



Title	Impact of the fundamental use of surgical energy certification on surgeons' behavior and awareness of safe use of energy devices : a cross-sectional survey research
Author(s)	Kondo, Akihiro; Nishihara, Yuichi; Sato, Miho; Bilgic, Elif; Watanabe, Yusuke
Citation	Surgical endoscopy and other interventional techniques, 37(1), 241-247 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-022-09468-4">https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-022-09468-4</a>
Issue Date	2023-01-01
Doc URL	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/2115/91063">http://hdl.handle.net/2115/91063</a>
Rights	This version of the article has been accepted for publication, after peer review (when applicable) and is subject to Springer Nature 's AM terms of use, but is not the Version of Record and does not reflect post-acceptance improvements, or any corrections. The Version of Record is available online at: <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00464-022-09468-4">http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00464-022-09468-4</a>
Type	article (author version)
File Information	SEND-D-22-00143_R1.pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

1  
2  
3 **Impact of the Fundamental Use of Surgical Energy Certification on Surgeons'**

4  
5  
6 **Behavior and Awareness of Safe Use of Energy Devices: A Cross-Sectional Survey**

7  
8  
9 **Research**

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16 **Running head:** Surgical Safety Behavior and Awareness

17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22 Akihiro Kondo, MD, PhD<sup>1</sup>, Yuichi Nishihara, MD, PhD<sup>2</sup>, Miho Sato, BA<sup>3</sup>, Elif Bilgic,  
23  
24  
25 PhD<sup>4</sup>, Yusuke Watanabe, MD, PhD<sup>3, 5, 6, \*</sup>  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30

31  
32 <sup>1</sup> Department of Gastroenterological Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Kagawa University  
33  
34

35 <sup>2</sup> Department of Surgery, Shinmatsudo Central General Hospital  
36  
37

38 <sup>3</sup> Clinical Research and Medical Innovation Center, Institute of Health Science  
39  
40

41 Innovation for Medical Care, Hokkaido University Hospital  
42  
43

44 <sup>4</sup> Department of Experimental Surgery, McGill University  
45  
46

47 <sup>5</sup> Center for Medical Device Development, Institute of Health Science Innovation for  
48  
49

50  
51 Medical Care, Hokkaido University Hospital  
52  
53

54 <sup>6</sup> Department of Gastroenterological Surgery II, Hokkaido University Faculty of  
55  
56

57 Medicine  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

**\*Correspondence to:** Yusuke Watanabe, MD, PhD

Department of Gastroenterological Surgery II

Hokkaido University Faculty of Medicine

Kita 14 Nishi 7, Kita-ku, Sapporo 060-8638, Japan

Tel: +81-11-706-7931

E-mail: [yusuke.watanabe@pop.med.hokudai.ac.jp](mailto:yusuke.watanabe@pop.med.hokudai.ac.jp)

**Funding:** The authors report no funding for this study.

1  
2  
3 **Abstract**  
4  
5

6 **Background:** The Fundamental Use of Surgical Energy (FUSE) program was  
7  
8  
9 established to educate surgeons and trainees to promote awareness and behaviors for the  
10  
11 safe use of surgical energy devices. Despite its implementation, the impact of FUSE  
12  
13 certification on surgeons' behavior and safety awareness regarding practice of energy  
14  
15  
16 devices remains unclear. This study aimed to identify the perceived impact of FUSE  
17  
18  
19 certification on surgeons' behavior and awareness regarding the safe use of surgical  
20  
21  
22 energy devices.  
23  
24  
25  
26

27  
28 **Methods:** We performed a descriptive cross-sectional survey study, using non  
29  
30 probabilistic purposive sampling, and distributed 22-item web-based questionnaires  
31  
32  
33 among all 59 FUSE-certified surgeons in Japan, excluding operating room nurses and  
34  
35  
36 medical students. The questionnaire items covered demographics, surgical techniques  
37  
38  
39 using various energy devices, changes in behavior and safety awareness,  
40  
41  
42 communication with colleagues about surgical energy devices, and educational  
43  
44  
45 activities related to energy devices.  
46  
47  
48  
49

50  
51 **Results:** Fifty-seven participants completed the questionnaire (response rate 96.6%).  
52  
53  
54 Most surgeons (91.3%) could apply material learned from the FUSE program in  
55  
56  
57 practice, especially material related to monopolar electrosurgery. Fifty-six surgeons  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3 (98.3%) reported increased awareness of surgical safety, and 35 (61.5%) reported  
4  
5  
6 increased communication with operating room personnel about the safe use of energy  
7  
8  
9 devices. Moreover, 56 participants (98.3%) indicated a need for systematic education in  
10  
11  
12 surgical energy, with participants recommending fellows (94.7% of participants  
13  
14  
15 specified that fellows should participate in further education), residents (75.4%), and  
16  
17  
18 attending surgeons (63.2%) as the target recipients of this training.  
19  
20  
21

22 **Conclusions:** After FUSE certification, not only did surgeons' knowledge increase, but  
23  
24  
25 their energy-related surgical techniques in practice also improved. Furthermore, FUSE-  
26  
27  
28 certified surgeons felt that they were more aware of surgical-energy safety and were  
29  
30  
31 dedicated to its promotion.  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

38 **Key Words:** Fundamental Use of Surgical Energy (FUSE), certification, energy device,  
39  
40  
41 safe usage, surgeons' behavior, safety awareness  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3 **Introduction**  
4  
5

6 Electrosurgery-related adverse events such as surgical burns, operating room (OR) fires,  
7  
8  
9 and unrecognized organ injuries can result in patient harm [1,2]. Therefore, surgeons  
10  
11  
12 should be well informed about the safe use of energy devices (e.g., monopolar  
13  
14  
15 electrosurgery, ultrasonically activated devices, or vessel sealing devices). However,  
16  
17  
18 recent data have shown that many surgeons, including those who are experienced,  
19  
20  
21  
22 demonstrate knowledge gaps in the safe and effective use of energy devices [3,4]. To  
23  
24  
25 address this issue, the Society of American Gastrointestinal and Endoscopic Surgeons  
26  
27  
28 (SAGES) has established a web-based didactic curriculum, known as the Fundamental  
29  
30  
31 Use of Surgical Energy™ (FUSE) program [5]. In 2014, the FUSE program was  
32  
33  
34 introduced in Japan. The first hands-on seminar was held as a pre-congress workshop at  
35  
36  
37 the 27<sup>th</sup> annual meeting of the Japan Society for Endoscopic Surgery [6].  
38  
39  
40

41       Previous studies have shown that a structured curriculum based on the FUSE  
42  
43  
44 program significantly increases surgical trainees' knowledge and self-perceived comfort  
45  
46  
47 with the safe use of electrosurgical devices, with retention after 3 months and 1 year  
48  
49  
50 [7,8]. Nonetheless, the impact of obtaining FUSE certification on surgeons' behavior in  
51  
52  
53 the OR and on changes in surgeons' safety awareness have not been investigated.  
54  
55  
56  
57 Moreover, the extent to which institutions or communities have been influenced by  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3 FUSE-certified surgeons in practice is unknown. Hence, the purpose of this study was  
4  
5  
6 to explore the perceived impact of the FUSE program on surgeons' behavior and safety  
7  
8  
9 awareness regarding the use of surgical energy devices.  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15

## 16 **Materials and Methods**

### 17 18 19 Study Design and Population

20  
21  
22 We sent email invitations to each FUSE-certified surgeon in Japan (N = 59) through the  
23  
24  
25 Japan Association for Surgical Education to participate in a cross-sectional survey in  
26  
27  
28 March 2021. However, to adhere to the aim of investigating surgeons' behavior and  
29  
30  
31 awareness, the OR nurses and medical students were excluded from this study.  
32  
33  
34

35 Participants were asked to complete a web-based questionnaire developed using Google  
36  
37  
38 Forms and were given 3 weeks to complete the questionnaire (responses were  
39  
40  
41 anonymous). This study adhered to the Ethical Guidelines for Medical and Health  
42  
43  
44 Research Involving Human Subjects in Japan [9]. Ethical approval by the institutional  
45  
46  
47 review board of Kagawa University, Kagawa, Japan was exempted because this study  
48  
49  
50 did not involve any patients, affect the health of participants, or use their personal  
51  
52  
53 identification information. All participants provided written informed consent prior to  
54  
55  
56  
57 completing the survey.  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3 Survey Design  
4  
5

6 The questionnaire had 22 items and was divided into the following sections:  
7

8  
9 demographics (4 items), surgeons' use of surgical techniques with various energy  
10 devices (4 items rated on 5-point Likert scale including a free description item), changes  
11 in surgeons' behavior and safety awareness (4 items rated on 5-point Likert scale  
12 including a free description item), frequency of communication with colleagues about  
13 electrosurgery-related topics (4 items rated on 5-point Likert scale), and educational  
14 activities related to energy devices that the surgeons participated in or conducted (6  
15 items rated using single-choice, multiple choice, and 5-point Likert scale, including a  
16 free description item; Table 1). The questionnaire was developed by FUSE certified  
17 surgeons (AK, YN), who were experienced in designing educational activities on  
18 surgical energy devices; they listed potential items by exploring the degree of  
19 application among FUSE-certified individuals. Finally, the questionnaire was drafted  
20 through a discussion with surveyor/educational researcher (YW)—a SAGES FUSE  
21 committee member. The questionnaire items were validated by beta testing them with  
22 two FUSE-certified individuals. For scoring purposes, Likert-responses 1, 2, 3 were  
23 considered negative, while Likert-responses 4 and 5 were considered positive. Free  
24 description items required self-reported responses for the following: 1) contents that are  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



1  
2  
3 lacking in the FUSE program for clinical practice application, 2) content-changes  
4  
5  
6 required for teaching usage of surgical energy devices, and 3) barriers in teaching the  
7  
8  
9 FUSE program.  
10

### 11 12 Statistical Analysis

13  
14  
15  
16 The survey results were analyzed using descriptive statistics. Responses from  
17  
18  
19 gastrointestinal surgeons and from physicians with other specialties were compared  
20  
21  
22 using a Pearson's chi-squared test.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

### 28 29 **Results**

30  
31  
32 Of the 59 FUSE-certified physicians in Japan, 56 surgeons and 1 anesthesiologist  
33  
34  
35 completed the questionnaire (response rate 96.6%), as shown in Table 2. Almost half of  
36  
37  
38 the participants were general surgeons (n=28, 49.1%), followed by gynecologists (n=17,  
39  
40  
41 29.8%). Twenty-four (45.3%) participants had obtained FUSE certification within the  
42  
43  
44 past 1–3 years, and 18 (31.6%) had obtained certification more than 3 years ago. Most  
45  
46  
47 participants completed the FUSE examination owing to their interest in energy devices  
48  
49  
50 (n=49, 86%) or to understand the proper use of energy devices (n=43, 75.4%).  
51  
52  
53

54  
55 However, there were no significant differences across item responses among surgical  
56  
57 specialties.  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3 Surgical techniques using energy devices (Table 3)  
4  
5

6 Most participants (n=52, 91.3%) applied knowledge acquired from the FUSE program  
7  
8 in clinical practice. Similarly, most participants acknowledged that they changed the  
9  
10 way they used monopolar electrosurgery devices (n=50, 87.8%) and reported  
11  
12 improvements in surgical techniques (n=47, 82.5%). In contrast, a positive change in  
13  
14 perception was acknowledged by only approximately 50% of participants using  
15  
16 ultrasonic activating devices (USADs) or vessel sealing systems (VSSs). The majority  
17  
18 of participants reported a need for more detailed explanations within the FUSE content  
19  
20 related to USAD as well as further explanations for VSS, specific energy devices, and  
21  
22 advanced features of electrosurgical units (e.g., soft coag mode).  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

33  
34  
35 Changes in behavior and safety awareness (Table 4)  
36  
37

38 Almost all respondents (98.3%) reported an increase in surgical safety awareness, and  
39  
40 35 participants (61.5%) attempted to promote the safe use of energy devices at their  
41  
42 institutions. For 46 (80.7%) participants, methods of teaching colleagues about energy  
43  
44 devices changed. Based on the 34 descriptive answers offered by those who completed  
45  
46 the survey, half of the respondents felt that changes occurred primarily when they were  
47  
48 teaching surgical trainees about the fundamental principles and appropriate use of  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3 Communications with surgeons and OR nurses (Table 5)  
4  
5

6 In terms of dispersive electrodes, while 38.6% of the participants had interactive  
7  
8 communication with surgeons or surgical trainees, participants had more opportunities  
9  
10 to communicate with OR nurses (49.2%). Overall, more than 70% of respondents had  
11  
12 increased communication with surgeons or nurses about the importance of cleaning  
13  
14 burnt materials (e.g., eschar) from the active electrode. The frequency of  
15  
16 communication with other surgeons/trainees and OR nurses about a topic was similar  
17  
18 for “electrosurgery setting” (61.4% and 59.6%, respectively) and “placement of the  
19  
20 handpiece (active electrode) when not in use” (43.9% and 45.6%, respectively).  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30

31 Educational activities about energy devices (Table 6)  
32  
33

34 Fifty-six participants (98.2%) reported the need for systematic education in surgical  
35  
36 energy, with participants targeting fellows (94.7% of participants specified that fellows  
37  
38 should participate in further education), residents (75.4%), and attending surgeons  
39  
40 (63.2%) as recipients of this training. Thirty-one participants (54.4%) had some  
41  
42 experience teaching about energy devices to colleagues and OR staff, including didactic  
43  
44 lectures or workshops. Of the descriptive responses offered by 26 participants, 12  
45  
46 (46.2%) felt that senior surgeons’ lack of interest in the FUSE content created a barrier  
47  
48 to teaching activities.  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 **Discussion**  
7

8  
9  
10 This study aimed to explore the impact of the FUSE program on surgeons' behavior and  
11  
12 safety awareness regarding the use of surgical energy devices. Therefore, the current  
13  
14 study survey responses suggested that participants perceived their behavior and  
15  
16 awareness regarding safe use of energy devices to be improved after FUSE certification,  
17  
18 regardless of surgical specialty. Most participants reported that post-certification, their  
19  
20 promotion of safe use of energy devices to OR personnel increased and that their  
21  
22 teaching approaches changed. Moreover, FUSE-certified surgeons have acted at various  
23  
24 levels (institutional, regional, and national) to disseminate knowledge about the safe use  
25  
26 of energy devices. This is the first study to explore the effect of FUSE certification on  
27  
28 surgeons' behavioral changes and safety awareness in practice using Kirkpatrick's  
29  
30 model, which is a widely used model to evaluate the results of educational curriculum  
31  
32 [10].  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46

47  
48 There is a variety of evidence about the effectiveness of the FUSE program in  
49  
50 fulfilling knowledge gaps about the safe use of energy devices [7,8,11]. Previous studies  
51  
52 have shown that the knowledge and confidence levels of surgical trainees improved  
53  
54 significantly after educational intervention based on FUSE; this knowledge and  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3 confidence were retained after three months and after one year [7,8]. In other studies,  
4  
5  
6 most trainees reported satisfaction with the program content and potential contributions  
7  
8  
9 to patient safety, and that they would recommend the FUSE program to colleagues [11].

10  
11  
12 Although previous studies have reported the effectiveness of the FUSE program, the  
13  
14  
15 degree of application and changes in individual clinical practice due to the FUSE  
16  
17  
18 certification are unexplored. Therefore, this study aimed to bridge this gap by exploring  
19  
20  
21 the individual changes in clinical practice for surgical safety after obtaining the FUSE  
22  
23  
24 program certification.  
25  
26

27  
28 FUSE-certified surgeons in this study consistently reported improvement in their  
29  
30  
31 monopolar electrosurgery surgical techniques; some felt the need for more detailed  
32  
33  
34 content regarding USADs. USAD content accounts for only approximately 6% of the  
35  
36  
37 FUSE program, whereas monopolar electrosurgery content accounts for approximately  
38  
39  
40 50%. While the FUSE program focuses on the fundamentals of the safe use of energy  
41  
42  
43 devices, content regarding cavitation, drilling, and appropriate range of grasping the  
44  
45  
46 tissue and its effect of thermal spread, which can potentially cause injury, are not  
47  
48  
49 currently explained in detail. Since USADs are widely and more frequently used  
50  
51  
52 devices in laparoscopic surgery, this information should be explained further in the  
53  
54  
55 FUSE program.  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3           The majority of FUSE-certified surgeons reported increased awareness of surgical  
4  
5  
6 safety and more frequent communication with colleagues and OR nurses about  
7  
8  
9 electrosurgery-related topics. These findings of our study may indicate surgeons'  
10  
11  
12 improved safety behavior and awareness while using surgical energy devices in practice  
13  
14  
15 after obtaining the FUSE certification. Accordingly, FUSE-certified surgeons could  
16  
17  
18 increasingly contribute to enhancing the safety of patients who require surgical  
19  
20  
21 treatment. However, the frequency of conversation among surgeons around dispersive  
22  
23  
24 electrodes barely changed. This result may be because the majority of surgeons are not  
25  
26  
27 likely to place a dispersive electrode by themselves. Nonetheless, appropriate placement  
28  
29  
30 of the dispersive electrode should be taught to surgeons. While most respondents  
31  
32  
33 reported experience, or intentions of, conducting educational activities, intra-operative  
34  
35  
36 teaching activities of energy-device-related topics must be structured and optimized.  
37  
38  
39  
40

41           Importantly, almost half of the study participants felt that the lower interest of senior  
42  
43  
44 colleagues in the FUSE content was a barrier to conducting teaching activities. The  
45  
46  
47 majority of respondents also felt that both attending surgeons and surgical trainees  
48  
49  
50 should receive training in FUSE. Attending surgeons must also learn the safe use of  
51  
52  
53 energy devices, as previous studies have shown that surgeons have knowledge gaps in  
54  
55  
56 the safe and effective use of energy devices, regardless of experience[3,4]. Therefore,  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3 buy-in and support from senior surgeons regarding the FUSE program is crucial for  
4  
5  
6 further dissemination of educational activities that promote a safety culture around  
7  
8  
9 surgical energy. Multidisciplinary approaches involving anesthesiologists, OR nurses,  
10  
11  
12 and clinical engineers are likely to play a significant role in improving the safe use of  
13  
14  
15 energy devices.  
16

17  
18  
19 A strength of this study is its excellent response rate, which yields a more accurate  
20  
21  
22 interpretation of the results. However, the data should be interpreted with caution given  
23  
24  
25 the potential of bias. This study only collected views of FUSE certified personnel, who  
26  
27  
28 might be strongly motivated and interested in the FUSE certification. This study has  
29  
30  
31 other limitations as well. First, although differences between surgical specialties might  
32  
33  
34 have affected results, the differences were not adequately considered for interpretation.  
35  
36  
37 Surgeons prefer to use a variety of energy devices, preferences that would change for  
38  
39  
40 each specialty. However, as this study focused on more general topics of energy, tool  
41  
42  
43 preference by specialty would not be a significant factor. Second, surgeons' training,  
44  
45  
46 and clinical and teaching experiences vary; therefore, the impact of their experiences on  
47  
48  
49 their responses must be accounted for when interpreting the results. Third, the current  
50  
51  
52 study results may reflect a response bias because only self-reported data were collected  
53  
54  
55 without any pre-tests before obtaining FUSE certification. Fourth, the generalizability  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3 of this study may be limited, as only FUSE-certified surgeons in Japan were included.  
4  
5

6 Moreover, inferential statistics using pre- and post-FUSE certification tests would be  
7  
8 required to achieve more reliable results, and further survey studies are required with  
9  
10 international FUSE-certified surgeons. Fifth, the institutional impact of certified  
11  
12 surgeons was not investigated. Although certified surgeons reported that they changed  
13  
14 their practice, actual improvements in behavior and awareness regarding surgical energy  
15  
16 in the OR remain unclear. It also remains unclear if perceived changes occurred in other  
17  
18 areas. Finally, this was not a comparative study between the group of FUSE-certified  
19  
20 surgeons and the control group. FUSE is an educational program contributing to  
21  
22 improving OR safety. The rate of electrosurgery related adverse events needs to be  
23  
24 collected to demonstrate the definitive effectiveness of the educational program.  
25  
26

27  
28 Although a comparative study is vital to present the definitive effectiveness of FUSE  
29  
30 certification, collecting the comparative data would be challenging, due to the immense  
31  
32 effort required and lack of feasibility.  
33  
34

35  
36 FUSE-certified surgeons reported behavioral changes and increased awareness of  
37  
38 the safety of applying energy devices in their practice. They were more open to  
39  
40 conversation and teaching about the fundamentals of energy devices with and to other  
41  
42 OR personnel. Study findings suggest that FUSE certification has positive effects on the  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



1  
2  
3 safe use of energy devices in the OR. Based on these findings that FUSE certification  
4  
5  
6 could achieve behavioral change and improved consciousness to use energy devices  
7  
8  
9 safely and appropriately, our goal is to disseminate the FUSE program or its concept  
10  
11  
12  
13 among all surgeons to promote safe surgery.  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18

### 19 **Acknowledgements**

20  
21  
22 The authors thank all FUSE-certified personnel in Japan and, especially, the participants  
23  
24  
25 in this study. This study would not have been possible without the generosity of all  
26  
27  
28 participants.  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

### 35 **Disclosures**

36  
37  
38 **Conflict of Interest:** Dr. Yusuke Watanabe received honoraria from Medtronic, Johnson  
39  
40  
41 & Johnson, Olympus, ConMed, and AMCO outside the submitted study. Dr. Akihiro  
42  
43  
44 Kondo, Dr. Yuichi Nishihara, Ms. Elif Bilgic, and Ms. Miho Sato have no conflicts of  
45  
46  
47 interest or financial ties to disclose.  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3 **References**  
4  
5

- 6  
7 1. Aigner N, Fialka C, Fritz A, Wruhs O, Zöch G (1997) Complications in the use of  
8  
9 diathermy. *Burns* 23(3):256-264. DOI: [10.1016/s0305-4179\(96\)00113-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0305-4179(96)00113-1).  
11  
12  
13 2. Nduka CC, Super PA, Monson JR, Darzi AW (1994) Cause and prevention of  
14  
15 electrosurgical injuries in laparoscopy. *J Am Coll Surg* 179(2):161-170.  
16  
17  
18 3. Feldman LS, Fuchshuber P, Jones DB, Mischna J, Schwaitzberg SD, FUSE (2012)  
19  
20 Surgeons don't know what they don't know about the safe use of energy in surgery.  
21  
22 *Surg Endosc* 26(10):2735-2739. DOI: [10.1007/s00464-012-2263-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-012-2263-y).  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27 4. Watanabe Y, Kurashima Y, Madani A, Feldman LS, Ishida M, Oshita A, Naitoh T,  
28  
29 Noma K, Yasumasa K, Nagata H, Nakamura F, Ono K, Suzuki Y, Matsuhashi N,  
30  
31 Shichinohe T, Hirano S (2016) Surgeons have knowledge gaps in the safe use of  
32  
33 energy devices: a multicenter cross-sectional study. *Surg Endosc* 30(2):588-592.  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42 DOI: [10.1007/s00464-015-4243-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-015-4243-5).  
43  
44  
45 5. Madani A, Jones DB, Fuchshuber P, Robinson TN, Feldman LS (2014) Fundamental  
46  
47 Use of Surgical Energy™ (FUSE): a curriculum on surgical energy-based devices.  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

1  
2  
3 Groah L, Lim R, Mischna J, Voyles CR (2018) The SAGES Fundamental Use of  
4  
5  
6 Surgical Energy program (FUSE): history, development, and purpose. Surg Endosc  
7  
8  
9 32(6):2583-2602. DOI: [10.1007/s00464-017-5933-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-017-5933-y).

10  
11  
12 7. Madani A, Watanabe Y, Vassiliou MC, Fuchshuber P, Jones DB, Schwaitzberg SD,  
13  
14  
15 Fried GM, Feldman LC (2014) Impact of a hands-on component on learning in the  
16  
17  
18 Fundamental Use of Surgical Energy™ (FUSE) curriculum: a randomized-  
19  
20  
21 controlled trial in surgical trainees. Surg Endosc 28(10):2772-2782. DOI:  
22  
23  
24  
25 [10.1007/s00464-014-3544-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-014-3544-4).

26  
27  
28 8. Madani A, Watanabe Y, Vassiliou MC, Fuchshuber P, Jones DB, Schwaitzberg SD,  
29  
30  
31 Fried GM, Feldman LS (2016) Long-term knowledge retention following  
32  
33  
34 simulation-based training for electrosurgical safety: 1-year follow-up of a  
35  
36  
37 randomized controlled trial. Surg Endosc 30(3):1156-1163. DOI: [10.1007/s00464-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-015-4320-9)  
38  
39  
40  
41 [015-4320-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-015-4320-9).

42  
43  
44 9. Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. Ethical guidelines for medical and Health  
45  
46  
47 Research involving human subjects. Available at: [https://www.mhlw.go.jp/file/06-](https://www.mhlw.go.jp/file/06-Seisakujouhou-10600000-Daijinkanboukouseikagakuka/0000080278.pdf)  
48  
49  
50  
51 [Seisakujouhou-10600000-Daijinkanboukouseikagakuka/0000080278.pdf](https://www.mhlw.go.jp/file/06-Seisakujouhou-10600000-Daijinkanboukouseikagakuka/0000080278.pdf). Accessed  
52  
53  
54 29 January 2021.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

10. Kirkpatrick DL, Kirkpatrick JD (2006) Evaluating Training Program. The Four Levels. 3rd ed. Berrett-Koehler Publishers, San Francisco.

11. Gugenheim J, Debs T, Gravié JF, Deleuze A, Millat B, Borie F, Mathonnet M (2020) Results of the FUSE evaluation project in France. Surg Endosc 34(4):1819-1822.  
DOI: [10.1007/s00464-019-06938-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-019-06938-0).

**Table 1. Questionnaire content**

<b>Section</b>	<b>No. of items</b>	<b>Type of questions and scales</b>
1. Participant demographics	4	-
2. Surgical techniques using various energy devices	4	Likert-scale: (1) Strongly disagree - (5) Strongly agree (1) Definitely not changed/improved - (5) Definitely changed/improved Free description
3. Changes in behavior and safety awareness	4	Likert-scale: (1) Definitely not changed - (5) Definitely changed Free description
4. Frequency of communications with colleagues about electrosurgery-related topics	4	Likert-scale: (1) Definitely not changed - (5) Every case
5. Educational activities on energy devices	6	Single-choice, Multiple-choice Likert-scale: (1) Strongly disagree - (5) Strongly agree Free description

**Table 2. Participant demographics (n = 57)**

	n (%)
Specialties	
General surgery	28 (49)
Gynecology	17 (29.8)
Urology	4 (7)
Pediatric surgery	4 (7)
Thoracic surgery	1 (1.8)
Endocrine surgery	1 (1.8)
Otolaryngology	1 (1.8)
Anesthesiology	1 (1.8)
Reasons for learning about energy devices via the FUSE program <sup>a</sup>	
Professional curiosity about energy devices	49 (86)
Learning the proper use of energy devices	43 (75.4)
Teaching surgical trainees	19 (33.3)
Years since date of FUSE certification	
< 0.5 year	8 (14)
0.5–1 year	7 (12.2)
1–3 years	24 (42.1)
> 3 years	18 (31.6)

**Table 3. Self-reported changes in surgical technique using each energy device (n = 57)**

	Strongly disagree				Strongly agree
	1	2	3	4	5
	n (%)				
Changes in the use of energy devices in practice	0 (0)	1 (1.8)	4 (7)	25 (43.9)	27 (47.4)
	Definitely not changed				Definitely changed
	1	2	3	4	5
	n (%)				
Changes in surgical technique:					
Monopolar electrosurgery	0 (0)	1 (1.8)	6 (10.5)	12 (21.1)	38 (66.7)
USAD	3 (5.3)	4 (7)	19 (33.3)	25 (43.9)	6 (10.5)
VSS	2 (3.5)	4 (7)	21 (36.8)	23 (40.4)	7 (12.3)
	Definitely not improved				Definitely improved
	1	2	3	4	5
	n (%)				
Improvements in surgical technique:					
Monopolar electrosurgery	0 (0)	0 (0)	10 (17.5)	23 (40.4)	24 (42.1)
USAD	2 (3.5)	5 (8.8)	20 (35.1)	28 (49.1)	2 (3.5)
VSS	2 (3.5)	4 (7)	20 (35.1)	28 (49.1)	3 (5.3)

*USAD* = ultrasonic activating device; *VSS* = vessel sealing system

**Table 4. Self-reported changes in behavior and safety awareness (n = 57)**

	Definitely not changed				Definitely changed
	1	2	3	4	5
	n (%)				
Awareness of surgical safety	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (1.8)	25 (43.9)	31 (54.4)
Content of the teaching	0 (0)	0 (0)	11 (19.3)	26 (45.6)	20 (35.1)
	Definitely not approached				Definitely approached
	1	2	3	4	5
	n (%)				
Approaches to promote the safe use of energy devices in each institution	1 (1.8)	4 (7)	17 (29.8)	23 (40.4)	12 (21.1)



**Table 5. Frequency of communication with surgeons and operating room nurses about the following topics (n=57)**

	With surgeons / ORNs	Definitely not changed				
		1	2	3	4	Every case 5
		n (%)				
Electrosurgery setting	Surgeons	4 (7)	6 (10.5)	12 (21.1)	26 (45.6)	9 (15.8)
	ORNs	4 (7)	7 (12.3)	12 (21.1)	28 (49.1)	6 (10.5)
Dispersive electrode	Surgeons	6 (10.5)	9 (15.8)	20 (35.1)	17 (29.8)	5 (8.8)
	ORNs	7 (12.3)	6 (10.5)	16 (28.1)	23 (40.4)	5 (8.8)
Placement of the handpiece (active electrode) when not in use	Surgeons	5 (8.8)	12 (21.1)	15 (26.3)	20 (35.1)	5 (8.8)
	ORNs	8 (14)	7 (12.3)	16 (28.1)	24 (42.1)	2 (3.5)
Cleaning burnt materials (e.g., eschar) from active electrode	Surgeons	4 (7)	5 (8.8)	8 (14)	25 (43.9)	15 (26.3)
	ORNs	3 (5.3)	6 (10.5)	7 (12.3)	27 (47.4)	14 (24.6)

*ORN* = operating room nurse

Surgeons include senior or junior surgeons and surgical trainees

**Table 6. Energy device educational activities (n=57)**

	n (%)
Participated in FUSE workshop	
Yes	45 (78.9)
No	12 (21.1)
Need for systematic education in surgical energy	
5 strongly agree	46 (80.7)
4	10 (17.5)
3	1 (1.8)
2	0 (0)
1 strongly disagree	0 (0)
Preferred targets for education <sup>a</sup>	
Medical students	21 (35.8)
Residents	43 (75.4)
Fellows	54 (94.7)
Attending surgeons	40 (70.2)
Attending surgeons with teaching responsibilities	36 (63.2)
Experience teaching surgical energy (e.g., didactic lecture or workshop)	
Yes	31 (54.4)
No	26 (45.6)
If “No,” intention of conducting teaching activities	
Yes	21 (80.7)
No intention	1 (3.9)
Unknown	4 (15.4)

*FUSE* = Fundamental Use of Surgical Energy

<sup>a</sup>Respondents could choose more than one response

## **Supplementary Material**

### **Supplemental Text 1. Self-reported content changes teaching about surgical-energy devices (34 descriptive responses summarized)**

- Logical explanations based on principles
- Teaching with hands-on demonstrations
- Explanations of practical use focusing on tissue effect, activation time
- Importance of lower voltage output
- Use low voltage continuous outputs (“cut mode output”) to seal vessels
- Applications and practical use of the cut mode output
- Differences in output modes (eg, cut mode vs. coag mode)/power and practical applications of each mode
- Lateral thermal spread among output modes
- Positions of dispersive electrodes
- Potential adverse effect of electrosurgery (eg, residual heat injury, capacitive coupling, operating room fire) and how to avoid it
- Avoid open activation (not activating the electrode before it becomes in contact with the targeted tissue)
- Controlling current density by changing the contact area of active electrode