

HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

Title	Monitoring systemic infection by cucumber mosaic virus using a small fluorescent protein iLOV in plants
Author(s)	Kawakubo, Ayaka; Gallois, Jean-Luc; Nakahara, Kenji S.
Citation	Journal of general plant pathology, 89, 47-52 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10327-022-01100-6
Issue Date	2022-10-12
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/90544
Rights	This version of the article has been accepted for publication, after peer review (when applicable) and is subject to Springer Nature 's AM terms of use, but is not the Version of Record and does not reflect post-acceptance improvements, or any corrections. The Version of Record is available online at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10327-022-01100-6
Туре	article (author version)
File Information	KawakuboetalFinal.pdf



Instructions for use

1 Systemic monitoring of cucumber mosaic virus infection using a small fluorescent

2 protein iLOV in plants

3

4 Ayaka Kawakubo<sup>1</sup> · Jean-Luc Gallois<sup>2</sup> · Kenji S. Nakahara<sup>3</sup>

5

6 A. Kawakubo · J.L. Gallois · K.S. Nakahara (🖂)

7 1 Graduate School of Agriculture, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-8589, Japan

8 2 INRAE, GAFL, F-84143 Montfavet, France

9 3 Research Faculty of Agriculture, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-8589, Japan

10 e-mail: knakahar@res.agr.hokudai.ac.jp

11 phone: 011-706-2490

12 fax: 011-706-2483

13

14 Total text pages: 7

15 The numbers of tables and figures: 0 table and 3 figures

16

17

18

### 1 Abstract

2 Tracking virus in plants is useful to assess plant susceptibility or resistance. The 3 recombinant cucumber mosaic virus (CMV) expressing a 2b-fused green fluorescent 4 protein (GFP, 25 kDa) is known to gradually lose the GFP gene in plants. Here, we 5 constructed the CMV expressing a flavin-based small fluorescent protein (iLOV, 10 kDa). 6 CMV-iLOV retains iLOV at 28 dpi in the upper leaves of inoculated 7 Nicotiana benthamiana and allows the long-term monitoring of its distribution in whole 8 plants. Using CMV-iLOV, we showed that pot1, a recessive resistant allele to potyviruses, 9 does not confer CMV resistance in tomato. 10 11 **Keywords:** 12 iLOV, cucumber mosaic virus, in vivo monitoring viral spread 13

Viruses are invisible pathogens but following their accumulation and movement in plants, 1 2 preferably in a non-destructive manner, is an efficient way to assess plant susceptibility 3 or resistance. Observing virus-caused symptoms is a primary way to predict where the 4 virus infects in the plant body, although it does not allow following accurately the plant infection. One of the most efficient ways to do so is, when possible, to develop an 5 6 infectious clone expressing a reporter gene (Verchot and Carrington 1995). The 7 accumulation of the reporter can be used as a proxy for the virus presence. Fluorescent 8 protein-tagged viruses as such reporters are valuable research tools to monitor viral 9 distribution in infected plants. Green fluorescent protein (GFP) is standard for this 10 purpose. Viral pathogenicity and virulence have been successfully investigated using 11 various GFP-tagged viruses (Abrahamian et al. 2020; Bastet et al. 2019; Yambao et al. 12 2008). Nevertheless, an important requirement for using this tool is that the reporter 13 protein-encoding sequence should remain stable in the viral genome throughout the 14 infection.

15 Cucumber mosaic virus (CMV) is composed of a tripartite genome: three single-16 stranded RNAs (RNA1, RNA2, and RNA3) are thought to be encapsidated separately in 17 identical spherical virions. Since CMV is an important pathogen with a broad host range, 18 infecting more than 1,000 plant species (Yoon et al. 2019), its infectious clone and derived 19 viral vectors for transgene expression in infected plants have been developed early on. 20 Several studies reported on recombinant fluorescent protein-expressing CMVs. GFP was 21 initially integrated into recombinant CMVs by replacing the CMV coat protein (CP)- or 22 movement protein (MP 3a)-encoding genes in RNA3 (Canto et al. 1997). GFP was 23 successfully expressed in initially inoculated leaves. However, as CP and 3a are required 24 for the systemic viral spreading, infectious CMV clones carrying GFP-replaced CP or MP 25 3a failed to spread in the whole plant body. Subsequently, Masuta and colleagues have 26 developed the yellow strain (CMV-Y)-based CMV vectors by introducing the reporter 27 gene on RNA2 either by replacing the 2b protein with a transgene (CMV-H1) (Matsuo et 28 al. 2007) or fusing it in frame with a truncated 2b gene so that the transgene-encoding 29 protein could be expressed as a fusion protein with the N-terminal two-thirds of 2b (CMV-30 A1) (Otagaki et al. 2006). Both the CMV-H1 and CMV-A1 vectors could successfully 31 express GFP or red-fluorescent protein (dsRed2) in both the inoculated and non-32 inoculated upper leaves of Nicotiana benthamiana (Takeshita et al. 2012). Similarly, 33 Krenz et al. (2015) independently developed CMV infectious clones based on the Fny-

CMV isolate. The authors created a GFP-expressing clone as a translational fusion protein 1 2 with the truncated 2b lacking the C-terminal (2b-truncated-GFP), hence corresponding to 3 the above-mentioned GFP-expressing CMV-A1 and another GFP-expressing clone with 4 the intact 2b protein (2b-GFP). Both clones also systemically infected N. benthamiana 5 plants and expressed GFP. However, although the CMV RNA2 encoding the 2b-6 truncated-GFP could be packaged in a virion and transmitted over the course of five 7 passaging experiments, the one encoding the full-size 2b-GFP could not, probably due to 8 its larger size. Finally, reverse transcription coupled with polymerase chain reaction (RT-9 PCR) revealed that both viral genomes failed to maintain the GFP open reading frame 10 (ORF) on day 21 post-inoculation (dpi). Again, the difficulty to maintain a large coding 11 sequence in RNA2 could be the suspected cause of this instability.

12 In this study, we aimed at developing a stable CMV infectious clone based on the 13 CMV-A1 clone to express a non-invasive reporter gene. We reasoned that introducing a 14 smaller coding sequence could help achieve this goal. We thus focused on a small 15 (10 kDa) flavin-based alternative to GFP (25 kDa) designed specifically to overcome 16 size-related limitations (Chapman et al. 2008). This small fluorescent protein, developed 17 based on an improved light, oxygen, or voltage-sensing domain (iLOV), was reportedly 18 expressed by the tobacco mosaic virus vector in infected tobacco plants (Chapman et al. 19 2008). Here, we demonstrate that iLOV-expressing CMV can be used for long-time CMV 20 infection monitoring in whole plants and utilize this tool to assess whether natural 21 resistance alleles encoding the translation initiation factor eIF4E1 in tomato (Solanum 22 lycopersicum) could trigger CMV resistance.

23 The iLOV ORF (Fig. S1a) (Chapman et al. 2008), the trimmed and modified LOV2 24 domain of Arabidopsis thaliana phototropin 2 (Accession NP 851211.1), followed by 25 the nucleotide sequence encoding a Flag tag peptide sequence, DYKDDDDK, was 26 chemically synthesized and cloned between the StuI and MluI sites in the pCY2-A1 27 vector (Otagaki et al. 2006). This clone, named pCY2-2bAC-iLOV-flag, was expected to 28 express the iLOV-Flag protein fused to the C-terminal of the truncated 2b (Fig. 1a). The 29 pCY2-2b-iLOV-flag vector, expected to express the full length 2b-fused iLOV-Flag, was 30 constructed as described above using the iLOV-Flag ORF following to the C-terminal 31 nucleotide sequence of 2b (Fig. S1b). These constructs, as well as pCY2-A1 (empty) and 32 pCY2-2bAC-GFP, expressing GFP in the infected cells (Fig. 1a), and the CMV-Y RNA1 33 and RNA3 infectious clones were linearized and transcribed as described previously

(Otagaki et al. 2006). Transcript mixtures of CMV RNA1, RNA3, and either pCY2-A1 1 2 derivates were mechanically inoculated into N. benthamiana leaves with celite No. 545 3 (Fujifilm Wako Pure Chemical Co., Osaka, Japan). The fluorescence of plants inoculated 4 with CMV with the truncated 2b-iLOV-Flag (CMV-2bAC-iLOV-Flag), the intact 2b-5 iLOV-Flag (CMV-2b-iLOV-Flag), and the truncated 2b fused-GFP (CMV-2bAC-GFP) 6 were observed with a fluorescence stereoscopic microscope with a GFP filter, excitation 7 BP 470/40, FT 510, (VB 7010; Keyence, Osaka, Japan). At 7 dpi (Fig. 1b), each 8 recombinant CMV was inoculated into three distinct plants, and all inoculated plants 9 showed not only symptoms but also fluorescence in their non-inoculated upper leaves, 10 indicating the systemic infection of the inoculated recombinant CMVs retaining the 11 iLOV- and GFP- encoding transgenes, showing the successful infectious nature of the 12 iLOV constructs.

13 Next, we tested the stability of the inserted reporter genes during infection. At 28 dpi, 14 both the CMV-2bAC-iLOV-Flag and -2b-iLOV-Flag-infected plants showed similar 15 fluorescence, but all three CMV-2bAC-GFP-infected plants showed less or little 16 fluorescence despite the visible symptoms. Microperforated-leaf blotting (Murakami et 17 al. 2016) with anti-CMV CP antibody (Japan Plant Protection Association) showed 18 comparable CMV CP accumulation among the upper leaves and even in those infected 19 with the CMV-2b $\Delta$ C-GFP genome, ruling out the absence of CMV infection in the plants 20 inoculated with the CMV-2bAC-GFP genome. To assess whether this GFP fluorescence 21 loss could be caused by the loss of the transgene in the CMV-2bAC-GFP genome, RT-22 PCR-amplified the region, from upstream of the 2b ORF to the 3' noncoding end, 23 using the follows: 5'covering the transgenes primer pair as 24 ATTCAGATCGTCGTCAGTGC-3' and 5'-AGCAATACTGCCAACTCAGC-3'. 25 Indeed, whereas all three biological replicates infected with recombinant iLOV-26 expressing CMVs exhibited an amplification including the transgenes both at 7 and 28 dpi, 27 this amplification in a sample of CMV-2b $\Delta$ C-GFP infected, resulted in a significantly 28 smaller product, suggesting the loss of the transgene in the CMV-2b $\Delta$ C-GFP genome (Fig. 29 2b). Direct sequencing of the PCR products at 28 dpi confirmed that the CMV-2bAC-GFP 30 lacked the C-terminal 575 nucleotides, from 146th base to the end of the GFP ORF. The 31 broader band of that at 7 dpi indicated that the GFP transgene loss started from an early 32 infection stage. Similar deletion of the GFP trans gene of recombinant CMVs in infected 33 plants was reported previously (Krenz et al. 2015). The iLOV fluorescence was associated

1 with Flag-tagged 2b-iLOV protein and viral CP accumulation in microperforated tissue 2 blotting using anti-Flag (Fujifilm Wako, Osaka, Japan) and CP antibodies (Fig. 2a). These 3 results indicate that both CMV-2b $\Delta$ C-iLOV-Flag and CMV-2b-iLOV-Flag retained their 4 transgene in infected *N. benthamiana* plants, and we can monitor the distribution of these 5 viruses during infection in *N. benthamiana* plants by observing the iLOV fluorescence 6 for at least 4 weeks.

7 We then examined whether iLOV-expressing CMV works for monitoring its infection 8 and spread in a crop plant. Tomato plants (cv. S8), used in the previous study (Atarashi et 9 al. 2020), were mechanically inoculated with transcripts of pCY2-2b-iLOV-Flag, CMV-10 Y RNA1, and RNA3 genome clones as an inoculum. Their upper leaves showed 11 yellowing and chlorosis symptoms and the iLOV fluorescence correlated with these 12 symptoms (Fig. 3a and c). Moreover, we investigated the role of CMV resistance of a 13 natural allele encoding an eIF4E1 variant, *pot1* that has been selected in wild tomato 14 (Solanum habrochaites PI24). potl is associated with a large resistance spectrum to 15 potyviruses, but it is not known if, as in Arabidopsis thaliana, if the eIF4E1-based 16 resistance could extend to CMV (Gauffier et al. 2016; Yoshii et al. 2004). As we recently 17 showed that a genome-edited tomato carrying the in-frame deletion allele of an eukaryotic 18 initiation factor 4E1 (eIF4E1) showed partial resistance to CMV (Atarashi et al. 2020). 19 we were curious whether *pot1* could also render the plants CMV resistant. We inoculated 20 the CMV-iLOV virus into tomato plants homozygote for pot1. No obvious CMV 21 resistance could be detected was shown. Similar symptoms and iLOV fluorescence were 22 observed in the upper leaves of two tomato plants carrying *pot1*, (line #1 and line #3). 23 Consistently, no significant difference in the CMV CP accumulation in upper leaves 24 between *pot1*-carrier and susceptible tomatoes could be detected by ELISA (Fig. 3b).

25 In this study, we confirmed the very fast instability of the GFP coding sequence when 26 inserted in a CMV infectious clone. In contrast to GFP-tagged CMV, this study showed 27 that iLOV-tagged CMV stably retained the transgene up to four weeks after inoculation. 28 Each CMV virion contains either of the viral RNA genomes and the most extended genome, RNA1, is 3361 nt, indicating that the CMV virion can encapsidate ssRNA of 29 30 this length. The RNA2 of CMV-2b-iLOV-Flag and CMV-2b∆C-iLOV-Flag share a 31 similar length to RNA1; they would be 3410 and 3331 nt, respectively, whereas the RNA2 32 of CMV-2bAC-GFP is 3687 nt-long. Although the GFP ORF-integrated RNA2 of the 33 CMV Fny strain was experimentally shown to be encapsidated in a virion (Krenz et al.

2015), stable retaining of the iLOV-Flag transgene appears to be attributed to its shorter 1 2 length than that of GFP ORF and thus the comparable length of the RNA2s with iLOV to 3 RNA1. A defective aspect of iLOV is its weaker fluorescence than that of GFP (Fig. 1a) 4 though the iLOV fluorescence was able to be readily detected in infected tomato plants 5 using a fluorescence stereoscopic microscope (Fig. 3). iLOV-tagged CMV allows us 6 monitoring CMV distribution in an infected plant body. Using this clone, we could 7 examine the effect of the *pot1 eIF4E1* natural allele on CMV resistance. *pot1*, an allele 8 encoding a modified eIF4E1 protein harboring 8 amino acid changes compared to the 9 susceptible allele, reportedly show a wide resistance spectrum to potyviruses (Gauffier et 10 al. 2016). Our study shows that *pot1* does not confer resistance to CMV. We recently 11 showed that a genome-edited eIF4E1 allele confers partial CMV resistance but not to 12 potyviruses (Atarashi et al. 2020), suggesting that the genome-edited eIF4E1 allele with 13 distinct mutations from the one selected in wild tomato, have a distinct mode of action to 14 render antiviral resistance to each other. 15 16 Acknowledgement We would like to thank Kyoka Kuroiwa for valuable comments and proofreading of our 17 18 manuscript. This work was partly supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 19 22H02343.

- 20
- 21 Corresponding author
- 22 Correspondence to Kenji S. Nakahara.
- 23
- 24
- 25

## 26 **Conflict of interest**

- 27 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
- 28 Ethical approval
- 29 This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed
- 30 by any of the authors.
- 31
- 32
- 33 References

1 Abrahamian P, Hammond RW, Hammond J (2020) Plant virus-derived vectors: 2 applications in agricultural and medical biotechnology. Ann Rev Virol 7:513-535 3 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-virology-010720-054958 4 Atarashi H, Jayasinghe WH, Kwon J, Kim H, Taninaka Y, Igarashi M, Ito K, Yamada T, 5 Masuta C, Nakahara, KS (2020) Artificially edited alleles of the eukaryotic 6 translation initiation factor 4E1 gene differentially reduce susceptibility to 7 cucumber mosaic virus and potato virus Y in tomato. Front Microbiol 11:564310 8 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.564310 9 Bastet A, Zafirov D, Giovinazzo N, Guyon-Debast A, Nogué F, Robaglia C, Gallois JL 10 (2019) Mimicking natural polymorphism in eIF4E by CRISPR-Cas9 base editing is 11 associated with resistance to potyviruses. Plant Biotechnol J 17:1736-1750 12 https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13096 13 Canto T, Prior DAM, Hellwald K-H, Oparka KJ, Palukaitis P (1997) Characterization of 14 cucumber mosaic virus. IV. Movement protein and coat protein are both essential 15 for cell-to-cell movement of cucumber mosaic virus. Virology 237:237-248 16 https://doi.org/10.1006/viro.1997.8804 17 Chapman S, Faulkner C, Kaiserli E, Garcia-Mata C, Savenkov EI, Roberts AG, Oparka 18 KJ, Christie JM (2008) The photoreversible fluorescent protein iLOV outperforms 19 GFP as a reporter of plant virus infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci US A 105:20038-20 20043 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0807551105 21 Gauffier C, Lebaron C, Moretti A, Constant C, Moquet F, Bonnet G, Caranta C, Gallois 22 JL (2016) A TILLING approach to generate broad-spectrum resistance to 23 potyviruses in tomato is hampered by eIF4E gene redundancy. Plant J 85:717-729 24 https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.13136 25 Krenz B, Bronikowski A, Lu X, Ziebell H, Thompson JR, Perry KL (2015) Visual 26 monitoring of cucumber mosaic virus infection in Nicotiana benthamiana following 27 transmission by the aphid vector Myzus persicae. J Gen Virol 96:2904-2912 28 https://doi.org/10.1099/vir.0.000185 29 Matsuo K, Hong JS, Tabayashi N, Ito A, Masuta C, Matsumura T (2007) Development of 30 cucumber mosaic virus as a vector modifiable for different host species to produce 31 therapeutic proteins. Planta 225:277-86 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-006-0346-5 32 Murakami T, Tayama R, Nakahara KS (2016) Microperforated leaf blotting on 33 polyvinylidene difluoride and nylon membranes to analyze spatial distribution of

- endogenous and viral gene expression in plant leaves. J Gen Plant Pathol 82:254–
   260 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10327-016-0671-x
- Otagaki S, Arai M, Takahashi A, Goto K, Hong J-S, Masuta C, Kanazawa A (2006) Rapid
  induction of transcriptional and post-transcriptional gene silencing using a novel
  cucumber mosaic virus vector. Plant Biotechnol 23:259–265
  https://doi.org/10.5511/plantbiotechnology.23.259
- Takeshita M, Koizumi E, Noguchi M, Sueda K, Shimura H, Ishikawa N, Matsuura H,
  Ohshima K, Natsuaki T, Kuwata S, Furuya N, Tsuchiya K, Masuta C (2012)
  Infection dynamics in viral spread and interference under the synergism between
  cucumber mosaic virus and turnip mosaic virus. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 25:18–
  27 https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-06-11-0170
- Verchot J, Carrington JC (1995) Evidence that the potyvirus P1 proteinase functions in
  trans as an accessory factor for genome amplification. J Virol 69:3668–3674
  https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.69.6.3668-3674.1995
- Yambao MLM, Yagihashi H, Sekiguchi H, Sekiguchi T, Sasaki T, Sato M, Atsumi G,
  Tacahashi Y, Nakahara KS, Uyeda I (2008) Point mutations in helper component
  protease of clover yellow vein virus are associated with the attenuation of RNAsilencing suppression activity and symptom expression in broad bean. Arch Virol
  153:105–115 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-007-1073-3
- Yoon J, Palukaitis P, Choi S (2019) Host range. In: P. Palukaitis and F. García-Arenal(eds)
  Cucumber Mosaic Virus. APS Publications, St Paul MN, pp 15-18
  https://doi.org/10.1094/9780890546109.004
- Yoshii M, Nishikiori M, Tomita K, Yoshioka N, Kozuka R, Naito S, Ishikawa M (2004)
  The *Arabidopsis cucumovirus multiplication 1 and 2* loci encode translation
  initiation factors 4E and 4G. J Virol 78:6102–6111
  https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.78.12.6102-6111.2004
- 27
- 28

#### 1 Figure legends

2

3 Fig. 1 Structures of recombinant CMV RNA2 infectious clones with transgenes encoding 4 fluorescent proteins (a) and iLOV and GFP fluorescence observed in N. benthamiana 5 infected with the recombinant CMVs on day 7 post-inoculation (dpi) (b). (a) Flag-tagged 6 iLOV and GFP were fused to two-third of the 2b N-terminal gene (pCY2-2bAC-iLOV-7 flag and pCY2-2bAC-GFP) or the intact 2b (pCY2-2b-iLOV-flag) in the CMV RNA2 8 constructs. (b) Recombinant CMVs with these constructs (a) were transcribed and 9 inoculated into N. benthamiana (upper panels) and their fluorescence was observed 10 (lower panels) at 7 dpi. A healthy and empty vector ( $2b\Delta C$ -empty) infected plants were 11 also observed as a control and no obvious fluorescence was detected. 12 13 Fig. 2 Comparison of the fluorescence of N. benthamiana upper leaves infected with 14 iLOV- and GFP-expressing recombinant CMVs at a later stage of infection (28 dpi) (a). 15 RT-PCR analysis was performed to assess whether these recombinant CMVs retained the 16 fluorescent gene, iLOV or GFP (b). Arrows indicate the expected PCR product length if

17 the CMVs possess the fluorescent gene. Cs were amplified from the corresponding

18 plasmids as a control of each RT-PCR. M indicates 100 bp as a ladder marker.

19

20 Fig. 3 iLOV fluorescent images of tomato plant upper leaves following inoculation with 21 a recombinant 2b-iLOV-flag-expressing CMV at 27 dpi (a). (b) CMV CP accumulations 22 in the upper leaves at 33 dpi. ELISA-based comparison of tomato cultivar S8 (n = 8) and 23 tomato plants carrying a recessive resistant gene pot1, pot1-#1 (n = 6) and pot1-#3 (n = 6) 24 8) by using anti-CMV antibody. A Tukey-Kramer test (p < 0.05) did not show a 25 significant difference in the CMV CP accumulation among tomato plants with or without 26 pot1. A closed spot was an outlier in the box plot graph. Boxplots show 25th and 75th 27 percentiles and median values, and whiskers show minimum and maximum values within 28  $1.5 \times$  interquartile range (IQR). The outlier, indicated by a closed spot, was value less 29 than that subtracted with  $1.5 \times IQR$  from the first quartile. (c) A fluorescent image of 30 leaves of healthy and CMV-Y-inoculated plants was shown in an upper panel. Lower 31 panels were results of western blotting with antibody to CMV CP to confirm CMV-Y 32 infection in an upper leaf of a CMV-Y inoculated plant in the upper panel. An image of a 33 polyacrylamide gel stained with Coomassie brilliant blue was shown as a loading control.

- 1 Both ELISA and western blotting are the antibody-based detection methods with similar
- 2 detection sensitivity. The 2b-iLOV-flag-expressing CMV-, but not CMV-Y-infected,
- 3 leaves showed fluorescence, indicating that the fluorescence was not caused by virus
- 4 infection.











Fig. 2



#### AGGCCTCGATGATAGAGAAGAATTTCGTCATCACAGATCCTCGTCTCCCTGATAATCCCATTATCTTTGCATCAGACGGTTTTCTTGAATTGACAG Stul iLOV

AGTATTCACGCGAGGAAATATTGGGGGAGAAATGCCCGGTTTCTTCAGGGGGCCAGAGACAGATCAAGCGACTGTCCAGAAGATAAGAGACGCA

ATCAGAAGGGAGAGCTTCAATACTTCATCGGTGTGCAGCTTGATGGAAGTGATCATGTAGACTACAAAGACGATGACGACAAGTAAACGCGT Flag Mlul

# b

а

AGGCCTCTCGTTTAGAGTTATCGGCGGAAGACCATGATTTTGACGATACAGATTGGTTCGCCGGTAACGAATGGGCGGAAGGTGCTTTCATG Stul C-terminal part of 2b

ATAGAGAAGAATTTCGTCATCACAGATCCTCGTCTCCCTGATAATCCCATTATCTTTGCATCAGACGGTTTTCTTGAATTGACAGAGTATTCACG iLOV

CGAGGAAATATTGGGGAGAAATGCCCGGTTTCTTCAGGGGCCAGAGACAGATCAAGCGACTGTCCAGAAGATAAGAGACGCAATTAGAGA

AGGGAGAGCTTCAATACTTCATCGGTGTGCAGCTTGATGGAAGTGATCATGTAGACTACAAAGACGATGACGACAAGTAAACGCGT Flag Mlul

Fig. S1 iLOV-Flag ORF with StuI and MluI sites (a) and C-terminal part of 2b followed by iLOV-Flag ORF with StuI and MluI sites (b)