



Title	Synthesis of highly Li-ion conductive garnet-type solid ceramic electrolytes by solution-process-derived sintering additives
Author(s)	Rosero-Navarro, Nataly Carolina; Watanabe, Haruna; Miura, Akira; Tadanaga, Kiyoharu
Citation	Journal of the European ceramic society, 41(13), 6767-6771 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2021.06.045
Issue Date	2021-10
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/90121
Rights	© 2021. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/
Rights(URL)	http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/
Type	article (author version)
File Information	JECESOC-Accepted version -Watanabe+SI.pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

Journal of the European Ceramic Society

Synthesis of highly Li-ion conductive garnet-type solid ceramic electrolytes by solution-process-derived sintering additives

--Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	JECESOC-D-21-01141R2
Article Type:	Short Communication
Keywords:	garnet-type solid electrolyte, solution process, sintering additives, processing, sintering
Corresponding Author:	Nataly Carolina Rosero Navarro, Ph.D. Hokkaido University Sapporo, JAPAN
First Author:	Nataly Carolina Rosero Navarro, Ph.D.
Order of Authors:	Nataly Carolina Rosero Navarro, Ph.D. Haruna Watanabe Akira Miura Kiyoharu Tadanaga
Abstract:	<p>The sintering and processing of garnet-type solid ceramic electrolytes (e.g., $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ (LLZ)) are challenging because the material composition and microstructure at high temperatures must be carefully controlled to obtain the stabilization of highly conductive cubic phase and dense ceramic. Liquid-phase sintering using sintering aids is typically used for densifying ceramic materials, as it is a faster and/or lower-temperature process. In this study, we used solution-process-derived sintering additives to sinter garnet-type solid electrolytes highly effective in terms of relative density and properties at 1000 °C (10h). The liquid phase formation during the sintering was rationalized to establish the optimal sintering conditions. The use of 1.2-vol% $75\text{Li}_2\text{O}\cdot 25\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$ and 1.5-vol% Al_2O_3 as sintering additives was highly effective in densifying a Ta-doped LLZ, achieving a high ionic conductivity of 0.8 mS cm^{-1} (25 °C) with low activation energy (9 kJ mol^{-1}) and almost negligible contribution of the grain boundary resistance (10%).</p>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 **Synthesis of highly Li-ion conductive garnet-type solid ceramic**
8
9
10 **electrolytes by solution-process-derived sintering additives**
11
12
13
14

15 Nataly Carolina Rosero-Navarro^{a*}, Haruna Watanabe^b, Akira Miura^a, and Kiyoharu
16 Tadanaga^a
17
18

19
20
21 ^aDivision of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Hokkaido University, Kita 13 Nishi
22
23 8, Kita-ku, Sapporo 060–8628, Japan
24
25

26 ^bGraduate School of Chemical Sciences and Engineering, Hokkaido University, Kita 13
27
28 Nishi 8, Kita-ku, Sapporo 060–8628, Japan
29
30
31

32
33
34
35
36
37
38 *E-mail address: rosero@eng.hokudai.ac.jp, tel. +81-011-706-6574
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53 **Abbreviations**
54

55 Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ (LLZ); Ta-doped LLZ (LLZT); scanning electron microscopy (SEM); X-ray
56 diffraction (XRD); 75Li₂O·25B₂O₃ (LBO); energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS).
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 **Abstract**
8
9

10 The sintering and processing of garnet-type solid ceramic electrolytes (e.g., $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$
11 (LLZ)) are challenging because the material composition and microstructure at high
12 temperatures must be carefully controlled to obtain the stabilization of highly conductive
13 cubic phase and dense ceramic. Liquid-phase sintering using sintering aids is typically used
14 for densifying ceramic materials, as it is a faster and/or lower-temperature process. In this
15 study, we used solution-process-derived sintering additives to sinter garnet-type solid
16 electrolytes highly effective in terms of relative density and properties at 1000 °C (10 h). The
17 liquid phase formation during the sintering was rationalized to establish the optimal sintering
18 conditions. The use of 1.2-vol% $75\text{Li}_2\text{O}\cdot 25\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$ and 1.5-vol% Al_2O_3 as sintering additives
19 was highly effective in densifying a Ta-doped LLZ, achieving a high ionic conductivity of
20 0.8 mS cm^{-1} (25 °C) with low activation energy (9 kJ mol^{-1}) and almost negligible
21 contribution of the grain boundary resistance (10%).
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 *Keywords:* garnet-type solid electrolyte, solution process, sintering additives, processing,
44 sintering
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 Lithium-ion conductive solid ceramic electrolytes with a garnet structure (e.g., $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$
8 (LLZ)) are usually prepared by a solid-state reaction including several grinding and
9 calcination steps with a final sintering at a temperature of approximately 1200 °C, in which
10 a dense ceramic with a highly conductive cubic phase is obtained. At such temperatures, the
11 control of the material composition and microstructure (lower contribution of the grain
12 boundary resistance) is rather challenging considering the easy volatilization of lithium [1,
13 2].
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 Liquid-phase sintering is typically used for densifying ceramic materials at faster and/or low
25 temperatures, which proceeds by mixing a low-melting-point sintering additive with ceramic
26 particles sintered at a temperature equal to or higher than the melting point of the sintering
27 additive. In the liquid-phase sintering, the liquid phase promotes shrinkage at the initial stage
28 because of the rearrangement of the particles and dissolution and reprecipitation processes.
29 Simultaneously, the surface energy tends to reduce the gas–liquid interface expelling the
30 bubbles from the system. When the sintering temperature is reached, full densification of the
31 ceramic is achieved. Al_2O_3 is a widely used sintering additive for garnet-type solid ceramic
32 electrolytes, which changes both crystal grain composition and grain boundary. As the
33 eutectic temperature of the Li_2O – Al_2O_3 binary system is approximately 1055 °C [3-5], the
34 formation of this liquid phase promotes the sintering. Different sintering additives have been
35 proposed [4-15]. Among them, Li_3BO_3 (with a content of approximately 6–10 wt%) is one
36 of the most efficient additives to reduce the sintering temperature, as it is unreactive during
37 sintering and enables to obtain relatively dense solid electrolytes at a temperature of 800 °C.
38 Amorphous lithium borate is present at the triple-point grain boundaries. Li_3BO_3 is
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 presumably present at the interface as a layer at the grain boundaries between the LLZ
8
9 particles. Large regions of lithium borate through the composite electrolyte have been
10
11 observed [4, 16]. Therefore, the total lithium-ion conductivity of the composite solid
12
13 electrolyte containing the sintering additive was strongly affected by the content of the
14
15 sintering additive. As the lithium-ion conductivity of Li_3BO_3 is approximately $10^{-6} \text{ S cm}^{-1}$
16
17 [17], the addition of a large amount of this sintering additive increases the resistance of the
18
19 composite solid electrolyte, leading to a large decrease in the conductivity, while an
20
21 insufficient amount leads to a poor densification and insufficient lithium pathway for the
22
23 ionic conductivity [4, 8-10, 12, 13, 15].
24
25
26
27
28

29 In this study, we propose an alternative route to sinter garnet-type solid ceramic electrolytes
30
31 using solution-process-derived sintering additives to overcome the limitations of current
32
33 sintering additives. The use of solution-process-derived sintering additives enables a
34
35 significant reduction in the sintering additive content, and thus a composite electrolyte in
36
37 which the lithium-ion transport properties are attributed mainly to the highly conductive
38
39 phase of the garnet solid electrolyte. We studied the effects of $\text{Li}_2\text{O}-\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$ and Al_2O_3 solution-
40
41 derived sintering additives on the sinterability of a Ta-doped LLZ (LLZT). The effect of
42
43 solution-derived sintering additives was compared to their powder-state counterparts. In
44
45 addition, to strengthen the liquid-phase sintering, lanthanum oxide was used to modify the
46
47 liquid phase generated at low temperatures because the eutectic temperature for binary
48
49 systems such as $\text{LiBO}_2-\text{La}_2\text{O}_3$ can be as low as $710 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ [18]. Thus, 1.2-vol% (0.5 wt%)
50
51 $75\text{Li}_2\text{O}\cdot 25\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$ and 1.5-vol% Al_2O_3 as sintering additives are highly effective in densifying
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

an LLZT ceramic solid electrolyte achieving the highest ionic conductivity of 0.8 mS cm^{-1} at $25 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ reported for this type of composite electrolytes.





Figure 1. Illustration of the liquid-phase sintering assisted by the (a) powder and (b) solution-process-derived sintering additives. T and T_s are the temperature (during heating) and sintering temperature, respectively.

Figure 1 illustrates the liquid-phase sintering assisted by the powder and solution-process-derived sintering additives. The major difference between the two procedures is that the use of a solution-process-derived sintering additive could provide a more uniform mixing between solid electrolyte particles and sintering additive because of its fluidity compared to those added in the powder-state. The smaller particles of the sintering additive forming a coating on the solid electrolyte particles, after solvent removal, are expected to enhance the liquid-phase sintering even at a small addition amount. Subsequently, liquid-phase sintering occurs, as described above.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 Figure S1 shows scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images and X-ray diffraction (XRD)
8
9 patterns of the LLZT powder used to evaluate the effect of the sintering additives. The
10 morphology of the LLZT powder shows agglomerated particles with sizes between 5 and 20
11 μm and secondary particle sizes of approximately 1 μm . The XRD pattern matches well with
12
13 a low-temperature garnet-type cubic phase with the $Ia\bar{3}d$ space group (ICSD #183607) and
14
15 lattice parameter of $a = 12.887(4)$ Å. La_2O_3 was the major secondary phase. The intermediate
16
17 $\text{La}_2\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_7$ pyrochlore phase could also be present in a lower amount [4, 16], following the
18
19 general reaction for LLZ usually obtained by the sol–gel process,
20
21
22
23
24
25



30 The precursors of $75\text{Li}_2\text{O} \cdot 25\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$ and Al_2O_3 sintering additive were obtained by a sol–gel
31 process (referred to as LBO and Al_2O_3 sols, respectively) because nanoscale sintering
32 additive precursors in a solution system can be easily obtained by mixing the metal alkoxide
33 or salts and uniformly distributed on the LLZT particles. The following cases were evaluated:
34
35 (i) LBO and Al_2O_3 in the powder states, (ii) LBO in the sol state and Al_2O_3 in the powder
36
37 state, and (iii) LBO and Al_2O_3 in the sol states (LLZT-PP, LLZT-SP, and LLZT-SS, denoting
38
39 the state of each additive (powder or sol), respectively). Figures 2a and 2b show SEM images
40
41 of the LBO and Al_2O_3 powders, respectively. Agglomerates (approximately 50 μm) with
42
43 secondary particle sizes below 5–10 μm were observed for the LBO powder, while the Al_2O_3
44
45 powder exhibited an average particle size of approximately 1 μm . The in-situ precipitation
46
47 of LBO and Al_2O_3 from sols is expected in glassy phases with a smaller particle size
48
49 (nanoscale) (Figure 1b).
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65





Figure 2. a,b) SEM images of the LBO and Al₂O₃ powders, respectively. c) XRD patterns of LLZT-PP, LLZT-SP, and LLZT-SS sintered at 1000 °C.

Figure 2 shows the XRD patterns of LLZT-PP, LLZT-SP, and LLZT-SS sintered at 1000 °C. The cubic phases with the $Ia\bar{3}d$ space group (ICSD #183607) were the main phases with lattice parameters of 12.898(5), 12.905(4), and 12.930(2) Å for LLZT-PP, LLZT-SP, and LLZT-SS, respectively. LLZT-PP and LLZT-SP exhibited other peaks attributed to the tetragonal phase with the $I4_1/acd$ space group (ICSD #238686), LaAlO₃, and unidentified phases. The transition to the tetragonal phase is associated with the insufficient stabilization of the cubic phase, which suggests a nonuniform distribution of the sintering additives or insufficient amount of them, which could be the main reason for the promotion of secondary reactions during sintering. Nonetheless, the addition of solution-derived sintering additives leads to a single-phase cubic garnet structure with a lattice parameter in good agreement with


1
2
3
4
5
6
7 that of LLZT (12.94 Å) [1, 2]. This confirms that the liquid phase of sintering additives
8
9 formed during the sintering remains almost unreactive with LLZT grains and is present in
10
11 the sintered ceramic body as an amorphous phase.
12
13
14
15
16
17



41 **Figure 3.** Cross-section SEM images of a) LLZT, b) LLZT-PP, c) LLZT-SP, and d) LLZT-
42 SS sintered at 1000 °C.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 Figure 3 shows cross-sectional SEM images of the LLZT pellets without and with sintering
51 additives, including the relative densities. The relative densities of LLZT, LLZT-PP, LLZT-
52 SP, and LLZT-SS were 50%, 78%, 94%, and 88%, respectively. LLZT sintered in the
53
54 absence of sintering additives exhibited a porous microstructure with single particles with a
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 size of approximately 1 μm , indicating an insufficient densification. In contrast, the sintering
8
9 additives positively contributed to the LLZT densification, where larger grains (above 20
10
11 μm) were observed as a clear signal of the grain growth and enhanced sintering. Notably, the
12
13 relative densities of LLZT-PP and LLZT-SP were over- and under-estimated because these
14
15 samples contained secondary phases (Figure 2b).
16
17
18
19
20
21



44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

Figure 4. a,b) Cross-section SEM images of LLZT-SS (polished surface). c) Energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) analysis, including composition mapping of aluminum, lanthanum, and zirconium.

55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

The detailed microstructure of LLZT-SS was investigated by SEM-EDS on the cross-section polished surface, as shown in Figure 4. Particles larger than 20 μm in intimate contact with

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 smaller grains (approximately 1 μm) were observed. In some regions of the surface, dark
8
9 regions were observed between the grains (Figure 4b). The EDS analysis (Figure 4c) shows
10
11 that these areas correspond mostly to aluminum-derived compounds, which suggests that
12
13 they are located at the triple point grain boundaries, as expected. Lithium-borate-derived
14
15 compositions are also expected to be a part of these dark regions. Similar observations have
16
17 been reported for LLZ composite electrolytes containing LBO [4]. Notably, the use of LLZT
18
19 (commercialized powder) with almost negligible secondary phases and sintering with LBO
20
21 and Al_2O_3 sols under the same conditions did not achieve a sufficient densification (63%,
22
23 Figure S2), which suggests that the liquid-phase formation during sintering is more complex
24
25 than expected. Intermediate liquid phases are formed between LBO and La_2O_3 at
26
27 temperatures around 700 $^\circ\text{C}$ [18], which results in a considerable enhancement in the ceramic
28
29 densification and facilitates the excess consumption of lanthanum oxide in the calcined LLZT
30
31 powder (Figure S1).
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 Figures 5a and b show Nyquist plots of the electrochemical impedance of the LLZT pellets
40
41 with sintering additives measured at 25 $^\circ\text{C}$. The semicircles at high frequencies (>10 kHz)
42
43 are associated to the resistance of the LLZT composite electrolyte involving grains and grain
44
45 boundaries. The tail at low frequencies is attributed the stainless-steel blocking electrodes.
46
47 The wide semicircle of LLZT-PP suggests that most of the contribution of the impedance
48
49 corresponds to the high resistance of the grain boundaries [4] because of the poor
50
51 densification or series of highly resistive components generated by the secondary phases
52
53 through the pellet. The depletion of the semicircle of LLZT-SP at high frequencies (1 MHz)
54
55 is associated with the better densification allowing the separation between grain and grain
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

boundary resistances in good agreement with LLZ garnet electrolytes sintered with and without sintering additives in which grain resistance contribution is observed between ca. 1 MHz–1 GHz [8, 19]. The same separation can be observed in the impedance profile (Figure 5b) of LLZT-SS with a major contribution at higher frequencies (1–3 MHz) and almost negligible contribution at intermediate frequencies (1–0.1 MHz), which suggests that most of the impedance corresponds to the lithium-ion transport through the LLZT grains with a negligible contribution of grain boundaries.




Figure 5. a-c) Nyquist plots of LLZT pellets with sintering additives at room temperature. Open circles represent the measured data, and solid lines indicated the fit of the data. Information of fitting to the equivalent circuit is provided in Figure S3. d) Arrhenius plot of LLZT pellets obtained by the total ionic conductivity.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 The total ionic conductivity (grain + grain boundary) calculated by the fitting of impedance
8 profiles to the equivalent circuits (Figure S3, Table SI) was 0.063, 0.16, and 0.8 mS cm⁻¹ for
9 LLZT-PP, LLZT-SP, and LLZT-SS, respectively. The grain and grain boundary resistances
10 of LLZT-SS was 136.3 and 17.5 Ω.cm (Figure S3c, Table SI), respectively. Thus, the grain
11 conductivity in LLZT-SS was estimated to be approximately 90% of the total conductivity,
12 higher than that in LLZT-SP (46%).
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 Figure 5b shows an Arrhenius plot of the LLZT pellets obtained by the total ionic
23 conductivity in the temperature range of 25–125 °C. The activation energy was 14, 12, and
24 9 kJ mol⁻¹ for LLZT-PP, LLZT-SP, and LLZT-SS, respectively. The lowest activation
25 energy obtained when LLZT is sintered with LBO and Al₂O₃ sols is attributed to the low
26 resistance of the grain boundaries. In other words, the ion mobility through the grain
27 boundaries, formed by a more uniform distribution of sintering additives presumably located
28 at the grain boundaries, is facilitated by the good contact between the LLZT grains and
29 sintering additives. Moreover, the activation energies of all LLZT pellets sintered with sols
30 or powders are lower than the reported activation energies for Al, Ta-doped LLZ (~30 kJ
31 mol⁻¹) [1] which suggests the formation of almost Al-undoped LLZT grains. attributed to
32 The intragranular ionic conductivity of the Al-free LLZT solid electrolytes, which can exhibit
33 activation energies as low as 10 kJ mol⁻¹ [20]. This is in good agreement with the EDS
34 analysis (Figure 4c), which suggest that the Al₂O₃ sol is located mainly at the triple points
35 grain boundaries and presumably along the grain boundaries between LLZT particles.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 Table I compares reported LLZ composite electrolytes prepared by the addition of LBO
8
9 with/without Al₂O₃ sintering additives and that prepared in this study. The liquid-phase
10
11 formation during the sintering was promoted by reducing the particle size of LBO using
12
13 several grindings and multi-step heating. However, the required amount of LBO cannot be
14
15 lower than ~4.5 - 10 wt% to achieve a sufficient densification. The considerable reduction of
16
17 LBO (2 wt%) reported by Jonson and McGinn [12] has been achieved by sintering a Nb-
18
19 doped LLZ under an argon atmosphere, where LBO is effective in forming the liquid phase
20
21 during sintering without compensating the liquid loss from the LLZ composition, which
22
23 usually occurs under oxygen or atmospheric conditions. Nevertheless, the ionic conductivity
24
25 of LLZ solid electrolytes containing LBO phases seems to be not higher than 0.1–0.5 mS
26
27 cm⁻¹ with a significant contribution of the grain boundaries (up to 50%) and high activation
28
29 energies of approximately 28–40 kJ mol⁻¹ [4, 8, 15, 21].
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Table I. LLZ composite electrolytes prepared by addition of LBO and Al₂O₃.

Garnet-type solid electrolyte	LBO (wt%)	Al ₂ O ₃ (mol%)	ST (°C)	Conductivity (mS cm ⁻¹)	Reference / year
Ca/Nb-doped LLZ	10 vol.%	0.2	800	0.36 (25 °C)	[8] / 2014
Nb-doped LLZ	2*	0.1	1000	0.25 (NS)	[12] / 2018
Ta-doped LLZ	6.3	NS	1000	0.55 (30 °C)	[13] / 2019
Ta-doped LLZ	20 vol.% **	NS	1000	0.23 (27 °C)	[14] / 2020
LLZ	10	2.4 at%	850	0.14 (NS)	[15] / 2020
Ta-doped LLZ	0.5 (1.2 vol.%)	0.2	1000	0.80 (25 °C)	This study

The presented LLZ pellets are comparable in terms of relative density (approximately 90%). ST: sintering temperature; NS: non specified; *Argon atmosphere; **Li₃BO₃-Li₂CO₃ composite.

We utilized LBO and Al₂O₃ as well-known sintering additives for the LLZT solid electrolytes by varying the processing using the solution-derived sintering additives. The solution-derived sintering additives were highly effective in densifying LLZT and enhancing the lithium-ion transport properties. They provided a high conductivity of 0.8 mS cm⁻¹ with a low activation energy (9 kJ mol⁻¹) and almost negligible contribution of grain boundary resistance. The initial composition of the garnet solid electrolyte is crucial to achieve the enhanced properties. The lanthanum oxide was beneficial because it could form liquid phases in the presence of lithium borate during the sintering. Therefore, further modification of the composition of both solid electrolyte and solution-derived sintering additives could provide

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 materials with even higher conductivities or processing at lower temperatures. Moreover, the
8
9 proposed approach could significantly reduce the energy consumption of the sintering
10
11 process and simplify the grinding and heating stages. This process could be also valuable for
12
13 processing of other ceramic materials.
14
15

16 17 18 19 20 **Conclusions**

21
22
23 The effects of $\text{Li}_2\text{O}-\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$ and Al_2O_3 solution-derived sintering additives on the sinterability
24
25 of LLZT solid electrolyte were studied and compared to their powder-state counterparts. The
26
27 use of solution-derived sintering additives leads to a single-phase cubic garnet structure and
28
29 enhanced densification. In contrast, the transition to the tetragonal phase garnet structure
30
31 accompanied with other secondary phases was obtained when powder-state sintering
32
33 additives were used. The use of 1.2-vol% (0.5 wt%) $75\text{Li}_2\text{O}\cdot 25\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$ and 1.5-vol% Al_2O_3
34
35 sintering additives in sintering of LLZT ceramic solid electrolyte achieves a high ionic
36
37 conductivity of 0.8 mS cm^{-1} at 25° with low activation energy (9 kJ mol^{-1}) and almost
38
39 negligible contribution of the grain boundary resistance (10%).
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 **Acknowledgements**

51
52 N.C.R.-N. acknowledges financial support by SOUSEI Support Program for Young
53
54 Researchers in FY2020 of Hokkaido University and partial support from Nippon Sheet Glass
55
56 Foundation in FY2021. The SEM analysis was carried out with JIB4600F at the “Joint-use
57
58
59
60
61

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 Facilities: Laboratory of Nano-Micro Material Analysis”, Hokkaido University, supported
8
9 by “Material Analysis and Structure Analysis Open Unit (MASAOU)”. The authors thank
10
11 Mr. Keita Suzuki for his assistance with cross-section preparation of ceramic electrolytes for
12
13 SEM analysis.
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 References

- 21
22
23 [1] V. Thangadurai, S. Narayanan, D. Pinzaru, Garnet-type solid-state fast Li ion
24 conductors for Li batteries: critical review, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 43 (2014) 4714–4727.
25 DOI: [10.1039/c4cs00020j](https://doi.org/10.1039/c4cs00020j).
26
27 [2] S. Ramakumar, C. Deviannapoorani, L. Dhivya, L.S. Shankar, R. Murugan, Lithium
28 garnets: Synthesis, structure, Li + conductivity, Li + dynamics and applications, *Prog.*
29 *Mater. Sci.* 88 (2017) 325–411. DOI: [10.1016/j.pmatsci.2017.04.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmatsci.2017.04.007).
30
31 [3] N.S. Kulkarni, T.M. Besmann, K.E. Spear, Thermodynamic Optimization of Lithia-
32 Alumina, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* 91 (2008) 4074–4083. DOI: [10.1111/j.1551-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1551-2916.2008.02753.x)
33 [2916.2008.02753.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1551-2916.2008.02753.x).
34
35 [4] N.C. Rosero-Navarro, K. Tadanaga, Solid electrolytes for advanced applications, eds.
36 in: M.R. and W.W. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2019, pp. 111–128.
37 DOI: [10.1007/978-3-030-31581-8_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-31581-8_5).
38
39 [5] S. Kumazaki, Y. Iriyama, K.H. Kim, R. Murugan, K. Tanabe, K. Yamamoto, T.
40 Hirayama, Z. Ogumi, High lithium ion conductive $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ by inclusion of both
41 Al and Si, *Electrochem. Commun.* 13 (2011) 509–512. DOI:
42 [10.1016/j.elecom.2011.02.035](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elecom.2011.02.035).
43
44 [6] K. Tadanaga, R. Takano, T. Ichinose, S. Mori, A. Hayashi, M. Tatsumisago, Low
45 temperature synthesis of highly ion conductive $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ - Li_3BO_3 composites,
46 *Electrochem. Commun.* 33 (2013) 51–54. DOI: [10.1016/j.elecom.2013.04.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elecom.2013.04.004).
47
48 [7] S. Ohta, S. Komagata, J. Seki, T. Saeki, S. Morishita, T. Asaoka, All-solid-state
49 lithium ion battery using garnet-type oxide and Li_3BO_3 solid electrolytes fabricated
50 by screen-printing, *J. Power Sources* 238 (2013) 53–56. DOI:
51 [10.1016/j.jpowsour.2013.02.073](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2013.02.073).
52
53 [8] S. Ohta, J. Seki, Y. Yagi, Y. Kihira, T. Tani, T. Asaoka, Co-sinterable lithium garnet-
54 type oxide electrolyte with cathode for all-solid-state lithium ion battery, *J. Power*
55 *Sources* 265 (2014) 40–44. DOI: [10.1016/j.jpowsour.2014.04.065](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2014.04.065).
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7 [9] N. Janani, S. Ramakumar, S. Kannan, R. Murugan, Optimization of Lithium Content
8 and Sintering Aid for Maximized Li + Conductivity and Density in Ta-Doped
9 $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* 98 (2015) 2039–2046. DOI: [10.1111/jace.13578](https://doi.org/10.1111/jace.13578).
- 10
11 [10] N.C. Rosero-Navarro, A. Miura, M. Higuchi, K. Tadanaga, Optimization of Al_2O_3
12 and Li_3BO_3 Content as Sintering Additives of $\text{Li}_{7-x}\text{La}_{2.95}\text{Ca}_{0.05}\text{ZrTaO}_{12}$ at Low
13 Temperature, *J. Electron. Mater.* 46 (2017) 497–501. DOI: [10.1007/s11664-016-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11664-016-4924-4)
14 [4924-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11664-016-4924-4).
- 15
16 [11] N.C. Rosero-Navarro, T. Yamashita, A. Miura, M. Higuchi, K. Tadanaga, Effect of
17 Sintering Additives on Relative Density and Li-ion Conductivity of Nb-Doped
18 $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ Solid Electrolyte, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* 100 (2017) 276–285. DOI:
19 [10.1111/jace.14572](https://doi.org/10.1111/jace.14572).
- 20
21 [12] R.A. Jonson, P.J. McGinn, Tape casting and sintering of $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_{1.75}\text{Nb}_{0.25}\text{Al}_{0.1}\text{O}_{12}$
22 with Li_3BO_3 additions, *Solid State Ionics* 323 (2018) 49–55. DOI:
23 [10.1016/j.ssi.2018.05.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssi.2018.05.015).
- 24
25 [13] H. Xie, C. Li, W.H. Kan, M. Avdeev, C. Zhu, Z. Zhao, X. Chu, D. Mu, F. Wu,
26 Consolidating the grain boundary of the garnet electrolyte LLZTO with Li_3BO_3 for
27 high-performance $\text{LiNi}_{0.8}\text{Co}_{0.1}\text{Mn}_{0.1}\text{O}_2/\text{LiFePO}_4$ hybrid solid batteries, *J. Mater.*
28 *Chem. A* 7 (2019) 20633–20639. DOI: [10.1039/C9TA03263K](https://doi.org/10.1039/C9TA03263K).
- 29
30 [14] H. Hosokawa, A. Takeda, R. Inada, Y. Sakurai, Tolerance for Li dendrite penetration
31 in Ta-doped $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ solid electrolytes sintered with $\text{Li}_{2.3}\text{C}_{0.7}\text{B}_{0.3}\text{O}_3$ additive,
32 *Mater. Lett.* 279 (2020). DOI: [10.1016/j.matlet.2020.128481](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matlet.2020.128481), [128481](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matlet.2020.128481).
- 33
34 [15] J. Han, J.C. Kim, A solid-state route to stabilize cubic $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ at low
35 temperature for all-solid-state-battery applications, *Chem. Commun. (Camb. U.K.)*
36 56 (2020) 15197–15200.
- 37
38 [16] N.C. Rosero-Navarro, T. Yamashita, A. Miura, M. Higuchi, K. Tadanaga,
39 Preparation of $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3(\text{Zr}_{2-x}\text{Nb}_x)\text{O}_{12}$ ($x=0-1.5$) and $\text{Li}_3\text{BO}_3/\text{LiBO}_2$ composites at low
40 temperatures using a sol-gel process, *Solid State Ionics* 285 (2016) 6–12. DOI:
41 [10.1016/j.ssi.2015.06.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssi.2015.06.015).
- 42
43 [17] L.F. Maia, A.C.M. Rodrigues, Electrical conductivity and relaxation frequency of
44 lithium borosilicate glasses, *Solid State Ionics* 168 (2004) 87–92. DOI:
45 [10.1016/j.ssi.2004.02.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssi.2004.02.016).
- 46
47 [18] A. Bohac, A. Gauman, NIST Phase Equilibria Diagrams, 1980.
- 48
49 [19] W. E. Tenhaeff, E. Rangasamy, Y. Wang, A. P. Sokolov, J. Wolfenstine, J. Sakamoto
50 and N. J. Dudney, Resolving the Grain Boundary and Lattice Impedance of Hot-
51 Pressed $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ Garnet Electrolytes, *ChemElectroChem*, 1 (2014), 375-378.
52 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/celec.201300022>
- 53
54 [20] M. Matsui, K. Takahashi, K. Sakamoto, A. Hirano, Y. Takeda, O. Yamamoto, N.
55 Imanishi, Phase stability of a garnet-type lithium ion conductor $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$, *Dalton*
56 *Trans.* 43 (2014) 1019–1024. DOI: [10.1039/c3dt52024b](https://doi.org/10.1039/c3dt52024b).
- 57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

[21] L.C. Zhang, J.F. Yang, Y.X. Gao, X.P. Wang, Q.F. Fang, C.H. Chen, Influence of Li_3BO_3 additives on the Li^+ conductivity and stability of Ca/Ta-substituted $\text{Li}_{6.55}(\text{La}_{2.95}\text{Ca}_{0.05})(\text{Zr}_{1.5}\text{Ta}_{0.5})\text{O}_{12}$ electrolytes, *J. Power Sources* 355 (2017) 69–73. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2017.04.044>

Supporting Information

Synthesis of highly Li-ion conductive garnet-type solid ceramic electrolytes by solution-process-derived sintering additives

Nataly Carolina Rosero-Navarro^{a*}, Haruna Watanabe^b, Akira Miura^a and Kiyoharu Tadanaga^a

^aDivision of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060–8628, Japan

^bGraduate School of Chemical Sciences and Engineering, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060–8628, Japan

*E-mail address: rosero@eng.hokudai.ac.jp

Experimental Section.

1.1 Synthesis

Ta-doped LLZ solid electrolyte

The synthesis of Ta-doped LLZ by the sol-gel process was carried out following a reported procedure^[1]. First, LiNO₃ (99%, Kanto chemicals) and La(NO₃)₃·6H₂O (99.9%, Kanto chemicals) were dissolved in ethanol. Second, Ta(OC₂H₅)₅ (99.9%, High-purity chemicals), Zr(OC₄H₉)₄ (85% in butanol, Wako pure chemicals) and ethyl acetoacetate (EAcAc, 99%, Kanto chemicals) were dissolved in ethanol under argon atmosphere and stirred for 1 hour. Then, both solutions were mixed, and the resulted transparent solution was stirred at room temperature for 1 to 2 hours to obtain a sol. The obtained sol was dried under vacuum between 80 and 130 °C to obtain a dried gel that was later pulverized and heat-treated at 700 °C in an alumina crucible for 5 hours (1 °C min⁻¹). Finally, 2 g of this powder and 4 mL of toluene were placed in a ZrO₂ pot and grounded at 300 RPM for 6 hours. Then, toluene was evaporated under vacuum at 100 °C to obtain final LLZT calcined powder. The molar ratio of Li:La:Zr:Ta:EAcAc was 7.15:3:1.3:0.5:1.5 and lithium was added in 10% excess to compensate for the loss of Li during sintering.

Sintering additives

The Al₂O₃ sol and powder were obtained by commercial source with particle size ~ 50 nm and ~ 1 μm, respectively. Both materials were used without any further purification or pretreatment.

The $\text{Li}_2\text{O}-\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$ sol was synthesized by the sol-gel process using trimethoxyborane (TMB, Shin-Etsu Chemicals) and lithium ethoxide (High-purity chemicals) in a molar ratio 3:1 and total concentration of 40 gL^{-1} . TMB was dissolved in ethanol and stabilized by addition of EAcAc under argon atmosphere. Then, 0.1 M HNO_3 was added dropwise (molar ratio TMB: HNO_3 of 1:0.5), and the mixture was stirred for 1 hour. In parallel, lithium ethoxide was dissolved in ethanol. Then, both solutions, TMB and lithium ethoxide, were mixed and stirred for 1 hour to obtain a precursor LBO solution.

The LBO powder was synthesized by the solid-state process following a reported procedure^[1, 2]. Briefly, the Li_2O (Wako pure chemicals) and B_2O_3 (Wako pure chemicals) in a molar ratio 3:1 were ball milled under an argon atmosphere for 40 hours under 370 RPM. Then, the milled powder was heat-treated at 210°C for 10 hours and finally to 600°C for 5 hours to obtain Li_3BO_3 powder.

Sintering of LLZT pellets

The sintering additives, sols and/or powders, were added to the LLZT calcined powder and mixed in a mortar using toluene. Toluene was completely removed at 80°C for approximately 2 hours. The calcinated LLZT powder containing sintering additives were formed into pellets ($\text{Ø} = 10 \text{ mm}$) at 100 MPa (5 min) using a uniaxial press and then, were sintered at 1000°C (10 h) using a heating rate of $1^\circ \text{C}/\text{min}$ and intermedium step at 700°C (5 h). The pellets were sintered under ambient atmosphere using alumina crucibles. The pellets were thoroughly buried in identical powder to mitigate losses of lithium and prevent any contamination with crucibles.

1.2 Characterization

Crystal phase was determined by X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a RINT 2000 Ultima RIGAKU diffractometer. Powder samples were scanned between 10° and 60° at a rate of 2°/min using Cu-K α radiation. Morphology of the cross-section pellets were observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), performed on a MultiFlex600 and JIB-4600F Multibeam SEM-FIB Scanning Electron Microscopes.

The relative density was calculated with a geometrical dimension of the pellets and theoretical density of LLZT (5.11 g cm⁻³). HS Flat Cell HS-3ETK (Hohsen Corporation) was used to perform the electrochemical measurements. The conductivity of the composite solid electrolyte pellets was evaluated by the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS), under Ar atmosphere. The samples were Au-coated and sandwiched between two stainless-steel (SS, blocking electrodes) disks used as current collectors. EIS measurements were conducted using an impedance analyzer (SI 1260, Solartron) in the frequency range of 1-3 MHz to 100 Hz at the amplitude of 80-100 mV. The impedance spectra were analyzed with ZView (Scribner Associates) to assess the ohmic total resistance (R_t), which was normalized to the pellet geometry (t: thickness and A: golden surface area) to calculate the conductivity through the usual formula $\sigma = t / R_t.A$.




Figure S1. a-b) SEM of LLZT calcinated powder. c) XRD pattern of LLZT calcinated powder compared (Top) with LLZ cubic phase (Bottom) with the $Ia\bar{3}d$ space group (ICSD #183607).




Figure S2. XRD pattern of a) Li_{6.6}La₃Zr_{1.6}Ta_{0.4}O₁₂ powder (commercialized by Toshiba, average particle size: 5 μm) and after sintering using LBO and Al₂O₃ sols as sintering additives. LLZ cubic phase was also included as reference (ICSD #183607).




Figure S3. Equivalent Circuits used to fit the impedance profile of a) LLZT-PP, b) LLZT-SP, and c) LLZT-SS. This should be noted that limited information at high frequencies can induce uncertainty in the assigned values for the contributions of grain and grain boundary resistances. To reduce the error, the equivalent circuits used to fit the impedance profiles are the simplest solution possible. The goodness of fit remains in the order of 10^{-5} . R represents the resistance and CPE the constant phase element, which is characterized by two parameters C and n. The capacitance can be calculated from $CPE = (R^{1-n}C)^{1/n}$. R_t (CPE_t) is used to simulate the total resistance containing grain and grain boundary resistances in equivalent circuit a. R_1 (CPE₁) and R_2 (CPE₂) are assigned to grain and grain boundary resistances, respectively in equivalent circuits b and c. CPE_{ct} is used to simulate the charge transference due to stainless steel electrodes.

Table SI. Fitting results of impedance profiles (Figure 5) of LLZT-PP, LLZT-SP, and LLZT-SS using equivalent circuits in Figure S2.

Sample	R1 (Ω .cm)	R2 (Ω .cm)	RTotal (Ω .cm)	CPE1 (F)	CPE2 (F)	CPEt (F)	CPEct (F)	<i>n</i>
LLZT-PP	-	-	1319	-	-	2×10^{-9}	2×10^{-7}	0.76
LLZT-SP	246.1	210.3	456.4	-	1×10^{-9}	-	1×10^{-7}	0.78
LLZT-SS	136.3	17.5	153.8	1×10^{-10}	4×10^{-9}	-	1×10^{-7}	0.83

References

1. N. C. Rosero-Navarro, A. Miura, M. Higuchi and K. Tadanaga, *Journal of Electronic Materials*, 2017, **46**, 497-501.
2. N. C. Rosero-Navarro, T. Yamashita, A. Miura, M. Higuchi and K. Tadanaga, *Solid State Ionics*, 2016, **285**, 6-12.