

HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

Title	Five-body resonances in He8 and C8 using the complex scaling method
Author(s)	Myo, Takayuki; Odsuren, Myagmarjav; Kat , Kiyoshi
Citation	Physical Review C, 104(4), 044306 https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.104.044306
Issue Date	2021-10-05
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/87890
Rights	©2021 American Physical Society
Туре	article
File Information	Phys. Rev. C 104(4)(2021).pdf



Hokkaido University Collection of Scholarly and Academic Papers : HUSCAP

Five-body resonances in ⁸He and ⁸C using the complex scaling method

Takayuki Myo,^{1,2,*} Myagmarjav Odsuren,^{3,†} and Kiyoshi Katō^{9,‡}

¹General Education, Faculty of Engineering, Osaka Institute of Technology, Osaka, Osaka 535-8585, Japan

²Research Center for Nuclear Physics (RCNP), Osaka University, Ibaraki 567-0047, Japan

³School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Nuclear Research Centre, National University of Mongolia, Ulaanbaatar 210646, Mongolia ⁴Nuclear Reaction Data Centre, Faculty of Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan

(Received 4 August 2021; accepted 27 September 2021; published 5 October 2021)

We study many-body resonances in the neuron-rich ⁸He and the mirror proton-rich ⁸C using the ⁴He +N + N + N + N + N hive-body model with the isospin T = 2 system. Resonances are described with the complex energy eigenvalues as the Gamow states using the complex scaling method. In ⁸He, we obtain five states in which four states are resonances, and in ⁸C all five states are resonances. We discuss the isospin-symmetry breaking dynamically induced by the Coulomb interaction in the energy spectra and decay widths of the resonances in two mirror nuclei. We predict the resonance energies and decay widths for the future experiments. We also investigate the configurations of valence nucleons above ⁴He in two nuclei with the *jj* coupling scheme, and all the states dominantly have the *p*-shell configurations. From the configuration mixing, ⁸He and ⁸C give the similar results, which indicates the good symmetry in two nuclei.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.104.044306

I. INTRODUCTION

Experiments using radioactive beams have brought the development of physics of unstable nuclei. Neutron halo structure is one of new phenomena of nuclear structures appearing in the drip-line nuclei, such as ⁶He, ¹¹Li, and ¹¹Be [1,2]. One of the characteristic features in unstable nuclei is a weak binding nature of a last few nucleons and this property causes many states observed above the particle thresholds. This means that spectroscopy of resonances of unstable nuclei provides the important information to understand the nuclear structure. There are two sides of neutron-rich and proton-rich in unstable nuclei with a large isospin and the comparison of the structures of these mirror systems is also interesting to understand the isospin-symmetry property with a large isospin system.

So far, many experiments have been performed for neutron-rich ⁸He [3–9] and proton-rich ⁸C [10,11], which are in the mirror relation with the isospin T = 2 system. The ground state of ⁸He has a neutron skin structure of four neutrons around ⁴He with a small separation energy of about 3 MeV. The excited states in ⁸He are not settled yet and are considered to exist above the ⁴He +4*n* threshold energy. This means that the observed resonances of ⁸He can decay into the various channels of ⁷He +*n*, ⁶He +2*n*, ⁵He +3*n*, and ⁴He +4*n*. This property of the multiparticle decays causes the difficulty to determine the energy positions of resonances in ⁸He experimentally. Theoretically the dineutron cluster correlation is suggested in the excited state of ⁸He [12]. The ground state of the unbound nucleus ⁸C is experimentally located at 3.4 MeV above the ⁴He +4*p* threshold energy [11], and the excited states of ⁸C have not yet been confirmed. Similar to ⁸He, the ⁸C states can decay into the channels of ⁷B +*p*, ⁶Be +2*p*, ⁵Li +3*p*, and ⁴He +4*p*. The comparison of ⁸He and ⁸C is interesting to understand effects of the Coulomb interaction in proton-rich nuclei and the nuclear isospin symmetry.

In the picture consisting of ⁴He and four valence nucleons, we analyze the He isotopes and their mirror nuclei with the ⁴He +N + N + N + N five-body model [13–15]. We solve the motion of multivalence nucleons around ⁴He in the cluster orbital shell model (COSM) [16-19]. The advantage of the COSM is that we can reproduce the threshold energies of the subsystems in the A = 8 systems. This aspect is important to describe the open channels for nucleon emissions, and then we can treat the many-body decaying phenomena. We describe many-body resonances applying the complex scaling method (CSM) [20-24] giving the correct boundary conditions for decay channels. In the CSM, the wave function of resonance is obtained by solving the eigenvalue problem of the complexscaled Hamiltonian using the L^2 basis functions. Results of nuclear resonances using the CSM have been successfully shown not only for energies and decay widths, but also for spectroscopic factors and the transition strength functions by using the Green's function [23-27].

In our previous works of neutron-rich He isotopes and their mirror proton-rich nuclei [13–15,19], we discussed the isospin symmetry in ⁷He and ⁷B with the ⁴He +N + N + N model [19]. The isospin-symmetry breaking occurs in their ground states for the mixing of 2⁺ states of the A = 6 subsystems. This is because the relative energy distances between the A = 7 states and the "A = 6"+N thresholds can be different in

^{*}takayuki.myo@oit.ac.jp

[†]odsuren@seas.num.edu.mn

[‡]kato@nucl.sci.hokudai.ac.jp



FIG. 1. Spatial coordinates of the ${}^{4}\text{He} + N + N + N + N$ system in the COSM.

⁷He and ⁷B due to the Coulomb interaction in ⁷B. For A = 8 systems of ⁸He and ⁸C, we calculated only 0⁺ states due to the limited numerical resources to treat the large Hamiltonian matrix [13–15]. We compared the spatial structures in the radii of two nuclei, and it is found that the Coulomb barrier prevents the valence nucleons from the spatial extension, which results in the smaller radius of ⁸C than that of ⁸He in their corresponding excited 0⁺₂ resonances. The same relation can also be seen between ⁶He and ⁶Be [15].

In this paper, we proceed our study of many-body resonances of ⁸He and ⁸C with the ⁴He +N +N +N +Nfive-body model. This paper is the extension of the previous ones, in which only the 0⁺ states were investigated [13,14]. We fully calculate the other possible spin states in addition to the 0⁺ for the complete understanding of the resonance spectroscopy of two nuclei. We predict resonances of two nuclei and examine the dominant configurations of four nucleons in each state. These information is useful for the future experiments for two nuclei. We also compare the configuration structures of ⁸He and ⁸C in the viewpoint of the isospin symmetry.

In Sec. II, we explain the COSM and the CSM. In Sec. III, we show the results of five-body bound and resonant states obtained in ⁸He and ⁸C. A summary is given in Sec. IV.

II. METHOD

A. Cluster orbital shell model

We explain the COSM with the ⁴He +N + N + N + Nfive-body systems for ⁸He and ⁸C. Motion of four nucleons around ⁴He is solved in the COSM. The relative coordinates of four nucleons are { r_i } with i = 1, ..., 4 as are shown in Fig. 1. We employ the common Hamiltonian used in the previous studies [13–15];

$$H = t_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{4} t_i - T_G + \sum_{i=1}^{4} v_i^{\alpha N} + \sum_{i< j}^{4} v_{ij}^{NN}$$
(1)

$$=\sum_{i=1}^{4}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{p}_{i}^{2}}{2\mu}+\boldsymbol{v}_{i}^{\alpha N}\right)+\sum_{i< j}^{4}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{p}_{i}\cdot\boldsymbol{p}_{j}}{4m}+\boldsymbol{v}_{i j}^{N N}\right).$$
 (2)

The kinetic-energy operators t_0 , t_i , and T_G are for ⁴He, a valence nucleon and the center-of-mass parts, respectively. The operator p_i is the relative momentum between ⁴He and

a valence nucleon with the reduced mass $\mu = 4m/5$ using a nucleon mass *m*. The ⁴He-nucleon interaction $v^{\alpha N}$ has the nuclear and Coulomb parts. The nuclear part is the microscopic Kanada-Kaneko-Nagata-Nomoto potential [22,28], which reproduces the ⁴He-nucleon scattering data. The Coulomb part is obtained by folding the density of ⁴He with the $(0s)^4$ configurations. For nucleon-nucleon interaction v^{NN} , we use the Minnesota nuclear potential [29] and the point Coulomb interaction between protons.

We explain the COSM wave function. We assume the ⁴He wave-function $\Phi(^{4}\text{He})$ with the $(0s)^{4}$ configuration in a harmonic-oscillator basis state. The range parameter of the 0s state is 1.4 fm reproducing the charge radius of ⁴He. We expand the wave functions of the ⁴He +N + N + N + N system using the COSM configurations [13,14,17]. The total wave function of a nucleus Ψ^{J} with total spin J is given in the form of the linear combination of the COSM configuration Ψ_{c}^{J} as

$$\Psi^J = \sum_c C_c^J \Psi_c^J, \tag{3}$$

$$\Psi_c^J = \mathcal{A}' \big\{ \Phi(^4 \text{He}), \, \Phi_c^J \big\}, \tag{4}$$

$$\Phi_c^J = \mathcal{A}\left[\left[\phi_{\alpha_1}, \phi_{\alpha_2}\right]_{j_{12}}, \left[\phi_{\alpha_3}, \phi_{\alpha_4}\right]_{j_{34}}\right]_J.$$
(5)

The single-particle wave function is $\phi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r})$ with the quantum number α as the set of $\{n, \ell, j\}$ in the jj coupling scheme. The index n is to distinguish the different radial component, and ℓ is the orbital angular momentum with $j = [\ell, 1/2]$. The spins of j_{12} and j_{34} are for the coupling of two nucleons. The operators \mathcal{A}' and \mathcal{A} are for the antisymmetrization between ⁴He and a valence nucleon and between valence nucleons, respectively. The former effect is considered by using the orthogonality condition model [22] in which the relative 0s component is removed from the ϕ_{α} . The index c in Eq. (3) indicates the set of α_i , j_{12} , and j_{34} as $c = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_4, j_{12}, j_{34}\}$. We take a summation of the available COSM configurations for a total spin J and superpose them with the amplitude of C_c^J in Eq. (3).

We calculate the Hamiltonian matrix in the COSM and solve the following eigenvalue problem:

$$\sum_{c'} \left\langle \Psi_c^J \left| H \right| \Psi_{c'}^J \right\rangle C_{c'}^J = E^J C_c^J.$$
(6)

We obtain all the amplitudes $\{C_c^J\}$ in Eq. (3), which determine the total wave function with the energy eigenvalue E^J measured from the threshold energy of ${}^4\text{He} + N + N + N$.

The single-particle wave-function $\phi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r})$ is a function of the relative coordinate \mathbf{r} from the center of mass of ⁴He to a valence nucleon as shown in Fig. 1. We prepare a sufficient number of a single-particle basis function with various spatial distributions. We expand $\phi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r})$ by using the Gaussian functions for each single-particle orbit,

$$\phi_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_{\ell j}} d_{\alpha}^{k} \, u_{\ell j}\big(\boldsymbol{r}, b_{\ell j}^{k}\big), \tag{7}$$

$$u_{\ell j}(\boldsymbol{r}, b_{\ell j}^{k}) = N_{k} r^{\ell} e^{-(r/b_{\ell j}^{k})^{2}/2} [Y_{\ell}(\hat{\boldsymbol{r}}), \chi_{1/2}^{\sigma}]_{j}, \qquad (8)$$

$$\langle \phi_{\alpha} | \phi_{\alpha'} \rangle = \delta_{\alpha, \alpha'} = \delta_{n, n'} \delta_{\ell, \ell'} \delta_{j, j'}. \tag{9}$$

The index k is to specify the Gaussian functions with the range parameter $b_{\ell j}^k$ with $k = 1, ..., N_{\ell j}$ for radial correlation. The normalization factor is given by N_k . The coefficients $\{d_{\alpha}^k\}$ in Eq. (7) are obtained from the orthogonal property of the basis states ϕ_{α} in Eq. (9). The length parameters $b_{\ell j}^k$ are chosen in geometric progression. Basis number $N_{\ell j}$ for ϕ_{α} is determined to converge the numerical results, and we use 14 Gaussian functions at most in the ranges of $b_{\ell j}^k$ from 0.2 fm to around 50 fm. We expand each of the COSM configurations Φ_c^J in Eq. (5) using a finite number of single-particle basis states ϕ_{α} for each nucleon. After solving the eigenvalue problem of Hamiltonian, we obtain the energy eigenvalues, which are discretized for bound, resonant, and continuum states.

For the single-particle orbits ϕ_{α} , we consider the basis states with the orbital angular momenta $\ell \leq 2$, and this condition gives the two-neutron energy of ⁶He(0⁺) in the accuracy of 0.3 MeV from the convergent calculation with a large ℓ . In this paper, we adopt the 173.7 MeV of the repulsive strength of the Minnesota potential from the original 200 MeV to fit the two-neutron separation energy of ⁶He with the experimental one of 0.975 MeV. This treatment nicely works to reproduce the energy levels of He isotopes and their mirror nuclei systematically [23].

B. Complex scaling method

We explain the CSM to treat resonances and continuum states in the many-body system [20–24]. The resonances are defined to be the eigenstates having the complex eigenenergies as the Gamow states with the outgoing boundary condition, and the continuum states are orthogonal to the resonances. In the CSM, all the relative coordinates $\{r_i\}$ in the ⁴He +N + N + N + N system as shown in Fig. 1 are transformed using a common scaling angle θ as

$$\mathbf{r}_i \to \mathbf{r}_i e^{i\theta}$$
. (10)

The Hamiltonian in Eq. (2) is transformed into the complexscaled Hamiltonian H_{θ} , and the complex-scaled Schrödinger equation is written as

$$H_{\theta}\Psi^{J}_{\theta} = E^{J}\Psi^{J}_{\theta}, \qquad (11)$$

$$\Psi^J_{\theta} = \sum_c C^J_{c,\theta} \Psi^J_c. \tag{12}$$

The eigenstates Ψ_{θ}^{J} are determined by solving the eigenvalue problem in Eq. (11). In the total wave function, the θ dependence is included in the expansion coefficients $C_{c,\theta}^{J}$ in Eq. (12), which can be complex numbers, in general. We obtain the energy eigenvalues E^{J} of bound and unbound states on a complex energy plane, which are governed by the ABC theorem [30]. From the ABC theorem, the asymptotic boundary condition of resonances is transformed to the damping behavior. This proof is mathematically general in many-body systems. The boundary condition of the resonances in the CSM makes it possible to use the numerical method to obtain the bound states in the calculation of resonances. In the CSM, the Riemann branch cuts are commonly rotated down by 2θ on the complex energy plane in which each of the branch cuts starts from the corresponding threshold energy. On the other

TABLE I. Energy eigenvalues of ⁸He measured from the ⁴He +n +n +n +n threshold energy in units of MeV. Data of $0^+_{1,2}$ are taken from Ref. [13]. The values in the square brackets are the experimental ones of 0^+_1 and 2^+_1 [3].

	Energy (MeV)	Decay width (MeV)
0^+_1	-3.22 [-3.11]	
0^{+}_{2}	3.07	3.19
1^{+}	1.65	3.57
2^{+}_{1}	$0.32 \ [0.46 \pm 0.12]$	$0.66 \ [0.5 \pm 0.35]$
2^{+}_{2}	4.52	4.39

hand, the energy eigenvalues of bound and resonant states are independent of θ from the ABC theorem. We identify the resonances with complex energy eigenvalues as $E = E_r - i\Gamma/2$, where E_r and Γ are the resonance energies and the decay widths, respectively. The scaling angle θ is determined in each resonance to give the stationary point of the energy eigenvalue on the complex energy plane.

In the CSM, resonance wave functions can be expanded in terms of the L^2 basis functions because of the damping boundary condition, and the amplitudes of resonances are normalized with the condition of $\sum_{c} (C_{c,\theta}^J)^2 = 1$. It is noted that the Hermitian product is not adopted due to the biorthogonal property of the adjoint states [20,21,31]. Hence, the components of the COSM configurations $(C_{c,\theta}^J)^2$ can be a complex number and are independent of the scaling angle θ when we obtain the converging solutions of resonances [24].

III. RESULTS

A. Energy spectra of He isotopes and mirror nuclei

We discuss the resonances in ⁸He and ⁸C in the COSM. The energy eigenvalues obtained in two nuclei are listed in Tables I and II, which are measured from the thresholds of ⁴He +N + N + N. We obtain five states in each nucleus, and only the ground state of ⁸He is a bound state, and the others are resonances.

For the ground state of ⁸He, the relative energy from ⁴He is 3.22 MeV and close to the experimental value of 3.11 MeV. The matter and charge radii of ⁸He are 2.52 and 1.92 fm, respectively, which also reproduce the experiments [8]. The detailed analysis of this state was reported in the previous

TABLE II. Energy eigenvalues of ⁸C measured from the ⁴He + p + p + p + p threshold energy in units of MeV. Data of $0^+_{1,2}$ are taken from Ref. [14]. The values in the square brackets are the experimental ones for the ground state [11].

	Energy (MeV)	Decay width (MeV)
0^+_1	3.32 [3.449(30)]	0.072 [0.130(50)]
0^{+}_{2}	8.88	6.64
1^{+}	7.89	7.28
2^{+}_{1}	6.38	4.29
2^{+}_{2}	9.70	9.10



FIG. 2. Energy levels of ^{4–8}He measured from the ⁴He energy. Units are in MeV. Black and gray lines are the values of theory and experiments, respectively. Small numbers indicate the decay widths Γ of resonances. For ⁷He(1/2⁻), the experimental data are taken from Ref. [32]. For ⁸He, the experimental data are taken from Ref. [9].

analysis [13,15]. For the 2_1^+ resonance of ⁸He, we obtain the relative energy from ⁴He is 0.32 MeV and the decay width Γ of 0.66 MeV, which are consistent to the old experimental value of the corresponding energy of 0.46 ± 0.12 and $\Gamma = 0.5 \pm 0.35$ MeV [3]. In the two Tables I and II, it is found that the energies of the ⁸C states are entirely located higher than those of ⁸He and the decay widths becomes larger for resonances in ⁸C than ⁸He. This indicates the dynamical isospin-symmetry breaking induced by the Coulomb interaction for valence protons in ⁸C. The detailed configurations of each state will be discussed later.

We show the systematic behavior of energy levels of ${}^{4-8}$ He in Fig. 2 and their mirror nuclei of 5 Li, 6 Be, 7 B, and 8 C in Fig. 3. In these levels, new results in the present analysis are the 1⁺ and 2⁺ states of 8 He and 8 C.

In Fig. 4, we show the example of the calculated energy eigenvalues of ⁸He(2⁺) in the CSM using $\theta = 22^{\circ}$, which gives the stationary condition for the energy of the 2⁺₁ state. It is confirmed that in addition to the 2⁺_{1,2} resonances which are clearly confirmed, many kinds of the threshold energy



FIG. 3. Energy levels of ⁵Li, ⁶Be, ⁷B, and ⁸C. Units are in MeV. Notations are the same as shown in Fig. 2.



FIG. 4. Energy eigenvalue distribution of ⁸He (2⁺) measured from the ⁴He +n +n +n +n threshold energy on the complex energy plane where scaling angle is 22°. Units are in MeV. The energies with double circles indicate the 2⁺₁ and 2⁺₂ resonances. Several groups of the continuum states are shown with their configurations.

positions and the corresponding continuum states are obtained with discretized spectra. In particular, it is found that the 2_1^+ resonance is located near the threshold energies of various continuum states as shown in Fig. 4. This indicates that one should carefully distinguish the components of resonance and continuum states in the observables. It is interesting to investigate the effects of resonances and continuum states on the cross section in the future, which can be performed by using the Green's function with complex scaling [23,25,26].

It is meaningful to discuss the isospin symmetry between ⁸He and ⁸C for four valence neutrons and protons above ⁴He with T = 2. We compare the excitation energy spectra of two nuclei measured from the ground states using their resonance energies in Fig. 5. The level orders are the same in two nuclei, but the level spacing is smaller in ⁸C than that of ⁸He,



FIG. 5. Comparison of the excitation energy spectra of ⁸He and ⁸C in the units of MeV.

TABLE III. Dominant parts of the squared amplitudes $(C_{c,\theta}^J)^2$ of the 0_1^+ states of ⁸He and ⁸C. The values are taken from Ref. [15].

Configuration	${}^{8}\text{He}(0^{+}_{1})$	${}^{8}C(0_{1}^{+})$
$(p_{3/2})^4$	0.860	0.878 - i0.005
$(p_{3/2})^2 (p_{1/2})^2$	0.069	0.057 + i0.001
$(p_{3/2})^2(1s_{1/2})^2$	0.006	0.010 + i0.003
$(p_{3/2})^2 (d_{3/2})^2$	0.008	0.007 + i0.000
$(p_{3/2})^2 (d_{5/2})^2$	0.042	0.037 + i0.000

indicating a dynamical isospin-symmetry breaking induced by the Coulomb interaction for protons. This result is also related to the fact that the resonances in ⁸C have larger decay widths than those of ⁸He as shown in Tables I and II. When we compare the direct distance between the complex energy eigenvalues of $E_r - i\Gamma/2$, for example, 0_1^+ and 2_2^+ , ⁸He and ⁸C give 8.05 and 7.84 MeV, respectively, and these values are close to each other. In this sense, we confirm the similar energy spectra in two nuclei for complex energy eigenvalues on the complex energy plane.

B. Configurations of ⁸He and ⁸C

We discuss the configurations of four valence nucleons in the five states of ⁸He and ⁸C. For 0⁺_{1,2}, we already discussed the results in Refs. [13–15], hence, we only show the values in Tables III and IV for reference. New results are 1⁺ and 2⁺_{1,2} as shown in Tables V, VI, and VII, respectively. We show the dominant parts of the squared amplitude $(C_{c,\theta}^J)^2$ of the COSM configurations in Eq. (12) for each state. In $(C_{c,\theta}^J)^2$, the magnitude of the imaginary parts are very small for all states. In this case, we can use the real parts of $(C_{c,\theta}^J)^2$ to interpret the states in the physical meaning.

For the 1⁺ states in two nuclei, they are dominated by the single configuration of $(p_{3/2})^3(p_{1/2})$ for four valence nucleons. It is noted that in the configuration of $(p_{3/2})(p_{1/2})^2(\tilde{p}_{1/2})$, the $\tilde{p}_{1/2}$ state is orthogonal to the $p_{1/2}$ state.

For the 2_1^+ states in two nuclei, they are dominated by the single configuration of $(p_{3/2})^3(p_{1/2})$ for four valence nucleons, which are the same as the 1^+ results. For the 2_2^+ states, they are dominated by the single configuration of $(p_{3/2})^2(p_{1/2})^2$ for four valence nucleons, and this singleparticle configuration is the same results as the 0_2^+ cases. From these configuration results, when we see the five states of ⁸He and ⁸C, the valence nucleons above ⁴He are dominantly in the

TABLE IV. Dominant parts of the squared amplitudes $(C_{c,\theta}^J)^2$ of the 0_2^+ states of ⁸He and ⁸C. The values are taken from Ref. [15].

Configuration	${}^{8}\text{He}(0_{2}^{+})$	${}^{8}C(0_{2}^{+})$
$(p_{3/2})^4$	0.020 - i0.009	0.044 + i0.007
$(p_{3/2})^2 (p_{1/2})^2$	0.969 - i0.011	0.934 - i0.012
$(p_{3/2})^2(1s_{1/2})^2$	-0.010 - i0.001	-0.001 + i0.000
$(p_{3/2})^2 (d_{3/2})^2$	0.018 + i0.022	0.020 + i0.003
$(p_{3/2})^2 (d_{5/2})^2$	0.002 + i0.000	0.002 + i0.001

TABLE V. Dominant parts of the squared amplitudes $(C_{c,\theta}^{J})^2$ of the 1⁺ states of ⁸He and ⁸C.

Configuration	⁸ He(1 ⁺)	⁸ C(1 ⁺)
$\begin{array}{c} (p_{3/2})^3(p_{1/2}) \\ (p_{3/2})(p_{1/2})^2(\tilde{p}_{1/2}) \\ (p_{3/2})(p_{1/2})(d_{5/2})^2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.949 - i0.027 \\ 0.017 + i0.020 \\ 0.013 + i0.006 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.962 + i0.008 \\ 0.011 - i0.011 \\ 0.009 + i0.002 \end{array}$

p-shell configurations, and the mixing of *sd*-shell configurations is small.

When we compare the amount of the configuration mixings among the five states of ⁸He and ⁸C, only their ground 0_1^+ states show the mixing stronger than other four states in each nucleus as shown in Table III. Namely, the ground states are the most correlated ones. This is because for ⁸He, the ground state is a bound state, and for ⁸C, the ground state is the resonance with a small decay width of 0.07 MeV. Hence, the spatial distributions of four valence nucleons in their ground states are considered to be more compact than other four resonances in each nucleus. This property works to enhance the couplings between different configurations in the interaction region of four valence nucleons. This point was discussed in Ref. [15] by comparing the radius of valence nucleons in the $0_{1,2}^+$ states of two nuclei. If we adopt the bound-state approximation with $\theta = 0$, namely, without the CSM, we can obtain the 2_1^+ state of ⁸He with the positive energy of 0.36 MeV from the ${}^{4}\text{He} + 4n$ threshold, and the mixings of the configurations of $(p_{3/2})^3(p_{1/2})$ and $(p_{3/2})^2(p_{1/2})^2$ are 0.89 and 0.04, which shows the enhancement of the configuration mixing from the converging values shown in Table VI with the CSM. This fact indicates the importance of the correct treatment of the boundary condition in the analysis of the resonances.

We remark on the experimental situation of the resonances of ⁸He and ⁸C. For ⁸He, 2_1^+ has been reported in some experiments with the consistent energy region [3,9], but 0_1^+ , 1^+ , and 2_2^+ are not settled yet, although the possible signature has been reported [9]. For ⁸C, the only ground 0_1^+ state has been reported [11]. It is interesting to compare the present theoretical predictions with the experimental observations to get knowledge of the isospin-symmetry breaking in drip-line nuclei.

IV. SUMMARY

We investigated the resonances of ⁸He and ⁸C using the ⁴He +N + N + N + N five-body model for neutron-rich and proton-rich nuclei. We use the cluster orbital shell model to

TABLE VI. Dominant parts of the squared amplitudes $(C_{c,\theta}^J)^2$ of the 2_1^+ states of ⁸He and ⁸C.

Configuration	${}^{8}\text{He}(2^{+}_{1})$	${}^{8}C(2_{1}^{+})$
$\frac{(p_{3/2})^3(p_{1/2})}{(p_{3/2})^2(p_{1/2})^2}$	0.922 - i0.000 0.021 - i0.009	$\begin{array}{c} 0.922 + i0.017 \\ 0.035 - i0.028 \end{array}$
$(p_{3/2})(p_{1/2})^2(\tilde{p}_{1/2}) (p_{3/2})(p_{1/2})(d_{5/2})^2$	0.015 + i0.009 0.010 + i0.003	$-0.009 + i0.014 \\ 0.008 + i0.003$

TABLE VII. Dominant parts of the squared amplitudes $(C_{c,\theta}^J)^2$ of the 2^+_2 states of ⁸He and ⁸C.

Configuration	${}^{8}\text{He}(2_{2}^{+})$	${}^{8}C(2_{2}^{+})$
$(p_{3/2})^2 (p_{1/2})^2$	0.908 + i0.015	0.955 + i0.052
$(p_{3/2})^3(p_{1/2})$	0.026 - i0.011	0.035 - i0.031
$(p_{3/2})(p_{1/2})^2(\tilde{p}_{1/2})$	0.032 - i0.006	-0.017 + i0.006
$(p_{3/2})^2 (d_{3/2})^2$	0.032 + i0.004	0.010 - i0.024

describe the multinucleon motion around ⁴He in the weakly bound and unbound states. We also use the complex scaling method to treat many-body resonances under the correct boundary condition.

We found five states in both ⁸He and ⁸C, which are resonances except for the ground state of ⁸He. We obtained the resonance energies and decay widths from the complex energy eigenvalues of the resonance poles. The dynamical isospin-symmetry breaking is confirmed in the energy spectra of ⁸He and ⁸C including their decay widths, induced by the Coulomb interaction for protons in ⁸C. The obtained states are dominantly explained in the *p*-shell configurations, and

- [1] I. Tanihata et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 55, 2676 (1985).
- [2] I. Tanihata, H. Savajols, and R. Kanungo, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 68, 215 (2013).
- [3] A. A. Korsheninnikov et al., Phys. Lett. B 316, 38 (1993).
- [4] Y. Iwata et al., Phys. Rev. C 62, 064311 (2000).
- [5] M. Meister et al., Nucl. Phys. A 700, 3 (2002).
- [6] L. V. Chulkov et al., Nucl. Phys. A 759, 43 (2005).
- [7] F. Skaza *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. A **788**, 260c (2007), and the references therein.
- [8] P. Mueller et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 252501 (2007).
- [9] M. S. Golovkov *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **672**, 22 (2009), and the references therein.
- [10] R. J. Charity et al., Phys. Rev. C 82, 041304 (2010).
- [11] R. J. Charity et al., Phys. Rev. C 84, 014320 (2011).
- [12] Y. Kanada-En'yo, Phys. Rev. C 76, 044323 (2007).
- [13] T. Myo, R. Ando, and K. Katō, Phys. Lett. B 691, 150 (2010).
- [14] T. Myo, Y. Kikuchi, and K. Katō, Phys. Rev. C 85, 034338 (2012).
- [15] T. Myo and K. Katō, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2014, 083D01 (2014).
- [16] Y. Suzuki and K. Ikeda, Phys. Rev. C 38, 410 (1988).
- [17] H. Masui, K. Katō, and K. Ikeda, Phys. Rev. C 73, 034318 (2006).
- [18] T. Myo, K. Katō, and K. Ikeda, Phys. Rev. C 76, 054309 (2007).
- [19] T. Myo, Y. Kikuchi, and K. Katō, Phys. Rev. C 84, 064306 (2011).
- [20] Y. K. Ho, Phys. Rep. 99, 1 (1983).

the resonances with larger decay widths tend to be dominated by the single configuration in the jj-coupling picture. The excited resonances obtained in the present paper of ⁸He and ⁸C are the prediction for the future experiments.

This paper is the extension of the previous systematic study of neutron-rich He isotopes and their proton-rich mirror nuclei. In the future, the detailed analysis of the each resonance will be performed for ⁸He and ⁸C. For ⁸He, there are many kinds of open channels in the low-energy region, and the transition strength from the ground state is interesting to investigate the effect of not only resonances, but also nonresonant continuum states related to the open channels. In the calculation of the strength functions, the Green's function with complex scaling is useful [25,33–35]. The isospin-symmetry analysis is also an interesting aspect in two nuclei near the drip lines.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grants No. JP18K03660 and No. JP20K03962. Numerical calculations were partly achieved through the use of OCTOPUS at the Cybermedia Center, Osaka University.

- [21] N. Moiseyev, Phys. Rep. 302, 211 (1998).
- [22] S. Aoyama, T. Myo, K. Katō, and K. Ikeda, Prog. Theor. Phys. 116, 1 (2006).
- [23] T. Myo, Y. Kikuchi, H. Masui, and K. Katō, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 79, 1 (2014)
- [24] T. Myo and K. Katō, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2020, 12A101 (2020).
- [25] T. Myo, A. Ohnishi, and K. Katō, Prog. Theor. Phys. 99, 801 (1998).
- [26] T. Myo, K. Katō, S. Aoyama, and K. Ikeda, Phys. Rev. C 63, 054313 (2001).
- [27] R. Suzuki, T. Myo, and K. Katō, Prog. Theor. Phys. 113, 1273 (2005).
- [28] H. Kanada, T. Kaneko, S. Nagata, and M. Nomoto, Prog. Theor. Phys. 61, 1327 (1979).
- [29] Y. C. Tang, M. LeMere, and D. R. Thompson, Phys. Rep. 47, 167 (1978).
- [30] J. Aguilar and J. M. Combes, Commun. Math. Phys. 22, 269 (1971);
 E. Balslev and J. M. Combes, *ibid.* 22, 280 (1971).
- [31] T. Berggren, Nucl. Phys. A 109, 265 (1968).
- [32] F. Skaza et al., Phys. Rev. C 73, 044301 (2006).
- [33] M. Odsuren, K. Katō, M. Aikawa, and T. Myo, Phys. Rev. C 89, 034322 (2014).
- [34] M. Odsuren, Y. Kikuchi, T. Myo, M. Aikawa, and K. Katō, Phys. Rev. C 92, 014322 (2015).
- [35] M. Odsuren, Y. Kikuchi, T. Myo, G. Khuukhenkhuu, H. Masui, and K. Katō, Phys. Rev. C 95, 064305 (2017).