

HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

Title	Studies on molecular mechanisms underlying regulation of hepatic estrogen-responsive genes in cutthroat trout, Oncorhynchus clarki	
Author(s)	永田, 淳	
Citation	北海道大学. 博士(水産科学) 甲第13535号	
Issue Date	2019-03-25	
DOI	10.14943/doctoral.k13535	
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/91100	
Туре	theses (doctoral)	
File Information	Jun_Nagata.pdf	



Studies on molecular mechanisms underlying regulation of hepatic estrogen-responsive genes in cutthroat trout, *Oncorhynchus clarki*

カットスロートトラウト肝臓におけるエストロジェン応答性遺伝子の

発現調節機構に関する研究

北海道大学大学院水産科学院 海洋応用生命科学専攻 Graduate School of Fisheries Sciences Division of Marine Life Science

> 永田淳 Jun Nagata 2019(平成 31 年)

- I. An introduction to studies on molecular mechanisms underlying regulation of hepatic estrogen-responsive genes in cutthroat trout, *Oncorhynchus clarki*1
- II. Changes in choriogenins, vitellogenins and estrogen receptor α1 mRNAs in the liver during a reproductive cycle of female cutthroat trout

Materials and methods

Experimental fish and tissue samples	13
Molecular cloning of $chgH\alpha$, $chgH\beta$ and $chgL$	14
Homology and domain analyses of Chgs	15
Quantitative real-time reverse transcription PCR (qPCR)	15
Statistics	18

Results

Primary structure analysis of $chgs$ and $er\alpha l$	19
Annual changes in hepatic chg and $er\alpha l$ mRNA levels	19
Relationship between serum E2 levels and <i>chg</i> or <i>era1</i> mRNA levels	23

Discussion27

III. Transcriptional responses of choriogenins, vitellogenins and estrogen receptor

 $\alpha 1$ to estradiol-17 β administration in the liver of cutthroat trout

Introduction	2
--------------	---

	Quantitative real-time reverse transcription PCR (qPCR)			
	Statistics			
	Results			
	Effect of E2 on hepatic <i>chg</i> mRNA expression			
	Effect of E2 on hepatic $er\alpha l$ mRNA expression			
	Discussion	40		
IV.	. Transcriptional responses of choriogenins, vitellogenins and estrog	gen receptor		
	$\alpha 1$ to estradiol-17 β in primary cultured hepatocytes of cutthroat trout			
	Introduction	44		
	Materials and methods			
	Materials and methods Experimental fish and tissue samples	46		
	Materials and methods Experimental fish and tissue samples Molecular cloning of $er\alpha 2$, $er\beta 1$ and $er\beta 2$	46		
	Materials and methodsExperimental fish and tissue samplesMolecular cloning of $er\alpha 2$, $er\beta 1$ and $er\beta 2$ Primary culture of hepatocytes	46 46 48		
	Materials and methodsExperimental fish and tissue samplesMolecular cloning of $er\alpha 2$, $er\beta 1$ and $er\beta 2$ Primary culture of hepatocytesHormone Treatment	46 46 48 49		
	Materials and methodsExperimental fish and tissue samplesMolecular cloning of $er\alpha 2$, $er\beta 1$ and $er\beta 2$ Primary culture of hepatocytesHormone TreatmentExperiment 1: effects of continuous treatment of E2	46 46 48 49 49		
	Materials and methodsExperimental fish and tissue samplesMolecular cloning of $er\alpha 2$, $er\beta 1$ and $er\beta 2$ Primary culture of hepatocytesPrimary culture of hepatocytesHormone TreatmentExperiment 1: effects of continuous treatment of E2Experiment 2: effects of various dose of E2	46 46 48 49 49 49 49		
	Materials and methodsExperimental fish and tissue samplesMolecular cloning of $er\alpha 2$, $er\beta 1$ and $er\beta 2$ Primary culture of hepatocytesPrimary culture of hepatocytesHormone TreatmentExperiment 1: effects of continuous treatment of E2Experiment 2: effects of various dose of E2Quantitative real-time reverse transcription PCR (qPCR)	46 46 48 49 49 49 49 49 45		
	Materials and methodsExperimental fish and tissue samplesMolecular cloning of $er\alpha 2$, $er\beta 1$ and $er\beta 2$ Primary culture of hepatocytesPrimone TreatmentExperiment 1: effects of continuous treatment of E2Experiment 2: effects of various dose of E2Quantitative real-time reverse transcription PCR (qPCR)Statistics			

Results

Primary structure analyses of $er\alpha 2$, $er\beta 1$ and $er\beta 2$	52
Experiment 1: effects of continuous treatment of E2	52
Experiment 2: effects of various dose of E2	56

Discussion60

V. Molecular cloning and characterization of choriogenins, vitellogenins and

estrogen receptor $\alpha 1$ gene promoters in cutthroat trout

oduction

Materials and methods

Experimental fish and tissue samples	68
Genomic DNA extraction	68
Molecular cloning of <i>chg</i> , <i>vtg</i> and <i>er</i> α <i>l</i> gene promoters	69
Computational search for putative transcription factor binding sites	72
Reporter gene assays	72
Statistics	73

Results

	Molecular cloning and sequence analysis of <i>chg</i> , <i>vtg</i> and <i>erαl</i> promoters	
	Transactivation of estrogen-responsive gene promoters by E2 via $Er\alpha 1$	108
	Transactivation of estrogen-responsive gene promoters by E2 via Er α 2, Er	β1 and
	Erβ2	111
	Discussion	115
VI.	Summary and conclusion	122
	Acknowledgments	132
	Reference	134

Chapter I. An introduction to studies on molecular mechanisms underlying regulation of hepatic estrogen-responsive genes in cutthroat trout, *Oncorhynchus clarki*

Aquaculture has been responsible for the supply of fish for human consumption. Recently, aquaculture has been encouraged in many fish species, but often met serious concern: one of the major concerns is the production of large numbers of viable eggs with high survival during early developmental stages. To date, the most of study for aquaculture has attempted to improve the efficiency of egg production and viability of progeny. However, in some cases, the resulting offspring in captivity has serious problems. For example, in Japanese eel (Anguilla japonica), European seabass (Dicentrarchus labrax) and yellowtail flounder (Limanda ferruginea), treatment with hormones to induce oocyte development and/or ovulation leads to high mortality of their embryo and larvae (Forniés et al., 2001; Avery et al., 2004; Kagawa et al., 2005). Efficient production of a large number of eggs with high survival during early developmental stages entails not only the programmed production of large numbers of eggs, but also high-quality eggs with the potential to support normal development and high survival of offspring to juvenile and later stages of development and growth. To achieve the efficient production of a large number of high-quality eggs, it is required to clarify the physiological mechanisms underlying oocyte growth in fishes, leading to the application of the mechanisms to egg production.

A teleost egg is surrounded by chorion and contains a substantial yolk mass. The chorion consists of an extremely thin, high-density outer layer and a thick, low-density inner layer making up most of the structure. The chorion protects the egg and the embryo from physical and environmental stressors (Grierson and Neville, 1981; Songe et al., 2016). On the other hand, the yolk mass contains yolk proteins as a major component. The yolk proteins serve as the primary source of nutrients for embryonic development and larval growth. Thus, the chorion and yolk proteins are essential factors for the embryonic development and larval growth.

Choriogenin (Chg) and vitellogenin (Vtg) are precursors of the chorion and the yolk proteins, respectively (Hara et al., 2016). The Chg is a glycoprotein belonging zona pellucida (ZP) superfamily (Goudet et al., 2008). The Chg is produced in the livers of teleosts including salmonids (Hara et al., 2016). The Vtg is many lipoglycophosphoprotein and produced in the livers of oviparous vertebrates. The mechanisms underlying Chg and Vtg synthesis in the liver and their incorporation into the oocyte have been well studied in teleost, especially salmonid species (Hara et al., 2016; summarized in Fig. 1). Follicle-stimulating hormone secreted into bloodstream from the pituitary gland acts on the follicle cells surrounding developing oocytes to induce synthesis of estrogen, estradiol-17 β (E2) in most case. E2 binds to sex steroid hormone-binding globulin in the blood and is transferred to hepatocytes through circulation. The E2 induces the synthesis of both Chg and Vtg in hepatocytes, and the produced Chg and Vtg are secreted into the blood and transported to the growing oocytes. The Chg accumulates in chorion as chorion proteins. The Vtg binds to the Vtg receptor that is present in the oocyte plasma membrane and is incorporated into the oocyte by the receptor-mediated endocytosis. The incorporated Vtg is cleaved proteolytically into four major yolk proteins, such as lipovitellin (Lv), phosvitin (Pv) β '-component (β '-c) and C-terminal peptide.





Fig. 1. A model for formation of chorion and yolk granule in salmonids. Chg: choriogenin, E2: estradiol-17 β , Er α 1: estrogen receptor α 1, ERE: estrogen responsive element, Fsh: follicle-stimulating hormone, Shbp: steroid hormone binding protein, Vtg: vitellogenin, Vtgr: vitellogenin receptor, Zp: zona pellucida

The E2 generally acts on target cells through nuclear estrogen receptor (Er/ER). The Er/ER belongs to a superfamily of nuclear receptors that include receptors for various lipophilic ligands, such as other classes of steroids (progestin, androgen, glucocorticoid and mineralocorticoid), thyroid hormone, vitamin D, and retinoic acids (Bridgham et al., 2010; Baker, 2011; Sladek, 2011). In addition, numerous orphan receptors whose ligands have not been identified are also present in the family. Based on structural and functional similarities, the nuclear receptor proteins are divided into five or six domains (designated as domains A-F). Two domains (C and E domains) are well conserved among nuclear receptors. The cysteine-rich C domain is also known as the DNA binding domain (DBD), and the E domain is also termed as the ligand binding domain (LBD). The DBD recognizes and binds to specific response elements of DNA and contains two zinc binding motifs that are essential for binding to DNA. The LBD is essential for both ligand-dependent transactivation function.

The molecular mechanism underlying E2-Er/ER dependent transactivation of the target gene has been generally accepted as follows. After E2 binds to Er/ER in the cell, the complex of E2 and Er/ER forms a homodimer that binds to estrogen responsive elements (ERE), the most typical of which is composed two head-to-head GGTCA half sites separated by three nucleotides (5'-GGTCAnnnTGACC-3', Walker et al., 1984), present in the promoter regions of targeted gene. Then, expression of the target gene is induced. In addition to ERE, the binding sites of other transcription factors such as activator protein-1 (AP-1) and specificity protein 1 (Sp1), have been known to interact with Er and transactivate an estrogen-responsive gene (Björnström and Sjöberg, 2005).

So far, cDNAs encoding ER orthologs have been cloned and characterized in

various vertebrates including teleosts. The presence of two forms of ERs designated as ER α and ER β has been confirmed in most vertebrates, while most teleosts exhibit at least three distinct subtypes of Ers, including $\text{Er}\alpha$, $\text{Er}\beta 1$ (also known as $\text{Er}\gamma$) and $\text{Er}\beta 2$ (also known as Erβ) (Hawkins et al., 2000; Ma et al., 2000; Choi and Habibi, 2003; Halm et al., 2004; Menuet, 2004; Nagler et al., 2007). Of the er subtypes in teleosts, hepatic $er\alpha$ ($er\alpha$ 1 in salmonids) expression is up-regulated in the liver during vitellogenesis (Sabo-Attwood et al., 2004; Nagler et al., 2012) and up-regulated by E2 treatment (Sabo-Attwood et al., 2004; Filby and Tyler, 2005; Boyce-Derricott et al., 2009). Thus, $Er\alpha/Er\alpha 1$ is thought to be the major regulator of E2 signaling in the liver during vitellogenesis. In rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss), an additional $er\alpha$ subtype ($er\alpha 2$) has been identified (Nagler et al., 2007). However, $er\alpha 2$ is considered to be a minor er subtype, because its hepatic mRNA levels are relatively low during vitellogenesis and after E2-treatment (Boyce-Derricott et al., 2009; Nagler et al., 2012). In addition, the functionality of $Er\alpha 2$ protein (abilities of ligand-binding and estrogen-dependent transactivation of target gene) has not been confirmed yet. Recent studies have shown that $Er\beta$ is also involved in the expression of vtg (Nelson and Habibi, 2010; Griffin et al., 2013). However, the information on involvement of Er subtypes in the regulation of hepatic estrogen-responsive genes (*chg*, *vtg* and *er* α etc.) is quite limited.

It has been shown that genes encoding proteins consisting of fish chorion are expressed not only in the liver (hepatic type: *chg*) but also in the ovary (ovarian type: *zp*). Cyprinidae fishes, such as carp (*Cyprimus carpio*) and zebrafish (*Danio rerio*), exclusively express *zp* genes in the ovary (Chang et al., 1996, 1997; Wang and Gong,

1999). In zebrafish, ovarian zpB (zp2) and zpC (zp3) mRNAs do not show the response to E2 (Liu, 2006). No *chg* gene has been found in the liver of Cyprinidae. Therefore, in Cyprinidae, chorion appears to be made from ovary-derived Zp proteins alone. Meanwhile, medaka (*Oryzias lapites*), pacific herring (*Clupea pallasii*), masu salmon (*O. masou*) and cutthroat trout (*O. clarki*), which express *chg* genes in the liver, also express *zp* genes in the ovary (Kanamori, 2000; Fujita et al., 2003; Kawakita, 2013; Sano et al., 2013). In these species, it has been considered that both Chg and Zp proteins are involved in the chorion formation.

Widespread multiplicity of *chg*/Chg has become evident in teleosts. Chgs are categorized into high type (ChgH) and low type (ChgL) from their molecular weight (Hara et al., 2016). These proteins are typically classified into the zona pellucida B (ZPB) or C (ZPC) subfamilies, respectively, based on the unified nomenclature system for the ZP gene family (Goudet et al., 2008). ChgH and ChgL contain N-terminal signal peptide, ZP domain and consensus furin-like cleavage site. ChgH also has trefoil domain just upstream of its ZP domain. It has been shown that salmonids have three Chg subtypes; ChgH α ChgH β and ChgL (Hyllner et al., 2001; Westerlund et al., 2001; Fujita et al., 2008a).

It has been confirmed that multiple subtypes of Vtg exist in fish (Hiramatsu et al., 2006; Finn and Kristoffersen, 2007). The multiple Vtg subtypes are simply classified into either a complete type or an incomplete type based on following features. The complete Vtg composed of the five egg yolk protein regions: Lv heavy chain (LvH), Pv, Lv light chain (LvL), β '-c, C-terminal coding domain. As a result of homology analysis, the complete Vtg is further divided into two subgroups, type A (called VtgA or VtgAa)

and type B (VtgB or VtgAb). On the other hand, the incomplete type of Vtg consists only of LvH and LvL and is classified into type C (VtgC). In salmonids, besides a *vtgC* gene, multiple copies of salmonid A-type *vtg* genes (designated as *vtgAs*) are evident (Trichet et al., 2000; Buisine et al., 2002).

As described above, E2 regulates the expression of oogenesis-related genes such as chg, vtg and $er\alpha$ ($er\alpha l$ in salmonids) in the liver during vitellogenesis. In addition, these estrogen-responsive genes are up-regulated by E2 treatment not only in female fish but also in juvenile or male fish (Hyllner et al., 2001; Westerlund et al., 2001; Boyce-Derricott et al., 2009; Amano et al., 2010; Mushirobira et al., 2018). However, there is still a room to elucidate how E2 can regulate the expressions of these multiple genes. The elucidation of this mechanism leads to the further understandings of oocyte development, as well as the molecular mechanisms underlying transcriptional the regulation of multiple genes by E2. To date, many studies for the E2 responses of chg, vtg and $er\alpha$ genes have been conducted. However, these studies were not conducted in a single species nor consider the multiplicity of chg, vtg and er. Therefore, it is difficult to obtain an integrated view on the E2 response of these genes. To understand the differences of E2 responses of these genes, it is important to analyze the responses in a single species with consideration of all gene subtypes.

The transcriptional response of a gene to a hormone *in vivo* is affected by the complexity of the intact animal. Approaches by *ex vivo* experiment could greatly advance the understanding of tissue and/or cell basic properties and environmental adaptive responses without the complexity of the intact animals (Segner, 1998). In salmonids, the primary culture system of hepatocytes has been established, which was

used to analyze a hormonal effect on the genes or proteins (Klaunig et al., 1985; Schreer et al., 2005). The primary cultured hepatocytes give all the benefits of an intact cell (functional organelles, enzyme interactions, physiological cofactor and metabolite concentrations, etc.) without the complexity of the intact animal. In this *ex vivo* system, defined experimental conditions can be easily set and maintained, indicating the possibility for refined physiological studies in which the effects of exogenous factors are analyzed. In addition, multiple types of experimental conditions can easily be set with populations of a single type of cell obtained from a single animal.

It has generally been considered that a promoter region for a gene is responsible for its gene expression (Maniatis et al., 1987). In particular, the expressions of E2-responsive genes are strongly affected by the interaction between Er and the promoter region (O'Lone et al., 2004): the characteristic of the transcriptional response of a gene to E2 appears to reflect on the characteristics of its promoter. To clarify the characteristics of promoter region for a gene, it is effective to perform reporter gene assay *in vitro*. In this system, the direct interaction of a nuclear receptor and the promoter of a gene can be examined. Reporter gene assay have revealed the properties for transactivation of the E2-responsive genes by Er using mammalian cell lines (HEK 293, Hep G2, CHO-K1, HeLa etc.) in several studies (Le Drean et al., 1995; Menuet, 2004; Davis et al., 2010; Lee Pow et al., 2016).

Cutthroat trout is a close relative to rainbow trout, which is an important salmonid for fisheries and as a biological research model. Thus, the information from molecular biological databases [whole genome and expressed sequence tag (EST), etc], which have been established in rainbow trout, can be fairly adapted for the study using cutthroat trout. Recently, cutthroat trout has been used as a model species for basic research on teleost ovarian follicle growth (Hiramatsu et al., 2015: Luo et al., 2013; Mizuta et al., 2013, 2017, Mushirobira et al., 2013, 2018; Ryu et al., 2013). It should be noticed that the mRNA expressions of dual *vtg* genes (*vtgAs*, *vtgC*) have been analyzed in cutthroat trout *in vivo* and *in vitro* (Mushirobira et al., 2013; Mushirobira, 2015; Mushirobira et al., 2018). The hepatic mRNAs of *vtgAs* and *vtgC* synchronously increased with the progress vitellogenesis during the reproductive cycle. Injections of E2 to male and juvenile fish synchronous increased the hepatic levels of both *vtg* mRNAs. The promoters of *vtgAs* (*vtgAs* promoters 1 and 2) and *vtgC* (*vtgC* promoter) genes were cloned from the genome. The reporter gene assay with co-expression of the trout $\text{Er}\alpha$ 1 revealed that the E2-dependent transcriptional activity of *vtgAs* promoters 1 was highest among those of the other promoters. In addition, all three *vtg* promoters showed similar half-maximal effective concentrations (EC50) for E2.

As described above, E2 regulates the expressions of multiple genes in the liver. The elucidation of this mechanism leads to the further understandings of oocyte development, as well as the regulation mechanism of multiple genes by E2. The characteristic of the transcriptional response of a gene to E2 appears to reflect on the characteristic of its promoter. Based on these backgrounds, this study was performed in order to reveal the relationship between the expression profile of E2-responsive genes $(chg, vtg, er\alpha I)$ and the characteristics of the promoter region, using cutthroat trout as an experimental model fish. This dissertation includes following contents: 1) expression analysis of *chg*, *vtg* and *er\alpha I* mRNAs in the liver of female trout during a reproductive cycle (Chapter II), 2) expression analysis of *chg*, *vtg* and *er\alpha I* mRNAs in the liver of

male fish following E2 injections *in vivo* (Chapter III), 3) expression analysis of *chg*, *vtg* and *er* mRNAs in the male liver *ex vivo* (Chapter IV), 4) molecular characterization on the structures and the transcriptional functions of *chg*, *vtg* and *er* α *l* gene promoters *in vitro* (Chapter V). Finally, a model for the molecular mechanisms underlying regulation of hepatic *chg*, *vtg* and *er* genes by E2 was proposed (Chapter VI).

Chapter II. Changes in choriogenins, vitellogenins and estrogen receptor α1 mRNAs in the liver during a reproductive cycle of female cutthroat trout

Introduction

It has been shown that vitellogenesis is correlated well with elevated E2, Chg and Vtg levels in the blood of salmonid species (Hara et al., 2016). Thus, it is assumed that the elevated E2 levels lead to active expression of *chg*, *vtg* and *er* α *l* mRNAs in the liver. Therefore, analyzing the expression pattern of *chg*, *vtg* and *er* α *l* genes during reproductive cycle of female fish is important to understand how the genes response to E2 *in vivo*. To date, many studies have analyzed the Chg/*chg*, Vtg/*vtg* and Er α 1/*er* α *l* levels during reproductive cycles of salmonid species (Hiramatsu et al., 1997; Shimizu et al., 2000; Fujita et al., 2005; Amano et al., 2010; Nagler et al., 2012). However, these estrogen-responsive genes or proteins have never been quantified together in single species nor considered their multiplicity. Thus, it is difficult to obtain the integrated view on the E2 response of these genes. Accumulating information on expression profiles of these genes in a single species would contribute to elucidate the mechanism underlying E2-dependent regulation of multiple gene expressions in the liver of salmonids.

The profiles of serum E2 and Vtgs (VtgAs/VtgC) protein levels and hepatic *vtg* (*vtgAs/vtgC*) mRNA levels during a reproductive cycle of cutthroat trout have been revealed (Mushirobira et al., 2013; Mushirobira, 2015). The both subtypes of *vtg*/Vtg showed similar patterns: *vtg*/Vtg levels increased with the progress of vitellogenesis, as

well as serum E2 levels. In the ovulated fish, serum Vtg levels remained high but serum E2 and hepatic *vtg* mRNA levels decreased.

The objective of this Chapter II was set to reveal relationship among serum E2 levels and hepatic *chg*, *vtg* and *er* α *I* mRNAs expressions during a reproductive cycle of female cutthroat trout, for further extension of our understanding on the regulation of hepatic estrogen-responsible, oogenesis-related, gene expressions. At first, three *chg* subtypes were cloned from the liver of cutthroat trout. Then, the expression patterns of hepatic *chg* and *er* α *I* mRNAs were quantified by real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR), using the same samples which were analyzed in the previous studies (Mushirobira et al., 2013; Mushirobira, 2015).

Materials and methods

Experimental fish and tissue samples

Cutthroat trout were obtained from a breeding stock held in flow-through fresh water under a natural ambient condition at Nanae Fresh-Water Laboratory, Field Science Center for Northern Biosphere, Hokkaido University.

For cDNA cloning of *chgs*, a liver from a two-year-old female (body weight 355.3 g; fork length 315 mm) was obtained on September 10, 2013. The liver was cut into small pieces (2 mm \times 2 mm) by scissors, incubated overnight in RNAlater (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) at 4°C, and stored at -30°C until extraction of total RNAs.

For quantification of mRNA levels of *chg* and *er \alpha1* mRNAs during reproductive cycle, same liver samples used for quantification of *vtg* mRNAs in previous study by Mushirobira et al. (2013) and Mushirobira (2015) were reused. Briefly, the samplings were conducted from January 2009 of two-year-old females to February 2010 of ovulated three-year-old fish; those were done monthly until June 2009 and every other month from August 2009 to February 2010. Five females were used in each sampling point. Fish were anesthetized with 2-phenoxyethanol (Kanto Chemical, Tokyo, Japan) and weighed. Blood was sampled from caudal vein with syringes. Blood was allowed to clot, and serum was obtained by centrifugation and stored at -30°C for E2 assay (Mushirobira, 2015). Liver and ovary were dissected out. Liver was processed as described above. Ovary was weighed for calculation of gonad-somatic index (GSI: gonad weight/body weight × 100). Ovarian follicles were isolated from a portion of

ovary with forceps, and the diameters of follicles were measured using a stereoscopic microscope. Oocyte developmental stages were categorized based on the mean diameter of ovarian follicle into perinucleolus stage (< 0.5 mm diameter), lipid droplet stage (0.5 \sim 1.0 mm diameter) and vitellogeninc stage (\geq 1.0 mm diameter).

Molecular cloning of $chgH\alpha$, $chgH\beta$ and chgL

Total RNA was extracted from the liver samples with Isogen (Nippon Gene, Tokyo, Japan) according to the manufacturer's instruction. Concentration of total RNA was measured with NanoDrop ND-1000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). 1 µg total RNA was reverse-transcribed by PrimeScript II 1st strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Takara Bio, Shiga, Japan), according to the manufacturer's instruction. The resulting cDNAs template were stored at -30°C.

Primer sets (Table 1) were designed from rainbow trout $chgH\alpha$ (NM_001124273) $chgH\beta$ (NM_001124600), and chgL (NM_001124274) sequences in order to amplify each entire open reading frame in cutthroat trout. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed using PrimeSTAR® Max DNA Polymerase (Takara Bio) as follows: 1 µl 1st stranded cDNA, 5 µl PrimeSTAR® Max premix, 1 µl each forward and reverse primer (10 µM) and nuclease-free water up to final volume of 10 µl. The PCR amplification was carried out as following thermal parameters: 30 cycles at 98°C for 10 s, 55 °C for 5 s and 72 °C for 1 min. The PCR products were separated by electrophoresis on 1% agarose gels, excised from the gels, and purified by GENECLEAN Turbo Kit (MP-Biochemicals, Santa Ana, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instruction. The PCR products were subjected to an A-tailing reaction (Knoche and Kephart, 1999) followed by a ligation into pGEM-T Easy Vector (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) according to the manufacturer's instruction. The ligated products were transformed into XL-1 competent cells (Strategene, La Jolla, CA, USA). Recombinant clones were cultured overnight at 37°C on an Luria-Bertani (LB) plate containing 50 µg/ml ampicillin and 25 µg/ml tetracycline, followed by selection of colonies by blue-white screening. Selected clones were grown in LB medium, and then used to extract and purify plasmid DNA by Wizard Plus SV Minipreps DNA Purification System (Promega) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Purified plasmid DNAs were sequenced using BigDye terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Thermo fisher Scientific) and 3130xl Genetic Analyzer (Thermo fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Homology and domain analyses of Chgs

Homology and domain analyses of Chgs were performed using Genetyx ver. 11 (GENETYX, Tokyo, Japan) and CD-Search (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/wrpsb.cgi), respectively. The cleavage site of peptide sequence was predicted using SignalP 4.1 Server (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/). The repetitive structure of Chgs were estimated using RADAR (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/pfa/radar/).

Quantitative real-time reverse transcription PCR (qPCR)

Total RNAs were extracted from the liver samples, and measured their concentrations as described above. 200 ng total RNA was reverse-transcribed by

Primer name	Primer sequence	Primer direction	Use
chgHα-F	CTTGTTCTGAATCCATGGCC	Forward	Cloning of <i>chgHa</i>
chgHa-R	AGATGTCTCATCTTTTATTGTC	Reverse	Cloning of <i>chgHa</i>
chgHβ-F	GTAGCGAAGCCATTGCGA	Forward	Cloning of $chgH\beta$
chgHβ-R	CGAGGACAGTCAGATTTATTTG	Reverse	Cloning of $chgH\beta$
chgL-F	ATCAATTCTTATTGTGAAGCCATG	Forward	Cloning of chgL
chgL-R	AACCATCAACTTGTTTATTTCAATG	Reverse	Cloning of chgL
<i>erα1</i> -F	AAAACTCTCACCACAGCAGCCG	Forward	Cloning of eral
<i>erα1</i> -R	TCACGGAATGGGCATCTGGTC	Reverse	Cloning of eral
chgHa-F qPCR	GACAGCCACTATGACCTGCTC	Forward	qPCR for <i>chgH</i> α
chgHa-R qPCR	TCCACGTGGAGAACTGCATC	Reverse	qPCR for <i>chgH</i> α
chgHβ-F qPCR	GCTACAGAAAGAGGAGAGACATTCC	Forward	qPCR for <i>chgHβ</i>
chgHβ-R qPCR	TTCTAGTTGGTGAGCTCCCTTGG	Reverse	qPCR for <i>chgHβ</i>
chgL-F qPCR	AGCCAGCTAAGGATGACTACCAAC	Forward	qPCR for <i>chgL</i>
chgL-R qPCR	TCGCGTCATTTGTCCTGATGAG	Reverse	qPCR for <i>chgL</i>
<i>erα1</i> -F qPCR	ACTGGTGTTTGTGTCCTCCAG	Forward	qPCR for <i>erα1</i>
<i>erα1</i> -R qPCR	ACTGTACGACTGCTGCCTATCG	Reverse	qPCR for <i>eral</i>

Table 1 Name, nucleotide sequence, direction, and use of primers

SuperScript® VILO cDNA Synthesis kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific), according to the manufacturer's instruction. Aliquots of total RNA of all samples were pooled, reverse-transcribed and used as an inter-assay control (IAC) to normalize values among plates. In addition, reactions without reverse transcriptase enzyme were used as no reverse transcriptase control (NRT).

Gene specific primers (Table 1) for qPCR amplification of *chg* subtypes (*chg*: *chgHa*, *chgHβ* and *chgL*) and *eral* were designed using Primer3Plus (https://primer3plus.com/cgi-bin/dev/primer3plus.cgi) to cover intron/exon boundaries which were predicted from rainbow trout genome database (Accession No. GCA_900005705.1). The primers for elongation factor 1- α (*ef1-\alpha*) were designed as previous described (Luo et al., 2013).

All qPCR reactions were carried out in a volume of 10 µl. Primers were added to the reaction at final concentration of 150 nM except for $chgH\alpha$ (50 nM). Each reaction mix contained 5 µl of SYBR® Green PCR Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 0.5 µl of template (either reverse-transcription: RT, NRT product, or standard plasmid cDNA) and 0.5 µl of each forward and reverse primer. Standard curves were generated using a serial dilution of plasmid DNA containing the target gene (10² to 10⁷ copies per reaction). The PCR amplifications and fluorescence detection were performed using the Step One Plus (Thermo Fisher Scientific) as following cycling parameters: one cycle of 10 min at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min. Standard curves were generated using a serial dilution of plasmid DNA containing the target gene (10² to 10⁷ copies per reaction). The expression levels of the target gene were normalized to the expression levels of the $efI-\alpha$. Results were reported as copy number

of target gene / copy number of $efl-\alpha$. No PCR amplification was observed from no-reverse-transcription control templates. Primer specificity was confirmed by dissociation curve analysis of PCR products.

Statistics

Data were analyzed by two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey-Kramer honestly significant difference (HSD) test, using a JMP 7 Software program (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). The difference between groups was considered significant at P < 0.05. All the results are expressed as means \pm SE. Correlation analyses between serum E2 levels (Mushirobira, 2015) and hepatic mRNA levels were performed using the Excel software package (Office 2010, Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA).

Results

Primary structure analyses of chgs

The cDNAs of $chgH\alpha$, $chgH\beta$ and chgL were isolated and sequenced (Accession Nos. $chgH\alpha$, LC331063; $chgH\beta$, LC331064; chgL, LC331065). The sequences of $chgH\alpha$, $chgH\beta$ and chgL contained open reading frames encoded 532, 517 and 441 amino acids with predicted mass of 59.4 kDa, 57.1 kDa and 49.9 kDa, respectively. The encoded cutthroat trout ChgH\alpha, ChgH\beta and ChgL had ZP domains and consensus furin cleavage sites (CFCS) (Fig, 2). The trefoil domains were found in the ChgH\alpha and ChgH β but not in the ChgL. The similarities of ChgH α , ChgH β , and ChgL between cutthroat trout and rainbow trout were 93.3%, 97.7% and 99.3%, respectively. The peptides of ChgH α and ChgH β of cutthroat trout lacked the 32 and 7 amino acids between the signal peptides and the ZP domain compared to those of rainbow trout, respectively (Fig. 3). In the lacked regions, there were repetitive structures of proline (P) and glutamine (Q). The basic units of the repetitive structure were PLPQR(W)PAQ for ChgH α and PPQRPAQ for ChgH β . In cutthroat trout, ChgH α and ChgH β lacked four and one repetitive units compared with those in rainbow trout, respectively.

Annual changes in hepatic *chg* and *erα1* mRNA levels

Hepatic $chgH\alpha$ and chgL mRNA levels showed similar expression patterns. Both mRNAs kept low levels from January to June and started to show an increasing tendency in August (Fig. 4). These mRNA levels reached plateau in October, showing significant increases compared to those from January to June, and then remained high



Fig. 2 Schematic representation of cutthroat trout choriogenin proteins (Chg). Depicted are several elements that comprise the polypeptide chains of cutthroat trout Chgs (ChgH α , ChgH β , ChgL). Elements aligned from N-terminus (N) to C-terminus (C) include an Signal peptide sequence (diamond shape), repetitive region (dotted quadrangle), ZP domain (white quadrangle) and consensus furin-like cleavage-site (CFLCS; discoid shape). ChgH α and ChgH β also contain a trefoil domain (hexagonal shape).

Α			
Ct ChgH α	54	PLPQRPAEPLPQRPAQ	69
Rt ChgHα	53	PLPQRPAEPLPQRPAQPLPQRPAQPLPQRPAQPLPQWPAQPLPQRPAQ	100
В			
Ct ChgHβ	98	PPQWPAQPPQRPAQPPQRPAQPPQRPAQPPQRPAQPPQWPVQ	139
Rt ChgHβ	98	PPQWPAQPPQRPAQPPQRPAQPPQRPAQPPQRPAQPPQWPVHPPQWPVQ	146

Fig. 3 Alignment of partial repetitive regions in cutthroat trout and rainbow trout choriogenin H proteins (ChgH).

Depicted are sequence that showed difference between cutthroat trout and rainbow trout. Repetitive unit are indicated by arrows. A: cutthoroat trout ChgH α (Ct ChgH α) and rainbow trout ChgH α (Rt ChgH α), B: cutthoroat trout ChgH β (Ct ChgH β) and rainbow trout ChgH β (Rt ChgH β). The numbers on the right and left refer to the position of the amino acids. Amino acids lacked in the Ct ChgHs are shown by dashes (-). Identical amino acids are indicated by vertical lines between sequences.



Fig. 4 Reproductive changes in expression of choriogenin transcripts (top panel: $chgH\alpha$; middle panel: $chgH\beta$; bottom panel: chgL) in the cutthroat trout. Different letters denote that values are significantly different (P < 0.05).

values until February. Hepatic $chgH\beta$ mRNA expression kept low levels from January to June, and then gradually increased until February, exhibiting significant increase between August and December, as well as between October and February (Fig. 4).

Hepatic $er\alpha l$ mRNA expression kept low levels from January to June, then significantly increased between June and August, and gradually decreased until February with significant decrease between August and December (Fig. 5).

Relationship between serum E2 levels and *chg* or *er* α *1* mRNA levels

Correlation between serum E2 levels and *chg* or *er* αl mRNA levels were analyzed using data from January 2009 to December 2010. All *chg* mRNA levels showed highly positive correlations with serum E2 levels (Fig. 6; *chgH* α : R^2 = 0.78, P < 0.001; *chgH* β : $R^2 = 0.92$, P < 0.001; *chgL*: $R^2 = 0.75$, P < 0.001). Heparic *er* αl mRNA levels did not show any significant correlation with serum E2 levels (Fig. 7).



Fig. 5 Reproductive changes in expression of estrogen receptor αl (*eral*) transcripts in the cutthroat trout.

Different letters denote that values are significantly different (P < 0.05).



Fig. 6 Correlation of estradiol-17 β (E2) with hepatic choriogenin mRNA levels (top panel: *chgHa*; middle panel: *chgHβ*; bottom panel: *chgL*) in the cutthroat trout. Post-ovulated samples (February 2010) were excluded from the analysis.



Fig. 7 Correlation of estradiol-17 β (E2) with hepatic estrogen receptor $\alpha 1$ (*era1*) mRNA levels in the cutthroat trout. Post-ovulated samples (February 2010) were excluded from the analysis.

Discussion

The polypeptide sequences of cutthroat trout Chgs (ChgH α , ChgH β and ChgL) deduced from cloned cDNAs have ZP domains and CFLS, which are typical characteristics of ZP protein family. ZP proteins are thought to polymerize into filaments using ZP domains when the protein are cleaved at CFCS (Jovine et al., 2005). Thus, cutthroat trout Chgs were assumed to exhibit the basic function as ZP proteins. In ChgH α and ChgH β , but not in ChgL, trefoil domains are also found. Difference of Chg function depending on the presence or absence of trefoil domain remains unclear. However, it has been shown that trefoil domain prevents the degradation of the ZP proteins (Bork, 1993; Gajhede et al., 1993). Therefore, the presence or absence of trefoil domains in ChgHs and ChgL may affect their stabilities.

The amino acid sequences of cutthroat trout Chgs showed high similarities with those of rainbow trout Chgs (ChgH α : 93.3%, ChgH β : 97.7%, ChgL: 99.3%), but cutthroat trout ChgH α and ChgH β lacked some sequences in repetitive structures relative to those of rainbow trout ChgHs. The presence of repetitive structures in ChgHs are found in many teleosts (Kanamori et al., 2003), but the functions of repetitive structures on ChgHs are not known. The repetitive structures of ChgHs are different even in closely related species, such as cutthroat trout and rainbow trout. Therefore, the repetitive structures with abundant PQ are likely to vary among species.

In October when serum E2 levels increased sharply (Mushirobira, 2015), $chgH\alpha$ and chgL mRNA levels showed significant increase and reached plateau. On the other hand, $chgH\beta$ mRNA levels significantly increased in December when serum E2 levels showed highest value (Mushirobira, 2015)n and kept increasing thereafter. These results revealed that reproductive change in $chgH\beta$ mRNA was unique among chgs in cutthroat trout. In the *in vivo* E2 exposure experiment of rainbow trout, $chgH\alpha$ and $chgH\beta$ mRNA levels were up-regulated by lower concentration of E2 than that were effective for chgL mRNA levels (Thomas-Jones et al., 2003). These results suggested that distinct chg subtypes exhibit different sensitivity to E2 in each trout. Since the effect of Chg subtypes on the properties of the chorion have not been clarified yet, it is difficult to explain the physiological significance of differential E2 sensitivity in Chg subtypes. In gilthead seabream (Sparus aurata), it has been shown that differential localization of the Chg/Zp subtypes in chorion were evident, although the chorion precursor proteins are not distinguished from ovary- and liver-derived types (Modig et al., 2008). The difference in expression patterns of hepatic chgs mRNAs possibly reflect such differential localization in the chorion of trouts. Further studies are needed to investigate the effect of Chg subtypes on the properties of the chorion, and the localizations of Chg subtypes, to analyze the relationship between those differences and their E2 responsiveness.

In teleosts, chorion formation (choriogenesis) generally precedes yolk formation (vitellogenesis) in ovarian follicle (Selman and Wallace, 1989). However, mRNA levels of all three *chg* subtypes in the liver of female cutthroat trout started to increase in August: like in two *vtg* subtypes (Mushirobira et al., 2013). Thus, none of increase in *chg* mRNA levels preceded the increase in *vtg* mRNA levels. The tendency of synchronous increase in Chg and Vtg protein levels were reported in Sakhalin taimen (*Hucho perryi*) (Shimizu et al., 2000) and masu salmon (Fujita et al., 2005). These

results suggest that increases in *chg*/Chg levels do not precede those in *vtg*/Vtg levels, although choriogenesis precedes vitellogenesis. This discrepancy may be due to the involvement of ovary-derived Zp in the chorion formation. In medaka, *zp* genes are expressed in the ovary, as well as *chg* in the liver (Kanamori, 2000). In masu salmon, ovarian *zp* mRNA and hepatic *chg* mRNA are expressed in the ovary and the liver, respectively (Fujita et al., 2003). Mechanisms for the regulation of *zp* genes in salmonid ovary are completely unknown. The study using zebrafish revealed that *zp3* (*zpC*) gene does not show the estrogen response, and its promoter does not contain ERE (Liu, 2006). In addition, *zp3* mRNA expresses at stage I and II oocytes (primary growth stage), but the expression levels gradually decline and become barely detectable in early stage III oocytes (vitellogenesis stage). Therefore, the expression of ovary-derived Zp proteins, which are not responsive to E2, may contribute to the earlier formation of chorion than yolk deposition. Further research directed toward the analysis of ovarian *zp* genes and Zp proteins are required to clarify the roles of ovarian *zp*/ZP in salmonid choriogenesis.

In cutthroat trout, while the levels of serum E2 and hepatic *vtg* mRNAs showed low values in the ovulated fish of February 2013 (Mushirobira et al., 2013; Mushirobira, 2015), the *chgs* mRNA levels kept high values. The serum levels of ChgH and ChgL protein decrease in the ovulated masu salmon, as well as serum E2 and Vtg levels do (Fujita et al., 2005). Also in Sakhalin taimen, serum levels of two Chg proteins decreased in ovulated fish (Shimizu et al., 2000). Thus, the profiles of hepatic *chg* mRNAs are possibly inconsistent with serum Chg proteins in salmonids, unlike *vtg*/Vtg. However, the profiles of Chgs protein in cutthroat trout and *chgs* mRNA in masu salmon and Sakhalin taimen remain to be investigated. Further studies are needed to

confirm the relationship between hepatic *chg* mRNAs and serum Chg proteins in these species.

Unlike *chg* and *vtg* mRNAs, $er\alpha l$ mRNA levels significantly increased only in August, at the beginning of vitellogenesis, followed by the gradual decrease with progress of vitellogenesis. Of the four *er* subtypes in the liver of female rainbow trout, $er\alpha l$ mRNA levels increase during vitellogenesis (Nagler et al., 2012). Also in large mouse bass (*Micropterus salmoides*), only $er\alpha$ mRNA shows high levels among *er* subtypes ($er\alpha$, $er\beta$: $er\beta l$, $er\gamma$: $er\beta 2$) during vitellogenesis (Sabo-Attwood et al., 2004). The differential expression pattern of $er\alpha l$ between cutthroat trout and the other fish may be due to involvement of the other Er subtypes in the regulation of *chg* and *vtg* expression. In goldfish, it has been shown that hepatic *vtg* expression is not only regulated by $Er\alpha$, but also $Er\beta 1$ and $Er\beta 2$ (Nelson and Habibi, 2010) . Rainbow trout has an additional $er\alpha$ subtypes, $er\alpha 2$, whose role remains unclear. Thus, in cutthroat trout, the Er subtypes other than $Er\alpha 1$ may be also involved in the expressions of *chg* and *vtg* mRNAs, leading to differential expression patterns of $er\alpha l$ from the other fish. Further study is needed to analyze the functions of all Er subtypes to clarify their roles in oocyte development.

Levels of *chg* mRNAs for cutthroat trout were highly correlated with those of serum E2 levels (*chgHa* : $R^2 = 0.78$; *chgHβ* : $R^2 = 0.92$; *chgL* : $R^2 = 0.75$) from January (perinuclear stage) to December (vitellogenic stage) like *vtg* mRNAs as previously described (*vtgAs*: $R^2 = 0.91$, *vtgC*: $R^2 = 0.83$; Mushirobira, 2015). These results suggest that *chg* and *vtg* mRNAs are expressed mainly under E2 regulation during the period from perinuclear stage to vitellogenic stage. However, in ovulated fish, although both

vtg mRNA and serum E2 levels decrease (Mushirobira et al., 2013), chg mRNAs kept the high levels. Thus, chg and vtg expressions appear to be differentially regulated in the ovulated fish. Unlike chg and vtg mRNAs, $er\alpha l$ mRNA did not show any correlation with serum E2 levels, suggesting that $er\alpha l$ is under different mechanism from those of chg and vtg. The mechanism that causes above mentioned difference between expressions of $er\alpha l$ and chgs/vtgs remains unclear. It is expected that the structure of promoter region responsible for gene expression are different among chgs, vtgs and $er\alpha l$, resulting in the different expression patterns of these genes.

In summary, this study revealed the hepatic mRNAs expressions of three *chg* subtypes and *er* αl during reproductive cycle of female cutthroat trout. The previous report of serum E2 levels and hepatic *vtg* mRNA levels (Mushirobira et al., 2013; Mushirobira, 2015) in addition to the results of the present study enable us to compare the profile of the serum E2 and hepatic *chg*, *vtg* and *er* αl mRNAs in female cutthroat trout during reproductive cycle. Simply, the both *chg* and *vtg* mRNAs increased along with the progress of vitellogenesis and with the serum E2 levels. In the ovulated fish however, *chg* mRNAs kept high levels, unlike serum E2 and *vtg* mRNAs. Meanwhile, hepatic *er* αl mRNA levels exhibited a peak in August (at the beginning of vitellogenesis) before the levels of serum E2 and *chg* and *vtg* mRNAs started to increase significantly. These results suggest that expression levels of *chg*, *vtg* and *er* αl genes are potentially regulated through E2 stimulation by different mechanisms.
Chapter III. Transcriptional responses of choriogenins, vitellogenins and estrogen receptor α1 to estradiol-17β administration in the liver of cutthroat trout

Introduction

The results of Chapter II showed that hepatic mRNA levels of three chgs ($chgH\alpha$, $chgH\beta$ and chgL) and two vtgs (vtgAs and vtgC) increased along with serum E2 levels during the reproductive cycle of cutthroat trout. The levels of chg and vtg mRNAs were significantly and positively correlated with the serum E2 levels during vitellogenesis. On the other hand, hepatic $er\alpha l$ mRNA levels exhibited a peak in August (at the beginning of vitellogenesis) before increase in levels of serum E2, as well as hepatic chg and vtg mRNAs. Expression patterns of these mRNAs appeared to be different, suggesting that their expression differ in regulation by E2. However, the mechanisms underlying such difference are unknown.

Effect of E2 on the expression of hepatic *chg*, *vtg* and *er* α (*er* α *l* in salmonids) genes have been analyzed by E2 treatment *in vivo* in various teleost species including salmonids (Hiramatsu et al., 1997; Westerlund et al., 2001; Kang et al., 2002; Fujita et al., 2004; Sabo-Attwood et al., 2004; Boyce-Derricott et al., 2009; Amano et al., 2010). These studies have revealed the up-regulation of *chgs*, *vtgs* and *er* α /*er* α *l* genes by E2. However, these studies have been conducted in different species and/or different treatment condition. In addition, most studies did not consider the multiplicity of *chg*, *vtg* and *er* genes. Therefore, it is difficult to obtain the integrated view on the E2 responses of these genes from previous studies. Thus, it is important to analyze the E2 with consideration of all subtypes of E2-responsive genes.

Recently, Mushirobira et al. (2018) have examined *in vivo* effects of single E2 injection on hepatic *vtgAs* and *vtgC* mRNA expressions in precocious male and immature cutthroat trout. As the results, both *vtg* mRNAs synchronously increased at the same timing. In this chapter, the responses of *chg* and *er\alpha1* mRNAs to E2 were analyzed to compare their responses each other, as well as with those of *vtgs*, in cutthroat trout *in vivo*, using the same samples used for the previous *vtg* mRNAs analysis.

Materials and methods

Experimental fish and tissue samples

Fish and tissue samples were obtained as described in the previous study for quantification of vtg mRNAs (Mushirobira et al. 2018). One-year-old cutthroat trout consisting of a mixture of immature individuals and precociously mature males (body weight 93.9 \pm 40.1 g; fork length 208 \pm 28 mm; mean \pm standard deviation) were obtained from Nanae Fresh-Water Laboratory, Field Science Center for Northern Biosphere, Hokkaido University. They were reared in outdoor aquaria at the Faculty of Fisheries Sciences, Hokkaido University, receiving a continuous flow of well water under natural photothermal conditions. The fish were administered a single intraperitoneal injection of E2 dissolved in propylene glycol at one of three doses (low: 50 μ g/kg body weight; middle: 500 μ g/kg body weight; and high: 5000 μ g/kg body weight) or a solvent (propylene glycol) only for control. Blood and liver samples were collected from each group (N = 5 fish) at 2 and 5 days post-injections. Blood samples were incubated overnight at 4°C, and then, sera were separated by centrifugation and stored at -30°C for quantification of E2 (Mushirobira et al., 2018). Liver samples were immersed in RNAlater solution (Thermo fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) overnight at 4°C, and then stored at -30°C until RNA extraction. Blood and tissue samples were also collected as described above from fish prior to hormone treatment (initial control group).

Quantitative real-time reverse transcription PCR (qPCR)

Extraction of total RNAs from liver tissues, reverse transcription of RNA samples and qPCR assays for $chgH\alpha$, $chgH\beta$, chgL and $er\alpha l$ were performed as described in Chapter II with following modification. Aliquots of total RNA of samples in all E2-treated groups were pooled, reverse-transcribed and used as an inter-assay control (IAC) to normalize the values among plates. FastStart Universal Master Mix (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) was used as the master mix for PCR amplification. Each primer was added to the reaction at final concentrations of 150 nM.

Statistics

Data at the same days following treatments were analyzed by two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey-Kramer HSD test. Data at the different days following treatments were analyzed by Student's t-test. These statistical analyses were performed using a JMP 7 Software program (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). The difference between groups was considered significant at P < 0.05. All the results are expressed as means \pm SE. For statistical analyses, samples that showed levels below the detection limit (100 copy/reaction mix) in qPCR were treated as indicating the detection limit.

Results

Effect of E2 on hepatic chg mRNA expression

At both 2 days and 5 days post-injections (dpi), mRNA levels of *chg* subtypes in the E2 treatment groups tended to increase in dose-dependent manners (Fig. 8). At 2 and 5 dpi, the significant increase in *chgHa* mRNAs occurred at high-dose (5000 μ g/kg) groups compared to control groups. Meanwhile, low E2 dose (50 μ g/kg) and middle E2 dose (500 μ g/kg) groups were not significantly different from the control groups in *chgHa* levels at 2 and 5 dpi. The *chgHβ* and *chgL* mRNAs in middle and high E2 dose groups at 2 dpi and in high dose groups at 5 dpi showed significant increase compared to those of their corresponding control groups at 5 dpi were not significantly different from the rompose at 2 and 5 dpi.

From 2 dpi to 5 dpi, a slight but significant increase in $chgH\alpha$ mRNA levels occurred in control groups. In $chgH\alpha$, the mRNA levels significantly increased from 2 dpi to 5 dpi in middle- and high-dose groups, while no such significant difference was found in the low-dose group. In $chgH\beta$ and chgL, significant increase were evident only in the mRNA levels of high-dose groups between 2 dpi and 5 dpi, while no increase were found in in low- and middle-dose groups.

Effect of E2 on hepatic *erα1* mRNA expression

Levels of $er\alpha l$ mRNA in the middle-dose group at 2 dpi were significantly higher than those in the control group (Fig. 9); at 2 dpi, high, but not significant, levels of



Fig. 8 Hepatic levels of choriogenin transcripts (top panel: $chgH\alpha$; middle panel: $chgH\beta$; bottom panel: chgL) before (Initial) or after (2 days or 5 days) injection of estradiol-17 β (E2) at three distinct doses (L: 50 µg/kg body weight, M: 500 µg/kg body weight, and H: 5000 µg/kg body weight) and a solvent control (C: propylene glycol).

Columns indicate mean values and vertical brackets indicate standard errors. Mean values bearing different letter superscripts or asterisks are significantly different (P <0.05)



Fig. 9 Hepatic levels of estrogen receptor $\alpha 1$ transcripts (*era1*) before (Initial) or after (2 days or 5 days) injection of estradiol-17 β (E2) at three distinct doses (L: 50 μ g/kg body weight, M: 500 μ g/kg body weight, and H: 5000 μ g/kg body weight) and a solvent control (C: propylene glycol).

Columns indicate mean values and vertical brackets indicate standard errors. Mean values bearing different letter superscripts or asterisks are significantly different (P < 0.05)

 $er\alpha l$ mRNA was found in high-dose groups. At 5 dpi, $er\alpha l$ mRNA levels in both of middle- and high- dose groups were significantly higher than those in the control group. Meanwhile, $er\alpha l$ mRNA levels in low-dose groups did not show significant differences compared to those in the corresponding control groups at 2 and 5 dpi. A significant decrease in $er\alpha l$ mRNA levels of low-does groups occurred from 2 dpi to 5 dpi, while no significant change was found between 2 dpi and 5 dpi in middle- and high-dose groups.

Discussion

The expression of three *chg* mRNAs showed positive responses to *in vivo* E2 injection in cutthroat trout, indicating that the *chg* gene expressions are under the control of E2 in this species, like in other salmonids (Shimizu et al., 2000; Hyllner et al., 2001; Westerlund et al., 2001; Fujita et al., 2004). In cutthroat trout, the *chgHβ* and *chgL* mRNAs showed higher sensitivity to E2 than *chgHα* mRNA at 2 dpi following injections while all *chg* mRNAs showed the same sensitivity at 5 dpi. In rainbow trout receiving 4.8 ng/L E2 exposure for 14 days *in vivo*, *chgHα* mRNA is more sensitive to E2 than other *chgs*, while *chgHα* and *chgHβ* are more sensitive than *chgL* in the rainbow trout receiving 9.7 ng/L E2 exposure for 48 hrs (Thomas-Jones et al., 2003). In Arctic char (*Salvelinus alpinus*), mRNA levels of three *chg* subtypes significantly increase at the same timing (6 hrs) following E2 injection (10 mg/kg body weight) (Westerlund et al., 2001). Thus, the responses of *chgs* to E2 appear to depend on characteristics of experimental fish (age, sex, species, etc.), and/or treatment conditions.

During the reproductive cycle of cutthroat trout, *chgs* mRNA levels significantly increased at different timing: *chgH* β mRNA levels significantly increased in December (vitellogenic stage), while *chgH* α and *chgL* mRNA levels increased in October (vitellogenic stage) (Chapter II). It seems that the sensitivity of three *chg* subtypes to E2 in cutthroat trout following E2 injection does not reflect the timing at which corresponding *chg* subtype mRNA levels significantly increase during the reproductive cycle. This discrepancy may be caused by the differences in the elevation patterns of serum E2 levels between the two analyses. In reproductive cycle, it takes several months for serum E2 levels to reach the maximal values from the beginning of vitellogenesis (Mushirobira, 2015). Meanwhile, in the experiment of E2 administration *in vivo*, serum E2 levels reach the highest values within a few days following administration. (Mushirobira et al., 2018). These differential kinetics of serum E2 levels may result in the difference in sensitivities of *chg* subtypes between the reproductive cycle and E2 administration *in vivo*.

As described in Chapter II, the chgs mRNA levels did not increase at earlier timing than vtgs mRNAs during the reproductive cycle of cutthroat trout, although chorion formation starts prior to yolk accumulation in teleosts including salmonids. In the present study, the sensitivity of chgs mRNAs to E2 was not high compared to those of vtg mRNAs (Mushirobira et al., 2018). These results suggest that hepatic chg expressions may not contribute to the early stage of chorion formation that occurs before vitellogenesis. Instead, chorion precursor proteins derived from ovarian zp genes are possibly involved as discussed in Chapter II. This hypothesis is supported by a result that zpB and zpC mRNAs are expressed in the previtellogenic oocytes but not in the lipid droplet oocytes of cutthroat trout (Kawakita, 2013). In zebrafish, expression of ovarian zpB (zp2) and zpC (zp3) mRNAs were not E2-dependent (Liu, 2006). However, $er\beta^2$ (er2a) knockout (KO) zebrafish showed lower ovarian zpB and zpC mRNAs levels than the levels in wild types in early vitellogenic follicles (Lu et al., 2017). These results suggest that expression of ovarian zp genes are under the regulation of $er\beta 2$, although these genes do not have E2-responsiveness. Further studies on the regulation of ovarian zp gene expressions are required to confirm the involvement of zp genes in chorion formation of cutthroat trout.

The $er\alpha l$ mRNA levels in middle- and high-does groups reached plateau at 2 dpi, as well as vtgC mRNA, while the levels chg mRNAs in high-does groups and vtgAsmRNA increased from 2 pdi to 5 dpi. This particular expression pattern of $er\alpha l$ is in accordance with the previous results obtained from rainbow trout (Pakdel et al., 1991). er (considered as $er\alpha l$) mRNA levels showed highest values between 2 and 6 dpi and decreased after 8 dpi, while vtg (considered as vtgAs) mRNA levels gradually increase and reach highest levels at 15 dpi in male rainbow trout injected with 1.5 mg/kg E2. These results suggest that $er\alpha l$ mRNA levels reach maximum values before chgs and vtgAs mRNA levels reach maximum in response to E2. The maximal levels of $er\alpha l$ were seen at earlier timing than those of chgs mRNA and vtgs mRNA during reproductive cycle of cutthroat trout, as described in Chapter II. The increase of $er\alpha l$ mRNA at earlier timing than the increases of chg and vtg mRNAs in reproductive cycle may be due to the particular response of $er\alpha l$ gene to E2 in vivo. The increase of $er\alpha l$ mRNA expression should result in the increase of $Er\alpha 1$ protein synthesis. Thus, the increased $Er\alpha 1$ protein probably leads to the up-regulation of chgs and vtg mRNAs.

As stated before, teleosts have at least three Er subtypes (Er α , Er β 1 and Er β 2), and Er α is thought to be the major regulator of *chgs* and *vtgs* expressions. Recently, Tohyama et al., (2017) established *er\alpha* (*esr1*) KO male and female medaka using a Transcription Activator-Like Effector Nuclease (TALEN) approach. The *er\alpha* KO male medaka shows lower *vtg* levels than wild type medaka when they are exposed to estrogen (17 α -ethinylestradiol), indicating *er\alpha* is involved in *vtg* expression. However, *er\alpha* KO female medaka do not show any significant defects in their gonadal development. In female zebrafish with each *er* subtype KO (*esr1: er\alpha, esr2a: er\beta2,* *esr2b*: *er* βl) using clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)/CRISPR-associated (Cas) system , normal reproductive development and function were confirmed (Lu et al., 2017). These results suggested that Er α function could be compensated by the other Er β subtypes at least in part, indicating Er β s are likely to affect the function of Er α . The Er β s possibly play some roles in the expressions of *chg*s and *vtg*s genes. Further investigation is required to confirm the role of Er β s in the expression of *chg* and *vtg* genes.

In summary, the differential responses in mRNA expression of *chgs*, *vtgs* and *er\alpha1* to E2 were confirmed in male or immature cutthroat trout following *in vivo* E2 injections. In E2-treatment groups, hepatic *er\alpha1* and *vtgC* mRNA levels reached plateau at 2 dpi, while the other mRNA levels kept increasing from 2 dpi to 5 dpi. These results suggest that their expressions are under different E2 regulation.

Chapter IV. Transcriptional responses of choriogenins, vitellogenins and estrogen receptor $\alpha 1$ to estradiol-17 β in primary cultured hepatocytes of cutthroat trout

Introduction

Hepatic *chg*, *vtg* and *er\alphal* expressions have been analyzed in cutthroat trout in previous Chapters; Chapter II: changes in their mRNA levels during the reproductive cycle of female fish, Chapter III: changes in their mRNA levels following E2 treatment *in vivo* in mature male and immature fish. The results from these Chapters have suggested that the E2 responses of these genes are different.

The physiological response *in vivo* is affected by the complexity of the intact animal. Thus, it is difficult to exclude the effects of several factors, such as individual differences and other endocrine hormones, on the expressions of E2-responsive genes. Further investigations are required to analyze the E2 responses of *chg*, *vtg* and *er \alpha l* genes without the complexity of the intact animal. Primary cultured hepatocytes and fish cell lines are suitable experimental models for such analysis, because the analyses using these cultured cells can be performed without the complexity of the intact animals. However, the fish cell lines derived from rainbow trour hepatoma (RTH-149) or zebrafish normal liver (ZF-L) seem to lose the ability of Vtg production (Christianson-Heiska and Isomaa, 2008). Meanwhile, the primary cultured hepatocytes have the ability to produce Chg, Vtg and Er in response to estrogens (Flouriot et al., 1997; Celius and Walther, 1998). Therefore, in this Chapter, the primary cultured hepatocytes were used for further investigation to analyze the E2 response in mRNA expression of *chgs*, *vtgs* and *ers*.

As described in Chapter I, there are at least three er subtypes ($er\alpha$, $er\beta l$, $er\beta 2$) in

teleosts. The possible involvement of Er subtypes other than Eral in vtg and $er\alpha$ expression has been shown in several studies (Nelson and Habibi, 2010; Griffin et al., 2013). However, the information for the roles of Er subtypes other than $\text{Er}\alpha 1$ on the expressions of chgs, vtgs and $er\alpha l$ genes are limited. To understand the role of Er subtypes other than $Er\alpha 1$ in the expression of these genes, it is important to clarify their transcriptional responses to E2. The $\text{Er}\alpha 1$, which is thought to be the major regulator for the expression of hepatic genes responsible for fish oogenesis, is up-regulated by E2, thus possibly contributing to active expressions of chg and vtg genes. If Er subtypes other than Eral are up-regulated by E2, these Er subtype proteins possibly contribute the active production of Chgs and Vtgs α . The E2 response of $er\alpha 2$, an additional Er α subtype in salmonids, is controversial: some studies (Osachoff et al., 2013; Casanova-Nakayama et al., 2018) report that the $er\alpha 2$ mRNA levels are up-regulated by E2, while the another study (Boyce-Derricott et al., 2009) does not confirm such E2 response of $er\alpha 2$ mRNAs. The E2 responses of ErBs appear to depend on species and/or treatment conditions (Menuet, 2004; Sabo-Attwood et al., 2004; Nelson et al., 2007; Boyce-Derricott et al., 2009). In rainbow trout, $Er\beta$ subtypes are not up-regulated by E2 (Boyce-Derricott et al., 2009; Osachoff et al., 2013; Casanova-Nakayama et al., 2018). The E2 responses of *er* subtypes other than $er\alpha l$ remain unclear in cutthroat trout.

In this Chapter, in order to clarify the effect of E2 on transcriptional responses of all *chg*, *vtg* and *er* subtypes in the liver, E2 responses of these genes in primary cultured hepatocyte of cutthroat trout were analyzed.

Materials and methods

Experimental fish and tissue sample

Cutthroat trout used in this study were obtained from a breeding stock held in flow-through fresh water under the natural ambient condition at Nanae Fresh-Water Laboratory, Field Science Center for Northern Biosphere, Hokkaido University. These fish were transferred and reared in outdoor aquaria at the Faculty of Fisheries Sciences, Hokkaido University (Hakodate, Japan), receiving a continuous flow of well water under natural photothermal conditions before use in the following experiments.

For cloning of *er* cDNAs, livers from females with following biological characteristics were collected; for *er* α 2, body weight (BW): 920.5 g, total length (TL): 345 mm, gonadosomatic index (GSI: gonad weight/body weight × 100): 5.8; for *er* β 1, BW: 355.3 g, TL: 320 mm, GSI: 1.4; for *er* β 2, BW: 129.3 g, TL: 222 mm, GSI: 0.30. Tissue samples were immediately immersed in ice-cold RNA later (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), incubated overnight at 4°C, and stored at -30°C until the use for RNA extraction.

For the experiments of primary cultured hepatocytes, male cutthroat trout (BW: 420 ~ 480 g, TL: 31.4 ~ 41.0 mm) were used.

Molecular cloning of $er\alpha 2$, $er\beta 1$ and $er\beta 2$

Molecular cloning of $er\alpha 2$, $er\beta 1$ and $er\beta 2$ were performed as described in Chapter II with following modifications. The extracted total RNAs (1250 µg) were reverse-transcribed. Primer sets were designed from rainbow trout $er\alpha 2$

Table 2 Name, nucleotide sequence, direction, and use of primers

Name	Sequence	Direction	Use
$er \alpha 2$ -F	GCCATCTCACCCCAGAAACT	Forward	Cloning for $er \alpha 2$
$er \alpha 2$ -R	ACTCTCACCTCCACAAATGTCA	Reverse	Cloning for $er \alpha 2$
<i>er β1</i> -F	CACGGATGGATTGCTACTCC	Forward	Cloning for $er \beta l$
<i>er β1</i> -R	GAACAGTGCTAATGCCTGAAAGG	Reverse	Cloning for $er \beta l$
<i>er β2</i> -F	CACTGATGACAGGCTTGGCAG	Forward	Cloning for $er \beta 2$
<i>er β2</i> -R	AATGGTCACAGACACTGATAAAG	Reverse	Cloning for $er \beta 2$
$er \alpha 2$ -F qPCR	AGCCTCCCCAGCCAGTCTATC	Forward	qPCR for $er \alpha 2$
$er \alpha 2$ -R qPCR	TGAGCCTGACCCTGACTCCAC	Reverse	qPCR for $er \alpha 2$
$er \beta 1$ -F qPCR	TCCATTGTCTCTGCACCATCG	Forward	qPCR for $er \beta 1$
$er \beta 1$ -R qPCR	TTCCTCAGAGGCTTACTGCTCTC	Reverse	qPCR for $er \beta 1$
$er \beta 2$ -F qPCR	TCCAAACGAGGCCTGTCATTC	Forward	qPCR for $er \beta 2$
$er \beta 2$ -R qPCR	TCTTCATGCTAGAGAGGTGCTG	Reverse	qPCR for $er \beta 2$

(NM_001124558), $er\beta l$ (NM_001124753) and $er\beta 2$ (NM_001124570.1) to amplify each entire open reading frame (Table 2). PCR for each *er* subtype was performed using PrimeSTAR® Max DNA Polymerase (Takara Bio, Shiga, Japan) as follows: 1 µl 1st stranded cDNA, 5 µl PrimeSTAR® Max premix, 1 µl each forward and reverse primers (10⁻⁶ M) and nuclease-free water up to final volume of 10 µl. The PCR amplification were carried out with following thermal parameters: 40 (*er* $\alpha 2$ and *er* βl) or 35 (*er* $\beta 2$) cycles at 98°C for 10 s, 55 °C for 5 s and 72 °C for 3 min.

Primary culture of hepatocytes

Hepatocytes were isolated from male cutthroat trout using a two-step collagenase perfusion technique as described previously (Klaunig et al., 1985) with following modifications. Briefly, trout was anesthetized in 2-phenoxyethanol (Kanto Chemical, Tokyo, Japan). The liver was perfused with Ca-free modified Hanks solution (137 mM NaCl, 5.4 mM KCl, 0.5 mM NaH₂PO₄, 0.42 mM Na₂HPO₄, 4.2 mM NaHCO₃, 5 mM glucose, 0.5 mM EGTA, 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.4,) to remove blood from the liver. The liver was then perfused with the same solution without EGTA and glucose but with CaCl₂ (5 mM), 0.05% collagenase (Wako, Tokyo, Japan), and 0.005% trypsin inhibitor (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). After the perfusion, the liver was dispersed in ice-cold L-15 medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific) supplemented with 10 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 1% Antibiotic Antimycotic Solution (final concentration: 100 units/ml penicillin, 100 µg/ml streptomycin and 250 ng/ml amphotericin B; Wako) and 5% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Thermo Fisher Scientific). The cell suspension was filtered through cell strainer (Ikemoto Rika, Tokyo, Japan), and the filtrate was centrifugated at 100 g for 90 s at 4°C.

The pellet was resuspended in fresh medium and centrifugated at 100 g for 2 min at 4°C. The cell pellet was washed three times. Cell viability was about 95% when determined by the trypan blue exclusion test. Cells were plated at a density of 3×10^5 cells/well on 24-well Falcon Primaria Multiwell plates (Corning, Corning, NY, USA) that were coated with Matrigel (basement membrane matrix, Corning) as described by Schreer et al. (2005). Cells were cultured at 15°C in 0.5 ml of L-15 supplemented with 10 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 1% Antibiotic Antimycotic Solution, 5% FBS and 10 µg/ml bovine insulin (Sigma). The cultured cells were settled for 24 hrs in order to adhere to the culture plate.

Hormone Treatment

Experient 1: effects of continuous treatment of E2

Cells in each well were washed once by 0.5 ml of serum- and insulin-free L-15. Then, cells were treated with 10^{-6} M E2. Control cultures were treated with solvent (ethanol) only. The amount of ethanol in the medium did not exceed 0.1%. The half volume (0.25 ml) of the culture medium was changed every 24 hrs. After 24 hrs and 72 hrs following the treatment, the medium was removed and 200 µl Isogen (Nippon Gene, Tokyo, Japan) was added to each well. Following 5 min incubation, the samples were stored at -80°C until use. The samples in each group were obtained from three wells (n = 3).

Experiment 2: effects of various dose of E2

Cells were treated with various concentrations of E2 ($10^{-11} \sim 10^{-6}$ M). Treatments

and samplings were performed as described in Experiment 1 with following exceptions; culture medium was not changed after treatment, and the samples in each group were obtained from four wells (n = 4).

Quantitative real-time reverse transcription PCR (qPCR)

qPCR for all subtypes of chg, vtg and er were performed as described in Chapter II with following modifications. Total RNA (200 ng) was reverse-transcribed by SuperScript® IV VILO cDNA Synthesis kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Aliquots of total RNA in all E2-treated groups was pooled, reverse-transcribed and used as an inter-assay control (IAC) to normalize the values among plates. Primer sets for $er\alpha 2$, er *β*1 $er\beta 2$ (Table 2) designed in Primer3Plus and were (https://primer3plus.com/cgi-bin/dev/primer3plus.cgi). Primer pairs were designed to cover intron/exon boundaries which were predicted from rainbow trout genome database (Accession No. GCA 900005705.1).

Primers were added to the reaction at final concentration of 150 nM except for $er\beta 2$ (50 nM). No PCR amplification was observed from no-reverse-transcription control templates. Primer specificty was confirmed by dissociation curve analysis of PCR products.

Statistics

Data were analyzed by two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey-Kramer HSD test using a JMP 7 Software program (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). The difference between groups was considered significant at P < 0.05. All the results are expressed as means \pm SE. For statistical analyses, samples that showed levels below the detection limit (100 copy/reaction mix) in qPCR were treated as indicating the detection limit.

Results

Primary structure analyses of $er\alpha 2$, $er\beta 1$ and $er\beta 2$

The cutthroat trout $er\alpha 2$, $er\beta 1$, and $er\beta 2$ ($er\alpha 2$: 1782 bp, $er\beta 1$: 2324 bp, $er\beta 2$: 2354 bp, respectively) were isolated and sequenced. The sequences of $er\alpha 2$, $er\beta 1$ and $er\beta 2$ contained open reading frames encoded 556, 594 and 606 amino acids, respectively. The encoded cutthroat trout $Er\alpha 2$, $Er\beta 1$ and $Er\beta 2$ exhibited domain features typical of estrogen receptor (A/B, C, D, E, F domain). The $Er\alpha 2$, $Er\beta 1$ and $Er\beta 2$ sequences shared high similarity ($er\alpha 2$: 99.3%, $er\beta 1$: 99.3% $er\beta 1$: 98.8%, respectively) with the homologous sequences of the rainbow trout (GenBank accession no. $er\alpha 2$: NM 001124558, $er\beta 1$: NM 001124753, $er\beta 2$: NM 001124570.1).

Experiment 1: effects of continuous treatment of E2

Levels of $chgH\alpha$, $chgH\beta$ and chgL mRNAs in E2 treatment group were significantly higher than those in the corresponding control groups at 24 hrs and 72 hrs post initiation (hpi) of the treatment (Fig. 10). In E2-treatment group, $chgH\beta$ and chgL mRNA levels significantly increased from 24 hpi to 72 hpi, while $chgH\alpha$ mRNA levels did not. Levels of vtgAs and vtgC mRNAs in E2 treatment group were significantly higher than those in the corresponding control groups at 24 hpi and 72 hpi (Fig. 11). In E2-treatment group, levels of both vtg mRNAs significantly increased from 24 hpi to 72 hpi. Levels of $er\alpha l$ mRNA levels in E2 treatment group significantly increased relative to those in corresponding control groups at 24 hpi and 72 hpi (Fig. 12). In E2-treatment group, levels of $er\alpha l$ mRNA significantly increased from 24 hpi to 72 hpi. Levels



Fig. 10 Effects of continuous treatment of estradiol-17 β (E2) or a control solvent (Control) on the expression of choriogenin (*chg*) subtype mRNAs transcripts (top panel: *chgHa*; middle panel: *chgHβ*; bottom panel: *chgL*) in primary cultured hepatocytes of male cutthroat trout.

Hepatocytes were treated with 10^{-6} M of E2. Half of the culture medium was replaced by the fresh medium every 24 hrs. At 24 hrs and 72 hrs after the initiation of E2 treatment, cells were harvested and *chg* mRNAs were quantified by quantitative real-time reverse transcription PCR. Columns indicate mean values and vertical lines indicate standard errors. Different letters denote that values are significantly different (P < 0.05).



Fig. 11 Effects of continuous treatment of estradiol-17 β (E2) or a control solvent (Control) on the expression of vitellogenin (*vtg*) subtype mRNAs transcripts (top panel: *vtgAs*; bottom panel: *vtgC*) in primary cultured hepatocytes of male cutthroat trout. Hepatocytes were treated with 10⁻⁶ M of E2. Half of the culture medium was replaced by the fresh medium every 24 hrs. At 24 hrs and 72 hrs after the initiation of E2 treatment, cells were harvested and *vtg* mRNAs were quantified by quantitative real-time PCR. ND

values denote all analyzed samples undetectable by quantitative reverse transcription PCR (below the threshold detection limit of the instrument) Columns indicate mean values and vertical lines indicate standard errors. Different letters denote that values are significantly different (P < 0.05).



Fig. 12 Effects of continuous treatment of estradiol-17 β (E2) or a control solvent (Control) on the expression of estrogen receptor (*er*) subtype mRNAs (top panel: *eral*; middle panel: *er\beta1*; bottom panel: *er\beta2*) in primary cultured hepatocytes of male cutthroat trout. Hepatocytes were treated with 10⁻⁶ M of E2.

Half of the culture medium was replaced by the fresh medium every 24 hrs. At 24 hrs and 72 hrs after the initiation of E2 treatment, cells were harvested and *er* mRNAs were quantified by quantitative real-time reverse transcription PCR. Columns indicate mean values and vertical lines indicate standard errors. Different letters denote that values are significantly different (P < 0.05).

levels were undetectable in all groups (data not shown). Treatment of E2 did not affect mRNA levels of $er\beta 1$ nor $er\beta 2$ at 24 dpi and 72 dpi when compared them with the levels in the corresponding control groups (Fig. 12).

Experiment 2: effects of various dose of E2

In cultured hepatocytes at 24 hpi and 72 hpi, levels of $chgH\alpha$, $chgH\beta$ and chgL mRNAs increased by E2 treatments in dose-dependent manners (Fig. 13). The lowest doses of E2 inducing a significant increase in the expression level (LOEC) were 10^{-10} M for $chgH\beta$ and 10^{-9} M for $chgH\alpha$ and chgL in both sampling points. Levels of $chgH\alpha$, $chgH\beta$ and chgL mRNAs in the high concentration groups (10^{-7} and 10^{-6} M E2) significantly increased from 24 hpi to 72 hpi after the initiation of E2 treatments. Levels of vtgAs and vtgC mRNA in E2 treatment groups also showed dose-dependent increase (Fig. 14). The LOEC of E2 were 10^{-9} M for vtgAs and 10^{-8} M for vtgAs: 10^{-7} and 10^{-6} M; vtgC: 10^{-6} M) showed significant increase from 24 hpi to 72 hpi. Levels of $er\alpha l$ mRNA at the 24 hpi increased by E2 treatments in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 15). The LOEC of E2 for $er\alpha l$ mRNA expression was 10^{-9} M in 24 hpi. Unlike other genes, levels of $er\alpha l$ mRNA significantly decreased from 24 hpi to 72 hpi in $10^{-9} \sim 10^{-6}$ M E2-treatment groups; the levels of $er\alpha l$ of all E2-treatment groups at 72 hpi did not show any significant difference compared to those of the control group.



Fig. 13 Responses to different dose of estradiol-17 β (E2) or a control solvent (C) of choriogenin (*chg*) subtype mRNA expression (top panel: *chgHa*; middle panel: *chgHβ*; bottom panel: *chgL*) in primary cultured hepatocytes of male cutthroat trout.

Hepatocytes were treated with $10^{-11} \sim 10^{-6}$ M (-11 ~ -6 in horizontal axis) of E2 for 72 hrs without replacement of the medium. At 24 hrs and 72 hrs after the initiation of E2 treatment, cells were harvested and *chg* mRNAs were quantified by quantitative real-time reverse transcription PCR. Columns indicate mean values and vertical lines indicate standard errors. Different letters denote that values are significantly different (P < 0.05).



Fig. 14 Responses to different dose of estradiol-17 β (E2) or a control solvent (C) of vitellogenin subtype mRNA expressions (top panel: *vtgAs*; bottom panel: *vtgC*) in primary cultured hepatocytes of male cutthroat trout.

Hepatocytes were treated with $10^{-11} \sim 10^{-6}$ M (-11 ~ -6 in horizontal axis) of E2 for 72 hrs without replacement of the medium. At 24 hrs and 72 hrs after the initiation of E2 treatment, cells were harvested and *vtg* mRNAs were quantified by quantitative real-time reverse transcription reverse transcription PCR. Columns indicate mean values and vertical lines indicate standard errors. Different letters denote that values are significantly different (P < 0.05).



Fig. 15 Responses to different dose of estradiol-17 β (E2) or a control solvent (C) of estrogen receptor $\alpha 1$ (*er* αl) mRNA expression in primary cultured hepatocytes of male cutthroat trout.

Hepatocytes were treated with $10^{-11} \sim 10^{-6}$ M (-11 ~ -6 in horizontal axis) of E2 for 72 hrs without replacement of the medium. At 24 hrs and 72 hrs after the initiation of E2 treatment, cells were harvested and *er* αl mRNAs were quantified by quantitative real-time reverse transcription PCR. Columns indicate mean values and vertical lines indicate standard errors. Different letters denote that values are significantly different (P < 0.05).

Discussion

The predicted cutthroat trout $\text{Er}\alpha 2$, $\text{Er}\beta 1$ and $\text{Er}\beta 2$ polypeptide sequences showed high similarities with homologous sequences of the rainbow trout ($\text{Er}\alpha 2$: 99.3%, $\text{Er}\beta 1$: 99.3%, $\text{Er}\beta 2$: 98.8%). Primary structures of cutthroat trout $\text{Er}\alpha 2$, $\text{Er}\beta 1$ and $\text{Er}\beta 2$ exhibited typical functional domains reported for the vertebrate ERs/Ers, and the amino acids required for DNA and ligand bindings were well conserved (data not shown). These structural similarities suggest that cutthroat trout $\text{Er}\alpha 2$, $\text{Er}\beta 1$ and $\text{Er}\beta 2$ have the basic functions of vertebrate ERs/Ers, such as ligand (estrogens) binding and transactivation of target genes (Menuet et al., 2002; Davis et al., 2010).

To check the responsiveness of *chg*, *vtg* and *er* gene expression to E2, effects of continuous treatments of high E2 dose (10⁻⁶ M) on each gene expression were examined in the Experiment 1. With replenishment of E2 every 24 hpi of the treatments, levels of *chg*, *vtg* and *er* α *l* mRNAs increased by E2 treatments at both 24 hpi and 72 hpi. Levels of these mRNA were also up-regulated by E2 treatment *in vivo* in the liver of male and immature cutthroat trout (Chapter III), as well as those in the liver of other salmonids (Amano et al., 2010; Boyce-Derricott et al., 2009; Hiramatsu et al., 1997; Mushirobira et al., 2018; Thomas-Jones et al., 2003; Westerlund et al., 2001). Thus, these results indicate that E2-induction of hepatic expressions of *chgs*, *vtg* and *er* α *l* is in common in salmonid species.

In Experiment 1, $er\alpha 2$ mRNA was undetectable and both $er\beta 1$ and $er\beta 2$ mRNAs did not show estrogen responses, while $er\alpha 1$ mRNA levels were up-regulated by the E2 treatment. The levels of $er\alpha 2$ mRNA were lower than those of the other *er* subtypes in the liver of immature female rainbow trout (Casanova-Nakayama et al., 2018). The lower expression levels of $er\alpha^2$ compared to those of the other ers were also confirmed in male cutthroat trout following E2 treatments in vivo (Nagata et al., unpublished). Thus, non-detection $er\alpha^2$ mRNA in primary cultured hepatocytes was perhaps due to the low expressions of $er\alpha 2$ mRNA in vivo. Using rainbow trout fed with E2-containing pellets for five days, Casanova-Nakayama et al. (2018) have confirmed the E2 response of $er\alpha 2$ mRNA in the liver. Osachoff et al. (2013) showed upregulation of hepatic $er\alpha 2$ mRNA levels in rainbow trout exposed to E2 for 7 days, while $er\alpha 2$ did not show E2 response for 2 days exposure. Boyce-Derricott et al. (2009) did not confirm the E2 response of hepatic $er\alpha 2$ mRNA from rainbow trout after 24 hrs following E2 injections. These results suggested that it takes relatively long time for E2 to induce $er\alpha 2$ mRNA expression. Meanwhile, the mRNA levels of $er\beta$ s in rainbow trout (Boyce-Derricott et al., 2009; Osachoff et al., 2013; Casanova-Nakayama et al., 2018) were not up-regulated by E2 treatments in any exposure periods, as was confirmed in the primary cultured hepatocyte of cutthroat trout in this study. Thus, in salmonids, only $er\alpha l$ appears to show strong and acute upregulation by E2 among the er subtypes. Strong upregulation of $er\alpha l$ by E2 in the liver possibly contributes to induce the active (i.e., strong and acute) synthesis of hepatic *chg* and *vtg* mRNAs.

Upregulations of $chgH\alpha$, $chgH\beta$, chgL, vtgAs, vtgC, and $er\alpha l$ mRNA levels by E2 treatments were confirmed in the Experiment 1. In Experiment 2, the effects of various doses ($10^{-11} \sim 10^{-6}$ M) of E2 on expressions of these estrogen-responsive genes were examined. Transcriptional levels of *chgs* and *vtgs* at 24 hpi and 72 hpi of E2 treatments increased in a dose-dependent manner. In addition, $er\alpha l$ mRNA levels in E2-treatment

groups at 24 hpi also showed dose-dependent increase. These increase patterns suggested these genes are under strict E2 regulation.

At 24 hpi and 72 hpi, the LOECs of E2 in mRNA expression of chgs and vtgs were $chgH\beta$ (10⁻¹⁰ M) < $chgH\alpha$, chgL, vtgAs (10⁻⁹ M) < vtgC (10⁻⁸ M). These results suggest that $chgH\beta$ is most sensitive gene to E2, and vtgC is the least. However, these sensitivities shown in primary cultured hepatocytes were different from the results obtained from E2 administration in vivo in Chapter III. In the results of Chapter III, expression of $chgH\beta$, chgL, vtgAs and vtgC mRNAs showed higher sensitivity to E2 than it of $chgH\alpha$ mRNA at 2 dpi beside expression of vtgAs and vtgC mRNAs showed higher sensitivity to E2 than those of three chg subtype mRNAs. The physiological responses in vivo are affected by several factors such as individual differences and endocrine hormones. Therefore, the E2 responses of genes in vivo in Chapter III can be inconsistent with those in primary cultured hepatocytes. For example, some studies reported that the endocrine factors other than E2 affect chg and vtg expressions. In Arctic char (Salvelinus alpinus), cortisol administration up-regulates plasma Chg levels but downregulates plasma Vtg protein levels in conjunction with E2 treatment (Berg et al., 2004). Hepatic vtg mRNA expression is affected by triiodothyronine (T3) treatment through upregulation of $er\alpha$ mRNA levels in goldfish (*Carassius auratus*). Involvement of growth hormone (GH) on hepatic Vtg synthesis has been shown in Japanese and European (A. anguilla) eels (Kwon and Mugiya, 1994; Peyon et al., 1996). Furthermore, androgens are involved in hepatic Vtg synthesis in eels (Peyon et al., 1997; Kwon et al., 1994), rainbow trout (Mori et al., 1998) and goldfish (Hori et al., 1979). However, to date, the effect of these hormones on the expression of chgs, vtgs and $er\alpha l$ genes are unknown in cutthroat trout. Further investigations are required to clarify the role of these supplemental endocrine factors on the expression of hepatic estrogen-responsive genes in cutthroat trout.

From 24 hpi to 72 hpi, $chgH\alpha$, $chgH\beta$, chgL, vtgAs, and vtgC mRNA levels increased or kept high values in high-E2-dose groups of both Experiment 1 (culture with replacement of medium) and Experiment 2 (culture without replacement of medium). Meanwhile, $er\alpha l$ mRNA levels in high dose E2 treatment groups increased in Experiment 1 but decreased in Experiment 2 from 24 hpi to 72 hpi. The differences in E2-responsiveness of $er\alpha l$ expression between Experiment 1 and 2 were possibly caused by the difference in the way of E2 treatment. Similar results have been shown in the study using primary cultured hepatocytes of rainbow trout (Flouriot et al., 1996): $er\alpha l$ mRNA levels kept high values from 24 hpi to 72 hpi in 10⁻⁶ M E2 treatment groups when E2-contained culture medium was replaced every 24 hpi, but $er\alpha l$ mRNA levels decreased from 24 hpi to 72 hpi following 10⁻⁶ M E2 treatments when the medium was not replaced. Thus, the data obtained in rainbow trout is in agreement with the data of cutthroat trout. It has been shown that primary cultured hepatocytes of Xenopus laevis rapidly metabolize E2 in culture medium (Tenniswood et al., 1983), suggesting that primary cultured hepatocytes of trout also metabolize E2 during treatments. Thus, in experiment 2, the $er\alpha l$ mRNA levels appeared to decrease from 24 hpi to 72 hpi after treatments with decrease of the E2 concentrations in the medium.

In *Xenopus laevis* and rainbow trout, it has been shown that E2 regulates not only transcriptional process (mRNA synthesis) but also post-transcriptional process (mRNA stabilization) of *vtg* and *er* gene expressions, although the mechanisms of

post-transcriptional regulation by E2 are unknown (Brock and Shapiro, 1983; Flouriot et al., 1996). In addition, it has been shown that the transcriptional rates are different between *vtg* and *er* genes in the analysis using primary cultured hepatocytes of rainbow trout (Flouriot et al., 1996). The transcriptional rate of *er* gene is faster than that of *vtg* gene. These transcriptional rate and post-transcriptional regulation should be reflected in the mRNA levels of *chgs*, *vtgs*, and *er* αl . Thus, further investigation are encouraged to focus on the effects of E2 on the rates of synthesis and clearance for *chgs*, *vtgs* and *er* αl mRNAs.

The expressions of $chgH\alpha$, $chgH\beta$, chgL, vtgAs, vtgC, and $er\alpha l$ mRNAs showed differential patterns in Experiment 1 and Experiment 2. In addition, the LOECs of E2 on the expression of chg, vtg and $er\alpha l$ mRNAs were different among these genes in Experiment 2. These results obtained from primary cultured hepatocytes suggest that these estrogen responsive genes are differentially regulated by E2 in the liver. The differential expressions among these mRNAs may be due to involvement of Er subtypes other than $Er\alpha l$ in the expressions of chg, vtg and $er\alpha l$ genes. Limited information on functional differences of Er subtypes are available. However, as described in Chapter I, recent studies have revealed that $ER\beta$ is involved in the expression of vtg and $er\alpha l$. (Nelson and Habibi, 2010; Griffin et al., 2013). In medaka, Lee Pow et al. (2016) have shown that $Er\alpha$, $Er\beta l$, and $Er\beta l$ have differential characteristics in transactivation of vtggenes *in vitro*. The higher binding affinities of teleost $ER\beta$ s to estradiol than those of $Er\alpha$ has now been described in other fish species, namely, zebrafish and channel catfish (Xia et al., 1999, 2000; Menuet et al., 2002). Therefore, functional differences of Er subtypes in the expression of chg, vtg and $er\alpha l$ mRNAs may contribute to the differential expressions of the E2-responsive genes.

In conclusion, this study revealed the expression profiles of all subtypes of *chg*, *vtg* and *er* mRNA in primary cultured hepatocytes of a single species. In primary cultured hepatocytes of cutthoroat trout, levels of *chg*, *vtg* and *er* α *l* mRNAs were up-regulated by E2. The sensitivity of *chgs* and *vtgs* to E2 were determined as *chgH\beta < chgH\alpha*, *chgL*, *vtgAs* < *vtgC*. Transcriptional levels of *er* α *l* decreased without replenishment of E2 from 24 hpi to 72 hpi of the treatment, unlike those of *chgs* and *vtgs*. These results indicated that *chg*, *vtg*, and *er* α *l* mRNAs showed the differential responses to E2, suggesting that expression of *chg*, *vtg*, and *er* α *l* genes are potentially regulated through E2 stimulation by different mechanisms.

Chapter V. Molecular cloning and characterization of choriogenins, vitellogenins and estrogen receptor α1 gene promoters in cutthroat trout

Introduction

To date, promoter regions of *chg*, *vtg* and *er* αl genes, which contain consensus ERE or ERE-like sequences, have been cloned in several teleosts (Le Roux et al., 1993; Teo et al., 1998; Menuet et al., 2004; Ueno et al., 2004; Zeng et al., 2005; Mushirobira et al., 2018). Reporter gene assays have revealed the involvement of 1/2 ERE and ERE-like sites, as well as ERE sites, in the transactivation of target genes by Er (Le Drean et al., 1995; Menuet et al., 2004; Ueno et al., 2004; Mushirobira et al., 2018). In addition to these sites, binding sites for transcription factors such as AP-1 and Sp1, which can interact with Er to transactivate estrogen-responsive genes in mammalian cells (Björnström and Sjöberg, 2005), are confirmed in rainbow trout (AP-1; Le Roux et al., 1993) and cutthroat trout (Sp1 and AP-1; Mushirobira et al., 2018).

As described in the previous chapters, differential E2 responses in cutthroat trout *in vivo* and *ex vivo* were observed among *chg*, *vtg*, and *er* α *I* mRNAs. It can be generally explained that differences in expression patterns of genes possibly depend on the characteristics of the promoter region, suggesting that *chg*, *vtg*, and *er* α *I* promoters have differential characteristics, leading to their distinct expression profiles in response to estrogenic stimulation. Recently, the two promoters of *vtgAs* (1 and 2) and one promoter of *vtgC* for cutthroat trout have been cloned and analyzed (Mushirobira et al., 2018). Reporter gene assays *in vitro* using Er α 1 have revealed that the three *vtg* promoters are transactivated by Er α 1 in the presence of E2. The *vtgAs* promoter 1 alone, contains consensus ERE and exhibits the highest maximal transcriptional activity. The

concentrations of E2 that induces 50% of gene reporter activity (half-maximal effective concentrations, EC50) are similar among all *vtg* promoters.

In this chapter, a series of experiments were designed to directly investigate the effects of Er subtypes on promoter regions of *chg*, *vtg* and *er* α *I* genes. At first, *chg* and *er* α *I* promoters were cloned from cutthroat trout genome. The additional promoter regions of both *vtgAs* and *vtgC* were also cloned and analyzed, because the lengths of these promoters previously reported were relatively short. Finally, the roles of ER α 1, Er α 2, Er β 1 and Er β 2 in driving gene expression were investigated in reporter gene assays using promoter regions of *chg*, *vtg* and *er* α *I* genes. It should be noted that *vtgAs* promoter 1, which exhibited the higher transcriptional activity of the two *vtgAs* subtypes (Mushirobira et al., 2018), was used as a representative *vtgAs* promoters. Thus, *vtgAs* promoter 1 is described as *vtgAs* promoter in the following sentences unless otherwise noted.
Materials and methods

Experimental fish and tissue samples

Two-year-old female cutthroat trout were obtained from Nanae Fresh-Water Laboratory, Field Science Center for Northern Biosphere, Hokkaido University. They were transferred and reared in outdoor aquaria at the Faculty of Fisheries Sciences, Hokkaido University, receiving a continuous flow of well water under natural photothermal conditions. Blood was collected from one female fish and immediately mixed with ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) to a final concentration of 17 mM. This whole blood sample was stored at -80°C until used as a source of genomic DNA extraction.

Genomic DNA extraction

Extraction of genomic DNA from blood sample was performed as described previously (Mushirobira et al., 2018). One μ l of blood was mixed with 300 μ l of cell lysis solution (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, containing 100 mM EDTA and 2% sodium dodecyl sulfate and 2 μ l of 530 Unit/ml proteinase K (Wako, Osaka, Japan). The solution was incubated at 55°C for 1 hr. After the incubation, the cell lysate was mixed with 100 μ l of 7.5 M ammonium acetate and centrifuged at 13,000 g for 3 min at 4° C. After the centrifugation, the cell lysate was placed on ice for 5 min and centrifuged again for 5 min. The resulting supernatant was mixed with 600 μ l of isopropanol following a centrifugation at 13,000 g for 8 min. The pellet (genomic DNA) was washed with 600 μ l of 70% ethanol and dissolved in TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0). The genomic DNA was stored at -80°C.

Molecular cloning of chg, vtg and eral gene promoters

A genome walking library was used for amplification of $chgH\beta$, chgL and $er\alpha l$ gene promoter. Four genome walking libraries were made from the trout genomic DNA using GenomeWalker Universal Kit (Takara Bio, Shiga, Japan) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Gene specific primers (GSP) were designed from the coding region of each gene (Table 3). Primary PCR was carried out as follows: 5 µl of PrimeSTAR Max Premix (Takara Bio), 0.2 µl of adaptor primer (AP) 1, 0.2 µl of 10 µM GSP (for $chgH\beta$: $chgH\beta$ G.W. R1, for chgL: chgL G.W. R1, for $er\alpha l$: $er\alpha l$ G.W. R1), 0.2 µl of library template ($chgH\beta$, genomic DNA digested with EcoRV; chgL and $er\alpha l$, genomic DNA digested with Stul); 7 cycle of 98 °C for 10 s and 72°C for 3 min and 32 cycles of 98 °C for 10 s and 68 °C for 3 min. Secondary PCR was carried out as follows: 5 µl of PrimeSTAR Max Premix, 0.2 µl of AP1, 0.2 µl of 10 µM GSP 2 (for $chgH\beta$: $chgH\beta$ G.W. R2, for chgL: chgL G.W.-R2, for $er\alpha l$: $er\alpha l$ G.W.-R2), 0.2 µl of each template; 5 cycle of 98 °C for 10 s and 72°C for 3 min and 20 cycles of 98 °C for 10 s and 68 °C for 3 min.

Genomic DNA template without the above treatments of genome walking procedures were used for amplification of $chgH\alpha$, vtgAs and vtgC gene promoters. Primers (Table 3) for amplification of $chgH\alpha$, vtgAs and vtgC were designed from rainbow trout whole genome (RefSeq assembly accession: GCF_002163495.1). PCR was carried out as follows: 5 µl of PrimeSTAR Max Premix, 1 µl of 2 µM forward primer, 1 μ l of 2 μ M reverse primer, 0.2 μ l of genomic DNA template; 35 cycles of 98 °C for 10 s, 55°C for 10 s and 72°C for 3 min.

T 11 0 M	4			1 6	
Table 3 Name	nucleofide se	equence di	rection at	nd use of	primers
1 4010 0 1 (4110)	maereouae be	a da con con con con	neetion, ui	na abe or	princip

Name	Sequence	Direction	Use
$chgH \alpha$ promoter F	ACTCCCCCAACCTTCCTCTT	Reverse	Cloning of $chgH \alpha$ promtoer
$chgH \alpha$ promoter R	GTTCTGAGGGGGTTGGTAAGG	Reverse	Cloning of $chgH \alpha$ promoter
$chgH\beta$ G.W. R1	CCCTGGTTTTTCCAAGTAAATCTGAG	Reverse	Genome walking for $chgH\beta$
$chgH\beta$ G.W. R2	GAACAGTGCTAATGCCTGAAAGG	Reverse	Genome walking for $chgH\beta$
chgL G.W. R1	CTGACGATTGGGTCTGAAGGGTTGCTG	Reverse	Genome walking for chgL
chgL G.W. R2	TTTACTGAAGGGTGGCCAATTCTGAG	Reverse	Genome walking for chgL
$er \alpha 1$ G.W. R1	CTGACGATTGGGTCTGAAGGGTTGCTG	Reverse	Genome walking for $er \alpha 1$
<i>er</i> α1 G.W. R2	TTTACTGAAGGGTGGCCAATTCTGAG	Reverse	Genome walking for $er \alpha l$
vtgAs promoter 1 F	AAGATGCAATTCGTCAGACTTCG	Forward	Cloning of vtgAs promtoer 1
vtgAs promoter 1 R	AAAGTTAACAGATTGACTCGCTACA	Reverse	Cloning of vtgAs promtoer 1
<i>vtgC</i> promoter F	ATCAAACCATGCAATAATCTGAGTC	Forward	Cloning of <i>vtgC</i>
<i>vtgC</i> promoter R	GGCCAAGGCCACAAGGT	Reverse	Cloning of <i>vtgC</i>
pcDNA3.1 Linealize F	GTTTAAACCCGCTGATCA	Forward	Subconing of $er \alpha 2$, $er \beta 1$ and $er \beta 2$
pcDNA3.1 Linealize R	GCTAGCCAGCTTGGGTCT	Reverse	Subconing of $er \alpha 2$, $er \beta 1$ and $er \beta 2$
$er \alpha 2$ pGL3.1 infusion F	CCCAAGCIGGCIAGCACCATGIACCCIG	Forward	Subcoming of $er \alpha 2$
	AGGAGACC	D	
$er \alpha 2$ pGL3.1 infusion R		Reverse	Subconing of $er \alpha 2$
		F 1	
er B1 pGL3.1 infusion F		Forward	Subconing of $er \beta I$
01 of 121 inferior D		D	S-harring of an 0.1
er <i>βT</i> pGL3.1 infusion R		Reverse	Subconing of $er \beta I$
$an \theta 2 = C I 2 1$ influsion E		Formand	Subscript of an R2
er p 2 polo.1 milusion F	CTCCTGAAAG	Forward	Subcoming of <i>er p</i> 2
$\alpha r \beta 2 r C I 2 1$ infusion P		Dovorso	Subconing of $ar B2$
er p2 polo.1 miusion k	CATCTCC	Reveise	Subcoming of <i>er p</i> 2
pGL4 10 linearize F	CTGTTGGTAAAGCCACCATGGAAG	Forward	Subcoping of chaH a chaH B chaI
pol-1.10 inicalize 1	CIGITOGIAAOCCACCATOGAAG	Forward	and $ar \alpha 1$ promoter
nGL4 10 linearize R	GCGAGCTCAGGTACCGGC	Reverse	Subconing of $chaH\alpha$ $chaH\beta$ $chaI$
polatio inicanze R	dediderenderneedde	Reverse	and $er \alpha 1$ promoter
$chgH \alpha$ pGI 4 10 infusion E	GGTACCTGAGCTCGCACTCCCCCAACCTT	Forward	Subconing of $chaH \alpha$ promoter
	CCTC	1 of ward	Subcoming of chigh a promoter
$chgH\alpha$ pGI 4 10 infusion R	TGGCTTTACCAACAGAGACAATCCGAGG	Reverse	Subconing of $cheH \alpha$ promoter
chight de pozitito inteston it	AACCC	10000150	Succoming of chart as promoter
$chgH\beta$ pGL4.10 infusion F	GGTACCTGAGCTCGCATCACGATCGTGA	Forward	Subconing of $chgH\beta$ promoter
	AATACGT		8 8 7 1
$chgH\beta$ pGL4.10 infusion R	TGGCTTTACCAACAGAAGAACTAATCCG	Reverse	Subconing of $chgH\beta$ promoter
0,1	CAATGTTC		
chgL pGL4.10 infusion F	GGTACCTGAGCTCGCACTATAGGGCACG	Forward	Subconing of chgL promoter
	CGTGG		
chgL pGL4.10 infusion R	TGGCTTTACCAACAGCAGCAATGTTCAC	Reverse	Subconing of chgL promoter
	TGGATG		
er $\alpha 1$ pGL4.10 infusion F	GGTACCTGAGCTCGCCCTCGGCAAACTT	Forward	Subconing of $er \alpha 1$ promoter
	AATGATG		
$er \alpha 1$ pGL4.10 infusion R	TGGCTTTACCAACAGAGATTTAAAAAAG	Reverse	Subconing of $er \alpha 1$ promoter
	GTAGAAACAA		
$chgH \alpha$ pGL4.10 2000 bp infusion F	GGTACCTGAGCTCGCGATATTTCTGTGTC	Forward	Subconing of $chgH \alpha$ promoter for 2000
	TTATTTC		bp
$chgH\beta$ pGL4.10 2000 bp infusion F	GGTACCTGAGCTCGCTCATAATGCATCAA	Forward	Subconing of $chgH\beta$ promoter for 2000 bp
	TTTGACAT		
chgL pGL4.10 2000 bp infusion F	GGTACCTGAGCTCGCATCCGGGTTTGCAG	Forward	Subconing of chgL promoter for 2000 bp
	AATATG		
<i>er</i> $\alpha 1$ pGL4.10 2000 bp infusion F	GGTACCTGAGCTCGCCTTCTCCCATTTAA	Forward	Subconing of $er \alpha 1$ promoter for 2000 bp
	C		
vtgAs promoter 1 2000 bp pGL4.10 infusion	GGTACCTGAGCTCGCGGGCAGTCAGAAT	Forward	Subconing of <i>vtgAs</i> promoter 1 for 2000 bp
F	G		
vtgAs promoter 1 2000 bp pGL4.10 infusion	TGGCTTTACCAACAGGGCCAGTGTGATGT	Reverse	Subconing of vtgAs promoter 1 for 2000 bp
R	GAGG		
vtgC promoter 2000 bp pGL4.10 infusion F	GGTACCTGAGCTCGCCCGCACCATAGCA	Forward	Subconing of $vtgC$ promoter for 2000 bp
utaC promotor 2000 hp aCI 4 10 infector D		Dovorco	Subconing of utaC promotor for 2000 by
vige promoter 2000 bp pGL4.10 infusion R	AGTGGGA	Reverse	Subcoming of vige promoter for 2000 bp

The PCR products were ligated into cloning vectors, transformed into XL-1 competent cells (Strategene, La Jolla, CA, USA), and sequenced, as described in Chapter II.

Computational search for putative transcription factor binding sites

Two online algorithms (ConSite, http://asp.ii.uib.no:8090/cgi-bin/CONSITE/; NUBIScan, http://www.nubiscan.unibas.ch/) were used for prediction of transcription factor binding sites, including both basal promoter elements and putative EREs. Regarding ConSite and NUBIScan, specific details are described by Sandelin et al. (2004) and Podvinec et al. (2002), respectively.

Reporter gene assays

Construction of plasmid vectors for reporter gene assays were performed using In-Fusion HD Cloning Kit (Takara Bio) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Primer sets (Table 3) for In-fusion cloning were designed using Primer Design tool for In-Fusion® HD Cloning Kit (http://www.takara-bio.co.jp/infusion_primer/infusion_primer_form.php). The promoter regions (2 kb in size) of $chgH\alpha$, $chgH\beta$, chgL, vtgAs, vtgC and $er\alpha l$ were subcloned into pGL4.10[*luc2*] Vector (Promega). The open reading frames of $er\alpha 2$, $er\beta l$ and $er\beta 2$ were subcloned into pcDNA3.1(+) Vector (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Er α 1 expression plasmid, pcDNA3.1-Er α 1, was the same preparation that has been described previously (Mushirobira et al., 2018). The subcloned plasmids were purified for transfection using PureLink HiPure Plasmid Filter Midiprep Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Reporter gene assays were carried out as described previously (Mushirobira et al., 2018) with some modifications. HeLa cells were seeded in 24-well Falcon Primaria Multiwell plates (Corning, Corning, NY, USA) at 3×10⁴ cells/well in phenol-red free Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) supplemented with 10% charcoal/dextran treated fetal bovine serum (Hyclone, Logan, UT, USA). The cells were pre-incubated for 24 hrs at 37°C under 95% air, 5% CO2 and 100% humidity. After pre-incubation, the cells were transfected with 400 ng of either the promoter-inserted pGL4.10 (pGL4.10-chgH α , pGL4.10-chgH β , pGL4.10-chgL, pGL4.10-vtgA, pGL4.10-vtgC and pGL4.10-er αl) or the empty pGL4.10 (negative promoter-construct control), 200 ng of the ers-inserted pcDNA3.1 (pcDNA3.1-eral, pcDNA3.1- $er\alpha 2$, pcDNA3.1- $er\beta 1$ and pcDNA3.1- $er\beta 2$), and 100 ng of pRL-TK Vector (served as an internal control to normalize possible variations in each transfection efficiency, Promega) using X-tremeGENE HP DNA Transfection Reagent (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) according to the manufacturer's instructions. After 4 hrs of incubation at 37°C, the cells were treated with various concentrations of E2 (final concentration: $10^{-14} - 10^{-5}$ M) or hormone vehicle (ethanol). The amount of ethanol in the medium did not exceed 0.1%. Following 40 hrs incubation at 37°C, the cells were collected to measure luciferase activities using Dual-Luciferase Reporter Gene Assay System (Promega) by the Luminescaner-JNR (ATTO, Tokyo, Japan). All assays were conducted in quadruplicate.

Statistics

All data were expressed as means \pm SE. Data were analyzed by two-way ANOVA

followed by Tukey-Kramer HSD test, using a JMP Pro 14 Software program (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). The difference between groups was considered significant at P < 0.05. Hill's curve and half-maximal effective concentrations (EC50) for E2 were calculated using JMP Pro 14 Software program.

Results

Molecular cloning and sequence analysis of *chg*, *vtg*, and *er* α *l* promoters

The *chgH* α DNA promoter sequence consisted of 2851 bp located upstream of the translation initiation site and additional regions of Exon/Intron 113 bp (Fig. 16). No complete palindrome ERE (GGTCAnnnTGACC) was identified in the analyzed promoter sequences. One ERE-like palindrome sequence differing from the consensus ERE and six ERE half sites (1/2 ERE: GGTCA or TGACC) were predicted in *chgH* α at the following positions: ERE-like: -198 to -184 (AGATCTatgTGACCT); 1/2ERE: -2594 to -2590, -2468 to -2464, -1014 to -1010, -237 to -233, -215 to -211 and -103 to -99. AP-1 and Sp1 were predicted at following positions: AP-1: -2077 to -2070 (AGACTCAC), -1198 to -1191 (ATGATTCA), -1197 to -1190 (TGATTCAT), -565 to -558 (GTGACTGA); Sp1: -985 to -976 (ACCCTCCCTA) and -69 to -60 (ACACACCCCCA). The conserved TATA box and CAAT box were found at positions, -103 to -88 (GTCAGCCAAGGAGGTG) and -55 to -41 (GTATAAAAGCAGCAA), respectively.

The *chgHβ* DNA promoter sequence consisted of 2270 bp located upstream of the translation initiation site and additional regions of Exon/Intron 101 bp (Fig. 17). No complete palindrome ERE was identified in the analyzed promoter sequences. Two ERE-like sequences and eight 1/2 ERE were predicted in *chgHβ* at the following positions: ERE-like: -2246 to -2228 (GGACAcactaagtcTGATC) and -1139 to -1129 (GGTTAcTGACC); 1/2ERE: -2124 to -2120, -1245 to -1241, -1071 to -1067, -1057 to -1053, -260 to -256, -234 to -230, -137 to -133 and -97 to -93. AP-1 were predicted at

-2851	ACTCCCCCAACCTTCCTCTTCCTGGAGAGGTGTTTATCTCTGTCGGCGCT
-2801	ATGCATGGAGAAGCCTGGTGGCTGAACAGATTCTGACAACATATCCCGAG
-2751	AGAGCCCTGTTTCTGTGAAAAAGATAATGTTACAATTGTTGATGTCTCTC
-2701	TGGAAGGCCACCCTTGCTTGAATTTCATCTACCTTGTTGTCAAGAGACTG
-2651	GACATTGCCGAGTAGTATACTCGGGAGCGGTGGGCAATGTGCATGTCTAC
-2601	GAAGCC <mark>TGACC</mark> ACGAGGCCACTCTGTCTGCCCCTTCTGCGGCGCCGTTAT
-2551	CTTGGGTCGAATTCTGGGATTAGATCCATTGTCCTGGGTGGTGGTGCAAA
-2501	CAGAGGATTCGCTTTGGGAAAGTCATATTCCT <mark>GGTCA</mark> TAATGTTGACAAG
-2451	TTGACGTCGCTCTTATATTCAATAGTTCTTCCCAGCCGTATGTAATAAGA
-2401	CTTAAGATTTCCTGGGGTAACAAAGTAAGAAATAATTCATTAAGAAAACA
-2351	AAATACTGCATAGTTTCCTAAGGACTCGAAGCGAGCCGACCATCTCTGTC
-2301	GGTGCCATCTCCATAGGAGATCTACACAGGAGTTGCTTACCAAGAAGACA
-2251	GTGAATGTTCCTGAGTGGCCAAGTCAGTTTTAACTTAAATCTACTTTAAA
-2201	AGATATGGCAAGACCTGAAAATGGTTGTCTAGCATTGATCAACAACTAAT
-2151	TTGGCAGAGCTTGAAGAATTTTGAACAGAATAATGGGCAAATTTTGTACA
-2101	ATCCAGGTGTAGTAAACTCCAGAA <mark>AGACTCAC</mark> AGCTGTAATCGCTGCCAA
-2051	AGCTACTTCTAAAAATTATTGACTTGGGGGGTGTGAATACTTATGTAAATT
-2001	AGATATTTCTGTGTCTTATTTTCAATACATTTGCAAAAATGTCTAAAAAC

Fig. 16 A putative promoter sequence of the cutthroat trout choriogenin H α gene including 5'flanking region and exon 1. The exons are indicated by open boxes. Nucleotides are numbered to 5' end of exon 1, with negative numbers representing the 5'flanking region. Estrogen responsive element (ERE)-like, and 1/2ERE are indicated by vermillion boxes. Other transcription factor binding sites (Sp1; AP-1: activator protein 1; GATA: GATA transcription factor; CAAT box; TATA box) are indicated by each color box.

-1951	ATGTTTCCACTTTGTCATTATGGGGTATTGTGTGTAGATTAATAAAAACT	
-1901	GTAACACAACAAATGTGGAATTAGTCCATGGGTATGAATACTTTCTGAA	
-1851	GGCACTGTATGTACTTGTCCCTGCTTACAAATATCTGGGCATCTGGATAG	
-1801	ATGAAAAGCTGTCTTTAAAAAAGCATATTGATGAGTTAGTT	
-1751	AGAATAAAAAATGGGCTTTACTATAGAAATACAGTAAGTCCTGCCTCTCG	
-1701	CTAAATAGTAGAAAGCAGATTATTCAGTCGACATTACTATCGGTCCTAGA	
-1651	CCATGGCGAAATCATATATATGAACGCAGCTGACACTTCATTAAAGCCGG	
-1601	TAGATGCAGGTGATCATAATGCACTGTGCTTTATTACGGGCAACAATTTT	
-1551	AATACTCATCACTGCATTTTCTAGTAGAAAGTTGGTTGGT	
-1501	GTCACCTAGGTTGATACATTACTATGTTTTCATTTATAAAGCCATTTTAC	
-1451	AAAAAGTCCCACTGTACCTAACATCATTACTGAACTTTTGACATGAGTTA	
-1401	CCAAACCTGGTCTCATGAATGGCTAACTCTGGAAATTCCTTTTGTCTCTA	
-1351	ATTAGTTAGGTAAATCAGTTTTTTTTATGATTGTGTTTTTCTTCTTCTGCTTG	
-1301	CATTTTGTGTTTAGATTTGTGTATTTCCTGTAAAATTATCCCTGATAACA	
-1251	TAAAGGTTAAATAGTATAAAAAAGTTTTGTAGGAATGCTGTTATTTTCTT	
-1201	TGA <mark>ATGATTCA</mark> TTTGGTCGTTGGTAAACATTATAGGAAGAACCTACTACT	
-1151	GAAAGAGACACGAGAAACCACATTGAACTTTGCAAAAGCCCACCTAAACA	
-1101	AGTCTAAATCCTGTGAAAATGTTCTGTGGACCACTGAAACAAAATTAGAG	
Fig. 16 (Continued)		

	1/2ERE
-1051	CTATTTGGCAATACAGATCAGTGCTATGTTTACAGA <mark>TGACC</mark> AACTGAAGC Sp1
-1001	TTTAAAAGAAAAGAAC <mark>ACCCTCCCTA</mark> CAATCAAACATGGGGAGGTTTGAT
-951	AATGCTGTGGGGTTGTTTTGCTTCCTCTGGTACTGAGGACCTTGAACATG
-901	TGAAAGACGTAGTAGATTATCAATGTGTTTTTGGTAAACTAGTGGGTGTC
-851	TGTTGAAGGTTGTGGGTCTTCCATCAGGACAAAAACACAAAACACACATCA
-801	ATAAACAACAGGAATGGTTAAAAATGAACACTGGACTGTTCTGGAGCGGC
-751	CAGCGATGAGTTCAGATCAGAATCCCATCAAAAACCTATGGTGAGATATT
-701	TTTCACAGATAACTCCAGTACCTGAAAATAAGTACCTGGATGTTCATATG
-651	TTCTTCAGATTTTGTGTATGAGATCTGAAAACAGTAGTTAGT
-601	CCACTCAAACATTGAAGAATTAGAGCAGTTTGAAGAGTGACTGAAGAGTG
-551	GGACAAATTGCCATTAGAAAGGTGCAGCAAGCTCATTGATGGCAACAAGA
-501	AGCATTTTCTGGTGGTTCTGCAATGCTGACAATCCAATAACAACATGTCT
-451	AGACTGAAGTTTTTTTTAAATGTCAACTTATTTTTGAAGAAATAGGGAAT
-401	TATTTAAGAAAAGTGAAAGGCAATATATTTATCTATATTAGAAATAGAAT
-351	ACAATAGATACATTTGTGTCTATTATTATTATAAAATGTTTACTTCTTG
-301	AGTGTAGTTCTGCACACCGTGTGTACCTGCAAAATAAACATTTGTTAACA
-251	AATACAATGTTAC <mark>GGTCA</mark> CAAAGACCTTCAACTCT <mark>GGTCA</mark> AAGGCATGTC
-201	AGA <mark>GATCTATGTGACC</mark> TGAACATTGCGTTTGTCAATAAATGTTTGGGACT

1/2ERE

- -151 TCAGAGTGTGGAAAGCCTTGATTCCTCCGAACTGGATGTGAATGATTGGT CAAT box Sp1
- -101 CAGCCAAGGAGGTGGGGGCTTTTCAACAGTTCA<mark>ACACACCCCCA</mark>CTAT<mark>GTAT</mark> TATA box
 - -51 AAAAGCAGCAA CAAGTGGCACAGTGAGCCTTGTGGGTTCCTCGGATTGTC Exon1

 - 50 AGTGGCCATGCTTGGCTGTCTGTGTGACGCTCAATTGAAGTGGCCTTACC
 - 100 AACCCCCTCAGAAC

70 ATCACGATCGTGAAATACGTTGCGCACATTGGCACTCAAACAAA	-2270
ERE-like	
20 TCCCACATCGCAGGTGGGCAAAA <mark>GGACA</mark> CACTAAGTC <mark>TGATC</mark> CCCAATTA	-2220
70 GAGGTAACGATCATCAGCTGCCTCCAATTGGGAACCATGCACACACA	-2170
20 ACCAACATAGAAATATAATACCTAGAAACCCCCCTAGTCACGCCC	-2120
70 TAAAACACAGGGCGTGACATGGTGTGAATACTGTCCTAACTTATTCTGCA	-2070
20 AGTGCTGGATTTGCTAGTTAACGTATATGGTTTGATAACTAATGAACTCT	-2020
70 GTAAATGTCTATCTTTTACCTCATAATGCATCAATTTGACATCAATTTAT	-1970
20 GTTGCAACCAAATAATATATGGATGTGGCTGTAAATACTGCATCATCATT	-1920
70 CTCCAATGGAAAGAGTCATTGGAAAAAAGAGTCATCTGTGCTATATTTTG	-1870
20 GAAATGTAGTTTAGTGTACAATGCACTACTTACCTGGGTTGTATATAACA	-1820
70 ATATAATCCACTCATTATTTAAATTACAGTAATATATAAATAA	-1770
20 TAGAGAGCCAGGCAAAACTGTATGATTTGACAAGTCACATAGAAAAGGTG	-1720
70 ATCTTGTACAATGTTGCATCGGGCAGAAATGGCATACAACAACATGCTAT	-1670
20 GTTTTCACAGTAGCCACCTGCTTTACAATACACCTATTTCTGGTTCTGTC	-1620
70 CTATATATGTAGTGTATACGGCAGGGGTTCCCAAACTGTTTTTTGGCCG	-1570
20 CAACCCCATTTTGAAATTAAAATGTTTTTGTAATCCCGCCATGTGAAAAA	-1520
70 TGTTATGTTATAAACGGCCAATGTTTACTTTTTTTTTTGGGCTATGACA	-1470
20 GTTTATTACAAATCACTTTGACAGTACCTTTGAAAGTATTTCAATCTGAT	-1420

Fig. 17 A putative promoter sequence of the cutthroat trout choriogenin H β gene including 5'flanking region and exon 1. The exons are indicated by open boxes. Nucleotides are numbered to 5' end of exon 1, with negative numbers representing the 5'flanking region. Estrogen responsive element (ERE)-like, and 1/2ERE are indicated by vermillion boxes. Other transcription factor binding sites (Sp1; AP-1: activator protein 1; GATA: GATA transcription factor; CAAT box; TATA box) are indicated by each color box.

	AP-1	
-1370	GGAAGTATGATTTTAA <mark>GTGACTGA</mark> AATGCATCAGAACATTTTGAGTGCTC	
-1320	TTGATGATGATCTTTTCCCCCCAGTCTGATTTAGTACCTGGTCTTGTCCA	
-1270	TGAGTCCTGTGTGGCTTGTAGAGGGAAACAGAAGACACATACGTATTCCT	
-1220	GAGAGTATTATCTTTCAATGATTG <mark>GGTCA</mark> TAATATTTTGTAGCTTAAACG	
-1170	GTTTAAAAGATAGAGCCACATTTGTAGGAAGAAAACAGAAACCGCTCTGT ERE-like AP-1	
-1120	TTATTTCAAACACATCTAGCGGCAACCAGA <mark>GGTTACTGACC</mark> TAATGTCGG	
-1070	TTCTATCAACCAAGCTCAATTTGATACATTTGATTTCAGTTTCTCTCG <mark>TG</mark> 1/2ERE 1/2ERE	
-1020	ACCCCCACATCGGGTCACCACCCCTAGTTTGGGACACGATGGTATAAGGA	
-970	TAATGAAACTGGAAGACTGACATATTTGTCAACATACTAACAACCTGCCG	
-920	TTTGACACAAGTTATTTTAAAAAGTGTGCATGTCAAGTTATAGTCAAATA	
-870	СТБТАТАААААТБАТБТСТАСТАТТСТТТСТАТТСАБААСТТСАААААТА	
-820	TGTTTTGAAATGTGTATCCTGTCATTTATTCTATTGGATTAAATGGTAGT AP-1	
-770	TAAAAGGACTAAATGGTGAGCCTATCATTATCTACTGTAGCG <mark>GTGACTAA</mark>	
-720	TCAAAAGATGCACATGGTATTTCAAGGAAAACTTTGATTTTGCATAAACG	
-670	ACTAAATGTGGAGGTGTGTGAAACCCCAATGAATGTACAATCAAAGCTTC	
-620	TGAACATAAGATAGGGGACATTAGAAGTGTTATCAGTTTAGAGTTTTTA	
-570	CTTAGAGTTTTGAAAATATACCACTTCTGAAAAATGTGCCAAAGTGGTTG	
-520	AAAAAACAGTATATACAACCCAGGTATTTCAGAAATGCATTCTACACTAC	
Fig. 17 (Continued)		

-470	ACTATACACTGAGTATACAAAACATTAGAACACCTTGCTACTATTGAGTT
-420	GCACCCTCTTTTGCCCTCAGAAAAAAAAAAACTGTAATATATACAAAAT
-370	TGTCTAATTATCTATTTATAATGCGTAAATCATTTTGAATTGTTTCTGAC
-320	ТАТСААААТАААТАТАТАААТАААТАТАТАТДТАТТТСТСАСАТАСААС
-270	AACTAGGAGCAAATTAGATACTGACAGAACTGGCAAAGCCTCCAATGACA
-220	TGACGTGTC <mark>GGTCA</mark> CAAAGACCTTTCTCAAGGCCA <mark>TGACC</mark> GAAATGTTGG
-170	ATGTTCGCAAATGTTACACAACTGTTTTGAATAGTGTGCTACTAAAGGAA CAAT box 1/2ERE
-120	CATTAGCCAGGGCATTTGATTGGCTAAAACTT <mark>TGACC</mark> CCTCAGAAAAGGA 1/2ERE
-70	AC <mark>GGTCA</mark> GATAAAGAGGGGGGGGGGGGTTTCCAATAAGTAAACAACGCTCCAT TATA box
-20	CTG <mark>TTATAAAGGTGGCCG</mark> CAATTCGTTCATCCTCATCACAGCATCCAGTG Exon 1
+31	AACATTGCGGATTAGTTCTTGTAGCGAAGCCATTGCGATGAAGTGGAGTG
+81	CAGTTTGTCTAGTGGCAGTGGCCACGCTTGGCTGGCTGTGTGATGCTCAG
+131	ATTTACTTGGAAAAACCAGGG

following positions: AP-1: -1354 to -1347 (GTGACTGA), -1088 to -1081 (TTACTGAC) and -728 to -721 (GTGACTAA) The conserved TATA box and CAAT box were found at positions, -17 to -3 (TTATAAAGGTGGCCG) and -234 to -219 (AGCCTCCAATGACATG), respectively.

The chgL DNA promoter sequence consisted of 3636 bp located upstream of the translation initiation site and additional regions of Exon/Intron 111 bp (Fig. 18). No complete palindrome ERE was identified in the analyzed promoter sequences. One ERE-like sequences and seven 1/2 ERE were predicted at the following positions: ERE-like: -703 to -692 (GGTCAtTCACC); 1/2ERE: -3544 to -3540, -2303 to -2299, -2268 to -2264, -1732 to -1728, -1616 to -1612, -1395 to -1391 and -760 to -756. AP-1 and Sp1 were predicted at following positions: AP-1: -3333 to -3326 (TTAATCAC), -3179 to -3172 (TTAATCAC), -2538 to -2531 (ATGAGTCA), -2537 to -2530 (TGAGTCAC), -2480 to -2473 (ATACTCAC), -1972 to -1965 (GTGAATAA), -1719 to -1712 (TGGGTCAC), -1144 to -1137 (TCAGTCAC), -765 to -758 (TTACTGAC), -703 to -696 (GTCATTCA) and -386 to -379 (GTGACACA); Sp1: -3559 to -3550 (GAGGGGTGGT), -3514 -3505 (GAGGCAGTGA), -2109 -2100to to (ACACAGCCCC), -1642 to -1633 (GGGGCATGGA), -1517 to -1508 (TCCATGCCTC), -95 to -86 (GGGGGGGGGGT) and -94 to -85 (GGGGCGGGTT). The conserved TATA box and CAAT box were found at positions, -830 to -815 (TGTGCCCAATGGGCAG) and -57 to -43 (TTATAAAACTGGCCA), respectively.

The *vtgAs* DNA promoter sequence consisted of 2872 bp located upstream of the translation initiation site and additional regions of Exon/Intron 225 bp (Fig. 19). The consensus palindrome canonical ERE was identified in *vtgAs* promoter at position -690

83

-3636	ACTATAGGGCACGCGTGGTCGACGCGCCCGGGCTGGTCCTGTTCACAGAA
	Sp1 1/2ERE
-3586	GTGTTTTAGGGAGCGTGTGACAGTGAT <mark>GAGGGGTGGT</mark> CGTT <mark>TGACC</mark> GCAG Sp1
-3536	ACCCATTACGGATGCAGGCAAT <mark>GAGGCAGTGA</mark> TCGCTGAGATCTTGGTTG
-3486	AAAACAGCAGAGGTGTATTTGGAGGGCGAGTTAGTTAGGATGATATCTAT
-3436	GAGGGTGCCCGTGTTTACGGATTTGGTGTTGTACCTGGTAGGTTCATTGA
-3386	TAATTTGTGTGAGATTGAGGGCATTAAGCTTAGATTGTAGGATGGCTGGG AP-1
-3336	GTG <mark>TTAATCAC</mark> AACTTGGAACTACCCATCCCGGATCCAGGAGAATTGTCA
-3286	TCAACTACACTAATTAGCATAGCGCAACGGCCAAATAATCTTACTAGAAA
-3236	ATATTAATATTCATGAAATCACAAGTGAAATATAATGAAACACATTTTAG AP-1
-3186	CCTTTTG <mark>TTAATCAC</mark> CCTGTAGTCTCAGATTTTGAAATGATGCTTTACAG
-3136	CCAAAGCAAGACAAGCGTTTGTGTCAGTTTATCGATAGCCTAGCATAGCA
-3086	TTATGTCCAGCTAGCAGCAGGAAGCTCTGTCACAAAAATCAGAAAAGCAA
-3036	TCAAATTAACCGTTTACCTTTGATGATCTTCGGATGTTTTCACTGACGAG
-2986	ACTCCCAGTTAGACAGCAAATGTTCCTTTTGTTCCATAAAGATTATTTT
-2936	ATACCCAAAATACCTCAGTTTGTTTGTCACGTTATGTTGAGAAATCTACC
-2886	GGAAATAGCTGTCACAACAACACCAAAAAAAAAAAATAATCTAATTATATCAAT
-2836	AATATCGACAGAAACATTGCAAACGTTTTTTTATAATCAATC
-2786	GTTTTTCAAGTATCTATTCGATAATATATCAACCGGGACAATTGGCTTTT

Fig. 18 A putative promoter sequence of the cutthroat trout choriogenin L gene including 5'flanking region and exon 1. The exons are indicated by open boxes. Nucleotides are numbered to 5' end of exon 1, with negative numbers representing the 5'flanking region. Estrogen responsive element (ERE)-like, and 1/2ERE are indicated by vermillion boxes. Other transcription factor binding sites (Sp1; AP-1: activator protein 1; GATA: GATA transcription factor; CAAT box; TATA box) are indicated by each color box.

-2736	CAGTAGGACCGAGAGGAAAAATGGCTACCTTTGTCTTTTACGCAATAATC	
-2686	ACTCTGAGAGCGCTCATTCTTCAACATAAAGGCGTGAAACTACGTCTAAA	
-2636	GGCTGTAGACACCTTAGGGAATACGTAGAAAAAGGAATCTGGTTGATATC	
-2586	CCTTTCAATGGCCAATAGGGATGCATAGGAACACAACGGTTTCAAAAT <mark>AT</mark> AP-1	
-2536	GAGTCACTTCCTGATTGGATTTTTCTTAGGCTTTCGCCTGCAATATCAGT	
-2486	TATGTT <mark>ATACTCAC</mark> AGACAATATTTTGACAGTTTTGGAAACTTTAGAGTG	
-2436	TTTTCTATCCTAAGCTGTCAATTATATGCATATTCTAGCATCTGGTCCTG	
-2386	AGAAATAGGCTGTTTACTTTGGGAACGTTATTTTTCCAAAAATAAAAATA 1/2ERE	
-2336	GTGCCCCTAGCTTCAAGCATGTCCCAGTTTA <mark>GGTCA</mark> CCTAGTAGCACGA	
-2286	GCTCATAAGATAGATGG <mark>GGTCA</mark> ATCAATTCACATATGATGTCCAGGGCAC	
-2236	TTGTTTCTGGAAATAAATAGACTTGTTTCTGGAAAGGTGAATTTTTAGAA	
-2186	GTAGAATCTCGAATTGTTTTGGTGCAGACCGGGATGGTAAGACAGAATTT Sp1	
-2136	TGCAGGCTATCTCTGCAGAAGATTACAACACAGCCCCTTTGGCAGTTCTA	
-2086	TCTTGTCGGAAAATGTTATAGTTAGGGATGGAAATTTCAGGGTTTTTGGT	
-2036	GGTTTTCCTAAGCCAGGATTCAGACGTGGCTAAGACATCCGGGTTTGCAG	
-1986	AATATGCTAAACCA <mark>GTGAATAA</mark> AGCAAACTTAGGGAGTAGGCTTCTAATG	
-1936	TTAACATGCATGAAACCAAGGCTTTTACGGTTACAGAAGTCAACAAATGA	
-1886	GAGCACCTGGGGAGAAGGAGTAGAGCTGGGCACTGCAGGTCCTGGATTAA	
Fig. 18 (Continued)		

-1836	CCTCTACATCACCAGAGGAACAAAGGAGAAGTAGGACTTGTGGTTTCTAG
-1786	CTAACATTACATCAAAGTATTAGAGCATGTCGTGAAGCAAAACTCA
-1736	AGT <mark>GGTCA</mark> AAACCATCT <mark>TGGGTCAC</mark> CGGGAGGAGCGGGTTTGCAAAACAC
-1686	Sp1 CTTCCCAATATTGAGTTTTGCCCTCAGAACAGCCTCAATTCGTCGGGGGCA
-1636	TG GACTCTTCAAGGTGTCAAAAGCGTTCCACAGGGATGCTGGCCCAAGTT
-1586	GGCTCGATGTCAAAGGCACTTCAATATTTTGCTTTGTCCATTCACACTCT Sp1
-1536	GAATGGCACAGATACACAATCCATGCCTCAATTGTCTCAAGGCTTAACAA
-1486	TCCTTCTTTAACCTGTATCCTCCTCTTTATCTACACGGATTGAAGTCAAT
-1436	TTAATAAGTGACATCAGTAAGGGGTTGAAGATTTCACCTGGATTCACCTG
-1386	GTCAGTCTTTGTCATGGAAAGAGCAGGTGTTCTTAATGTTTTGTACACTC
-1336	AGTGTATATTTTCTTTATACAGACTAGTAAAAGATAAGTGAAAATTGACA
-1286	AATTATCCAATGGATTATGATAATTTTCTGGTAAAAAAAA
-1236	TCTGCCTGAGAATTGAGGGTAGTTTGCACCCTCTATTTGTATTTGCTCCA AP-1
-1186	TGGCTCAGATGGTTAAATGGAGACAAGGCTGTTTGGCTTATC <mark>TCAGTCA</mark> C
-1136	GAAGAGGAATACATTTTCATCTGTTTCTAATCAATAAACAATGCCATTCT
-1086	GGTGGTTGGTAACATTCAAATAAAACCTAAACCTGAAATGAAACGTAGGC
-1036	TGAATTTAAAAAAAAATTGGGTATGATGATGACATTTTTTAAATACATT
-986	TTTTATTTAACGAGGCATAATCCTGTGGTTTAAATTTAACCCTCAAAACA
Fig. 18 (Continued)

-936	ACAGCTTAAGTTGATTACTTTTTGCAAATCCAATGTATTTTCCACATAGA	
-886	CTCCATGTCTCAATATGTTGACAAATTACATTGAAATAACGTTGATTCAA	
-836	CCAGTTTGTGCCCAATGGGCAGCCTCCTGTGTTGATCTTGTGTGTATTCG	
700		
- / 80	ACTGTGGCCTTGACAGAGGTCTTACTGACCGACACGCAGCATGTCAATAA	
-736	ATGTCAATTTTGCAAGTATACACAGTGTGAGA <mark>GGTCATTCACC</mark> CAGGCAG	
-686	TCTGCTTCGCGTTCACTTAATCTACCCCCATGTGAAAGTAACATGACTTC	
-636	AGGTGTGGAAATATCTATTTTTCCATTCAATAAATACATCATCTCAAATT	
-586	ATTGCAACCAGAAGTTTCTCTTTTAAGAATGAATGAAAGGTTAGTGCATTT	
-536	ATTTAATCTAATCATAATATAGACTTGTATAAGTTCATATATAATATATC	
-486	ATAATTACACTATATGTTATTTTTGCAAAACGCTATATACTGTATCCACC	
-436	TTACAAATAAGAGTTCTCTTACAGAAAAATATGTGGAAAGACGATCAAAT	
-386	GTGACACA TAAGTAACATTTTAATATATTACTATTGAAAAAAATACATTTG	
-336	TGGCATCTATATATCAAGCAAATGTAGCAAACACACATATAAAGGTACCC	
-286	AAAAGCATTCATTTCTGATGAAAAAGCGTTTTTTTAACAAAAAATTCTT	
-236	GAAACTTTGATAATATAACATAACATTTTTGGTATTAAGAAGTTGCTTTG CAAT box	
-186	AACAGTCTGCTATTAAAGGACCATCAGCCACAGCATTTGATTGGCTAAAA Sp1	
-136	CCTTGACTCCTGAGAGCTAATAGGGAATGTTCAAATGAGGAG TATA box	
-86	TTTCCAATAAGTAAACAACGCTCAATCCGTTATAAAACTGGCCACA	
Fig. 18 (Continued)		

Exon 1

-36 CTTCATCCTCTTCACAGCATCCAGTGAACATTGCTGATCAATTCTTATTG
--

+15 TGAAGCCATGGCGATGAAGTGGAGTGTAGTTTGTCTCGTGGCAGTGGCCA

+65 TGCTTGGCTGTCTGTGTGTTGCTCAGAATTGGCCACCCTTCAGTAAA

-2872	AAGATGCAATTCGTCAGACTTCGGGAGACTCTCTGGAAATCTCGGGGTAACC
-2822	TCGGATTCTCTAGGAAACGGAGACGTCAGAGATTTCCGGGATGCCTCA <mark>GA</mark> Sp1 ERE-like
-2772	GGCGAGGTAAAATCTGACCTCCCTCCTCCTACGTTACCGTAGCCACCCATC 1/2ERE
-2722	GATCCAGAA <mark>GGTCA</mark> AGGCGATGAGCAAGTCGAGATCTGTTGGTAGTTCCC
-2672	GGGCTGCTAGCTTATCTTTAACGTCCTCCGATAATCCATGCAGGAACGTG
-2622	GCGAACAGCGATTCCGGGTTCCAGGCACTCTCAGCCACAAATGTACGGAA
-2572	ATCCACTGCATATGTCCAACGGACATCAGCGTGGTGAGGTACGCTTTCTT
-2522	CGAGCAGTCCGAGGGAAAGGAGGAGGGCTGCAGCTCGAAGATGAGGGAAT
-2472	ACTGAGCGAGAAACGCCTGACAGGTTCCCGACTTTCCAGCGAAGCATTCC
-2422	AGAGGAGGTAAGCAGGGTTCTCAGGAAGCCGGGGGGGGGG
-2372	GCTGCTGACAGTCGGGTTACTGAGGGGGCTGGGAAGTTATATTCGTGGTAG
-2322	GCTGCCTAACAGACAACCCACGGAATTGCTCCAGCAATGTATCCAATGCA
-2272	AAACCTTCCATAAGACCACGAAGCAACTCCTCGTGCCTTCCAATGGTGGC
-2222	TCCTTGGGAGAAG <mark>ACGGCGTGGT</mark> GGAGCTGGTCCAAGTCTGCTGCGTCCG
-2172	TCAT <mark>GGTCA</mark> GTT <mark>TGTAC</mark> TATCACGACTCAGGATAAGACCCAGATGGAGTT
-2122	CAAAATATCAAATGTATATTTACAAAACAG <mark>GGGGCAGGCA</mark> AACGACAGGT
-2072	CCAGGGCAGGCAGA CCAGGGCAGGCAGA 1/2ERE 1/2ERE 1/2ERE
-2022	ACGGCAGGCAGGCTCAG <mark>GGTCA</mark> GGGCAGTCAGAAT <mark>GGTCA</mark> AAACCGGGAA

Fig. 19 A putative promoter sequence of the cutthroat trout vitellogenin As gene including 5'flanking region, exon 1, intron 1 and exon 2. The exons are indicated by open boxes. Nucleotides are numbered to 5' end of exon 1, intron 1 and exon 2, with negative numbers representing the 5'flanking region. Estrogen responsive element (ERE), ERE-like, and 1/2ERE are indicated by vermillion boxes. Other transcription factor binding sites (Sp1; AP-1: activator protein 1; GATA: GATA transcription factor; CAAT box; TATA box) are indicated by each color box.

-1972	AACAGGGACTAGAGTGAAAACAGGAGTACGTGAAAACCACTAGTAGGCTT
-1922	GACGAGACAAGACGAACTGGTAACAGACAAACAGAGAACACAGGTATAAA
-1872	TGCACCAGGGATAATGGGGAAGATGGGCGACACCTGGAAGGGGGTAGAGA
-1822	CAAGCACAAAGACAGGAGAAACAGATCAGGGTGTGACGGATAGGGTATTT
-1772	ATGGATGTGTTA <mark>TGAATGAC</mark> TGAAGG <mark>TGTCTCAC</mark> TTCAAACAAAGTATTA
-1722	CAGTATTACATGAGGTGTCAATAAATAGTTTTTTAATATTCTGCTAATTT
-1672	ATGAAGGTTCTCTCATGATCCACAGGTTATTGTAGGGTATGGGAGATATT AP-1
-1622	TAAATGGAGAGATGGACCTCCAAAGCTTGTGTTTGGGTGT <mark>GTGTGTCA</mark> GA
-1572	GCCAAGATGATTTGGAGTAGTCCAACCTAAGACGACCTCACCAGGTATTC
-1522	CTTTTCTTTTCCCATGCTGGAGACCAGGGCTTGTTCACAACCTGTTATAA AP-1
-1472	CGGTGCCAACATTTTGTGGCTGATCTTTCAGCGGGGGA <mark>GTGAGTGA</mark> ATGT
-1422	GTCAAAGACCTGACTGGGCCCAGCACTGCACGGTATGGCTCGTTATGTTG
-1372	TTGTGTGTGGGTTTGTGTACTGAGGAACATGTAACTTGGTTGCAGTAAAA
-1322	GCCACTTTCAATTTCCTTATGTTGGGAGGTTTCATCAGGGTAAGTGTTTC
-1272	TTGGTGTAAAGTCGTCCCGAACACAGCACATATCAGCCTGGGATATCAGC 1/2ERE 1/2ERE
-1222	CATTCATAGTTAGCCTTAGTTGGAG <mark>TGACC</mark> ATAGAATTCTATGGGAG <mark>TGA</mark> AP-1
-1172	CTTACTGAGTAAAGTATTTGCCATCATTCCCCCTGGTCGTCACTAGTTA Sp1
-1122	ACGCTGCCACAAAGTCATAATTATGGCTAAACCCTGCCCATTTCCACAAT

ERE-like

-1072	TTCTCTTCTAGAAAATAGATTTTAAATCTAACCCTAACTAA
-1022	AGTT <mark>TGATG</mark> CGTT <mark>GGGGGGGTGGT</mark> ATGTGAAAAGTGCTGTAATTTCTAAAT AP-1
-972	GGTTTACTCAAATTTCTAAACGGT <mark>TTACTCAT</mark> TATGGATGAAAATACCCT
-922	CAGATTAAAGCTGACAGTCTGCACTTTAACCTCCGTCATAGCATCATTTC
-872	AAATCAAAATTGCTGGAGTACAGAGCCAAAAAACAACAACAAATGTCTCAC
-822	TGTCCAATTTTTTTTTTTTTAAATTGACACATTAATATTTCAGTTGAATTTA
-772	ACATCTGGATCGAACAACAAACCTGTTGTAGGCCTGCATATTCAGTGTCA AP-1 ERE
-722	T <mark>TGACTGAC</mark> AAGATGGCAGAGTCTTATCTCTA <mark>GGTCA</mark> AGC <mark>TGACC</mark> ATTGT
-672	TGACACATGGTTGGTTCATCTGAATTACAAATACTTGTTCAAGGACTTCA ERE-like
-622	ATAGTGTTGA <mark>GGACA</mark> AGCT <mark>TGGAC</mark> CAATGGCTGATCCATGTTCAAGTGCC
-572	AAAACTCGTTGGGTTTTGACATTACTAGTATTCTAATTTATCACATTCTC ERE-like
-522	TAACAAATTAGAATC <mark>GATCATACA<mark>TGTAC</mark>TGATCCAAACCTAGACAATAT</mark>
-472	ATTTTATTTAGCATTGTGTTGCTTCATTAATTTAGGCACCAACTGCCTAC
-422	TTATCTCTACTGGGTTCTACTTCTAAAGTACAAGGGCCTTTCTGTACATT
-372	TGTATTTAGTGGTTAGATAAATAGAGAAAAATAACTAATGTAGTATTTAA
-322	AGAAAAATGAAGGTCGTAGTATACATAACTTGTTGGATAAAACAACACGT AP-1 ERE-like
-272	GATTCTCCAGATAATTTTCATTAAAGAACTGACTTAGATGGACATTGATC Sp1 CAAT box
-222	TGTAAAGGGCTAAATGGCAGT <mark>GGGGCAGGTT</mark> AACCTAACCTATGGGTGTA

-172	TGTGGCCACCTCAGAACTAGTGATAACCCACTCAACAGGCTTTATTATGA
-122	GCACATACTAATCTCAACTTATGTAACGTTAGTTAATTATATATTTTGTT
	TATA-box
-72	ACGATTGTTTGTCGACAGAACATTT <mark>CTTTAAAAGGCGGAC</mark> TGGGACTGT
	Exon 1
-22	TGTTCCTCACATCACACTGGCCATGAGAGCAGTAGTACTTGCACTGACTC
	Intron 1
29	TAGCCCTTGTGGGTAAGTACAGTTTTTCTGTCTTATTCTAGTGCAGATAT
79	ТАТААСТААСТТСАТGТАААТАТААСТААGTTATGTAAATATAGAAATGT
129	ACAATTCTGTATCTATGACATTTTTTGAAAAACATTCAGTAACGTATTGT
	Exon 2
179	GACAATAATTTTTGTTTTATCTTGTAGCGAGTCAATCTGTTAACTTT

to -678 (GGTCAagcTGACC). Eight ERE-like sequences and six 1/2 ERE were predicted at the following positions: ERE-like: -2767 to -2754 (GGTGAaatcTGACCT), -2758 to -2749 (TGACCTCTCC), -2168 to -2156 (GGTCAgttTGTAC), -1027 to -1014 -678 (GGTCAagcTGACC), -612 to (GGTCAagttTGATG), -690 to -599 (GGACAagetTGGAC), -509 to -496 (GATCAtacaTGTAC) and -233 to -224 (TGACCTCTCC); 1/2ERE: -2713 to -2709, -2058 to -2054, -2005 to -2001, -1987 to -1983, -1197 to -1193 and -1175 to -1171. AP-1 and Sp1 were predicted at following positions: AP-1: -2057 to -2050 (GTCAGTAA), -1760 to -1753 (TGAATGAC), -1746 to -1739 (TGTCTCAC), -1582 to -1575 (GTGTGTCA), -1434 to -1427 (GTGAGTGA), -1167 to -1160 (CTGAGTAA), -948 to -941 (TTACTCAT), -830 to -823 (TGTCTCAC), -721 to -714 (TGACTGAC) and -274 to -267 (GTGATTCT); Sp1: -2774 to -2765 (GAGGCGAGGT), -2349 -2340 (GGGGGCTGGGA), -2209 to to -2200 (ACGGCGTGGT), -2092 to -2083 (GGGGCAGGCA), -1092 to -1083 (ACCCTGCCCA), -1009 to -1000 (GGGGGGGGGG) and -201 to -192 (GGGGCAGGTT). The conserved TATA box and CAAT box were found at positions, -47 to -33 (CTTTAAAAGGCGGAC) and -189 to -174 (CCTAACCTATGGGTGT), respectively.

The *vtgC* DNA promoter sequence consisted of 3025 bp located upstream of the translation initiation site and additional regions of Exon/Intron 39 bp (Fig. 20). No complete palindrome ERE was identified in the analyzed promoter sequences. Six ERE-like sequences and eight 1/2 ERE were predicted at the following positions: ERE-like: 1611 to -1601 (GGTCAgAGACC), -1474 to -1461 (GTTCAttggTGTCCA), -1324 to -1315 (GAGCAtccTGACC), -586 to -575 (GTTCAaaTGCAC), -312 to -309

-3025	ATCAAACCATGCAATAATCTGAGTCGGCGCTCAGAGCCCAATCAAGACAC
-2975	AAATATATCTGCCATATTATGCAGTCAACAAAAGTCATAAATAGCATAAT
-2925	AAATATTCACTTACCTTTGCTGATCTTCGTCGGAATGCACTCCCAGGAAT
-2875	CCCACTTCCACAAGAAATTTTGTTTGTTCGGTAATGTCCATCATTTATG
-2825	TCCAAATAATTACTTTTGTTAGCGCATTTGGTAAACAAATCAAGTCACGA
-2775	AGTGCGTTCACTAAAAGCAGACGAAATGTCAAAAAGTTCCGTAACAGTCA
-2725	GTAGAAACATGTCAAACGATTTATTGAATCAATCTTTAGGATGTTTTTAA
-2675	CATAAATCTTCAATAATATTCCAACCGGAGAATTCCATTGTCTTCAGAAG
-2625	TGTGATGGAACAGAGCTCCCTCTCATGTGAACGCGCATGGTTCACATGGC
-2575	AGACCTTACTCATTCCCTTCTCCTTCGGCCCCACTTCACAGTAGAATCAT
-2525	CAGACAAGGTTCTCAAGACTGTTGACATCTAGCGGAAGCCTTAGGAAGTG
-2475	CAACATTAATATATCCCACTGTATCGTCAATTGGAGCTCAGTTGAAAATC
-2425	AACCAACCTCAGATTTCCCAGATTTCCTGGTTGGATTTTTTTCTCAGGTT
-2375	TTTGCCTGCCGTATGAGTTCTGTT <mark>ATACTCAC</mark> AGGCATCATTCAAACAGT
-2325	TTTAGAAACTTCAGAGTGTTTTCTATCCAAATCTACTTATAATATGCTTC
-2275	ATGGCTTTATAGCAGGCCATTTACTCTGGGCATGCTTTTCATCCGGACGT
-2225	GAAA <mark>ATACTGCCCC</mark> CTACCCCAAAGTTAAACA <mark>GGTCA</mark> ACATCACAAAGCC
-2175	GCAGGGCCAGACAGATTACCAGGACGTGTACTCAAAGCATGTACGCACCA

Fig. 20 A putative promoter sequence of the cutthroat trout vitellogenin C gene including 5'flanking region and exon 1. The exons are indicated by open boxes. Nucleotides are numbered to 5' end of exon 1, with negative numbers representing the 5'flanking region. Estrogen responsive element (ERE)-like, and 1/2ERE are indicated by vermillion boxes. Other transcription factor binding sites (Sp1; AP-1: activator protein 1; GATA: GATA transcription factor; CAAT box; TATA box) are indicated by each color box.

-2125	1/2ERE ACTGGCATGCGTATTCACTGAGATTTTTAACCTCTCGC <mark>TGACC</mark> GAGTCTG
-2075	CAATACGTACAGGTTTAAGCAGACCACCATAGTCCCTGTGGCTAAGGAAG
-2025	CGAAGGTAACCTACCAAAATAATTACCGCACCATAGCACTCACGTATGTA
-1975	GCCATGAAGTGCTTTGAAAAGCTGATCATGGATCATATCAATACCATTAT
-1925	CCCAGAAACCCCAGACCCAGCGTTCAACACCATAGGGCCCACAAAGCTAG
-1875	TCACTAAGCTAAGTACCATGGAACTAAACACCTCCCTCTGCAACTGGATC
-1825	CTGGATTTCCTGACGGGACACCCCCAGGTGGTAAGGGTAGGCAACAACAC
-1775	GTCTGCCACGCTGATCCTCAACTGTGGGGACCTCAGGGGTGTGTACTTAG
-1725	TCCCCTCCTGTACTCCCTGATCACCCATGACTGCGCGGCCAAACACGACT
-1675	CAAACACCATCATTAAGTTGGTAAACCTGATCACCAACAATG <mark>ATGAGACA</mark> ERE-like
-1625	GCTTATAGGGAGGAGGAGGAGAGAGACC AP-1
-1575	CCTCTTCCTCAATGTGAGCAA GACAAATGAGCTTATTGTGGACTACAGGA
-1525	AAAGGCAGGCTGAACATGCCCCCATTAACATTGACGGGGATGTAGTTTCA
-1475	A <mark>GTTCA</mark> TTGGT <mark>GTCCA</mark> CATCTCCAACAAACGATCACAGTTGAAACACACC
-1425	AAGACAGTCGTAAAGAGAGCACGACACCACCACCTCAGGAGACTGAAAAG
-1375	ATTTGACATAGGTTCCCAGATCCTCAAAACCTTCTACAGCTGCAACATCG ERE-like
-1375 -1325	ATTTGACATAGGTTCCCAGATCCTCAAAACCTTCTACAGCTGCAACATCG ERE-like AGAGCATCCTGACCGGTTGCATCACTGCCTGGTATGGCAACTGCTCGGCA 1/2ERE

-1225	CAGCAAGTGGTACCAGAGCGCCAAGTCTTGGACCAAAAGGCTCCTTAACA
-1175	GCTTCTACCCCCAAGACTGCTTAACAATTAAACAAATGGCCACTGGACTA 1/2ERE
-1125	TTTACAT <mark>TGACC</mark> CCCCTCCATTTGTTTGTACACTGCTGTTACTCTATGT
-1075	TTATTATCTACGCATAGTCACTTCACCCCCACCTACATGTATAAATTACC
-1025	TCGACTAACCTGTACCCCCGCATATTGACTCGGTACCGGTACCCCTTGTA
-975	TATAGGCTCATTATTGTTATTTAATTTTGTTACTTTTATTTA
-925	CTTTAGTTTATTTGGTAAATATTTTCTTAACTCTTCTTCAACTGCACTGT AP-1
-875	TGGTTAAGGGCTT <mark>GTGAGTAA</mark> GCATGTAAGGTCTACACTTGTTGTATTTG
-825	GTGCATGTGACAAATAGAGTTTGATTTGATTTGATTTTGACTGTCAGTTG
-775	AGTAACTGTAGCCCGTCTGTTGCTCTGCAAAATTTGTGTCAGTCCTTTAT 1/2ERE
-725	CCTCTTTCATCAATGACCCGTTTTCGACAACTGGCCTGAAATTGTCTGGA
-675	TGTCCTTTGGATGGT <mark>TGACC</mark> ATTCTTGATACAAAGAGGAAACTGTTGAGC
-625	GTGAAAAAACGCTGCACCTGGTATCTACCAGCATACCCT <mark>GTTCA</mark> AA <mark>TGCA</mark>
-575	CTTAAATATTTAGTCGTACCCATCCACCCTCTGAATTGCACACAAGCACA
-525	ATCCATGTCTCAATTTTATCAAGGCTTAAAAAGCCTCCTTTAAACCTGTC
-475	TCCTCCCCTTCATTACACTGATTGAAGTGGAATTAATATCAATAAGGGAT
-425	CATAGCTTTCACCTGGTTAGTCTATGTCATGGAAAGCACAGGTGTTCCTA
-375	ATGTTGTGTACATCCTGTGAATATATTATTTGTGCAAGCAGCACACTTTG

	ERE-like
-325	TGCATTCAAATAA <mark>GGTCA</mark> AAGA <mark>TGTTG</mark> CATTTTAAACAATTCTTTGTAAI
-275	GTGAGTTTCACAAAGCACTGATTATTAGTGTCCATTTTAGATCACTGTTT
-225	ATTTTTCCTGAAATGTCCTCCTTTTCCATGTCATAC <mark>TGACC</mark> ACTGTCAAC
-175	GTCACTGGTTACACTCGTCTGACATACCTTTGCCCCCTTGTTACTTTATG
105	
-125	CCCCTCATTGATGCCAAAGATGTGCTGTGCAGACACTG <mark>GGTCATGTAC</mark> TC
	AP-1 TATA box
-75	GT <mark>TTAGTCAT</mark> TGAGAACACAGCATTGC <mark>ATATAAAAGGAAACG</mark> TTGAGCT0
	Exon1
-25	CAGGATCCCACTCCTGGATTTCACCATGTGGGGGTTCCTTCTTTGTCACC
0.5	TTCTCCCCCTTTCCCC
25	TTGTGGCCTTGGCC

(GGTCAaagaTGTTG) and -87 to -78 (GGTCATGTAC); 1/2ERE: -2193 to -2189, -2087 to -2083, -1316 to -1312, -1273 to -1269, -1118 to -1114, -712 to -708, -660 to -656 and -189 to -185. AP-1 and Sp1 were predicted at following positions: AP-1: -2570 to -2563 (TTACTCAT), -2351 to -2344 (ATACTCAC), -1633 to -1626 (ATGAGACA), -1562 to -1555 (GTGAGCAA), -862 to -855 (GTGAGTAA) and -73 to -66 (TTAGTCAT); Sp1: -2221 to -2212 (ATACTGCCCC). The conserved TATA box was found at the positions, -48 to -34 (TTATAAAACTGGCCCA).

The $er\alpha l$ DNA promoter sequence consisted of 6155 bp located upstream of the translation initiation site and additional regions of Exon/Intron 1041 bp (Fig. 21). No complete palindrome ERE was identified in the analyzed promoter sequences. Two ERE-like sequences and eight 1/2 ERE were predicted at the following positions: ERE-like: -1107 to -1090 (GAGCAaccgaggcTTGAC) and -525 to -511 (GGTCAagagtTGTCC); 1/2ERE: -5609 to -5605, -5509 to -5505, -5095 to -5091, -4948 to -4944, -4865 to -4861, -4086 to -4082, -3761 to -3757, -3698 to -3694, -3645 to -3641, -3600 to -3596, -3287 to -3283, -3057 to -3053, -2191 to -2187, -2091 to -2087, -1482 to -1478, -899 to -895, -285 to -281, -100 to -96 and -70 to -66. AP-1 and Sp1 were predicted at following positions: AP-1: -5754 to -5747 (GTGAGCCA), -5493 to -5486 (TTACTCAC), -5368 to -5361 (TGTGTCAC), -5050 to -5043 (GTGACTGA), -5049 to -5042 (TGACTGAT), -4213 to -4206 (TGAATGAC), -3815 to -3808 (GTGAGTTA), -3711 to -3704 (TGACTCCC), -2213 to -2206 (GTGAGAAA), -1126 to -1119 (TGAATGAC), -267 to -260 (TTAGTCAG); Sp1: -5855 to -5846 (GGGGCGGTAT), (AGGGCAGTGT), -5808 to -5799 -4409 -4400 to (ACCCAGCCAG), -3604 to -3595

98

-6155	CCTCGGCAAACTTAATGATGGTGTTGGAGTTAAGCTTAGCCATTCAGGTA
-6105	TGGGTGAGCAGGGAGTTCAGGAGGGGACTGAGCATGCACCCCTGAGGGGC
-6055	CCCTGTGTTGAGGATCAGCGAGGCAGATGTGTTGTTACCTACC
-6005	CCTGGGGGCGGCCCATCAGGAAGTCCACGATCCAGTTGCAGTGGGAGGTG
-5955	TTTAGTCCCAGGGTTCTTAGCTTGATGATGAGCTTTGAGGGTACTATGGT
-5905	GTTGAATGCTGAGCTGCAGTCAATGAATAGCAAAAGGTGTTCCTTTTGAA
-5855	AGGGCAGTGT Sp1
-5805	GCGGTATGCAAATTGGAGTGGGTCTAGGGTTTCTGGGATAATGGTGTTGA
-5755	TGTGAGCCATTATCAGCCTTTCAAAGCACTTCATGGCTACATATGTGAGT
-5705	TCTACGGGTTGGTAGTCATTTAAGCGTTGTTACCTTGGTGTTCTTGGGCA
-5655	CAGTTCTATGGTGGTCTACTTGAAACATGTTGGTGTTACAGACTCGGTCA
-5605	GGGACAGGTTGAAAACGTCAGTGAAGACACTCGCCAATTGCATGCTCAGA
-5555	GTACAAGTTCTGGTAATCCGTCTGGCCCTCTGGCCTTGTGAATGT AD-1
-5505	TATTTAAAGGTC <mark>TTACTCAC</mark> ATCGGCTATGGAGAGCATGATCATACAGTC
-5455	GTTCGGAACAGCTGATGCTCCATGCATGCTACAGTGTTGCTTGC
-5405	AGCAAGCATGGAAGTTATTTAGCTTGTCTGGTAGGCT <mark>TGTGTCAC</mark> TGGGC
-5355	AGCTCGTGGCTGTGCTTCCCTTTTGTAGTCCGTAATAGTTTGCAGGCCCT
-5305	GCCACATCCAATGAGCGTCGGAGCCGGTGTAGTACAATTCAATCTCAGTC

Fig. 21 A putative promoter sequence of the cutthroat trout estrogen receptor $\alpha 1$ gene including 5'flanking region and exon 1, intron 1 and exon 2. The exons are indicated by open boxes. Nucleotides are numbered to 5' end of exon 1, with negative numbers representing the 5'flanking region. Estrogen responsive element (ERE)-like, and 1/2ERE are indicated by vermillion boxes. Other transcription factor binding sites (Sp1; AP-1: activator protein 1; GATA: GATA transcription factor; CAAT box; TATA box) are indicated by each color box.

-5255	CTGTATTGACGCTTTGCCTGTTTGATGGTTCATCAGAGGACATAGCGGGA
-5205	TTTCTTATGTCCGGGTTAGAGTCCCGCTCCTTGAAAGCGGCAGCTCTAGC
-5155	CTTTAGCTCAGTGGGGGGGGGTTGCCTGTAATCCATGACTGCTGTTGGAGTA
-5105	TGTATGTACGGTCACTGTGGGGGACGACGTCATCAATGCACTTATTGGCGA
-5055	AGCCA <mark>GTGACTGA</mark> TGTGGTGTACTCCTTAATGCATTCGGAAGAATCCCGG
-5005	AACATATTCCAGTCTGTGCTAGCAAAACAGTCCTGTAGCTTAACATCTGT 1/2ERE
-4955	GTCAACTGACCACTTCCTTATTGAGCAAGTCACTGGTACTTCCTGCTTTA
-4905	GTTTTTGCTTGTAAGCAGGAATCAGGAGGATAGCGTTATGGTCAGATTTG
-4855	TCAAATGGAGGGCGATGGAGAGCTTTGTACACGTCTCTGTGTGGGAGTA
-4805	AAGGTCGTCTAGAGTTTTTTTTTCCCCCTCTGGCTGCACATTTAACAAGCT
-4755	GCTAGAAATGAGGTAAAACTGATTTAAGTTTCCCTGTATTAAAGTCCCCG
-4705	GCCACTAGGAGCGCCGCCTCTGGATTAGCATTTTCTTGTTTGCTTATGGC
-4655	AGAATACAGCTCATTGAGAGCCGTCTTAGTGCCAGCATCGGTTTGTAGTG
-4605	GTAAATAGACAGCTACGAAAAATATAGATGTAATTATCTTGGTAAATAGT
-4555	GTGGTCTACAGCTTATCATGAGATACTTTACCTCTGGACTTCCTTAATAT
-4505	TAGATTTCATGCATCAGCTGTTATTTTCAAATAGACACAGACCGCCACCC
-4455	CTTGTCTTACCGGAGGCAGCTGTTCTATCTTGCCGATGGACAGAAA <mark>ACCC</mark> Sp1
-4405	AGCCAGTTATCCATGTTGTTGTTCAGCCACGACTAAGTGAAACATAAGAT

-4355	ATTACATTTGTCCTTTTGGTAGCATAGTCTTGATCGGAATTTGTTATCCA
-4305	TTGATTGCACGTTGGCTAATAGTACTGATGGTAGAGCAATCCTTACAAGG AP-1
-4255	CACCCCAACCTACGTCCCCGATATCTCCGTCTCTTCATGTGAATGAC
-4205	GGGGATGTGGGCCTTGTCGGGTGTCCGAAGTAAATCCTTTGCGTCGACTC
-4155	GTTAATGAAAAAGTCTTCGTCCAGTTCGAAGTGTGTAGTCACTGTCCTGA
-4105	TATCCAGAAGCTCTTTTCGGTCAGAGGCGGTGGCAGAAACATTATGTATA
-4055	AAATAAGTTCAAAATAACGCGAAACACGCACAATAGTACAATTGGTTAGG
-4005	AGCCCGTAAAACGGCAGCCATCTCCTCCGGCGCCATCTCACAGGTGAAGC
-3955	TGTGCAAACTTCAGGGGTTTATTATAAGAGGCCAGCTAAATGTTGATTGT
-3905	TGAAAATGAAAGTGAAGTCGCATTACCAAAGATAATATGATGTTATGGCT AP-1
-3855	GTTTTGGCAATTAATCCCCAAATGTTACGATCACAGTTTCCTGTGAGTTAAT 1/2ERE
-3805	GTCTCTGTTCCTGCTCTTTAGGTGTCTCTGTGGGGTAACAGGTGTGACCTG AP-1
-3705	
-3655	
-3605	Sp1
-3555	AGACCTGGTCCTCGCTAACTTCCTGGTGTCCGCTGGTCTGGCCAGGGAGG
-3505	TCCGCTTCCATGGCAAGTCCATCCCCTGGTTTGTCTCCCGACGTCACCTCG

-3455	CACGACTTCCAGTGGACCATCCGCCAGACCCTGGCGGCCAATCACAAGTG	
-3405	GATGTCCAAGAGCGGTGTCCAGTGGCAGAGCTACGTACGGGAGGGCGTGT Sp1	
-3355	GGTCCTATCACGACCACCCGTTCTGG <mark>ACAATGCCTC</mark> ATGAGTACTGTGAC 1/2ERE	
-3305	ATGGCGGGCGACGCGCCTGACCTCTACGCGACACTGCAGGGAGCCGACCT	
-3255	GATTCTGTTTAAAGGAGACCTGAACTACAGGAAGCTGACTGGGGACAGGG	
-3205	AGTGGGATCACATGGTGCCGTTTGATAGGGCACTGAGGGGATTCGGCCCA	
-3155	GCTCCCCTGTGCAGTCTGAGGACTCTGAAGGCTAACATCCAGGTGGGGGCT	
-3105	CCAGCC <mark>GGGGCAGGGG</mark> GAGAACCTCAACACCCAGGACCCCAGCTGGA <mark>TGA</mark>	
-3055	CCAGCGGGAAGTACGCTGTGGTCCAGTTCTCCAGCCCTCACAGGGAACAG	
-3005	TAGACCTGTCTGGGACAGGAAATGACGTCAATATGTTCATAATAAGTGGC	
-2955	GTCATCATAATCAGAGGAAGTAAGGGCGTGAGCAGGGCCAGTGTACTTGT	
-2905	AACCATATGCCAGGTTAGAGGTGTAGTTTGTTTGTCGTAGAACTACCTA	
-2855	ATGTATTTAAATGAGGAGAGTGGTTTAAAGGAGGATGATAGAGGATACTT	
-2805	TAAAAAGAGGAGGGTAGTTAAATAGGGAGTGGCTGCAGATCCGACTTCTC	
-2755	TGGACCCGTCGTCCCGACAGCTAAAGGTTCACAGCTTCTGTGAATGTGTG	
-2705	GTAATCTCTACTTTACACACCATCTCTGTTCTATTTGTTCAGCTGCCCAG	
-2655	AGAAGAAGCTACTCTGGCGAAAGGTGCTTGTTTAATAAAGTTAGATCAAA	
-2605	CTCGAATCATTGTAAGATTACATAAAGATAATATTCTATAGAACCAAATA	

-2555	AAATAGCATAGTATAACATTACTGTATGACAAAAAACACCTAATTTCTTA	
-2505	TATTTTAGGATGATTGTGCTCATCGTCACATTTTTCTCTCATGCAGTCTA	
-2455	AACTCAACAGTGCACGCCACTCAGCAAAGGTATGCTACAGTTTAATACCA	
-2405	TCTGCAAAAAATGTGCTAAGGTACATAACTAATTCAAAACACTGTAGCC	
-2355	ТАСТТСААААСААСАСТGTAGCCTACACTCAATAATATTTAAATGCATAT	
-2305	GCCCATGAAACTGATTGCAAATGGTTGGCGTGCAGATTCTGCATGTATGT	
-2255	TTCACCGGTAAAACTTGGAAGAATTATCATTCACGATTGACAGTGAGAAA Sp1 1/2ERE	
-2205	TGCGAAGGGAGGGTGACCAGAAGTTTGGGTGTGCGTAGAGTTAAAGAAAC	
-2155	ACATGCACAGAACGTGAGTGGGATCTTCAGCTCTGGGGAAAGGGATCCAG 1/2ERE	
-2105	TGGGATGGGGCTG <mark>TGACC</mark> TCCGGATCCCCAGCCTCTGCCATTTAAATAAT	
-2055	GATCAACTGCTGGTTAGCAATCCATCCTGGCATAACTACAAAACAATGAC	
-2005	GTTTACTTCTCCCATTTAACAAATGTGTTGACGGTTGTTTACCATCATTC	
-1955	AGTACTAGGGTCGGGCTCTACCTCGATTCCAGTTCAAATCAGTTAATTTA	
-1905	GAAACATTGAAATTCCAAGTCATGAATTGAAACATGCTTATCTGATCTTA	
-1855	AGTGACTTTTTTCCAGACATATTATGTAATTTATGTAATGTTTTTGTCTC	
-1805	CCCAACAGCGACTACACAAAATATAGATTTGAGACACATTTCATTCA	
-1755	TCAGAGGCAATAAGATGCAAGGTGCAATTTGAACATTTACATTTTAAGTT	
-1705	GGCCTGTCGTAAATAGTTTAGAATTGTTATTTTAAGTTGAGCCCTTATGT	
-1655	AACACCCAAAGCCAGCGGCTATTTTTTAGCCATTTATTTTGCATGAGCTT	
---------------------------------------	---	
-1605	GGCAAGACATTACAGAGTTAACTTGTGGTTGCTGGTATTTTCTGGGTCTT	
-1555	AATCAACGTCATCAGTTTGTCTTGTCAATTTATTGCATGCGTTTTTGTTT 1/2ERE	
-1505	GCATACATCGGGGTTTGCACAG <mark>TGACC</mark> ACAGTCCCGGAGTTTACATTTTC	
-1455	ATAGTTAAATACCTGAAGTTGAACGACAAGGTAATGTTCCCACTCTGGGA	
-1405	AACTATAGATAAATCATAGTCTTTGGGGCAGTGCAATCACACGTACAAGG	
-1355	TGTGTGTTGTTAAACACCTGTCTATGATGTATTTCTGTTTGTT	
-1305	ACAAAATGTTATTTCCGACTCATTAGAATGCCTCTGTAACCAGTGCAGGT	
-1255	GCCGTTGTGATCCTAAATGACTGGATTGTTCTCTTTGCAGAAATCTGGAA	
-1205	CAAAAATTGTTATATTATGATGGACTTGGTTTAAATAAAGAACTTCAATG AP-1	
-1155	ACTGGTCTTATCTCATACTGTTGTATAAA <mark>TGAATGAC</mark> ATTAAGAAAA <mark>GAG</mark> ERE-like	
-1105	CAACCGAGGCTTGACCTAGAAAGATGATTCCCTTTCACTCATTCTCCCCA	
-1055		
1000	TACTCTTATTACGCTCTTCAATTTATCCTGGGAACATGTATAAAAAATAG	
-1005	TACTCTTATTACGCTCTTCAATTTATCCTGGGAACATGTATAAAAAATAG	
-1005 -955	TACTCTTATTACGCTCTTCAATTTATCCTGGGAACATGTATAAAAAATAG AGTTATAATCAGACTGCTTGTTTAGCACTTGACATCACATGTCTGAATCT GGGCTGTTTACTGAGTGAAGAGACGTTGTAGAGAATGGAGCTTCTCTAGG	
-1005 -955 -905	TACTCTTATTACGCTCTTCAATTTATCCTGGGAACATGTATAAAAAATAG AGTTATAATCAGACTGCTTGTTTAGCACTTGACATCACATGTCTGAATCT GGGCTGTTTACTGAGTGAAGAGACGTTGTAGAGAATGGAGCTTCTCTAGG CAGCAGGTCAAACAGGAGGCCATTTTCTCCCCAGTTTGCAGAGATAGAGGT	
-1005 -955 -905 -855	TACTCTTATTACGCTCTTCAATTTATCCTGGGAACATGTATAAAAAATAG AGTTATAATCAGACTGCTTGTTTAGCACTTGACATCACATGTCTGAATCT GGGCTGTTTACTGAGTGAAGAGACGTTGTAGAGAATGGAGCTTCTCTAGG CAGCAGGTCAAACAGGAGGCCATTTTCTCCCCAGTTTGCAGAGATAGAAGGT TAATGAACCATCACTTTAATAAGCAAAAAGTTAACTTTCCCCCGTAAAAT	
-1005 -955 -905 -855 -805	TACTCTTATTACGCTCTTCAATTTATCCTGGGAACATGTATAAAAAATAG AGTTATAATCAGACTGCTTGTTTAGCACTTGACATCACATGTCTGAATCT GGGCTGTTTACTGAGTGAAGAGAGCGTTGTAGAGAATGGAGCTTCTCTAGG CAGCAGGTCAAACAGGAGGCCATTTTCTCCCCAGTTTGCAGAGATAGAGGT TAATGAACCATCACTTTAATAAGCAAAAAGTTAACTTTCCCCCGTAAAAT CCAGCTGTCAATTGTCAAGAAAGTTTGGAAAAATCAGAATCCCCAGTAT	

-755	GATCTCACTGAATTGTTTGTGGAAATGAAGACACTCATCTTCATACTGTA
-705	ATATGGGGAGCTGATCTGTCCATTTTTATGTACTGAGAATTGTTGCACAC
-655	GTTGAGGACTGGAAATACTAGTAGTCTTAAATTAGTAATAACAGTGTTGT
-605	GCTAGGCACACCCTGCATTTCAACAAGTGACACCGTTATTCCTTTAGAGA
-555	AACAGAGTTATCTTCCTGTGTAGGTTGAA <mark>GGTCA</mark> AGAGT <mark>TGTCC</mark> TTGTAC
-505	GCCCTTGAAACTTACCTAAAGGAATGGGGAAGACATTAAATGACTTTTCA
-455	AACATTGGTTGAATTTCATCATGATGCAGCATGTACTTGAAACCCCTTTG
-405	TTTGCTGCT <mark>TTGGCGGGGAT</mark> AATAGTTTATATTTGATCAGTGAATATCGTT CAAT box
-355	GATAAGGTATTCCTCTTGAAAGGCCCAATGATAGCAAGACAATGTACTTT AP-1
-305	AAATTAGTAGCTATTCCTTGGTCACAGTAGAGAGTGATTTAGTCAGTGTA
-255	GTTTGGTGATCTTACTGCAATGCTGTATTCTGTTTTCCTGAATAGATTTA
-205	GGCTATGAAAAGGGGGAACATGTAAGAACATGCATTGCCTGATGTCTGAA
-155	TCCTCTCATCTTTTCCCCACTGGGAGTTACATAATGTTGGCATCAGAAGG 1/2ERE 1/2ERE
-105	GTGT <mark>TGACC</mark> TCACATACTGTTTGCTGTGTCATGT <mark>TGACC</mark> TGCTCTAGAGA TATA box
-55	TACACTATCAATATCGATCCGGCTGCGTTCATTTGTTT <mark>CTACCTTTTTTA</mark> Exon1
-5	AATCTCTTTTTTTCTCATTGTGATGCGAAGCCAGATCTCAGAAAGAGGGA
+46	TGAGAGCGAGAGGACAGGGAGAAAGAGGAACCACTCAACAACAATGCT
+96	CATGATTAGACCCAAAGAGCTGAATATTGATCCATAACGTCATTAAGGTA

	Intron1
+146	AGTACTGTATATATGACGTCTTTGTGTGTGTATTTCATGTAATGGGTTCCTA
+196	TTTTATTGCTTCCTTCTCGTGGAATTGTTTTGTATAATTTTTCCATATTT
+246	GCACATAAATCCACTGATAGACACATTTAATAATGATTTAACTTCTTAGA
+296	AGAGTGAGTCAGAAAGGAGTGATATTCTGGGCCACGGCAGTACATGTAAC
+346	TAAATGACTGATAAGCATTCAGAGAGGAAGTCACACCAGGGTGTAACTCT
+396	CCTTGGAGCCTAGCAGCGTCCTCTAAAAGCTTTTCAGGGAAGAGAAAAAT
+446	AAGCTGCATTTTAGAAAAAATGTAAGGGGACAGGGGAGTTGTTGGAGTG
+496	GTTGCCTCTTTCTTTCGTTCTCTCACTCTCCTCTCTCTCT
+546	TCGAAATATCTGTCACTTGTTGTCCTTTCCTCCACCCCTCTCTCCCACAG
+596	CAGTGTCGATAGTTAAGGGAAAAGAGAAAAGCTCTGCCTCTCAAAAATAA
+646	GTGGGAGGAGGAATGTTTTTGGGCTGTCTCCAGGCGGAACCTGGGAGGG
+696	AAAGAGAGAGCAAGGAGGGACGAGAAAAGAGAGAGAGAG
+746	TGCCTCTTCCCTTCCTCTTCCCAACAGCCAGTATTGAGTTGCTTAGCACG
+796	GGCTGTTAAGGAAGAAACAGAGCAAGAGAGGGACGAGAGAAAAGAGAGAG
+846	AGAAGACAGAACAGAGCCCTTCTCCCCTCCCACCCCTTAGTGAGCCAGTC
+896	TAAACCAAGCTGCTTGTCACTGCTGTTGTTCTGTGAATGTGATGCTGGTC
+946	AGACAGTCCCATACGCAGATTTCCAAACCTCTCGGAGCTCCTCTCAGATC
+996	Exon2 CCGAACGACCCTGGAGAGCCACGTCATCTCCACCCCAAAACTCTCACCAC

- +1046 AGCAGCCGACCACCCCCAACAGCAACATGTACCCTGAGGAGACACGCGGA
- +1096 GGTGGTGGGGCGGCCGCCTTTAACTACCTGGACGGAGGGTATGACTACAC
- +1146 AGCCCCTGCCCAA

(CAGGGAGGGT), (ACAATGCCTC), -3329 -3320 -3099 -3090 to to (GGGGCAGGGG), (AAGGGAGGGT), -2201 -2192 -2099 -2090 to to (GGGGGCTGTGA), -396 to -387 (TTGGCGGGGAT). The conserved TATA box and CAAT box were found at positions, -203 to -189 (CTATGAAAAGGGGGGA) and -336 to -321 (AAGGCCCAATGATAGC).

Numbers of ERE, ERE-like, 1/2 ERE, AP-1 and Sp1 sites in $chgH\alpha$, $chgH\beta$, chgL, vtgAs, vtgC and $er\alpha l$ promoters were shown in Table 4.

Transactivation of estrogen-responsive gene promoters by E2 via Eral

The reporter plasmid containing either one of six promoters ($chgH\alpha$, $chgH\beta$, chgL, vtgAs, vtgC and $er\alpha I$) and the expression plasmid containing $er\alpha I$ were co-transfected into HeLa cells and the transactivation of the reporter gene was induced in the presence or absence of E2 (10⁻⁶ M), with reporter gene expression quantified by chemiluminescent intensities using the dual luciferase reporter assay system.

The luciferase reporter activity in all promoter-containing construct groups was higher in presence of E2 (10⁻⁶ M) than that of solvent control group (non-E2 controls) and non-promoter construct group, but the levels in the only $chgH\alpha$, $chgH\beta$ and vtgAspromoters showed significant increase. (Fig. 22). The reporter activities in E2 treated groups of $chgH\alpha$ and vtgAs promoters were significantly higher than that of $chgH\beta$ promoter. The activity of $chgH\beta$ was higher than those of chgL, vtgC, and $er\alpha l$ in the E2 treated groups. Promoters of chgL, vtgC and $er\alpha l$ in the E2 treated groups showed similar reporter activities.

The dose-dependent responses (E2 doses: 10^{-14} M ~ 10^{-5} M) of the *chgHa*, *chgHβ*,

Promoter	Transcription factor binding site						
	ERE	ERE-like	1/2 ERE	Sp1	AP-1		
chgH α	_	1	6	2	4		
$chgH \beta$	_	2	8	—	3		
chgL	_	1	7	7	11		
vtgAs	1	8	6	7	10		
vtgC	_	6	8	1	6		
era1	_	2	19	9	11		

Table 4 The numbers of transcription factor binding sites in choriogenin, vitellogenin and estrogen receptor $\alpha 1$ promoters

ERE: Estrogen responsive element; ERE-like: Incomplete ERE; 1/2 ERE: ERE half-site; Sp1; AP-1: binding sites for activator protein 1



Fig. 22 Transcription activities of choriogenin (*chg*), vitellogenin (*vtg*) and estrogen receptor $\alpha 1$ (*er\alpha l*) promoters mediated by Er $\alpha 1$ in the presence or absence of estradiol-17 β (E2).

Hela cells were co-transfected with $er\alpha l$ in an expression vector and one of the following gene promoters in a reporter vector: $chgH\alpha$, $chgH\beta$, chgL, vtgAs, vtgC and $er\alpha l$. Reporter vector without a gene promoter (vector) were used for the negative control. The transfected cells were treated with E2 (1 µM; black columns) or ethanol (vehicle control; open columns). The fold induction was initially normalized to the corresponding Renilla luciferase expression and averaged from 4 wells. The fold activation were represent with the that of empty vector (vehicle control) set to 1. Columns indicate mean values and vertical brackets standard errors. Differential letters denote a significant differences (P < 0.05).

and *vtgAs* promoters are shown in Fig. 23. All three promoters exhibited the same lowest-observed effect concentration (LOEC) at 10^{-8} M of E2, which was the minimum dose required to significantly increase reporter activity. The half-maximal effective concentrations (EC₅₀: the concentration of E2 that induces 50% reporter activity) for *vtgAs*, *chgHa*, and *chgHβ* promoters were 1.82×10^{-8} M, 2.75×10^{-8} M and 3.98×10^{-8} M respectively.

Transactivation of estrogen-responsive gene promoters by E2 via Er α 2, Er β 1 and Er β 2

The reporter plasmid containing either one of six promoters (*chgHa*, *chgHβ*, *chgL*, *vtgAs*, *vtgC*, and *eral*) and the expression plasmid containing either one of three *er* subtypes (Era2, Erβ1, Erβ2) were co-transfected into HeLa cells and the transactivation of the reporter gene was induced in the presence or absence of 10^{-6} M E2 (Fig. 24).

In presence of E2, Er α 2 transactivated all promoter-containing construct groups in the presence of E2 compared to the corresponding solvent control groups and non-promoter construct groups; the levels in all promoters except the *er\alpha1* promoter showed significant increase. In presence of E2, *chgH\beta* promoter showed the highest value. The reporter activities in E2 treatment groups were high in order of *chgH\beta*, *chgH\alpha, chgL, vtgAs, vtgC*, and *er\alpha1*. The significant differences in E2 treatment groups were found among *chgH\beta, chgH\alpha, chgL, vtgAs, and vtgC* promoters. No significant differences was confirmed between *vtgC* and *er\alpha1* promoters in E2 treatment groups.



Fig. 23 Dose-response profiles in transcription activities of choriogenin H α and H β (*chgH* α : top graph, *chgH* β : middle graph) and vitellogenin As (*vtgAs*: bottom graph) promoters following estradiol-17 β (E2) treatment.

Hela cells were co-transfected with two plamid costructs, estrogen receptor $\alpha 1(er\alpha I)$ in expression vector and one of the following promoters in reporter vector: choriogenin H α and H β (*chgH\alpha, chgH\beta*) and vitellogenin As (*vtgAs*). Transiently transfected cells were either treated with ethanol (vehicle control) or various concentration of E2 (10^{-14} – 10^{-6} M: shown as -14 ~ -6 in horizontal axis). The fold induction was initially normalized to the corresponding Renilla luciferase expression and averaged from 4 wells. The fold activation were represent with the that of vehicle control set to 1. The vertical brackets indicate standard errors. Different letters in each promoter group denote mean values that are significantly different between does (P < 0.05).





Hela cells were co-transfected with two promoters, one of the following *er* in an expression vector: $er\alpha 2$, $er\beta 1$ and $er\beta 2$ and one of the following gene promoters in a reporter vector: $chgH\alpha$, $chgH\beta$, chgL, vtgAs, vtgC and $er\alpha 1$. Reporter vector without a gene promoter (vector) were used for the negative control. The transfected cells were treated with E2 (1 µM; black columns) or ethanol (vehicle control; open columns). The fold induction was initially normalized to the corresponding Renilla luciferase expression and averaged from 4 wells. The fold activation were represent with the that of empty vector (vehicle control) set to 1. Columns indicate mean values and vertical brackets standard errors. Differential letters denote a significant differences (P < 0.05).

In presence of E2, Er β 1 transactivated all promoter-containing construct groups relative to the solvent control groups and non-promoter construct groups; the levels in all promoters except the *er* α *l* promoter showed significant increase. In presence of E2, *chgH* β promoter showed the highest value. The reporter activities in E2 treatment groups were high in order of *chgH* β , *chgL*, *chgH* α , *vtgAs*, *vtgC* and *er* α *l*. The levels in E2 treatment groups indicated significant differences between the following promoter pairs, *chgL* and *chgH* α , *vtgAs* and *vtgC*, and *vtgC* and *er* α *l*. The levels in E2 treatment groups did not show significant differences between the following promoter pairs, *chgH* β and *chgL*, and *chgH* α and *vtgAs*.

In presence of E2, Er β 2 transactivated all promoter-containing construct groups compared to the solvent control groups and non-promoter construct groups, all promoters except the the *er* α *l* promoter showed significant increase. In presence of E2, *chgH* β promoter showed the highest values. The reporter activities in E2 treatment groups were high in order of *chgH* β , *chgH* α , *vtgAs*, *chgL*, *vtgC* and *er* α *l*. The levels in E2 treatment groups indicated significant differences between the following promoter pairs, *chgH* β and *chgH* α , *vtgAs* and *chgL*, and *vtgC* and *er* α *l*. The levels in E2 treatment groups did not show significant differences between the following promoter pairs, *chgH* α and *vtgAs*, and *chgL* and *vtgC*.

Discussion

Previous report (Mushirobira et al., 2018) used the 1228 bp vtgAs promoter 1 and 1336 bp vtgC promoter. In the present study, the additional 5' promoter regions of both vtgAs and vtgC were further cloned and analyzed. The additional cloned regions of vtgAs promoter 1 and vtgC promoter do not have consensus ERE, but contain ERE-like (vtgAs: 4, vtgC: 2) and 1/2 ERE (vtgAs: 4, vtgC: 3) sequences.

Numbers of ERE, ERE-like, 1/2 ERE, AP-1 and Sp1 sites in $chgH\alpha$, $chgH\beta$, chgL, vtgAs, vtgC and $er\alpha l$ promoters were different, suggesting these promoters have differential transcriptional properties. A consensus sequence of ERE was confirmed only in vtgAs promoter while all promoters had ERE-like and 1/2 ERE sequences. In teleost, ERE-like and 1/2 ERE sites elicit a significant increase in estrogen-dependent synthesis of reporter protein, albeit with differences in reporter activities (Le Drean et al., 1995; Teo et al., 1998; Menuet et al., 2004). Thus, not only consensus ERE but also ERE-like and 1/2 ERE sites are likely to be responsible for the expression of chg, vtg, and $er\alpha l$ genes, which was supported by the results that chg, vtg, and $er\alpha l$ promoters of E2. In addition, AP-1 and Sp1 binding sites, which interact with Er, were predicted in the promoters of chg, vtg, and $er\alpha l$ genes. Thus, the functionality of each transcription factor binding site in the promoters remains unclear.

In reporter gene assays to examine the functions of teleost Er, several kinds of mammalian cell lines (CHO-K1, HepG2, HeLa, HEK-293 ,CHO, etc.) have been used

(Le Drean et al., 1995; Menuet et al., 2004; Davis et al., 2010; Lee Pow et al., 2016; Mushirobira et al., 2018). It has been shown that these cells have endogenous ERs and other estrogen-related proteins. For examples, CHO-K1 expresses functional endogenous ER_β (Thomas et al., 2003). On the other hand, HepG2 highly expresses (Transcripts Per Million; TPM: 15.3) G protein-coupled estrogen receptor 1 (gper1) mRNA, comparing to the other human cells (TPM; HeLa: 0.9, HEK-293: 1.9), according to the data in The Human Protein Atlas (https://www.proteinatlas.org/). The GPER has been shown to bind estrogens and initiate subsequent signaling cascades in vitro, while its function remains to be a controversial area in the field (Langer et al., 2010). Based on the levels of endogenous ERs and GPER, it is considered that CHO derivative cells (CHO and CHO-K1) and HepG2 are not suitable for the Er/ER-based reporter gene assay. HeLa and HEK-293 express low levels of ERa (TPM; HeLa: 0.1, HEK 293: 0.0), ERB (TPM; HeLa: 0.4, HEK 293: 0.3) and GRER (TPM; HeLa: 0.9, HEK-293: 1.9), according to the data of The Human Protein Atlas. HeLa cell has been widely used for the reporter gene assay for Ers of teleosts (Menuet et al., 2004; Sumida and Saito, 2008; Lee Pow et al., 2016). Thus, HeLa cell was selected for the reporter gene assays in the present study. To eliminate the influence of promoter length on the transfection efficiency, reporter gene assay in this study was performed using the 2000 bp promoter regions of all genes.

As described above, $\text{Er}\alpha$ (*er* αl in salmonids) is thought to be the major regulator of E2 signaling in the liver during vitellogenesis. Thus, the property of Er αl for transactivation of estrogen-responsive genes is firstly analyzed using the reporter gene assay. In presence of E2, Er αl transactivated all promoters, albeit with differences in

reporter activities. The Er α 1-mediated reporter activities were different among *chgH\alpha*, *chgH\beta*, *chgL*, *vtgAs*, *vtgC* and *er\alpha1* promoters, possibly due to their distinct promoter structures. In particular, *chgH\alpha* promoter, which does not have consensus ERE, showed similar reporter activity to that of *vtgAs* which has consensus ERE. In previous report using *vtgAs* promoter of cutthroat trout, reporter activities of the consensus ERE-deleted type (deletion-type) in E2 treated groups were reduced to 77.5% of that of wild-type with the consensus ERE (Mushirobira et al., 2018). These results suggested that remaining activity (77.5%) is derived from binding sites other than consensus ERE. Therefore, the consensus ERE does not seem to be a critical factor responsible for most of the transactivation.

The reporter activities of vtgAs and $chgH\alpha$ promoters were similar; the reporter activity of vtgAs promoter was not highest among the tested promoters. Serum VtgAs were higher much than the VtgC levels during vitellogenesis and following E2 treatment in salmonids (Amano et al., 2010; Mushirobira et al., 2013). In the liver of cutthroat trout, vtgAs mRNA showed higher levels than $chgH\alpha$, $chgH\beta$, chgL, vtgC and $er\alpha l$ mRNAs during vitellogenesis and following E2 treatment, as described in the previous study (Mushirobira et al., 2013) and the previous Chapters. Thus, the reporter activity of vtgAs promoter 1 *in vitro* does not reflect the expression levels of its protein or mRNA *in vivo*. This discrepancy may be due to the multiplicity of vtgAs genes in salmonids. It has been reported that 20 genes and 10 pseudogenes encoding vtgAs are tandemly arranged in the rainbow trout genome (Trichet et al., 2000). The multiple copy number of vtgAs were also confirmed in other *Oncorhynchus* genus such as coho salmon (*O. kisutch*) and chinook salmon (*O. tshawytscha*) (Buisine et al., 2002). This multiplicity rather than promoter activity of *vtgAs* is likely to contribute to the predominance of *vtgAs*/VtgAs levels *in vivo*.

Dose responses of E2 on the reporter activity were further examined for $chgH\alpha$, $chgH\beta$, and vtgAs promoters, because these selected promoters were significantly transactivated by Era1 in the presence of E2 (10⁻⁶ M) compared to solvent control and non-promoter construct group. The LOEC and EC50 for E2 with expression of Era1 appeared to be quite similar among $chgH\alpha$, $chgH\beta$ and vtgAs promoters. The LOECs for E2 *ex vivo* as described in Chapter IV were different among chg, vtg and $er\alpha l$ after 24 hrs following E2 treatment ($chgH\alpha$: 10⁻¹⁰ M, $chgH\beta$: 10⁻¹⁰ M, vtgAs: 10⁻⁹). The sensitivity of these genes in reporter gene assay with expressing Era1 was not reflected in the sensitivity of mRNAs *ex vivo*, indicating several factors other than Era1 is involved in the expression were shown in several studies (Nelson and Habibi, 2010; Griffin et al., 2013; Lee Pow et al., 2016), indicating that all Er subtypes may regulate the estrogen responsive genes such as chg and $er\alpha l$, as well as vtg. Therefore, the further experiments focused on the Er subtypes other than Era1 were conducted.

To analyze the effect of $\text{Er}\alpha 2$, $\text{Er}\beta 1$, and $\text{Er}\beta 2$ on *chg*, *vtg*, and *er* $\alpha 1$ promoters, reporter gene assay using $\text{Er}\alpha 2$, $\text{Er}\beta 1$, and $\text{Er}\beta 2$ were performed. The $\text{Er}\alpha 2$, $\text{Er}\beta 1$, and $\text{Er}\beta 2$ transactivated the reporter activities of all *chg* and *vtg* promoters in the presence of E2. To our knowledge, this is the first report to confirm the function of $\text{Er}\alpha 2$ in salmonids. The Er subtypes other than $\text{Er}\alpha 1$ are also involved in the expression of *chg* and *vtg*. In female rainbow trout, in addition to *er* $\alpha 1$ with high expression levels, the other Er subtypes are expressed with low levels in the liver during vitellogenesis

(Nagler et al., 2012). In male cutthroat trout following E2 treatment in Chapter III, four Er subtypes were expressed in the liver (data not shown). In addition, $er\beta l$ and $er\beta 2$ mRNAs were also expressed in primary cultured hepatocytes as described in Chapter IV. The characteristic of teleost Erßs being able to bind estradiol with higher affinity than Era has been described in zebrafish, tilapia (Oreochromis mossambicus), medaka and channel catfish (Ictalurus punctatus) (Xia et al., 1999, 2000; Menuet et al., 2002; Davis et al., 2010; Lee Pow et al., 2016). In the present study, each Er subtype differentially transactivated chgs, vtgs and $er\alpha l$ promoters. For example, in presence of E2, the transactivation levels of the promoters mediated by Era1 were $chgH\alpha \gtrsim vtgAs > chgH\beta$ $> chgL > vtgC > er\alpha l$ while those mediated $Er\alpha 2$ were $chgH\beta > chgH\alpha > chgL > vtgAs$ > vtgC. These results suggest that each Er subtype has differential transactivation properties. Therefore, the characteristics and/or the amount of each Er subtype possibly reflect the chg and vtg expression levels in vivo and ex vivo. These complex mechanisms appeared to cause the difference in results between ex vivo and in vitro (the sensitivity of genes to E2 etc.). However, the protein levels of each Er subtype in the hepatocytes remain unclear. Thus, further studies that analyze each Er subtype at the protein level, are required to confirm the roles of each Er subtype on the expression of chg, vtg and $er\alpha l$ genes.

The promoters of chgL and vtgC for cutthroat trout were transactivated by $Er\alpha 1$ in the presence of E2 compared to the solvent controls and the non-promoter construct groups, but the increases were not significant. These promoters seem to be weakly transactivated by E2-Er α 1 complexes, unlike $chgH\alpha$, $chgH\beta$ and vtgAs promoters. In the analysis of shorter vtgC promoter for cutthroat trout than the promoter used in the present study (Mushirobira et al., 2018), the fold activation levels of vtgC promoter in E2 treatment groups were significantly higher (13 fold) than those in the solvent control group cells under expression of Er α 1 in CHO-K1 cells. In the present study, the fold activation levels of vtgC promoter were 42 fold. In the previous report (Mushirobira et al., 2018), the multiple comparison test (Tukey-Kramer HSD test) were performed among eight groups (E2 treated and untreated groups of non-promoter construct, vtgAs promoter 1, vtg promoter 2 and vtgC promoter). Meanwhile, in the present study, the analysis applied to 14 groups (E2 treated and untreated groups of non-promoter). Possibly, the differences of statistic results between the two experiments may be due to the sample set that applied to the multiple comparison test.

In presence of E2, $er\alpha l$ promoter was transactivated by all *er* subtypes in the presence of E2 compared to the solvent controls (Er α 1: 10 Fold, Er α 2: 5.7 Fold, Er β 1: 5.8 Fold, Er β 2: 13 Fold), but the increases were not significant. The transactivation properties of *er\alphal* promoters have been reported in rainbow trout and zebrafish (Le Drean et al., 1995; Menuet et al., 2004). In the analysis of rainbow trout *er\alphal* promoter in CHO cells under expression of Er α 1, the 2.5 kb *er\alphal* promoter that contains the 5'-flanking region, exon1 and partial intron1, the reporter activities in E2-treated promoter containing groups are 2.5-fold higher than those in solvent control groups. Also, in *er\alpha* promoter of zebrafish, E2 treatment increases reporter activities by up to 10 times under expression of either Er α 1 or Er β 1 or Er β 2 in CHO, HeLa, and HepG2 cells. Thus, *er\alphal* promoter of cutthroat trout does not seem to show significant increase because the fold activation levels of teleost *er\alpha* were generally quite low.

It has been considered that various transcriptional factors are involved in the transactivation of estrogen responsive gene by Er (Björnström and Sjöberg, 2005). In addition, it has been shown that the interactions of Er, co-activator and/or co-repressor are important on the gene regulation by E2/Er (Björnström and Sjöberg, 2005). These co-activator and co-repressor are thought to be involved in tissue- and/or cell-type specific regulation of gene expression. Furthermore, other endocrine factors as described in Chapter III are also thought to be involved in the expression of *chgs*, *vtgs* and *er\alpha1* mRNAs. Therefore, future works should examine the role of these factors in the expression of *chg*, *vtg* and *er\alpha1* mRNAs.

In conclusion, the present study has shown the transactivation properties of all four Er subtypes for *chg*, *vtg*, and *er* αl promoters within the single species. Each Er subtype transactivated *chg*, *vtg*, and *er* αl promoters differentially. Thus, the characteristics of each Er subtype and/or the amount of each Er subtype are likely result in the differencial *chg*, *vtg* and *er* αl expressions *in vivo* and *ex vivo*. The *chg*, *vtg*, and *er* αl expressions are possibly regulated by complex interaction of Er subtypes and their promoters.

Chapter VI. Summary and conclusion

Estrogen, estradiol-17 β (E2) in most cases, induces the expression of precursor proteins of chorion (choriogenin, Chg) and yolk proteins (vitellogenin, Vtg) in the liver of many teleosts including salmonids. These E2 actions are mediated by nuclear estrogen receptors (Er). The Er is a transcriptional factor that belongs to a nuclear receptor superfamily. After E2 binds to Er in the target cell, the complex of E2 and Er forms a homodimer that binds to estrogen responsive elements (ERE), present in the promoter regions of targeted gene. Then, expression of the target gene is induced. Multiple Chg and Vtg subtypes (Chg: ChgH α , ChgH β , ChgL; Vtg: VtgAs, VtgC), as well as four Er subtypes (Er α 1, Er α 2, Er β 1, Er β 2), have been identified in salmonids. Among Ers, Er α 1 subtype alone appeared to be induced by E2 in the liver. However, differences in the transcriptional response to E2 stimulation among these hepatic E2-responsive genes remain unclear.

This study aimed at the clarification of molecular mechanisms underlying regulation of multiple hepatic estrogen-responsive genes. Thus, the transcriptional responses of the six hepatic estrogen-responsive genes, $chgH\alpha$, $chgH\beta$, chgL, vtgAs, vtgC and $er\alpha l$, to E2 were examined by *in vivo*, *ex vivo* and *in vitro* experiments using cutthroat trout (*Oncorhynchus clarki*), a model salmonid species for basic research on teleost ovarian follicle growth in our laboratory.

1. Changes in *chgs*, *vtgs* and *er\alpha1* mRNAs in the liver during a reproductive cycle of female cutthroat trout

The cDNAs of *chgHa*, *chgHβ* and *chgL* were isolated and sequenced from liver of female fish. The sequences *of chgHa*, *chgHβ* and *chgL* contained open reading frames encoded 532, 517 and 441 amino acids, respectively. The encoded cutthroat trout ChgHa, ChgHβ and ChgL proteins had ZP domains and consensus furin cleavage sites (CFCS) that are typical of ZP protein family. The similarities of ChgHa and ChgHβ and ChgHβ and chgL between cutthroat trout and rainbow trout were 93.3%, 97.7% and 99.3%, respectively.

Levels of hepatic *chg* and *er* αl mRNA during a reproductive cycle of female fish were quantified by quantitative real-time reverse transcription PCR (qPCR). Levels of hepatic *chg* mRNAs increased with the progress of oocyte growth, as well as the levels of serum E2 and hepatic *vtg* mRNAs. In the ovulated fish, *chg* mRNAs remained at high levels while the levels of serum E2 and hepatic *vtg* mRNAs decreased. Hepatic *er* αl mRNA levels exhibited a peak in August (at the beginning of vitellogenesis) before levels of E2, *chg* and *vtg* mRNAs started to increase.

The levels of *chg* mRNAs were highly correlated with those of serum E2 levels (*chgHa*: $R^2 = 0.78$; *chgHβ*: $R^2 = 0.92$; *chgL*: $R^2 = 0.75$) between January (perinuclear stage) and December (vitellogenic stage), like *vtg* mRNAs were (*vtgAs*: $R^2 = 0.91$, *vtgC*: $R^2 = 0.83$). These results suggest that *chg* and *vtg* mRNAs are expressed under strong E2 regulation during the periods from perinuclear stage to vitellogenic stage. Unlike *chgs* and *vtgs* mRNAs, *eral* mRNA did not show any correlation with serum E2 levels.

Collectively, mRNAs of *chgs*, *vtgs* and *er* $\alpha 1$ were different from each other in response patterns to E2 during reproductive cycle of female fish, suggesting that expressions of these genes are potentially regulated through E2 stimulation by different

mechanisms.

2. Transcriptional responses of *chgs*, *vtgs* and *er\alpha1* to E2 administration in the liver of cutthroat trout

Mature male and immature fish were administrated a single intraperitoneal injection of E2 at one of three doses (low: 50 μ g/kg body weight; middle: 500 μ g/kg body weight; and high: 5000 μ g/kg). At 2 and 5 days post injections (dpi), hepatic *chg*, and *eral* mRNA levels were quantified by qPCR.

At both 2 dpi and 5 dpi, mRNA levels of three *chg* subtypes in the E2 treatment groups tended to increase in dose-dependent manners, like *vtg* mRNAs do. At 2 dpi and 5 dpi, the significant increase in *chgHa* mRNA levels occurred at high-dose groups compared to control groups. The *chgHβ* and *chgL* mRNA in middle- and high-dose at 2 dpi, as well as in high-dose at 5 dpi, showed significant increases versus their corresponding control groups. From 2 dpi to 5 dpi, mRNA levels of three *chg* subtypes significantly increased in high-dose groups, like *vtgAs* mRNAs do.

At 2 dpi, levels of $er\alpha l$ mRNA exhibited the highest levels in the middle-dose group at 2 dpi, while those in the high-dose group increased, but were not significantly higher than those in the control group. At 5 dpi, $er\alpha l$ mRNA levels in the both of middle and high-dose groups were significantly greater than those in the control groups. From 2 dpi to 5 dpi, $er\alpha l$ mRNA levels did not show no significant change, as well as vtgC mRNA.

Collectively, expression of mRNAs of *chgs*, *vtgs* and *er* α *l* were different from each other in response to E2 *in vivo*, suggesting that their expression are under different E2 regulation. In particular, *er* α *l* mRNA reach high levels in shorter period in comparison

with the results obtained for *chg* and *vtgAs* mRNA expressions. The above mentioned characteristics of $er\alpha l$ mRNA expression to exogenous E2 stimulation possibly predict the increase of Er αl protein to be responsible for the *chg* and *vtg* gene expressions prior to or at early period of the vitellogenesis.

3. Transcriptional responses of *chgs*, *vtgs* and *er\alphal* to E2 in primary cultured hepatocytes of cutthroat trout

At first, primary cultured hepatocytes prepared from male trout were continuously treated with 10^{-6} M E2 by daily replacement of the culture medium. At 24 and 72 hrs post initiation (hpi) of the treatments, mRNA levels of all *chg*, *vtg* and *er* subtypes were quantified by qPCR.

In E2 treatment groups, $chgH\alpha$, $chgH\beta$, chgL, vtgAs, vtgC and $er\alpha l$ mRNA levels were significantly higher than those in control groups at 24 hpi and 72 hpi. These mRNAs levels kept high levels or significantly increased from 24 hpi to 72 hpi. Meanwhile, $er\alpha 2$ mRNA was undetectable and both $er\beta 1$ and $er\beta 2$ mRNAs did not show any estrogen responses at both sampling points.

In the next, effects of various E2 dose on expression of these estrogen-responsive genes were examined. Primary cultured hepatocytes prepared from male trout were treated with or without E2 ($10^{-11} \sim 10^{-6}$ M) for different periods (24 and 72 hrs), and the culture media were not replaced during the whole experimental period. At 24 hpi and 72 hpi after treatments, *chgHa*, *chgHβ*, *chgL*, *vtgAs*, *vtgC* and *eral* mRNA levels were quantified by qPCR.

The mRNA levels of chgs and vtgs at 24 hpi and 72 hpi showed dose-dependent

increases. The lowest doses inducing a significant increase in the expression levels (LOECs) of *chg* and *vtg* mRNAs for E2 were determined as *chgHβ* (10^{-10} M) < *chgHa*, *chgL*, *vtgAs* (10^{-9} M) < *vtgC* (10^{-8} M). From 24 hpi to 72 hpi, *chgHa*, *chgHβ*, *chgL*, *vtgAs* and *vtgC* mRNA levels in high-dose-E2 treatment groups increased. Meanwhile, *eral* mRNA levels increased in a dose-dependent manner at 24 hpi and the LOEC was 10^{-9} M. However, *eral* mRNA levels in high-dose groups ($10^{-9} \sim 10^{-6}$ M E2) decreased from 24 hrs to 72 hpi; the levels in all E2 treatment groups did not show any significant increase compared to the control groups at 72 hpi.

Collectively, these results indicated that hepatic expressions of *chg*, *vtg* and *er\alphal* mRNAs are under strict E2 regulation. Hepatic expressions of these genes appeared to be different in response to E2 regarding the sensitivities and the durational changes, suggesting that these genes are differentially regulated by E2.

4. Molecular cloning and characterization of *chgs*, *vtgs* and *er\alpha1* gene promoters in cutthroat trout

The promoter regions of *chg* and *er\alpha l* genes were cloned from cutthroat trout genome. The previous reported promoter regions of *vtgAs* promoter 1 and *vtgC* were also obtained with the extended lengths and analyzed in this study.

The $chgH\alpha$ DNA promoter sequence consisted of 2851 bp located upstream of the translation initiation site. No complete palindrome ERE was identified in the analyzed promoter sequences. One ERE-like palindrome sequences differing from the consensus ERE and six ERE half sites (1/2 ERE) were predicted in $chgH\alpha$ promoters. The binding sites for activator proteins-1 (AP-1) and specificity protein-1 (Sp1), which

interact with Er, were found in the promoters, as well as the TATA box and CAAT box.

The cloned $chgH\beta$ DNA promoter sequence was 2270 bp. No complete palindrome ERE was found in the promoter sequences. Two ERE-like and eight 1/2 ERE sequences were predicted in the promoter. In addition to the binding sites for AP-1, TATA box and CAAT box were found in the promoters.

The *chgL* DNA promoter sequence consisted of 3636 bp. No complete palindrome ERE was identified in the analyzed promoter sequences. One ERE-like and seven 1/2 ERE sequences were predicted in the promoters. The binding sites for AP-1 and Sp1 were found in the promoters, as well as TATA box and CAAT box.

The 2872 bp *vtgAs* DNA promoter were cloned. The consensus palindrome canonical ERE was identified in *vtgAs* promoter. Eight ERE-like and six 1/2 ERE sequences were predicted in the promoter. TATA box and CAAT box and the binding sites for AP-1 and Sp1 were found in the promoters.

The *vtgC* promoter sequence was 3025 bp. No complete palindrome ERE was identified in the analyzed promoter sequences. Six ERE-like sequences and eight 1/2 ERE were predicted in the promoter. TATA box and the binding sites for AP-1 and Sp1 were found in the promoters. The *er* αI DNA promoter sequence consisted of 6155 bp. No complete palindrome ERE was identified in the analyzed promoter sequences. Two ERE-like sequences and eight 1/2 ERE were predicted in the promoter. The binding sites for AP-1 and Sp1 were found in the promoter sequences and eight 1/2 ERE were predicted in the promoter. The binding sites for AP-1 and Sp1 were found in the promoter sequences.

The reporter plasmid containing either one of *chgs*, *vtgs* and *er\alpha1* promoters (2 kb in size) and the expression plasmid containing *er\alpha1* were co-transfected into HeLa cells.

The transactivation levels of the reporter gene were quantified in presence or absence of E2.

The luciferase reporter activity in all promoter-containing construct groups was higher in presence of E2 (10⁻⁶ M) than that of solvent control group (non-E2 controls) and non-promoter construct group. Among promoters, the reporter activities in $chgH\alpha$, $chgH\beta$ and vtgAs promoters showed the significant increase in comparison with the activities in the non-E2 and the non-promoter controls. The reporter activities in E2 treated groups were determined as $chgH\alpha \approx vtgAs > chgH\beta > chgL \approx vtgC \approx er\alpha l$. Further treatments of cells with E2 (10⁻¹⁴ M ~ 10⁻⁵ M) indicated that the half-maximal concentration effective concentrations (EC₅₀: the concentration of E2 that induces 50% reporter activity) for vtgAs, $chgH\alpha$ and $chgH\beta$ promoters were quite similar (vtgAs: 1.82×10^{-8} M, $chgH\alpha$: 2.75×10^{-8} M, $chgH\beta$: 3.98×10^{-8} M). In addition, the LOECs of vtgAs, $chgH\alpha$ and $chgH\beta$ promoter for E2 were identical (10⁻⁸ M).

The reporter plasmid containing either one of *chg*, *vtg* or *er* α *l* promoters and the expression plasmid containing either one of *er* α *2*, *er* β *l* or *er* β *2* were co-transfected into HeLa cells. The cells were incubated in presence or absence of E2.

In presence of E2 (10⁻⁶ M), Er α 2, Er β 1 and Er β 2 significantly transactivated all promoter-containing construct groups compared to solvent control groups (non-E2 controls) and non-promoter construct groups. The reporter activities in E2 treated groups were determined as $chgH\beta > chgH\alpha > chgL > vtgAs > vtgC$ in $er\alpha$ 2, $chgH\beta \approx$ $chgL > chgH\alpha \approx vtgAs > vtgC$ in $er\beta$ 1 and $chgH\beta > chgH\alpha \approx vtgAs > chgL \approx vtgC$ in $er\beta$ 2.

Collectively, these results indicated that all Er subtypes differentially transactivated

the *chgs*, *vtgs* and *er* αl promoters in the presence of E2 *in vitro*, suggesting that the differences in the transactivation functions of Er subtypes affect *chg*, *vtg* and *er* αl expressions *in vivo* and *ex vivo*.

Conclusion

The conclusions of the molecular mechanisms underlying regulation of hepatic estrogen-responsive genes in cutthroat trout emerging from the present study are expressed diagrammatically in Fig. 25. Expression of $chgH\alpha$, $chgH\beta$, chgL, vtgAs, vtgCand $er\alpha l$ mRNAs exhibit positive response to E2 in hepatocytes. Therefore, hepatic expressions of these estrogen-responsive genes are expected to be up-regulated associating with gradual increase in circulating E2 levels from the beginning of and through the vitellogenic period. The up-regulation of these genes by E2 are mediated by four Er subtypes (Er α 1, Er α 2, Er β 1, Er β 2) in hepatocytes. Among the subtypes, Er α 1 strongly transactivates $chgH\alpha$, $chgH\beta$ and vtgAs genes, but weakly activates chgL, vtgCand $er\alpha l$ genes. The responses of these genes to E2 are regulated by the amount of each Er subtypes and/or characteristics, such as binding properties with E2 and interaction with other transcription factors. Thus, these genes show differential responses to E2 in vivo and ex vivo. Of the Er subtypes, the only $Er\alpha 1$ has the ability to positively response to E2. Therefore, Er α 1 protein seems to be a major regulator of *chg*, *vtg* and *er\alpha1* gene expression, contributing to their active expression in hepatocytes of vitellogeninc female trout, while $\text{Er}\alpha 2$, $\text{Er}\beta 1$ and $\text{Er}\beta 2$ are minor Ers. However, the presented model has still not been completed yet, because the mechanisms underlying the regulation of chgs, vtgs and $er\alpha l$ genes include unclear but important parts as follows: 1) protein



Fig. 25 A scheme summarizing the molecular mechanisms underlying regulation of hepatic estrogen responsive genes in cutthroat trout. E2: estradiol-17 β , Er: estrogen receptor, *chg*: choriogenin, *vtg*: vitellogenin. levels of Er subtypes in hepatocytes, 2) effects of E2 on the rates of synthesis and clearance for *chgs*, *vtgs* and *er\alpha1* mRNAs, 3) the roles of other endocrine factors and transcription factors in the expression of *chg*, *vtg* and *er\alpha1* genes.

Finally, the present study provided novel knowledge on the molecular mechanisms underlying regulation of hepatic estrogen-responsive genes, using a model salmonid species, the cutthroat trout. To our knowledge, this study is a first report that revealed differences in hepatic expressions of multiple estrogen-responsive genes *in vivo* and *ex vivo*, and in transcriptional activities of their promoters, as well as differential transactivation properties of Er subtypes *in vitro*. In addition, it should be emphasized that these results were obtained from a single species for the first time. Future studies toward clarifying subjects with respect to the regulation of hepatic estrogen-responsive genes, will provide more clear insights underlying the molecular mechanisms on the formation of chorion and yolk; such mechanisms appear to directly relate with qualities of eggs and offsprings, and thus important to improve the egg production and viability of progeny for efficient aquaculture.

Acknowledgements

First, I wish to express special gratitude to Associate Professor Takashi Todo, Division of Marine Life Science, Faculty of Fisheries Sciences, Hokkaido University, for his invaluable guidance throughout the present study, technical supports, critical reading of the manuscript and constant encouragements throughout the present study

I would like to express my gratitude to Professor Shinji Adachi, Professor Yasuaki Takagi, Emeritus Professor Akihiko Hara and Associate Professor Naoshi Hiramatsu, Division of Marine Life Science, Faculty of Fisheries Sciences, Hokkaido University, for their invaluable guidance throughout the present study and critical reading of the manuscript.

I wish to express my thanks to Associate Professors Munetaka Shimizu, Shigeho Ijiri and Kazuhiro Ura, Division of Marine Life Science, Faculty of Fisheries Sciences, Hokkaido University, for their important and technical suggestions.

I am also grateful to Professor Etsuro Yamaha, Technical staffs Shizuo Kimura and Eisuke Takahashi, Nanae Fresh-Water Laboratory, Field Science Center for Northern Biosphere, Hokkaido University, for the maintenance of fish during this study.

Thanks are also to Dr. Osamu Nishimiya, South Ehime Fisheries Research Center, Ehime University and Dr. Yuji Mushirobira, Institute for East China Sea Research, Organization for Marine Science and Technology, Nagasaki University for the technical support and their previous efforts in the present study.

I also want to thank Mrs. Kaori Hiramatsu, Laboratory of Aquaculture Biology, Division Marine Life Science, Faculty of the Fisheries Sciences, Hokkaido University for her help and encouragement.

Appreciates are further extended to all my friends, graduate and undergraduate students of Fish Reproductive Physiology Laboratory from 2013 to 2018, Hokkaido University, for their encouragements, technical suggestions, sampling and friendship. Especially, I appreciate to elder students, Dr. Meiqin Wu and Dr, Hiroko Mizuta, for their previous efforts, such as technical support and development, as well as maintenance of experimental laboratory and fish, that contribute largely for me to conduct and prepare this thesis.

Finally, I appreciate to my private friends and family for their constant support and encouragement throughout my life.

Reference

- Amano H., Mochizuki M., Fujita T., Hiramatsu N., Todo T. and Hara A., 2010.
 Purification and characterization of a novel incomplete-type vitellogenin protein (VgC) in Sakhalin taimen (*Hucho perryi*). Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A. Mol. Integr. Physiol. 157, 41–48.
- Avery T.S., Boyce D. and Brown J.A., 2004. Mortality of yellowtail flounder, *Limanda ferruginea* (Storer), eggs: effects of temperature and hormone-induced ovulation. Aquaculture 230, 297–311.
- Baker M.E., 2011. Origin and diversification of steroids: co-evolution of enzymes and nuclear receptors. Mol. Cell. Endocrinol. 334, 14–20.
- Berg H., Westerlund L. and Olsson E., 2004. Regulation of Arctic char (*Salvelinus alpinus*) egg shell proteins and vitellogenin during reproduction and in response to 17β -estradiol and cortisol. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 135, 276–285.
- Björnström L. and Sjöberg M., 2005. Mechanisms of estrogen receptor signaling: convergence of genomic and nongenomic actions on target genes. Mol. Endocrinol. 19, 833–842.
- Bork P., 1993. A trefoil domain in the major rabbit zona pellucida protein. Protein Sci. 2, 669–670.
- Boyce-Derricott J., Nagler J.J. and Cloud J.G., 2009. Regulation of hepatic estrogen receptor isoform mRNA expression in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 161, 73–78.
- Bridgham J.T., Eick G.N., Larroux C., Deshpande K. and Harms M.J., 2010. Protein evolution by molecular tinkering: diversification of the nuclear receptor superfamily from a ligand-dependent ancestor. PLoS Biol. 8, e1000497.
- Brock M.L. and Shapiro D.J., 1983. Estrogen stabilizes vitellogenin mRNA against cytoplasmic degradation. Cell 34, 207–214.

- Buisine N., Trichet V. and Wolff J., 2002. Complex evolution of vitellogenin genes in salmonid fishes. Mol. Genet. Genomics 268, 535–542.
- Casanova-Nakayama A., Von Siebenthal E.W., Kropf C., Oldenberg E. and Segner H., 2018. Immune-specific expression and estrogenic regulation of the four estrogen receptor isoforms in female rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Int. J. Mol. Sci. 19, e932.
- Celius T. and Walther B.T., 1998. Oogenesis in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar L.*) occurs by zonagenesis preceding vitellogenesis in vivo and in vitro. J. Endocrinol. 158, 259–266.
- Chang Y.S., Wang S.C., Tsao C.C. and Huang F.L., 1996. Molecular cloning, structural analysis, and expression of carp ZP3 gene. Mol. Reprod. Dev. 44, 295–304.
- Chang Y.S., Hsu C.C., Wang S.C., Tsao C.C. and Huang F.L., 1997. Molecular cloning, structural analysis, and expression of carp ZP2 gene. Mol. Reprod. Dev. 46, 258– 267.
- Choi C.Y. and Habibi H.R., 2003. Molecular cloning of estrogen receptor α and expression pattern of estrogen receptor subtypes in male and female goldfish. Mol. Cell. Endocrinol. 204, 169–177.
- Christianson-Heiska I. and Isomaa B., 2008. The use of primary hepatocytes from brown trout (*Salmo trutta lacustris*) and the fish cell lines RTH-149 and ZF-L for in vitro screening of (anti)estrogenic activity of wood extractives. Toxicol. Vitr. 22, 589–597.
- Davis L.K., Katsu Y., Iguchi T., Lerner D.T., Hirano T. and Grau E.G., 2010. Transcriptional activity and biological effects of mammalian estrogen receptor ligands on three hepatic estrogen receptors in Mozambique tilapia. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol. 122, 272–278.
- Filby A.L. and Tyler C.R., 2005. Molecular characterization of estrogen receptors 1, 2α , and 2β and their tissue and ontogenic expression profiles in fathead minnow

(Pimephales promelas) Bio. 662, 648–662.

- Finn R.N. and Kristoffersen B.A., 2007. Vertebrate vitellogenin gene duplication in relation to the "3R hypothesis": Correlation to the pelagic egg and the oceanic radiation of teleosts. PLoS One 2, e169.
- Flouriot G., Pakdel F., Ducouret B., Ledrean Y. and Valotaire Y., 1997. Differential regulation of two genes implicated in fish reproduction: Vitellogenin and estrogen receptor genes. Mol. Reprod. Dev. 48, 317–323.
- Flouriot G., Pakdel F. and Valotaire Y., 1996. Transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulation of rainbow trout estrogen receptor and vitellogenin gene expression. Mol. Cell. Endocrinol. 124, 173–183.
- Forniés M.A., Mañanós E., Carrillo M., Rocha A., Laureau S., Mylonas C.C., Zohar Y. and Zanuy S., 2001. Spawning induction of individual European sea bass females (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) using different GnRHa-delivery systems. Aquaculture 202, 221–234.
- Fujita T., Fukada H. and Hara A., 2003. Masu salmon (Oncorhynchus masou) zona pellucida protein B: cDNA. Fish Physiol. Biochem. 28, 333–334.
- Fujita T., Fukada H., Shimizu M., Hiramatsu N. and Hara A., 2004. Quantification of serum levels of precursors to vitelline envelope proteins (choriogenins) and vitellogenin in estrogen treated masu salmon, *Oncorhynchus masou*. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 136, 49–57.
- Fujita T., Fukada H., Shimizu M., Hiramatsu N. and Hara A., 2005. Annual changes in serum levels of two choriogenins and vitellogenin in masu salmon, *Oncorhynchus masou*. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part B Biochem. Mol. Biol. 141, 211–217.
- Fujita T., Fukada H., Shimizu M., Hiramatsu N. and Hara A., 2008a. Molecular cloning and characterization of three distinct choriogenins in masu salmon, *Oncorhynchus masou*. Mol. Reprod. Dev. 75, 1217–1228.
- Fujita T., Fukada H., Shimizu M., Hiramatsu N. and Hara A., 2008b. Monthly changes

in the serum levels of two choriogenins and vitellogenin in male masu salmon *Oncorhynchus masou*. Fish. Sci. 74, 1198–1200.

- Gajhede M., Petersen T.N., Henriksen A., Petersen J.F.W., Dauter Z., Wilson K.S. and Thim L., 1993. Pancreatic spasmolytic polypeptide: first three-dimensional structure of a member of the mammalian trefoil family of peptides. Structure 1, 253–262.
- Goudet G., Mugnier S., Callebaut I. and Monget P., 2008. Phylogenetic analysis and identification of pseudogenes reveal a progressive loss of zona pellucida genes during evolution of vertebrates. Biol. Reprod. 78, 796–806.
- Grierson J.P. and Neville A.C., 1981. Helicoidal architecture of fish eggshell. Tissue Cell 13, 819–830.
- Griffin L.B., January K.E., Ho K.W., Cotter K.A. and Callard G. V., 2013. Morpholino-mediated knockdown of ER α , ER β a, and ER β b mRNAs in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) embryos reveals differential regulation of estrogen-inducible genes. Endocrinology 154, 4158–4169.
- Halm S., Mart G., Prat F., Mylonas C.C. and Carrillo M., 2004. Cloning , characterisation , and expression of three oestrogen receptors (ER α , ER β 1 and ER β 2) in the European sea bass , *Dicentrarchus labrax*. Mol. Cell. Endocrinol. 223, 63–75.
- Hara A., Hiramatsu N. and Fujita T., 2016. Vitellogenesis and choriogenesis in fishes. Fish. Sci. 82, 187–202.
- Hawkins M.B., Thornton J.W., Crews D., Skipper J.K., Dotte A. and Thomas P., 2000. Identification of a third distinct estrogen receptor and reclassification of estrogen receptors in teleosts. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 97, 10751–10756.
- Hiramatsu N., Shimizu M., Fukada H., Kitamura M., Ura K., Fuda H. and Hara A., 1997. Transition of serum vitellogenin cycle in Sakhalin taimen (*Hucho perryi*).
 Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part C Pharmacol. Toxicol. Endocrinol. 118, 149–157.

- Hiramatsu N., Matsubara T., Fujita T., Sullivan C. V. and Hara A., 2006. Multiple piscine vitellogenins: biomarkers of fish exposure to estrogenic endocrine disruptors in aquatic environments. Mar. Biol. 149, 35–47.
- Hiramatsu N., Todo T., Sullivan C. V, Schilling J., Reading B.J., Matsubara T., Ryu Y.W., Mizuta H., Luo W., Nishimiya O., Wu M., Mushirobira Y., Yilmaz O. and Hara A., 2015. Ovarian yolk formation in fishes: Molecular mechanisms underlying formation of lipid droplets and vitellogenin-derived yolk proteins. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 1–7.
- Hori S.H., Kodama T. and Tanahashi K., 1979. Induction of vitellogenin synthesis in goldfish by massive doses of androgens. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 37, 306–320.
- Hyllner S.J., Westerlund L., Olsson P.E. and Schopen A., 2001. Cloning of rainbow trout egg envelope proteins: members of a unique group of structural proteins. Biol. Reprod. 64, 805–811.
- Jovine L., Darie C.C., Litscher E.S. and Wassarman P.M., 2005. Zona pellucida domain proteins. Annu. Rev. Biochem 74, 83–114.
- Kagawa H., Tanaka H., Ohta H., Unuma T. and Nomura K., 2005. The first success of glass eel production in the world: basic biology on fish reproduction advances new applied technology in aquaculture. Fish Physiol. Biochem. 31, 193–199.
- Kanamori A., 2000. Systematic identification of genes expressed during early oogenesis in medaka. Mol. Reprod. Dev. 55, 31–36.
- Kanamori A., Naruse K., Mitani H., Shima A. and Hori H., 2003. Genomic organization of ZP domain containing egg envelope genes in medaka (*Oryzias latipes*). Gene 305, 35–45.
- Kang I.J., Yokota H., Oshima Y., Tsuruda Y., Yamaguchi T., Maeda M., Imada N., Tadokoro H. and Honjo T., 2002. Effect of 17 β -estradiol on the reproduction of Japanese medaka (*Oryzias latipes*). Chemosphere 47, 71–80.

Kawakita N., 2013. Immunohistological studies on localization of chorion compotnents

in teleosts. M.Sc thesis, Hokkaido Univ. (In Japanase).

- Klaunig J.E., Ruch R.J. and Goldblatt P.J., 1985. Trout hepatocyte culture: isolation and primary culture. In Vitro Cell Dev. Biol. 21, 221–228.
- Knoche K. and Kephart D., 1999. Cloning blunt-end pfu dna polymerase- generated PCR fragments into pGEM-T vector systems. Promega Notes 71, 10.
- Kwon H. and Mugiya Y., 1994. Involvement of growth hormone and prolactin in the induction of vitellogenin synthesis in primary hepatocyte culture in the eel, *Anguilla japonica*. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 93, 51–60.
- Langer G., Bader B., Meoli L., Isensee J., Delbeck M., Noppinger P.R. and Otto C., 2010. A critical review of fundamental controversies in the field of GPR30 research. Steroids 75, 603–610.
- Le Drean Y., Lazennec G., Kern L., Saligaut D., Pakdel F. and Valotaire Y., 1995. Characterization of an estrogen-responsive element implicated in regulation of the rainbow trout estrogen receptor gene. J. Mol. Endocrinol. 15, 37–47.
- Le Roux M.-G., Thézé N., Wolff J. and Le Pennec J.-P., 1993. Organization of a rainbow trout estrogen receptor gene. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1172, 226–230.
- Lee Pow C.S.D., Yost E.E., Aday D.D. and Kullman S.W., 2016. Sharing the roles: an assessment of japanese medaka estrogen receptors in vitellogenin induction. Environ. Sci. Technol. 50, 8886–8895.
- Liu X., Wang, H. and Gong, Z., 2006. Tandem-repeated zebrafish zp3 genes possess oocyte-specific promoters and are insensitive to estrogen induction. Biol. Reprod. 74, 1016–1025.
- Lu H., Cui Y., Jiang L. and Ge W., 2017. Functional analysis of nuclear estrogen receptors in zebrafish reproduction by genome editing approach. Endocrinology 158, 2292–2308.
- Luo W., Ito Y., Mizuta H., Massaki K., Hiramatsu N., Todo T., Reading B.J., Sullivan C. V and Hara A., 2013. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology, Part A
Molecular cloning and partial characterization of an ovarian receptor with seven ligand binding repeats, an orthologue of low-density lipoprotein receptor, in the cutthroat trout (*Oncorhynchus clarki*). Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part A 166, 263–271.

- Ma C.H., Dong K.W. and Yu K.L., 2000. cDNA cloning and expression of a novel estrogen receptor β -subtype in goldfish (*Carassius auratus*). Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1490, 145–152.
- Maniatis T., Goodbourn S. and Fischer J.A., 1987. Regulation of inducible and tissue-specific gene expression. Science 236, 1237–1245.
- Menuet A., Le Page, Y., Torres, O., Kern, L., Kah, O. and Pakdel, F., 2004. Analysis of the estrogen regulation of the zebrafish estrogen receptor (ER) reveals distinct effects of ERα, ERβ1 and ERβ2. J. Mol. Endocrinol. 32, 975–986.
- Menuet A., Pellegrini E., Anglade I., Blaise O., Laudet V., Kah O. and Pakdel F., 2002. Molecular characterization of three estrogen receptor forms in zebrafish: binding characteristics, transactivation properties, and tissue distributions. Biol. Reprod. 66, 1881–1892.
- Mizuta H., Luo W., Ito Y., Mushirobira Y., Todo T., Hara A., Reading B.J., Sullivan C.
 V. and Hiramatsu N., 2013. Ovarian expression and localization of a vitellogenin receptor with eight ligand binding repeats in the cutthroat trout (*Oncorhynchus clarki*). Comp. Biochem. Physiol. B Biochem. Mol. Biol. 166, 81–90.
- Mizuta H., Mushirobira Y., Nagata J., Todo T., Hara A., Reading B.J., Sullivan C. V. and Hiramatsu N., 2017. Ovarian expression and localization of clathrin (Cltc) components in cutthroat trout, *Oncorhynchus clarki*: Evidence for Cltc involvement in endocytosis of vitellogenin during oocyte growth. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part A Mol. Integr. Physiol. 212, 24–34.
- Modig C., Raldúa D., Cerdà J. and Olsson P.E., 2008. Analysis of vitelline envelope synthesis and composition during early oocyte development in gilthead seabream

(Sparus aurata). Mol. Reprod. Dev. 75, 1351–1360.

- Mori T., Matsumoto H. and Yokota H., 1998. Androgen-induced vitellogenin gene expression in primary cultures of rainbow trout hepatocytes. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol. 67, 133–141.
- Mushirobira Y., 2015. Studies on mechanisms of production and accumulation of dual vitellogenins in salmonid species. Ph.D. thesis, Hokkaido Univ.
- Mushirobira Y., Mizuta H., Luo W., Morita Y., Sawaguchi S., Matsubara T., Hiramatsu N., Todo T. and Hara A., 2013. Changes in levels of dual vitellogenin transcripts and proteins in cutthroat trout *Oncorhynchus clarki* during ovarian development. Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi 79, 175–189 (In Japanese with English abstract).
- Mushirobira Y., Nishimiya O., Nagata J., Todo T., Hara A., Reading B.J. and Hiramatsu N., 2018. Molecular cloning of vitellogenin gene promoters and in vitro and in vivo transcription profiles following estradiol-17 β administration in the cutthroat trout. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 267.
- Nagler J.J., Cavileer T., Sullivan J., Cyr D.G. and Rexroad C., 2007. The complete nuclear estrogen receptor family in the rainbow trout: Discovery of the novel ER α 2 and both ER β isoforms. Gene 392, 164–173.
- Nagler J.J., Cavileer T.D., Verducci J.S., Schultz I.R., Hook S.E. and Hayton W.L., 2012. Estrogen receptor mRNA expression patterns in the liver and ovary of female rainbow trout over a complete reproductive cycle. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 178, 556–561.
- Nelson E.R. and Habibi H.R., 2010. Functional significance of nuclear estrogen receptor subtypes in the liver of goldfish. Endocrinology 151, 1668–1676.
- Nelson E.R., Wiehler W.B., Cole W.C. and Habibi H.R., 2007. homologous regulation of estrogen receptor subtypes in goldfish (*Carassius auratus*) Mol. Reprod. Dev. 1112, 1105–1112.
- O'Lone R., Frith M.C., Karlsson E.K. and Hansen U., 2004. Genomic targets of nuclear

estrogen receptors. Mol. Endocrinol. 18, 1859–1875.

- Osachoff H.L., Shelley L.K., Furtula V., Van Aggelen G.C. and Kennedy C.J., 2013. Induction and recovery of estrogenic effects after short-term 17 β -estradiol exposure in juvenile rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 65, 276–285.
- Pakdel F., Féon S., Le Gac F., Le Menn F. and Valotaire Y., 1991. In vivo estrogen induction of hepatic estrogen receptor mRNA and correlation with vitellogenin mRNA in rainbow trout. Mol. Cell. Endocrinol. 75, 205–212.
- Peyon P., Baloche S. and Burzawa-Gérard E., 1996. Potentiating Effect of Growth Hormone on vitellogenin synthesis induced by 17 β -estradiol in primary culture of female silver eel (*Anguilla anguilla* L.) hepatocytes. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 102, 263–273.
- Peyon P., Baloche S. and Burzawa-Gérard E., 1997. Investigation into the possible role of androgens in the induction of hepatic vitellogenesis in the European eel:in vivo andin vitro studies. Fish Physiol. Biochem. 16, 107–118.
- Podvinec M., Kaufmann M.R., Handschin C. and Meyer U., 2002. NUBIScan, an in silico approach for prediction of nuclear receptor response elements. Mol. Endocrinol. 16, 1269–1279.
- Ryu Y.-W., Tanaka R., Kasahara A., Ito Y., Hiramatsu N., Todo T., Sullivan C. V and Hara A., 2013. Molecular cloning and transcript expression of genes encoding two types of lipoprotein lipase in the ovary of cutthroat trout, *Oncorhynchus clarki*. Zoolog. Sci. 30, 224–237.
- Sabo-Attwood T., Kroll K.J. and Denslow N.D., 2004. Differential expression of largemouth bass (*Micropterus salmoides*) estrogen receptor isotypes alpha, beta, and gamma by estradiol. Mol. Cell. Endocrinol. 218, 107–118.
- Sandelin A., Wasserman W.W. and Lenhard B., 2004. ConSite: web-based prediction of regulatory elements using cross-species comparison. Nucleic Acids Res. 32, 249–

252.

- Sano K., Kawaguchi M., Watanabe S., Nagakura Y., Hiraki T. and Yasumasu S., 2013. Inferring the evolution of teleostean zp genes based on their sites of expression. J. Exp. Zool. Part B Mol. Dev. Evol. 320, 332–343.
- Schreer A., Tinson C., Sherry J.P. and Schirmer K., 2005. Application of Alamar blue/5-carboxyfluorescein diacetate acetoxymethyl ester as a noninvasive cell viability assay in primary hepatocytes from rainbow trout. Anal. Biochem. 344, 76–85.
- Segner H., 1998. Isolation and primary culture of teleost hepatocytes. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part A Mol. Integr. Physiol. 120, 71–81.
- Selman K. and Wallace A.R., 1989. Cellular aspects of oocyte growth in teleosts. Zoolog. Sci. 6, 211–231.
- Shimizu M., Fukada H., Fujita T., Hiramatsu N. and Hara A., 2000. Serum levels of precursors to vitelline envelope proteins (choriogenins) in Sakhalin taimen after treatment with oestrogen and during oocyte growth. J. Fish Biol. 57, 170–181.
- Sladek F.M., 2011. What are nuclear receptor ligands? Mol. Cell. Endocrinol. 334, 3–13.
- Songe M.M., Willems A., Sarowar M.N., Rajan K., Evensen, Drynan K., Skaar I. and van West P., 2016. A thicker chorion gives ova of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) the upper hand against Saprolegnia infections. J. Fish Dis. 39, 879–888.
- Sumida K. and Saito K., 2008. Molecular cloning of estrogen receptors from fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*) and bluegill (*Lepomis macrochirus*) fish: limited piscine variation in estrogen receptor–mediated reporter gene transactivation by xenoestrogens. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 27, 489.
- Tenniswood M.P.R., Searle P.F., Wolffe A.P. and Tata J.R., 1983. Rapid estrogen metabolism and vitellogenin gene expression in xenopus hepatocyte cultures. Mol. Cell. Endocrinol. 30, 329–345.

- Teo B.Y., Tan N.S., Lim E.H., Lam T.J. and Ding J.L., 1998. A novel piscine vitellogenin gene: Structural and functional analyses of estrogen-inducible promoter. Mol. Cell. Endocrinol. 146, 103–120.
- Thomas-Jones E., Thorpe K., Harrison N., Thomas G., Morris C., Hutchinson T., Woodhead S. and Tyler C., 2003. Dynamics of estrogen biomarker responses in rainbow trout exposed to 17β-estradiol and 17α-ethinylestradiol. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 22, 3001–3008.
- Thomas P.B., Risinger K.E. and Klinge C.M., 2003. Identification of estrogen receptor beta expression in Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells and comparison of estrogen-responsive gene transcription in cells adapted to serum-free media. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol. 86, 41–55.
- Tohyama S., Ogino Y., Lange A., Myosho T., Kobayashi T., Hirano Y., Yamada G.,
 Sato T., Tatarazako N., Tyler C.R., Iguchi T. and Miyagawa S., 2017.
 Establishment of estrogen receptor 1 (ESR1)-knockout medaka: ESR1 is
 dispensable for sexual development and reproduction in medaka, *Oryzias latipes*.
 Dev. Growth Differ. 59, 552–561.
- Trichet V., Buisine N., Mouchel N., Morán P., Pendás A.M., Le Pennec J.P. and Wolff J., 2000. Genomic analysis of the vitellogenin locus in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) reveals a complex history of gene amplification and retroposon activity. Mol. Gen. Genet. 263, 828–37.
- Ueno T., Yasumasu S., Hayashi S. and Iuchi I., 2004. Identification of choriogenin cis-regulatory elements and production of estrogen-inducible, liver-specific transgenic Medaka. Mech. Dev. 121, 803–815.
- Walker P., Germond J.-E., Brown-Luedi M., Givel F. and Wahli W., 1984. Sequence homologies in the region preceding the transcription initiation site of the liver estrogen-responsive vitellogenin and apo-VLDLI genes. Nucleic Acids Res. 12, 8611–8626.

- Wang H. and Gong Z., 1999. Characterization of two zebrafish cDNA clones encoding egg envelope proteins ZP2 and ZP3. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1446, 156–160.
- Westerlund L., Hyllner S.J., Schopen a and Olsson P.E., 2001. Expression of three vitelline envelope protein genes in Arctic char. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 122, 78– 87.
- Wolffe A.P., Bersimbaev R.I. and Tata J.R., 1985. Inhibition by estradiol of binding and mitogenic effect of epidermal growth factor in primary cultures of Xenopus hepatocytes. Mol. Cell. Endocrinol. 40, 167–173.
- Xia Z., Gale W.L., Chang X., Langenau D., Patiño R., Maule A.G. and Densmore L.D., 2000. Phylogenetic sequence analysis, recombinant expression, and tissue distribution of a channel catfish estrogen receptor β . Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 118, 139–149.
- Xia Z., Patiño R., Gale W.L., Maule A.G. and Densmore L.D., 1999. Cloning, *in vitro* expression, and novel phylogenetic classification of a channel catfish estrogen receptor. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 113, 360–368.
- Zeng Z., Shan T., Tong Y., Lam S.H. and Gong Z., 2005. Development of estrogen-responsive transgenic medaka for environmental monitoring of endocrine disrupters. Environ. Sci. Technol. 39, 9001–9008.