



Title	Influence of high pH state of dodecylbenzenesulfonate and dissolved organic matter complex solution on the ultraviolet spectrometry of dodecylbenzenesulfonate
Author(s)	Hossain, Md Imam; Ishiguro, Munehide
Citation	Journal of surfactants and detergents, 26(1), 73-82 https://doi.org/10.1002/jsde.12619
Issue Date	2023-01-09
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/91037
Rights	This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Hossain, MI, Ishiguro, M. Influence of high pH state of dodecylbenzenesulfonate and dissolved organic matter complex solution on the ultraviolet spectrometry of dodecylbenzenesulfonate. J Surfact Deterg. 2023; 26(1): 73– 82, which has been published in final form at https://doi.org/10.1002/jsde.12619 . This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions. This article may not be enhanced, enriched or otherwise transformed into a derivative work, without express permission from Wiley or by statutory rights under applicable legislation. Copyright notices must not be removed, obscured or modified. The article must be linked to Wiley ' s version of record on Wiley Online Library and any embedding, framing or otherwise making available the article or pages thereof by third parties from platforms, services and websites other than Wiley Online Library must be prohibited.
Type	article (author version)
File Information	Manuscript 2 final submission.pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

1 **Influence of high pH state of Dodecylbenzenesulfonate and dissolved**
2 **organic matter complex solution on the ultraviolet spectrometry of**
3 **Dodecylbenzenesulfonate**

4
5 Md. Imam Hossain^{1,2*}, Munehide Ishiguro³

6 ¹ *Department of Soil Science, University of Chittagong, Chittagong-4331, Bangladesh*

7 ² *Graduate School of Agriculture, Hokkaido University, Kita-9, Nishi-9, Kita-ku, Sapporo,*
8 *Japan*

9 ³ *Research Faculty of Agriculture, Hokkaido University, Kita-9, Nishi-9, Kita-ku, Sapporo,*
10 *Japan*

11
12 ***Correspondence**

13 Md. Imam Hossain, Laboratory of Soil Conservation, Graduate School of Agriculture,
14 Hokkaido University, Kita-9, Nishi-9, Kita-ku, Sapporo, 060-8589, Japan.

15 Email: mihossain@cu.ac.bd

16
17
18 **ORCID:** <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3801-1701>

19

20 **Abstract**

21 Dodecylbenzenesulfonate (DBS) is an anionic surfactant that is the most commonly used
22 ingredient in modern cleaning agents. Globally, billions of liters of untreated DBS are released
23 daily into natural water bodies, which may lead to fatal consequences in micro and macro living
24 entities, and destroy the natural ecosystem. Therefore, a simple, precise, rapid, and inexpensive
25 method for measuring DBS is crucial for developing countries. The conventional ultraviolet
26 (UV) spectrophotometric method cannot accurately estimate the DBS concentration in a
27 solution containing a considerable quantity of dissolved organic matter (DOM). Recently, a
28 new spectrometric method was developed using the 222.5 nm UV and 400 nm UV-visible (UV-
29 vis) spectra to precisely estimate DBS concentration in the DBS–DOM complex solution.
30 However, this newly developed method is yet to be validated under higher pH conditions. The
31 accuracy of DBS measurements from the DBS–DOM complex solution under lower pH (5.5
32 and 6.5) and higher pH (12.5) conditions was compared in this study using the previously
33 developed method. With the higher pH, the influence of the electrolyte increased the
34 absorbance under the 222.5 nm UV spectrum. However, such influence decreased with the
35 increase in DBS and DOM concentration. The UV-vis absorbance at 400 nm decreased under
36 higher pH values when the DOM concentration increased, owing to the conformational change
37 in DOM. Despite the contrasting trends of the absorbances in the two spectra, the studied
38 method was proven to be equally applicable and efficient, even under higher pH conditions.

39

40

41 **Keywords:** Anionic surfactants, Dissolved Organic Matter, Dodecylbenzenesulfonate, High
42 pH, UV-spectrometry, UV-vis

43 INTRODUCTION

44 Global industrialization and population growth have enhanced the use of large quantities of
45 surfactants in domestic and commercial sectors (Park and Bielefeldt, 2003; Li et al., 2005).
46 Recently, there has been much environmental research on the threats of surfactant-based
47 synthetic organic pollutants in soil–water systems (Wolf & Feijtel, 1998; Cao et al., 2008;
48 Rodriguez-Escales et al., 2012). Dodecylbenzenesulfonate (DBS), a common anionic
49 surfactant, is a vital component in most of the currently available cleaning agents (e.g., soap,
50 detergent, and shampoo) for personal use and industrial applications (Myers, 2005; Thiele and
51 Nollet, 2007; Zoller, 2005; Cirelli et al., 2010; Hampel et al., 2012). Consequently, DBS is
52 extensively used in various commercial production systems and household cleaning activities
53 in bath soaps and detergents (Fachini et al., 2007; He et al., 1991; Inoue et al., 1978). Dispersion,
54 emulsification, flocculation, flotation, foaming, and wettability are the major applications of
55 DBS in industrial outlets (Myers, 2005). From a different context, DBS is one of the most
56 widely spread pollutants found in every environmental setting, including untreated industrial
57 effluent, sewage sludge, and solid waste (McAvoy et al., 1994; Sablayrolles et al., 2009).

58 Dissolved organic matter (DOM) is the largest active pool of the terrestrial carbon cycle
59 (Kalbitz et al., 2000), which influences the decomposition, distribution, and circulation of
60 numerous organic toxicants, such as soil pollutants, at the soil–soil solution interface (Chen et
61 al., 2010; Huo et al., 2008; Jiang et al., 2008; Ma et al., 2001; Song et al., 2007). Generally,
62 DOM comprises polymer-like substances and a large quantity of various organic compounds,
63 indicating a broad range of molecular sizes and properties that typically depend on the
64 extraction procedure (Tan, 2014; Zsolnay, 2003; Bolan et al., 2011). Humic substances
65 comprise approximately 50 % to 75 % of the total organic matter in soil and, by extension, in
66 DOM (Grinhut et al., 2011). Humic substances are a general class of biogenic, heterogeneous,
67 and refractory organic compounds that occur in all terrestrial and aquatic environments

68 (Karavanova, 2013; Pettit, 2008; Thurman, 1985; Wetzel, 1983). Humic substances are usually
69 categorized into three main groups, namely humic acid, fulvic acid, and humin, depending on
70 their solubility in aqueous media (Stevenson, 1994; Fenchel et al., 2012; Kumada, 1965; Pettit,
71 2008). Fulvic acids have a wide pH range of solubility, while humic acids are well-soluble,
72 except at low pH. In contrast to those two components, humin is the most insoluble in the
73 normal pH range. Such poor solubility is mainly due to its close association with inorganic
74 colloids, especially swelling clays and iron compounds (Ishiguro and Koopal, 2016; Cloos et
75 al., 1981; Rice, 2001). The dark yellowish-brown to black color of DOM in an aqueous solution
76 is because of these three principal components, and the opacity or transparency of the DOM
77 solution depends on the quantity of dissolved humic substances and their ratio in that solution
78 (Zhao et al., 2008).

79 Globally, billions of liters of DBS are released daily into different water bodies, such as
80 canals, lakes, and rivers, from various cleaning and industrial activities (Texter, 1999; Fachini
81 et al., 2007). According to a study by Qv and Jiang (2013), DBS exerts lethal effects on algae,
82 and it also has a range of severely adverse effects on the survival of micro- and macro-
83 organisms such as bacteria, phytoplankton, zooplankton, invertebrates, and numerous higher
84 plants, which significantly threatens ecosystems (Sablayrolles et al., 2009; Kloepper-Sams et
85 al., 1996). The tremendous amount of DBS directly discharged into the environment increases
86 the pollutant load in the soil–water system and is also adsorbed onto the soil (Ahmed and
87 Ishiguro, 2015). Untreated surfactant-contaminated irrigation water is extensively used in rural
88 and undeveloped areas. This type of malpractice can adversely affect the physicochemical and
89 biological properties of soil (Dai et al., 2001). Considering the above-mentioned concerns, an
90 accurate, simple, rapid and low-cost measurement method to identify DBS from environmental
91 solutions could be a vital aid to developing countries and nations.

92 Hossain and Ishiguro (2021) stated that the benzene group compounds of DOM might
93 absorb ultraviolet (UV) light and be responsible for the excess quantities of DBS that are falsely
94 measured by conventional UV spectrophotometry from a DBS-DOM complex solution.
95 Therefore, they proposed a spectrometric method to precisely estimate DBS concentrations in
96 aqueous solution at pH 5.0 and 6.0 that has a considerable quantity of DOM, using the UV and
97 (UV visible) UV-vis spectra. The conjugated double-bond systems of the benzene ring in DBS
98 and DOM were measured by their proposed method using a 222.5 nm UV spectrum together
99 with the opacity of the DOM solution using a 400 nm UV-vis spectrum with a
100 spectrophotometer to eliminate the influence of DOM from the measurement. However,
101 molecular-scale spectroscopy and spectromicroscopy of the macromolecular structure have
102 confirmed the conformation of humic substances under alkaline ($\text{pH} \geq 9.5$) conditions, which
103 may alter the absorbance characteristics of DOM at higher pH values (Chen and Schnitzer,
104 1976; Ghosh and Schnitzer, 1980). Therefore, it is assumed that the effect of NaOH induced
105 elevated pH condition exhibits the influence of DOM on the absorbance characteristics of DBS-
106 DOM complex solution, which challenges the validity of the photometric method proposed by
107 Hossain and Ishiguro (2021) under such high pH conditions.

108 This study aimed to evaluate the accuracy of the measurement of dodecylbenzenesulfonate
109 (DBS) from a DBS-DOM complex solution, under lower and higher pH conditions, using UV
110 and UV-vis spectrometry. Because a higher pH of around 12 is sometimes used for the
111 evaluation of humic acid characteristics, such high pH conditions were compared in this study.

112

113 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

114 **Chemicals and apparatus**

115 The main reagent used in this study is linear sodium DBS, which is an anionic surfactant
116 (Holmberg, 2019) with a chemical composition of $\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{25}\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{SO}_3\text{Na}$ and a molecular weight

117 of 348.48 g/mol. The DBS was procured from Fujifilm Wako Pure Chemical Corporation
118 (Osaka, Japan) in white crystalline powder form, with a purity of approximately 99+ %.
119 Sodium hydroxide (NaOH) and sodium chloride (NaCl), with a purity of 98+ %, were also
120 procured from Fujifilm Wako Pure Chemical Corporation. A Shimadzu UV-1280 UV/UV-vis
121 spectrophotometer was used as the primary instrument in this study.

122

123 **Extraction of DOM solution**

124 Dissolved solids (DS) were extracted from a suspension prepared with a highly humic non-
125 allophanic Andosol from the A-horizon of the Daisen grazing ground in Tottori Prefecture,
126 Japan. The DS were used as an index of DOM throughout this study. Schaetzl and Anderson
127 (2005) stated that Andosols have a thick dark A-horizon with a high organic matter content.
128 The total organic carbon content of the studied soil was measured as 13.8 %. Other
129 physicochemical characteristics of the soil have already been reported by Ahmed and Ishiguro
130 (2015). DOM extraction was carried out in the form of DS using two different concentrations
131 of NaCl solution. The detailed procedure for DOM extraction was described by Hossain and
132 Ishiguro (2021), which is similar to the salt extraction method of DOM described by Kalbitz et
133 al. (2007). Briefly, DS was extracted with 1 mmol/L NaCl (pH 6.0) and 100 mmol/L NaCl (pH
134 5.0) electrolyte solutions, using a soil and electrolyte solution ratio of 1:15 (w/v, dry weight
135 basis). The product was centrifuged and finally filtered with No.6 filter paper.

136

137 **Quantification of DS**

138 A 200 mL measure of the previously extracted DS solution from all eight different stored
139 supernatants was oven-dried at 60 °C for 4 days (Hansen et al., 2018; Moody, 2020). The DS
140 concentration was calculated by deducting the quantity of the dried NaCl salt. The DS

141 concentration was measured as an indicator of DOM in the solution, as it is expected to be in
142 proportion to DOM.

143

144 **Preparation of DBS–DOM complex solution**

145 The DBS–DOM complex solutions were prepared by adding the three different concentrations
146 of DBS solutions to the previously extracted DOM solution as a solvent, with eight different
147 concentrations of DS and 1 mmol NaCl/L at pH 6.0 or 100 mmol NaCl/L at pH 5.0. Triplicates
148 of 0, 50, and 500 μmol DBS/L DBS–DOM complex solutions were prepared using the
149 previously extracted and stored DS solution. A 1/100 volume of 10 w/v % NaOH was added
150 to the same number of DBS triplicates of 1 mmol/L and 100 mmol/L NaCl solutions to obtain
151 the higher pH condition (pH 12.5) of the DBS–DOM complex solutions. Hossain and Ishiguro
152 (2021) referred to such a solution as the DBS–DOM complex solution because the absorbance
153 characteristics of DBS and DOM overlap within it. DBS triplicates with no DOM were also
154 prepared together with DOM-free DBS standard solutions to obtain the DBS standard curve.

155 As the original DBS–DOM complex solutions were diluted 10 times before the
156 spectroscopic measurements, the NaCl concentrations were 0.1, and 10 mmol/L and the DBS
157 concentrations were 0, 5, and 50 μmol /L. The measured pH values of the diluted triplicates
158 were 5.5, 6.5, and 12.5 for pH 5.0, 6.0, and 12.5, respectively.

159

160 **Spectrometric measurement of absorbances using the UV and UV-vis spectra**

161 UV spectrometric measurements of DBS are usually conducted using a wavelength of around
162 ~ 223 nm because of the maximum detection capability in that spectrum range (Torn et al.,
163 2003; Yu et al., 2019). Therefore, a 222.5 nm light spectrum was used to measure the DBS
164 within the UV range in this study. However, 400 nm is the lowermost wavelength of the visible
165 light (400–780 nm) spectra (Lambert et al., 2014). Kumada (1965) and Sorouradin et al. (1993)

166 stated that the absorbance of humic acid is highest at the 400 nm visible light spectrum when
167 using spectrometry. We employed this 400 nm UV-vis spectrum to evaluate the opacity of the
168 DBS–DOM complex solution as an indicator of the presence of DOM. The DBS solution of
169 previously prepared triplicates was diluted 10 times with distilled water and the absorbance
170 was measured using a Shimadzu UV-1280 spectrophotometer at the 400 nm UV-vis and 222.5
171 nm UV light spectra.

172 Absorbance measures for the 10 times-diluted DOM triplicates without DBS and also the
173 similarly diluted DBS triplicates without DOM, both in lower pH (5.5 and 6.5) and higher pH
174 (12.5) conditions, were recorded under the 222.5 nm UV and 400 nm UV-vis spectra.

175

176 **Calculation of DBS concentrations**

177 To evaluate the influence of higher pH conditions of the DBS–DOM complex solution on the
178 UV spectroscopic measurement of DBS, we compared the both conventionally measured and
179 precisely calculated DBS concentrations of 10 times-diluted triplicates of DBS–DOM complex
180 solution with 0, 5, and 50 $\mu\text{mol DBS/L}$, at both higher (12.5) and lower (5.5 and 6.5) pH
181 conditions. The conventionally measured DBS concentration was directly determined using the
182 standard curve prepared in the X to Y concentration range. This standard curve was obtained
183 from the 222.5 nm UV absorbances of DOM-free DBS standard solutions having different
184 concentrations. Equation (2) is the expression of this relationship.

185 The computation of accurately calculated DBS concentration was achieved following the
186 previously proposed precise DBS estimation method of Hossain and Ishiguro (2021). Briefly,
187 they found that the absorbances measured at 222.5 nm UV and 400 nm UV-vis spectra
188 exhibited linear increases with a rise in the DS concentration. Therefore, the elimination of
189 DOM influence from the DBS-DOM complex solution was accomplished using a mathematical

190 model developed from the linear regression between the absorbances under 400 nm and 222.5
191 nm spectra.

$$192 \quad y(\text{DOM})=Ax(\text{DOM})+B \quad (1)$$

193 where, $y(\text{DOM})$ was the 222.5 nm absorbance, $x(\text{DOM})$ was the 400 nm absorbance for the
194 DBS-free DOM solution. The standard curve of absorbance at 222.5 nm versus the DOM-free
195 DBS concentration was obtained by using the following equation:

$$196 \quad C=ay(\text{DBS})+b \quad (2)$$

197 where, C was the DBS concentration, $y(\text{DBS})$ was the 222.5 nm absorbance of DOM-free DBS
198 solution. Constants A , B , a , and b were obtained from standard curves. The 222.5 nm
199 absorbance for the DBS-DOM complex solution, $y(\text{DBS}+\text{DOM})$, was formulated as follows:

$$200 \quad y(\text{DBS}+\text{DOM}) = y(\text{DBS}) +y(\text{DOM}) \quad (3)$$

201 As the DBS does not influence the 400 nm absorbance, they also found the relations as below:

$$202 \quad x(\text{DBS}+\text{DOM}) = x(\text{DOM}) \quad (4)$$

203 Where $x(\text{DBS}+\text{DOM})$ was the 400 nm absorbance of the DBS–DOM complex solution. Finally,
204 DOM influence was eliminated, and the precisely calculated DBS concentration (C) was
205 obtained by placing the values of equations (1), (3), and (4) in equations (2) like below (Hossain
206 and Ishiguro, 2021).

$$207 \quad C=a[y(\text{DBS}+\text{DOM})-\{Ax(\text{DBS}+\text{DOM})+B\}]+b$$

208

209 **RESULTS**

210 **Effects of DBS and DOM on UV absorbance at 222.5 nm under different pH conditions**

211 The influence of DS and DBS on the absorbance at 222.5 nm for different pH and NaCl
212 conditions is plotted in Figure 1. The absorbance at the 222.5 nm UV spectrum increased in
213 proportion to the concentrations of DS and DBS, as indicated by Hossain and Ishiguro (2021).

214 The slopes of the linear lines were almost similar among the different DBS concentrations for

215 a specific NaCl concentration and pH condition (Fig. 1). The slopes of the linear lines ranged
216 from 0.017 to 0.018 and from 0.015 to 0.017 for pH 6.5 and pH 12.5, respectively, with 0.1
217 mmol/L NaCl (Fig. 1a). A slight decrease in the slope was observed with the decrease in DBS
218 concentration in the higher pH solution. For the solution with 10 mmol/L NaCl, the slopes
219 varied from 0.0039 to 0.0045 and from 0.0038 to 0.0045 for pH 5.5 and pH 12.5, respectively
220 (Fig. 1b). No differences were observed between the slopes for the different pH values (Table
221 1).

222 At 0.1 mmol/L NaCl, 0 mg/L DS, and 0 or 5 mmol/L DBS, the absorbance increased from
223 approximately 0.07 to 0.08 as the pH increased. The absorbance difference between solutions
224 of different pH values decreased with increasing DS and DBS concentrations (Fig. 1a).
225 Nevertheless, at 10 mM NaCl, the absorbance was always approximately 0.14 larger in
226 conditions of higher pH. No significant influence of DS and DBS concentration was observed
227 on the UV absorbances of 222.5 nm spectrum (Fig. 1b). The differences in the DBS–DOM
228 complex solution at higher and lower pH conditions remained almost identical for the different
229 concentrations of DS.

230

231 **Effect of DBS and DOM on the UV-vis absorbance at 400 nm under different pH** 232 **conditions**

233 The influence of DS and DBS on absorbance at 400 nm under different pH and NaCl conditions
234 is plotted in Figure 2. The absorbance at 400 nm in the UV-vis spectrum increased in proportion
235 to the concentration of DS. However, as indicated by Hossain and Ishiguro (2021), DBS did
236 not affect the absorbance of this spectrum. The slopes of the linear lines (DS concentration vs.
237 400 nm absorption) of certain NaCl solutions and pH values were similar to those obtained
238 with the different concentrations of DBS solutions, except for a divergence at the higher pH
239 condition with the 0.1 mmol/L NaCl solution. The slopes of the 0.1 mmol/L NaCl solution

240 ranged from 0.0025 to 0.0028 at pH 12.5 and 0.0032 at pH 6.5 (Table 1 and Fig. 2a). For the
241 10 mmol/L NaCl solution, the slopes varied from 0.00020 to 0.00022 at pH 12.5 and 0.00055
242 to 0.00057 at pH 5.5 ((Table 1 and Fig. 2b). The slopes decreased as the pH increased. At 0
243 mg/L DS, the difference in absorbance between the different pH values was either not noticed
244 or was small and within experimental error. The results indicate that the influence of pH
245 increased with increasing DS concentration. At 0.1 mM NaCl and pH 12.5, the slope increased
246 as the DBS concentration increased. However, the influence of DBS on the slope was negligible
247 under other solution conditions. Therefore, only in the 0.1 mM NaCl solution did the difference
248 in absorbance at 400 nm between the different pH solutions become smaller when the DBS
249 concentration increased.

250

251 **Comparison between the two spectra in respect to solution pH**

252 The absorbances of the DBS–DOM solutions for the lower and higher pH solutions at 222.5
253 UV and 400 nm UV-vis spectra are shown in Figure 3. A positive linear relationship between
254 both spectra (400 nm vs. 222.5 nm absorbances) was observed regardless of NaCl and DBS
255 concentrations or solution pH conditions in the solutions studied. The slope of the linear line
256 was smaller in the case of the 10 mmol/L NaCl background condition compared with that in
257 0.1 mmol/L NaCl (Fig. 3b). The results at pH 12.5 were similar to those obtained by Hossain
258 and Ishiguro (2021), although the relationship was slightly influenced by pH.

259 The 222.5 nm absorbance values at a higher pH (12.5) for the solutions with both 0.1
260 mmol/L and 10 mmol/L NaCl and lower DS concentration were larger than those at a lower
261 pH. The 400 nm absorbance values at a higher pH were smaller than those at a lower pH, except
262 for those of the 0 mg/L DS solution, as mentioned in the previous section. In light of these
263 trends, the difference between the two regression lines for the absorbances at lower and higher
264 pH conditions is clearly observed in Figure 3.

265 Identical slopes were noted for the specific NaCl concentration and pH condition of the
266 solution in the regression study (Fig. 3). The slopes of the linear lines of 0.1 mmol/L NaCl
267 solutions ranged from 5.5 to 5.6 at pH 6.5 and 6.0 to 6.2 at pH 12.5 (Fig. 3a). For the solution
268 with a 10 mmol/L NaCl concentration, the slopes of the regression lines varied from 7.2 to 7.7
269 at pH 5.5 and from 16 to 18 at pH 12.5 (Fig. 3b). The slopes at higher pH values were larger
270 than those at lower pH values (Table 1).

271 The coefficients of determination from the regression analyses between the DS
272 concentration and the absorbances, and between the two absorbances, are shown in Table 1.
273 The coefficients between the absorbances were relatively larger than those between the DS
274 concentration and absorbances. The linear regression line became closer to the measured data
275 when the relation was converted to 400 nm vs. 222.5 nm, compared to that of the DS
276 concentration vs. absorbance, as observed in Figures 1 to 3.

277

278 **Validation of the preciseness of the spectrometric estimation of DBS under conditions of** 279 **higher pH**

280 The relationship between the measured and actual added concentrations of DBS for the
281 different preparations of DBS–DOM complex solutions at pH 12.5, before the elimination of
282 DS influence, is plotted in Figure 4. It was revealed that the spectroscopically measured
283 concentration of DBS, using the 222.5 nm UV spectrum, was the highest for the 0.1 mmol/L
284 NaCl solution, with the maximum (34.7 mg/L) concentration of DS observed at this higher pH
285 condition (Fig. 4a). The measured DBS concentration gradually moved upward from the line
286 of the standard DBS curve with increasing DS concentration in the studied solutions. Under 10
287 mmol/L NaCl, the measured concentration of DBS also shifted upward from the standard DBS
288 curve for the different DBS–DOM complex solutions containing different quantities of DS (Fig.
289 4b). However, the deviation of the measured DBS concentrations from the true DBS

290 concentration line was smaller under 10 mmol/L NaCl. This is because the DS concentrations
291 of these DBS–DOM complex solutions were far smaller than those of the 0.1 mmol/L NaCl
292 condition due to the smaller DOM concentration.

293 To eliminate the influence of DOM (Fig. 4) on the accurate measurement of DBS from the
294 solutions with a considerable quantity of DOM, we applied the photometric method proposed
295 by Hossain and Ishiguro (2021) for the precise estimation of DBS. The calculated DBS
296 concentration values were almost on the line of the standard DBS curve, as shown in Figure 5.

297

298 **DISCUSSIONS**

299 The absorbance characteristics of the DBS–DOM complex solution at the 222.5 nm UV and
300 400 nm UV-vis spectra responded differently in higher pH (12.5) than in lower pH (5.5 and
301 6.5) conditions. Nevertheless, we succeeded in obtaining the precise DBS concentration, while
302 sidestepping the influence of DOM in aqueous solutions containing 0.1 mmol/L and 10 mmol/L
303 NaCl at pH 12.5, because the elimination of the influence of DOM on the 222.5 nm UV
304 spectrum was accomplished using the DOM solutions with the same pH.

305 The measured 222.5 nm UV absorbances under high pH were found to be approximately
306 0.14 larger for all solutions of 10 mmol/L NaCl (Fig. 1b). They were also approximately 0.007
307 to 0.008 larger for the solutions of 0.1 mmol/L NaCl, 0 mg/L DS, and 0 or 5 mmol/L DBS (Fig.
308 1a) when compared with those under low pH. This increase was larger for the solutions with
309 10 mmol/L NaCl, indicating that NaCl influenced the 222.5 nm UV absorbance. However, for
310 the solutions of 0.1 mmol/L NaCl, the difference in the absorbance at 222.5 nm between the
311 different pH values decreased with increasing DBS and DS concentrations (Fig. 1a). The
312 influence of the electrolyte was restricted by the addition of DOM and DBS to solutions
313 containing a smaller concentration of NaCl. Because of this restriction by DBS, an increase in
314 the slope of the regression line, in DS concentration vs. 222.5 nm absorbance, was observed

315 with the increase in DBS concentration under high pH (Fig. 1a). For 10 mmol/L NaCl solution,
316 the 222.5 nm absorbance was always larger under the higher pH, and no significant influence
317 of DBS and DS was noticed with the change in pH (Fig. 1b). Such stronger pH influence was
318 considered to be due to the higher NaCl concentration and the lower DS concentration in the
319 10 mmol/L NaCl solution. Similar findings for higher NaCl concentration with a lower DOM
320 quantity have been reported by Noto & Mecozzi (1997).

321 However, for the 0 mg/L DS solution, no significant influence of pH on the absorbance in
322 the 400 nm UV-vis spectrum was observed (Fig. 2). The DS-free electrolyte solution of NaCl
323 did not affect the 400 nm UV-vis absorbance because the 0 mg/L DS solution was transparent.
324 However, the difference between the absorbance at different pH values increased with
325 increasing DOM concentration (Fig. 2). It is presumed that the turbidity of the solution
326 decreased under higher pH conditions through the conformational change in DOM, which
327 ultimately decreased the UV-vis absorbance at 400 nm. Under elevated pH, the DOM swelled
328 due to the increase in electrostatic repulsive force among the negative electric charges on its
329 surface, which increased with the increase in pH. The swelling of DOM enhanced the
330 expansion of humic and fulvic coils, which ultimately increased the transparency of the DBS–
331 DOM solution and caused a decrease in UV-vis absorbance. Klucáková (2018), de Melo et al.
332 (2016), and Ghosh and Schnitzer (1980) stated that the phenolic and carboxylic groups of
333 humic acid and fulvic acid are deprotonated in alkaline conditions, and the repulsion of these
334 negatively charged groups cause the expansion of humic and fulvic coils and the liberation of
335 small particles from them. This phenomenon may be responsible for the above-mentioned
336 changes in the absorbance characteristics of the studied DBS–DOM complex solutions.

337 The pH-induced differences in the 400 nm absorbance between the same DS values
338 decreased as the DBS concentration increased in the DBS–DOM solution with 0.1 mmol/L
339 NaCl (Fig. 2a). DBS is thought to interact with DOM and affect the transparency of the solution.

340 When DBS is added, DBS might decrease the space where DOM can move freely due to the
341 negative charge of DBS. This type of restriction may decrease the transparency and increase
342 the absorbance of the DBS–DOM solution under spectrometry. Therefore, the difference
343 between the different pH values with similar DS concentrations became smaller. However, the
344 combined influence of pH and added DBS concentration was not clear for the DBS–DOM
345 complex solution with 10 mmol/L NaCl. This obscurity is most likely because the DOM
346 concentration was too small to interact with DBS and the absorbance difference was negligible.

347 The comparison between the 222.5 nm UV and 400 nm UV-vis spectra showed a decent
348 linear relationship for the DBS–DOM complex solution with different concentrations of DS,
349 DBS, and electrolytes under the lower (5.5 and 6.5) and higher (12.5) pH conditions (Fig. 3).
350 The clear difference in the influence of pH on both absorbances is exhibited in Figure 3, where
351 it can be observed that the absorbance at 222.5 nm increased and the absorbance at 400 nm
352 decreased with increasing pH. This is thought to have occurred due to the previously mentioned
353 influences of NaCl and structural changes in DOM. This indicates that the absorbance at 400
354 nm in the UV-vis spectrum more accurately represents the DOM species in the solution rather
355 than the DS concentration. Therefore, the use of a linear regression model (Hossain and
356 Ishiguro 2021) to remove the influence of DOM should also be equally efficient under the
357 higher pH conditions of the DBS–DOM complex solution. Consequently, as shown in Figures
358 5 and 6, the preciseness of the measurement of DBS from the DBS–DOM complex solution
359 using the newly developed UV spectrometric method, under both lower and higher pH
360 conditions, was deemed suitable for practical applications.

361

362 **CONCLUSION**

363 The efficacy of the photometric method for the precise estimation of DBS at higher pH
364 conditions was assessed in this study through comparisons with the already recognized use of
365 this method under low pH conditions. Because the conformational change in DOM occurred
366 under alkaline conditions, validating this newly developed method under conditions of higher
367 pH is a timely accomplishment. Although the conformational change in DOM occurred under
368 a strong alkaline condition, which further influenced the absorbance characteristics of the
369 DBS–DOM aqueous solution, the effect of DOM observed in conventional UV spectrometry
370 was successfully avoided using this new approach. Hence, the efficacy of the studied
371 photometric method was evidenced even under strong alkaline conditions for the DBS–DOM
372 complex solution with a substantial quantity of DOM. Future research opportunities have been
373 opened for this analysis to aid in exploring the mechanism of combined interaction within the
374 DBS–DOM–NaOH solution at the ultra-microscopic and molecular levels. Additional
375 justification for this reagent-less, inexpensive, quick, and environmentally friendly method can
376 be further validated using various DBS–DOM complex solutions with a moderately alkaline
377 pH (~8.0) range.

378 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

379 This research was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion Science (JSPS), Grants-
380 in-Aid for Scientific Research (KAKENHI), with grant number JP18H03963. We would like
381 to thank Editage (www.editage.com) for English language editing.

382

383 **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

384 **Md. Imam Hossain:** Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Validation, Writing -
385 original draft, Writing-review & editing.

386 **Munehide Ishiguro:** Methodology, Investigation, Validation, Writing-review & editing,
387 Supervision, Funding acquisition.

388 Both authors contributed to and approved the final draft of the manuscript.

389

390 **ETHICS STATEMENT**

391 No human or animal specimen was used in the experimentation of this research and institutional
392 ethical approval was not required.

393 **REFERENCES**

- 394 Ahmed F, & Ishiguro M. Effect of adsorption site potential on adsorption of sodium
395 dodecylbenzenesulfonate in highly humic volcanic ash soil. *Soil Science and Plant*
396 *Nutrition*. 2015; 61(3):432–439. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.2014.1003144>
- 397 Bolan NS, Adriano DC, Kunhikrishnan A, James T, McDowell R, & Senesi N. Chapter One -
398 Dissolved Organic Matter: Biogeochemistry, Dynamics, and Environmental
399 Significance in Soils. In: Sparks DL (Ed.), editors. *Advances in Agronomy*. London:
400 Academic Press. 2011. P. 1–75. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-385531-2.00001-3>
- 401 Cao J, Guo H, Zhu HM, Jiang L, & Yang H. Effects of SOM, surfactant and pH on the sorption-
402 desorption and mobility of prometryne in soils. *Chemosphere*. 2008; 70(11):2127–2134.
403 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2007.08.062>
- 404 Chen G, Lin C, Chen L, & Yang H. Effect of size-fractionation dissolved organic matter on the
405 mobility of prometryne in soil. *Chemosphere*. 2010; 79(11):1046–1055.
406 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2010.03.038>
- 407 Chen Y, & Schnitzer M. Scanning Electron Microscopy of a Humic Acid and of a Fulvic Acid
408 and its Metal and Clay Complexes. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*. 1976; 40
409 (5):682–686. <https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1976.03615995004000050024x>
- 410 Cirelli AF, Ojeda C, Castro MJL, & Salgot M. Surfactants in Sludge-Amended Agricultural
411 Soils: A Review. In: Lichtfouse E, editors. *Organic Farming, Pest Control and*
412 *Remediation of Soil Pollutants*. Dordrecht: Springer; 2010. p. 227–251.
413 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-9654-9_12
- 414 Cloos P, Badot C, & Herbillon A. Interlayer formation of humin in smectites. *Nature*. 1981;
415 289:391–393. <https://doi.org/10.1038/289391a0>
- 416 Dai S, Liu G, Qian Y, & Cheng X. The sorption behavior of complex pollution system
417 composed of aldicarb and surfactant—SDBS. *Water Research*. 2001; 35(9):2286–2290.

418 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354\(00\)00491-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(00)00491-7)

419 de Melo BAG, Motta FL, & Santana MHA. Humic acids: Structural properties and multiple
420 functionalities for novel technological developments. *Materials Science and*
421 *Engineering: C*. 2016; 62:967–974. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2015.12.001>

422 Fachini A, Mendes MA, Joekes I, & Eberlin MN. Oxidation of Sodium
423 Dodecylbenzenesulfonate with Chrysotile: On-line Monitoring by Membrane
424 Introduction Mass Spectrometry. *Journal of Surfactants and Detergents*. 2007;
425 10(4):207–210. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11743-007-1032-8>

426 Fenchel T, King GM, & Blackburn TH. Chapter 3 - Degradation of Organic Polymers and
427 Hydrocarbons. In: Fenchel T, King GM, & Blackburn TH, editors. *Bacterial*
428 *Biogeochemistry* (Third Edition). Boston: Academic Press; 2012. p. 49–57.
429 <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-415836-8.00003-7>

430 Ghosh K, & Schnitzer M. Macromolecular Structures of Humic Substances. *Soil Science*.
431 1980; 129(5):266–276.

432 Grinhut T, Hertkorn N, Schmitt-Kopplin P, Hadar Y, & Chen Y. Mechanisms of Humic Acids
433 Degradation by White Rot Fungi Explored Using ¹H NMR Spectroscopy and FTICR
434 Mass Spectrometry. *Environmental Science & Technology*. 2011; 45(7):2748–2754.
435 <https://doi.org/10.1021/es1036139>

436 Hampel M, Mauffret A, Pazdro K, & Blasco J. Anionic surfactant linear alkylbenzene
437 sulfonates (LAS) in sediments from the Gulf of Gdańsk (southern Baltic Sea, Poland)
438 and its environmental implications. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*. 2012;
439 184(10):6013–6023. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-011-2399-6>

440 Hansen LD, Barros N, Transtrum MK, Rodríguez-Añón JA, Proupín J, Piñeiro V, Arias-
441 González A, & Gartzia N. Effect of extreme temperatures on soil: A calorimetric
442 approach. *Thermochimica Acta*. 2018; 670:128–135.

443 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tca.2018.10.010>

444 He WS, Wang RF, & Chen JJ. Erythrocyte Micronucleus of Tadpole (*Bufo bufo* Andrews) by
445 Synthetic Detergent Powder. *Acta Scientiae Circumstantiae*. 1991; 11(3):351–357.

446 Holmberg K. Surfactants. In: Elvers B, editor. *Ullmann's encyclopedia of industrial chemistry*.
447 Weinheim: Wiley-VCH; 2019. p. 1–56.
448 https://doi.org/10.1002/14356007.a25_747.pub2

449 Hossain MI, & Ishiguro M. Precise estimation of dodecylbenzenesulfonate in aqueous solution
450 containing dissolved organic matter extracted from soil using UV-spectrometry.
451 *European Journal of Soil Science*. 2021; 73(1):1-10. e13150.
452 <https://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.13150>

453 Huo S, Xi B, Yu H, He L, Fan S, & Liu H. Characteristics of dissolved organic matter (DOM)
454 in leachate with different landfill ages. *Journal of Environmental Sciences*. 2008;
455 20(4):492–498. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742\(08\)62085-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742(08)62085-9)

456 Inoue K, Kaneko K, & Yoshida M. Adsorption of dodecylbenzenesulfonates by soil colloids
457 and influence of soil colloids on their degradation. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*.
458 1978; 24(1):91–102. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.1978.10433082>

459 Ishiguro M, & Koopal LK. Surfactant adsorption to soil components and soils. *Advances in*
460 *Colloid and Interface Science*. 2016; 231:59–102.
461 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cis.2016.01.006>

462 Jiang L, Huang J, Liang L, Zheng PY, & Yang H. Mobility of Prometryne in Soil As Affected
463 by Dissolved Organic Matter. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. 2008;
464 56(24):11933–11940. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf8023134>

465 Kalbitz K, Angers D, Kaiser K, & Chantigny M. Extraction and Characterization of Dissolved
466 Organic Matter. In: Carter M & Gregorich E, editors. *Soil Sampling and Methods of*
467 *Analysis* (2nd ed.). Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2007. p. 617–634.

468 <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781420005271>

469 Kalbitz K, Solinger S, Park JH, Michalzik B, & Matzner E. Controls on the dynamics of
470 dissolved organic matter in soils: A review. *Soil Science*. 2000; 165(4):277–304.

471 Karavanova EI. Dissolved organic matter: Fractional composition and sorbability by the soil
472 solid phase (Review of literature). *Eurasian Soil Science*. 2013; 46(8): 833–844.
473 <https://doi.org/10.1134/S1064229313080048>

474 Kloepper-Sams P, Torfs F, Feijtel T, & Gooch J. Effects assessments for surfactants in sludge-
475 amended soils: A literature review and perspectives for terrestrial risk assessment.
476 *Science of The Total Environment*, 1996; 185(1):171–185.
477 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0048-9697\(95\)05050-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0048-9697(95)05050-7)

478 Klučáková M. Size and Charge Evaluation of Standard Humic and Fulvic Acids as Crucial
479 Factors to Determine Their Environmental Behavior and Impact. *Frontiers in Chemistry*.
480 2018; 6:1-8. <https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fchem.2018.00235>

481 Kumada K. Studies on the colour of humic acids. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*. 1965;
482 11(4):11–16. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.1965.10433805>

483 Lambert JB, Shurvell HF, Lightner DA, & Cooks RG. *Organic Structural Spectroscopy*. 2nd
484 ed. Essex, UK: Pearson Education Limited; 2014

485 Li Y, Zhang P, Dong F-L, Cao X-L, Song X-W, & Cui X-H. The array and interfacial activity
486 of sodium dodecyl benzene sulfonate and sodium oleate at the oil/water interface.
487 *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*. 2005; 290(1):275–280.
488 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2005.04.035>

489 Ma H, Allen HE, & Yin Y. Characterization of isolated fractions of dissolved organic matter
490 from natural waters and a wastewater effluent. *Water Research*. 2001; 35(4):985–996.
491 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354\(00\)00350-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(00)00350-X)

492 McAvoy DC, White CE, Moore BL, & Rapaport RA. Chemical fate and transport in a domestic
493 septic system: Sorption and transport of anionic and cationic surfactants. *Environmental*
494 *Toxicology and Chemistry*. 1994; 13(2):213–221.
495 <https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620130205>

496 Moody CS. A comparison of methods for the extraction of dissolved organic matter from
497 freshwaters. *Water Research*. 2020; 184:116114.
498 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116114>

499 Myers D. *Surfactant Science and Technology*. 3rd ed. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons; 2005.
500 <https://doi.org/10.1002/047174607X>

501 Noto V Di, & Mecozzi M. Determination of Seawater Salinity by Ultraviolet Spectroscopic
502 Measurements. *Applied Spectroscopy*. 1997; 51(9):1294–1302.
503 <https://opg.optica.org/as/abstract.cfm?uri=as-51-9-1294>

504 Park S-K, & Bielefeldt AR. Equilibrium partitioning of a non-ionic surfactant and
505 pentachlorophenol between water and a non-aqueous phase liquid. *Water Research*.
506 2003; 37(14):3412–3420. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354\(03\)00237-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(03)00237-9)

507 Pettit R. Organic matter, Humus, Humate, Humic acid, Fulvic acid. [https://humates.com/wp-](https://humates.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/ORGANICMATTERPettit.pdf)
508 [content/uploads/2020/04/ORGANICMATTERPettit.pdf](https://humates.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/ORGANICMATTERPettit.pdf)

509 Qv XY, & Jiang JG. Toxicity evaluation of two typical surfactants to *Dunaliella bardawil*, an
510 environmentally tolerant alga. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*. 2013;
511 32(2):426–433. <https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.2073>

512 Rice JA. Humin. *Soil Sci*. 2001; 166:848-857.
513 <https://journals.lww.com/soilsci/Abstract/2001/11000/HUMIN.9.aspx>

514 Rodriguez-Escales P, Sayara T, Vicent T, & Folch A. Influence of soil granulometry on pyrene
515 desorption in groundwater using surfactants. *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution*. 2012;
516 223(1):125–133. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-011-0844-3>

517 Sablayrolles C, Montréjaud-Vignoles M, Silvestre J, & Treilhou M. Trace Determination of
518 Linear Alkylbenzene Sulfonates: Application in Artificially Polluted Soil—Carrots
519 System. *International Journal of Analytical Chemistry*. 2009; 2009:1-7.
520 <https://doi.org/10.1155/2009/404836>

521 Schaetzl RJ, & Anderson S. *Soils-Genesis and Geomorphology*. 1st ed. Cambridge: Cambridge
522 University Press; 2005. <http://www.cambridge.org/9780521812016>

523 Song NH, Yin X Le, Chen GF, & Yang H. Biological responses of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*)
524 plants to the herbicide chlorotoluron in soils. *Chemosphere*. 2007; 68(9):1779–1787.
525 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2007.03.023>

526 Sorouradin M-H, Hiraide M, & Kawaguchi H. Spectrophotometric Determination of Humic
527 Substances in Water by Sorption on XAD-2 Resin Followed by Desorption with
528 Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate. *Analytical Sciences*. 1993; 9(6):863–865.
529 <https://doi.org/10.2116/analsci.9.863>

530 Stevenson FJ. *Humus Chemistry: Genesis, Composition, Reactions*. 2nd ed. New York: Wiley;
531 1994

532 Tan KH. *Humic Matter in Soil and the Environment, Principles and Controversies*. 2nd ed.
533 Boca Raton: CRC Press; 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1201/b17037>

534 Texter J. Characterization of surfactants (Ch1). In: Lange KR. editor. *Surfactants - A Practical*
535 *Handbook*. 3rd ed. Munich: Hanser Gardner Publications; 1999. P. 1–68.

536 Thiele B, & Nollet LM. *Analysis of surfactants in samples from the aquatic environment*. 2nd
537 ed. Boca Raton: CRC Press; 2007 <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781420006315>

538 Thurman EM. *Organic geochemistry of natural waters*. 1st ed. The Netherlands: Kluwer
539 Academic; 1985

540 Torn LH, Keizer A de, Koopal LK, & Lyklema J. Mixed adsorption of poly(vinylpyrrolidone)
541 and sodium dodecylbenzenesulfonate on kaolinite. *Journal of Colloid and Interface*

542 Science. 2003; 260(2003):1-8. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021->
543 9797(03)00046-8

544 Wetzel RG. Organic carbon cycling and detritus. In: Wetzel RG, editor. *Limnology*. 2nd ed.
545 Philadelphia: Saunders College Publishing; 1983. p. 667–706.

546 Wolf W de, & Feijtel T. Terrestrial risk assessment for linear alkyl benzene sulfonate (LAS) in
547 sludge-amended soils. *Chemosphere*. 1998; 36(6):1319–1343.
548 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535\(97\)10021-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535(97)10021-2)

549 Yu J, Cui Y, Zhan H, Liu Y, Oinuma G, Yamauchi T, Mu Z, & Yang M. Degradation of SDBS
550 in water solutions using plasma in gas-liquid interface discharge: Performance,
551 byproduct formation and toxicity evaluation. *Chemosphere*, 2019; 234:471–477.
552 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.06.061>

553 Zhao L, Luo F, Wasikiewicz JM, Mitomo H, Nagasawa N, Yagi T, Tamada M, & Yoshii F.
554 Adsorption of humic acid from aqueous solution onto irradiation-crosslinked
555 carboxymethylchitosan. *Bioresource Technology*. 2008; 99(6):1911–1917.
556 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2007.03.030>

557 Zoller U. Handbook of Detergents, Part C: Analysis. In: Waldhoff H, Spilker R, editor.
558 Surfactant Science Series (Vol. 123). New York: Marcel Dekker; 2005. p. 1-93.
559 <https://doi.org/10.1021/ja0410340>

560 Zsolnay Á. Dissolved organic matter: artefacts, definitions, and functions. *Geoderma*. 2003;
561 113(3):187–209. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7061\(02\)00361-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7061(02)00361-0)
562
563
564

565 **Table 1:** The coefficient of determination (R^2) and slopes from the regression analyses between
 566 the dissolved solids (DS) concentration and the absorbances and between the absorbances for
 567 0.1 mmol NaCl/L and 10 mmol NaCl/L solutions having lower (pH 6.5 and pH 5.5) and higher
 568 pH (12.5) condition.

Regression between		0.1 mmol NaCl/L		10 mmol NaCl/L	
		pH 6.5	pH 12.5	pH 5.5	pH 12.5
DS conc. vs. Abs. at 222.5 nm	R^2	0.962–0.967	0.960–0.976	0.605–0.870	0.666–0.917
	Slope	0.017–0.018	0.015–0.017	0.0039–0.0045	0.0038–0.0045
DS conc. vs. Abs. at 400 nm	R^2	0.980–0.982	0.964–0.979	0.790–0.816	0.652–0.862
	Slope	0.0032	0.0025–0.0028	0.00055–0.00057	0.00020–0.00022
Abs. at 222.5 nm vs. Abs. at 400 nm	R^2	0.990–0.994	0.998–0.999	0.0776–0.981	0.747–0.926
	Slope	5.5–5.6	6.0–6.2	7.2–7.7	16–18

569 * R^2 and slope range (Min.–Max.)

570

FIGURE CAPTIONS

571

572

573 **Figure 1:** Effects of dissolved solids (DS) and dodecylbenzenesulfonate (DBS) concentrations
574 on the 222.5 nm spectrum at low and high pH condition for the **(a)** 0.1 mmol NaCl/L and **(b)**
575 10 mmol NaCl/L solutions.


576 **Figure 2:** Effects of dissolved solids (DS) and dodecylbenzenesulfonate (DBS) concentrations
577 on the 400 nm spectrum at low and high pH condition for the **(a)** 0.1 mmol NaCl/L and **(b)** 10
578 mmol NaCl/L solutions.

579 **Figure 3:** Relationships between the absorbance at 222.5 nm and 400 nm UV-vis spectra
580 measured with respect to the dodecylbenzenesulfonate (DBS) concentration at low and high
581 pH condition for the **(a)** 0.1 mmol NaCl/L and **(b)** 10 mmol NaCl/L solutions.

582 **Figure 4:** Relationship between the actual and measured dodecylbenzenesulfonate (DBS)
583 concentrations for DBS–DOM complex solutions **at pH 12.5** with **(a)** 0.1 mmol NaCl/L and
584 **(b)** 10 mmol NaCl/L before the elimination of the influence of dissolved solids (DS). The
585 dotted line is the standard DBS curve.

586 **Figure 5:** The calculated dodecylbenzenesulfonate (DBS) concentration compared to the
587 respective actual values for the DBS–DOM complex solutions **at pH 12.5** with **(a)** 0.1 mmol
588 NaCl/L and **(b)** 10 mmol NaCl/L after the elimination of the influence of dissolved solids (DS).
589 The dotted line is the standard DBS curve.

590




591

592

593

594




595

596 **Figure 1:** Effects of dissolved solids (DS) and dodecylbenzenesulfonate (DBS) concentrations

597 on the 222.5 nm spectrum at low and high pH condition for the (a) 0.1 mmol NaCl/L and (b)

598 10 mmol NaCl/L solutions.




599

600


601

602




603

604 **Figure 2:** Effects of dissolved solids (DS) and dodecylbenzenesulfonate (DBS) concentrations
 605 on the 400 nm spectrum at low and high pH condition for the (a) 0.1 mmol NaCl/L and (b) 10
 606 mmol NaCl/L solutions.





607
608
609
610




611

612 **Figure 3:** Relationships between the absorbance at 222.5 nm and 400 nm UV-vis spectra
613 measured with respect to the dodecylbenzenesulfonate (DBS) concentration at low and high
614 pH condition for the (a) 0.1 mmol NaCl/L and (b) 10 mmol NaCl/L solutions.




618 **Figure 4:** Relationship between the actual and measured dodecylbenzenesulfonate (DBS)
 619 concentrations for DBS–DOM complex solutions at pH 12.5 with **(a)** 0.1 mmol NaCl/L and
 620 **(b)** 10 mmol NaCl/L before the elimination of the influence of dissolved solids (DS). The
 621 dotted line is the standard DBS curve.



622

623



624

625 **Figure 5:** The calculated dodecylbenzenesulfonate (DBS) concentration compared to the
 626 respective actual values for the DBS–DOM complex solutions at pH 12.5 with (a) 0.1 mmol
 627 NaCl/L and (b) 10 mmol NaCl/L after the elimination of the influence of dissolved solids (DS).
 628 The dotted line is the standard DBS curve.