

HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

Title	Field direction dependent skyrmion crystals in noncentrosymmetric cubic magnets : A comparison between the point groups (O, T) and Td
Author(s)	Hayami, Satoru; Yambe, Ryota
Citation	Physical Review B, 107(17), 174435 https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.107.174435
Issue Date	2023
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/90213
Rights	©2023 American Physical Society
Туре	article
File Information	PhysRevB.107.174435.pdf



Field direction dependent skyrmion crystals in noncentrosymmetric cubic magnets: A comparison between the point groups (O, T) and T_d

Satoru Hayami^{1,*} and Ryota Yambe²

¹Graduate School of Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan ²Department of Applied Physics, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan

(Received 27 February 2023; revised 28 April 2023; accepted 23 May 2023; published 31 May 2023)

We investigate the instability toward a skyrmion crystal (SkX) in noncentrosymmetric cubic magnets with an emphasis on a comparison between point groups (O, T) and T_d . By constructing low-temperature magnetic phase diagrams under an external magnetic field for three directions based on numerically simulated annealing, we find that the system under the point groups (O, T) exhibits different two types of SkXs depending on the field direction, while that under T_d does not show such an instability. The difference between them is understood from the difference in the momentum-dependent Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction under each point group. Meanwhile, we show that the system under T_d leads to the SkX instability by considering an additional effect of the uniaxial strain, which lowers the symmetry to D_{2d} . We obtain two different SkXs: Néel-type SkX and antitype SkX, the former of which is stabilized in the presence of the interactions at the three-dimensional ordering wave vectors. The present results provide rich topological spin textures in the three-dimensional systems, which are sensitive to the magnetic-field direction and point-group symmetry.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.107.174435

I. INTRODUCTION

Topological spin textures have been extensively studied in various fields of condensed matter physics since they give rise to intriguing low-energy excitations and physical phenomena [1,2]. In solids, they often appear by superposing multiple spiral waves along different directions, which are referred to as the "multiple-Q" state. Depending on the direction of magnetic wave vectors and the lattice structures, a variety of multiple-Q states are realized [3], such as the skyrmion crystal (SkX) characterized by the triple-Q (double-Q) modulations on a triangular (square) lattice and the hedgehog crystal by the triple-Q or quartet-Q modulations on a cubic lattice. The search for the stabilization conditions of the multiple-Q states and experiments.

One of the most fundamental mechanisms to stabilize the SkX is the competition between the ferromagnetic exchange interaction and the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya (DM) interaction [4,5] under an external magnetic field [6–10], the latter of which is characterized by the antisymmetric anisotropic exchange interaction and originates from relativistic spin-orbit interaction in the absence of the inversion center. The spin model incorporating these interactions provides a deep understanding of the SkX in real materials [11], such as MnSi [12,13], Fe_{1-x}Co_xSi [14], and Cu₂OSeO₃ [15–17] under the chiral point group *T* and Co₈Zn₉Mn₃ [18] under the chiral point group *O*. In addition, the spin model extended to multiple-spin interactions describes further intriguing SkXs in materials, such as the SkX with the short-period modulation

in EuPtSi [19–23]. Meanwhile, there have been few studies for the multiple-Q instability in the other noncentrosymmetric cubic point group T_d since there are no Lifshitz invariants in the free energy [24–27]; the role of the DM interaction on magnetic states under T_d has not been fully elucidated in a systematic way. Furthermore, an effective spin model with not only antisymmetric anisotropic exchange interactions but also symmetric ones has been recently formulated under various crystal symmetries including T_d [28,29]. However, it has been still unclear when and how the SkX and other multiple-Qstates appear in the phase diagram under these systems.

In this study, we investigate the possibility of the multiple-Q states in a bilinear spin model with the DM interaction on a simple cubic lattice under the point group $T_{\rm d}$. To understand the role of the DM interaction originating from the T_d symmetry, we compare the result under T_d with that under chiral point groups (O, T). The similarities and differences between (O, T) and T_d are discussed by systematically constructing low-temperature magnetic phase diagrams for different magnetic-field directions in both point groups based on the simulated annealing. As a result, we find that two types of SkXs are realized in the case of (O, T), while there is no multiple-Q instability in the case of T_d . We show a way of inducing multiple-Q states in the model with the T_d type DM interaction by considering the effect of the uniaxial stress so that the symmetry reduces to D_{2d} . In contrast to the Bloch-type SkX in the chiral point groups (O, T), we obtain the Néel-type SkX and anti-type SkX with the opposite sign of the scalar chirality in the phase diagram. Our results indicate rich topological spin textures in noncentrosymmetric cubic point groups, which will be useful for the exploration of further exotic multiple-Q states in three-dimensional systems.

^{*}hayami@phys.sci.hokudai.ac.jp

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we introduce an effective spin model including the momentumdependent DM interactions on the cubic lattice. We discuss the similarities and differences in the models between the point groups (O, T) and T_d . We also outline the numerical method based on the simulated annealing. We discuss the instability toward the SkX in Sec. III. We show the magnetic phase diagrams for three different magnetic-field directions under both (O, T) and T_d . Then, we examine the effect of the uniaxial strain under the point group T_d . We summarize this paper and discuss the possibility of the multiple-Q instability under T_d in Sec. IV.

II. MODEL AND METHOD

We consider the model Hamiltonian on a simple cubic lattice with the lattice constant a = 1, which is given by

$$\mathcal{H} = -\sum_{q} [J_q S_q \cdot S_{-q} + i D_q \cdot (S_q \times S_{-q})] - \sum_{i} H \cdot S_i,$$
(1)

where the first term represents an interaction in momentum space; the summation regarding q is taken over the first Brillouin zone. $S_q = (S_q^x, S_q^y, S_q^z)$ is the Fourier transform of the localized spins $S_i = (S_i^x, S_i^y, S_i^z)$ with the fixed length $|S_i| = 1$, and J_q and D_q are the Fourier transforms of the interactions in real space, i.e., J_{ij} and D_{ij} , respectively. We suppose the absence of spatial inversion symmetry in the lattice structure to discuss the role of the DM interaction, whose direction is determined by the point-group symmetry and q. The microscopic origin of the DM interaction is relativistic spin-orbit coupling. We neglect the symmetric anisotropic exchange interaction that arises from the higher-order contribution of the spin-orbit coupling for simplicity, although it also becomes the origin of the multiple-Q states [29,30]. The second term represents the Zeeman coupling through an external magnetic field, which tends to polarize the spins along the field direction **H**.

The ground-state magnetic instability in the model in Eq. (1) has been studied especially for the chiral point groups O and T to have $D_q \parallel q$ [8,9,12,23,31,32]. In this case, the helical spiral state, whose spiral plane is perpendicular to the ordering vector q^* , is stabilized at zero field. When the magnetic field is applied, the SkX, which is represented by a superposition of multiple spiral states along the symmetry-equivalent ordering vectors with q^* , appears instead of the single-Q helical spiral state. Although the characteristic ordering vector q^* is dependent on the magnitude and direction of H, the spin configurations are well characterized by q^* and its symmetry-related ordering vectors once they are determined by H. In other words, only a few characteristic ordering vectors in the Brillouin zone contribute to the energy in the ground state.

Then, one can simplify the model in Eq. (1) by extracting the representative q^* , which is transformed as

$$\mathcal{H} = -\sum_{\nu} [JS_{\boldsymbol{\varrho}_{\nu}} \cdot S_{-\boldsymbol{\varrho}_{\nu}} + i\boldsymbol{D}_{\nu} \cdot (S_{\boldsymbol{\varrho}_{\nu}} \times S_{-\boldsymbol{\varrho}_{\nu}})] - \sum_{i} \boldsymbol{H} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{i},$$
(2)



FIG. 1. The DM vector at Q_{ν} ($\nu = 1-12$) in momentum space for (a) the point groups (O, T) [Eq. (3)] and (b) T_d [Eq. (4)].

where we consider the specific ordering vectors $Q_{\nu} \in q^*$ giving the dominant contributions to the energy; ν is the label of the symmetry-equivalent wave vectors and the position of Q_{ν} is fixed for simplicity. We set the same coupling constants J and $D \equiv |D_{\nu}|$ in the Q_{ν} channel from the symmetry; we set J = 1 as the energy unit of the model.

The direction of D is determined by the symmetry in terms of Q_{ν} and space group in the system [28,29]. We consider three noncentrosymmetric point (space) groups, O (P432), T (P23), and T_d (P43m), to discuss the similarities and differences in terms of the magnetic instability in the presence of the DM interaction. Since no DM interaction appears for $Q_{\nu} \parallel [100]$ and $Q_{\nu} \parallel [111]$ in the case of $T_{\rm d}$ $(P\bar{4}3m)$ [29], we consider 12 ordering vectors lying on the (110) line, i.e., $Q_{\nu} \parallel (110)$ [Fig. 1(a)]: $Q_1 = Q(1, 1, 0), Q_2 =$ $Q(-1, -1, 0), \ Q_3 = Q(1, -1, 0), \ Q_4 = Q(-1, 1, 0), \ Q_5 =$ $Q(0, 1, 1), \quad Q_6 = Q(0, -1, -1), \quad Q_7 = Q(0, 1, -1), \quad Q_8 =$ $Q(0, -1, 1), \ \boldsymbol{Q}_9 = Q(1, 0, 1), \ \boldsymbol{Q}_{10} = Q(-1, 0, -1), \ \boldsymbol{Q}_{11} =$ Q(-1, 0, 1), and $Q_{12} = Q(1, 0, -1)$, with $Q = \pi/3$. The difference between (O, T) and T_d is found in the direction of D_{ν} in each Q_{ν} : In the case of the point group $(O, T), D_{\nu}$ is given by

$$D_1 = D(1, 1, 0), \quad D_3 = D(1, -1, 0), \quad D_5 = D(0, 1, 1),$$

$$D_7 = D(0, 1, -1), \quad D_9 = D(1, 0, 1), \quad D_{11} = D(-1, 0, 1),$$

(3)

and $D_{2\zeta} = -D_{2\zeta-1}$ for $\zeta = 1-6$. This type of DM interaction is referred to as the chiral-type DM interaction with $D_{\nu} \parallel Q_{\nu}$. On the other hand, D_{ν} for the point group $T_{\rm d}$ is

given by

$$D_1 = D(1, -1, 0),$$
 $D_3 = D(1, 1, 0),$ $D_5 = D(0, 1, -1),$
 $D_7 = D(0, 1, 1),$ $D_9 = D(-1, 0, 1),$ $D_{11} = D(1, 0, 1),$
(4)

and $D_{2\zeta} = -D_{2\zeta-1}$ for $\zeta = 1-6$. This type of DM interaction is referred to as the rank-3 polar-type DM interaction with $D_{\nu} \perp Q_{\nu}$ [33,34]. The directions of the DM vectors at Q_{ν} for (O, T) and T_{d} are schematically shown in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b), respectively.

For the effective spin model in Eq. (2) with the DM interaction in Eq. (3) or (4), we investigate a low-temperature phase diagram while changing D and $H \equiv |\mathbf{H}|$ by performing the simulated annealing following the manner in Ref. [35] as follows. Starting from a random spin configuration at high temperatures $T_0 = 1-10$, we gradually reduce the temperature (T) by the rate $\alpha = 0.9999999$ to the target low temperature $T_f = 0.001$ in each Monte Carlo sweep; the local updates are performed in real space based on the standard Metropolis algorithm and we sample homogeneously on a unit sphere for the updates. At the target temperature, we perform $10^5 - 10^6$ Monte Carlo sweeps for measurements after equilibration. The simulations are independently performed for a given set of (D, H) in Sec. III A and (κ, H) in Sec. III B. When the model parameters are close to those at the phase boundaries, we also start the simulations from the spin configurations obtained at low temperatures to avoid the local minima. The system size is taken as $N = 12^3$ spins in order to be commensurate with the lattice structure; the position vector at each site is given by $\mathbf{r}_i = (x_i, y_i, z_i)$ where x_i, y_i , and z_i are integers from 0 to 11. The boundary condition is the periodic boundary.

To identify the magnetic phases obtained by the simulated annealing, we compute the uniform magnetization

$$M^{\eta} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} S^{\eta}_{i}, \tag{5}$$

for $\eta = x, y, z$ and the spin structure factor divided by *N*, which corresponds to the magnetic moments, with wave vector Q_{y} :

$$(m_{Q_{\nu}})^{2} = (m_{Q_{\nu}}^{x})^{2} + (m_{Q_{\nu}}^{y})^{2} + (m_{Q_{\nu}}^{z})^{2}, \qquad (6)$$

$$\left(m_{Q_{\nu}}^{\eta}\right)^{2} = \frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{ij} S_{i}^{\eta} S_{j}^{\eta} e^{i Q_{\nu} \cdot (\mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{r}_{j})}.$$
(7)

It is noted $m_{Q_{2\zeta-1}} = m_{Q_{2\zeta}}$ for $\zeta = 1-6$. We also evaluate the spin scalar chirality on the $\eta \eta' = xy$, yz, zx plane as

$$\chi^{\eta\eta'} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i\delta_{\eta}\delta_{\eta'}} \delta_{\eta}\delta_{\eta'} \boldsymbol{S}_{i} \cdot \left(\boldsymbol{S}_{i+\delta_{\eta}\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{\eta}} \times \boldsymbol{S}_{i+\delta_{\eta'}\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{\eta'}}\right), \quad (8)$$

where $\delta_{\eta}, \delta_{\eta'} = \pm 1$ and \hat{x}_{η} is the η -directional unit vector.

III. RESULTS

A. Comparison of phase diagrams between point groups (O, T) and T_d

We construct the phase diagram of the model in Eq. (2) with an emphasis on the similarities and differences of the

multiple-*Q* instability between the point groups (O, T) and T_d , which are characterized by the different DM vectors. We show the six phase diagrams in the *D*–*H* plane in Fig. 2, which are obtained for the three different orientations of *H* and two point groups (O, T) and T_d . We discuss the results for *H* || [111] in Sec. III A 1, *H* || [001] in Sec. III A 2, and *H* || [110] in Sec. III A 3.

1. [111] magnetic field

Figure 2(a) shows the *D*–*H* phase diagram for the model in Eq. (2) with the DM interaction in Eq. (3) under the [111] magnetic field. The phase diagram consists of three phases: the single-*Q* (1*Q*) state, the triple-*Q* SkX (3*Q* SkX), and the fully polarized (FP) state. The 1*Q* state at zero field is characterized by the proper-screw spiral state in order to gain the energy by the DM interaction; the spiral planes are perpendicular to Q_v . The ordering vector is chosen out of Q_1-Q_{12} depending on initial spin configurations; the spiral states with any of Q_n have the same energy with each other.

When the magnetic field is turned on, the ordering vector parallel to the [111] axis as much as possible, i.e., Q_1 , Q_5 , or Q_9 (Q_2 , Q_6 , or Q_{10}), is chosen as the lowest-energy state. This is because the proper-screw spiral configuration for these ordering vectors has more perpendicular spin components to H than that for the other ordering vectors, which results in the energy gain by the Zeeman coupling.

In the intermediate-field region, the 1Q state is replaced by the 3Q SkX with the jumps of magnetization M^{η} for $\eta = x, y, z$, as shown in Fig. 3(a). The region of the 3Q SkX becomes wider for larger D. The spin configuration of the 3QSkX on the xy plane for different z coordinate is shown in Fig. 4. The skyrmion core located at $S_i^z = -1$ is elliptically distorted and it forms the square lattice in each xy plane, which indicates the emergence of nonzero spin scalar chirality in the xy plane, i.e., $\chi^{xy} \neq 0$, as shown in Fig. 3(b). Meanwhile, the 3Q SkX is characterized by a triple-Q superposition of the spiral states at Q_3 , Q_7 , and Q_{11} (Q_4 , Q_8 , and Q_{12}), which are connected by threefold rotational symmetry around the [111] axis, with the same intensity shown in Fig. 3(c): $m_{Q_3}^x = m_{Q_3}^y = m_{Q_7}^z = m_{Q_7}^z = m_{Q_{11}}^z = m_{Q_{11}}^x$ and $m_{Q_3}^z = m_{Q_7}^x = m_{Q_{11}}^z$. The choice of these three ordering vectors is owing to the relation $Q_3 + Q_7 + Q_{11} = 0 (Q_4 + Q_8 + Q_{12} = 0)$, which tends to avoid the higher-harmonics contribution to hamper the formation of multiple-Q states. In addition, the triple-Qordering vectors are selected so as to be perpendicular to the field direction for the same reason. Reflecting the presence of the threefold symmetry around the [111] direction, the triangular-lattice alignment of the skyrmion can be seen onto the (111) plane, i.e., $\chi^{xy} = \chi^{yz} = \chi^{zx}$.

With a further increase of H, the 3Q SkX turns into the 1Q state, whose transition is of first order, as shown in Fig. 3. Finally, the 1Q state continuously changes into the FP state. Such transitions between the 1Q state, 3Q SkX, and FP state are also found for a different choice of the ordering vectors [23].

In contrast, the model with the DM interaction under the point group T_d in Eq. (4) exhibits a qualitatively different phase diagram, as shown in Fig. 2(b); no 3*Q* SkX appears for nonzero *D* and *H*. In addition, the 1*Q* state is characterized by

Point groups (O, T)



FIG. 2. Phase diagrams of the model in Eq. (2) under (a), (c), (e) the point groups (O, T) and (b), (d), (f) T_d in the D-H plane obtained by the simulated annealing. The magnetic field directions are taken along (a), (b) the [111] direction, (c), (d) [001] direction, and (e), (f) [110] direction. 1Q, 3Q SkX, 6Q SkX, and FP represent the single-Q state, triple-Q skyrmion crystal, sextuple-Q skyrmion crystal, and the fully-polarized state, respectively. The lower panels stand for the ordering wave vectors among Q_1-Q_{12} .

different ordering vectors from the case of (O, T) in the lower panel of Fig. 2(b). The 1*Q* state at zero field is characterized by the cycloidal spiral state in order to gain the energy by the DM interaction; the spiral planes for Q_1 - Q_4 , Q_5 - Q_8 , and Q_9 - Q_{12} are parallel to \hat{z} , \hat{x} , and \hat{y} , respectively, as well as the ordering wave vector Q_y .

When the magnetic field is turned on, the ordering vector perpendicular to the [111] axis, i.e., Q_3 , Q_7 , or Q_{11} (Q_4 , Q_8 , or





FIG. 3. *H* dependence of (a) the magnetization M^{η} for $\eta = x, y, z$, (b) the scalar chirality χ^{\Box} for $\Box = yz, zx, xy$, and (c) $(m_{Q_{\nu}})^2$ for $\nu = 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11$ at D = 0.2 for the model in Eq. (2) under the [111] field. The vertical dashed lines show the phase boundaries.

 Q_{12}), is chosen as the lowest-energy state. This is because the spiral plane under the point group T_d tends to be parallel to Q_v in order to gain energy by the DM interaction. In the end, the spiral state with the ordering vector perpendicular to the [111] axis gains both energies by the DM interaction and Zeeman coupling. When *H* increases, this state turns into the FP state.

2. [001] magnetic field

Next, we consider the situation where the magnetic field is applied along the [001] direction. The phase diagrams for the point groups (O, T) and T_d are shown in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d), respectively. The tendency of the multiple-Q instability is similar to that in the [111] field; the SkX is stabilized for the case of (O, T), while no SkX appears for the case of T_d .

As shown in the lower panel of Fig. 2(c), the ordering vectors for the 1*Q* state under (O, T) are given by Q_5 , Q_7 ,

FIG. 4. Real-space spin configuration of the 3*Q* SkX at D = 0.2 and H = 1 for $H \parallel [111]$ on the *xy* plane at $z_i = 0-5$. The arrows represent the in-plane spin components, while the color represents the out-of-plane spin component. The spin configuration is drawn by the single snapshot at the lowest temperature.

 Q_9 , or Q_{11} (Q_6 , Q_8 , Q_{10} , or Q_{12}). Similar to the [111] field, the ordering vectors with a large parallel component to the field are chosen, as shown in the lower panel of Fig. 2(c). This is because the proper-screw spiral at these wave vectors has more spin components perpendicular to the [001] field than $Q_1 - Q_4$. On the other hand, the sextuple-Q SkX (6Q) SkX) appears for $D \gtrsim 0.1$ with the increase of the magnetic field from the region of the 1Q state in Fig. 2(c); there are sudden increases of M^{η} and χ^{xy} , as shown in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b), respectively. The spin configuration of the 6Q SkX is presented in Fig. 6 at D = 0.2 and H = 1.2. In contrast to the elliptical skyrmion core in the 30 SkX in Fig. 4, the skyrmion core in the 6Q SkX has a circular shape. Meanwhile, the skyrmion core in the 6Q SkX forms the square lattice as well as the 3Q SkX. The different skyrmion core position in different z coordinate indicates the modulation along the



FIG. 5. *H* dependence of (a) the magnetization M^{η} for $\eta = x, y, z$, (b) the scalar chirality χ^{\Box} for $\Box = yz, zx, xy$, and (c) $(m_{Q_{\nu}})^2$ for $\nu = 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11$ at D = 0.2 for the model in Eq. (2) under the [001] field. The vertical dashed lines show the phase boundaries.

z direction. Indeed, there are magnetic moments at Q_5 , Q_7 , Q_9 , and Q_{11} with the same intensity as well as those at Q_1 and Q_3 , as shown in Fig. 5(c), which indicates the translation of the skyrmion core in the *xy* plane at different *z* coordinate [36]. Moreover, these additional contributions from Q_5 , Q_7 , Q_9 , and Q_{11} modulate the alignment of the SkX core from the two-dimensional SkX with $m_{Q_1} = m_{Q_3} \neq 0$ and $m_{Q_5} = m_{Q_7} = m_{Q_9} = m_{Q_{11}} = 0$: the former has the nearest-neighbor skyrmion core along the (100) direction, while the latter has that along the (110) direction. In each layer, the helicity of the SkX is different but its vorticity is the same as each other so as to have a nonzero skyrmion number in the whole system. It is noted that the magnetic structure has fourfold rotational symmetry around the *z* axis.

The appearance of the 6*Q* SkX rather than the double-*Q* SkX to have only nonzero m_{Q_1} and m_{Q_3} but $m_{Q_5} = m_{Q_7} = m_{Q_9} = m_{Q_{11}} = 0$ is a consequence of the three-dimensional



FIG. 6. Real-space spin configuration of the 6*Q* SkX at D = 0.2 and H = 1.2 for $H \parallel [001]$ on the *xy* plane at $z_i = 0-5$. The arrows represent the in-plane spin components, while the color represents the out-of-plane spin component. The spin configuration is drawn by the single snapshot at the lowest temperature.

system so that the *z*-directional modulation can reduce the energy. Indeed, the relations of $Q_1 = -Q_5 - Q_{10}$ and $Q_3 = -Q_7 - Q_{11}$ indicate the appearance of effective couplings under the magnetic field, $(m_0 \cdot m_{Q_1})(m_{Q_5} \cdot m_{Q_{10}})$ and $(m_0 \cdot m_{Q_3})(m_{Q_7} \cdot m_{Q_{11}})$, which lowers the energy compared to the double-Q SkX; one can find that the relations of $Q_1 + Q_5 + Q_{10} = 0$ and $Q_3 + Q_7 + Q_{11} = 0$ are important in the effective coupling owing to the momentum conservation. Thus, the 6Q SkX is a characteristic phase that arises from the interplay among the Heisenberg interaction, the DM interaction, and the magnetic field at three-dimensional ordering vectors. Similar multiple-Q states characterized by more than four wave vectors have been also clarified for similar three-dimensional models under noncentrosymmetric lattice structures [37,38].

Meanwhile, the phase diagram consists of the 1*Q* and FP states in the case of T_d . The 1*Q* state under T_d is characterized



FIG. 7. κ -*H* phase diagram of the model in Eq. (9) at D = 0.2 for $H \parallel [001]$ under the point group D_{2d} . 1*Q'* and 1*Q''* represent the different single-*Q* states from the 1*Q* state. 2*Q* SkX, 3*Q'* SkX, and OM represent the double-*Q* skyrmion crystal, different triple-*Q* skyrmion crystal from 3*Q* SkX in Fig. 2, and other magnetic states, respectively.

by the ordering vector at Q_5 , Q_7 , Q_9 , or Q_{11} (Q_6 , Q_8 , Q_{10} , or Q_{12}), as shown in Fig. 2(d). This is because the cycloidal spiral at these wave vectors has more spin components perpendicular to the [001] field than that at Q_1-Q_4 .

3. [110] magnetic field

The phase diagrams under the [110] magnetic field for the point groups (O, T) and T_d are shown in Figs. 2(e) and 2(f), respectively. In both cases, no multiple-Q instability occurs in the phase diagram; the 1Q state with Q_1 is stabilized for (O, T) and that with Q_3 is stabilized for T_d ; the conical spiral state, whose spiral plane is perpendicular to the magnetic field, is realized in both cases in order to gain the energy by the Zeeman interaction under the local spin-length constraint $|S_i| = 1$. Thus, the energy of the 1Q state is always lower than that of the multiple-Q state, the latter of which usually costs the energy by the higher-harmonic wave-vector contributions.

B. Uniaxial strain effect for point group T_d

We have so far considered the multiple-Q instability under the point groups (O, T) and T_d . In contrast to the system under the point groups (O, T) with the chiral-type DM interaction, the system under T_d with the rank-3 polar-type DM interaction does not lead to the multiple-Q instability, which is consistent with the analysis based on Lifshitz invariants in the free energy [24–27]. To explore the possibility of the multiple-Q states under the T_d system, we consider the effect of uniaxial strain along the z direction, which reduces the point-group symmetry from T_d to D_{2d} since the twodimensional anisotropy tends to stabilize the multiple-Q states including the SkX [15,39].

We express the effect of the uniaxial anisotropy by the different interaction amplitudes for the xy and z directions in real space from the symmetry viewpoint; the tetragonal symmetry under the uniaxial strain leads to inequivalence





FIG. 8. *H* dependence of (a) the magnetization M^{η} for $\eta = x, y, z$, (b) the scalar chirality χ^{\Box} for $\Box = yz, zx, xy$, and (c) $(m_{Q_{\nu}})^2$ for $\nu = 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11$ at $\kappa = 0.9$ for the model in Eq. (9) under the [001] field. The vertical dashed lines show the phase boundaries.

of interactions at *xy*-plane and out-of-plane wave vectors in momentum space. The model Hamiltonian is given by

$$\mathcal{H} = -\sum_{\nu'} \left[J S_{\mathcal{Q}_{\nu'}} \cdot S_{-\mathcal{Q}_{\nu'}} + i \mathcal{D}_{\nu'} \cdot \left(S_{\mathcal{Q}_{\nu'}} \times S_{-\mathcal{Q}_{\nu'}} \right) \right] - \kappa \sum_{\nu''} \left[J S_{\mathcal{Q}_{\nu''}} \cdot S_{-\mathcal{Q}_{\nu''}} + i \mathcal{D}_{\nu''} \cdot \left(S_{\mathcal{Q}_{\nu''}} \times S_{-\mathcal{Q}_{\nu''}} \right) \right] - \sum_{i} \mathcal{H} \cdot S_{i},$$
(9)

where $\nu' = 1-4$ and $\nu'' = 5-12$. $\kappa < 1$ represents the ratio of the interactions at Q_1-Q_4 and those at Q_5-Q_{12} . We fix D = 0.2 and H = (0, 0, H) in the following calculations.

Figure 7 shows the phase diagram obtained by the simulated annealing while κ and H are varied. The result for $\kappa = 1$ corresponds to that in Fig. 2(d). By introducing the effect of



FIG. 9. Real-space spin configuration of the 3Q' SkX at $\kappa = 0.9$ and H = 1.3 for $H \parallel [001]$ on the xy plane at $z_i = 0-5$. The arrows represent the in-plane spin components, while the color represents the out-of-plane spin component. The spin configuration is drawn by the single snapshot at the lowest temperature.

uniaxial anisotropy $\kappa < 1$, two types of SkXs are realized in the intermediate-field region: 3Q' SkX and 2Q SkX. We show the details of the two SkXs below.

First, we discuss the 3Q' SkX stabilized for $0.85 \leq \kappa \leq 0.94$, which appears in the region between the 1Q and 1Q' states; the 1Q' state is characterized by the single-Q cycloidal spiral state at Q_1-Q_4 . The phase transition between the 3Q' SkX and 1Q (1Q') state is discontinuous, as found in the magnetization in Fig. 8(a). The real-space spin configuration of the 3Q' SkX is shown in Fig. 9. In each *z* coordinate, the SkX with the distorted skyrmion core forms the square lattice, which is similar to the 3Q SkX under the [111] magnetic field in Fig. 4. This state exhibits nonzero spin scalar chirality and triple-Q peaks at Q_{ν} , as shown in Figs. 8(b) and 8(c), respectively, which is also a similar tendency to the 3Q SkX in Fig. 3. On the other hand, there are two main differences between the 3Q' SkX and the 3Q SkX: One is the degeneracy



(a)



FIG. 10. *H* dependence of (a) the magnetization M^{η} for $\eta = x, y, z$, (b) the scalar chirality χ^{\Box} for $\Box = yz, zx, xy$, and (c) $(m_{Q_{\nu}})^2$ for $\nu = 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11$ at $\kappa = 0.8$ for the model in Eq. (9) under the [001] field. The vertical dashed lines show the phase boundaries.

of the SkXs. In the case of the 3Q SkX in Fig. 3, the state with $\chi^{xy}, \chi^{yz}, \chi^{zx} < 0$ is always selected since the choice of the triple-Q ordering vectors is unique in order to satisfy $Q_{\nu} \perp H$. Meanwhile, the plane consisting of the triple-Q ordering vectors in the 3Q' SkX is not perpendicular to the field direction in the present [001]-field case. For example, the triple-Q ordering vectors Q_3 , Q_5 , and Q_{10} in Fig. 8(c) are perpendicular to the $[\bar{1}\bar{1}1]$ axis rather than the [001] axis while keeping $Q_3 + Q_5 + Q_{10} = 0$. In other words, the plane consisting of the triple-Q ordering vectors is tilted from the plane perpendicular to the field. Such a tilting causes uniform negative magnetizations on the xy plane, i.e., $M^x < 0$ and $M^{y} < 0$, as shown in Fig. 8(a), which results in the positive scalar chirality of χ^{yz} and χ^{zx} in Fig. 8(b). Accordingly, m_{Q_3} has a different amplitude from m_{Q_5} and $m_{Q_9}(=m_{Q_{10}})$, as shown in Fig. 8(c). Similarly, the other three types of the 3Q' SkX are possible as energetically degenerate states by selecting the



FIG. 11. Real-space spin configuration of the 2*Q* SkX at $\kappa = 0.8$ and H = 1.2 for $H \parallel [001]$ on the *xy* plane at $z_i = 0$. The arrows represent the in-plane spin components, while the color represents the out-of-plane spin component. The spin configuration is drawn by the single snapshot at the lowest temperature.

different triple-*Q* superposition so as to be perpendicular to the [111], [111], or [111] axis; the sign of M_x (χ_{yz}) and M_y (χ_{zx}) can be reversed for the different axis while the sign of M_z (χ_{xy}) is fixed by the [001] field. Another difference is found in the real-space spin configuration in Fig. 9; the Néel-type helicity around the skyrmion core is realized in the 3*Q*' SkX in contrast to the Bloch-type one in the 3*Q* SkX in Fig. 4. This is owing to the different nature of the DM interaction; the triple-*Q* superposition of the cycloidal spirals is favored in the case of T_d , while that of the proper-screw spirals is favored in the case of (*O*, *T*).

With a further small κ , the 2Q SkX appears instead of the 3Q' SkX shown in Fig. 7. This state appears as the magnetic field increases in the 1Q' state for $\kappa \leq 0.88$, whose transition is identified by the jump of M^z in Fig. 10(a). The real-space spin configuration at $z_i = 0$ is shown in Fig. 11, which remains the same for the other z coordinate; there is no contribution from $Q_5 - Q_{12}$. In contrast to the other SkXs in Figs. 4, 6, 9, this state is characterized by the antiskyrmion structure with positive scalar chirality, i.e., $\chi^{xy} > 0$, as shown in Fig. 10(b). This is naturally understood from the DM interaction at the point group D_{2d} [40,41], although the stability region of the SkX is similar to the polar point group C_{4v} with the different sign of the DM interaction [42]. Owing to the uniform structure along the z direction, scalar chirality across the different xy planes vanishes $\chi^{yz} = \chi^{zx} = 0$ [Fig. 10(b)]. In momentum space, the 2Q SkX is expressed as a superposition of the double-Q spiral states at Q_1 and Q_3 with the same intensity, $m_{Q_1} = m_{Q_3}$, as shown in Fig. 10(c).

The 2*Q* SkX changes into the 1*Q*["] state for small κ and the other magnetic (OM) states for large κ in Fig. 7; the 1*Q*["] state is almost characterized by the conical spiral structure with the spiral plane on the *xy* plane at *Q*₁ or *Q*₃, although there is a small contribution in the *z*-spin component by the DM interaction, which leads to the uniform in-plane magnetization perpendicular to Q_{ν} . The OM state is characterized by a transient multiple-Q state between the 1Q and 1Q'' states.

IV. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

To summarize, we have investigated the low-temperature magnetic phase diagrams of the spin model in noncentrosymmetric cubic point groups (O, T) and T_d . By performing the simulated annealing, we systematically examined the instability toward the multiple-Q states in (O, T) and T_d . Reflecting the difference of the DM interactions between (O, T) and $T_{\rm d}$, the SkX is realized only for the point groups (O, T); the SkX corresponds to the triple-Q structure (sextuple-Qstructure) for the [111] ([001]) magnetic field. The difference of the SkX instability between (O, T) and T_d is understood from the different orientations of the DM vector, where the stabilization tendency is consistent with Lifshitz invariants in the free energy [24-27]. In other words, our results answer why the SkX has not been observed in materials under the T_d symmetry [11]. Meanwhile, we have shown that the triple-Q and double-Q SkXs appear under $T_{\rm d}$ by introducing the uniaxial strain lowering the symmetry to D_{2d} . The above results indicate the emergence of various types of SkXs for the different lattice structures and the magnetic-field direction. Since several lattice structures, such as a zinc-blende structure, cubic half-Heusler structure, A-site cation-ordered spinel structure in LiFeCr₄ O_8 [43], and other cubic structures like Ho(In,Cd)Cu₄ [44], have the T_d symmetry, the SkX might be expected by applying external pressure and chemical substitution.

Finally, let us discuss the possibilities of the SkX under the point group T_d without uniaxial strain. One is to introduce the anisotropic exchange interaction that is neglected in the model in Eq. (1). In the present ordering vectors for $Q_{\nu} \parallel \langle 110 \rangle$, there are two types of anisotropic exchange interactions [29]: One is $S_{\mathcal{Q}_1}^x S_{-\mathcal{Q}_1}^x = S_{\mathcal{Q}_1}^y S_{-\mathcal{Q}_1}^y \neq S_{-\mathcal{Q}_1}^z S_{-\mathcal{Q}_1}^z$ and the other is $S_{Q_1}^x S_{-Q_1}^y + S_{Q_1}^y S_{-Q_1}^x$ for Q_1 . Since these interactions tend to deform the circular shape of the spiral plane leading to the energy cost by higher-harmonic contributions, they can become a source of inducing multiple-Q states [45–47]. Another is the multiple-spin interaction like the form of $K(S_{Q_v} \cdot S_{-Q_v})^2$ with the positive coupling constant K > 0. This interaction also becomes the origin of multiple-Q states irrespective of the presence or absence of the DM interaction [23,35,48,49]. Indeed, we obtained a similar spin configuration to the 3Q' SkX under the [001] field at K = 0.4 and D = 0.3(not shown).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grants No. JP21H01037, No. JP22H04468, No. JP22H00101, No. JP22H01183, No. JP23H04869, No. JP23K03288, and by JST PRESTO (Grant No. JPMJPR20L8). R.Y. was supported by Forefront Physics and Mathematics Program to Drive Transformation (FoPM) and JSPS Research Fellowship. Parts of the numerical calculations were performed in the supercomputing systems in ISSP, the University of Tokyo.

SATORU HAYAMI AND RYOTA YAMBE

- [1] N. Nagaosa and Y. Tokura, Nat. Nanotechnol. 8, 899 (2013).
- [2] M. Garst, J. Waizner, and D. Grundler, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 50, 293002 (2017).
- [3] S. Hayami and Y. Motome, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 33, 443001 (2021).
- [4] I. Dzyaloshinsky, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 4, 241 (1958).
- [5] T. Moriya, Phys. Rev. **120**, 91 (1960).
- [6] U. K. Rößler, A. N. Bogdanov, and C. Pfleiderer, Nature (London) 442, 797 (2006).
- [7] B. Binz, A. Vishwanath, and V. Aji, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 207202 (2006).
- [8] B. Binz and A. Vishwanath, Phys. Rev. B 74, 214408 (2006).
- [9] S. D. Yi, S. Onoda, N. Nagaosa, and J. H. Han, Phys. Rev. B 80, 054416 (2009).
- [10] A. B. Butenko, A. A. Leonov, U. K. Rößler, and A. N. Bogdanov, Phys. Rev. B 82, 052403 (2010).
- [11] Y. Tokura and N. Kanazawa, Chem. Rev. 121, 2857 (2021).
- [12] S. Mühlbauer, B. Binz, F. Jonietz, C. Pfleiderer, A. Rosch, A. Neubauer, R. Georgii, and P. Böni, Science 323, 915 (2009).
- [13] A. Neubauer, C. Pfleiderer, B. Binz, A. Rosch, R. Ritz, P. G. Niklowitz, and P. Böni, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 186602 (2009).
- [14] X. Z. Yu, Y. Onose, N. Kanazawa, J. H. Park, J. H. Han, Y. Matsui, N. Nagaosa, and Y. Tokura, Nature (London) 465, 901 (2010).
- [15] S. Seki, X. Z. Yu, S. Ishiwata, and Y. Tokura, Science 336, 198 (2012).
- [16] T. Adams, A. Chacon, M. Wagner, A. Bauer, G. Brandl, B. Pedersen, H. Berger, P. Lemmens, and C. Pfleiderer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 237204 (2012).
- [17] S. Seki, J.-H. Kim, D. S. Inosov, R. Georgii, B. Keimer, S. Ishiwata, and Y. Tokura, Phys. Rev. B 85, 220406(R) (2012).
- [18] K. Karube, K. Shibata, J. S. White, T. Koretsune, X. Z. Yu, Y. Tokunaga, H. M. Rønnow, R. Arita, T. Arima, Y. Tokura, and Y. Taguchi, Phys. Rev. B 98, 155120 (2018).
- [19] M. Kakihana, D. Aoki, A. Nakamura, F. Honda, M. Nakashima, Y. Amako, S. Nakamura, T. Sakakibara, M. Hedo, T. Nakama, and Y. Onuki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 87, 023701 (2018).
- [20] K. Kaneko, M. D. Frontzek, M. Matsuda, A. Nakao, K. Munakata, T. Ohhara, M. Kakihana, Y. Haga, M. Hedo, T. Nakama, and Y. Onuki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 88, 013702 (2019).
- [21] C. Tabata, T. Matsumura, H. Nakao, S. Michimura, M. Kakihana, T. Inami, K. Kaneko, M. Hedo, T. Nakama, and Y. Onuki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 88, 093704 (2019).
- [22] M. Kakihana, D. Aoki, A. Nakamura, F. Honda, M. Nakashima, Y. Amako, T. Takeuchi, H. Harima, M. Hedo, T. Nakama, and Y. Onuki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 88, 094705 (2019).
- [23] S. Hayami and R. Yambe, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 90, 073705 (2021).
- [24] I. Dzyaloshinskii, Sov. Phys. JETP 19, 960 (1964).

- [25] M. Kataoka and O. Nakanishi, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 50, 3888 (1981).
- [26] A. N. Bogdanov and D. A. Yablonskii, Sov. Phys. JETP 68, 101 (1989).
- [27] A. Bogdanov and A. Hubert, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 138, 255 (1994).
- [28] R. Yambe and S. Hayami, Phys. Rev. B 106, 174437 (2022).
- [29] R. Yambe and S. Hayami, Phys. Rev. B 107, 174408 (2023).
- [30] Y. Kato, S. Hayami, and Y. Motome, Phys. Rev. B 104, 224405 (2021).
- [31] S. Buhrandt and L. Fritz, Phys. Rev. B 88, 195137 (2013).
- [32] H. Sabri and I. Kornev, Phys. Rev. B 107, 024417 (2023).
- [33] It is noted that this type of DM interaction has the same symmetry as the octupole xyz in real space instead of the dipole r owing to the presence of threefold rotational symmetry around the $\langle 111 \rangle$ axis.
- [34] I. A. Ado, A. Qaiumzadeh, A. Brataas, and M. Titov, Phys. Rev. B 101, 161403(R) (2020).
- [35] S. Hayami, R. Ozawa, and Y. Motome, Phys. Rev. B 95, 224424 (2017).
- [36] K. Shimizu, S. Okumura, Y. Kato, and Y. Motome, Phys. Rev. B 105, 224405 (2022).
- [37] Y. Kato and Y. Motome, Phys. Rev. B 105, 174413 (2022).
- [38] Y. Kato and Y. Motome, Phys. Rev. B 107, 094437 (2023).
- [39] A. Tonomura, X. Yu, K. Yanagisawa, T. Matsuda, Y. Onose, N. Kanazawa, H. S. Park, and Y. Tokura, Nano Lett. 12, 1673 (2012).
- [40] A. K. Nayak, V. Kumar, T. Ma, P. Werner, E. Pippel, R. Sahoo, F. Damay, U. K. Rößler, C. Felser, and S. S. Parkin, Nature (London) 548, 561 (2017).
- [41] L. Peng, R. Takagi, W. Koshibae, K. Shibata, K. Nakajima, T.-h. Arima, N. Nagaosa, S. Seki, X. Yu, and Y. Tokura, Nat. Nanotechnol. 15, 181 (2020).
- [42] S. Hayami and Y. Motome, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 137202 (2018).
- [43] R. Saha, R. Dhanya, C. Bellin, K. Béneut, A. Bhattacharyya, A. Shukla, C. Narayana, E. Suard, J. Rodríguez-Carvajal, and A. Sundaresan, Phys. Rev. B 96, 214439 (2017).
- [44] O. Stockert, J.-U. Hoffmann, M. Mühlbauer, A. Senyshyn, M. M. Koza, A. A. Tsirlin, F. M. Wolf, S. Bachus, P. Gegenwart, R. Movshovich, S. Bobev, and V. Fritsch, Phys. Rev. Res. 2, 013183 (2020).
- [45] D. Amoroso, P. Barone, and S. Picozzi, Nat. Commun. 11, 5784 (2020).
- [46] S. Hayami and Y. Motome, Phys. Rev. B 103, 054422 (2021).
- [47] R. Yambe and S. Hayami, Sci. Rep. 11, 11184 (2021).
- [48] S. Okumura, S. Hayami, Y. Kato, and Y. Motome, Phys. Rev. B 101, 144416 (2020).
- [49] S. Okumura, S. Hayami, Y. Kato, and Y. Motome, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 91, 093702 (2022).