

HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

Title	Application of chemostat culture to nutrient uptake rate measurements by the macroalgae Saccharina japonica var. religiosa (Phaeophyceae) and UIva australlis (UIvophyceae)
Author(s)	Okazaki, Ryosuke; Teramoto, Narumi; Carlson, Andrew K.; Nakanishi, Kiyoko; Kudo, Isao
Citation	Phycological research https://doi.org/10.1111/pre.12483
Issue Date	2023-09-08
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/90347
Rights	This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Okazaki, R., Teramoto, N., Carlson, A.K., Nakanishi, K. and Kudo, I. (2022), Application of chemostat culture to nutrient uptake rate measurements by the macroalgae Saccharina japonica var. religiosa (Phaeophyceae) and Ulva australis (Ulvophyceae). Phycological Res., 70: 142-150, which has been published in final form at https://doi.org/10.1111/pre.12483. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions. This article may not be enhanced, enriched or otherwise transformed into a derivative work, without express permission from Wiley or by statutory rights under applicable legislation. Copyright notices must not be removed, obscured or modified. The article must be linked to Wiley 's version of record on Wiley Online Library and any embedding, framing or otherwise making available the article or pages thereof by third parties from platforms, services and websites other than Wiley Online Library must be prohibited.
Туре	article (author version)
File Information	Application of chemostat culture to nutrient uptake rate measurements by the macroalgae Saccharina japonica var. religiosa (Phaeophyceae) and UIva australlis (UIvophyceae).pdf



Application of Chemostat culture to Nutrient uptake rate measurements by the macroalgae *Saccharina japonica* var. *religiosa* (Phaeophyceae) and *Ulva australis* (Ulvophyceae)

Ryosuke Okazaki¹, Narumi Teramoto¹, Andrew K Carlson¹, Kiyoko Nakanishi¹

Isao Kudo^{1,2}

 Graduate School of Environmental Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Hokkaido, Japan

 Faculty of Fisheries Sciences, Hokkaido University, Hakodate 042-8611, Hokkaido, Japan

Author for correspondence: ikudo@fish.hokudai.ac.jp

1 SUMMARY

2	In this study, we applied a chemostat culture method, for the first time, to measure the
3	nutrient uptake rate of macroalgae. We examined two methods of measuring the nutrient
4	uptake rate of two macroalgae, Saccharina japonica var. religiosa and Ulva australis, by
5	comparing nutrient uptake kinetics between the chemostat culture and batch culture. In
6	the chemostat culture, the nutrient concentration was kept constant by monitoring the
7	change in nutrient concentration using an Auto Analyzer in real time and adding nutrients

8	to compensate for the macroalgae's nutrient consumption. The nutrient uptake in the
9	chemostat culture could be best fitted to the Michaelis-Menten saturation kinetics. In the
10	batch culture, the nutrient concentration decreased with time, either constantly or
11	exponentially due to a rapid uptake of nutrients by the macroalgae. The nutrient uptake
12	rate in the batch culture generally showed a scattered relationship with nutrient
13	concentration, with a weak fitting to the Michaelis-Menten saturation kinetics. This
14	discrepancy seemed to be partly because the change in nutrient concentration was large
15	between the sampling intervals in the batch culture. Determining an appropriate sampling
16	interval for detectable concentration change is difficult unless the nutrient concentration
17	is measured in real time. Therefore, the application of the chemostat culture method to
18	the measurement of the uptake rate by macroalgae could greatly improve our
19	understanding of nutrient uptake kinetics.

20 Key index words: batch culture; chemostat culture; macroalgae; Michaelis-Menten curve;

21 nutrient uptake kinetics

22

23 INTRODUCTION

The batch culture method has generally been used to measure the nutrient uptake rate of
macroalgae (D'Elia & DeBoer 1978; Haines & Wheeler 1978; Harlin & Craigie 1978;

26 Wallentinus 1984; Harrison et al. 1986; Pedersen 1994; Pedersen & Borum 1997; 27 Martinez et al. 2012). In this method, the uptake rate by macroalgae is measured by incubating a thallus sample in a tank and observing the decrease in nutrient concentration 28 29 in the surrounding seawater. The uptake rate is calculated by dividing the amount of decrease in concentration by the sampling interval. This rate is further normalized by algal 30 31 biomass (dry weight) or surface area. This method is convenient, but when a sample has a high nutrient uptake rate, the large drawdown of nutrients within the tank becomes an 32 issue. Generally, the nutrient uptake rate is expressed as a function of the nutrient 33 34 concentration according to the Michaelis-Menten equation, $V = V_{max} S/(K_s + S)$, where V is the uptake rate (µmol g dry wt⁻¹ h⁻¹), V_{max} is the maximal uptake rate, S is the 35 concentration of limiting nutrient (µM), and K_s is the half-saturation constant representing 36 the value of S, where $V = V_{max}/2$. The species-specific nutrient uptake kinetic parameters, 37 V_{max} and K_s, have been used to explain species competition for a limiting nutrient 38 39 (Dugdale 1967; Tilman 1977; Button 1985).

In a batch culture, nutrient concentration within the tank decreases with time due to nutrient uptake, resulting in incorrect evaluation of the relationship between nutrient concentration and uptake rate. A larger tank or shorter sampling interval could be used to reduce the effects of the decreasing nutrient concentration. However, too small of a change in nutrient concentration could result in larger errors in calculating the uptake
rates. Therefore, to ensure precise uptake rate measurement, it is necessary to monitor the
nutrient concentration in the incubation tank in real time and keep the concentration at a
designated value by compensating for the consumption by algae.

The use of a chemostat culture can allow for the measurement of the nutrient uptake rate 48 49 while keeping the nutrient concentration constant in the incubation tank. Chemostat is one of the continuous culture methods for keeping the concentration of chemicals at a 50 constant level by monitoring the concentration in real time and supplying chemicals to 51 52 compensate for their decrease (consumption). The continuous culture generally supplies fresh medium to the culture tank and removes the effluent from the tank at a constant flow 53 rate (Tilman & Kilham 1976). This method can control the growth rate of microalgae (μ) 54 by changing the dilution rate (D, d^{-1}). D is defined as f/v, where f is the volume (L) 55 replaced daily by the fresh medium and v is the volume (L) of the culture. In this method, 56 57 the limiting nutrient concentration in the culture tank is depleted at a steady state. Thus, 58 the continuous culture cannot control the nutrient concentration at a designated level in 59 the culture tank. In the chemostat culture, a target nutrient concentration in the incubation 60 tank is monitored in real time, and the nutrient decrease rate is calculated to recover the nutrient losses with an appropriate nutrient addition. Generally, an Auto Analyzer is used 61

62	to measure nutrient concentrations in seawater (Grasshoff et al. 1999). This instrument
63	has the high analytical sensitivity and accuracy required to measure the nutrient
64	concentration in seawater. However, the instrument takes 5-10 minutes to display the
65	results after introducing the sample. This time lag in measurement has to be considered
66	to keep the nutrient concentration at a certain level in the chemostat culture. The
67	objectives of this study were to develop a method of measuring the nutrient uptake rate
68	of macroalgae in a chemostat culture by using an Auto Analyzer in real time and to
69	compare methods to verify the effectiveness of the chemostat culture.
70	
71	MATERIALS AND METHODS
72	Sample collection
73	Samples of Ulva australis were collected in August 2015 and those of Saccharina
74	<i>japonica</i> var. <i>religiosa</i> in April 2019 from the shore of Oshoro Bay, Hokkaido (43°12'28"
75	N; 140°51'53" E). The weight of Ulva ranged from 8.5 to 11.2 g wet wt. The length and
76	weight of Saccharina (only 1st age) without sorus ranged from 170 to 250 mm and 13.3
77	to 31.9 g wet wt, respectively. Macroalgae thalli were sampled from the rocky substrate
78	without damaging the holdfasts and were transported to the laboratory under dark and

macroalgae were maintained for at least 24 h in the incubator at the in situ temperature in Oshoro Bay (August 2015: 24.0 \pm 0.5°C, April 2019: 7.0 \pm 0.5°C) and at 120 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ using fluorescent lamps with daylight spectrum.

83 Chemostat culture experiment

Three liters of prescreened (100 µm mesh) seawater collected from Oshoro Bay were 84 85 poured into an incubation tank and stirred constantly with a magnetic stirrer in a temperature-controlled incubator. The stirrer equipped with guard frame was used and a 86 stainless mesh was placed in the incubation tank to prevent possible damage to thallus. 87 88 Rotation rate was set at 600 rpm, generating water motion at approximately 3.4 cm s⁻¹. In 89 the experiment using Saccharina, the uptake rates of either NH4-N or NO3-N were 90 measured. The PO₄-P concentration was adjusted to a sufficient level (>4.0 µM). At the beginning of each uptake experiment, NH₄-N, NO₃-N, and PO₄-P concentrations in the 91 92 tank were the sum of those contained in the original seawater and the spiked amounts of 93 nutrient stock solution. In contrast, in the experiment using Ulva, the uptake rates of NO₃-94 N and PO₄-P were measured. In the NO₃-N uptake experiment, the NO₃-N concentration 95 was adjusted to 0.5-20 µM, while the PO₄-P concentration was adjusted to a sufficient 96 level (>4.0 µM). In the PO₄-P uptake experiment, the PO₄-P concentration was adjusted 97 to 0.1-4.0 μ M, while the NO₃-N concentration was adjusted to a sufficient level (>20 μ M).

98 The NH₄-N, NO₃-N, and PO₄-P concentrations in the original seawater were $< 0.1 \mu$ M in 99 August 2015 and April 2019 (except NO₃-N at 5 µM in April 2019). The nutrient stock 100 solutions were prepared from special reagent grade chemicals such as KNO₃, (NH₄)₂SO₄, and KH₂PO₄ at 10,000, 5,000, and 5,000 µM, respectively. 101 102 A macroalgal thallus was placed in the tank. The density of macroalgae varied from 1.5 103 to 5.3 g wet wt L⁻¹ depending on species. Every 10 minutes, an aliquot (10 mL) of 104 seawater was sampled from the tank and filtered using a GF/F filter (pore size 0.7 µm). 105 The filtered sample was placed to the sample tray manually. Nutrient concentrations 106 (NH₄-N, NO₃-N, and PO₄-P) were then quickly measured with an Auto Analyzer 107 (QuAAtro, BRAN+LUEBBE, Tokyo, Japan) (Grasshoff et al. 1999). The Auto Analyzer 108 took 5-8 minutes to obtain the analytical results. The schematic time course change of 109 concentration and procedures in the chemostat culture are shown in Fig. 1. The nutrient decrease rate (µmol L⁻¹ min⁻¹), R₀₋₁₀, was calculated from the change in 110 111 nutrient concentration between t = 0 and t = 10 minutes divided by 10 (min). The amount of the first nutrient addition at t = 20 was calculated to recover the nutrient loss, assuming 112 113 that R_{0-10} would continue until t = 20 because the result sampled at t = 10 was obtained at

114 t = 15-18. The amount of nutrient addition at t = 20, A_{20} (μ L), was calculated as follows:

115
$$A_{20} = \frac{\{(C_T - C_{10}) + R_{0-10}\} \times W}{N \times 10^{-6}} \quad (1)$$

where C_T is the target nutrient concentration (μ M); C_{10} is the concentration at t = 10; N is the nutrient concentration in the nutrient stock solution (μ M); and W is the seawater volume (L) in the tank.

119 The nutrient decrease rate after t = 30 was calculated from the change in concentration

120 and the added amount of nutrient in each tank, as follows:

121
$$R_{(t-10)-t} = \frac{(C_t - C_{t-10}) + C_{A(t-10)}}{10}$$
(2)

122 where C_t is the nutrient concentration (μM) at time t and $C_{A(t-10)}$ is the increase in nutrient

123 concentration by adding nutrient at time = t-10, calculated as

124
$$C_{A(t-10)} = \frac{A_{t-10} \times N \times 10^{-6}}{W}$$
(3)

125 where A_{t-10} is the amount of added nutrient (μ L) at time = t-10.

Because there were biological fluctuations in the nutrient uptake by macroalgae, the average value of the two most recently calculated nutrient decrease rates, $\overline{R_t}$, was used

128 for the calculation of A_t after t = 30, as follows:

129
$$\overline{R_t} = \frac{R_{(t-20)-(t-10)} + R_{(t-10)-t}}{2}$$
(4)

130 At was calculated as

131
$$A_{t} = \frac{\{(C_{T} - C_{t-10}) + \overline{R_{t-10}}\} \times W}{N \times 10^{-6}}$$
(5)

132

133 This operation was continued for 60-90 minutes until nutrient concentrations and nutrient

uptake rates were stabilized. Four settings could be simultaneously run at one time, with
a 5-minute delay for two of four settings. The calculation for the chemostat operation was
conducted with an Excel (Microsoft, Redmond, USA) worksheet. This worksheet will be
provided upon request by the corresponding author.

138 Nutrient uptake rates (R) were converted to those based on dry weight as follows:

139
$$\mathbf{v}_{t-(t+10)} = \frac{\{(C_t - C_{t+10}) + C_{A(t)}\} \times W \times 60}{\Delta t \times B}$$
(6)

140 where $V_{t-(t+10)}$ is the nutrient uptake rate between time t to t+10 (µmol g dry wt⁻¹ h⁻¹); B is

141 the dry weight of the sample (g dry wt) and Δt is the sampling interval (min).

142 The thallus was dried at 55 °C on aluminum foil until a constant dry weight was achieved,

143 typically for 4 to 5 days.

The average and standard deviation (SD) of the uptake rate for each concentration setting were calculated from the five to eight uptake rate data from a single experiment. The average and SD of the nutrient concentration were also calculated. The results between t = 0 and t = 20 were not included in the calculation for the average nutrient uptake rate and concentration. Four to five settings of different concentrations were applied to fit the Michaelis-Menten curve.

150 The precision of analysis, expressed as the coefficient of variation (CV) on the Auto

151 Analyzer, was about 1%, and the detection limit (c.a., $0.01 \ \mu M$) was defined as three times

152 the CV of the lowest standard solution.

The experimental reproducibility (CV%) using different strands varied from 3% to 20%. The control experiment was conducted in the same way without macroalgae. The effect of microalgae (phytoplankton) in the 100 μ m mesh screened seawater on the measured uptake rates was negligible because no change in the nutrient concentrations was observed in the control experiment. Thus, the obtained uptake rates were judged to be only due to the uptake by macroalgae.

159

160 Batch culture experiment

161 Nutrient concentrations in the tanks and experimental conditions were the same as in the 162 chemostat culture for the measurement of nutrient uptake rates in the batch culture. An 163 aliquot of seawater (10 mL) was sampled from each tank every 10 minutes for 60-120 164 minutes. Duplicate tanks were set up for Ulva, and NO₃-N concentration was adjusted to 165 20 μ M in the N uptake experiment. The PO₄-P concentration was adjusted to 3 μ M. Ten tanks were set up for Saccharina, and either NO3-N or NH4-N concentration was adjusted 166 167 to ranging from 15 μ M to 40 μ M in the N uptake experiment. Nutrient concentrations in 168 these samples were measured discretely with an Auto Analyzer. Nutrient uptake rates were calculated from the decrease in nutrient for each sampling interval, and the average 169

170 nutrient concentration in each interval was used as the substrate concentration for plotting.

171 The nutrient uptake rate was assumed to change depending on the nutrient concentration,

172 so each uptake rate was plotted as an individual value.

173

174 Michaelis-Menten curve

The uptake rate, V, was plotted against the nutrient concentration, S, in each treatment and best fitted to the Michaelis-Menten curve according to the following equation:

177
$$V = \frac{V_{max} \cdot s}{K_s + s}$$
(8)

178 where V_{max} is the maximum uptake rate (µmol g dry wt⁻¹ h⁻¹); K_s is the half-saturation 179 constant (µM) when S = K_s; V is half of the V_{max}; and S is the nutrient concentration (µM). 180 The experimental results were best fitted to the Michaelis-Menten curve using a Kaleida 181 Graph (Synergy Software, Reading, USA). In the fitting to the curve, V at S = 0 was 182 assumed to be zero.

183 Furthermore, an indicator of nutrient uptake efficiency at low nutrient concentration, α

184 (Healey, 1980), was calculated from V_{max} divided by K_s ($\alpha = V_{max} / K_s$).

185

186 RESULTS

187 Chemostat culture

188	Time course changes in NO ₃ -N and NH ₄ -N in the chemostat cultures using Saccharina
189	are shown in Fig. 2. The concentration of NO ₃ -N or NH ₄ -N continued to decrease until t
190	= 30 minutes, when the effect of the nutrient addition appeared. After controlling the
191	nutrient concentration through nutrient addition, each nutrient concentration was
192	maintained at a constant level during the experiment. The CV ranges for the
193	concentrations of NO ₃ -N and NH ₄ -N in each tank were 4.8-11.9 and 5.0-18.9%,
194	respectively. In the experiment using <i>Ulva</i> , the ranges for PO ₄ -P and NO ₃ -N were 5-13%
195	and 6-24%, respectively (Fig. 3). The averaged nutrient concentration and uptake rates
196	for Saccharina (Fig. 4) and Ulva (Fig. 5) were best fitted to the Michaelis-Menten
197	equation. V_{max} and K_S were determined from these curves (Table 1). In the experiment
198	using Ulva, K _s values for NO ₃ -N and PO ₄ -P were 2.39 and 1.26 μ M, whereas V _{max} values
199	were 6.51 and 0.16 μ mol g dry wt ⁻¹ h ⁻¹ , respectively. In the experiment using <i>Saccharina</i> ,
200	K_s values for NO3-N and NH4-N were 5.35 and 9.29 $\mu M,$ whereas V_{max} values were 22.3
201	and 23.2 μ mol g dry wt ⁻¹ h ⁻¹ , respectively.

- 202
- 203 Batch culture

204 NH4-N and NO3-N concentrations in the batch culture using Saccharina decreased constantly (Fig. 6). Whereas the PO₄-P concentration decreased constantly in the batch 205

206 culture using *Ulva*, the NO₃-N concentration decreased exponentially and was depleted by t = 80 minutes (Fig. 7). The nutrient decrease rates $((C_{t-10} - C_t)/C_t \times 100, (\%))$ for 207 each sampling interval in the experiment using Saccharina and in the PO4-P uptake 208 209 experiment using *Ulva* were lower than 10%. In contrast, the rates in the NO₃-N uptake 210 experiment using Ulva were higher than 30%. The nutrient uptake rates by Saccharina in 211 the batch culture did not become saturated with increasing nutrient concentration but 212 rather showed a linear relationship with nutrient concentration (Fig. 8). The NO₃-N uptake 213 rate for Ulva showed a fit to the Michaelis-Menten curve, but no data were available at higher concentrations (Fig. 9a). K_S and V_{max} for NO₃-N were 53 μ M and 13.7 μ mol g dry 214 wt⁻¹ h⁻¹, respectively. Those for PO₄-P were 1.45 μ M and 0.16 μ mol g dry wt⁻¹ h⁻¹, 215 respectively (Fig. 9b). 216 217 The α value was calculated for the comparison between the results in the chemostat culture and the batch culture. In the experiment using Saccharina in the chemostat culture, 218 219 the α values for NO₃-N and NH₄-N were 4.33 and 2.40, respectively (Table 1). The α values in the batch culture for NO₃-N and NH₄-N were 0.83 and 1.00, respectively. The α 220 221 values for NO₃-N and PO₄-P in the experiment using Ulva in the chemostat were 66.5 and 222 3.09, respectively. Those values for the batch culture were 7.05 and 2.07, respectively. 223 The α values in the batch culture were lower than those in the chemostat culture for both species.

225

241

226 DISCUSSION

227 It is important for the nutrient concentration in the tank to be maintained at a certain level 228 for the uptake rate calculation. However, in the batch culture, the nutrient concentration 229 decreased constantly (Figs 6 and 7), whereas the NO₃-N concentration in the Ulva 230 experiment decreased exponentially until it was depleted (Fig. 7a). Previous research 231 indicates that Ulva grows rapidly in the summer (Ono 1988), so the NO₃-N requirement 232 for Ulva would be very high in the summer. Harrison et al. (1989) examined several batch 233 culture methods to obtain nutrient uptake kinetic parameters. They conducted batch culture experiments preparing multiple flasks with different nutrient concentrations and 234 varying incubation times (0.05, 1 and 2 hr) and a short incubation time (5 min). 235 236 Furthermore, they conducted a batch culture experiment with multiple sequential nutrient 237 additions. Batch culture methods provided highly variable uptake rate values with nutrient concentration. This was explained by the feedback inhibition that occurs on a time scale 238 239 of seconds (McCarthy & Goldman 1979; Goldman & Glibert 1982). 240 On the other hand, the variation in the nutrient concentration was small in the chemostat

14

culture. The nutrient concentration in the tank decreased from the start of the experiment

242	until the effect of nutrient addition appeared after $t = 30$ minutes but was maintained at
243	the target concentration after the nutrient addition. In the chemostat culture using S .
244	religiosa, the CV in the nutrient concentration was lower than 10% (Fig. 2). The CV in
245	the nutrient uptake rate for each sampling interval was 10-50%. The nutrient addition to
246	maintain the nutrient concentration at the target concentration was successful, but the
247	nutrient uptake rate fluctuated to some extent. This variation in the uptake rate might be
248	due to a biological fluctuation, which seemed to be larger than the analytical error.
249	The nutrient uptake rates in the chemostat culture became saturated with increasing
250	nutrient concentration (Figs. 4 and 5). Even though there were fewer plots than in the
251	batch culture, each plot in the chemostat culture was averaged in value from more than
252	five measurements and had an error bar.
253	No studies have compared the characteristics of nutrient uptake kinetics between a batch
254	culture and chemostat culture using macroalgae. For chemostat cultures of Saccharina,
255	V_{max} values for NO ₃ -N and NH ₄ -N were almost the same at 23.2 and 22.3 $\mu mol~g~dry~wt^{-1}$
256	1 h ⁻¹ , respectively (Table 1). The Ks for NO ₃ -N was 5.35 μM , which was lower than that
257	for NH ₄ -N at 9.29 μ M. On the contrary, for batch cultures of Saccharina, V _{max} values
258	were 401 and 42.7 $\mu mol~g$ dry wt $^{1}~h^{-1}$ for NO3-N and NH4-N, respectively. Ks values
259	were 481 and 40.4 μ M for NO ₃ -N and NH ₄ -N, respectively. However, those obtained by

260 the batch culture showed an order of difference between NH₄-N and NO₃-N with 261 substantially large errors. The V_{max} and K_s for PO₄-P of Ulva obtained by the batch culture 262 were not significantly different from those by the chemostat culture (t-test, p>0.05). This 263 is attributed to the scattering plots and lack of saturated values at a higher nutrient 264 concentration range in the batch culture. The reason why these parameters for PO₄-P were 265 not different was not clear in this study. Harrison et al. (1986) and Subandar et al. (1993) reported Vmax of Laminariales for NH4-266 N and NO₃-N ranging 10-20 µmol g dry wt⁻¹ h⁻¹. This range was similar to the values 267 268 obtained by the chemostat culture in this study. 269 O'Brien and Wheeler (1987) reported the in situ uptake rate of NO₃-N by Ulva using a bell jar technique. The average of 10.8 µmol g dry wt⁻¹ h⁻¹ was similar to values in this 270 271 study. 272 However, the nutrient uptake rate of NO₃-N by Ulva in the batch culture was less than 273 half of the chemostat culture at lower concentrations. (Figs 5 and 9). The reason for this difference was not clear, but one explanation may be less data for the batch culture 274 275 experiment. Along the Michaelis-Menten curve, the nutrient uptake rate changes linearly

277 concentration in the chemostat culture. Both methods were conducted with the same

276

at lower concentrations. The nutrient concentration was kept constant at a low

278	experimental conditions, such as water temperature, light intensity, water mixing, and
279	amount of cultured seawater. The uptake rates in the chemostat culture were more precise
280	than in the batch culture method in the lower concentration region. The correlation
281	coefficients of the best-fitted Michaelis-Menten curves in the chemostat culture were high
282	in both samples (for <i>Saccharina</i> , NO ₃ -N: $r = 0.99$, NH ₄ -N: $r = 0.99$; for <i>Ulva</i> , NO ₃ -N: $r =$
283	0.95, PO ₄ -P: $r = 0.93$). The nutrient uptake rates in the batch culture showed a fitting to
284	the Michaelis-Menten curve but with a lower correlation (for <i>Saccharina</i> , NO ₃ -N: $r =$
285	0.63, NH ₄ -N: $r = 0.94$; for <i>Ulva</i> , NO ₃ -N: $r = 0.98$, PO ₄ -P: $r = 0.81$). Some plots did not
286	become saturated with increasing nutrients but rather showed a scattered linear
287	relationship with the nutrient concentration (Fig. 8a). This tendency was reported in a
288	previous study that measured the nutrient uptake rate of Saccharina latissima using a
289	batch culture (as Laminaria groenlandica, Harrison et al. 1986). Linear relationships
290	between the NH4-N uptake rate and the NH4-N concentration were reported in previous
291	studies using Macrocystis sp. (Haines & Wheeler 1978) and Gracilaria foliifera (D'Elia
292	& DeBoer 1978). The reason the chemostat culture method in the present study could be
293	best fitted to the Michaelis-Menten curve seemed to be that an equilibrium is attained
294	between substrate concentration and uptake rate over a longer period (~ 1 h).
295	Thomas and Harrison (1987) conducted real-time monitoring of substrate concentration

in seaweed incubation using an Auto Analyzer. However, they observed a time course
change in nutrients but did not control the substrate concentration by adding the nutrient
stock solution.

Stable isotope ¹⁵N has been widely used for the uptake rate measurement of 299 300 phytoplankton (Glibert et al. 1982; Glibert & McCarthy 1984; Dugdale & Wilkerson 301 1986; Kudo et al. 2015). N uptake rates and uptake kinetics for seaweed and seagrass 302 have been reported using stable isotope ¹⁵N (Williams & Fisher 1985; O'Brien & Wheeler 303 1987; Alexandre et al. 2011; Alexandre & Santos 2020). However, the application of this 304 method for macroalgae and seagrass involves the concern of a change in nutrient 305 concentration during the incubation when the uptake rate of the sample tissue is large 306 because the incubation is conducted in the batch culture. To avoid this, a preincubation 307 experiment is necessary to optimize incubation volume and time duration depending on 308 plant biomass. Additionally, the sample for stable isotope measurement must be dried and 309 pulverized; a large thallus presents difficulty for sample preparation. Macroalgae and phytoplankton would suddenly show a high uptake rate to fulfill their 310 311 limiting nutrient pools responding to a sudden increase in nutrients (Surge uptake,

- Lapointe 1985; Thomas & Harrison 1987; Lubsch & Timmermans 2019). Furthermore,
- in the real ocean, nutrient concentrations remain more or less stable for different periods

of time, and are not immediately affected by the seaweed activity due to the large water volume to biomass ratio. It is ideal to apply the chemostat culture method to the nutrient uptake kinetics study. To the best of our knowledge, the method developed in this study is the first to apply a chemostat culture to measure the uptake rate of macroalgae.

318 The chemostat culture method is applicable to examine the response to nutrient 319 perturbation, as well as to species-specific nutrient uptake kinetics studies. Another 320 application of the chemostat culture method in the present study are IMTA (Integrated 321 multi-trophic aquaculture) systems, in which species from two or more trophic levels 322 grow in one farm and where the waste of one feeds another (Buschmann 1996; Neori et 323 al. 2004; Cruz-Suárez et al. 2010). Fast-growing seaweed, such as Ulva prolifera, has been used in this system (Cruz-Suárez et al. 2010). The system has a constant flow of 324 325 seawater and nutrients uptake rate may be high in the system. So there may be difficulties 326 in measuring the uptake rate of the seaweeds correctly in IMTA systems when using the 327 batch culture method. In contrast, the chemostat culture method would better simulate 328 conditions and more accurately measure the uptake rate in IMTA systems.

329

330 CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we developed a new method to measure the nutrient uptake rate of

332	macroalgae while maintaining a constant nutrient concentration. These results
333	demonstrate the applicability and the accuracy of measuring nutrient uptake rates.
334	Applying this method to other macroalgal species could therefore deepen our
335	understanding of macroalgal uptake kinetics.
336	
337	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
338	The authors thank the members of the laboratory for assistance in sampling and analyses.
339	
340	REFERENCES
341	Alexandre, A. and Santos, R. 2020. Competition for nitrogen between the seaweed
342	Caulerpa prolifera and the seagrass Cymodocea nodosa. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 648:
343	125-134.
344	Alexandre, A., Silva, J., Bouma, T.J. and Santos, R. 2011. Inorganic nitrogen uptake
345	kinetics and whole-plant nitrogen budget in the seagrass Zostera noltii. J. Exp. Mar.
346	<i>Biol. Ecol.</i> 401 : 7-12.
347	Buschmann, A.H. 1996. An introduction to integrated farming and the use of
348	seaweeds as biofilters. Hydrobiol. 326: 59-60.
349	Button, D.R. 1985. Kinetics of nutrient-limited transport and microbial growth.

350 *Microbial. Rev.* **49**: 270-297.

351	Cruz-Suárez,	L.E., León, A.,	Penã-Rodríguez,	A., Rodríguez	-Penã, C	3., Moll, I	B. and
-----	--------------	-----------------	-----------------	---------------	----------	-------------	--------

- 352 Ricque-Marie, D. 2010. Shrimp/*Ulva* co-culture: a sustainable alternative to diminish
- the need for artificial feed and improve shrimp quality. *Aquacul.* 301: 64-68.
- 354 D'Elia, C.F. and De Boer, J. A. 1978. Nutritional studies of two red algae. II. Kinetics
- of ammonium and nitrate uptake. J. Phycol. 14: 266-272
- 356 Dugdale, R. C. 1967. Nutrient limitation in the sea: dynamics, identification and
- 357 significance. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **12**: 685-695.
- 358 Dugdale, R. C. and Wilkerson, F. P. 1986. The use of ¹⁵N to measure nitrogen uptake
- in eutrophic ocean: experimental consideration. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **31**: 673-689.
- 360 Glibert, P. M., Lipschults, F., McCarthy, J. J. and Altabet, M.A. 1982. Isotope dilution
- 361 models of uptake and remineralization of NH₄ by marine plankton. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*
- **27**: 639-650.
- 363 Glibert, P. M. and McCarthy, J. J. 1984. Uptake and assimilation of ammonium and
- nitrate by phytoplankton; indices of nutritional status. J. Plankton Res. 6: 677-697.
- 365 Goldman, J. C. and Glibert, P. M. 1982. Comparative rapid ammonium uptake by four
- 366 species of marine phytoplankton. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, **27**: 814-827.
- 367 Grasshoff, K., Kremling, K. and Ehrhardt, M. 1999. Methods of sea water analysis,

- Haines, K.C. and Wheeler, P.A. 1978. Ammonium and nitrate uptake by the marine
- 371 macrophytes *Hypnea musciforms* (Rhodophyta) and *Macrocystis pyrifera*372 (Phaeophyta). J. Phycol. 14: 319-324
- 373 Harlin, M. and Craigie, J. 1978. Nitrate uptake by Laminaria longicruris
- 374 (Phaeophyceae). J. Phycol. 14: 464-467
- 375 Harrison, P.J., Druehl, L.D., Lloyd, K.E. and Thompson, P.A. 1986. Nitrogen uptake
- 376 kinetics in three year-classes of *Laminaria groenlandica* (Laminariales : Phaeophyta).
- 377 *Mar. Biol.* **93**: 29-35
- 378 Harrison P.J., Parslow, J.S. and Conway, H.L. 1989. Determination of nutrient uptake
- kinetic parameters: a comparison of methods. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **52**: 301-312.
- 380 Healey, F.P. 1980. Slope of the Monod equation as an indicator of advantage in
- 381 nutrient competition. *Microbial. Ecol.* **5**: 281-286.
- 382 Kudo, I., Hisatoku, T., Yoshimura, T. and Maita, Y. 2015. Primary productivity and
- 383 nitrogen assimilation with identifying the contribution of urea in Funka Bay, Japan.
- 384 *Estuar. Coast. Shelf. Sci.* **158**: 12-19.
- 385 Lapointe, B.E. 1985. Strategies for pulsed nutrient supply to Gracilaria cultures in

386

the Florida Keys: Interactions between concentration and frequency of nutrient pulses.

- 387 *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* **93**: 211-222.
- Lubsch, A. and Timmermans, K.R. 2019. Uptake kinetics and storage capacity of
- 389 dissolved inorganic phosphorus and corresponding dissolved inorganic nitrate uptake
- 390 in Saccharina latissima and Laminaria digitata (Phaeophyceae). J. Phycol. 55: 637-
- 391 650
- 392 Martinez, B., Pato, L.S. and Rico, J.M. 2012. Nutrient uptake and growth responses
- of three intertidal macroalgae with perennial, opportunistic and summer-annual
 strategies. *Aquat. Bot.* 96: 14-22
- 395 McCarthy, J.J. and Goldman, J.C. 1979. Nitrogenous nutrition of marine
- 396 phytoplankton in nutrient-depleted waters. *Science* **203**: 670-672.
- 397 Neori, A., Chopin, T., Troell, M., Buschmann, A.H., Kraemer, G.P., Halling, C.,
- 398 Shpigel, M. and Yarish, C. 2004. Integrated aquaculture: rationale, evolution and state
- 399 of the art, emphasizing seaweed biofiltration in modern mariculture. *Aquacul.* 231:
- 400 361-391.
- 401 O'Brien, M.C. and Wheeler, P.A. 1987. Short term uptake of nutrients by
- 402 Enteromorpha prolifera (Chollophyceae). J. Phycol. 25: 547-556.
- 403 Ono, M. 1988. Seasonal Changes of the Growth of Green Algae, *Ulva sp.* in Tosa Bay,

404 Southern Japan. Mar. Foul. 7: 13-17

405 Pedersen, M.F. 1994. Transient ammonium uptake in the macroalgae Ulva lactusa

- 406 (Chlorophyta): Nature, regulation, and the consequences for choice of measuring
- 407 technique. J. Phycol. **30**: 980-986
- 408 Pedersen, M.F. and Borum, J. 1997. Nutrient control of estuarine macroalgae: growth
- 409 strategy and the balance between nitrogen requirements and uptake. *Mar. Ecol. Prog.*
- 410 Ser. 161: 151-163
- 411 Subandar, A.P. and Harrison P.J. 1993. Laminaria culture for reduction of dissolved
- 412 inorganic nitrogen in salmon farm effluent. J. Appl. Phycol. 5: 455-463.
- 413 Tilman, D. 1977. Resource competition between planktonic algae: An experimental
- and theoretical approach. *Ecol.* **58**: 338-348.
- Tilman, D. and Kilham, S.S. 1976. Phosphate and silicate growth and uptake kinetics
- 416 of the diatoms Asterionella Formosa and Cyclotella meneghiniana in batch and
- 417 semicontinuous culture. J. Phycol. **12**: 375-383.
- 418 Thomas, T.E. and Harrison, P.J. 1987. Rapid ammonium uptake and nitrogen
- 419 interactions in five intertidal seaweeds grown under field conditions. J. Exp. Mar. Biol.
- 420 *Ecol.* **107**, 1-8.
- 421 Wallentinus, I. 1984. Comparisons of nutrient uptake rates for Baltic macroalgae with

- 422 different thallus morphologies. *Mar. Biol.* **80**: 215-225.
- 423 Williams, S.L. and Fisher, T.R. 1985. Kinetics of nitrogen-15 labelled ammonium
- 424 uptake by *Caulerpa cupressoides* (Chlorophyta). J. Phycol. 21: 287-296.

426 Figure legends

- 427 Figure 1 Schematic diagram of temporal change in nutrient concentration in the chemostat culture. S_x and C_x denote the timing of sampling and concentration reading 428 at time x, respectively. A_x denotes the amount of nutrient addition at time x. 429 430 Figure 2 The time course changes in NO₃-N (a) and NH₄-N (b) concentration in each 431 run of the chemostat culture of Saccharina. Horizontal lines indicate the duration of 432 the nutrient concentration control and the average value for each run. Figure 3 The time course changes in NO₃-N (a) and PO₄-P (b) concentration in each 433 434 run of the chemostat culture of Ulva. Horizontal lines indicate the duration of the 435 nutrient concentration control and the average value for each run. Figure 4 The best-fitted Michaelis-Menten curves for NO₃-N (a) and NH₄-N (b) in the 436 437 chemostat culture of Saccharina. Error bars indicate standard deviations (SD) of the nutrient uptake rates and nutrient concentration. 438 439 Figure 5 The best-fitted Michaelis-Menten curves for NO₃-N (a) and PO₄-P (b) in the 440 chemostat culture of Ulva. Error bars indicate standard deviations (SD) of the nutrient 441 uptake rates and nutrient concentration. 442 Figure 6 The time course changes in NO₃-N (a) and NH₄-N (b) in each run of the
- 443 batch culture using *Saccharina*.

Figure 7 The time course change in NO₃-N (a) and PO₄-P (b) in the batch culture using *Ulva*.

446 Figure 8 The relationship between the uptake rate and the nutrient concentration for

447 NO₃-N (a) and NH₄-N (b) in the batch culture using *Saccharina*. The line indicates the

448 best-fitted line to Michaelis-Menten curve.

449 Figure 9 The relationship between the uptake rate and the nutrient concentration for

- 450 NO₃-N (a) and PO₄-P (b) in the batch culture using *Ulva*. The line indicates the best-
- 451 fitted line to Michaelis-Menten curve

452



















		Chemostat				Batch			
		V_{max}	Ks	α	r	V_{max}	Ks	α	r
	(µr	nol g dwt ⁻¹ h ⁻¹) (µM)			(µmol g dwt ⁻¹ ł	n ⁻¹) (µM)		
S. religios	a								
	NH_4	22.3	9.29	2.40	0.99	42.7	40.4	1.00	0.94
		(2.3)	(2.81)			(10.3)	(15.0)		
	NO_3	23.2	5.35	4.33	0.99	401	481	0.83	0.63
		(1.5)	(1.44)			(3222)	(4091)		
		V_{max}	Ks	α	r	V _{max}	Ks	α	r
	(µr	nol g dwt ⁻¹ h ⁻¹) (µM)			(µmol g dwt ⁻¹	h-1)	(μM)	
U. austral	is								
	NO_3	6.51	2.39	66.5	0.98	13.7	53.0	7.05	0.98
		(0.93)	(1.19)			(7.4)	(35.6)		
	PO_4	0.16	1.26	3.09	0.92	0.16	1.45	2.07	0.81
		(0.06)	(1.07)			(0.07)	(1.35)		

Table 1Comparison of Michaelis-Menten parameters between chemostat and batch culture.Standard errors were given in parenthesis.