

# HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

Title	Fatigue analysis of orthotropic steel-ultra-high-performance fiber-reinforced concrete (UHPFRC) composite deck considering accelerated deterioration and self-healing of fractured ultra-high-performance fiber-reinforced concrete in surface water condition
Author(s)	Ma, Chi Hieu; Deng, Pengru; Matsumoto, Takashi
Citation	Advances in Structural Engineering https://doi.org/10.1177/13694332231207180
Issue Date	2023-10-03
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/90625
Rights	Chi Hieu Ma, Pengru Deng, and Takashi Matsumoto, Fatigue analysis of orthotropic steel-ultra-high-performance fiber-reinforced concrete (UHPFRC) composite deck considering accelerated deterioration and self-healing of fractured ultra-high-performance fiber-reinforced concrete in surface water condition, Advances in Structural Engineering. Copyright © 2023. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/13694332231207180
Туре	article (author version)
File Information	Final manuscript_T_Matsumoto_Adv_Struct_Eng_202310.pdf



Instructions for use

1	Fatigue analysis of orthotropic steel-UHPFRC composite deck
2	considering accelerated deterioration and self-healing of fractured
3	UHPFRC in surface water condition
4	Chi Hieu Ma <sup>a,*</sup> , Pengru Deng <sup>c</sup> and Takashi Matsumoto <sup>b</sup>
5	<sup>a</sup> Graduate School of Engineering, Division of Engineering and Policy for Sustainable Environment
6	Hokkaido University, Kita-13, Nishi-8, Kita-ku, Sapporo 060-8628 Japan
7	<sup>b</sup> Faculty of Engineering, Division of Civil Engineering
8	Hokkaido University, Kita-13, Nishi-8, Kita-ku, Sapporo 060-8628 Japan
9	<sup>c</sup> School of Engineering, Central South University
10	No. 22, Shaoshan South Road, Tianxin District, Changsa City 410075 China
11	Email address: chihieuma@gmail.com, pengrudeng@outlook.com and
12	takashim@eng.hokudai.ac.jp; *Corresponding author.

#### 13 Abstract

In this study, an orthotropic steel bridge deck overlaid with ultra-high-performance fiber-reinforced 14 concrete (UHPFRC) is investigated using the finite element analysis. The composite bridge deck 15 which is undergone moving-wheel load is examined under environmental surface water conditions. 16 17 Two phases, i.e., Phases 1 and 2, are considered for the material model of the UHPFRC with stagnant 18 water. In Phase 1, mechanical recoveries of the tensile strength and reloading stiffness are considered for the cracked UHPFRC caused by the autogenous self-healing behavior. In Phase 2, under the 19 moving-wheel load, the crack bridging stress degradation in reinforced overlayer accelerates due to 20 21 the closing-opening actions of surface cracks in water. In both phases, the deformation behaviors of the steel deck plate and UHPFRC overlayer are numerically examined. The results of the current 22 numerical model agree with the experimental data in terms of the strain tendency, wherein the strain 23

range of the steel deck plate and UHPFRC overlayer decreases in Phase 1 and progressively increases
in Phase 2. Therefore, it can be asserted that, under the surface water condition, scenarios considering
two phases of the material model of cracked UHPFRC, have governed the strain behaviors of the
tested composite bridge deck.

28 Key words: UHPFRC, OSD, fatigue, crack bridging stress degradation, self-healing behavior, FEA

#### 29 1. Introduction

30 In recent years, ultra-high-performance fiber-reinforced concrete (UHPFRC) with excellent properties, such as high tensile and compressive strengths and high fatigue durabilities, has been used 31 to cover the top surfaces of orthotropic steel bridge decks (OSDs), creating a reinforcing layer to 32 improve structural fatigue performance. Following such modifications, the overall stiffness of OSDs 33 34 has been observed to increase, leading to remarkable reductions in fatigue stress levels in the steel 35 members of the OSDs. Thus, the fatigue durability of OSDs can be improved with the application of UHPFRC overlayers (Dieng et al., 2013; Makino et al., 2021, Deng et al., 2021, Ma et al., 2021a, Mi, 36 37 2020; Deng et al., 2022). It has been reported that, with such a thin thickness 25-mm of UHPFRC 38 overlayer, the maximum magnitudes of displacement and stress level obtained in steel deck plate were notably reduced by over 37% and 88%, respectively (Makino et al., 2021). 39

However, for steel bridge decks covered by UHPFRC, stagnant water resulting from rainfall may 40 adversely affect the structural performance of the reinforcing overlayer when subjected to repetitive 41 42 traffic loading. Such stagnant water may pool over the UHPFRC, consequently subjecting the 43 composite bridge deck to surface water conditions. Following this, the surface water may penetrate 44 into microcracks on the top layer of the reinforced overlayer, further leading to severe fatigue deteriorations in the UHPFRC overlayer under moving-wheel loads. Matsui examined the effect of 45 surface water on the structural performance of RC bridge decks (Matsui, 1987; Matsui, 1996). The 46 results indicated that stagnant water on the top surface of RC bridge decks considerably degraded 47

48 their fatigue performance, and the fatigue life of the RC bridge decks reduced about 5% when the fatigue test was conducted under surface water condition (Matsui, 1996). Along similar lines, several 49 experimental studies on the fatigue performance of concrete have been conducted in the presence of 50 51 water, and the results have revealed that water adversely affects the fatigue durability of concrete materials (Waagaard, 1982; Solwik and Saouma, 2000; Matsushita, 1980; Maekawa and Fujiyama, 52 2013). Under the rapid deformation of concrete cracks, i.e., the closing-opening actions of cracks 53 54 under fatigue loading, a high pore water pressure can be induced owing to the dispersion of condensed water inside cracks (Solwik and Saouma, 2000). This may further rapidly deteriorate concrete 55 56 materials when capillary pores are subjected to water pressure; these pores are often located at the vicinity of the aggregate/matrix interface (Maekawa and Fujiyama, 2013). According to Matsushita 57 (Matsushita, 1980; Matsushita and Tokumitsu, 1979), when the applied minimum stress is zero, the 58 59 stress range ratio  $S_r$  at 2,000,000 cycles for concrete with the presence of water is about 70% of that under dry conditions. Herein,  $S_r = \Delta \sigma / \sigma_{ult} = (\sigma_{max} - \sigma_{min}) / \sigma_{ult}$ ; where  $\sigma_{max}$  and  $\sigma_{min}$  are applied 60 maximum and minimum stresses,  $\sigma_{ult}$  is limit stress of concrete material. 61

Without considering fatigue action of applied load, under water exposure, the self-healing 62 capability of high-performance fiber-reinforced cement composites, such as engineered cementitious 63 64 composite (ECC) and UHPFRC, with regard to crack closure and mechanical recovery has been previously investigated (Okuizumi et al., 2021; Herbert and Li, 2012, 2013; Zhang and Zhang, 2017; 65 66 Li and Li, 2011; Kan and Shi, 2012; Kim et al., 2019; Cuenca and Serna, 2021). Owing to the low 67 ratio of water to cement used in the design of ECCs or UHPFRC, extensive amounts of unhydrated cement grains may exist in the material matrix. Consequently, continued hydration of unreacted 68 69 cement may occur at the fractured region in the presence of water, leading to the closure of cracks 70 in the specimens under testing. In a study conducted by Okuizumi et al. (2021), UHPFRC was found 71 to exhibit a high self-healing ability under water conditions based on a high crack closure rate, which was observed from the bottom section of UHPFRC beams that cracked owing to flexural loads. After 72

73 only 1 day of water exposure, the closure rate of the UHPFRC fine cracks within a width of 0.014 mm in the specimens could reach over 77%. Herbert and Li (2012, 2013) examined the self-healing 74 behavior of ECCs in the natural environment. Along with the closure of ECC cracks, mechanical 75 76 recoveries of the tensile cracking strength and reloading stiffness in ECC specimens were observed after crack self-healing under water conditions. Moreover, under wet-dry cycles, the autogenous 77 healing characteristics of high tensile ductility ECC materials were investigated by Kan and Shi 78 79 (2012). The results revealed that the tensile strength and maximum tensile strain of the cracked specimens at the reloaded stage were higher than those of the reference specimens under dry 80 81 conditions.

82 This paper proposes a numerical model based on the finite element method (FEM) to simulate an OSD overlaid by UHPFRC in the testing condition of surface water, and this deck is loaded by a 83 84 moving rubber-tire wheel. Two phases of the material model considering the self-healing behavior and degradation in the fatigue life of cracked UHPFRC are introduced in this study. The proposed 85 numerical model is validated using the experimental data reported by Makino et al., 2021. The 86 structural responses from the considered phases, i.e., the deformation of steel members and the 87 cracking behaviors of the reinforcing overlayer, are investigated in the analyses. The effect of self-88 89 healing behavior in UHPFRC cracks is also evaluated by a parametric study in the first phase.

#### 90 2. Testing conditions and considered phases for the material model of cracked UHPFRC

To examine the effectiveness of the UHPFRC overlayer in improving the fatigue life of an OSD, fatigue tests on a full-scale OSD were conducted under wheel loading and environmental conditions in the previous study (Makino et al., 2021). Fig. 1 illustrates the geometry of the investigated composite deck. The dimensions in transverse and longitudinal directions of the OSD were 2720 and 3300 mm, respectively. The bridge deck comprised a 12 mm steel deck plate covered by a UHPFRC layer with a thickness of 25 mm, seven longitudinal ribs, three cross beams and two main girders.



104 The web thicknesses of the main girders and crossbeams were 14 and 9 mm, respectively. The 105 dimensions of the longitudinal bulb ribs were  $230 \times 11 \times 30 \text{ mm}^3$  (Fig. 1(b)).

The loading and environmental conditions adopted during the fatigue test for the composite bridge deck, and the fatigue test images of Stages 1 and 2 are depicted in Fig. 2. In the current study, fatigue analysis was conducted for Stage 2. After Stage 1, which included 1,100,000 cycles subjected to a moving wheel loaded with a rubber tire under dry conditions, the damaged composite deck with evident fine cracks on the top surface of the UHPFRC was subsequently tested for 60,000 cycles

under the surface water condition in 1 day. For one night before the execution of Stage 2 of the fatigue
test, a thin layer of water was deposited on the overlayer top surface. In the current fatigue analysis,

- 113 two phases were considered for the material model of cracked UHPFRC.
- Phase 1: self-healing of cracked UHPFRC in water for one night from the 1,100,000th to the
  1,100,0001st loading cycle.
- Phase 2: higher degradation speed of cracked UHPFRC resulting from the combined action of
  fatigue wheel loading and surface water over 60,000 cycles.
- 118 The modeling of the behaviors for each phase of the cracked UHPFRC is detailed in Section 3.2.2.

Testing conditions for	Stage	Load level (kN)	Number of cycles	Loading condition	Environmental condition
two	Stage 1	100	1,100,000	Rubber tire	Dry
stages [2]	Stage 2	100	60,000	Rubber tire	Surface water



119

120

(a) Stage 1 (dry condition)

(b) Stage 2 (surface water condition)

# Fig. 2 Fatigue test of the OSD (Makino et al., 2021)

#### 121 **3. Method**

#### *3.1. Numerical model*

In this study, an OSD reinforced by UHPFRC overlayer undergone a moving load with a rubber tire under the surface water condition is simulated utilizing the FEM software MSC/Marc. Using Fortran programing language, a material user subroutine, which is applied to the Marc program to solve nonstandard problems, is coded to define the cracking behaviors of the UHPFRC. In the defined subroutine, the multi-fixed smeared crack model is applied to simulate crack initiation and development in the UHPFRC (Rots and Blaauwendraard, 1989). In this crack model, the original finite element mesh topology is maintained by transitioning from the initial isotropic stress-strain law 130 to an orthotropic relationship with the axes of orthotropy after the crack initiation. In other words, the smeared crack model assumes a cracked body as a continuum, while the discrete crack approach 131 treats a crack as a discontinuous body with separated mesh elements. The initiation of UHPFRC 132 cracks is predicted based on the direction and magnitude of the maximum principal stress generated 133 in 3D UHPFRC eight-node elements. The first crack in the UHPFRC appears perpendicular to the 134 maximum principal stress direction if the tensile stress level approaches the tensile cracking strength 135 of the UHPFRC (i.e., value at point A in Fig. 4). Using a fixed crack model, the orientation of the 136 initial crack is maintained in the UHPFRC overlayer during the calculation process. With the 137 138 reorientation of the maximum principal stress when the wheel load moves, new cracks in the UHPFRC element initiate along planes orthogonal to the initial crack plane; this occurs when the 139 cracking condition based on the maximum principal stress is satisfied. 140

Based on the procedure proposed by Rots and Blaauwendraard (1989), the overall stress–strain
relationship in the UHPFRC element can be obtained using the following equation:

143 
$$\sigma = D^{cocr} \varepsilon = \left[ D^{co} - D^{co} N (D^{cr} + N^T D^{co} N)^{-1} N^T D^{co} \right] \varepsilon, \qquad (1)$$

where  $\sigma$  and  $\varepsilon$  denote the global stress and strain vectors, including the elastic and cracked components, respectively;  $D^{co}$  and  $D^{cr}$  denote the stiffness matrices of non-cracked and cracked components of the UHPFRC, respectively;  $D^{cocr}$  denotes the overall stiffness matrix of the UHPFRC element; N denotes the transformation matrix that defines crack orientation; and  $N^{T}$  denotes the transpose of matrix N.

148 *3.2. Material model* 

149 *3.2.1. Steel* 

The stress–strain relationship of the steel material, and the primary material properties of the steel members are presented in Fig. 3. Notably, a Poisson's ratio of 0.3 and Young's modulus of 200 GPa are considered in the current model. The von Mises criterion is used as the yield criterion of steel. In the presence of the UHPFRC-strengthening layer, a significant decrease in stress levels was observed from the fatigue sensitive locations of the OSD, and no fatigue cracking was observed from the steel members. The fatigue of steel material was thus not prominent during the tested stages. In the current analysis, the fatigue life of steel members is estimated in Phase 1 (as mentioned in Section 2) to assess the beneficial effect of the self-healing behavior in UHPFRC cracks.



Matarial	Steel members Parameter		Cross beam	Longitudinal rib	Steel plate
properties of	Yield strength	fy (MPa)	245	365	365
steel	Tensile strength	f <sub>u</sub> (MPa)	400	490	490
	Ultimate tensile strain	εμ	0.22	0.23	0.23

#### 158

159

Fig. 3 Constitutive law and material properties of steel

## 160 *3.2.2. UHPFRC*

### 161 *3.2.2.1. Nonlinear constitutive law of UHPFRC*

A user subroutine in Marc program is coded to define the constitutive law of UHPFRC. According to JSCE Recommendations (2008), the nonlinear stress–strain relationships of UHPFRC are presented in Fig. 4. The constitutive law of UHPFRC under tension can be defined by a trilinear relation, i.e., (I) elastic, (II) strain-hardening, and (III) strain-softening domains. After the elastic domain, the tensile stress increases with the formation and propagation of microcracks in the second domain, i.e., the strain-hardening domain. Following this, localized macrocracks initiate and develop in the third domain after achieving the tensile strength.



Material properties of UHPFRC	Parameter	Notation	Value (unit)	Point
	Tensile cracking strength	Ecr	0.00019	Α
		$\sigma_{cr}$	6 (MPa)	
	Tensile strength	Et0	0.00175	в
		$\sigma_{t0}$	9 (MPa)	-
	Ultimate tensile strain	Etu	0.01200	С
	Compressive strength	Ecu	0.0085	D
		$\sigma_{cu}$	133 (MPa)	_
	Ending point of compressive softening stage	Ecs	0.01275	E
		$\sigma_{cs}$	26.6 (MPa)	

Fig. 4 Nonlinear stress-strain relationships and material properties of UHPFRC
The constitutive law of UHPFRC under compression can be defined by a parabolic relation in the
(IV) fourth domain. When the compressive strength is reached, a linearly descending relation
representing the softening law is used in the (V) fifth domain. Subsequently, the compressive stress
presents a plateau at the end of the softening stage in the (VI) final domain.

For the elastic state, a Young's modulus ( $E_u$ ) of 31.3 GPa and Poisson's ratio (v) of 0.22 were used in the analysis, following the in-site uniaxial compressive test of UHPFRC. The material properties referring to the UHPFRC material pamphlet provided by J-THIFCOM Construction Association (2020) are employed this study and summed in the embedded table in Fig. 4.

For shear stress transfer in the UHPFRC after the occurrence of tensile cracks, the progressive reduction in shear stiffness with an increase of tensile strain is considered in the current model (Fairbairn et al., 2006). A shear retention factor  $\lambda$  which is a function of the maximum tensile strain  $\varepsilon_{tmax}$  is introduced in the analysis.

183 
$$\lambda = \frac{1}{1 + 4447\varepsilon_{t_{\text{max}}}} \tag{2}$$

184 3.2.2.2. Self-healing behavior of the cracked UHPFRC under surface water conditions

Based on the studies conducted by Herbert and Li (2012, 2013), the mechanical recoveries of tensile strength and reloading stiffness of the UHPFRC surface cracks are considered in the current analysis, from the end stage of the dry condition (1,100,000th cycle) to the beginning stage of the surface water condition (1,100,001st cycle) in Phase 1 (Fig. 5).

189 The recovery ratios of the tensile strength,  $\xi$ , and reloading stiffness,  $\kappa$ , in the overlayer surface 190 cracks are defined as follows:

191 
$$\xi = \frac{\sigma_{I,100,001} - \sigma_{I,100,000}}{\sigma_I - \sigma_{I,100,000}},$$
 (3)

192 
$$\kappa = \frac{K_{I,100,001} - K_{I,100,000}}{K_I - K_{I,100,000}},$$
 (4)

Where  $\sigma_{1}$ ,  $\sigma_{1,100,000}$ , and  $\sigma_{1,100,001}$  denote the crack bridging stresses at the 1st, 1,100,000th, and 1,100,001st cycles, respectively;  $K_{1}$ ,  $K_{1,100,000}$  and  $K_{1,100,001}$  denote the reloading stiffnesses at the 1st, 1,100,000th and 1,100,001st cycles, respectively.



196

Fig. 5 Tensile stress–strain relation of the cracked UHPFRC under the surface water condition The mechanical recoveries, especially for tensile strength, of the cracked UHPFRC under surface water condition are meaningful for the current fatigue test of Stage 2. By reducing the maximum tensile strain  $\varepsilon_{tmax}$  of UHPFRC cracks after self-healing, the bridging stress degradation can thus be decelerated during fatigue loading, as referred to the Eqs. (5) - (6) in the next section.

Since the exposure time of the UHPFRC top layer in water is one night before the fatigue loading test, the tensile strength and reloading stiffness recovery ratios in this study are roughly chosen as 70%,  $\kappa = \xi = 70\%$ , following the observations from the research of Okuizumi et al. (2021).

205 *3.2.2.3. Fatigue degradation of UHPFRC crack bridging stress under the condition of surface water* 

Under fatigue loading, the primary factor influencing crack development in various cement-based composites can be attributed to the gradual decrease in bridging stress between crack surfaces, which results from fatigue deterioration of tensioned fibers, i.e., fiber rupture or pullout (Li and Matsumoto, 1998; Matsumoto and Li, 1999; Zhang et al., 1999, 2000; Suthiwarapirak et al., 2004; Deng and Matsumoto, 2018; Jimi et al., 2021). For the UHPFRC, based on the study conducted by Jimi et al. (2021), the degradation law for the crack bridging stress under dry conditions is adopted in the current model for Stage 1 and is expressed as following equation:

213
$$\frac{\sigma_N}{\sigma_1} = 1 - (0.015 + 5\varepsilon_{rmax})\log(N)$$
for  $1 \le N \le 1,100,000$ 
(5)

where  $\sigma_N/\sigma_1$  denotes the ratio of bridging stress degradation from Nth to 1st cycles under dry condition.

According to researches of Matsushita (1980) for concrete material, when the applied minimum stress is zero, the ratio of fatigue stress range at 2,000,000 cycles with the presence of water is about 70% of that under the dry condition. In the current study, by considering this reduced percentage from the fatigue life of the concrete material to that of the UHPFRC, the corresponding degradation relation of bridging stress for the overlayer cracks under the surface water condition is established and applied in Phase 2.

221 
$$\frac{\sigma_N}{\sigma_{1,100,001}} = 1 - (0.058 + 3.5\varepsilon_{rmax}) \log(N - 1,100,000)$$
for 1,100,001  $\le N \le 1,160,000$  (6)

where  $\sigma_N / \sigma_{1,100,001}$  denotes the ratio of bridging stress degradation from *N*th to 1,100,001st cycles under the condition of surface water.

#### 4. Interfacial fatigue degradation between steel plate and UHPFRC

214

225 In the current fatigue test, the UHPFRC overlayer was cast in place. Before applying the UHPFRC layer, the shot blasting was used as a surface treatment technique to remove the contamination on the 226 surface of steel deck plate. After placing the epoxy bonding agent on steel deck plate with average 227 228 thickness of 1 mm, hard aggregates (i.e., synthetic ceramics) with a grain size of up to 2 mm were distributed over the top surface of bonding agent to increase the surface roughness (Fig. 6(a)). 229 230 UHPFRC was then casted within the available time of epoxy bonding agent (i.e., within 5 minutes in 20<sup>o</sup>C room temperature). According to the pull-off tensile test of composite specimens, the average 231 tensile bond strength of the current bonding technique was up to 2.91 MPa. For the epoxy resin 232 material at the interface between UHPFRC overlayer and steel plate, a linear elastic shear stress-233

strain relationship is assumed in the current analysis (Fig. 6(a)). The shear elastic stiffness of the bond material, i.e.,  $E_{b1}$ , is 2.66 GPa, is used following properties reported by Mitamura et al. (2011). In fatigue analysis of Stage 1, the interfacial bond stiffness degradation between steel plate and overlayer caused by repetitive moving-wheel load (see Fig. 6(a)) was considered by Ma et al. (2021). According to this study, by comparing the experimental observations (i.e., steel strain and hammer tapping test results) with the analysis results, the bond stiffness degradation speed was determined, as follows:

240 
$$\frac{E_{bN}}{E_{bI}} = f(N) = 1 - 0.1707 \times \log(N)$$
for  $1 \le N \le 700,000$  (7)

where  $E_{bN}/E_{b1}$  denotes the ratio of the interfacial stiffness from *N*th to 1st cycles. The region being applied the bond stiffness degradation,  $S = 2 \times 302 \times 1875 \text{ mm}^2$ , is shown in Fig. 6(c.I).

Subsequently, the delamination area at the interface was considered for the FEM model from the 243 700,000th cycle when the interfacial bond stiffness under wheel load region was zero (Ma et al., 244 2021). Fig. 6(b) shows the bonding layer at the UHPFRC/steel interface at the end of the experiment. 245 246 The dark region under the wheel contact area, which comprised iron oxide particles obtained from shot-blasting process for the steel plate before applying the UHPFRC overlayer, could be observed 247 after the fatigue test. This denoted the fatigue failure of bonding layer at wheel load region. In addition, 248 249 based on acoustic inspections, i.e., a hammer test, in Stage 1 (see Fig. 6(c)), the abnormal noise range was detected at the 760,000th cycle, indicating the occurrence of interfacial delamination. Thereafter, 250 the delamination area found by the hammer test gradually expanded in the local region above Rib 5. 251 For the current model, the delamination area at the interface chosen at the initiating cycle of Stage 2 252 (1,100,001st) was equal to that at the ending cycle of Stage 1 (1,000,000th), as shown in Fig. 6(c.II). 253 254 Throughout Stage 2, the average expansion speed of 4.67 mm/10,000 cycles along the transverse direction, which was applied to the interfacial debonded area, was selected identical to that of the 255

- previous cycles in Stage 1 (from the 940,000th to the 1,100,000th cycles). The transverse dimension
- of the debonded area are then gradually increased from 840 to 868 mm (Fig. 6(c.III)).



258

(a) Bond stiffness degradation model at steel/UHPFRC interface (Ma et al., 2021).



260

(b) Interfacial bond layer at the end of fatigue test (Makino et al., 2021).



#### **5. Finite element modeling of the composite bridge deck**

The boundary conditions and mesh pattern in the FEM model of the composite deck are shown in 267 268 Fig. 7(a). Notably, a fine mesh with a minimum transverse size of 2.5 mm was employed for the overlayer and steel plate at regions above the three central longitudinal ribs. The UHPFRC-reinforced 269 layer was vertically segregated into three layers in the current FEM model. In order to minimize 270 271 computational cost, the 4-node thick shell elements are utilized instead of the 8-node solid elements to model the steel members such as cross beams, main girders, and the 4 outer bulb ribs (which are 272 located far away from the critical region at wheel loading lane, as shown in Fig. 7(b)). It was found 273 274 that, in comparison the model using only solid elements, the analysis time of the current model 275 reduced about 40%, while the differences between numerical results at critical locations in steel deck plate of the two FE model are within 1%. The total number of elements used in the current FE model 276 277 are 47,390.

278 Four edges under the main girders were supported with a 3000 mm longitudinal span. Two edges 279 along the west side were restricted in all the three translational directions. The other edges from the 280 east side were constrained against translation along the X and Y directions. The rubber tire wheel with a load of 100 kN was simulated using seven load locations. The size of each load location was 281 282  $2 \times 217.5 \times 250$  mm<sup>2</sup>, with a central gap of 115 mm, i.e., the distance between two tires. The 100-kN wheel-load was distributed over the whole area of load location, in which non-uniform distributions 283 along the longitudinal and transverse directions of contact patch of rubber tire were based on the 284 loading model presented by Ma et al. (2021), which has been reported to provide a better prediction 285 of structural responses in steel deck plate than the standard uniformly-distributed load model. To 286 simulate the interface between UHPFRC and steel plate in FEM model, the UHPFRC bottom layer 287 and the steel-plate top surface are designated as deformable bodies of the contact analysis in 288 MSC/Marc. The Touching contact option is used to model the interfacial delamination region (see 289 290 Fig.6(c)), in which the material penetration is prevented. The remain interfacial region is simulated



by the Glue contact option, in which the interfacial contact stiffness between the deformable bodies is assigned to be the elastic modulus  $E_{b1}$  of UHPFRC.

300 In the fatigue analysis, the moving direction of wheel loading under the specified load cases in a 301 single cycle is presented in Fig. 7(c). The wheel load is initially applied to the central location until 302 the peak value (100 kN) is reached from load case 1. In load case 2, this location is unloaded with the loading of the adjacent elements at the same increasing rate. Herein, each load case includes 30 303 loading steps with a total running time of 2190s. This procedure is continuously repeated along the 304 305 loading lane, reproducing the movement of the rubber tire wheel. During this process, the bridging 306 stress degradation equation (i.e., Eq. (6)) and unloading behaviors at each integration point of the UHPFRC elements are modified and determined based on the maximum value of tensile strain, which 307 308 is recorded from the previous cycle of the fatigue analysis. The obtained bridging stress degradation value at each node is then applied directly to the nonlinear constitutive law of UHPFRC after cracking 309 310 which is defined in the multi-fixed smeared crack model for each cycle. The current analysis of Stage 2 is performed for 60,000 cycles to reproduce the fatigue test under surface water condition. 311

312 6. Results and discussions

#### 313 *6.1. Steel strains in the deck plate*

#### 314 *6.1.1 Numerical steel strain distributions*

The distributions of the transverse strain under bottom surface of the steel deck plate for different 315 cycles are depicted in Fig. 8. The illustrated results are obtained from zone A (Fig. 6(c.II)) under load 316 317 case 10. Under load case 10, the positive bending at the tire contact region is produced by the wheel load along with the overall downward movement of the steel deck plate. On the other hand, owing to 318 the stiffening effect of the longitudinal Ribs 3,4 and 5, the wheel load induces negative bending at 319 320 these local regions. At the 1,100,001st cycle (Phase 1), owing to an increase in the tensile strength of the fine cracks in the UHPFRC overlayer after autogenous self-healing (Section 3.2.2), the overall 321 stiffness of the composite deck increases after one-night exposure to water. This leads to a decrease 322 in strain levels in the compressive zones at Ribs 3–5 and tensile zones at the wheel contact area of 323 the steel deck plate during this loading cycle. Further, the mechanical recoveries of the cracked 324 325 UHPFRC in Phase 1 can be interpreted based on the opening-closing actions of a single crack (Fig.

326 9) on the top layer of the overlayer before and after self-healing. It is noted that the UHPFRC tensile cracks at East patch above Ribs 3, 4 and 5 are under the process from opening to closing when the 327 wheel load moves from East to West load patches; and vice versa. In the presence of the healed part 328 329 inside the UHPFRC crack, the stress transfer between pulled-out or ruptured fibers is restored, causing an increase in the bridging stress between the crack surfaces. Thus, the reloaded maximum 330 strain or crack opening displacement decreases after the self-healing of UHPFRC cracks (Fig. 9(d)). 331 332 The autogenous healing process of the UHPFRC cracks is assumed to terminate at the beginning of the 1,100,001st cycle (Fig. 9(c)), and the healed parts undergo cracking and degradation under 333 334 repetitive wheel loading without further self-healing throughout Stage 2. Therefore, the unloading



Fig. 8 Distributions of the steel transverse strain under bottom surface of the deck plate for different

342 cycles in load case 10 (displayed at zone A).

minimum strain under the water condition ( $\varepsilon_{tmin-water}$ ) is maintained equal to that under the dry condition ( $\varepsilon_{tmin-dry}$ ) (Fig. 9(e)).

In contrast to the first cycle of Stage 2, the increase in transverse strain magnitudes can be observed 345 at the 1,160,000th cycle (see Fig. 8(c)), and this is owing to fatigue bridging stress degradation of 346 cracked UHPFRC under moving-wheel loading in Phase 2. After the formation of a tensile crack, 347 348 owing to the continuous reduction in tensile strength resulting from the degradation in the bridging stress between crack surfaces, a stress concentration can be induced in the region of the crack tip. 349 Thereafter, the fatigue crack propagates in the overlayer with a newly formed crack length at the 350 351 crack tip. Consequently, the deformation of the bridge deck is increased, leading to strain 352 redistribution in the UHPFRC and steel plate. Moreover, stiffness reduction of the bridge deck is as



(a) Loading under dry condition (b) Unloading under dry condition (c) Healed part formed inside

355

353



356

357 (d) Reloading under water condition (e) Unloading under water condition
358 Fig. 9 Opening–closing behaviors of a UHPFRC crack before (a and b) and after (d and e) self-

359

healing.

well due to the expansion of the debonded area at steel/UHPFRC interface, which leads to a progressive loss in the capability of shear force transfer between the overlayer and steel plate. The degradation in crack bridging stress of UHPFRC, which are dependent on the maximum level of the tensile strain, is thus accelerated, along with the interfacial–delamination development. As a result, those kinds of fatigue degradations with their mutual interaction can considerably deteriorate the structural performance of the tested bridge deck.

#### 366 6.1.2 Numerical and experimental steel strain evolutions

367 In Fig. 10, the transverse strain range evolutions in load case 10 at the locations of the SEL strain gauges (Fig. 1(a)) under steel-plate bottom are plotted in comparison with the experimental data, with 368 the chosen recovery ratios for tensile strength and reloading stiffness of 70%. As reported by Ma et 369 370 al. (2021), in Stage 1, owing to continuous deterioration of the interfacial bond stiffness before the 371 700,000th cycle, the strain range of the steel deck plate gradually decreases with an increase of the number of cycles. Subsequently, a delamination area formed at the UHPFRC/steel interface and 372 373 expands along the transverse direction, leading to considerable variations in the transverse strain 374 range levels of the steel deck plate from 700,000th to 1,100,000th cycles. From Fig. 10, it is apparent that the experimental strain range magnitudes at the SEL strain gauges from the end of Stage 1 375 376 (1,100,000th cycle) to the beginning of Stage 2 (1,100,001st cycle) have decreased after one-night exposure in surface water condition. Possible cause of this behavior is the self-healing of surface 377 cracks in the overlayer, as introduced above. To assess the effect of this mechanism on the structural 378 responses of the composite deck, a parametric study is conducted and presented in the following 379 section. 380

#### 381 6.1.2.1 Effect of self-healing behaviors on strain responses in Phase 1

Based on the current analysis under surface water condition in Phase 1, Fig. 11 shows the relationships between the recovery ratios of tensile strength and reloading stiffness caused by self384 healing, along with the results of the transverse strain range considering the reduction rates from the 1,100,001st cycle at strain gauge SEL1, i.e., steel plate's critical location. When the recovery ratios 385 after the self-healing of UHPFRC cracks increase, the transverse strain range levels at SEL1 decrease, 386 along with an increase in the reduction rates of the strain range. In the current model, with recovery 387 ratios of 100% for the UHPFRC surface cracks, the reduction in the transverse strain range at SEL1 388 can reach 7.32% (reduced from -267.7µ to -248.1µ). Based on the Japan Society of Steel Construction 389 390 (JSSC) code (1993), the prediction analysis of the fatigue life at the critical point of SEL1 is conducted using the obtained transverse strain ranges. The fatigue life (in years) (N) is calculated as 391 392 follows:

$$N = \frac{n \times 100}{n_{i_{i-100}}}$$

394 where  $n = \frac{(FAT)^m \times (2 \times 10^6)}{\Delta \sigma_{eq}^m}$  is the number of cycles causing fatigue cracks; here, FAT = 80 MPa

and m = 3 for E-class welding design.  $\Delta \sigma_{eq} = \sqrt[3]{\frac{\sum \Delta \sigma_i^3 \times n_i}{\sum n_i}}$  denotes the equivalent stress range, where

396 
$$\Delta \sigma_i$$
 denotes the stress range obtained in cycle  $n_i$ 

Further,  $n_{n-100} = ADTT_{SLi} \times \gamma_n \times 365 \times Y$  is the number of cycles corresponding to a design lifetime of 100 years; here,  $ADTT_{SLi} = 2880$  (vehicles/day/lane) denotes the traffic volume,  $\gamma_n = 0.03$  denotes the frequency coefficient, and Y = 100 (years) denotes the design service life of the composite bridge deck. *N* denotes the fatigue life in years.

The fatigue life prediction results at point SEL1 for different recovery ratios of tensile strength and reloading stiffness are summarized in Table 1. The fatigue life of the OSD can be increased to a maximum of 7.87% (from 258.9 to 279.3 years) when the self-healing recovery ratio of UHPFRC cracks reaches 100%.







Fig. 10 Transverse strain range vs. the number of cycles at SEL strain gauges

In this self-healing phase, in the experiment with stagnant water, no material segregated on the top surface of the UHPFRC. Such segregation can be identified based on a cloudy exudate of the UHPFRC cracks. Fine cracks (hairline cracks) are observed on the overlayer surface at the beginning of Stage 2 (see Fig. 16(b)). Therefore, the percentage of crack closure resulting from the self-healing behavior is expected to be a high value after one-night exposure under the surface water condition,





419

Fig. 11 Effect of the self-healing recovery ratio on the transverse strain range at SEL1

420

421

**Table 1**. Fatigue life prediction at a critical location of the steel deck plate

Recovery ratio (%)	Equivalent stress range (MPa)	Fatigue life	Increasing rate in
$\kappa=\xi$	$\Delta\sigma_{_{eq}}$	(year)	fatigue life (%)
		Ν	
0	50.06	258.9	0
40	49.51	267.5	3.32
70	49.15	273.5	5.64
100	48.81	279.3	7.87

- i.e., more than 70% based on the report for UHPFRC beams by Okuizumi et al. (2021). Following
  this, the recovery ratios of the tensile strength and reloading stiffness are set at values equal to the
  crack closure percentage and applied to the analysis.
- 426 6.1.2.2 Effect of bridging stress degradation speed in Phase 2
- In fatigue analysis for Phase 2, the increased speed of crack bridging stress degradation of UHPFRC is considered as shown in Eq. (6). This results in a sharp increase in steel strain levels in the initial 5,000 cycles of Phase 2, as shown in Fig. 10. It is evident that the obtained results agree with the experimental data. In Figs. 10(a) and 10(c), the significant increase in the early cycles cannot be reproduced by the model using Eq. (5). Hence, it can be inferred that the increase in the bridging stress degradation speed may have occurred in the cracked UHPFRC under the moving-wheel load



and surface water condition. This mechanism can be explained based on the generated water pressure 438 inside the cracks under repetitive cyclic loading (Fig. 12). Under cyclic loading, the water pressure 439 acting on the crack surfaces becomes negative with the opening of the UHPFRC crack. By contrast, 440 a positive water pressure can be obtained during the closure of the UHPFRC crack in the presence of 441 water. Hence, under the repetitive moving-wheel load, additional forces resulting from stagnant water 442 could accelerate fatigue fiber deterioration (i.e., fiber pullout) in the fractured zone, which may result 443 444 in an increase in the bridging stress degradation speed of UHPFRC cracks considered for Phase 2 of the material model of the UHPFRC. 445

From Fig. 10, it is obvious that the contribution of bridging stress degradation to the increase in the strain range is more dominant during the early stages of the fatigue analysis under the surface water condition based on a comparison between numerical models with and without interfacial delamination expansion. The reason for this is that the expansion of the debonded zone is quite minimal at the beginning cycles of Phase 2. With an increase in the number of cycles, the strain ranges of the steel plate increase, as the UHPFRC–steel composite undergoes continuous degradation when the delamination area is expanded along the transverse direction.

Overall, the qualitative agreements in strain range tendencies could be obtained from the numerical 453 and experimental results of steel plate in the current study. Here, the proposed mechanisms for 454 UHPFRC material model under surface water condition (i.e., the self-healing in Phase 1 and the 455 456 accelerated fatigue degradation in Phase 2) are reasonable for reproducing the strain behaviors of the 457 tested composite deck. The parametric analyses conducted in each phase have clarified the effect of each mechanism to the structural responses of steel bridge deck, as discussed above. However, from 458 the quantitative perspective, there are still discrepancies between the analysis and the experiment. 459 460 Following the research of Dai et al. (2005), it was shown that, along with the interfacial bond stiffness degradation, the residual bond slip at zero-bond stress level also increased when the number of cycles 461 462 increased. Moreover, with a decrease in the tire-overlay friction coefficient owing to the presence of

water, the frictional force between the rubber tire and UHPFRC decreased. This may lead to 463 additional transverse deformation at the edges of tire treads at tire contact region. Thus, in the 464 experiment, the rubber tire contact region as well as the contact normal stresses in the surface water 465 466 condition may be different from that under the dry condition. The residual bond slip at the UHPFRC/steel interface is thus affected by the contact condition of the rubber tire, i.e., in this case, 467 the residual bond slip in the experiment may be increased. Owing to insufficient data on the current 468 469 bonding technique at UHPFRC/steel interface, the residual bond strain between overlayer and steel plate under fatigue wheel loading is neglected in the current numerical model (Fig. 6(a)). This may 470 471 lead to the underestimation of the unloading and reloading strain levels for the steel plate. Although experimental tendencies based on the strain range results can be relatively reproduced by the current 472 model, the reproductions of unloading and reloading strain levels resulting from the residual bond 473 474 slip at the interface, as well as the tire contact conditions in the presence of water can be considered 475 in future studies on the composite bridge decks under moving-wheel loads.

476 *6.2. Numerical strain of the UHPFRC overlayer* 

#### 477 6.2.1 Numerical strain distributions

478 The maximum strain distributions for the cracked elements during Stages 1 and 2 at zone A (presented in Fig. 6(c)) are shown in Fig. 13 for the bottom and top layers of the reinforced overlayer, 479 480 respectively. The blue-to-red color band represents the cracked regions on the overlayer surface. For the OSD, an overall downward movement of the steel deck plate is achieved under wheel loading, 481 which induces positive bending at the tire contact region. However, owing to the stiffening effect of 482 the longitudinal rib, negative bending at these local regions is produced via wheel loading. Therefore, 483 cracks emerge on the top surface of the overlayer and propagate at local zones above Ribs 3, 4, and 484 5; whereas tensile cracks at the bottom layer of the UHPFRC overlayer are created at the rubber-tire 485 contact regions. At the beginning cycle of Stage 2, owing to an increase in the tensile strength caused 486





#### (c) At 1,160,000th loading cycle (Stage 2)

# Fig. 13 Distributions of maximum principal strain for cracked areas on top and bottom layers of UHPFRC overlay (displayed at zone A)

by the self-healing of the cracks on the top layer of the UHPFRC, the maximum tensile strain levels from the top and bottom layers of the UHPFRC overlayer, as shown in Fig. 13(b), decrease compared to those under the dry condition (Fig. 13(a)). This is accompanied by a narrower crack region from the bottom layer of the overlayer. On the contrary, the maximum tensile strain level of UHPFRC increases at the end of this stage owing to a significant increase in the bridging stress degradation speed of the healed UHPFRC in stagnant water, as shown in Fig. 13(c).

The maximum principal strain directions obtained from the crack elements in the UHPFRC overlayer under load case 10 of the 1,160,000th cycle are depicted in Fig. 14. It is obvious that the maximum principal strain directions obtained from the crack elements on the UHPFRC top surface



507

#### (b) At the bottom layer of the UHPFRC

Fig. 14 Maximum principal strain directions of UHPFRC cracks at 1,160,000th loading cycle 508 are transverse (Fig. 14(a)). This implies that the UHPFRC cracks initiate and propagate along the 509 longitudinal direction along Ribs 3, 4, and 5. On the other hand, diagonal cracks are observed from 510 the bottom layer of the UHPFRC overlayer, and distributed around the east-load-patch centerline (see 511 Fig. 14(b)). In the current model, it is found that that the second or third cracks in the UHPFRC 512 elements are not formed after the initiation of the first cracks (Fig. 13). This is because the obtained 513 stress levels along the longitudinal and vertical directions from the crack elements in the UHPFRC 514 overlayer are much smaller than those along the transverse direction, which can be attributed to the 515 516 stiffening effect of the longitudinal ribs and relative thin thickness of the applied UHPFRC overlayer.

517 6.2.2 Numerical strain evolution at the critical location of UHPFRC overlayer

The transverse strain range evolution at the critical location on UHPFRC top surface (i.e., middle point of path SN) is plotted in Fig. 15, with the mechanical recovery ratio of 70%. Since the strain gauges separated from the UHPFRC top surface after 20,000 cycle, the discussion in this section is focused on the numerical results.



522 523

Fig. 15 Transverse strain evolution at the middle point of path SN

In Stage 1, the transverse strain range of the UHPFRC overlayer gradually increase until 700,000th cycle, due to the combination of the degradations of cracked bridging stress and interfacial bond stiffness. After that, from 700,000th to 1,100,000th cycles, a considerable increase in strain range results are obtained after the formation and development of the interfacial delamination area.

In Phase 1 of Stage 2, it can be observed that there is a reduction in transverse strain range at the 528 middle point of path SN in UHPFRC overlayer after applying the mechanical recovery ratios of 70% 529 to the UHPFRC surface cracks to reproduce the self-healing behavior. Herein, the reduction 530 percentage is approximately 5.09% (reduced from 981µ to 932µ). Subsequently, under fatigue 531 loading process (Phase 2), by applying the increased speed of crack bridging stress degradation in Eq. 532 (6), the transverse strain results in UHPFRC overlayer continuously increase, especially from the 533 534 initial 5,000 cycles of Phase 2. These results are similar to the observation of the steel strain results represented in Fig. 10. 535

#### 536 6.2.3 Numerical and experimental crack region on top surface of UHPFRC

Fig. 16(a) shows the distributions of the maximum principal strain from the UHPFRC top layer subjected to a moving-wheel load during the beginning and ending cycles of Stage 2. In the analysis, a sequence from load case 10 to load case 12 represents the wheel-load moving process from the east 540 patch to the center patch (Fig. 7(c)). The gray color indicates the cracked regions on overlayer surface. According to the analysis, the highest level of the maximum principal strain applied to the top layer 541 of the UHPFRC is reported above the middle rib (Rib 4) in load case 12. In load case 13, the maximum 542 principal strain levels and obtained cracking zone are substantially reduced compared to those in the 543 adjacent load cases, owing to the stiffening effect of the middle crossbeam. At the end of Stage 2 with 544 the presence of stagnant water, multiple fine cracks are not localized into macrocracks. Despite the 545 546 significant increase in the bridging stress degradation speed applied for UHPFRC, the highest level of the maximum principal strain obtained from the reinforced overlayer is still within the strain-547 548 hardening domain of the UHPFRC, i.e., lower than 1750µ, at the end of Stage 2; this can be attributed to the high tensile strength, along with high fatigue durability under dry conditions for the UHPFRC. 549 550 This also agrees with the surface fine cracks (hairline) observed from the experimental crack pattern 551 shown in Fig. 16(b). Herein, it can be seen that the surface cracks occurred at the contact region of 552 rubber tires, while no crack at this region is obtained from the analysis (Fig.16(a)). Moreover, the through-thickness cracks as well as cracks under bottom surface which were obtained in the analysis 553 554 (Fig. 13) was not observed in the experiment. The possible reason for this issue may be due to the non-uniform distribution of the steel fibers in the UHPFRC overlay. As reported from the bending 555 556 test of UHPFRC beams (Sakai et al., 2022), the fiber contents near the top surface of the specimens are lower than those from the bottom parts, since the fiber may sink in the matrix due to the high 557 558 flowability of UHPFRC. Referring to for the current composite deck, the mechanical properties (i.e., 559 elastic modulus, cracking strength, tensile strength...) from the bottom layer of UHPFRC overlayer may be higher than those from the middle and top layers. Hence, further investigations of the 560 composite bridge deck are needed to examine the effect of the non-uniformity of fiber distribution on 561 562 the cracking behavior of the UHPFRC overlayer.

Generally, in Stage 2, the fatigue deterioration of the tested composite bridge deck is dominatedby the bridging stress degradation of fractured UHPFRC on the overlayer surface. Therefore, the



565

566 (a) Distributions of maximum principal strain on the UHPFRC top layer obtained from the

fatigue analysis (Gray color: the crack region)





569 (b) Experimental crack pattern on UHPFRC top surface
570 Fig. 16 Crack region obtained from: (a) Fatigue analysis; (b) Experiment.

development of the crack region on the top layer of the UHPFRC, which is mainly governed by
interfacial degradation, is insignificant throughout this stage owing to the small expansion of the
debonded region.

#### 574 **7. Conclusions**

In this study, a 3D nonlinear FEM analysis was performed to examine the fatigue performance of an OSD reinforced by UHPFRC overlayer under wheel loading and surface water conditions. Two phases of the material model considering the self-healing behavior and reduction in the fatigue life of UHPFRC were introduced in the numerical model. Following this, the considered mechanisms for the material model of cracked UHPFRC were assessed by investigating the UHPFRC cracking behavior and strain range of the steel deck plate based on the fatigue analysis. The following conclusions can be drawn:

- (1) The efficient FE calculation which minimize the number of elements as well as the analysis
   time was proposed, in which the non-uniform distributions along the longitudinal and
   transverse directions of contact patch of rubber tire was applied for better estimations of
   critical responses in steel plate and overlayer.
- (2) Under surface water condition, the mechanical recoveries of the tensile strength and reloading
  stiffness caused by the self-healing behavior can result in the reductions in strain ranges of
  the UHPFRC overlayer and steel plate. It was found in the analysis that the strain range at
  steel-plate critical location could reduce up to 7.32% with the self-healing recovery ratios of
  100% applied for the UHPFRC surface cracks. Correspondingly, the fatigue life of the OSD
  could be extended to a maximum of 7.87% in surface water condition.
- 592 (3) The effect of self-healing on reducing steel strain ranges were more apparent at the three-593 middle longitudinal ribs where most of UHPFRC surface cracks are located.

(4) Under combined condition of fatigue wheel loading and surface water, the deterioration of
the UHPFRC cracks could be accelerated. By considering the reduction rate from the fatigue
life of the concrete material under water condition (i.e. about 70%) to that of the UHPFRC,
the degradation relation in bridging stress for UHPFRC cracks under the surface water
condition was firstly introduced and applied in the current analysis.

(5) In Stage 2, the fatigue deterioration of the tested composite bridge deck is dominated by the
bridging stress degradation of fractured UHPFRC on the overlayer surface.

Overall, although there were still discrepancies between the numerical and experimental strain range levels, the proposed mechanisms in the UHPFRC material model could provide a qualitative agreement in terms of strain range tendencies between the analysis and experiment. Therefore, it can be inferred that the proposed behaviors, i.e., self-healing and fatigue life reduction, are reasonable and possible to be considered in the future numerical researches of the OSD reinforced by UHPFRC overlayer under surface water condition.

To improve the numerical modelling of the OSD-UHPFRC composite structure, some recommendations for the future research are as follows:

- (1) It is suggested that more fatigue tests of the OSD overlaid by UHPFRC under surface water
  condition are necessary to be conducted in the future to construct more experimental data for
  more understanding about the fatigue behavior of the UHPFRC-OSD composite structure
  under surface water condition, as well as for more reliable validation of the future numerical
  model.
- (2) The static and fatigue shear bond test should be carried out for the epoxy bond technique to
  investigate the fatigue characteristic of the used epoxy-bond technique, i.e., residual bond slip
  caused by the bond stiffness degradation.

- 617 (3) The effect of the material properties of UHPFRC material, i.e., elastic modulus, tensile
  618 strength, non-uniform properties from top and bottom layers, etc., on the cracking behaviors
  619 of the UHPFRC overlayer should be further investigated.
- 620 (4) The tire contact conditions in the presence of water should be considered in future studies.

#### 621 Acknowledgement

- 622 The current study in this paper is financially supported by a Research Grant funded by the Kajima
- 623 Foundation. Besides, the J-THICOM Construction Association also provides the technical assistance
- 624 for this work. This is gratefully acknowledged by the authors.

#### 625 Declaration of conflicting interests

626 The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

#### 627 **References**

- Cuenca E and Serna P (2021) Autogenous self-healing capacity of early-age Ultra-HighPerformance Fiber-Reinforced Concrete. *Sustainability*, 13, 3061.
- Dai J, Saito Y, Ueda T and Sato Y (2005) Static and fatigue bond characteristics of interfaces
- 631 between CFRP sheets and frost damage experienced concrete. In: Proceedings of the Fourth
- 632 International Symposium on Fiber Reinforced Polymer Reinforcement for Reinforced Concrete
- 633 *Structures (FRPRCS-7)*, Missouri, p. 1515-1530.
- 634 Deng P and Matsumoto T (2018) Determination of dominant degradation mechanisms of RC
- bridge deck slabs under cyclic moving loads. *International Journal of Fatigue* 112:328-340.
- 636 Deng P, Kaminishi H, Gouda Y and Matsumoto T (2021) Influence of insufficient early-age
- 637 strength of UHPFRC on rehabilitation of OSDs. In: *Proceedings of the 10<sup>th</sup> International Conference*
- 638 on Bridge Maintenance, safety and Management, Sapporo, Japan, 11-18 April.

Deng P, Mi H, Mitamura H and Matsumoto T (2022) Stress reduction effects of ultra-high
performance fiber reinforced concrete overlaid steel bridge deck developed with a new interfacial
bond method. *Construction and Building Materials*, 328, 127104.

Dieng L, Marchand P, Gomes F, Tessier C and Toutlemonde F (2013) Use of UHPFRC overlay

to reduce stresses in orthotropic steel decks. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research* 89: 30-41.

Fairbairn EMR, Toledo Filho RD, Battista RC, Brandao JH, Rosa JI and Formagini S (2006)
Experimental and numerical analysis of UHPFRC plates and shells. In: *Symposium of Measuring, Monitoring and Modeling Concrete Properties, Proceedings of the 16<sup>th</sup> European Conference of Fracture (ECF16)*, Greece, July 3-7, p. 49-58.

Herbert EN and Li VC (2012) Self-healing of Engineered Cementitious Composites in the Natural
Environment. In: *G.J. Parra-Montesinos, H.W. Reinhardt, and A.E. Naaman (Eds.): HPFRCC 6*,
RILEM p.155-162.

Herbert EN and Li VC (2013) Self-healing of microcracks in Engineered Cementitious
Composites (ECC) under a natural environment. *Materials* 6: 2831-2845.

Japan Society of Civil Engineers (2008) Recommendations for Design and Construction of High

654 Performance Fiber Reinforced Cement Composites with Multiple Fine Cracks (HPFRCC). Publisher:

655 Concrete Committee, Japan Society of Civil Engineers.

Japan Society of Steel Construction (1993) Fatigue design guidelines for steel structures.

Jimi H, Deng P and Matsumoto T (2021) Bridging stress degradation model of UHPFRC from
numerically fitting fatigue flexural test results using FEA. In: *Proceedings of the JSCE Conference Hokkaido branch No.* 77, A-46, Japan, January 30 - February 5.

J-THIFCOM Construction Association (2020) The material pamphlet of J-THIFCOM (Japan –
 Thixotropic Hardening Impermeable Fiber Reinforced Composite). Available at: https://www.j thifcom.com/tech.html.

Kan LL and Shi HS (2012) Investigation of self-healing behavior of Engineered Cementitious
Composites (ECC) materials. *Construction and Building Materials*, 29: 348-356.

Kim S, Yoo DY, Kim MJ and Banthia N (2019) Self-healing capability of ultra-high-performance
fiber-reinforced concrete after exposure to cryogenic temperature. *Cement and Concrete Composites*,
104, 103335.

Li M and Li VC (2011) Cracking and healing of Engineered Cementitious Composites underChloride environment. ACI Materials Journal, 108(3).

Li VC and Matsumoto T (1998) Fatigue crack growth analysis of fiber reinforced concrete with
effect of interfacial bond degradation, *Cement and Concrete Composites* 20(5):339-351.

Ma CH, Deng P, Matsumoto T (2021) Fatigue analysis of a UHPFRC-OSD composite structure
considering crack bridging and interfacial bond stiffness degradations. *Engineering Structures*, 249,
113330.

Ma CH, Deng P, Ueda K, Mitamura H, Matsumoto T (2021) Finite element analysis on
strengthening effect of the UHPFRC-steel composite deck. In: *Proceedings of the 10<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Bridge Maintenance, safety and Management*, Sapporo, Japan, 11-18 April.

Maekawa K and Fujiyama C (2013) Crack water interaction and fatigue life assessment of RC
bridge decks. In: *Proceedings of the Poromechanics V: American Society of Civil Engineers*, Vienna,
Austria, 10-12 July.

681	Makino D, Gouda Y, Mitamura H and Matsui S (2021) Wheel-load-running fatigue test of an
682	UHPFRC-steel composite bridge deck. In: Proceedings of the 10 <sup>th</sup> International Conference on
683	Bridge Maintenance, safety and Management, Sapporo, Japan, 11-18 April.

- Matsui S (1987) Fatigue strength of RC-slabs of highway bridge by wheel running machine and
  influence of water on fatigue. In: *Proceedings of Japan Concrete Institute*, 9(2): 627-632, Japan.
- Matsui S (1996) Lifetime prediction of bridge. *Journal of Japan Society of Civil Engineers* 30:
  432–440.
- Matsumoto T and Li VC (1999) Fatigue life analysis of fiber reinforced concrete with a fracture
  mechanics based model. *Cement and Concrete Composites* 21(4):249-261.
- Matsushita H (1980) A study on compressive fatigue strength of concrete in the water. In: *Proceedings of the Japan Society of Civil Engineers*, JSCE, 296: 87-95.
- Matsushita H and Tokumitsu Y (1979) A study on compressive fatigue strength of concrete
  considered survival probability. *Journal of Materials, Concrete Structures and Pavement, Japan Society of Civil Engineers* 284: 127-138.
- Mi H (2020) Finite element analysis on the stress reduction effects of UHPFRC overlaid steel
  bridge deck developed with a new interfacial bond method. Master Thesis, Hokkaido University,
  Hokkaido, Japan.
- Mitamura H, Omote S and Nishi H (2011) Effects of Retrofit with Two CFRP Materials of
  Different Properties on Fatigue Durability Improvement of RC Slabs. In: *Civil Engineering Research Institute (CERI) Monthly Report*, Japan, p. 2-14.
- Okuizumi T, Deng P and Matsumoto T (2021) Experimental study about the effects of crack self healing in UHPFRC on bending behaviors. In: *Proceedings of the JSCE Conference Hokkaido branch No.* 77, A-20, Japan, February.

Rots JG and Blaauwendraard J (1989) Crack models for concrete: discrete or smeared? Fixed,
multi-directional or rotating? *HERON* 34(1):1-59.

- Sakai R, Deng P and Matsumoto T (2022) Evaluation of fiber dispersibility of UHPFRC by X-ray
- 707 CT scan and image analysis. In: Proceedings of the JSCE Conference Hokkaido branch No. 78, A-
- 708 16, Japan, January 29 30, 2022.
- Solwik V and Saouma EV (2000) Water pressure in propagating concrete cracks. *Journal of Structural Engineering* 126(2): 235-242.
- 711 Suthiwarapirak P, Matsumoto T and Kanda T (2004) Multiple cracking and fiber bridging
- 712 characteristics of Engineered Cementitious Composites under fatigue flexure. *Journal of Materials*
- *in Civil Engineering*, American Society of Civil Engineers, 16(5).
- Waagaard K (1982) Fatigue strength evaluation of offshore concrete structures. *American Concrete Institute, Symposium Paper* 75: 373-397.
- Zhang J, Stang H and Li VC (1999) Fatigue life prediction of fiber reinforced concrete under
  flexural load. *International Journal of Fatigue* 21(10):1033-1049.
- 718 Zhang J, Stang H and Li VC (2000) Experimental study on crack bridging in FRC under uniaxial
- fatigue tension. J Mater Civ Eng (ASCE) 2000; 12(1):66-73.
- 720 Zhang Z and Zhang Q (2017) Self-healing ability of Engineered Cementitious Composites (ECC)
- under different exposure environments. *Construction and Building Materials*, 156: 142-151.