

HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

Title	Nuclear magnetic resonance measurements in dynamically controlled field pulse
Author(s)	Ihara, Y.; Hayashi, K.; Kanda, T.; Matsui, K.; Kindo, K.; Kohama, Y.
Citation	Review of Scientific Instruments, 92(11), 114709 https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0067821
Issue Date	2021-11
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/87253
Rights	This article may be downloaded for personal use only. Any other use requires prior permission of the author and AIP Publishing. This article appeared in Review of Scientific Instruments 92, 114709 (2021) and may be found at https://aip.scitation.org/doi/10.1063/5.0067821.
Туре	article
File Information	Rev. Sci. Instrum. 92-11_114709.pdf



Nuclear magnetic resonance measurements in dynamically controlled field pulse

Cite as: Rev. Sci. Instrum. **92**, 114709 (2021); https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0067821 Submitted: 20 August 2021 • Accepted: 27 October 2021 • Published Online: 12 November 2021

🔟 Y. Ihara, K. Hayashi, 🔟 T. Kanda, et al.



ARTICLES YOU MAY BE INTERESTED IN

An optimized pulse coupled neural network image de-noising method for a fieldprogrammable gate array based polarization camera Review of Scientific Instruments **92**, 113703 (2021); https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0056983

Design#of pulsed power supply for repetitive pulsed high magnetic field for water electrolysis

Review of Scientific Instruments 92, 114708 (2021); https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0059685

Fluorescence thermometry application of photoluminescence image from Cr-doped YAG Review of Scientific Instruments **92**, 114903 (2021); https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0058877





Rev. Sci. Instrum. **92**, 114709 (2021); https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0067821 © 2021 Author(s).

Nuclear magnetic resonance measurements in dynamically controlled field pulse

Cite as: Rev. Sci. Instrum. 92, 114709 (2021); doi: 10.1063/5.0067821 Submitted: 20 August 2021 • Accepted: 27 October 2021 • Published Online: 12 November 2021



Y. Ihara, ^{1,a)} 🔟 K. Hayashi,¹ T. Kanda,² 🔟 K. Matsui,² K. Kindo,² and Y. Kohama² 🔟

AFFILIATIONS

¹ Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan ² Institute for Solid State Physics, The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8581, Japan

^{a)}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed: yihara@phys.sci.hokudai.ac.jp

ABSTRACT

We present the architecture of the versatile nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectrometer with software-defined radio technology and its application to the dynamically controlled pulsed magnetic fields. The pulse-field technology is the only solution to access magnetic fields greater than 50 T, but the NMR experiment in the pulsed magnetic field was difficult because of the continuously changing field strength. The dynamically controlled field pulse allows us to perform NMR experiment in a quasi-steady field condition by creating a constant magnetic field for a short time around the peak of the field pulse. We confirmed the reproducibility of the field pulses using the NMR spectroscopy as a high precision magnetometer. With the highly reproducible field strength, we succeeded in measuring the nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rate $1/T_1$, which had never been measured by the pulse-field NMR experiment without dynamic field control. We also implement the NMR spectrum measurement with both the frequency-sweep and field-sweep modes and discuss the appropriate choices of these modes depending on the magnetic properties of the sample to be measured. This development, with further improvement at a long-duration field pulse, will innovate the microscopic measurement in extremely high magnetic fields.

Published under an exclusive license by AIP Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0067821

I. INTRODUCTION

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy is a powerful technique to explore the electronic state in materials from a microscopic viewpoint. By using nuclear spins as a local magnetic probe, we can observe the microscopic magnetism of electrons around the nuclear sites through the hyperfine interactions between the nuclear and electronic spins. Despite the microscopic nature of the probing method, the experimental setup around the sample is as simple as just mounting a sample in a radio frequency (RF) coil. This simple geometry allows us to perform NMR experiments in extreme conditions such as at low temperatures of a few mK¹ and at high pressures up to 9 GPa² A high magnetic field is another extreme condition of our interest. In high magnetic fields, an increase in the nuclear magnetization improves the NMR signal intensity and an increase in the electronic magnetization contributes to a large NMR frequency shift by creating larger internal fields at the nuclear site, which results in a better frequency resolution of the NMR spectrum. To take these advantages, the NMR spectrometer for high fields has been intensively developed, and the magnetic field available for high-resolution NMR spectroscopy is now stronger than 20 T.^{3,4}

The NMR experiment in higher magnetic fields is crucial for the study of material science and fundamental solid-state physics because extremely high fields often bring the electronic spins into a nontrivial quantum state. The magnetic fields greater than 20 T are generated in a steady state by the hybrid magnet technology (up to 45.5 T)⁵⁻⁷ or high- T_c wire technology (up to 30.5 T).^{8,9} To access much higher magnetic fields, we use the pulse-field technology, with which fields of roughly 100 T are generated for a short duration of less than 1 s.¹⁰ Despite the enormous field strength, the NMR measurement in pulsed magnetic fields has been a challenge because the resonant frequency changes with time following the continuously changing magnetic fields. Nevertheless, several trials have recently been performed^{11–16} and high quality results are available.^{17,18} So far, only the field-sweep NMR spectrum measurement was performed because a half-sinusoidal pulse-field profile generated by the passive resistor-inductor-capacitor (RLC) circuits does not allow other measurement modes. To extract other physical quantities, such as the nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rate $1/T_1$, from the pulse-field NMR experiment, the field pulse should be dynamically controlled (Fig. 1).¹⁹ Here, we report the successful measurements of the NMR



FIG. 1. Field profile of the dynamically controlled field pulse. NMR measurements are performed during the flat-top time, where the field strength becomes constant by the PID control. To subtract the background voltage on the pickup coil precisely, we took background measurement time just before the onset of the field pulse.

spectrum and $1/T_1$ in the dynamically controlled field pulse (flat-top pulse) through the development of a versatile NMR spectrometer. In this paper, we demonstrate the functionality of our spectrometer by the NMR measurements at ~13 T in both the pulsed and steady fields. These apparatuses enable us to further improve the data quality and uncover novel electronic states that appear in extremely high magnetic fields.

II. MEASUREMENT SETUP

A. Dynamic field control

In this study, we utilized for the first time the actively controlled flat-top pulse¹⁹ to perform the pulse-field NMR experiment. The field profile and time schedules for a flat-top pulse are shown in Fig. 1. To dynamically control the field strength during the field pulse, we insert a small feedback coil in the main magnet. The main magnet is driven by a capacitor bank [portable 2 kV, 15 mF at Hokkaido University or built-in 10 kV 18 mF at Institute for Solid State Physics (ISSP)], and the small feedback coil is driven by up to four 12 V batteries connected in series. The current on the feedback coil is controlled by the feedback voltage applied to the gate input of an insulated-gate bipolar transistor (IGBT) module. The magnetic fields at the sample space are measured by the induction voltage from the pickup coil wound at the end of the NMR probe. The pickup voltage is read at a sample rate of 1 MS/s by the analog-to-digital converter equipped with the multifunction reconfigurable I/O device USB-7856R (NI-National Instruments). Then, USB-7856R calculates the feedback voltage at the on-board fieldprogrammable gate array (FPGA) device following the standard proportional integral derivative (PID) protocol and produces voltage through the digital-to-analog converter. The general purpose in/out (GPIO) of USB-7856R receives an external trigger coming from the capacitor bank and sends a trigger signal to the NMR spectrometer at t = 0. The NMR spectrometer should react to this field trigger to transmit RF pulses at an appropriate time during the PID control (flat-top time), as we will explain in Sec. II B. Alternatively, GPIO can provide a field trigger signal to the capacitor bank together with the one for the NMR spectrometer if the capacitor bank needs an external trigger to generate a field pulse in the main magnet.

B. Versatile NMR spectrometer with SDR technology

We have developed an NMR spectrometer that can be flexibly optimized for the NMR measurement regardless of the type of electromagnets, i.e., steady or pulsed fields. Since the typical time window of the flat-top time is shorter than 100 ms, NMR measurements should be conducted at a precisely controlled timing and at a high repetition rate. We also need to implement a sophisticated measurement sequence such as a rapid frequency skip at each scan. To accomplish this, we took advantage of the versatility of the software-defined radio (SDR) technology. At the early stage of the development of the SDR-based NMR spectrometer, the frequency range was limited up to ~30 MHz.^{20,21} After the drastic increase in the signal sampling rate and the clock rate of the processor, the upper frequency limit extends to a few GHz and the SDR technology has been applied not only to NMR but also to magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) ²⁻²⁴ The main SDR board used for the present study measurements.² is USRP-2901 (NI), which covers the frequency range from 70 MHz up to 6 GHz. The broad frequency band achieved by the SDR technology is difficult to cover with an ordinary analog-type heterodyne spectrometer. Our ultimate goal is to apply this method to the pulsed magnetic fields greater than 20 T, which is not easily accessible with the steady fields generated by superconducting magnets, and thus, the frequency range required for the NMR experiment is normally higher than 100 MHz. Therefore, we use the direct RF input/output of the USRP-2901. We limit the lower frequency band to 100 MHz, as the linearity of the RF signal deteriorates at lower frequencies. To protect the SDR board from the over-voltage input, we inserted the transmission (Tx) and reflection (Rx) buffers on which an RF switch (HMC536, Analog Devices), a fixed gain amplifier (ADL5536, Analog Devices), and back-to-back diodes (ESD0P, Infineon Technologies) for voltage clamping are mounted [Fig. 2(a)]. At present, the specifications of amplifiers on the buffer boards limit the upper frequency band to ~1 GHz. We also placed a digital I/O (DIO) buffer (SN74LVC16244A, Texas Instruments) to protect the SDR board and to provide sufficient drive current to the digital output signals.

In order to enable the measurements at frequencies lower than 100 MHz, we constructed a frequency conversion interface using a local oscillator signal (LO) of 850 MHz as shown in Fig. 2(b). For an analog system, the quadrature detection is executed at a fixed frequency to maintain the orthogonality of inphase (I) and quadrature (Q) signals. In contrast to an analog system, our system uses a variable frequency for the quadrature detection, but a fixed one for LO. This is possible only with the broadband SDR technology, which reasonably maintains the I/Q orthogonality at any frequencies. With this low-frequency option, we can perform NMR measurement at 15–250 MHz, which covers most NMR experiments in steady fields.

The USRP-2901 is controlled through the USRP Hardware Drive (UHD) software (Ettus Research), which is a free and opensource software driver for USRP platform. The Tx waveform is designed in the personal computer (PC) and sent to the USRP-2901 through USB 3.0. We can easily modulate the baseband signal by programming the Tx waveform at PC, which enables the rapid frequency skip and transmission of shaped pulse, as we will discuss



FIG. 2. Block diagrams of the SDR-based NMR spectrometers. The main SDR board constructs an RF network with Tx/Rx and generates/receives digital signals at DIO. The frequency band is (a) 100 MHz–1 GHz and (b) 15–250 MHz. For the high frequency measurement, the Tx output and Rx input are directly connected to the buffer boards. To decrease the measurement frequency lower than 100 MHz, frequency mixers are installed. With this option, the Tx output is downconverted and Rx input is upconverted using the LO signal of 850 MHz.

in Sec. IV A. The timing of Tx generation is scheduled using the internal counter of USRP-2901. Since the field trigger coming from USB-7856R sets the counter to zero, timing for Tx generation is precisely synchronized to the pulse-field profile. The Rx signal is sampled at a rate of 10 MS/s with the vertical resolution of 12 bits. As the obtained data are continuously transferred to the PC, we can record the Rx signal for a long time duration over 1 s. Synchronized with the Tx and Rx time, the digital output sends the gate signals to the power amplifier (PA) and the low-noise amplifier (LNA) to reduce the background noise and to protect small-power devices from a large signal, respectively. Actual devices used in the present NMR measurements are LN-2M (Doty) or AU-114-SMA (MITEQ) as the LNA and N146-5558A (Thamway), 116UP (Kalmus), or 3304C-LP (AMT) as the PA.

III. NMR MEASUREMENT WITH FLAT-TOP PULSE FIELDS

A. Reproducibility of magnetic fields and NMR signals

In a conventional field pulse generated by a passive RLC circuit, the magnetic field strength evolves continuously. Thus, a

thermodynamic equilibrium state has never been achieved. This feature is not suitable for the measurement of thermodynamical properties, such as $1/T_1$, that requires to follow the relaxation process at certain condition. In contrast, with our flat-top pulse, the magnetic field strength is dynamically controlled and tuned to a target field for the time duration of up to 15% of the total width of the field pulses. The NMR relaxation processes can be obtained within this flat-top time. Another important advantage is the high reproducibility of the field strength during the flat-top time. Without the dynamic control, the maximum fields change with the magnet temperature even if the charge voltage is precisely controlled. To demonstrate the reproducibility of the flat-top pulse, we generated ten independent field pulses and their field profiles measured by the pickup coils are shown in Fig. 3(a). At the beginning and the end of the flat-top time around 57 ms and 61 ms, an oscillation in the field strength appears. This is caused by the non-linear relationship between the gate voltage and the collector current for the IGBT module. The gate voltage becomes too large for the stable PID control at the field region showing the oscillation. However, the PID parameters are optimized in the field region at the center of the flat-top time, where the good reproducibility and flatness of the field strength are achieved within the sensitivity of the pickup coil.

To measure the PID-controlled magnetic fields precisely, we observed the ⁶⁵Cu-NMR spectrum by using the apparatus shown in Fig. 2(a). As the NMR frequency is proportional to the external fields, we can determine the magnetic field precisely from the peak frequency. The RF pulse for the ⁶⁵Cu-NMR measurement was transmitted at t = 60.5 ms, as pointed by a downward arrow in Fig. 3(a). The carrier frequency is fixed to 157.95 MHz. The free induction decay (FID) signal after the excitation RF pulse was obtained by quadrature detection, and its I and Q components are shown in the inset of Fig. 3(a). The Fourier transform (FT) spectra of the FID waveforms at each field pulse are shown in Fig. 3(b). The peak frequencies of each spectrum were determined by the Gaussian fitting and displayed in Fig. 3(c), where the vertical axis is the deviation of the peak frequency from the value for the first pulse plotted in ppm scale. This result evidences that the field reproducibility is better than 40 ppm. We note here that the standard deviation of the integrated NMR intensity was calculated to be 6.7%, which is sufficiently small for a single-scan measurement. This result is important to measure the relaxation time, as we will discuss in Sec. III B.

B. Nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rate measurement

To measure the relaxation profile of the nuclear magnetization after the saturation pulse, NMR signal intensity is recorded at each delay between the saturation and spin-echo pulses. The inset of Fig. 4 shows the RF pulse sequence for one scan. Since the spinecho pulses disturb the free relaxation of the nuclear magnetization, only one point in the relaxation profile can be measured by a single scan. For the pulse-field NMR measurement, we need to measure the NMR intensity for each delay at independent field pulses. Therefore, the reproducibilities of magnetic field and NMR intensity are crucially important. As we confirmed the reproducibility of NMR signal intensity for independent field pulses, we can now measure the relaxation profile by repeating the NMR measurements with different delays.



FIG. 3. Reproducibility test of ten independent field pulses. (a) Field profiles of all field pulses around the flat-top time. The duration of the flat-top time changes with the variation of peak fields generated by the main magnet. Even with this variation, the magnetic fields are locked to the target value during the flat-top time. The downward arrow represents a time when the ⁶⁵Cu-NMR measurement was performed. The inset shows the ⁶⁵Cu-FID waveform after the RF pulse irradiation at 60.5 ms. Two lines represent quadrature-detected I (black) and Q (red) components. (b) ⁶⁵Cu-NMR spectra obtained at each field pulse and at a fixed carrier frequency. The magnetic fields are measured precisely from the peak positions of these FT spectra. (c) The peak position of ⁶⁵Cu-NMR spectra to the first field pulse. The distribution of magnetic fields with respect to the first field pulse (square) is less than 40 ppm.



FIG. 4. Relaxation profile of the nuclear magnetization measured for an antiferromagnet CrB₂ at T = 100 K. The ¹¹B-NMR intensity at each delay after the saturation pulse is recorded in pulsed fields (filled symbol) and steady fields (open symbols). The inset shows the RF pulse sequence for the relaxation rate measurement. The blue dashed line shows the result of least square fitting by the stretched exponential function.

To demonstrate the $1/T_1$ measurement, we measured the relaxation profile of a typical antiferromagnet CrB₂ at 100 K. This compound shows an antiferromagnetic phase transition at $T_N =$ 88 K,^{25,26} and thus, T_1 is reasonably short near the transition temperature.²⁷ We measured the ¹¹B-NMR signal at a target field of 13.0 T, which corresponds to the NMR frequency of ~178 MHz. In Fig. 4, the results collected in the pulsed fields are shown by the filled symbols. We repeated the NMR scans twice for each delay to improve the data accuracy. The longest delay was 4.0 ms, which is limited by the width of the field pulse for the present experiment. In order to compare the results obtained in pulsed and steady fields, we also plotted the relaxation profile measured in a steady field of 13.0 T by the empty symbols. We confirm that the results of pulsefield NMR measurement perfectly follow those in the steady fields up to the maximal delay possible for the present flat-top time.

To understand the relaxation profile M(t), we fitted the result with a stretched exponential function

$$M(t) = M_0 \left[1 - A \exp\left(-\left(\frac{t}{T_1}\right)^{\beta}\right) \right].$$
(1)

Here, M_0 and A are the nuclear magnetization in the thermal equilibrium and the saturation coefficient, respectively. We introduce a stretched exponent β to better fit the experimental results. From the fit to the results of a steady field, we obtained $T_1 = 8.9$ ms and $\beta = 0.7$, and the resulting relaxation curve is plotted by the blue dashed line.

Although this experiment demonstrates the validity of the $1/T_1$ measurement in the pulsed magnetic fields and opens a possibility to measure $1/T_1$ at extremely high fields, the maximum delay is still too short to fit the overall relaxation profile. As a next step, we should perform the NMR measurement in a flat-top pulse with a long-duration pulse magnet that has a pulse width exceeding 1 s.^{28,29} We note that the ability to measure $1/T_1$ is easily expanded to the nuclear spin–spin relaxation rate $1/T_2$ measurement, which requires a much less duration time, of typically a few milliseconds.

IV. NMR SPECTRUM MEASUREMENT FOR BROAD SPECTRUM

When the NMR spectrum is narrower than the frequency window for a single RF pulse, which is typically a few hundreds of kHz, the entire NMR spectrum can be measured at a fixed field and frequency as in the case of 65 Cu-NMR spectra in Fig. 3(b). For broader NMR spectra, however, the NMR signal intensity should be recorded during either frequency sweep at a fixed field or field sweep at a fixed frequency. In a steady field, we choose one of these measurement modes depending on the overall spectrum width and physical properties at the measurement fields. In the previous pulse-field NMR studies, only the field-sweep experiments were performed.^{14,16-18} Here, we adopt both modes using the dynamically controlled field pulse.

A. Frequency-sweep mode with flat-top pulse

We perform the frequency-sweep experiment during the flattop time period in almost the same way as in the steady field. The only and the most different point is that we need to sweep the measurement frequency in a short time, namely, less than 1 ms. If we irradiate several RF pulses at the same frequency in one field pulse, the signal intensity would gradually diminish because of the saturation of the nuclear magnetization. To avoid this, we should irradiate only one RF pulse sequence for each frequency by shifting the Tx frequency rapidly during the flat-top time. Although high speed frequency skip is rather difficult to execute with the ordinary analog heterodyne structure, the versatility of the SDR board enables rapid and precise frequency shifting by its digital baseband modulation feature, with which the modulation of carrier frequency by the baseband signal [Fig. 5(a)] is performed at a digital signal processing circuitry. Then, the Tx frequency shift of Δf is achieved by multiplying a phase factor of $\phi(t) = \exp(2\pi i \Delta f t)$ to the carrier frequency.

Moreover, as rectangular RF pulses will irradiate the RF power in a broad frequency range, which is characterized by the sinc function with several side lobes, we employed a shaped RF pulse to further avoid the irradiation to the unwanted frequency. The basebandmodulation waveform of the shaped RF pulse is a convolution of the sinc function and the hamming window (ham-flat),

$$w(t) = \left[0.54 - 0.46 \cos\left(\frac{\pi f_{\rm bw}}{2}t\right)\right] \frac{\sin(1.5\pi(f_{\rm bw}t - 2))}{1.5\pi(f_{\rm bw}t - 2)}.$$
 (2)

Here, f_{bw} is a Tx frequency bandwidth. The waveform of the hamflat pulse and its FT power spectrum are displayed in Figs. 5(b) and 5(c). The FT spectrum shows that the RF power within the frequency window of f_{bw} is almost constant, which is characterized by the RF



FIG. 5. (a) Schematic diagram for the baseband modulation. The continuous carrier frequency is mixed with the baseband modulation waveform to construct a shaped pulse. The baseband waveform of the ham-flat RF pulse (b) and its FT power spectrum (c). The bandwidth $f_{\rm tw}$ is set to 100 kHz.

power at $\pm 0.5 f_{bw}$ being -0.7 dB. The RF power decays rapidly at lower or higher frequencies and becomes smaller than -22 dB at $\pm f_{\text{bw}}$. An example of actual RF pulse sequence is shown in Fig. 6(a), where spin-echo $(\pi/2 - \tau - \pi)$ pulse sequences with $f_{\rm bw} = 100$ kHz are transmitted with an interval of 0.3 ms. We control the amplitude of $\pi/2$ and π pulses rather than their widths to irradiate the RF power for the same frequency window. By irradiating these shaped RF pulses at $2f_{bw}$ step, the RF power is irradiated only once for each frequency without any overlap, as shown in Fig. 6(b). Then, the interval between spin-echo sequences is selected to transmit a sufficient number of RF pulses to cover the full frequency range within the flat-top time. The minimum interval is determined by the pulse separation time τ and is typically 0.1 ms. The steps between two frequencies are filled by repeating the field-pulse generation with the initial frequency shifted by $f_{\rm bw}$. The flat-top pulse field is crucially important for the frequency-sweep mode because the field should be fixed during the frequency sweep and also the field strength has to be reproducible to fill the frequency steps. By repeating field-pulse generation, we can improve the signal to noise ratio (SNR).

This frequency-sweep mode with rapid frequency skip can be used in the steady-field experiment to accelerate the repetition rate. When we use a single Tx frequency with a conventional NMR spectrometer, the repetition rate of the Tx irradiation is limited by the time scale of material-specific $1/T_1$, which is much longer than the millisecond order. By using multiple Tx frequencies, for example, five frequencies as shown in Fig. 6(b), we can perform the NMR experiment at five different frequencies in parallel because these Tx frequencies do not interfere with each other. As a result, the repetition rate of Tx irradiation will become five times faster.

As an example of the frequency-sweep spectrum measurement, we show the $^{11}\text{B-NMR}$ spectra for CrB_2 obtained in steady and



FIG. 6. (a) The Tx waveform for the frequency-sweep mode during the flat-top time period. NMR measurements were performed at every 0.3 ms. For each Tx frequency, two RF pulses with different amplitude were transmitted to construct a spin-echo $(\pi/2 - \tau - \pi)$ pulse sequence. (b) FT power spectra of RF pulses for each Tx frequency. The wide-range frequency sweep is realized within a short time, while the overlap of the Tx power spectrum is minimized to avoid the saturation of nuclear magnetization.

pulsed fields in Figs. 7(a) and 7(b). The target magnetic field is 13.0 T. A spectrum broadening was observed below T_N = 88 K because of the appearance of internal fields generated by the ordered moments. Since the present frequency window covered by one field pulse is limited by ~1 MHz by the quality factor of the RF tank circuit, we need to repeat the field-pulse generation for many times to observe the full spectral shape. Here, to cover a broader frequency range, the resonant frequency of the RF tank circuit was shifted for each field-pulse generation. The sharp spectrum above T_N and the broadened spectrum below T_N were both consistently observed in the pulse-field NMR measurements [Fig. 7(b)]. As the spectral width is broadened and the signal intensity is significantly reduced below T_N , we improved the SNR by averaging the NMR signals obtained in 40 field pulses with three RF pulses at each flat-top time. Nevertheless, the SNR of the NMR spectra obtained in the pulsed fields cannot be compared to those in steady fields, especially for the broad spectra. This is because we averaged over more than 1000 scans for the NMR spectra measured in steady fields. In the case of a broad NMR spectrum, a field-sweep mode with long-duration pulse fields is more appropriate, as we explain in Sec. IV B.

B. Field-sweep mode with slope-top pulse

As an alternative measurement mode for broad NMR spectra, we sweep the magnetic fields during the transmission of RF pulses at a fixed frequency. This field-sweep mode is frequently used for



FIG. 7. The frequency-sweep NMR spectra for CrB_2 above and below T_N . The NMR spectra were obtained in a steady field (a) and pulsed field (b). The external magnetic field is 13.0 T for both cases. A sharp peak in the paramagnetic state and broadening in the ordered state were consistently observed in the pulsed field.

a very broad spectrum as the sweeping range is not limited by the quality factor of the RF tank circuit. The field-sweep experiment has already been realized with the field pulse without the PID feedback control.^{14,16–18} However, the relative NMR intensity is modified by continuously changing the sweep rate with the half-sinusoidal fieldpulse profile, $B(t) = B_{\text{max}} \sin(\pi t/T)$ (T: pulse width). The sweep rate is then written as $dB/dt = (\pi B_{\text{max}}/T) \cos(\pi t/T)$. When the RF pulses are transmitted at a constant repetition rate, data density at a given field step is proportional to $(dB/dT)^{-1}$ and it becomes larger at higher fields. The distribution in data density is not preferable to construct a broad NMR spectrum by the recombination of several transient FT spectra. The NMR intensity obtained by one transient FT spectrum is limited by the frequency window of the excitation RF pulses and is reduced at frequencies exceeding the window. The reduction factor is averaged over the fields by collecting a sufficiently large number of transient FT spectra as in the case of steady fields or by recording the transient FT spectra at a constant data density using a constant sweep rate. We sweep the magnetic fields at a constant rate by changing the target fields during the PID control (slope-top pulse). Figure 8 shows the resulting magnetic field profiles at two sweep rates: 2 and 10 mT/ms. The field profiles shown by the blue solid lines were measured by the pickup coil. To confirm the field strength at each moment, we performed the ⁶⁵Cu-NMR measurement at every 0.3 ms starting from 57.5 ms. We used a small RF power to avoid saturation of nuclear magnetization even at a very fast repetition rate. With this method, suppression of NMR intensity was observed only for the last few NMR spectra around 61 ms, although T_1 of ⁶⁵Cu at 77 K is longer than 10 ms. The peak positions of the ⁶⁵Cu-NMR spectra follow the blue lines for both sweep rates, evidencing that the field strength is nicely controlled to decrease at



FIG. 8. The field profile of the slope-top pulses (blue line). The constant sweep rate is (a) 2 mT/ms and (b) 10 mT/ms. The red peaks are the FT NMR spectra of 65 Cu FID signals measured at the corresponding time. Since the NMR measurement is one of the most precise magnetometers, its ability to sweep magnetic fields at a constant and arbitrary rate is clearly demonstrated.

a constant rate. Since the external fields change at a constant rate, NMR spectrum intensity is correctly measured by transmitting the RF pulse at a fixed repetition rate.

The main magnet we used for this experiment generates a field pulse with the total width of 30 ms. With this magnet, a magnetic field of 13.0 T is PID controlled for 3.5 ms (57.5-61 ms). Therefore, when we use the sweep rate of 10 mT/ms, we can obtain the NMR spectrum in the field range of 35 mT by one fieldpulse generation, which corresponds to 400 kHz for ⁶⁵Cu nuclear spins and is narrower than the frequency window of the frequencysweep mode. To perform the field-sweep experiment over a broader field range, we connect the data obtained in the other slope-top field pulse starting from the last field of the previous field pulse. However, as the optimization of the field-generation parameters is imposed each time by shifting the field window, the present fieldsweep range is not sufficient for the measurement of broad NMR spectra. The use of long-duration field pulses with the pulse width longer than 1 s permits to generate the slope-top field with the duration of ~100 ms. Since the flat-top pulse of more than 43 T has already been generated with the long-duration field pulse,³⁰ the slope-top pulse can also be implemented in the near future and will allow us to sweep magnetic fields for 1 T by a single field-pulse generation.

C. Consideration for the choice of modes

As a result of the development of the flat-top and slope-top pulses, we can choose the measurement modes of NMR spectra

Rev. Sci. Instrum. **92**, 114709 (2021); doi: 10.1063/5.0067821 Published under an exclusive license by AIP Publishing

depending on the target materials. Here, we discuss the advantages and disadvantages of these modes that should be considered to choose the best measurement mode. When the overall spectral width is broader than a few MHz, the field-sweep mode with the longduration field pulse is the first choice. A disadvantage of this setup is a long waiting time for the long-duration pulse magnet to come back to the liquid nitrogen temperature, which typically takes a few hours after the field-pulse generation. In this respect, the frequency-sweep mode in a smaller pulse magnet with the pulse width of ~30 ms is a better choice when the NMR spectral width is narrower than 2 MHz. Within the spectral width, we can cover the full frequency range by a few field pulses and increase the SNR by quickly repeating the field-pulse generation. The typical cooling time of the small pulse magnets is ~30 min even after the largest field pulse generated by the maximum energy of 30 kJ in Hokkaido University and ~1 MJ in ISSP. The cooling time decreases to a few minutes by reducing the field strength, that is, by using a small energy. The frequencysweep mode with a long-duration pulse field is not recommended as the frequency range measurable during one field pulse is limited by the quality factor of the RF tank circuit and repeating the same frequency during one field pulse will reduce the NMR intensity by the saturation of nuclear magnetization. For the frequency sweep of 1 MHz, ten RF pulses sufficiently cover the full frequency range, which can be transmitted within 3 ms by a repetition time of 0.3 ms. The long-duration pulse field should be used for the sample whose nuclear magnetization cannot be polarized during the field-pulse duration of 30 ms. In this case, frequency-sweep measurement should be performed at the very end of the flat-top time to give ample time for the polarization of nuclear spins. Another case to select the frequency-sweep mode is to measure the sample that shows a field-induced phase transition. The field sweep across the critical magnetic field results in a drastic change in the spectral shape at the middle of the NMR spectrum, and thus, the entire spectral shape cannot be measured. The frequency-sweep mode that is slightly above and below the critical magnetic field will clearly reveal the microscopic magnetism in the field-induced electronic state.

V. SUMMARY

We demonstrated various operating modes of our SDR-based NMR spectrometer using the flat-top field pulse. We confirmed the reproducibility of field strength for independent field pulses, which is crucial to improve the SNR, to measure the relaxation profile of nuclear magnetization, and to measure the frequency-sweep NMR spectrum. The $1/T_1$ and frequency-sweep NMR spectrum measurements, which were difficult with a field pulse without the dynamic control, were successfully performed in a metallic antiferromagnet CrB₂. We also developed the slope-top field pulse to enable the NMR spectrum measurement with the field-sweep mode. These results open possibilities to measure microscopic magnetism in extremely high magnetic fields. However, since the present study was performed in the field pulse with ~30 ms time duration, flat-top time was not sufficiently long for some materials. To further expand the applicability of NMR experiments in high fields, these NMR technologies should be transferred and adapted to the pulse magnet with a longer pulse duration.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Dr. Y. Sato (National Instruments) for his technical assistance and Dr. R. Kumar for useful discussion. T.K. was supported by the Quantum Science and Technology Fellowship Program (Q-STEP). K.M. is a research fellow of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS). This work was partially supported by the JSPS Grant-in Aid for Scientific Research (Grant Nos. 18H01163, 19H01832, 19K14648, and 20K20892), the Futaba Foundation, and the ISSP Institutional Collaborative Research Program.

AUTHOR DECLARATIONS

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no conflict to disclose.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The experimental data, circuit design, and source codes that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

REFERENCES

¹M. Yamashita, M. Tashiro, K. Saiki, S. Yamada, M. Akazawa, M. Shimozawa, T. Taniguchi, H. Takeda, M. Takigawa, and H. Shishido, *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 165154 (2020).

² K. Kitagawa, H. Gotou, T. Yagi, A. Yamada, T. Matsumoto, Y. Uwatoko, and M. Takigawa, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **79**, 024001 (2010).

³H. Nagai, A. Sato, T. Kiyoshi, F. Matsumoto, H. Wada, S. Ito, T. Miki, M. Yoshikawa, Y. Kawate, and S. Fukui, Cryogenics **41**, 623 (2001).

⁴K. Hashi, S. Ohki, S. Matsumoto, G. Nishijima, A. Goto, K. Deguchi, K. Yamada, T. Noguchi, S. Sakai, M. Takahashi, Y. Yanagisawa, S. Iguchi, T. Yamazaki, H. Maeda, R. Tanaka, T. Nemoto, H. Suematsu, T. Miki, K. Saito, and T. Shimizu, J. Magn. Reson. 256, 30 (2015).

⁵J. R. Miller, IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond. 13, 1385 (2003).

⁶P. Pugnat, R. Barbier, C. Berriaud, R. Berthier, T. Boujet, F. Debray, P. Fazilleau, P. Graffin, P. Hanoux, B. Hervieu, F. Molinié, H. Neyrial, M. Pelloux, C. Peroni, R. Pfister, Y. Queinec, L. Ronayette, and B. Vincent, IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond. 28, 4300907 (2017).

⁷S. Hahn, K. Kim, K. Kim, X. Hu, T. Painter, I. Dixon, S. Kim, K. R. Bhattarai, S. Noguchi, J. Jaroszynski, and D. C. Larbalestier, Nature 570, 496 (2019).

⁸S. Awaji, K. Watanabe, H. Oguro, S. Hanai, H. Miyazaki, M. Takahashi, S. Ioka, M. Sugimoto, H. Tsubouchi, S. Fujita, M. Daibo, Y. Iijima, and H. Kumakura, IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond. 24, 4302005 (2013).

⁹P. C. Michael, D. Park, Y. H. Choi, J. Lee, Y. Li, J. Bascuñán, S. Noguchi, S. Hahn, and Y. Iwasa, IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond. **29**, 4300706 (2019).

¹⁰ M. Jaime, R. Daou, S. A. Crooker, F. Weickert, A. Uchida, A. E. Feiguin, C. D. Batista, H. A. Dabkowska, and B. D. Gaulin, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **109**, 12404 (2012).

¹¹J. Haase, D. Eckert, H. Siegel, H. Eschrig, K. H. Müller, and F. Steglich, Solid State Nucl. Magn. Reson. **23**, 263 (2003).

¹²J. Haase, Appl. Magn. Reson. 27, 297 (2004).

¹³ M. B. Kozlov, J. Haase, C. Baumann, and A. G. Webb, Solid State Nucl. Magn. Reson. 28, 64 (2005).

¹⁴G.-q. Zheng, K. Katayama, M. Nishiyama, S. Kawasaki, N. Nishihagi, S. Kimura, M. Hagiwara, and K. Kindo, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 78, 095001 (2009).

¹⁵B. Meier, J. Kohlrautz, J. Haase, M. Braun, F. Wolff-Fabris, E. Kampert, T. Herrmannsdörfer, and J. Wosnitza, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 83, 083113 (2012).

¹⁶H. Stork, P. Bontemps, and G. L. J. A. Rikken, J. Magn. Reson. 234, 30 (2013).

¹⁷A. Orlova, E. L. Green, J. M. Law, D. I. Gorbunov, G. Chanda, S. Krämer, M. Horvatić, R. K. Kremer, J. Wosnitza, and G. L. J. A. Rikken, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 247201 (2017).

¹⁸Y. Tokunaga, A. Orlova, N. Bruyant, D. Aoki, H. Mayaffre, S. Kraämer, M.-H. Julien, C. Berthier, M. Horvatić, N. Higa, T. Hattori, H. Sakai, S. Kambe, and I. Sheikin, Phys. Rev. B **99**, 085142 (2019).

¹⁹Y. Kohama and K. Kindo, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 86, 104701 (2015).

²⁰W. Tang and W. Wang, <u>Meas. Sci. Technol.</u> **22**, 015902 (2011).

²¹ A. Asfour, K. Raoof, and J.-P. Yonnet, Sensors 13, 16245 (2013).

²²C. J. Hasselwander, Z. Cao, and W. A. Crissom, J. Magn. Reson. 270, 47 (2016).

²³C. A. Michal, Concepts Magn. Reson., Part B 48B, e21401 (2018).

²⁴A. Doll, <u>AIP Adv. 9</u>, 115110 (2019).

²⁵ R. G. Barnes and R. B. Creel, Phys. Lett. A 29, 203 (1969).

²⁶J. Castaing, R. Caudron, G. Toupance, and P. Costa, Solid State Commun. 7, 1453–1456 (1969).

²⁷Y. Kitaoka and H. Yasuoka, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **49**, 493 (1980).

²⁸F. Herlach, Rep. Prog. Phys. **62**, 859 (1999).

²⁹K. Matsui, T. Kanda, Y. Ihara, K. Kindo, and Y. Kohama, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 92, 024711 (2021).

³⁰S. Imajo, C. Dong, A. Matsuo, K. Kindo, and Y. Kohama, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 92, 043901 (2021).