

HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

Title	Monitoring systemic infection by cucumber mosaic virus using a small fluorescent protein iLOV in plants
Author(s)	Kawakubo, Ayaka; Gallois, Jean-Luc; Nakahara, Kenji S.
Citation	Journal of general plant pathology, 89, 47-52 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10327-022-01100-6
Issue Date	2022-10-12
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/90544
Rights	This version of the article has been accepted for publication, after peer review (when applicable) and is subject to Springer Nature ’ s AM terms of use, but is not the Version of Record and does not reflect post-acceptance improvements, or any corrections. The Version of Record is available online at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10327-022-01100-6
Type	article (author version)
File Information	KawakuboetalFinal.pdf

Instructions for use

Hokkaido University Collection of Scholarly and Academic Papers : HUSCAP

Systemic monitoring of cucumber mosaic virus infection using a small fluorescent protein iLOV in plants

Ayaka Kawakubo[1] · Jean-Luc Gallois[2] · Kenji S. Nakahara[3]

A. Kawakubo · J.L. Gallois · K.S. Nakahara (✉)
1 Graduate School of Agriculture, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-8589, Japan
2 INRAE, GAFL, F-84143 Montfavet, France
3 Research Faculty of Agriculture, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-8589, Japan
e-mail: knakahar@res.agr.hokudai.ac.jp
phone: 011-706-2490
fax: 011-706-2483

Total text pages: 7
The numbers of tables and figures: 0 table and 3 figures

Abstract

Tracking virus in plants is useful to assess plant susceptibility or resistance. The recombinant cucumber mosaic virus (CMV) expressing a 2b-fused green fluorescent protein (GFP, 25 kDa) is known to gradually lose the GFP gene in plants. Here, we constructed the CMV expressing a flavin-based small fluorescent protein (iLOV, 10 kDa). CMV-iLOV retains iLOV at 28 dpi in the upper leaves of inoculated *Nicotiana benthamiana* and allows the long-term monitoring of its distribution in whole plants. Using CMV-iLOV, we showed that *pot1*, a recessive resistant allele to potyviruses, does not confer CMV resistance in tomato.

Keywords:

iLOV, cucumber mosaic virus, in vivo monitoring viral spread

1 Viruses are invisible pathogens but following their accumulation and movement in plants,
2 preferably in a non-destructive manner, is an efficient way to assess plant susceptibility
3 or resistance. Observing virus-caused symptoms is a primary way to predict where the
4 virus infects in the plant body, although it does not allow following accurately the plant
5 infection. One of the most efficient ways to do so is, when possible, to develop an
6 infectious clone expressing a reporter gene (Verchot and Carrington 1995). The
7 accumulation of the reporter can be used as a proxy for the virus presence. Fluorescent
8 protein-tagged viruses as such reporters are valuable research tools to monitor viral
9 distribution in infected plants. Green fluorescent protein (GFP) is standard for this
10 purpose. Viral pathogenicity and virulence have been successfully investigated using
11 various GFP-tagged viruses (Abrahamian et al. 2020; Bastet et al. 2019; Yambao et al.
12 2008). Nevertheless, an important requirement for using this tool is that the reporter
13 protein-encoding sequence should remain stable in the viral genome throughout the
14 infection.

15 Cucumber mosaic virus (CMV) is composed of a tripartite genome: three single-
16 stranded RNAs (RNA1, RNA2, and RNA3) are thought to be encapsidated separately in
17 identical spherical virions. Since CMV is an important pathogen with a broad host range,
18 infecting more than 1,000 plant species (Yoon et al. 2019), its infectious clone and derived
19 viral vectors for transgene expression in infected plants have been developed early on.
20 Several studies reported on recombinant fluorescent protein-expressing CMVs. GFP was
21 initially integrated into recombinant CMVs by replacing the CMV coat protein (CP)- or
22 movement protein (MP 3a)-encoding genes in RNA3 (Canto et al. 1997). GFP was
23 successfully expressed in initially inoculated leaves. However, as CP and 3a are required
24 for the systemic viral spreading, infectious CMV clones carrying GFP-replaced CP or MP
25 3a failed to spread in the whole plant body. Subsequently, Masuta and colleagues have
26 developed the yellow strain (CMV-Y)-based CMV vectors by introducing the reporter
27 gene on RNA2 either by replacing the 2b protein with a transgene (CMV-H1) (Matsuo et
28 al. 2007) or fusing it in frame with a truncated 2b gene so that the transgene-encoding
29 protein could be expressed as a fusion protein with the N-terminal two-thirds of 2b (CMV-
30 A1) (Otagaki et al. 2006). Both the CMV-H1 and CMV-A1 vectors could successfully
31 express GFP or red-fluorescent protein (dsRed2) in both the inoculated and non-
32 inoculated upper leaves of *Nicotiana benthamiana* (Takeshita et al. 2012). Similarly,
33 Krenz et al. (2015) independently developed CMV infectious clones based on the Fny-

1 CMV isolate. The authors created a GFP-expressing clone as a translational fusion protein
2 with the truncated 2b lacking the C-terminal (2b-truncated-GFP), hence corresponding to
3 the above-mentioned GFP-expressing CMV-A1 and another GFP-expressing clone with
4 the intact 2b protein (2b-GFP). Both clones also systemically infected *N. benthamiana*
5 plants and expressed GFP. However, although the CMV RNA2 encoding the 2b-
6 truncated-GFP could be packaged in a virion and transmitted over the course of five
7 passaging experiments, the one encoding the full-size 2b-GFP could not, probably due to
8 its larger size. Finally, reverse transcription coupled with polymerase chain reaction (RT-
9 PCR) revealed that both viral genomes failed to maintain the GFP open reading frame
10 (ORF) on day 21 post-inoculation (dpi). Again, the difficulty to maintain a large coding
11 sequence in RNA2 could be the suspected cause of this instability.
12 In this study, we aimed at developing a stable CMV infectious clone based on the
13 CMV-A1 clone to express a non-invasive reporter gene. We reasoned that introducing a
14 smaller coding sequence could help achieve this goal. We thus focused on a small
15 (10 kDa) flavin-based alternative to GFP (25 kDa) designed specifically to overcome
16 size-related limitations (Chapman et al. 2008). This small fluorescent protein, developed
17 based on an improved light, oxygen, or voltage-sensing domain (iLOV), was reportedly
18 expressed by the tobacco mosaic virus vector in infected tobacco plants (Chapman et al.
19 2008). Here, we demonstrate that iLOV-expressing CMV can be used for long-time CMV
20 infection monitoring in whole plants and utilize this tool to assess whether natural
21 resistance alleles encoding the translation initiation factor eIF4E1 in tomato (*Solanum*
22 *lycopersicum*) could trigger CMV resistance.
23 The iLOV ORF (Fig. S1a) (Chapman et al. 2008), the trimmed and modified LOV2
24 domain of *Arabidopsis thaliana* phototropin 2 (Accession NP_851211.1), followed by
25 the nucleotide sequence encoding a Flag tag peptide sequence, DYKDDDDK, was
26 chemically synthesized and cloned between the StuI and MluI sites in the pCY2-A1
27 vector (Otagaki et al. 2006). This clone, named pCY2-2bΔC-iLOV-flag, was expected to
28 express the iLOV-Flag protein fused to the C-terminal of the truncated 2b (Fig. 1a). The
29 pCY2-2b-iLOV-flag vector, expected to express the full length 2b-fused iLOV-Flag, was
30 constructed as described above using the iLOV-Flag ORF following to the C-terminal
31 nucleotide sequence of 2b (Fig. S1b). These constructs, as well as pCY2-A1 (empty) and
32 pCY2-2bΔC-GFP, expressing GFP in the infected cells (Fig. 1a), and the CMV-Y RNA1
33 and RNA3 infectious clones were linearized and transcribed as described previously

(Otagaki et al. 2006). Transcript mixtures of CMV RNA1, RNA3, and either pCY2-A1 derivates were mechanically inoculated into *N. benthamiana* leaves with celite No. 545 (Fujifilm Wako Pure Chemical Co., Osaka, Japan). The fluorescence of plants inoculated with CMV with the truncated 2b-iLOV-Flag (CMV-2bΔC-iLOV-Flag), the intact 2b-iLOV-Flag (CMV-2b-iLOV-Flag), and the truncated 2b fused-GFP (CMV-2bΔC-GFP) were observed with a fluorescence stereoscopic microscope with a GFP filter, excitation BP 470/40, FT 510, (VB 7010; Keyence, Osaka, Japan). At 7 dpi (Fig. 1b), each recombinant CMV was inoculated into three distinct plants, and all inoculated plants showed not only symptoms but also fluorescence in their non-inoculated upper leaves, indicating the systemic infection of the inoculated recombinant CMVs retaining the iLOV- and GFP- encoding transgenes, showing the successful infectious nature of the iLOV constructs.

Next, we tested the stability of the inserted reporter genes during infection. At 28 dpi, both the CMV-2bΔC-iLOV-Flag and -2b-iLOV-Flag-infected plants showed similar fluorescence, but all three CMV-2bΔC-GFP-infected plants showed less or little fluorescence despite the visible symptoms. Microperforated-leaf blotting (Murakami et al. 2016) with anti-CMV CP antibody (Japan Plant Protection Association) showed comparable CMV CP accumulation among the upper leaves and even in those infected with the CMV-2bΔC-GFP genome, ruling out the absence of CMV infection in the plants inoculated with the CMV-2bΔC-GFP genome. To assess whether this GFP fluorescence loss could be caused by the loss of the transgene in the CMV-2bΔC-GFP genome, RT-PCR-amplified the region, from upstream of the 2b ORF to the 3' noncoding end, covering the transgenes using the primer pair as follows: 5′-ATTCAGATCGTCGTCAGTGC-3′ and 5′-AGCAATACTGCCAACTCAGC-3′. Indeed, whereas all three biological replicates infected with recombinant iLOV-expressing CMVs exhibited an amplification including the transgenes both at 7 and 28 dpi, this amplification in a sample of CMV-2bΔC-GFP infected, resulted in a significantly smaller product, suggesting the loss of the transgene in the CMV-2bΔC-GFP genome (Fig. 2b). Direct sequencing of the PCR products at 28 dpi confirmed that the CMV-2bΔC-GFP lacked the C-terminal 575 nucleotides, from 146th base to the end of the GFP ORF. The broader band of that at 7 dpi indicated that the GFP transgene loss started from an early infection stage. Similar deletion of the GFP trans gene of recombinant CMVs in infected plants was reported previously (Krenz et al. 2015). The iLOV fluorescence was associated

1 with Flag-tagged 2b-iLOV protein and viral CP accumulation in microperforated tissue
2 blotting using anti-Flag (Fujifilm Wako, Osaka, Japan) and CP antibodies (Fig. 2a). These
3 results indicate that both CMV-2bΔC-iLOV-Flag and CMV-2b-iLOV-Flag retained their
4 transgene in infected *N. benthamiana* plants, and we can monitor the distribution of these
5 viruses during infection in *N. benthamiana* plants by observing the iLOV fluorescence
6 for at least 4 weeks.

7 We then examined whether iLOV-expressing CMV works for monitoring its infection
8 and spread in a crop plant. Tomato plants (cv. S8), used in the previous study (Atarashi et
9 al. 2020), were mechanically inoculated with transcripts of pCY2-2b-iLOV-Flag, CMV-
10 Y RNA1, and RNA3 genome clones as an inoculum. Their upper leaves showed
11 yellowing and chlorosis symptoms and the iLOV fluorescence correlated with these
12 symptoms (Fig. 3a and c). Moreover, we investigated the role of CMV resistance of a
13 natural allele encoding an eIF4E1 variant, *pot1* that has been selected in wild tomato
14 (*Solanum habrochaites* PI24). *pot1* is associated with a large resistance spectrum to
15 potyviruses, but it is not known if, as in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, if the eIF4E1-based
16 resistance could extend to CMV (Gauffier et al. 2016; Yoshii et al. 2004). As we recently
17 showed that a genome-edited tomato carrying the in-frame deletion allele of an eukaryotic
18 initiation factor 4E1 (eIF4E1) showed partial resistance to CMV (Atarashi et al. 2020).
19 we were curious whether *pot1* could also render the plants CMV resistant. We inoculated
20 the CMV-iLOV virus into tomato plants homozygote for *pot1*. No obvious CMV
21 resistance could be detected was shown. Similar symptoms and iLOV fluorescence were
22 observed in the upper leaves of two tomato plants carrying *pot1,* (line #1 and line #3).
23 Consistently, no significant difference in the CMV CP accumulation in upper leaves
24 between *pot1*-carrier and susceptible tomatoes could be detected by ELISA (Fig. 3b).

25 In this study, we confirmed the very fast instability of the GFP coding sequence when
26 inserted in a CMV infectious clone. In contrast to GFP-tagged CMV, this study showed
27 that iLOV-tagged CMV stably retained the transgene up to four weeks after inoculation.
28 Each CMV virion contains either of the viral RNA genomes and the most extended
29 genome, RNA1, is 3361 nt, indicating that the CMV virion can encapsidate ssRNA of
30 this length. The RNA2 of CMV-2b-iLOV-Flag and CMV-2bΔC-iLOV-Flag share a
31 similar length to RNA1; they would be 3410 and 3331 nt, respectively, whereas the RNA2
32 of CMV-2bΔC-GFP is 3687 nt-long. Although the GFP ORF-integrated RNA2 of the
33 CMV Fny strain was experimentally shown to be encapsidated in a virion (Krenz et al.

2015), stable retaining of the iLOV-Flag transgene appears to be attributed to its shorter length than that of GFP ORF and thus the comparable length of the RNA2s with iLOV to RNA1. A defective aspect of iLOV is its weaker fluorescence than that of GFP (Fig. 1a) though the iLOV fluorescence was able to be readily detected in infected tomato plants using a fluorescence stereoscopic microscope (Fig. 3). iLOV-tagged CMV allows us monitoring CMV distribution in an infected plant body. Using this clone, we could examine the effect of the *pot1 eIF4E1* natural allele on CMV resistance. *pot1*, an allele encoding a modified eIF4E1 protein harboring 8 amino acid changes compared to the susceptible allele, reportedly show a wide resistance spectrum to potyviruses (Gauffier et al. 2016). Our study shows that *pot1* does not confer resistance to CMV. We recently showed that a genome-edited eIF4E1 allele confers partial CMV resistance but not to potyviruses (Atarashi et al. 2020), suggesting that the genome-edited eIF4E1 allele with distinct mutations from the one selected in wild tomato, have a distinct mode of action to render antiviral resistance to each other.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank Kyoka Kuroiwa for valuable comments and proofreading of our manuscript. This work was partly supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 22H02343.

Corresponding author

Correspondence to Kenji S. Nakahara.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethical approval

This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

References

Abrahamian P, Hammond RW, Hammond J (2020) Plant virus-derived vectors: applications in agricultural and medical biotechnology. Ann Rev Virol 7:513–535 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-virology-010720-054958

Atarashi H, Jayasinghe WH, Kwon J, Kim H, Taninaka Y, Igarashi M, Ito K, Yamada T, Masuta C, Nakahara, KS (2020) Artificially edited alleles of the eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E1 gene differentially reduce susceptibility to cucumber mosaic virus and potato virus Y in tomato. Front Microbiol 11:564310 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.564310

Bastet A, Zafirov D, Giovinazzo N, Guyon-Debast A, Nogué F, Robaglia C, Gallois JL (2019) Mimicking natural polymorphism in eIF4E by CRISPR-Cas9 base editing is associated with resistance to potyviruses. Plant Biotechnol J 17:1736–1750 https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13096

Canto T, Prior DAM, Hellwald K-H, Oparka KJ, Palukaitis P (1997) Characterization of cucumber mosaic virus. IV. Movement protein and coat protein are both essential for cell-to-cell movement of cucumber mosaic virus. Virology 237:237−248 https://doi.org/10.1006/viro.1997.8804

Chapman S, Faulkner C, Kaiserli E, Garcia-Mata C, Savenkov EI, Roberts AG, Oparka KJ, Christie JM (2008) The photoreversible fluorescent protein iLOV outperforms GFP as a reporter of plant virus infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci US A 105:20038–20043 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0807551105

Gauffier C, Lebaron C, Moretti A, Constant C, Moquet F, Bonnet G, Caranta C, Gallois JL (2016) A TILLING approach to generate broad-spectrum resistance to potyviruses in tomato is hampered by eIF4E gene redundancy. Plant J 85:717–729 https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.13136

Krenz B, Bronikowski A, Lu X, Ziebell H, Thompson JR, Perry KL (2015) Visual monitoring of cucumber mosaic virus infection in *Nicotiana benthamiana* following transmission by the aphid vector *Myzus persicae*. J Gen Virol 96:2904–2912 https://doi.org/10.1099/vir.0.000185

Matsuo K, Hong JS, Tabayashi N, Ito A, Masuta C, Matsumura T (2007) Development of cucumber mosaic virus as a vector modifiable for different host species to produce therapeutic proteins. Planta 225:277–86 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-006-0346-5

Murakami T, Tayama R, Nakahara KS (2016) Microperforated leaf blotting on polyvinylidene difluoride and nylon membranes to analyze spatial distribution of

endogenous and viral gene expression in plant leaves. J Gen Plant Pathol 82:254–260 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10327-016-0671-x

Otagaki S, Arai M, Takahashi A, Goto K, Hong J-S, Masuta C, Kanazawa A (2006) Rapid induction of transcriptional and post-transcriptional gene silencing using a novel cucumber mosaic virus vector. Plant Biotechnol 23:259–265 https://doi.org/10.5511/plantbiotechnology.23.259

Takeshita M, Koizumi E, Noguchi M, Sueda K, Shimura H, Ishikawa N, Matsuura H, Ohshima K, Natsuaki T, Kuwata S, Furuya N, Tsuchiya K, Masuta C (2012) Infection dynamics in viral spread and interference under the synergism between cucumber mosaic virus and turnip mosaic virus. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 25:18–27 https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-06-11-0170

Verchot J, Carrington JC (1995) Evidence that the potyvirus P1 proteinase functions in trans as an accessory factor for genome amplification. J Virol 69:3668–3674 https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.69.6.3668-3674.1995

Yambao MLM, Yagihashi H, Sekiguchi H, Sekiguchi T, Sasaki T, Sato M, Atsumi G, Tacahashi Y, Nakahara KS, Uyeda I (2008) Point mutations in helper component protease of clover yellow vein virus are associated with the attenuation of RNA-silencing suppression activity and symptom expression in broad bean. Arch Virol 153:105–115 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-007-1073-3

Yoon J, Palukaitis P, Choi S (2019) Host range. In: P. Palukaitis and F. García-Arenal(eds) Cucumber Mosaic Virus. APS Publications, St Paul MN, pp 15-18 https://doi.org/10.1094/9780890546109.004

Yoshii M, Nishikiori M, Tomita K, Yoshioka N, Kozuka R, Naito S, Ishikawa M (2004) The *Arabidopsis cucumovirus multiplication 1 and 2* loci encode translation initiation factors 4E and 4G. J Virol 78:6102–6111 https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.78.12.6102-6111.2004

1 **Figure legends**

2

3 Fig. 1 Structures of recombinant CMV RNA2 infectious clones with transgenes encoding
4 fluorescent proteins (a) and iLOV and GFP fluorescence observed in *N. benthamiana*
5 infected with the recombinant CMVs on day 7 post-inoculation (dpi) (b). (a) Flag-tagged
6 iLOV and GFP were fused to two-third of the 2b N-terminal gene (pCY2-2bΔC-iLOV-
7 flag and pCY2-2bΔC-GFP) or the intact 2b (pCY2-2b-iLOV-flag) in the CMV RNA2
8 constructs. (b) Recombinant CMVs with these constructs (a) were transcribed and
9 inoculated into *N. benthamiana* (upper panels) and their fluorescence was observed
10 (lower panels) at 7 dpi. A healthy and empty vector (2bΔC-empty) infected plants were
11 also observed as a control and no obvious fluorescence was detected.

12

13 Fig. 2 Comparison of the fluorescence of *N. benthamiana* upper leaves infected with
14 iLOV- and GFP-expressing recombinant CMVs at a later stage of infection (28 dpi) (a).
15 RT-PCR analysis was performed to assess whether these recombinant CMVs retained the
16 fluorescent gene, iLOV or GFP (b). Arrows indicate the expected PCR product length if
17 the CMVs possess the fluorescent gene. Cs were amplified from the corresponding
18 plasmids as a control of each RT-PCR. M indicates 100 bp as a ladder marker.

19

20 Fig. 3 iLOV fluorescent images of tomato plant upper leaves following inoculation with
21 a recombinant 2b-iLOV-flag-expressing CMV at 27 dpi (a). (b) CMV CP accumulations
22 in the upper leaves at 33 dpi. ELISA-based comparison of tomato cultivar S8 (n = 8) and
23 tomato plants carrying a recessive resistant gene *pot1*, *pot1-#1* (n = 6) and *pot1-#3* (n =
24 8) by using anti-CMV antibody. A Tukey–Kramer test ($p < 0.05$) did not show a
25 significant difference in the CMV CP accumulation among tomato plants with or without
26 *pot1*. A closed spot was an outlier in the box plot graph. Boxplots show 25th and 75th
27 percentiles and median values, and whiskers show minimum and maximum values within
28 1.5 × interquartile range (IQR). The outlier, indicated by a closed spot, was value less
29 than that subtracted with 1.5 × IQR from the first quartile. (c) A fluorescent image of
30 leaves of healthy and CMV-Y-inoculated plants was shown in an upper panel. Lower
31 panels were results of western blotting with antibody to CMV CP to confirm CMV-Y
32 infection in an upper leaf of a CMV-Y inoculated plant in the upper panel. An image of a
33 polyacrylamide gel stained with Coomassie brilliant blue was shown as a loading control.

1 Both ELISA and western blotting are the antibody-based detection methods with similar
2 detection sensitivity. The 2b-iLOV-flag-expressing CMV-, but not CMV-Y-infected,
3 leaves showed fluorescence, indicating that the fluorescence was not caused by virus
4 infection.



Fig. 1





Fig. 2



Fig. 3

a

AGGCCTCGATGATAGAGAAGAATTTCGTCATCACAGATCCTCGTCTCCCTGATAATCCCATTATCTTTGCATCAGACGGTTTTCTTGAATTGACAG
StuI iLOV

AGTATTCACGCGAGGAAATATTGGGGAGAAATGCCCGGTTTCTTCAGGGGCCAGAGACAGATCAAGCGACTGTCCAGAAGATAAGAGACGCA

ATTAGAGATCAGAGGGAGACTACTGTGCAGTTGATAAACTACACTAAAAGCGGAAAGAAATTCTGGAACTTATTACACTTGCAACCTGTTCGTG

ATCAGAAGGGAGAGCTTCAATACTTCATCGGTGTGCAGCTTGATGGAAGTGATCATGTAGACTACAAAGACGATGACGACAAGTAAACGCGT
Flag MluI

b

AGGCCTCTCGTTTAGAGTTATCGGCGGAAGACCATGATTTTGACGATACAGATTGGTTCGCCGGTAACGAATGGGCGGAAGGTGCTTTCATG
StuI C-terminal part of 2b

ATAGAGAAGAATTTCGTCATCACAGATCCTCGTCTCCCTGATAATCCCATTATCTTTGCATCAGACGGTTTTCTTGAATTGACAGAGTATTCACG
iLOV

CGAGGAAATATTGGGGAGAAATGCCCGGTTTCTTCAGGGGCCAGAGACAGATCAAGCGACTGTCCAGAAGATAAGAGACGCAATTAGAGA

TCAGAGGGAGACTACTGTGCAGTTGATAAACTACACTAAAAGCGGAAAGAAATTCTGGAACTTATTACACTTGCAACCTGTTCGTGATCAGA

AGGGAGAGCTTCAATACTTCATCGGTGTGCAGCTTGATGGAAGTGATCATGTAGACTACAAAGACGATGACGACAAGTAAACGCGT
Flag MluI

Fig. S1 iLOV-Flag ORF with StuI and MluI sites (a) and C-terminal part of 2b followed by iLOV-Flag ORF with StuI and MluI sites (b)