

HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

Title	Effects of grazing adaptation on herbage intake, milk production, and body weight change in lactating dairy cows after turning out to pasture in early spring
Author(s)	Mitani, Tomohiro; Nakajima, Sawako; Oh, Seongjin; Kawai, Masahito; Ueda, Koichiro
Citation	Animal science journal, 92(1), e13639 https://doi.org/10.1111/asj.13639
Issue Date	2021
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/87356
Rights	This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Mitani, T., Nakajima, S., Oh, S., Kawai, M., & Ueda, K. (2021). Effects of grazing adaptation on herbage intake, milk production, and body weight change in lactating dairy cows after turning out to pasture in early spring. Animal Science Journal, 92(1), e13639, which has been published in final form at https://doi.org/10.1111/asj.13639. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions.
Туре	article (author version)
File Information	ASJ_92_e13639.pdf



1	Title:
2	Effects of grazing adaptation on herbage intake, milk production, and body weight
3	change in lactating dairy cows after turning out to pasture in early spring
4	
5	Authors:
6	Tomohiro Mitani ¹ , Sawako Nakajima ² , Seongjin Oh ² , Masahito Kawai ¹ , Koichiro
7	Ueda ²
8	
9	Institutions:
10	¹ Field Science Center for Northern Biosphere, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-
11	0811, Japan
12	² Research Faculty of Agriculture, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, 060-8589, Japan
13	
14	Corresponding Author:
15	Tomohiro MITANI
16	Postal address: Field Science Center for Northern Biosphere, Hokkaido University,
17	Kita 11, Nishi 10, Kita-ku, Sapporo 060-0811, Japan
18	E-mail: tmitani@fsc.hokudai.ac.jp
19	

1 ABSTRACT

2 The present study investigated the effects of adaptation to grazing in early spring on 3 the herbage intake, milk production, and body weight changes in lactating dairy cows. The experiment included 12 Holstein lactating cows during early spring. Six cows 4 were allowed to gradually adapt to grazing (ADP) over 10 days. They were allowed 5 6 to graze on a pasture for 4 h during the first week and for 19 h during the remaining 7 3 days (adaptation period). During the 10-day period, the remaining six cows were 8 housed in a barn (CON). Cows in both groups received adequate silage, hay, and 9 concentrate during the adaptation period. Subsequently, cows in both groups were 10 allowed to graze together for 19 h for 4 weeks (experimental period). No cow 11 received supplements during the experimental period. In the first week of the 12 experimental period, the ADP cows showed a higher herbage intake than the CON 13 cows. During the subsequent weeks, there were no differences in herbage intake 14 between the two groups. At the start of the experimental period, milk production and body weight of the ADP cows were higher than those of the CON cows, and this 15 16 difference lasted until the end of the experiment.

17

18 Key words:

Body weight change, Early spring, Grazing adaptation, Herbage intake, Milkproduction

1 **1 INTRODUCTION**

Feeding management incorporating grazing for dairy cows is commonly used worldwide. In temperate regions, particularly areas experiencing snowfall, grazing cows are exposed to dramatic changes in feeding management between indoor feeding and grazing during early spring. When cows were suddenly switched to grazing from indoor feeding, dry matter intake (DMI), body weight (BW), and milk production decreased temporarily (Charmley, Jannasch & Boyd, 2003; Khanal, Dhiman & Boman, 2008; Mitani et al., 2020).

In a previous study on non-lactating dairy cows (Mitani et al., 2020), shortterm grazing adaptation before turning out to 1-day grazing was effective in stabilizing herbage intake, ruminal fermentation parameters, and blood metabolites compared with turning out to 1-day grazing without grazing adaptation, which drastically decreased herbage intake and BW in the first week. Thus, to reduce production loss, adaptation from indoor feeding to grazing in early spring may be desirable for cows for avoiding decrease in herbage DMI.

16 However, the effectiveness of grazing adaptation is inconsistent with 17 production responses. In a series of studies in Germany, even though cows were 18 gradually adapted to grazing before turning out to 1-day grazing, their milk yield did 19 or did not recover after 3 weeks to the level similar to that of cows fed total mixed 20 ration (TMR) (Schären et al., 2016a; Hartwiger et al., 2018a). Once milk production 21 and BW decreased, several weeks were required to recover their levels (Jørgensen et 22 al., 2016). Therefore, herbage DMI immediately after turning out to 1-day grazing 23 may mediate grazing adaptability. To the best of our knowledge, no study has 24 reported the changes in herbage DMI, milk production, and BW in lactating cows in 25 response to grazing adaptation.

1 Therefore, in the present study, we investigated the effects of gradual 2 grazing adaptation prior to turning out from indoor feeding to grazing during early 3 spring on the herbage DMI, milk production, and BW of lactating dairy cows.

4

5 2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

6 2.1 Animals and experimental design

The methods adopted for the feeding management of cows in this study were
approved by the Animal Care and Welfare Committee of Hokkaido University (No.
15-0124).

10 The study was conducted between April 24 and May 31, 2019, at the 11 Experimental Farm of the Field Science Center for Northern Biosphere, Hokkaido 12 University (Sapporo, Japan). Twelve Holstein lactating cows with grazing experience 13 were included in the experiment. At the start of the experiment, the average (\pm 14 standard deviation) daily milk production, BW, days in milk, and parity were $29.8 \pm$ 5.8 kg, 635 ± 46 kg, 161 ± 114 days, and 2.8 ± 1.2 , respectively. The cows were 15 16 divided into two groups, which did not differ in terms of average daily milk 17 production, days in milk, and parity.

18 The cows were housed in a barn prior to the experiment and received 10 kg 19 fresh matter (FM)/day of commercial formulated concentrate, 4 kg FM/day of hay, 20 and 20 kg FM/day of mixed silage (corn silage + hay, 91:9 on FM basis). The cows 21 in the period were fed enough feed to meet the energy requirement for 30 kg/day of 22 milk production according to Japanese Feeding Standard (National Agriculture and 23 Food Research Organization, 2017). Cows in one group (ADP) were subjected to two 24 phases of grazing adaptation (adaptation period): (1) from April 24 to 30, the cows 25 were grazed for 4 h (10:00 to 14:00 h) and supplied 8–10 kg FM/day of commercial

formulated concentrate, 3 kg FM/day of hay, and 20 kg FM/day of mixed silage and
(2) from May 1 to 3, the cows were grazed for 19 h (10:00 to 14:00 and 16:30 to 7:30
h) and supplied 5 kg FM/day of commercial formulated concentrate and 10 kg
FM/day of mixed silage. During this period, the remaining cows (CON) were housed
in the barn and supplied the same amount and composition of feeds prior to the
experiment.

Subsequently, cows in both groups were turned out to 1-day grazing together on a pasture from May 4 to 31 (experimental period). No cow received supplementary forage or concentrate during this period. The pasture (6.28 ha) was divided into four paddocks with electric polylines for rotational grazing management with 2- or 4-day intervals on each paddock. The pasture primarily constituted perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne L.) and white clover (Trifolium repens L.). All cows were allowed ad libitum access to water on the pasture and mineral blocks in the barn.

14

15 **2.2 Pasture and grazing parameters**

16 Compressed herbage height was measured at 200 points in each paddock using a 17 rising plate meter immediately before each grazing rotation. Simultaneously, herbage 18 mass and compressed herbage height were measured at four points in paddock in a 19 quadrat measuring 0.5×0.5 m, and then a calibration formula was determined. Using 20 the calibration formula, herbage mass was estimated from the compressed herbage 21 height. The grass surface height was also measured at four points in paddock in a 22 quadrat. During the experimental period, herbage mass and grass sward height 23 gradually increased and were sufficient for grazing (mean \pm SD: 2.13 \pm 0.14 24 t·DM·ha-1 and 15.0 ± 2.7 cm, respectively).

25

From each grazed paddock, herbage samples were collected every day using

1 the hand-plucking method, mimicking the grazing behavior, at 08:00 and 16:00 h. 2 Herbage samples from each paddock were pooled and stored at -20° C. Samples of 3 commercial concentrate, hay, and mixed silage supplied during the adaptation period 4 were also collected every day, pooled for each week, and stored at -20° C. The 5 samples were lyophilized and passed through a 1-mm screen. The feed samples were 6 analyzed for DM, organic matter (OM), and crude protein (CP) content using the 7 methods recommended by the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC, 8 1990). Ash-free neutral detergent fiber (NDF) was measured according to the method 9 described by Van Soest et al. (1991). Water-soluble carbohydrate (WSC) content was 10 determined using the anthrone method (Yemm & Willis, 1954).

11 Herbage DM intake during the adaptation and experimental periods was 12 measured using the double indicator method, with lanthanum (La) as the external 13 marker by soaking sugar beet pulp in LaCl₃-7H₂O solution. The cows received 50 g 14 of the La maker with 100 g of concentrate and 50 g of a vitamin-mineral mix at 08:00 15 and 15:00 h in the barn. The feces in the rectum were collected at 08:00 and 15:00 h 16 every day and pooled for days 1–3 and 4–7 of the adaptation period and days 1–3, 4– 17 7, 8–14, 15–21, and 22–28 days of the experimental period. The concentration of La 18 and C33 alkanes in the herbage, supplied feed, and fecal samples was determined as 19 described previously (Mitani et al., 2020). Herbage DM intake was estimated using 20 a previously described method (Mitani et al., 2020). Total tract apparent OM 21 digestibility was calculated based on the total OM intake and fecal OM.

22

23 **2.3 Milk production, BW, ruminal fermentation, and blood metabolites**

All cows were milked at 09:00 and 15:30 h daily throughout the experiment. Milk production was recorded at each milking during the experiment (MMD500, Orion

Kikai Co. Ltd., Nagano, Japan). A milk sample was also collected at each milking and pooled from consecutive milkings during the experiment. An antiseptic (2bromo-2-nitro-1,3-propanediol) was added to the pooled milk samples from each cow, and the samples were sent to the Laboratory of Hokkaido Dairy Milk Recording and Testing Association. Milk fat, protein, lactose, and solid-not-fat concentration was measured using Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (MilkoScan FT+; Foss Electric, Hillerød, Denmark).

8 The BW of each cow was measured at 14:00 h on days 4 and 7 during the 9 adaptation period and on days 2, 4, 7, 14, 21, and 28 during the experimental period 10 using an electronic scale (Orion kikai Co. Ltd., Nagano, Japan). Following BW 11 measurements, rumen fluid and blood samples were collected on the same days 12 during the adaptation and experimental period. The rumen fluid was collected using 13 stomach tubes, and blood samples were collected from the jugular vein using vacuum 14 blood tubes containing heparin.

The ruminal fluid samples were centrifuged (at 3,000 rpm and 4°C for 20 min), and the supernatant was stored at -80°C until further analysis. Volatile fatty acid (VFA) concentration was determined using gas chromatography (GC-2010; Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) according to the method described by Ueda et al. (2016).

The blood samples were centrifuged (at 3,000 rpm and 4°C for 20 min), and the plasma was stored at -80°C until further analysis. Plasma urea concentration (PUN) was determined using the urease–indophenol method (Wetherburn, 1967).
Plasma non-esterified fatty acid (NEFA) and blood glucose concentration was determined using commercial kits (NEFA C-TEST Wako and Glucose CII-TEST Wako, respectively; Wako Pure Chemicals, Osaka, Japan).

1 **2.4 Statistical analysis**

2 Statistical analysis was performed using JMP Pro 14.3 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, 3 USA). The data of the experimental period (days after turning out to 1-day grazing) 4 were analyzed with a mixed model for repeated measures using the Fit Model 5 platform in JMP. The model included treatment (CON or ADP), days of sampling, 6 and the interaction between these two parameters as the fixed effects; cows as the 7 random effect; and days of sampling as the repeated effect, adjusting the first-order 8 autoregressive structure as the correlation structure. Results of milk production and 9 BW data were also corrected by covariance data which were collected before starting 10 the experiment. If the probability value was less than 0.05, the results were 11 considered significant. When the probability value was less than 0.10, the results 12 were considered as showing a tendency. When the interaction effect was significant 13 (P < 0.05), the difference between treatments for each day was tested. The results 14 were presented as the least square means and standard error of the mean.

15

16 **3 RESULTS**

17 **3.1 Feed chemical compositions and feed intake**

18 The chemical composition of the feed supplied during the adaptation period and of 19 herbage during the adaptation and experimental period is shown in Table 1. The CP 20 content of herbage during the adaptation period was higher than that during the 21 experimental period, although the value remained at 17% DM throughout the 22 experiment. The NDF content of herbage increased from 24.9% during the adaptation 23 period to 34.8% DM at the end of the experiment. The WSC content of herbage was 24 the highest (26.2% DM) at the start of the experiment, but it gradually decreased to 25 16.3% DM at the end of the experiment. Corn silage and hay had a lower CP content

and higher NDF content than herbage. The chemical compositions of the corn silage
 and hay were within the standard ranges (National Agriculture and Food Research
 Organization, 2009).

4 Changes in herbage DMI and total DMI are shown in Figure 1. During the 5 adaptation period, the herbage DMI of the ADP cows gradually increased, but there 6 was no difference in total DMI between the ADP and CON cows. During the 7 experimental period, the change in herbage DMI was significantly different between 8 the ADP and CON cows (P < 0.01). The ADP cows consumed more than 15 kg 9 DM/day of herbage throughout the experimental period. The herbage DMI of the 10 CON cows was half that of the ADP cows at the start of the experimental period, but 11 it gradually increased until the end of the experimental period. The CON cows 12 required a week to reach the herbage DMI similar to that of the ADP cows.

[Figure 1]

13 The changes in total OM intake, total tract apparent OM digestibility, and 14 digestible OM intake are shown in Table 2. During the adaptation period, the OM 15 digestibility of the ADP cows tended to be lower than that of the CON cows (P =16 0.09). At the start of the experimental period (week 1-E), OM digestibility during the 17 adaptation period was significantly decreased in the CON cows but increased in the 18 ADP cows. After week 2 of the experimental period, the OM digestibility of both 19 ADP and CON cows was similar and remained stable until the end of the experiment. 20 The digestible OM intake of the ADP cows was higher than that of the CON cows at 21 week 1 and week 3 of the experimental period.

[Table 2]

- 22
- 23 **3.2 Ruminal fermentation and blood metabolites**
- 24 The changes in ruminal total VFA concentration and molar proportions of each VFA
- are shown in Table 3. The changes in ruminal total VFA concentration tended to differ

[Table 3]

between the ADP and CON cows (P = 0.08). The total VFA concentration of the ADP cows tended to be lower than that of the CON cows on days 2, 4, and 7 of the experimental period, but there was no difference after week 2 of the experimental period.

5 There was no difference in the proportions of acetate, propionate, and butyrate between the ADP and CON cows. The changes in acetate proportion differed 6 7 between the two groups (P = 0.02), and the changes in propionate proportion tended 8 to differ (P = 0.07). At the start of the experimental period, the acetate proportions 9 during the adaptation period decreased but the propionate and butyrate proportions 10 during the adaptation period increased in the CON cows. However, the proportions 11 of different VFAs during the adaptation period remained unchanged in the ADP cows 12 at the start of the experimental period. In both groups, the acetate proportions 13 gradually increased but the propionate and butyrate proportions gradually decreased 14 throughout the experimental period.

15 The changes in PUN, blood glucose, and plasma NEFA concentration are 16 shown in Table 4. During the experimental period, the changes in PUN concentration 17 significantly differed between the groups (P < 0.01). In days 2 and 4 of the 18 experimental period, the PUN concentration of the ADP cows was lower than that of 19 the CON cows; however, there was no difference in PUN concentration between the 20 two groups after days 7 until the end of the experiment. Likewise, the changes in 21 blood glucose concentration differed between the two groups (P < 0.02). The blood 22 glucose concentration of the ADP cows was higher than that of the CON cows at days 23 2 and 4 of the experimental period, but there was no difference in blood glucose 24 concentration between the two groups after days 7 until the end of the experiment. 25 The mean of and changes in NEFA concentration did not differ between the two

[Table 4]

1	groups. However, the NEFA concentration of the ADP cows was numerically lower
2	than that of the CON cows at days 2 and days 4 of the experimental period.

3

4 **3.3 Milk production and BW change**

5 Changes in milk production and composition are shown in Table 5. During the adaptation period, daily milk production of the ADP cows was numerically greater 6 7 than that of the CON cows (P = 0.11), although there was no difference before the 8 start of the experiment (basal data). After turning out from indoor feeding to 1-day 9 grazing, the daily milk yield of the ADP cows was maintained, while that of the CON 10 cows was decreased by 2kg. The difference in the daily milk yield between the groups 11 at the start of the experimental period was maintained until the end of experiment (P 12 = 0.08).

[Table 5]

13 The milk fat concentration did not differ between the two groups. The milk 14 fat concentration in both groups gradually decreased until the end of the experiment. 15 The lactose concentration did not differ between the two groups. The change in 16 lactose concentration also did not differ between the two groups. During the 17 adaptation period, the milk protein and solid-not-fat concentrations of the ADP cows 18 were higher than those of the CON cows (P = 0.03). After turning out from indoor 19 feeding to 1-day grazing, the protein and solid-not-fat concentrations of the ADP 20 cows were maintained, although those of the CON cows decreased. The difference 21 in the protein and solid-not-fat concentrations between the groups at the start of the 22 experimental period was maintained until the end of experiment (P = 0.02 and 0.08, 23 respectively).

24 The change of 4% fat-corrected milk (FCM) yield in both groups was similar 25 as the change of daily milk yield. The difference in FCM yield between the groups

was greater than the difference in daily milk yield (P = 0.04). After turning out from 1 2 indoor feeding to 1-day grazing, the FCM yield in both groups gradually increased. 3 However, the difference in FCM yield between the groups at the start of the 4 experimental period was maintained until the end of the experiment (P = 0.04). 5 The changes in BW are shown in Figure 2. During the adaptation period, the 6 BW of the ADP cows was greater than that of the CON cows (P < 0.01). At the start 7 of the experimental period, BW during the adaptation period decreased by 18 and 24 [Figure 2] 8 kg in the ADP and CON cows, respectively. Throughout the experimental period, the 9 BW of the ADP and CON cows gradually increased. However, the difference in BW [Figure 2] 10 between the two groups was maintained until the end of the experiment (P = 0.05). 11

12 **4 DISCUSSION**

13 4.1 Herbage intake

14 The results of the present study clearly indicated that the total DMI significantly 15 decreased after turning out from indoor feeding to 1-day grazing when the cows were 16 not adapted to grazing. The results of continuous measurement of herbage DMI in 17 lactating cows in the present study are similar to those reported in our previous study 18 on non-lactating cows (Mitani et al., 2020). The change and difference in herbage 19 DMI during the first week of the transition period from indoor feeding to 1-day 20 grazing affected the performance of cows during the 4-week period of 1-day grazing. 21 Therefore, grazing adaptation before turning out from indoor feeding to grazing is 22 essential for maintaining herbage DMI.

23 To assess grazing adaptability, gradual changes in herbage DMI, particularly 24 in the first week of the transition period from indoor feeding to grazing, should be 25 measured. However, no study has investigated such changes in herbage DMI during

this period, except our present and previous studies (Mitani et al., 2020). Other previous studies investigated the effects of grazing adaptation using only one- or twopoint measurements of herbage DMI at 3 or 4 weeks after turning out from indoor feeding to 1-day grazing (Hartwiger et al., 2018b; Schären et al., 2016b). To verify the feasibility of our grazing adaptation method, further research is warranted through continuous herbage DMI measurements during the transition period from indoor feeding to grazing.

8 It is difficult to explain why the CON cows could not ingest herbage 9 satisfactorily after turning out to 1-day grazing. The well-known theory (Forbes, 10 2007) regarding the control of voluntary intake by physical and metabolic signals can 11 not explain the results observed in the present study. According to this theory, the 12 CON cows likely ingested more herbage because their physical and metabolic signals 13 were weaker than those of the ADP cows. Forbes (2007) speculated that this 14 phenomenon occurs because the animals are not familiar with the new food and 15 environment. The sudden change in the environment from indoor to outdoor feeding may have affected the herbage intake of the CON cows to a greater extent than that 16 17 of the ADP cows. However, to clarify this phenomenon, further research 18 investigating the changes in herbage intake in other situations, such as adaptation to 19 the outdoor environment, are imperative.

20

21 **4.2 Ruminal fermentation and blood metabolites**

Grazing adaptation affected changes in ruminal fermentation and blood metabolites during the first week after turning out to 1-day grazing. However, the effects of grazing adaptation on rumen fermentation and PUN concentration observed in the present study were contrary to those observed in our previous study on non-lactating

cows (Mitani et al., 2020). The high total VFA concentration of the CON cows on
day 2 of the experimental period was not necessarily promoted by ruminal
fermentation, but it may be affected by the concentration effect of ruminal pool size,
which was smaller due to the lower herbage intake of these cows than of the ADP
cows.

6 The ruminal microbial flora required over a week to adapt to herbage 7 degradation during transition from indoor feeding to grazing (Hartwiger et al., 2018b; 8 Mitani et al., 2020; Schären et al., 2016b). The extremely low total tract OM 9 digestibility of the CON cows during the first week of the experimental period 10 supports this result. The high PUN concentration of the CON cows, which is 11 indicative of high NH₃-N absorption from the rumen wall, can also indirectly support 12 this result. The ruminal microbes of the CON cows could not incorporate NH₃-N into 13 microbial proteins well because they were not adapted to degrade herbage 14 carbohydrates. Synchronized degradation of carbohydrates and proteins in the rumen 15 is important for efficient microbial protein synthesis, and the degradation of 16 carbohydrates and proteins in early spring herbage natively imbalances (Van Vuuren 17 et al., 1991).

Furthermore, the low blood glucose concentration of the CON cows during the first week of the experimental period indicates a lower energy intake due to lower herbage intake and ruminal fermentation of these cows than that of the ADP cows. The tendency of high NEFA concentration in the CON cows during this period also supports the lower energy intake of these cows than that of the ADP cows.

23

4.3. Milk production and body weight change

25 The higher milk production of the ADP cows than that of the CON cows during the

1 adaptation period was due to the gradual adaptation of the former to early spring 2 herbage, which has a high nutritive value. Moreover, the low herbage DMI of the 3 CON cows during the first week of the experimental period was a definite indicator 4 of the difference in milk production between the ADP and CON cows at the start of 5 the experimental period. In the present study, the difference in milk production 6 between the ADP and CON cows was maintained until the end of the experiment. 7 The change and difference in BW between the ADP and CON cows showed a similar 8 trend to those in milk production.

9 Previous studies measuring the changes in milk production and BW during 10 the transition period from indoor feeding to grazing compared with TMR feeding 11 have reported similar results (Hartwiger et al., 2018a; Schären et al., 2016a). The 12 requirement of a long recovery time for milk production and BW once a cow falls to 13 the low-feeding level is known as the "carryover effect" (Jørgensen et al., 2016). In 14 lactating dairy cows, the carryover effect may be caused by mammary cell number, 15 secretory cell activity, hunger state at removal from indoor feeding, and mobilization 16 of body reserves (Jørgensen et al., 2016). In addition, the physiological status, such 17 as blood hormone levels, may affect the carryover effect. Further research is 18 warranted to elucidate the carryover effect in lactating grazing cows during and after 19 transition from indoor feeding to grazing in early spring.

In conclusion, the present study revealed that gradual grazing adaptation was effective in preventing herbage intake reduction and maintaining milk production and BW. Herbage intake drastically decreased in the first week after turning out to 1-day grazing when the cows were not sufficiently adapted to grazing. Once the milk production and BW drastically decreased during the transition period from indoor to 1-day grazing, over 4 weeks were required to recover from the reduction during the

1	transition period. This decrease in milk production and BW after turning out to
2	grazing can result in economic losses to dairy farmers. Therefore, grazing adaptation
3	of dairy cows, particularly the lactating ones, is essential.
4	
5	CONFLICT OF INTEREST
6	Authors declare no Conflict of Interests for this article.
7	
8	REFERENCES
9	AOAC. 1990. Official Methods of Analysis, 15th edn. Association of Official
10	Analytical Chemists, Arlington, VA.
11	Charmley, E., Jannasch, R. W. & Boyd, J. (2003). Grazing behaviour and weight
12	change of cattle turned out to pasture in spring. Canadian Journal of Animal Science,
13	83 (4), 801-808. https://doi.org/Doi 10.4141/A03-015
14	Forbes, J. M. (2007). Voluntary food intake and diet selection in farm animals. Cabi.
15	Hartwiger, J., Schären, M., Gerhards, U., Huther, L., Frahm, J., von Soosten, D.,
16	Danicke, S. (2018a). Effects of a Change from an Indoor-Based Total Mixed Ration
17	to a Rotational Pasture System Combined with a Moderate Concentrate Feed Supply
18	on the Health and Performance of Dairy Cows. Animals (Basel), 8 (10), 169.
19	https://doi.org/10.3390/ani8100169
20	Hartwiger, J., Schären, M., Potthoff, S., Huther, L., Kersten, S., von Soosten, D.,
21	Danicke, S. (2018b). Effects of a Change from an Indoor-Based Total Mixed Ration
22	to a Rotational Pasture System Combined With a Moderate Concentrate Feed Supply
23	on Rumen Fermentation of Dairy Cows. Animals (Basel), 8 (11), 205.
24	https://doi.org/10.3390/ani8110205
25	Jørgensen, C. H., Spörndly, R., Bertilsson, J. & Østergaard, S. (2016). Invited review:

1	Carryover effects of early lactation feeding on total lactation performance in dairy
2	cows. Journal of Dairy Science, 99 (5), 3241-3249. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2014-
3	9043
4	Khanal, R. C., Dhiman, T. & Boman, R. L. (2008). Changes in fatty acid composition
5	of milk from lactating dairy cows during transition to and from pasture. Livestock
6	Science, 114 (2-3), 164-175. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2007.04.020
7	Mitani, T., Kubota, T., Mizuguchi, H., Kushibiki, S. & Ueda, K. (2020). Effects of
8	grazing adaptation on intake, ruminal fermentation, blood metabolites, and body
9	weight change in dairy cows after turning out to pasture in early spring. Animal
10	Science Journal, 91 (1), e13481. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/asj.13481
11	National Agriculture and Food Research Organization. (2009). Standard Tables of
12	Feed Composition in Japan. Tokyo, Japan: Japan Livestock Industry Association.
13	National Agriculture and Food Research Organization. (2017). Japanese Feeding
14	Standard for Dairy Cattle (2017). Tokyo, Japan: Japan Livestock Industry
15	Association.
16	Schären, M., Jostmeier, S., Ruesink, S., Hüther, L., Frahm, J., Bulang, M., Dänicke,
17	S. (2016a). The effects of a ration change from a total mixed ration to pasture on
18	health and production of dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science, 99 (2), 1183-1200.
19	https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2015-9873
20	Schären, M., Seyfang, G. M., Steingass, H., Dieho, K., Dijkstra, J., Hüther, L.,
21	Dänicke, S. (2016b). The effects of a ration change from a total mixed ration to
22	pasture on rumen fermentation, volatile fatty acid absorption characteristics, and
23	morphology of dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science, 99 (5), 3549-3565.
24	https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2015-10450

25 Ueda, K., Mitani, T. & Kondo, S. (2016). Effect of water-soluble carbohydrate

1 content in orchardgrass pasture on grazing time and rumen fermentation in dairy 2 cows. Animal Science Journal, 87 (9), 1122-1129. https://doi.org/10.1111/asj.12533 3 Van Vuuren, A. M., Tamminga, S. & Ketelaar, R. S. (1991). In sacco degradation of organic matter and crude protein of fresh grass(Lolium perenne) in the rumen of 4 Journal of Agricultural Science, 5 grazing dairy cows. 116, 429-436. 6 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859600078242 7 Van Soest, P. J., Robertson, J. B. & Lewis, B. A. (1991). Symposium: Carbohydrate 8 methodology, metabolism, and nutritional implications in dairy cattle Methods for 9 dietary fiber, neutral detargent fiber, and nonstarch polysaccharides in relation to 10 animal nutrition. Journal Dairy 74, 3583-3597. of Science, 11 https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(91)78551-2 12 Wetherburn, M. W. (1967). Phenol-hypochlorite reaction for determination of 13 Analytical Chemistry, 39 (8), 971-974. ammonia.

14 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1021/ac60252a045

- 15 Yemm, E. W. & Willis, A. J. (1954). The estimation of carbohydrates in plant extracts
- by anthrone. *Biochemical Journal*, 57, 508-514. https://doi.org/10.1042/bj0570508

1 FIGURE LEGENDS

2 Figure 1. Changes in the herbage and total dry matter intake of grazing lactating

3 cows subjected to gradual adaptation to grazing (ADP) and non-adaptation (CON)

4 during the experiment.

5 Sum of mixed silage and concentrate intake: black, herbage intake: open.

Week 1-E indicates days 1 to 4 and week 1-L indicates days 5 to 7 of the experimental
period.

8 Significance in the experimental period: treatment, P < 0.01; day, P < 0.01;

9 interaction, P < 0.01.

10

11 **Figure 2.** Changes in the body weight of grazing lactating cows subjected to 1-week

12 adaptation to grazing (ADP) and non-adaptation (CON) during the experiment.

13 Significance in the experimental period: treatment, P = 0.05; day, P < 0.01;

14 interaction, P = 0.95.

15 Plots at -10: data measured before the start of the experiment (basal data).

16 Error bar: standard error of mean

	Com		Formula	Herbage									
	Corn	Hay		Adaptation	Experimental period								
	shage		concentrate	Period	Week 1	Week 2	Week 3	Week 4					
DM, % of FM	27.6	81.1	86.8	23.0	22.3	23.5	23.2	24.2					
Chemical compo	ositions, % o	of DM											
OM	93.3	91.8	94.0	90.1	90.5	89.1	88.0	90.2					
СР	7.5	6.2	22.4	22.1	17.2	19.4	17.2	16.3					
NDFom	54.0	66.1	24.7	24.9	25.0	26.7	29.6	34.8					
WSC	_	_	_	26.2	25.9	26.2	21.9	16.3					

Table 1. Chemical compositions of supplied feeds and herbage during trial

DM: dry matter, FM: fresh matter, OM: organic matter, CP: crude protein, NDFom: neutral detergent fiber, WSC: water soluble carbohydrate

	Adaptation p	eriod [†]		Significance $(P =)^{\ddagger}$							
_	Early	Late	Week 1-E	Week 1-L	Week 2	Week 3	Week 4	SEM	Trt.	Day	Int.
Total OM intake, k	g/day/cow										
CON	16.1	16.1	7.0 **	12.4 **	12.6	12.7 *	15.0	0.6	<.01	<.01	<.01
ADP	17.3	12.3	14.1	16.0	12.9	14.6	16.1				
Apparent OM dige	stibiliry, %										
CON	70.9	70.3	53.6 **	74.4 **	75.9	75.3	76.6	0.9	< .01	<.01	<.01
ADP	68.9	65.4	74.1	78.7	76.0	76.7	78.3				
Digestible OM inta	ke, kg/day/cow										
CON	11.5	11.3	4.0 **	9.3 **	9.6	9.6 *	11.5	0.5	<.01	<.01	<.01
ADP	11.9	8.1	10.4	12.6	9.9	11.2	12.6				

Table 2. Changes in total organic matter (OM) intake and appearant OM digestibility of grazing lactating cows subjected to gradual adaptation to grazing (ADP) and non-adaptation (CON) during the experiment

[†] Early: day 1 to day 7 and Late: day 8 to day 10 in adaptation period, Week 1-E: day 1 to day 4 and Week 1-L: day 5 to day 7 in experimental period.

‡ Trt.: ADP vs. CON, Day: collection day in the experimental period, Int.: interaction between Trt. and Day.

^{**} P < 0.01, ^{*} P < 0.05: Significantly differed between CON and ADP for each period.

	Adaptation	period [†]			Expe	t		Significance $(P =)^{\ddagger}$				
	Early	Late	Day 2	Day 4	Day 7	Week 2	Week 3	Week 4	SEM	Trt.	Day	Int.
Total VFA, mmol/d	IL											
CON	13.8	13.0	17.3	18.6	19.9	15.5	17.3	16.0	1.0	0.21	<.01	0.08
ADP	18.7	19.8	13.8	17.8	16.8	15.4	16.5	17.0				
Molar proportion, n	nol/100mol											
Acetate												
CON	65.4	66.3	56.3	56.9	55.8	57.6	61.5	64.6	0.6	0.83	< .01	0.02
ADP	58.4	55.1	54.4	56.3	57.3	57.9	62.0	64.0				
Propionate												
CON	18.6	17.9	23.4	23.1	23.8	22.9	21.6	20.8	0.4	0.90	< .01	0.07
ADP	22.2	22.2	23.0	24.3	22.8	23.1	21.2	21.1				
Butyrate												
CON	12.7	12.5	15.9	14.8	14.8	14.9	12.1	11.1	0.4	0.64	<.01	0.22
ADP	15.0	18.0	17.3	14.6	14.9	14.5	12.2	11.5				

Table 3. Changes in ruminal volatile fatty acids (VFA) concentration and molar proportion of each VFA of grazing lactating cows subjected to gradual adaptation to grazing (ADP) and non-adaptation (CON) during the experiment

[†] Early: day 1 to day 7 and Late: day 8 to day 10 in adaptation period, Week 1 E: day 1 to day 4 and Week 1 L: day 5 to day 7 in experimental period.

‡ Trt.: ADP vs. CON, Day: collection day in the experimental period, Int.: interaction between Trt. and Day.

	Adaptation 1	period [†]			Significance $(P =)^{\ddagger}$							
	Early	Late	Day 2	Day 4	Day 7	Week 2	Week 3	Week 4	SEM	Trt.	Day	Int.
Plasma urea nitrogen	, mg/dL											
CON	8.2	7.7	13.9 **	12.3 **	15.9	13.1	16.0	10.9	0.7	0.02	< .01	<.01
ADP	12.8	16.0	7.7	9.2	15.6	12.6	14.9	9.1				
Glucose, mg/dL												
CON	62.6	61.3	56.4 *	63.2 *	65.3	64.7	67.6	67.1	1.4	0.12	< .01	0.02
ADP	59.4	61.2	61.2	67.6	69.4	67.1	67.2	67.6				
Non esterfied fatty ac	ids, μmol/L											
CON	255	262	210	219	138	137	145	107	28	0.31	0.12	0.57
ADP	107	160	152	134	111	105	97	89				

Table 4. Changes in plasma urea nitrogen, glucose, and non-esterfied fatty acids concentrations of grazing lactating cows subjected to gradual adaptation to grazing (ADP) and non-adaptation (CON) during the experiment

[†] Early: day 1 to day 7 and Late: day 8 to day 10 in adaptation period, Week 1 E: day 1 to day 4 and Week 1 L: day 5 to day 7 in the experimental period.

‡ Trt.: ADP vs. CON, Day: collection day in the experimental period, Int.: interaction between Trt. and Day.

^{**} P < 0.01, ^{*} P < 0.05: Significantly differed between CON and ADP for each period.

	Adaptation	period [†]			Expe	rimental period	Ť			Significance $(P =)^{\ddagger}$		
	Early	Late	Day 2	Day 4	Day 7	Week 2	Week 3	Week 4	SEM	Trt.	Day	Int.
Milk yield, kg/day/cow												
CON	30.7	30.7	28.6	30.4	31.1	32.5	34.0	32.9	1.5	0.08	<.01	0.97
ADP	32.9	33.3	32.5	33.7	34.1	35.7	37.2	35.1				
4% FCM yield, kg/day/c	ow											
CON	29.1	29.4	29.3	30.8	31.0	32.0	31.8	31.2	1.4	0.04	0.27	0.92
ADP	33.1	33.8	34.2	34.0	33.8	35.3	35.8	34.8				
Milk composition, %												
Fat												
CON	3.88	3.92	4.36	4.25	4.08	4.01	3.66	3.73	0.17	0.73	< .01	0.12
ADP	3.98	4.07	4.39	4.14	4.10	4.05	3.86	4.05				
Protein												
CON	3.26	3.22	3.17 *	3.18 *	3.23	3.29	3.26	3.17	0.07	0.05	< .01	0.02
ADP	3.44	3.48	3.47	3.45	3.44	3.46	3.43	3.34				
Lactose												
CON	4.44	4.43	4.34	4.33	4.37	4.39	4.41	4.39	0.04	0.95	0.13	0.81
ADP	4.34	4.42	4.30	4.34	4.41	4.39	4.42	4.40				
Solid not fat												
CON	8.64	8.66	8.50	8.52	8.60	8.65	8.65	8.54	0.06	0.01	< .01	0.08
ADP	8.89	8.85	8.78	8.79	8.85	8.86	8.84	8.76				

Table 5. Changes in milk yield, 4% fat corrected milk (FCM) yield, and milk compositions of grazing lactating cows subjected to gradual adaptation to grazing (ADP) and non-adaptation (CON) during the experiment

[†] Early: day 1 to day 7 and Late: day 8 to day 10 in adaptation period, Week 1 E: day 1 to day 4 and Week 1 L: day 5 to day 7 in the experimental period.

‡ Trt.: ADP vs. CON, Day: collection day in the experimental period, Int.: interaction between Trt. and Day.

^{**} P < 0.01, ^{*} P < 0.05: Significantly differed between CON and ADP for each period.

	20	ŀ	_												
/cow	15	-			_		Π		\square				Π	\square	
g/day	10	-				_									
¥.	5	-													
	0				Ш	Ш				Ш		Ш		Ш	Ш
		CON	ADP	CON	ADP	CON	ADP	CON	ADP	CON	ADP	CON	ADP	CON	ADP
		Early Lat			ate	We 1-	ek E	We 1	Week 1-L		Week 2		Week 3		ek 4
		A	dap per	tatio riod	'n		Experimental period								

