

HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

Title	Assessment of LeadCare (R) II analysis for testing of a wide range of blood lead levels in comparison with ICP-MS analysis
Author(s)	Nakata, Hokuto; Nakayama, Shouta M. M.; Yabe, John; Muzandu, Kaampwe; Toyomaki, Haruya; Yohannes, Yared Beyene; Kataba, Andrew; Zyambo, Golden; Ikenaka, Yoshinori; Choongo, Kennedy; Ishizuka, Mayumi
Citation	Chemosphere, 271, 129832 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.129832
Issue Date	2021-05
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/89538
Rights	© <2021>. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/
Rights(URL)	https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/
Туре	article (author version)
Additional Information	There are other files related to this item in HUSCAP. Check the above URL.
File Information	CHEM79109 Revised Manuscript_changes accepted.pdf



1 Abstract

2 The LeadCare® testing system, which utilizes anodic stripping voltammetry (ASV) 3 methodology, has been widely used worldwide for cost-effective blood lead level (BLL) 4 screening. However, some concerns have recently been issued regarding inaccurate 5 results obtained using LeadCare®. Hence, we aimed to evaluate the accuracy of BLL 6 measured by LeadCare® II (BLL_{LC}) by comparison with ICP-MS (BLL_{IM}) by the 7 Passing-Bablok regression, Deming regression, and Bland-Altman analyses by using 8 994 venous blood samples. BLL_{LC} ranged from 3.3 to 162.3 µg/dL, while BLL_{IM} ranged 9 from 0.8 to 154.8 µg/dL. Although BLL_{LC} and BLL_{IM} exhibited a strong and positive 10 correlation, BLL_{LC} values were generally greater than BLL_{IM} values, indicative of the 11 overestimation of the LeadCare[®] analysis. A large positive bias of $19.15 \pm 8.26 \,\mu\text{g/dL}$ 12 and 29.25 \pm 14.04 µg/dL for BLL_{LC} compared with BLL_{IM} were recorded in the BLL_{LC} 13 range of 45.0 to 64.9 μ g/dL and for \geq 65.0 μ g/dL, respectively. In contrast, a bias of \leq 0.3 μ g/dL was observed at a BLL_{LC} of less than 10.0 μ g/dL. Blood copper, cadmium, and 14 iron levels did not exhibit an effect on the bias of BLL_{LC}, indicative of the minimal 15 16 potential interferences of the metals; these interferences are a cause for concern with the 17 ASV method. In conclusion, LeadCare® analysis is thought to be a good tool for 18 screening purposes at a lower BLL around the reference level of 5 µg/dL in the initial 19 stage; however, conversion or retesting using a laboratory analyzer is recommended at a 20 higher BLL for appropriate clinical evaluation and research.

21

22 Keywords:

23 LeadCare, ICP-MS, bias, Bland–Altman analysis, Passing–Bablok regression, Deming
24 regression

26 1. Introduction

27 Environmental exposure to lead (Pb) still remains a public health concern. Pb 28 poisoning occurs because of the current anthropogenic sources and historic air Pb 29 emissions, including those of gasoline and from industries and mining operations 30 (ATSDR, 2010). The Pb concentration of the bone reflects long-term exposure and body burden, while the blood Pb level (BLL) reflects more recent exposure. BLL is currently 31 32 used widely as an indicator of Pb exposure (Barbosa et al., 2005). Although the Center 33 for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) stipulated an action level of 60 µg/dL for BLL 34 in the 1960s, the action level for BLL has dramatically and gradually decreased. In 2012, 35 the CDC has issued new guidelines for assessing children's BLL with its reference level 36 of 5 μ g/dL (CDC, 2012). On the basis of scientific evidence, chelation therapy is currently 37 highly recommended at a BLL of greater than or equal to 45 µg/dL (CDC, 2002; 38 Needleman, 2004).

39 Because of the demand for a rapid and inexpensive method for screening and 40 monitoring BLL, some early instruments that utilize anodic stripping voltammetry (ASV) 41 have been developed. However, potential interference by copper (Cu) was a major 42 concern since it may affect the BLL result from the ASV method (Roda et al., 1988). In 43 a recent review by Borrill et al. (2019), interferences of intermetallic compounds on solid 44 electrodes are problematic because preconcentration occurs only on the electrode surface 45 where interactions are likely to occur between different metals. Cadmium (Cd)-Pb (Zhao 46 and Liu, 2018) and iron (Fe)-Pb (Chau and Lum-Shue-Chan, 1974) are additional 47 examples of problematic intermetallic compounds at solid electrodes. Currently, only 48 LeadCare® testing systems from Magellan Diagnostics Inc. (North Billerica, MA, USA) 49 are commercially available and widely utilized in developed countries (Green et al., 2017; 50 Sobin et al., 2011) and developing countries (Dooyema et al., 2011; Safi et al., 2019; 51 Yabe et al., 2020). However, recently, the United States Food and Drug Administration 52 (FDA) has issued a Class I recall, the most serious type, for the LeadCare® testing system 53 (US FDA, 2017; 2018a). The US FDA has warned that LeadCare® testing systems may 54 underestimate BLL for the processing of venous blood samples. In addition, in late 2018, 55 the US FDA has concluded that there is a significant chance of obtaining incorrect results 56 by using the LeadCare® system in cases where venous blood is collected in certain blood 57 tubes containing a chemical called thiuram (US FDA, 2018b). The suspected tubes 58 manufactured by Becton Dickinson & Company (NJ, USA) include BD Vacutainer® 59 Lithium Heparin Green Top, which was used in our study before the FDA announcement. 60 Thiuram in the rubber stopper of the tube can release reactive gases, carbon disulfide 61 (CS₂), and carbonyl sulfide which can dissolve into the blood and tightly bind to Pb 62 particles. Similarly, the CDC also has issued concerns regarding the inaccurate results 63 obtained by using the LeadCare® instrument (CDC, 2018). Taken together, these indicate 64 that careful consideration and further scientific investigation of the LeadCare® analyzer's 65 validity are required.

66 To the best of our knowledge, only two studies comparing BLL measured using 67 the LeadCare® analyzer (BLL_{LC}) and inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry 68 (ICP–MS, BLL_{IM}) at around the reference value of 5 µg/dL have been reported (Johnson 69 et al., 2019; Sobin et al., 2011). In those studies, which were conducted in the laboratory 70 or a primary school in the USA, the LeadCare® analyzer was deemed to provide an 71 acceptable screening result. For the field study, Neri et al. (2014) reported that the dilution 72 method using human blood, which is verified to have a BLL below the lower detection 73 limit of 3.3 µg/dL for the LeadCare® II analyzer, can give an adequate result for BLL 74 greater than 65 µg/dL, corresponding to the upper detection limit of LeadCare® II. In 75 addition, in the same study, the BLL_{LC} consistency overestimated BLL_{IM} by twofold or 76 greater, and the concordance correlation coefficient was quite low (0.423) when blood 77 was diluted with saline. However, a study investigating the suitability of the LeadCare®

system for field analysis in a wide range of BLL values has not been reported thus far.
Moreover, there is a high demand for a portable instrument for rapid analysis, such as
LeadCare® testing systems, especially in rural areas of developing countries with limited
applicable resources.

82 As a core mining area, Kabwe Town in the Republic of Zambia has been in 83 operation for almost a century. Despite the closure of the mine in 1994, alarming 84 concentrations of Pb have been reported in the environment (Nakayama et al., 2011) and 85 animals (Doya et al., 2020; Nakata et al., 2016; Toyomaki et al., 2020; Yabe et al., 2013). 86 Recently, our study investigating human BLL_{LC} reported an increased BLL in Kabwe in 87 the range of 1.65 to 162 µg/dL for 1190 participants, although the dilution was performed 88 using hydrochloric acid (HCl) and not uncontaminated blood. The results below the lower 89 detection limit of 3.3 μ g/dL were adjusted to half their value of 1.65 μ g/dL (Yabe et al., 90 2020). A similar elevated trend of BLL_{IM} in the range of 0.79 to 154.75 μ g/dL was also 91 reported for 504 representatives from Kabwe (Nakata et al., 2020).

92 From the above discussion, LeadCare® II analysis was compared with ICP-MS 93 analysis in terms of validity in this study. To obtain a better picture, the maximum 94 available number of samples collected in Kabwe was compared, whereas some blood 95 samples were excluded from the analysis of two earlier studies (Nakata et al., 2020; Yabe 96 et al., 2020) because of the research design and limited budget for further clinical 97 assessment. As LeadCare® series demonstrates immense potential for field analysis due 98 to its unique and convenient characteristics, the evaluation in this study is significant for 99 the monitoring and control of global Pb pollution.

100

- 101 2. Methods
- 102
- 103 2.1. Venous blood sample collection

The study was approved by the University of Zambia Research Ethics 104 105 Committee (UNZAREC; ref. no. 012-04-16) and the Ministry of Health through the 106 Zambia National Health Research Ethics Committee and the Kabwe District Medical 107 Office. After two-stage random selection to capture the representative data of this area, 108 blood sampling was performed in Kabwe in July and August 2017. Geographical 109 information including the distance between the mine and each sampling point are shown 110 in Supplementary Figure S1. The details of sample selection were described in a recent 111 paper (Hiwatari et al., 2019). For blood collection in clinics, all collection items were 112 placed in plastic Ziploc® storage bags until use to avoid contamination as described in a 113 previous study (Nakata et al., 2020). After the cleaning and wiping of the collection site 114 on the arm with alcohol swabs to eliminate environmental contaminants, blood was 115 collected from the cubital vein. The collected samples were then immediately subjected 116 to LeadCare II (Magellan Diagnostics, North Billerica, MA, USA) analysis as described 117 below. Additionally, 200 µL of blood were separated into 1.5 mL plastic tubes 118 immediately after blood collection for metal extraction and ICP-MS analysis. The 119 separated blood samples and the remainder of the blood samples were stored at -20°C 120 until transportation. After the Material Transfer Agreement (MTA) was granted by the 121 Zambian Ministry of Health through the National Health Research Ethics Committee 122 (approval no. E03618), the samples were packed in cooler boxes and transported to the 123 Laboratory of Toxicology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Hokkaido University, Japan, 124 for laboratory analysis by ICP-MS.

125

126 2.2. LeadCare II analysis

127 Analysis was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions and as 128 recently described (Yabe et al., 2020). In brief, 50 µL of venous blood from a heparinized 129 tube (BD Vacutainer® Lithium Heparin Green Top (Becton, Dickinson and Company, 130 Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA)) was transferred into a vial containing the LeadCare II 131 treatment reagent (250 µL of 0.1% HCl) for hemolysis and for the release of Pb into the 132 solution. The mixed solution was then applied onto the electrochemical sensor for single 133 analysis. For quality assurance, the instrument was calibrated using a calibration probe 134 assigned to a specific reagent kit box (48 tests) by the manufacturer. In addition, analyses 135 of standard control reagents supplied by the manufacturer were performed as per the 136 manufacturer's instruction to confirm the accuracy. Those samples with a BLL_{LC} above 137 the detection limit of 65 μ g/dL were diluted for reanalysis. Next, 50 μ L of blood was 138 added into 100 µL of 0.1% HCl for three times dilution. Subsequently, 50 µL of the mixed 139 solution was transferred into a vial in the same way as that performed for undiluted blood.

- 140
- 141

2.3. Blood digestion and metal extraction

142 Blood digestion and metal extraction were performed as described recently 143 (Nakata et al., 2020). First, 200 µL of whole blood was digested with 5 mL of twofold 144 diluted ultrapure nitric acid (Cica reagent, specific gravity of 1.38, 60%; Kanto Chemical 145 Corp., Tokyo, Japan) and 1 mL of ultrapure hydrogen peroxide (Cica reagent, 30%; Kanto 146 Chemical Corp.) using a microwave digestion system (Speed Wave MWS-2; Berghof, 147 Eningen, Germany). The extracted solutions were then transferred into 15 mL plastic 148 tubes and diluted to a final volume of 10 mL with double-distilled and deionized water 149 (Milli-Q; Millipore, Bedford, MA). Supplementary Table S1 summarizes the detailed 150 heating program of the microwave digestion system.

151

152 2.4. Blood Pb, Cu, Cd, and Fe analysis using ICP–MS

153 Levels of BLL_{IM} as well as blood Cu (BCuL), Cd (BCdL), and Fe (BFL) were 154 determined by ICP-MS (7700 series, Agilent Technologies, Tokyo, Japan) as reported 155 by Nakata et al. (2020). Supplementary Table S2 summarizes the detailed operating 156 conditions. Analytical quality control was performed using the certified reference 157 material of Seronorm[™] Trace Elements Whole Blood L-2 (Sero, Billingstad, Norway). 158 Replicate analysis of these reference materials revealed good accuracy (relative standard 159 deviation (RSD) of less than 3%) and recoveries (95–105%). The instrument detection 160 limit was 0.001 µg/L for all the targeted metals. The limits of detection (LOD) of the 161 extracted sample analysis were 0.048, 0.024, 0.008, and 11.65 ug/L for Pb, Cu, Cd, and 162 Fe, respectively.

163

164 2.5. Statistical analysis

165 JMP Pro version 14 (SAS Institute, NC, USA) was employed for all statistical 166 analyses, except the Passing–Bablok regression analysis, which was carried out using 167 Analyze-it Method Validation Edition version 5.65.3 (Analyze-it Software, Ltd., Leeds, 168 UK). Following lack of normality in the BLL data distribution based on the Shapiro-Wilk 169 test, the data were log-transformed. The log-transformed data fitted a normal distribution 170 for statistical analysis as was confirmed by the Shapiro-Wilk test. However, the results 171 for the actual and log-transformed numbers were recorded in this study for easy 172 comparison with other studies. Those samples with a BLL_{LC} below the detection limit of 173 3.3 µg/dL were excluded from the analysis and comparison. The Passing-Bablok 174 regression analysis and Deming regression analysis were performed to assess the 175 correlation between BLL_{LC} and BLL_{IM} in real and log-transformed data, respectively. In 176 addition, Bland-Altman tests were conducted to evaluate comparability across BLL 177 measurement methods. The Pearson correlation coefficient was utilized to assess the 178 relationship of log-transformed BCuL with log-transformed BLL_{LC}, BLL_{IM}, and the bias

- 179(log-transformed BLL_{LC} log-transformed BLL_{IM}); log-transformed BCdL with log-180transformed BLL_{LC}, BLL_{IM}, and the bias; as well as log-transformed BFL with log-181transformed BLL_{LC}, BLL_{IM}, and the bias. Statistical analyses were performed at a182significance level of $0.05 \ (p < 0.05)$.

184 **3. Results**

185 **3.1. Overall trend of BLL**LC and BLLIM

186 In total, 1208 venous blood samples were analyzed using the LeadCare II 187 analyzer and ICP-MS. Supplementary Table S3 provides the characteristics of the studied 188 population by area. Among 1208 blood samples, 214 samples (17.7%) exhibited a BLL_{LC} 189 below the detection limit of 3.3 μ g/dL; hence, these samples were excluded from the 190 study, and the remaining 994 samples were used for data analysis and comparison. 191 Table 1 summarizes the statistical distribution of the obtained BLL_{LC} and BLL_{IM}, and 192 Figure 1 shows the corresponding analysis using the box-and-whisker plot. The BLL_{LC} 193 ranged from its lower detection limit of 3.3 to 162.3 μ g/dL with a mean \pm standard 194 deviation (SD) of $25.9 \pm 21.8 \,\mu$ g/dL. In contrast, the range and mean \pm SD of BLL_{IM} were 195 0.8 to 154.8 μ g/dL and 18.1 \pm 14.8 μ g/dL, respectively. The BLL_{LC} values were greater 196 than the BLL_{IM} values for all descriptive statistical values, including the mean, 95% 197 confidence interval (CI), SD, and minimum and maximum values, as well as percentiles. 198

199 **3.2.** Comparability between BLL_{LC} and BLL_{IM}

200 Strong and positive correlations between BLL_{LC} and BLL_{IM}, as well as between 201 log BLL_{LC} and log BLL_{IM}, with correlation coefficients (r^2) of 0.904 and 0.903, 202 respectively, were observed (Figure 2, Supplementary Figure S2, Supplementary Figure 203 S3). A regression-line slope of <1 indicated that BLL_{LC} is generally greater than BLL_{IM}. 204 The Bland-Altman analysis was performed to assess the bias of BLL_{LC} as compared with 205 BLL_{IM}, as well as that of log BLL_{LC} against log BLL_{IM} (Figure 3). Overall, the mean bias 206 of BLL_{LC} was 7.76 μ g/dL, with a CI of 7.103 to 8.412 μ g/dL. The lower and upper limits 207 of agreement were -12.87 and $28.39 \,\mu$ g/dL, respectively. The increasing tendency of bias 208 at a higher Pb level was observed rather than constant bias. Compared with the log-209 transformed data, logBLL_{LC} exhibited a positive mean bias of 0.129 with a CI of 0.119 to

- 210 0.140. The lower and upper limit of agreement were -0.207 and 0.465, respectively.
- 211 Table 2 summarizes the mean and SD of the bias in the different BLL_{LC} range groups
- 212 calculated by the Bland–Altman analysis. Compared with that observed for BLL_{IM}, large
- 213 positive biases of $19.15 \pm 8.26 \,\mu$ g/dL and $29.25 \pm 14.04 \,\mu$ g/dL for BLL_{LC} were recorded
- in the range of 45.0–64.9 μ g/dL and at \geq 65.0 μ g/dL, respectively. On the other hand, the
- bias was within 0.3 μ g/dL in the ranges of 3.3–4.9 μ g/dL and 5.0–9.9 μ g/dL. Generally,
- the higher was the BLL_{LC}, the higher was the bias.

3.3. Distribution of BCuL, BCdL, and BFL, as well as the associations with BLL_{LC} and BLL_{IM}

219 Table 1 summarizes the BCuL, BCdL, and BFL distributions. The recorded 220 BCuL ranged from 0.27 to 3.06 mg/L, with a mean of 1.15 ± 0.24 mg/L. The comparison 221 of the log-transformed values for BLL_{LC} and BLL_{IM} revealed significant positive associations (p < 0.0001 and p < 0.0001, respectively) for BCuL despite the low r^2 values 222 223 of 0.13 and 0.17, respectively (Table 3). On the other hand, a statistical relationship was 224 not observed between log BCuL and the BLL measurement bias (p = 0.06). BCdL and 225 BFL were within the range of 0.02 to 2.27 µg/L and 0.07 to 0.91 mg/mL, with mean 226 values of $0.25 \pm 0.24 \,\mu$ g/L and $0.47 \pm 0.08 \,$ mg/mL, respectively. Compared with the BLL 227 measurement bias, BCdL did not exhibit a statistically significant association (p = 0.43). 228 The significant relationship between BLL measurement bias and BFL (p < 0.01) was 229 observed albeit the low r^2 value of -0.09.

4. Discussion

232 A portable LeadCare® testing system has been widely considered as a good tool 233 for screening the Pb exposure level because of its convenient characteristics. However, 234 the US FDA and CDC have expressed concerns related to the possible inaccurate results 235 of BLL_{LC} (CDC 2018; US FDA, 2017; 2018a; 2018b). Even before these reports, Bossarte 236 et al. (2007) raised concerns that LeadCare may provide a falsely low BLL result. On the 237 basis of this concern, several earlier studies were carried out to assess the suitability of 238 LeadCare® analysis compared with a laboratory metal analyzer such as ICP-MS in a 239 specific range of BLL. In this study, the comparison between the 994 pairs of BLL_{LC} and 240 BLL_{IM} was done with a wide range of BLL and a larger sample size as compared with 241 previous investigations to further verify the validity of LeadCare® II analysis. For this 242 purpose, three statistical comparative methods were applied although the Passing-Bablok 243 regression does not account for random variation between the two analytical methods.

244 Our results revealed a good correlation between BLL_{LC} and BLL_{IM} when 245 compared in the overall BLL_{LC} range of 3.3 to 162.3 µg/dL. In contrast, the 246 overestimation of LeadCare® measurement was indicated by regression analyses and 247 Bland-Altman analysis, with an overall positive bias of 7.76 µg/dL. However, the 248 comparison of different BLL_{LC} ranges revealed a small bias in the BLL_{LC} ranges of 3.3– 249 4.9 μ g/dL and 5.0–9.9 μ g/dL, indicating the validity of BLL_{LC} in a lower BLL range. This 250 trend is similar to that previously reported for the bias of mainly around 5 μ g/dL for 251 children's BLL (Johnson et al., 2019; Sobin et al., 2011). In addition, a small positive bias 252 of 0.45 μ g/dL was reported for a Pb-exposed employee's BLL of less than 10 μ g/dL upon 253 comparison of the LeadCare® instrument with graphite furnace atomic absorption 254 spectrometry (GFAAS) (Taylor et al., 2001). For fresh blood samples of the Scandinavian 255 brown bear (Ursus arctos), the BLL_{IM} of which ranged from 3.3 to 17.3 µg/dL, a bias of 256 0.225 in log-transformed data the real number of which is described by the unit $\mu g/L$ 257 (equivalent to 0.17 μ g/dL for a real number) was recently recorded (Boesen et al., 2019). 258 As a BLL of 5 μ g/dL is considered as a reference value (CDC, 2012), the LeadCare® 259 analyzer can be considered as a good tool for primary screening. In the BLL_{LC} range of 260 10.0–19.9 μ g/dL, a higher positive bias of 2.84 μ g/dL was detected, while a slight 261 negative bias (-0.8 μ g/dL) was previously reported for the samples for which BLL mostly 262 ranged from 10 to 20 μ g/dL (Johnson et al., 2019).

263 On the other hand, a strong positive bias were detected at a higher BLL_{LC} range 264 of >20 μ g/dL. A previous study investigating the suitability of the LeadCare® analyzer 265 for raptor venous blood reported an adverse bias of $-1.12 \,\mu g/dL$ for blood samples for 266 which BLL_{IM} ranged from 10 to 80 µg/dL (González et al., 2019). Pineau et al. (2002) 267 performed recovery tests using pooled blood (3.68 \pm 0.21 μ g/dL) and additive blood, the 268 BLL of which is 22 μ g/dL. The LeadCare® analyzer returned a mean value of 27.1 ± 1.8 269 μ g/dL, in contrast to that of 24.9 ± 0.35 μ g/dL by GFAAS and indicative of 2.2 μ g/dL 270 overestimation of LeadCare analysis as compared with GFAAS. Compared with earlier 271 observations, a higher bias was observed herein for the BLL_{LC} range of 20.0 to $44.9 \,\mu$ g/dL 272 and between 45.0 and 64.9 µg/dL. As chelation therapy is recommended at a BLL of 273 \geq 45 µg/dL (CDC, 2002; Needleman, 2004), the observed large bias at around the 274 threshold for treatment would be crucial. Although the bias did not exhibit a statistically 275 significant relationship with BCuL, BCdL, and BFL, one of the possible reasons for this 276 large bias is the interference of intermetallic compounds, which are concerns reported 277 previously (Borrill et al., 2019; Chau and Lum-Shue-Chan, 1974; Zhao and Liu, 2018). 278 In addition to Cu, Cd, and Fe, which were verified in this study, the elevated concentration 279 of some metals (such as cobalt, nickel, and chromium) and a metalloid (arsenic) in the 280 environment (Nakayama et al., 2011) and animals (Doya et al., 2020; Nakata et al., 2016; 281 Toyomaki et al., 2020; Yabe et al., 2013) has been previously reported for the examined 282 area of Kabwe. Borrill et al. (2019) reported that Pb speciation is another factor that should be carefully considered. To the best of our knowledge, data have not been reported
about Pb speciation in humans or any other animals in Kabwe. Cerussite (PbCO₃) and
anglesite (PbSO₄) are the two main crystalline states of Pb in leached residues from the
Kabwe mine (Silwamba et al., 2020a; 2020b). Further investigation should be performed
to answer this inexplicable large bias.

At a BLL of greater than 65 μ g/dL, at which blood samples need to be diluted for LeadCare® measurement because of the exceeding upper detection limit, the mean bias was extremely high (29.25 μ g/dL). A limitation of this study was possibly the dilution medium used for readings that were above the detection limit. In this study, use of the LeadCare® II treatment reagent of 0.1% HCl for dilution was done instead of the recommended dilution protocol using "unpolluted blood" (Neri et al., 2014) because of the practical challenge in the investigated area considerably contaminated with Pb.

295 BCuL, BCdL, and BFL exhibited significant positive relationships with BLL_{LC} 296 and BLL_{IM}, whereas correlation coefficients were small. This result is in agreement with 297 the elevated Cu, Cd, and Fe levels in soil (Nakayama et al., 2011) and animals (Doya et 298 al., 2020; Nakata et al., 2016; Toyomaki et al., 2020; Yabe et al., 2013) around the mine 299 area, along with that observed for Pb. However, the recorded range of BCuL was 300 comparable to the formerly reported normal values of 1.4 ± 0.32 and 1.5 ± 0.38 mg/L for men and women, respectively, with ages between 46 to 60 years (Kazi et al., 2008). This 301 302 result could be explained by the function of Cu in homeostasis in the body; it is an 303 essential metal for the human body (Araya et al., 2006). On the other hand, the 304 comparison with previously reported normal values of BFL for men $(0.71 \pm 0.05 \text{ mg/mL})$ 305 and women $(0.70 \pm 0.06 \text{ mg/mL})$ suggested that the observed BFL in this study is 306 relatively lower (Kazi et al., 2008). In contrast to the correlation with BLL_{LC} and BLL_{IM}, 307 the associations of BCuL, BCdL, and BFL with the bias of BLL_{LC} against BLL_{IM} were 308 not significant. On the other hand, intermetallic interference has been suggested as one of the potential factors that may affect the BLL result from the ASV method (Borrill et al.,
2019; Chau and Lum-Shue-Chan, 1974; Roda et al., 1988; Zhao and Liu, 2018).
Interferences such as Cu, Cd, or Fe were not observed in this study using the modern
LeadCare® testing system.

313 A recent FDA recall suggested the potential falsely underestimated BLL in 314 venous blood when using the LeadCare® analyzer (FDA, 2017). In addition, the FDA 315 again reported that a chemical compound of thiuram in the rubber stopper of certain blood 316 collection tubes can result in a negative bias for the result (FDA, 2018b). Unfortunately, 317 as these suspected tubes are common, they were used in this study before the FDA 318 announcement. With respect to specimen collection, it was not practically possible to 319 collect blood samples twice from one person, one from a capillary by using the pricking 320 method for LeadCare® analysis only, and the other from the vein using a blood collection 321 needle for ICP-MS analysis and subsequent laboratory analysis, because of ethical 322 reasons and limited field capacity. Because this study was not originally designed to 323 assess the potential falsely low Pb levels due to thiuram as pointed out by the FDA, a 324 clear answer was unfortunately not obtained although the observed bias was found to be 325 generally positive.

326 However, it should be emphasized that the results obtained herein suggest that 327 the LeadCare® testing system is appropriate and acceptable for screening with a BLL 328 cut-off of 5 μ g/dL, as recently concluded (Johnson et al., 2019). At higher range, greater 329 than 10 µg/dL, converting from BLL_{LC} to BLL_{IM} or simple retesting using a laboratory 330 metal analyzer such as ICP-MS for data confirmation would be recommended as 331 proposed before (Boesen et al., 2019; Sobin et al., 2011), although a positive bias should 332 be preferred than a negative bias in terms of risk management. Our results are also in 333 agreement with the suggestion of Sobin et al. (2011) that LeadCare® measurement is not 334 recommended for investigating the threshold for health effects or any other associated

factors. In contrast, the statistically strong positive association between BLL_{LC} and BLL_{IM}
indicates that the LeadCare® system is applicable to the assessment of concentrationdependent effect of Pb on the associated factors. In addition, LeadCare® analysis is
beneficial to determining the pollution status in the initial stage in the field.

339

340 5. Limitations

341 There are some limitations in the current study. First, we used the LeadCare® II 342 treatment reagent of 0.1% HCl for dilution of blood samples whose BLL_{LC} at initial 343 measurement were above the detection limit of 65 μ g/dL. This is because it was 344 realistically difficult to follow the recommended dilution protocols using "unpolluted 345 blood" (Neri et al., 2014) due to the capacity issue. Second, venous blood samples were 346 used for LeadCare® measurement instead of capillary blood because of the ethical 347 reasons and limited capacity in the field. The venous blood samples may have caused an 348 underestimation of BLL measured by the LeadCare® instrument as the US FDA 349 suggested (US FDA, 2017). Finally, the blood collection tubes with thiuram-contained 350 rubber stoppers were used for venous blood collection. The US FDA reported that there 351 is a possibility of obtaining inaccurate results of BLL because thiuram can release 352 chemical compounds which can interfere with Pb particles (US FDA, 2018b).

353

6. Conclusions

A possibility of underestimation or overestimation of the BLL following LeadCare® analysis exists. The observed bias differed considerably between the low and high concentration ranges. Our results suggest that LeadCare® analysis is a good screening method for Pb exposure at a lower BLL at around the current reference level of $5 \mu g/dL$. However, conversion or retesting using laboratory analyzers, such as ICP–MS, is recommended at a higher BLL of greater than 10 $\mu g/dL$ for the adequate clinical 361 evaluation of exposure status, albeit the good correlations between BLL_{LC} and BLL_{IM} 362 even at $\geq 10 \,\mu$ g/dL. Moreover, the use of the LeadCare® instrument to determine the 363 threshold for any factor should be carefully considered because of the analytical bias with 364 ICP–MS.

365

366 Acknowledgements

367 This work was supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the 368 Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan awarded to M. 369 Ishizuka (No. 16H01779, 18K19847, and 18KK0287), Y. Ikenaka (No. 17K20038, 370 18H04132), S.M.M. Nakayama (No. 17KK0009, 20K20633), and H. Nakata (No. 371 19K20472) and the foundation of JSPS Bilateral Open Partnership Joint Research 372 Projects (JPJSBP120209902). We also acknowledge financial support from The Japan 373 Prize Foundation and The Akiyama Life Science Foundation. This research was also 374 supported by JST/JICA SATREPS (Science and Technology Research Partnership for 375 Sustainable Development; No. JPMJSA1501), JST aXis (Accelerating Social 376 Implementation for SDGs Achievement No. JPMJAS2001) and Program for supporting 377 introduction of the new sharing system (JPMXS0420100619). We are highly indebted to 378 the communities that participated in the study. We are also grateful to the health centers 379 in Kabwe, the Kabwe District Health Office, Kabwe Municipal Council and the Ministry 380 of Health, Zambia, for facilitating our work. We also want to thank all the laboratory 381 technicians and nurses at the health centers for their technical support. Our appreciation 382 is extended to Hisao Odagiri, Michiko Nyanga and Susumu Makino for their coordination, 383 Sayako Yamamoto for her assistance as well as Takahiro Ichise and Nagisa Hirano for 384 their technical support.

385

386 Competing Interests Statement

387 The authors declare no competing interests.

388 References

389

- 390 [1] Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). (2010). Case Studies
 391 in Environmental Medicine: Lead Toxicity. Available:
 392 http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/csem/lead/docs/lead.pdf.
- **393** [assessed 14th February 2020]

394

395 [2] Barbosa Jr, F., Tanus-Santos, J. E., Gerlach, R. F., & Parsons, P. J. (2005). A critical
396 review of biomarkers used for monitoring human exposure to lead: advantages,
397 limitations, and future needs. Environmental health perspectives, 113(12), 1669-1674.
398 PMID: 16330345, https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.7917

399

- 400 [3] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2012). Low level lead exposure harms401 children: a renewed call of primary prevention. Atlanta, GA: CDC. Available:
- 402 https://www.cdc.gov/nceh/lead/acclpp/final_document_030712.pdf
- 403 [Accessed 12 February 2020]

404

- [4] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), 2002. Managing elevated blood
 lead levels among young children: recommendations from the Advisory Com- mittee on
 Childhood Lead Poisoning prevention. Available: https://stacks.cdc.gov/view/cdc/26980.
- 408 [Accessed 12 February 2020]

409

- 410 [5] Needleman, H., 2004. Lead poisoning. Annu. Rev. Med. 55, 209e222. PMID:
- 411 14746518, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.med.55.091902.103653

413 [6] Roda, S. M., Greenland, R. D., Bornschein, R. L., & Hammond, P. B. (1988). Anodic
414 stripping voltammetry procedure modified for improved accuracy of blood lead analysis.

415 Clinical chemistry, 34(3), 563-567. PMID: 3280164

416

417 [7] Borrill, A. J., Reily, N. E., & Macpherson, J. V. (2019). Addressing the practicalities
418 of anodic stripping voltammetry for heavy metal detection: a tutorial review. Analyst,
419 144(23), 6834-6849. PMID: 31657380, https://doi.org/10.1039/c9an01437c

420

421 [8] Zhao, G., & Liu, G. (2018). A portable electrochemical system for the on-site
422 detection of heavy metals in farmland soil based on electrochemical sensors. IEEE
423 Sensors Journal, 18(14), 5645-5655. https://doi.org/10.1109/JSEN.2018.2845306

424

425 [9] Chau, Y. K., & Lum-Shue-Chan, K. (1974). Determination of labile and strongly
426 bound metals in lake water. Water Research, 8(6), 383-388. https://doi.org/10.1016/0043427 1354(74)90052-9

428

[10] Green, D., Sullivan, M., Cooper, N., Dean, A., & Marquez, C. (2017). A pilot study
of children's blood lead levels in Mount Isa, Queensland. International journal of
environmental research and public health, 14(12), 1567. PMID: 29236077,
https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph14121567

433

[11] Sobin, C., Parisi, N., Schaub, T., & de la Riva, E. (2011). A Bland–Altman
comparison of the Lead Care® System and inductively coupled plasma mass
spectrometry for detecting low-level lead in child whole blood samples. Journal of
Medical Toxicology, 7(1), 24-32. PMID: 20886381, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13181-0100113-7

- [12] Dooyema, C. A., Neri, A., Lo, Y. C., Durant, J., Dargan, P. I., Swarthout, T., Biya,
 O., Gidado, S. O., Haladu, S., Sani-Gwarzo, N., Nguku, P. M., Akpan, H., Idris, S., Bashir,
 - 20

A. M., & Brown, M. J. (2011). Outbreak of fatal childhood lead poisoning related to
artisanal gold mining in northwestern Nigeria, 2010. Environmental health perspectives,
120(4), 601-607. PMID: 22186192, https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1103965

445

[13] Safi, J. M., Yassin, M. M., El-Nahhal, Y. Z., Abed, Y. A., Safi, M. J., & Suleiman,
H. D. (2019). Childhood lead poisoning in gaza strip, the Palestinian authority. Journal
of Trace Elements in Medicine and Biology, 54, 118-125. PMID: 31109601,
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtemb.2019.04.004

450

[14] Yabe, J., Nakayama, S. M., Nakata, H., Toyomaki, H., Yohannes, Y. B., Muzandu,
K., Kataba, A., Zyambo, G., Hiwatari, M., Narita, D., Yamada, D., Hangoma, P.,
Munyinda, N. S., Mufune, T., Ikenaka, Y., Choongo, K., & Ishizuka, M. (2020). Current
trends of blood lead levels, distribution patterns and exposure variations among
household members in Kabwe, Zambia. Chemosphere, 243, 125412. PMID: 31995873,
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.125412

457

[15] US Food and Drug Administration. (2017). FDA warns against using Magellan
Diagnostics LeadCare Testing Systems with blood obtained from a vein: FDA Safety
Communication. Available: https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/safetycommunications/fda-warns-against-using-magellan-diagnostics-leadcare-testingsystems-blood-obtained-vein-fda-safety.

463 [Accessed 14th February 2020]

464

465 [16] US Food and Drug Administration. (2018a). Magellan Diagnostics Inc. Expands
466 Recall for LeadCare Testing Systems Due to Inaccurate Test Results. Available:
467 https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/medical-device-recalls/magellan-diagnostics-inc-

468 expands-recall-leadcare-testing-systems-due-inaccurate-test-results.

469 [Accessed 14th February 2020]

471 472 473	[17] US Food and Drug Administration. (2018b). Safety Issue on Magellan Diagnostics LeadCare Testing Systems. Available: https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/tests-used- clinical-care/safety-issue-magellan-diagnostics-leadcare-testing-systems
474	[Accessed 14th February 2020]
475	
476 477 478	[18] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2018). Emergency preparedness and response potential for falsely low blood lead test results from LeadCare analyzers. Available: https://emergency.cdc.gov/han/han00403.asp.
479	[Accessed 14th February 2020]
480	
481 482 483	 [19] Johnson, L. M., Lynch, M., Johnson, C., & Karger, A. B. (2019). Evaluation of LeadCare Ultra® as an initial screen for elevated blood lead levels. Clinical biochemistry, 66, 95-99. PMID: 30790543, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2019.02.010
484	
485 486 487 488 489	[20] Neri, A. J., Roy, J., Jarrett, J., Pan, Y., Dooyema, C., Caldwell, K., Umar-Tsafe, N. T., Olubiyo, R., & Brown, M. J. (2014). Analysis of a novel field dilution method for testing samples that exceed the analytic range of point-of-care blood lead analyzers. International journal of environmental health research, 24(5), 418-428. PMID: 24266724, https://doi.org/10.1080/09603123.2013.857390
490	
491 492 493 494	[21] Nakayama, S. M., Ikenaka, Y., Hamada, K., Muzandu, K., Choongo, K., Teraoka, H., Mizuno, H., & Ishizuka, M. (2011). Metal and metalloid contamination in roadside soil and wild rats around a Pb–Zn mine in Kabwe, Zambia. Environmental pollution, 159(1), 175-181. PMID: 20971538, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2010.09.007

495

496 [22] Doya, R., Nakayama, S. M., Nakata, H., Toyomaki, H., Yabe, J., Muzandu, K., 497 Yohannes, Y. B., Kataba, A., Zyambo, G., Ogawa, T., Uchida, Y., Ikenaka, Y., & Ishizuka, M. (2020). Land Use in Habitat Affects Metal Concentrations in Wild Lizards 498

499 Around a Former Lead Mining Site. Environmental Science & Technology. PMID:
500 32490671, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c00150

501

502 [23] Nakata, H., Nakayama, S. M., Yabe, J., Liazambi, A., Mizukawa, H., Darwish, W.
503 S., Ikenaka, Y., & Ishizuka, M. (2016). Reliability of stable Pb isotopes to identify Pb
504 sources and verifying biological fractionation of Pb isotopes in goats and chickens.
505 Environmental Pollution, 208, 395-403. PMID: 26549754,
506 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2015.10.006

507

508 [24] Toyomaki, H., Yabe, J., Nakayama, S. M., Yohannes, Y. B., Muzandu, K., Liazambi,
509 A., Ikenaka, Y., Kuritani, T., Nakagawa, M., & Ishizuka, M. (2020). Factors associated
510 with lead (Pb) exposure on dogs around a Pb mining area, Kabwe, Zambia. Chemosphere,

511 125884. PMID: 31978655, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.125884

512

[25] Yabe, J., Nakayama, S. M., Ikenaka, Y., Muzandu, K., Choongo, K., Mainda, G.,
Kabeta, M., Ishizuka, M., & Umemura, T. (2013). Metal distribution in tissues of freerange chickens near a lead–zinc mine in Kabwe, Zambia. Environmental toxicology and
chemistry, 32(1), 189-192

517

518 [26] Nakata, H., Nakayama, S. M., Yabe, J., Muzandu, K., Toyomaki, H., Yohannes, Y. 519 B., Kataba, A., Zyambo, G., Ikenaka, Y., Choongo, K., & Ishizuka, M. (2020). Clinical 520 biochemical parameters associated with the exposure to multiple environmental metals in 521 residents from Kabwe. Zambia. Chemosphere, 262, 127788. 522 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.127788

523

[27] Yamada, D., Hiwatari, M., Hangoma, P., Narita, D., Mphuka, C., Chitah, B., Yabe,
J., Nakayama, S. M., Nakata, H., Choongo, K., & Ishizuka, M. (2020). Assessing the
population-wide exposure to lead pollution in Kabwe, Zambia: an econometric estimation
based on survey data. Scientific reports, 10(1), 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-02071998-5

529

- [28] Bossarte, R. M., Brown, M. J., & Jones, R. L. (2007). Blood lead misclassification
 due to defective LeadCare® blood lead testing equipment. Clinical chemistry, 53(5), 994-
- 532 995. PMID: 17468412, https://doi.org/10.1373/clinchem.2006.082404

533

[29] Taylor, L., Jones, R. L., Kwan, L., Deddens, J. A., Ashley, K., & Sanderson, W. T.
(2001). Evaluation of a portable blood lead analyzer with occupationally exposed
populations. American journal of industrial medicine, 40(4), 354-362. PMID: 11598984,
https://doi.org/10.1002/ajim.1109

538

[30] Boesen, A. H., Thiel, A., Fuchs, B., Evans, A. L., Bertelsen, M. F., Rodushkin, I., &
Arnemo, J. M. (2019). Assessment of the LeadCare® Plus for Use on Scandinavian
Brown Bears (Ursus arctos). Frontiers in veterinary science, 6, 285. PMID: 31552279,
https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2019.00285

543

[31] González, F., Camacho, M., Tiburón, N. P., Peña, M. Z., Rueda, L. R., & Luzardo,
O. P. (2019). Suitability of anodic stripping voltammetry for routine analysis of venous
blood from raptors. Environmental toxicology and chemistry, 38(4), 737-747. PMID:
30556155, https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.4339

548

[32] Pineau, A., Fauconneau, B., Rafael, M., Viallefont, A., & Guillard, O. (2002).
Determination of lead in whole blood: comparison of the LeadCare blood lead testing
system with Zeeman longitudinal electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometry. Journal
of trace elements in medicine and biology, 16(2), 113-117. PMID: 12195725,
https://doi.org/10.1016/S0946-672X(02)80037-2

- 555 [33] Silwamba, M., Ito, M., Hiroyoshi, N., Tabelin, C. B., Hashizume, R., Fukushima, T.,
- 556 Park, I., Jeon, S., Igarashi, T., Sato, T., Chirwa, M., Banda, K., Nyambe, I., Nakata, H.,
- 557 Nakayama, S., & Ishizuka, M. (2020a). Recovery of Lead and Zinc from Zinc Plant Leach

Residues by Concurrent Dissolution-Cementation Using Zero-Valent Aluminum in
Chloride Medium. Metals, 10(4), 531. https://doi.org/10.3390/met10040531

560

561	[34] Silwamba, M., Ito, M., Hiroyoshi, N., Tabelin, C. B., Fukushima, T., Park, I., Jeon,
562	S., Igarashi, T., Sato, T., Nyambe, I., Chirwa, M., Banda, K., Nakata, H., Nakayama, S.,
563	& Ishizuka, M. (2020b). Detoxification of lead-bearing zinc plant leach residues from
564	Kabwe, Zambia by coupled extraction-cementation method. Journal of Environmental
565	Chemical Engineering, 104197. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2020.104197

566

567 [35] Kazi, T. G., Afridi, H. I., Kazi, N., Jamali, M. K., Arain, M. B., Jalbani, N., &

- 568 Kandhro, G. A. (2008). Copper, chromium, manganese, iron, nickel, and zinc levels in
- biological samples of diabetes mellitus patients. Biological trace element research, 122(1),
- 570 1-18. PMID: 18193174, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12011-007-8062-y

571

572 [36] Araya, M., Pizarro, F., Olivares, M., Arredondo, M., Gonzalez, M., & Méndez, M.
573 (2006). Understanding copper homeostasis in humans and copper effects on health.
574 Biological research, 39(1), 183-187. PMID: 16629178, https://doi.org/10.4067/s0716575 97602006000100020

576

577 [37] Roda, S. M., Greenland, R. D., Bornschein, R. L., & Hammond, P. B. (1988). Anodic

- 578 stripping voltammetry procedure modified for improved accuracy of blood lead analysis.
- 579 Clinical chemistry, 34(3), 563-567. PMID: 3280164

581 Figure legends

Figure 1. Box-and-whisker plot of BLL_{LC} and BLL_{IM}. Percentile lines represent 0, 0.5,
2.5, 10, 25, 50, 75, 90, 97.5, and 99.5% percentiles from the bottom to top. Parts of BLL_{LC}
(Yabe et al., 2020) and BLL_{IM} (Nakata et al., 2020) data were reported in earlier papers
and cited.

586

Figure 2. Passing–Bablok regression analysis between BLL_{LC} and BLL_{IM} (A), as well as
Deming regression analysis between log BLL_{LC} and log BLL_{IM} (B). Parts of BLL_{LC}
(Yabe et al., 2020) and BLL_{IM} (Nakata et al., 2020) data were reported in earlier papers
and cited.

591

592 Figure 3. Bland–Altman plot of differences between BLL_{LC} and BLL_{IM} (left) and between 593 log BLL_{LC} and log BLL_{IM} (right). Mean difference is indicated by a red line, with a 95% 594 confidence interval indicated by the red dotted line. Black lines show upper and lower 595 limits of agreement of Bland–Altman analysis ($\pm 1.96 \times$ standard deviation). Blue = 596 sample whose BLL_{LC} ranges from 3.3 to 4.9, light green = sample whose BLL_{LC} ranges 597 from 5.0 to 9.9, orange = sample whose BLL_{LC} ranges from 10.0 to 19.9, pink = sample 598 whose BLL_{LC} ranges from 20.0 to 44.9, red = sample whose BLL_{LC} ranges from 45.0 to 599 64.9, and black = sample whose BLL_{LC} is \geq 65.0.

600

601 Ta	ble list
--------	----------

Table 1. Statistical distributions of BLL_{LC}, BLL_{IM}, BCuL, BCdL, and BFL.

603

Table 2. Bias of BLL_{LC} relative to BLL_{IM} calculated using the Bland–Altman analysis, as
well as the regression equation with a correlation coefficient calculated using the Passing–
Bablok regression analysis.

- 608 Table 3. Pearson's coefficients of correlation (r^2) between BCuL with BLL_{LC}, BLL_{IM},
- and the bias; BCdL with BLL_LC, BLL_IM, and the bias; and BFL with BLL_LC, BLL_IM, and
- 610 the bias.