<sub>4</sub>, space time yield (STY) of CH<sub>3</sub>OH and CO were calculated using Equations 2.1 to 2.5 stated in Chapter 2.

#### 4.3 Results and discussions

#### 4.3.1 Catalytic activity

Catalytic activity of doped and pure oxides was checked at 300 °C, 5 MPa and 25,000 mL h<sup>-1</sup> g<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup> space velocity (SV) (Figure 4.1). Pure In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> showed methanol selectivity of 74% and CO selectivity of 24% along with small amount of methane (2%) (Figure 4.1a). In-ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalyst produced methanol with a selectivity of 59%. CO selectivity was 37% and rest was methane (4%). In contrast, CO was the only product in the presence of In-TiO<sub>2</sub>. CO<sub>2</sub> conversion over pure ZrO<sub>2</sub> and TiO<sub>2</sub> was negligible. This result indicates that catalytic activity of In-M<sub>x</sub>O<sub>y</sub> (M<sub>x</sub>O<sub>y</sub> = ZrO<sub>2</sub> and TiO<sub>2</sub>) catalysts was derived from the indium doping while the reaction mechanism depended on the

nature of the support. The doped oxide catalysts showed higher activity in both CO and methanol formation than pure  $In_2O_3$  (Figure 4.1b).

Methanol synthesis from  $CO_2$  is exothermic and RWGS reaction is endothermic in nature. Therefore, reaction temperature can influence the selectivity of these products. In the presence of In-ZrO<sub>2</sub>, methanol selectivity increased at lower temperatures as expected (Figure 4.1c). Although methanol remained as major product over In-ZrO<sub>2</sub>, the methanol selectivity was lower than that over pure In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. However, in the presence of In-TiO<sub>2</sub>, CO was the only product at all temperatures investigated.



**Figure 4.1:** (a) Conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> and selectivity of products during CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation. (b) STY of products over In-M<sub>x</sub>O<sub>y</sub> ( $M_xO_y = ZrO_2$  and TiO<sub>2</sub>) and their pure oxides. Reaction condition: 300 °C, 5 MPa and 25,000 mL h<sup>-1</sup> g<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup> SV, H<sub>2</sub>:CO<sub>2</sub> = 4:1. (c) Selectivity of products at different temperatures. Reaction condition: 5 MPa and 25,000 mL h<sup>-1</sup> g<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup> SV, H<sub>2</sub>:CO<sub>2</sub> = 4:1.

#### **4.3.2** Catalyst structure

Figure 4.2a shows the XRD pattern of In-ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalyst. Pure ZrO<sub>2</sub> showed the presence of both monoclinic and tetragonal phase. After indium doping, only tetragonal phase was present because dopants stabilize tetragonal phase of ZrO<sub>2</sub> as observed in Chapter 3. The peak position of tetragonal ZrO<sub>2</sub> in In-ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalysts were shifted towards higher 20 value because of incorporation of smaller In<sup>3+</sup> (0.80 Å) ion in place of Zr<sup>4+</sup> (0.84 Å) ion.<sup>20–22</sup> Upon doping with a smaller ion, the interplanar distance (d spacing) decreases and 20 value increases. In case of In-TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst, the predominant phase was anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> (Figure 4.2b). After doping of In, the peak position for 101 plane of anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> shifted to lower value owing to incorporation of larger In<sup>3+</sup> (0.80 Å) ions in the crystal of TiO<sub>2</sub> (Ti<sup>4+</sup> = 0.60 Å).<sup>22</sup> These shifting in the peak position indicated that in both In-ZrO<sub>2</sub> and In-TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts, indium species are highly dispersed throughout the crystal system. Furthermore, in the TEM images only the tetragonal ZrO<sub>2</sub> and anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> crystals were observed and crystal phase for pure In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was not detected (Figure 4.2c and 4.2d).



**Figure 4.2:** XRD patterns of (a) In-ZrO<sub>2</sub> and (b) In-TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts. TEM images showing the d spacing (c) In-ZrO<sub>2</sub> and (d) In-TiO<sub>2</sub>.

#### 4.3.3 Surface properties

The reducibility of In- $M_xO_y$  catalysts were examined by H<sub>2</sub> TPR (Figure 4.3). Pure ZrO<sub>2</sub> did not show any reduction feature because of its irreducible nature, whereas In-ZrO<sub>2</sub> showed one prominent peak around 700 °C (Figure 4.3a). This peak appeared because of the complete reduction of indium species.<sup>14</sup> However, consumption of hydrogen started from 300 °C, which was interpreted as formation of surface oxygen defects adjacent to In atoms without total reduction of In. Similarly, surface of pure TiO<sub>2</sub> partially reduced at around 600 °C (Figure 4.3b).<sup>23,24</sup> Whereas, reduction features were observed at a much lower temperature for In-TiO<sub>2</sub>, indicating high reducibility of the catalyst. Therefore, indium species in In-TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts were more reducible under reaction condition than in In-ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalyst.



Figure 4.3: H<sub>2</sub> TPR analysis for (a) In-ZrO<sub>2</sub> and (b) In<sub>2</sub>-TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst.

The chemical environment on the surface of the two catalyst was probed by XPS. The O 1s XPS spectra for In-ZrO<sub>2</sub> are shown in Figure 4.4a. The peak at 529.7 eV indicates the presence of crystal oxygen and the shoulder peak at 531.9 eV is termed as defective oxygen, which is assigned to surface -OH species and O atoms near vacancies. In used In-ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalyst, the amount of oxygen defects increased. This was primarily attributed to formation of -OH species because the elemental state of In and Zr species did not change after the reaction (Figure 4.4b, c). For In-TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst, the oxygen defect sites increased slightly after the reaction (Figure 4.4d). The In 3d XPS spectra for fresh and used In-TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts showed the formation of reduced In species at 443.9 eV, which is in between the expected peak position for In<sup>3+</sup> and In<sup>0</sup> (Figure 4.4e).<sup>25</sup> Similarly, in the Ti 2p XPS spectra, presence of Ti<sup>3+</sup> at 458 eV in used catalyst was observed (Figure 4.4f).<sup>26,27</sup> This suggests that under the reaction condition, both In<sup>3+</sup> and Ti<sup>4+</sup> were reduced, which corroborates with the H<sub>2</sub> TPR analysis.



Figure 4.4: XPS analysis of In-ZrO<sub>2</sub> (a-c) and In-TiO<sub>2</sub> (d-f) catalysts.

CO<sub>2</sub> TPD analysis was carried out to understand the CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption behavior on the surface of catalysts. Two desorption features were observed for In-ZrO<sub>2</sub> (Figure 4.5a). DRIFTS during TPD analysis of pre-adsorbed CO<sub>2</sub> showed that most of bicarbonate and carbonate species desorbed between 100-300 °C (Figure 4.5b).<sup>28</sup> On the other hand, all species desorbed before 250 °C over In-TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst (Figure 4.5a, b) suggesting that adsorbed CO<sub>2</sub> was not stable at reaction temperature. In addition, the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> adsorbed on In-TiO<sub>2</sub> was lower even though the CO<sub>2</sub> conversion was much higher over In-TiO<sub>2</sub> as compared to In-ZrO<sub>2</sub>. This indicates that, for CO<sub>2</sub> conversion over the surface of In-TiO<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption ability was not a vital factor.



**Figure 4.5:** (a) Evolution of  $CO_2$  (m/z = 44) during  $CO_2$  TPD experiment. (b) DRIFTS analysis during temperature program desorption after in situ  $CO_2$  adsorption over the surface of In-ZrO<sub>2</sub> and In-TiO<sub>2</sub>.

#### 4.3.4 Mechanistic details

The evolution of reaction intermediates was observed by monitoring the DRIFTS spectra under reaction condition at different time intervals over In-ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalyst (Figure 4.6a). Peaks at 2972, 2882, 2740, 1585, 1384 cm<sup>-1</sup> were assigned to bidentate formate species.<sup>29–31</sup> Bicarbonate and carbonate species were also detected under the reaction condition.<sup>31,32,33</sup> Peaks at 2822, 2928 and 1144 cm<sup>-1</sup> were assigned to methoxy species.<sup>34,35</sup> Formate was the dominant species on the surface and the peak intensity for both the formate and methoxy increased with time (Figure 4.6a). A comparison of formate and methoxy peak intensities showed that methoxy formation closely followed the evolution of formate (Figure 4.6b). It suggests that formate is the intermediate for methanol formation. When comparing the in-situ DRIFT spectra for In-ZrO<sub>2</sub> and pure ZrO<sub>2</sub>, the formate peak position shifted towards higher wavenumber over In-ZrO<sub>2</sub> (Figure 4.7). This was interpreted as stabilization of formate species over the interface of In-V<sub>o</sub>-Zr (V<sub>o</sub> = oxygen vacancy), establishing its presence at the active site.<sup>18</sup>



**Figure 4.6:** (a) In situ DRIFTS analysis at different times and (b) formation pattern of formate and methoxy over In-ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalyst. Reaction condition: 300 °C, 0.1 MPa and 25,000 mL h<sup>-1</sup>  $g_{cat}^{-1}$  SV, H<sub>2</sub>:CO<sub>2</sub> = 4:1.



**Figure 4.7:** Comparison of *in situ* DRIFTS spectra of In-ZrO<sub>2</sub> and pure ZrO<sub>2</sub>. Reaction condition: 300 °C, 0.1 MPa, H<sub>2</sub>:CO<sub>2</sub> = 4:1. For pure ZrO<sub>2</sub> temperature was 340 °C to increase the formate intensity.

To understand the role of interfacial site in stabilization of formate, formic acid TPD was carried out on ZrO<sub>2</sub> and fresh In-ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalyst. Figure 4.8a shows the evolution of CO<sub>2</sub> and CO gas during formic acid decomposition. Pure ZrO<sub>2</sub> only produced CO. This indicates that Zr-O-Zr site is only active for formate decomposition to CO (Figure 4.8b). During formic acid decomposition over the In-ZrO<sub>2</sub> surface, the temperature range for CO evolution was similar to that of pure ZrO<sub>2</sub>. This indicates that CO was mainly produced over Zr-O-Zr site on the surface of In-ZrO<sub>2</sub> (Figure 4.8b). CO<sub>2</sub> was only produced by In-ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalyst (Figure 4.8a), indicating the formate stabilization over In-O-Zr sites (Figure 4.8b). This experiment suggests that the formate stabilized over the interfacial site of In and Zr cannot be decomposed to CO and as a result, chance of further hydrogenation to form methanol increased under H<sub>2</sub> rich atmosphere.



**Figure 4.8:** (a) Evolution of CO and CO<sub>2</sub> during formic acid decomposition over  $ZrO_2$  and In-ZrO<sub>2</sub>. (b) Schematic representation of formate decomposition over the surface of  $ZrO_2$  and In-ZrO<sub>2</sub>.

In-situ DRIFTS analysis of In-TiO<sub>2</sub> showed no identifiable intermediate species and only peaks for gaseous CO were observed at 2172 and 2109 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 4.9).<sup>36</sup> The formation of CO without the presence of any intermediate indicated that CO might be produced via redox mechanism where H<sub>2</sub> reduced the active site and CO<sub>2</sub> oxidized it back producing CO.



Figure 4.9: In situ DRIFTS spectra over In-TiO<sub>2</sub> (black line) compared with In-ZrO<sub>2</sub> (red line).

The following experiment was carried out to confirm the mechanism of CO formation over In-TiO<sub>2</sub>. First, the catalyst was reduced at 300 °C for 30 min under  $H_2$ 

atmosphere to generate oxygen vacant sites followed by Ar purge for 15 min to remove the residual H<sub>2</sub> gas. Then mixture of Ar and CO<sub>2</sub> was flown and the evolution of CO was recorded using a GC (Figure 4.10a). CO evolution peaked in first 5 min and then decreased slowly showing that CO<sub>2</sub> conversion continues for long time even in the absence of H<sub>2</sub> gas. To further confirm the redox mechanism, the oxidation state of Ti in In-TiO<sub>2</sub> was analyzed using ultraviolet-visible (UV-VIS) spectroscopy after each reaction step in the redox cycle (Figure 4.10b). Fresh catalyst showed one peak at 340 nm corresponding to the electronic transition from valence band to conductance band in TiO<sub>2</sub> (Figure 4.10b, black line).<sup>37</sup> After H<sub>2</sub> treatment, a shoulder peak appeared in the range of 400-700 nm indicating the formation of Ti<sup>3+</sup> (Figure 4.10b, red line).<sup>37,38</sup> After treating this reduced catalyst under CO<sub>2</sub>, the intensity of the shoulder peak reduced (Figure 4.10b, blue line) due to reoxidation of Ti<sup>3+</sup> to Ti<sup>4+</sup>. H<sub>2</sub> treatment of this oxidized sample again created Ti<sup>3+</sup> species and the shoulder peak regained its shape (Figure 4.10b, green line). This experiment proves that Ti changes its oxidation state at each step of the oxidation and reduction which is consistent with the redox mechanism.



**Figure 4.10:** (a) Evolution of CO over In-TiO<sub>2</sub> during CO<sub>2</sub> flow after H<sub>2</sub> and Ar flow respectively. (b) UV-VIS analysis of In-TiO<sub>2</sub> after treatment under different atmosphere.

#### 4.3.5 Discussion

Figure 4.11 shows the mechanism for CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation over the surface of two In doped catalysts. For In-TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst, both In and Ti are easily reducible in their oxide form resulting in a partially reduced surface with oxygen vacancies. These In-V<sub>o</sub>-Ti sites would exhibit reduced indium and titanium species (see XPS analysis, Figure 4.4). It has been previously reported that In catalysts with highly reduced surface are not active for CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation to methanol.<sup>14</sup> Song et al. showed that hexagonal In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> can undergo complete reduction to In<sup>0</sup>, which then reoxidized to cubic In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> by CO<sub>2</sub>. However, the reduction and oxidation of In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> changes is structure and the initial state of In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> cannot be recovered.<sup>39</sup> In contrast, the In-V<sub>o</sub>-Ti interface, while being highly reduced, can carry out the reduction/oxidation cycle without changing the initial structure. This redox mechanism becomes feasible because of the presence of Ti<sup>3+</sup> which is stable even under reducing condition and is easily oxidized by CO<sub>2</sub>.<sup>37,40</sup>

On the other hand, for In-ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalyst, due to strong interaction of indium species with non-reducible ZrO<sub>2</sub>, the reducibility of indium species was low. At the In- $V_0$ -Zr interface site, strong CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption occurs. Hydrogen is dissociated over indium sites and transfer of hydrogen from indium to C of CO<sub>2</sub> would produce formate species (Figure 4.11b). Majority of formate would form methanol via successive hydrogenation. Therefore, the nature of active site at the interface is controlled by the reducible nature of the support.



**Figure 4.11:** (a) Mechanism of CO formation over In-TiO<sub>2</sub> and (b) mechanism of methanol formation over In-ZrO<sub>2</sub>.

#### 4.3.6 Significance of interface in impregnated catalysts

Although interfacial sites are the only catalytically active site in doped catalyst their role in impregnated catalyst is not always clear. The parent In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> shows different product selectivity in comparison to interface of In with support, especially in the case of In-TiO<sub>2</sub>. Therefore, the influence of interface in impregnated In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> and In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalyst for CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation was investigated. The impregnated In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> exhibited CO selectivity of 95% as opposed to 100% CO selectivity for doped catalyst (Figure 4.12). Methanol selectivity was 5%, which originated from reaction over In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles. The impregnated In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalysts showed a methanol selectivity (65%), which was in between the selectivity obtained over doped In-ZrO<sub>2</sub> and pure In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts. By comparing, the selectivity of products over impregnated catalysts, the interfacial In-V<sub>0</sub>-M (M = Ti/Zr) site showed similar activity as for sol-gel doped catalysts and for In catalyst the interface with oxide support is the dominant active site.



**Figure 4.12:** Comparison of product selectivity of impregnated catalysts with sol-gel and pure  $In_2O_3$ .

#### 4.4 Conclusion

This chapter shows how the support metal atom can tune the activity, selectivity and CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation pathway in indium doped oxides. The reducibility of the support controls the CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation pathway at the interfacial site with doped indium metal. When indium was doped in ZrO<sub>2</sub>, The non-reducible nature of ZrO<sub>2</sub> did not cause excessive reduction of indium species and retained the CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation ability. As a result, formate species were formed and further hydrogenation led to methanol formation. On the other hand, TiO<sub>2</sub> being highly reducible caused reduction of indium species and the highly reduced indium could not adsorb CO<sub>2</sub> at In-V<sub>0</sub>-Ti site. Instead, both In and Ti at the interfacial site were re-oxidized by CO<sub>2</sub> to produce CO with high selectivity. Therefore, in doped oxide catalysts, while CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation ability is generated due to the dopants, the role of the support metal atom at the interfacial site with the dopant becomes important. As found in chapter 2 and 3, this chapter confirms that, presence of support metal atom at M-O-S (M = dopant, S = support metal atom) like active site controls the CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation pathway and thus, controls the CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation selectivity.

## References

- 1. S. Kattel, P. Liu and J. G. Chen, J. Am. Chem. Soc, 2017, 139, 9739–9754.
- 2. Y. X. Pan, C. J. Liu, D. Mei and Q. Ge, *Langmuir*, 2010, **26**, 5551–5558.
- 3. S. Huygh, A. Bogaerts and E. C. Neyts, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2016, 120, 21659–21669.
- 4. J. Ye, C. Liu, D. Mei and Q. Ge, *ACS Catal.*, 2013, **3**, 1296–1306.
- 5. S. Kattel, B. Yan, J. G. Chen and P. Liu, J. Catal., 2016, 343, 115–126.
- S. Li, Y. Xu, Y. Chen, W. Li, L. Lin, M. Li, Y. Deng, X. Wang, B. Ge, C. Yang, S. Yao,
  J. Xie, Y. Li, X. Liu and D. Ma, *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.*, 2017, 56, 10761–10765.
- X. Li, J. Lin, L. Li, Y. Huang, X. Pan, S. E. Collins, Y. Ren, Y. Su, L. Kang, X. Liu, Y. Zhou, H. Wang, A. Wang, B. Qiao, X. Wang and T. Zhang, *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.*, 2020, 59, 19983–19989.
- Y. Zhang, Z. Zhang, X. Yang, R. Wang, H. Duan, Z. Shen, L. Li, Y. Su, R. Yang, Y. Zhang, X. Su, Y. Huang and T. Zhang, *Green Chem.*, 2020, 22, 6855–6861.
- S. Kattel, W. Yu, X. Yang, B. Yan, Y. Huang, W. Wan, P. Liu and J. G. Chen, Angew. Chemie Int. Ed., 2016, 55, 7968–7973.
- S. Kattel, P. J. Ramírez, J. G. Chen, J. A. Rodriguez and P. Liu, *Science*, 2017, 355, 1296–1299.
- M. Behrens, F. Studt, I. Kasatkin, S. Kühl, M. Hävecker, F. Abild-Pedersen, S. Zander,
  F. Girgsdies, P. Kurr, B. L. Kniep, M. Tovar, R. W. Fischer, J. K. Nørskov and R.
  Schlögl, *Science*, 2012, **336**, 893–897.
- J. Graciani, K. Mudiyanselage, F. Xu, A. E. Baber, J. Evans, S. D. Senanayake, D. J. Stacchiola, P. Liu, J. Hrbek, J. Fernández Sanz and J. A. Rodriguez, *Science*, 2014, 345, 546–550.
- S. Kattel, B. Yan, Y. Yang, J. G. Chen and P. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 12440– 12450.
- O. Martin, A. J. Martín, C. Mondelli, S. Mitchell, T. F. Segawa, R. Hauert, C. Drouilly,
  D. Curulla-Ferré and J. Pérez-Ramírez, *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.*, 2016, 55, 6261–6265.
- M. S. Frei, C. Mondelli, A. Cesarini, F. Krumeich, R. Hauert, J. A. Stewart, D. Curulla Ferré and J. Pérez-Ramírez, ACS Catal., 2020, 10, 1133–1145.
- C. Yang, C. Pei, R. Luo, S. Liu, Y. Wang, Z. Wang, Z. J. Zhao and J. Gong, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2020, 142, 19523–19531.
- A. Tsoukalou, P. M. Abdala, A. Armutlulu, E. Willinger, A. Fedorov and C. R. Müller, ACS Catal., 2020, 10, 10060–10067.
- T. Y. Chen, C. Cao, T. B. Chen, X. Ding, H. Huang, L. Shen, X. Cao, M. Zhu, J. Xu, J. Gao and Y. F. Han, ACS Catal., 2019, 9, 8785–8797.
- 19. S. Brunauer, P. H. Emmett and E. Teller, J. Am. Chem. Soc, 1938, 60, 309–319.

- J. Wang, G. Li, Z. Li, C. Tang, Z. Feng, H. An, H. Liu, T. Liu and C. Li, *Sci. Adv.*, 2017, 3, e1701290.
- J. Wang, C. Tang, G. Li, Z. Han, Z. Li, H. Liu, F. Cheng and C. Li, ACS Catal., 2019, 9, 10253–10259.
- 22. R. D. Shannon, *ActaCrystal. A*, 1976, **32**, 751–767.
- 23. V. Bratan, C. Munteanu, C. Hornoiu, A. Vasile, F. Papa, R. State, S. Preda, D. Culita and N. I. Ionescu, *Appl. Catal. B Environ.*, 2017, **207**, 166–173.
- 24. H. Zhu, Z. Qin, W. Shan, W. Shen and J. Wang, J. Catal., 2004, 225, 267–277.
- M. M. Li, H. Zou, J. Zheng, T. Wu, T. Chan, Y. Soo, X. Wu, X. Gong, T. Chen, K. Roy,
  G. Held and S. C. E. Tsang, *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.*, 2020, 132, 16173–16180.
- G. Liu, H. G. Yang, X. Wang, L. Cheng, H. Lu, L. Wang, G. Q. Lu and H. M. Cheng, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2009, 113, 21784–21788.
- 27. X. Chen, L. Liu, P. Y. Yu and S. S. Mao, *Science*, 2011, **331**, 746–750.
- 28. K. Pokrovski, K. T. Jung and A. T. Bell, *Langmuir*, 2001, 17, 4297–4303.
- 29. C. Schild, A. Wokaun and A. Baiker, J. Mol. Catal., 1990, 63, 243–254.
- 30. I. A. Fisher and A. T. Bell, J. Catal., 1997, 172, 222–237.
- 31. K. Pokrovski, K. T. Jung and A. T. Bell, *Langmuir*, 2001, **17**, 4297–4303.
- E. M. Köck, M. Kogler, T. Bielz, B. Klötzer and S. Penner, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2013, 117, 17666–17673.
- 33. K. D. Dobson and A. J. McQuillan, *Langmuir*, 1997, **13**, 3392–3396.
- S. Kattel, B. Yan, Y. Yang, J. G. Chen and P. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc, 2016, 138, 12440– 12450.
- C. Wu, L. Lin, J. Liu, J. Zhang, F. Zhang, T. Zhou, N. Rui, S. Yao, Y. Deng, F. Yang,
  W. Xu, J. Luo, Y. Zhao, B. Yan, X. D. Wen, J. A. Rodriguez and D. Ma, *Nat. Commun.*,
  2020, 11, 1–10.
- 36. D. Song, J. Li and Q. Cai, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2007, 111, 18970–18979.
- L. F. Bobadilla, J. L. Santos, S. Ivanova, J. A. Odriozola and A. Urakawa, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, 8, 7455–7467.
- H. Liu, H. T. Ma, X. Z. Li, W. Z. Li, M. Wu and X. H. Bao, *Chemosphere*, 2003, 50, 39–46.
- A. Tsoukalou, P. M. Abdala, D. Stoian, X. Huang, M. G. Willinger, A. Fedorov and C. R. Müller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*, 2019, **141**, 13497–13505.
- 40. S. S. Kim, H. H. Lee and S. C. Hong, *Appl. Catal. A Gen.*, 2012, **423–424**, 100–107.

# Chapter 5

# Conclusion

Use of fossil fuels has dramatically increased the amount of CO<sub>2</sub>, a major greenhouse gas, in the atmosphere. The accumulation of CO<sub>2</sub> has already started to show its adverse effect on the nature. Therefore, it is high time to take necessary action to reduce the  $CO_2$  emission in the atmosphere. One effective way is to convert  $CO_2$  to useful fuels and chemicals. In this way, CO<sub>2</sub> can be treated as important C1 feedstock instead of waste and production of fuels and chemicals from CO<sub>2</sub> will also reduce the consumption of fossil fuels. In this regard, production of methanol and CO from  $CO_2$ is important because they are precursors for synthesis of many industrially important bulk chemicals and hydrocarbon fuels. The major challenge in CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation to methanol or CO is to achieve high selectivity of a single product. Therefore, the objective of this thesis is to develop highly active and selective catalytic systems for methanol and CO formation along with the study of parameters that control product selectivity. Over conventional support metal catalyst, it has been found that metal oxide can help to activate CO<sub>2</sub> while metal nanoparticles are used for H<sub>2</sub> dissociation. However, this system tends to further hydrogenate methanol and CO. This study is focused on metal oxide catalysts having atomically dispersed metal dopants for CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation. Atomic dispersion of metal dopants will have enhanced interaction with support metal oxide, which is expected to increase the stability and create specific active site to carry out selective catalytic hydrogenation of CO<sub>2</sub>.

In **Chapter 2**, CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation to methanol was studied using doped In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts. In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was reported to be a selective catalyst for this reaction. However, the methanol productivity was low due to poor CO<sub>2</sub> conversion over pure In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. In this chapter, transition metal doped In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts were synthesized. Among several transition metal used, atomically dispersed Rh dopants in In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> showed the highest promotional effect. A methanol productivity of 1.0 g<sub>MeOH</sub> h<sup>-1</sup> g<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup>, one of the highest till date, was achieved without reduction in methanol selectivity. Characterization suggested that atomically dispersed Rh atoms created oxygen vacancy around itself under hydrogenation condition and as a result, neighboring In atoms became partially reduced. The resulting Rh-V<sub>0</sub>-In (V<sub>0</sub> = oxygen vacancy) promoted CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption and formation of formate, which is the intermediate for methanol formation. Further H<sub>2</sub> dissociation over Rh atoms led to the hydrogenation of formate species and produced methanol. This chapter showed that metal atoms doped in metal oxides could improve CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation activity.

In **Chapter 3**, the concept of atomically dispersed metal atoms with oxygen vacancy was applied in RWGS reaction and high CO selectivity was achieved. Co doped  $ZrO_2$  catalysts were prepared and CO selectivity over 95% was achieved. Oxygen vacancies were generated near CO atoms due to the charge imbalance between  $Co^{2+}$  and  $Zr^{4+}$ . These oxygen vacancies promoted strong CO<sub>2</sub> chemisorption as carbonate species. Co atoms helped in H<sub>2</sub> dissociation and formate species formed at the interfacial site between Co and Zr (Co-O-Zr). The formate species decomposed selectively to CO instead of producing methanol via further hydrogenation of formate. Methane production via CO hydrogenation was suppressed because of the easy desorption of CO from the active site. As a result, a high CO selectivity was achieved.

Along with the isolation of the metal sites, the role of support oxide or the host oxide should be important. Chapter 2 and Chapter 3 showed that the interfacial site between doped metal atom and the metal atom of the support was the active site. Therefore, to understand the role of support at the interfacial site, indium doped catalysts with different supports were synthesized and analyzed for CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation in Chapter 4. Indium doped  $TiO_2$  and  $ZrO_2$  (In- $TiO_2$  and In- $ZrO_2$ ) were prepared.  $TiO_2$ and ZrO<sub>2</sub> were used in this study because they are widely used in CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation and are distinct in their reducible property. In-TiO<sub>2</sub> produced CO and In-ZrO<sub>2</sub> produced methanol as the major product. It was found that the reducibility of the support is the key factor in controlling CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation mechanism and selectivity. Because TiO<sub>2</sub> is easily reducible, indium atoms neighboring to Ti atoms were highly reduced. The resulting In-V<sub>o</sub>-Ti site could not carry out CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation to methanol. Instead, the active site was oxidized back by CO<sub>2</sub> producing CO selectively. On the other hand, in case of In-ZrO<sub>2</sub>, indium species were not reduced due to strong interaction with nonreducible ZrO<sub>2</sub>. As a result, formate was formed over the interfacial site, which further hydrogenated to methanol.

This work explores the synthesis of oxide-based catalysts having atomically dispersed metal dopants for selective synthesis of methanol and CO via CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation and focuses on the nature of support material that can control CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation selectivity. The future work in this field should focus on the development of inexpensive and selective materials applicable for industrial purpose. In methanol formation reaction, current industry uses Cu-ZnO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst, which has demerits regarding activity and stability. Although transition metals, especially noble metals can promote the activity of In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, indium itself is expensive and promotion with noble metals makes the catalyst even more expensive. Hence, synthesis of

inexpensive and selective methanol synthesis catalyst needs to be focused. For RWGS reaction, the main focus should be achieving high CO selectivity under milder reaction condition with high  $CO_2$  conversion. If that is achieved, then the products derivable from CO can also be derived directly from  $CO_2$  by combining RWGS reaction and downstream reactions from CO. In addition, mechanistic study should be focused to understand the parameters helping in the reaction and to develop new catalysts based on the mechanism.

**Synopsis** 



# **List of Publications**

## **Journal publications**

<u>Nazmul Hasan MD Dostagir</u>, Coogan Thompson, Hirokazu Kobayashi, Ayman M. Karim, Atsushi Fukuoka and Abhijit Shrotri, Rh promoted  $In_2O_3$  as a highly active catalyst for CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation to methanol. *Catalysis Science & Technology*, **2020**, 10, 8196-8202.

<u>Nazmul Hasan MD Dostagir</u>, Rattanawalee Rattanawan, Min Gao, Jun-ya Hasegawa, Kiyotaka Asakura, Atsushi Fukuoka and Abhijit Shrotri, Co single atoms in ZrO<sub>2</sub> with inherent oxygen vacancies for selective hydrogenation of CO<sub>2</sub> to CO. *ACS Catalysis*, **2021**, 11 (15), 9450-9461.

<u>Nazmul Hasan MD Dostagir</u>, Atsushi Fukuoka and Abhijit Shrotri, Role of support in controlling CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation pathway. (In preparation)

## Journal publications (not related to this thesis)

Lingcong Li, <u>Nazmul Hasan MD Dostagir</u>, Abhijit Shrotri, Atsushi Fukuoka and Hirokazu Kobayashi, Partial oxidation of methane to syngas via formate intermediate found for a ruthenium-rhenium bimetallic catalyst, *ACS catalysis*, **2021**, 11 (7), 3782-3789.

<u>Nazmul Hasan MD Dostagir</u>, Mahendra Kumar Awasthi, Ankit Kumar, Kavita Gupta, Silke Behrens, Abhijit Shrotri and Sanjay Kumar Singh, Selective catalysis for room-temperature hydrogenation of biomass-derived compounds over supported NiPd catalysts in water. *ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering*, **2019**, 7 (10), 9352-9359.

## **Conference contributions**

<u>Nazmul Hasan MD Dostagir</u>, Coogan Thompson, Hirokazu Kobayashi, Ayman M. Karim, Atsushi Fukuoka and Abhijit Shrotri, Promotion of In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> with Rh for superior methanol yield during CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation. *ACS Spring 2021*, Online, April 6, **2021** (Oral) <u>Nazmul Hasan MD Dostagir</u>, Abhijit Shrotri and Atsushi Fukuoka, CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation to methanol over highly active Rh-In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts. *The 126<sup>th</sup> annual meeting of Catalysis Society of Japan*, Online, September 17, **2020** (Oral)

<u>Nazmul Hasan MD Dostagir</u>, Coogan Thompson, Hirokazu Kobayashi, Ayman M. Karim, Atsushi Fukuoka and Abhijit Shrotri, Doping of Rh in In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> promotes methanol formation during CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation. *IRCCS the 4<sup>th</sup> Joint International Symposium*, Online, March 15, **2021** (Poster)

<u>Nazmul Hasan MD Dostagir</u>, Abhijit Shrotri and Atsushi Fukuoka, Single atom Rh promoted In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> for CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation to methanol. *IRCCS the 3<sup>rd</sup> Joint International Symposium*, Nagoya, Japan, January 31, **2020** (Poster)

# Acknowledgement

The journey of my PhD (2018-2021) is coming to an end. On this occasion, I would like to express my immense sense of gratitude to those who helped me during this period not only in academics but also helped me to evolve myself as a human being.

First of all, I would like to thank my supervisor, Professor Atsushi Fukuoka, for providing me the opportunity to carry out my research in his team. Your vast knowledge and experience helped me throughout my PhD work. Your ideas helped me to gather knowledge about catalysis. I appreciate that you took time out of your busy schedule to check my papers, presentations and thesis. Furthermore, I thank you for encouraging me on the day of my PhD defense. I am thankful to you for allowing me to attend conferences and symposiums, which provided me the platform to meet the bigger community of science. The environment of this lab that you created and maintained brought me joy during my work. Your thought – "Students should come up with their own ideas" really encouraged me to dive in the ocean of science. Thank you very much.

I would like to thank Dr. Kiyotaka Nakajima, my sub-supervisor. Your valuable comments and suggestions always helped me. I appreciate that you always cared about my research progress and gave me important suggestions. Moreover, I am thankful to you for checking my thesis and giving me valuable comments during my preparation of defense. Your eagerness to know the science behind reactions inspired me to carry out in depth study.

I am highly grateful to my daily supervisor, Dr. Abhijit Shrotri. You were there by my side and encouraging me in every moments. My PhD journey would not have been smooth without your help. Since the very beginning, you helped me a lot not only in research but also in the life outside the lab. You introduced me to the lab and helped me to learn instruments, to set up my reaction system and to design my research
projects. You gave me a lot of freedom in my research, which helped me to think independently. Thank you for listening to me with great patience during those endless discussions. Your positive attitude taught me to be optimistic even in hard times. Thank you for spending your time for correcting my papers, thesis, presentations. I sincerely appreciate your effort for those moments when you worked beyond office hours for me. I really enjoy working with you.

I would like to thank Dr. Hirokazu Kobayashi. Every discussion with you helped me to learn new things. Your suggestions in group meetings always helped me to understand the science in detail. Your comments and questions during my practice for PhD defense helped to a lot. I appreciate all your effort and encouragement that helped me to finish my PhD and inspires me to carry out finding new things in research.

I would also like to thank the committee members, Prof. Kei Murakoshi, Prof. Shin Mukai and Prof. Jun-ya Hasegawa for reviewing my work and attending my defense. I am grateful to AGS office for providing me the financial support for studying in Japan.

Special thanks to former and present members of my laboratory. They always extended their helping hands whenever I needed. Because of them, I never felt that I am in foreign and away from my home. To start with, our laboratory secretary, Hiromi Matsushima san, thank you very much for helping me with all the procedures and documents in Japanese. Dr. Jun Hirayama and Dr. Takuya Sagawa, thank you very much for being friendly. Your dedication towards research always inspired me. Special thanks to Dr. Shazia Sharmin Satter (aapa) who was like my elder sister. Communicating with you in Bengali language gave me the pleasure of using my mother tongue in foreign. A big thanks to Dr. Pengru Chen who always helped me in every aspect like a true senior. Understanding the documents and procedures became easy because of you. Dr. Eunhyeok Yang, Dr. Kota Techikawara, Dr. Etty, Dr. Daniele, Dr. Li and Dr. Jan, spending time with you were memorable.

Special thanks to Yayati. Occasional parties in your room and those delicious dishes that you made were awesome. I also learned many things from you. I can recall the moments of having dinner with you and Dr Jan at every Friday night. Chen Yang, you helped me a lot since the moment you received me at the airport. Thank you very much for your help. I would also like to say thanks to Tat, Kato, Endo, Suzuki, Osanai, Fukuma san, Yakuwa, Shibayama san and Asakawa san. You always have been very friendly and helped me with Japanese language whenever needed. I wish you success in your career.

Lastly, I would like to acknowledge my family and friends in India. Without their continuous support, it was not possible for me to come along this much. I express my gratitude to all my teachers during my school days, bachelors' and masters' degree. I specially thank Dr. Sanjay Kumar Singh, my MS supervisor. Your continuous support and encouragement always helped me. I finish this by dedicating this thesis to my parents, SK Golam Morselim and SK Rehana Begum, who are much happier than me on my achievements.