

Title	Evaluation of reduction efficiencies of pepper mild mottle virus and human enteric viruses in full-scale drinking water treatment plants employing coagulation-sedimentation-rapid sand filtration or coagulation-microfiltration
Author(s)	Shirakawa, D.; Shirasaki, N.; Matsushita, T.; Matsui, Y.; Yamashita, R.; Matsumura, T.; Koriki, S.
Citation	Water research, 213, 118160 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2022.118160
Issue Date	2022-04-15
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/91111
Rights	© <2022>. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/
Rights(URL)	http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/
Туре	article (author version)
Additional Information	There are other files related to this item in HUSCAP. Check the above URL.
File Information	Manuscript_clean version.pdf



1	Evaluation of reduction efficiencies of pepper mild mottle virus and human enteric viruses in
2	full-scale drinking water treatment plants employing coagulation-sedimentation-rapid sand
3	filtration or coagulation-microfiltration
4	
5	D. Shirakawa, N. Shirasaki*, T. Matsushita, Y. Matsui, R. Yamashita, T. Matsumura, S. Koriki
6	
7	Division of Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Hokkaido University, N13W8,
8	Sapporo 060-8628, Japan
9	
10	* Corresponding author. Tel.: +81-11-706-7282; fax: +81-11-706-7282.
11	E-mail address: nobutaka@eng.hokudai.ac.jp (N. Shirasaki)
12	
13	Abstract
14	
15	Here, we evaluated the reduction efficiencies of indigenous pepper mild mottle virus (PMMoV, a
16	potential surrogate for human enteric viruses to assess virus removal by coagulation-sedimentation-
17	rapid sand filtration [CS-RSF] and coagulation-microfiltration [C-MF]) and representative human
18	enteric viruses in four full-scale drinking water treatment plants that use CS-RSF (Plants A and B) or
19	C-MF (Plants C and D). First, we developed a virus concentration method by using an electropositive
20	filter and a tangential-flow ultrafiltration membrane to effectively concentrate and recover PMMoV
21	from large volumes of water: the recovery rates of PMMoV were 100% when 100-L samples of
22	PMMoV-spiked dechlorinated tap water were concentrated to 20 mL; even when spiked water volume
23	was 2000 L, recovery rates of >30% were maintained. The concentrations of indigenous PMMoV in
24	raw and treated water samples determined by using this method were always above the quantification

25 limit of the real-time polymerase chain reaction assay. We therefore were able to determine its

26	reduction ratios: 0.9–2.7-log ₁₀ in full-scale CS–RSF and 0.7–2.9-log ₁₀ in full-scale C–MF. The
27	PMMoV reduction ratios in C–MF at Plant C (1.0 ± 0.3 -log ₁₀) were lower than those in CS–RSF at
28	Plants A (1.7 \pm 0.5-log ₁₀) and B (1.4 \pm 0.7-log ₁₀), despite the higher ability of MF for particle
29	separation in comparison with RSF owing to the small pore size in MF. Lab-scale virus-spiking C-
30	MF experiments that mimicked full-scale C-MF revealed that a low dosage of coagulant
31	(polyaluminum chloride [PACl]) applied in C-MF, which is determined mainly from the viewpoint
32	of preventing membrane fouling, probably led to the low reduction ratios of PMMoV in C-MF. This
33	implies that high virus reduction ratios (>4-log10) achieved in previous lab-scale virus-spiking C-MF
34	studies are not necessarily achieved in full-scale C-MF. The PMMoV reduction ratios in C-MF at
35	Plant D (2.2 ± 0.6 -log ₁₀) were higher than those at Plant C, despite similar coagulant dosages. In lab-
36	scale C-MF, the PMMoV reduction ratios increased from 1-log10 (with PACI [basicity 1.5], as at Plant
37	C) to 2–4-log ₁₀ (with high-basicity PACl [basicity 2.1], as at Plant D), suggesting that the use of high-
38	basicity PACl probably resulted in higher reduction ratios of PMMoV at Plant D than at Plant C.
39	Finally, we compared the reduction ratios of indigenous PMMoV and representative human enteric
40	viruses in full-scale CS-RSF and C-MF. At Plant D, the concentrations of human norovirus
41	genogroup II (HuNoV GII) in raw water were sometimes above the quantification limit; however,
42	whether its reduction ratios in C-MF were higher than those of PMMoV could not be judged since
43	reduction ratios were ≥ 1.5 -log ₁₀ for HuNoV GII and 2.3–2.9-log ₁₀ for PMMoV. At Plant B, the
44	concentrations of enteroviruses (EVs) and HuNoV GII in raw water were above the quantification
45	limit on one occasion, and the reduction ratios of EVs (≥1.3-log10) and HuNoV GII (≥1.5-log10) in
46	CS-RSF were higher than that of PMMoV (0.9-log ₁₀). This finding supports the usefulness of
47	PMMoV as a potential surrogate for human enteric viruses to assess virus removal by CS-RSF.
48	

49 Keywords

51 Coagulation, Microfiltration, Pepper mild mottle virus, Quantitative real-time PCR, Rapid sand
52 filtration, Virus concentration method.

53

54 1. Introduction

55

56 Human enteric viruses are pathogens that mainly cause gastrointestinal illnesses, and their infective 57 doses are typically low (1-100 particles; WHO, 2011). Because surface waters are frequently 58 contaminated with these viruses (Health Canada, 2017; WHO, 2011), use of such contaminated 59 waters as sources of drinking water production may lead to the spread of waterborne viral diseases if 60 drinking water treatment processes are inadequate for virus reduction (i.e., removal, inactivation, or both). Accordingly, evaluating virus reduction efficiency in drinking water treatment processes and 61 62 conducting adequate treatment for effective virus reduction are needed to prevent waterborne viral 63 diseases and supply safe drinking water.

Lab-scale experiments using virus-spiked water have been widely used to investigate virus reduction efficiency in drinking water treatment processes (Abbaszadegan et al., 2007; Fiksdal and Leiknes, 2006; Kato et al., 2018; Matsui et al., 2003; Matsushita et al., 2013; Shirasaki et al., 2017a, 2018; Zhu et al., 2005). However, it is unclear whether virus reduction ratios (ratios of virus concentrations before and after treatment) obtained in lab-scale experiments are actually achieved in full-scale drinking water treatment plants (DWTPs). Thus, full-scale studies are needed to investigate virus reduction efficiency in drinking water treatment processes.

Evaluation of virus reduction efficiency in full-scale DWTPs requires quantification of the concentrations of indigenous viruses in raw and treated water. The concentrations of indigenous human enteric viruses in water samples, especially in treated water samples, are usually below the quantification limit of the real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay, and concentrating these viruses from water is necessary before virus quantification. The concentrations of indigenous human enteric viruses in raw water can be quantified by applying an appropriate virus concentration method,
whereas those in treated water are still nearly always below the quantification limit even when >1000
L of water are concentrated to several milliliters (Sylvestre et al., 2021; Varughese et al., 2018); in
many cases, this makes it impossible to evaluate virus reduction efficiency in full-scale DWTPs.

80 In surface waters, including drinking water sources, the concentrations of the plant virus, pepper 81 mild mottle virus (PMMoV), are higher than those of human enteric viruses (Hamza et al., 2011; 82 Haramoto et al., 2013). Thus, the concentrations of indigenous PMMoV not only in raw but also in 83 treated water can be quantified, which makes it possible to evaluate its reduction efficiencies in full-84 scale DWTPs. In addition, our research group has reported that the reduction ratios of PMMoV are 85 similar to or lower than those of human enteric viruses in lab-scale virus-spiking coagulationsedimentation-rapid sand filtration (CS-RSF) and low-pressure membrane (LPM) filtration 86 87 experiments (Shirasaki et al., 2017a, 2018). Accordingly, when the reduction ratios of indigenous 88 PMMoV in full-scale CS-RSF and LPM filtration are successfully determined by applying an 89 appropriate virus concentration method, similar or higher reduction ratios could be expected for 90 human enteric viruses.

91 CS-RSF is used worldwide in full-scale DWTPs, mainly to reduce turbidity. Virus reduction efficiency in full-scale CS-RSF has been successfully determined by targeting indigenous PMMoV, 92 93 and reduction ratios of 1.7-2.9-log₁₀, as evaluated by PCR assay, have been reported (Asami et al., 94 2016; Kato et al., 2018). Application of LPM technology including microfiltration (MF) to drinking 95 water treatment has increased dramatically (Huang et al., 2009). A combination of coagulation 96 pretreatment and MF (coagulation-MF [C-MF]) has been widely used at full-scale DWTPs because 97 coagulation is the most successful pretreatment for MF to mitigate membrane fouling (Huang et al., 98 2009). In previous lab-scale virus-spiking C–MF studies, including those of our research group, virus 99 reduction ratios of >4-log₁₀ are achieved under appropriate coagulation conditions (Fiksdal and Leiknes, 2006; Matsui et al., 2003; Matsushita et al., 2013; Shirasaki et al., 2017a; Zhu et al., 2005). 100

However, virus reduction efficiency in full-scale C–MF has not yet been investigated. Thus, it is
unclear whether the high virus reduction ratios obtained in lab-scale C–MF are actually achieved in
full-scale C–MF.

104 Although numerous virus concentration methods have been developed and used to quantify virus 105 concentrations in water, a standard method that can effectively concentrate all viruses from various 106 types of water has not yet been established (Haramoto et al., 2018). Currently, membrane-based virus 107 concentration methods are commonly applied to concentrating viruses from water (Shi et al., 2017). 108 An electronegative filter-based method is widely used to concentrate indigenous viruses from water 109 (Haramoto et al., 2018). In fact, this method has been applied to concentrating indigenous PMMoV 110 in raw and treated water in full-scale CS-RSF (Asami et al., 2016; Kato et al., 2018). However, virus 111 recovery efficiencies and the extent of inhibition of the PCR assay depend on virus concentration 112 method, virus type, sample volume, and water quality (Haramoto et al., 2018). Accordingly, 113 application of a virus concentration method other than the electronegative filter-based method is 114 needed to accumulate data on virus reduction efficiency in full-scale CS-RSF, which contributes to 115 determining how much log credit for virus reduction is allocable to CS-RSF.

116 An electropositive filter-based method is also widely used to concentrate indigenous viruses from 117 water (Haramoto et al., 2018); unlike the electronegative filter-based method, it does not require the 118 addition of multivalent cations prior to concentration, making it easy to concentrate viruses on-site 119 from large volumes of water. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency has proposed an 120 electropositive filter-based method to concentrate two types of human enteric viruses, enteroviruses (EVs) and noroviruses (Method 1615; Fout et al., 2014). Method 1615 effectively concentrates 121 122 poliovirus (PV; included in EVs) and murine norovirus (MNV), a surrogate of human noroviruses, 123 from large volumes of water: the recovery rates of these viruses, as evaluated by the PCR assay, were 124 ≥20% when 1500–1900 L of groundwater followed by 10 L of PV- and MNV-spiked groundwater were filtered and concentrated to 400 µL (Cashdollar et al., 2013). However, human enteric viruses 125

are round-shaped (20–70 nm in diameter), whereas PMMoV is rod-shaped (18 nm × 300–310 nm;
Fauquet et al., 2005). Thus, it remains unclear whether Method 1615 can effectively concentrate
PMMoV along with human enteric viruses from water. In fact, Shi et al. (2017) have suggested that
physicochemical properties of viruses (e.g., size, shape, and surface charge) can affect virus recovery
efficiencies.

131 In the present study, we first tested a slightly modified version of Method 1615 to concentrate 132 PMMoV from large volume of water, but the recovery rate was very low (0.7%) when 1000 L of 133 PMMoV-spiked dechlorinated tap water was concentrated to 20 mL. To effectively concentrate and recover PMMoV along with human enteric viruses from large volumes of water compared with 134 135 Method 1615, we developed a virus concentration method by using an electropositive filter and a tangential-flow ultrafiltration (TF-UF) membrane. We then used the developed virus concentration 136 137 method to evaluate the reduction efficiencies of indigenous PMMoV and representative human 138 enteric viruses (adenovirus [AdV], EVs, hepatitis A virus [HAV], and human norovirus genogroups I 139 and II [HuNoVs GI and GII]) in four full-scale DWTPs, two employing CS-RSF and two employing 140 C-MF. In addition, we conducted lab-scale virus-spiking CS-RSF and C-MF experiments that 141 mimicked full-scale CS-RSF and C-MF to validate the virus reduction ratios observed in full-scale 142 CS–RSF and C–MF.

143

144 **2. Materials and methods**

- 145
- 146 2.1. Plant viruses, human enteric viruses, MNV, and a bacteriophage

147

Two plant viruses, PMMoV pepIwateHachiman1 strain (MAFF 104099) and cucumber green mottle virus (CGMMV) SH strain (MAFF 260018), were obtained from the National Institute of Agrobiological Sciences Genebank (Tsukuba, Japan). AdV type 40 Dugan strain (ATCC VR-931), coxsackievirus (CV) B5 Faulkner strain (ATCC VR-185), HAV IB HM175/18f strain (ATCC VR-152
1402), and MNV type 1 CW1 strain (ATCC PTA-5935) were obtained from the American Type
Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA). F-specific RNA bacteriophage MS2 (NBRC
102619) was obtained from the National Institute of Technology and Evaluation Biological Resource
Center (Kisarazu, Japan). Details of propagation and purification of PMMoV, AdV, CV, HAV, MNV,
and MS2 are described in our previous reports (Shirasaki et al., 2016, 2017b, 2018). CGMMV was
propagated in the same way as PMMoV.

AdV, CV, and HAV were used as representative human enteric viruses, and MNV was used as a surrogate for human caliciviruses for the assessment of the efficacy of the virus concentration method to concentrate and recover human enteric viruses. In addition, CGMMV, MNV, and MS2 were used as process control viruses, because CGMMV is phylogenetically and morphologically similar to PMMoV (both belong to the genus *Tobamovirus* in the family Virgaviridae; Fauquet et al., 2005), and because MNV and MS2 are widely used as process control viruses (Haramoto et al., 2018; Shi et al., 2017).

165

166 2.2. Virus quantification by real-time PCR or real-time reverse-transcription PCR

167

Viral DNA of AdV was quantified by real-time PCR, and viral RNA of PMMoV, CGMMV, EVs
including CV, HAV, HuNoVs GI and GII, MNV, or MS2 was quantified by real-time reversetranscription PCR (real-time RT-PCR), as described in the Supplementary Information (Section S1.1,
Table S1).

172

173 *2.3. Virus concentration method*

175 Each water sample (4–2000 L) was filtered through an electropositive filter (NanoCeram cartridge 176 filter P2.5-5DP or VS2.5-5; Argonide, Sanford, FL, USA) at an initial flow rate of 2-6 L/min by using a magnetic pump. The virus was eluted from the filter with 1.5% (w/w) beef extract (Becton, 177 178 Dickinson and Company, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) solution containing 0.05 M glycine (hereafter "BE solution", pH 9.0 or 9.5) as follows. Approximately 350 mL of BE solution was added onto the 179 180 filter in a designated housing to cover the filter completely, the filter was allowed to soak, and then 181 the BE solution was passed through the filter together with newly added 150 mL of BE solution at 182 approximately 1.5 L/min by a peristaltic pump. The eluate (500 mL) was collected into a sterilized 183 glass beaker. Elution was performed four times: the soaking times before the four elution steps were 184 (1) 1 min, (2) 15 min, (3) 15 or 30 min, and (4) 15 or 30 min. All eluates (2 L in total) were collected in the same beaker. The pH was adjusted to 3.5 with HCl, and the eluate was mixed with a sterilized 185 186 magnetic stirrer at 400 rpm for 30 min to generate BE floc particles (organic flocculation). The floc 187 mixture was centrifuged at $2500 \times g$ for 15 min to separate the flocs from the mixture. The supernatant 188 (2 L) was collected in the beaker, and its pH was adjusted to 7.0 with NaOH. The supernatant was 189 then concentrated to 20 mL by means of a TF-UF cassette (Pellicon XL; nominal molecular weight 190 cutoff, 300 kDa; regenerated cellulose; Millipore Corp., Billerica, MA, USA), and filtered through a 191 hydrophilic cellulose acetate membrane filter (nominal pore size, 0.45 µm; Dismic-25CS, Toyo Roshi 192 Kaisha, Tokyo, Japan). The resultant sample is referred to as the "supernatant concentrated sample". 193 The floc pellet was dissolved in 20 mL of 0.15 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 9.0), centrifuged at 194 $4000 \times g$ for 10 min to collect the dissolved solution completely; the dissolved solution (20 mL) was 195 collected into another sterilized glass beaker, its pH was adjusted to 7.0 with HCl, and it was filtered 196 through the hydrophilic cellulose acetate membrane filter. The resultant sample is referred to as the 197 "floc dissolution sample". Virus concentrations in both samples were quantified, and their sum was 198 considered as virus concentration in each concentrated sample.

- 200 2.4. Full-scale studies
- 201

202 2.4.1. Sample collection and concentration in full-scale DWTPs

203

All four DWTPs are located in Japan. Plants A and B employ CS–RSF, and Plants C and D employ C–MF. Water samples were collected and concentrated at Plant A from October 2017 to February 206 2019, Plant B from November 2017 to February 2019, Plant C from May 2018 to July 2019, and 207 Plant D from July 2020 to March 2021. Detailed information about the four DWTPs and samples is 208 provided in Section S1.2 and Table S2.

209 Water samples (4–2000 L) were concentrated on-site by filtering through the NanoCeram filter at an initial flow rate of 2–6 L/min by using the magnetic pump. To dechlorinate water after chlorination 210 211 (samples after RSF at Plant A; after CS and primary RSF at Plant B in February 2019; after pre-212 chlorination, manganese oxide [MnOx]-coated media filtration, and C-MF at Plant C; and after 213 coagulation and MF at Plant D), sodium thiosulfate was injected in-line by the peristaltic pump before 214 filtration of water through the NanoCeram filter to a final concentration of 50 mg/L. After on-site 215 filtration, the NanoCeram filters were transferred to our laboratory at Hokkaido University at 4 °C, 216 and stored at 4 °C. Virus elution from the filter was conducted immediately after the filter was brought 217 to 20 °C.

Water samples for virus-spiking experiments (see Sections 2.4.3 and 2.5) were collected in plastic containers on on-site sampling days. The samples were stored at 4 °C until use, and brought to 20 °C immediately prior to use.

221

The concentrations of indigenous PMMoV and representative human enteric viruses (AdV, EVs, HAV, and HuNoVs GI and GII), included in the Drinking Water Contaminant Candidate List 4 (USEPA, 2016), were quantified in the on-site concentrated samples. Undiluted samples or samples diluted 10-fold with sterilized DNase/RNase-free distilled water were used for virus quantification. The virus reduction ratios were calculated by dividing the virus concentrations before (C_0) by those after (C) treatment. Comparison of the virus reduction ratios between DWTPs was performed using Student's *t*-test, with *P*-values less than 0.05 being considered statistically significant.

231

232 2.4.3. Assessment of the usefulness of the developed virus concentration method for raw and treated
233 water in full-scale DWTPs

234

The usefulness of the developed virus concentration method for raw and treated water in full-scale DWTPs was assessed as follows. (1) The raw and treated water samples collected at full-scale DWTPs were spiked with the known amounts of viruses, concentrated, and the total recovery rates of the viruses from the spiked samples were evaluated. (2) The on-site concentrated samples were spiked with the known amounts of viruses, and the quantification efficiencies (i.e., the RNA extraction, RT, and real-time PCR efficiencies) of the viruses in the spiked samples were evaluated (for details, see Sections S1.3 and S1.4).

242

243 2.5. Lab-scale virus-spiking CS–RSF and C–MF experiments

244

To validate the virus reduction ratios observed in full-scale CS–RSF and C–MF, we conducted labscale virus-spiking CS–RSF and C–MF experiments with the water samples collected at Plants A, B, and C. To mimic full-scale CS–RSF (Plants A and B) and C–MF (Plant C), we used the same sulfated polyaluminum chloride (PACl) products with basicity ($[OH^{-}]/[Al^{3+}]$) 1.5 (PACl-1.5s) as used at the three DWTPs as coagulants; they are specified in Section S1.5. To mimic full-scale CS–RSF, we used silica sand or manganese sand (effective size, 0.6 mm; uniformity coefficient, <1.3; Nihon Genryo Co., Kawasaki, Japan) as the filter medium for RSF. To mimic full-scale C–MF, where a hollow-fiber membrane module (nominal pore size, 0.1 μ m; polyvinylidene difluoride) is installed, we used a commercially available lab-scale MF membrane module (effective filtration area, 0.012 m²; Microza, Asahi Kasei, Tokyo, Japan) that consists of the same hollow-fiber membrane as used at Plant C. Details of experimental procedures are described in Sections S1.6 and S1.7.

256

257 **3. Results and discussion**

258

259 3.1. Development of a virus concentration method

260

261 First, we filtered and concentrated 1000 L of PMMoV-spiked dechlorinated tap water by using Method 1615 with slight modifications (different filter type and four elutions instead of two; see 262 263 Section S1.8 for details of experimental procedures; see legend to Table 1 for the description of 264 Method 1615). The total recovery rate (R_t) of PMMoV (0.7%; Table 1, Method 1) was far below acceptable total virus recovery rates in Method 1615 (5%-200%; Fout et al., 2014). A retention rate 265 266 (R_r) of 95% and elution rate (R_e) of 28% for PMMoV did not fully explain its low total recovery rate; 267 therefore, organic flocculation was the most-likely cause. Accordingly, we conducted virus-spiking organic flocculation experiments to investigate the efficacy of organic flocculation in concentrating 268 269 and recovering viruses from eluates (for details of experimental procedures, see Section S1.9). We 270 found that CGMMV, human enteric viruses (AdV, CV, and HAV), and MNV were recovered mainly 271 in floc dissolution samples, whereas PMMoV and MS2 mostly remained in the supernatant of the 272 floc mixture (Fig. 1). This result indicated that the low total recovery rate of PMMoV was due to its 273 poor recovery during organic flocculation. Proteins in the BE solution self-flocculate at pH around

3.5, and viruses are entrapped in BE flocs during organic flocculation (Katzenelson et al., 1976). The
isoelectric points of PMMoV (3.2) and MS2 (2.2; Shirasaki et al., 2016, 2017a) are <3.5, whereas
that of CGMMV (4.3; Sano et al., 1978) and those of AdV, CV, HAV, and MNV (3.6–3.8; Shirasaki
et al., 2016, 2017b) are >3.5. Thus, viral surface charges at pH 3.5 were probably different between
viruses with isoelectric points of <3.5 and >3.5, and the difference in viral surface charges at pH 3.5
possibly determined whether the viruses were recovered in floc dissolution samples or remained in
the supernatant.

281 To effectively concentrate and recover PMMoV, we further concentrated the supernatant of the floc mixture using a TF-UF membrane with a nominal molecular weight cutoff of 300 kDa, which is much 282 283 smaller than the molecular weight of PMMoV (40×10^3 kDa; Fauquet et al., 2005). The total recovery rates of PMMoV were markedly increased from 0.7% to 49%-80% by adding the TF-UF process to 284 285 the virus concentration processes (Table 1, Methods 2-4). In addition, the elution rate of PMMoV 286 increased as the pH of BE solution was increased from 9.0 to 9.5 (Table 1, Method 3), indicating that 287 the pH of BE solution affected the elution rate of PMMoV. In contrast, filter type, and soaking times 288 for the third and fourth elution did not affect the retention and elution rates of PMMoV, and 289 consequently did not affect its total recovery rate (Table 1, Methods 2 and 4). Details of the effects of 290 filter type and elution conditions on virus recovery rates are described in Section S2.1. On the basis 291 of these findings, we concluded that Method 4 was optimal for concentrating PMMoV in our trials, 292 and used it in subsequent experiments.

To investigate the effect of filtration volume on virus recovery rates, we filtered and concentrated 100–2000 L of PMMoV-spiked dechlorinated tap water (Fig. 2). Regardless of filtration volume, the retention rates of PMMoV were very high (94%–100%), indicating that the NanoCeram filter retained almost all PMMoV even at the maximum volume tested. The total recovery rate of PMMoV was 108% when 100 L of spiked water was concentrated, indicating that the developed virus concentration method completely recovered PMMoV from 100 L of the spiked water. With increasing filtration 299 volume to 500-2000 L, total recovery rates were decreased, but maintained at 33%-42%. Whereas 300 the elution rates of PMMoV (>87%) and its recovery rates in the supernatant of the floc mixture 301 (≥73%) were almost independent of filtration volume, the recovery rates of PMMoV by TF-UF were 302 decreased from 150% to 39%-56% with increasing filtration volume from 100 to 500-2000 L (data 303 not shown). This result indicates that the decrease in the total recovery rate of PMMoV was due to 304 the decrease in its recovery rate by TF-UF. We also confirmed that the developed method can 305 effectively concentrate and recover PMMoV along with MNV, which is recovered mainly in floc 306 dissolution samples as described above, from large volumes of dechlorinated tap water (discussed in 307 detail in Section S2.2). These results suggest that the developed method combining organic 308 flocculation and TF-UF can effectively concentrate not only viruses that are recovered mainly in floc 309 dissolution samples (e.g., human enteric viruses) but also viruses that mostly remain in the 310 supernatant of floc mixture (e.g., PMMoV) from large volumes of water.

311

3.2. Usefulness of the developed virus concentration method for raw and treated water in full-scale
3.3. DWTPs

314

315 As described above, the developed virus concentration method can effectively concentrate and 316 recover PMMoV along with MNV from large volumes of dechlorinated tap water. In general, water 317 quality can affect virus recovery efficiencies during virus concentration processes (Haramoto et al., 2018). In addition, inhibitory substances against the PCR assay that were originally present in water 318 319 samples could be co-concentrated with viruses, and interfere with virus quantification. To assess the 320 usefulness of the developed method for raw and treated water in full-scale DWTPs, we evaluated the 321 total recovery rates of viruses from spiked raw and treated water samples collected at full-scale 322 DWTPs, and the quantification efficiencies of viruses in spiked on-site concentrated samples. The 323 total recovery rates were \geq 79% for PMMoV and \geq 17% for the process control viruses (CGMMV,

MNV, and MS2) in all samples tested (Fig. S1). This result suggests that the developed method can effectively concentrate and recover not only viruses that are recovered mainly in floc dissolution samples but also viruses that mostly remain in supernatant concentrated samples derived from raw and treated water in full-scale DWTPs.

328 Quantification efficiencies (E_q) of the process control viruses (CGMMV, MNV, and MS2) in on-329 site concentrated samples are shown in Fig. S2. Supernatant concentrated samples, in which PMMoV 330 mostly remained, showed quantification efficiencies of $\geq 30\%$ for the process control viruses even 331 when the samples were tested without dilution, indicating that inhibition of the PCR assay was 332 negligible when PMMoV was concentrated by using the developed virus concentration method. 333 Accordingly, we adopted the concentrations of indigenous PMMoV quantified without dilution of the 334 concentrated samples. The difference between the concentrations of indigenous PMMoV quantified 335 with 10-fold dilution and without dilution was <0.5-log10 (Fig. S3). On the other hand, floc dissolution 336 samples, in which human enteric viruses were recovered mainly, occasionally showed quantification 337 efficiencies of <10% when samples were tested without dilution. Quantification efficiencies for those 338 samples increased to \geq 42% when 10-fold dilution was used. Accordingly, if the concentrations of 339 indigenous human enteric viruses quantified with 10-fold dilution were >0.5-log₁₀ higher than those 340 quantified without dilution, we judged that the PCR assay was considerably inhibited, and adopted 341 the concentrations quantified with 10-fold dilution as the concentrations in the concentrated samples; 342 otherwise, we adopted those quantified without dilution. At 100-fold dilution, quantification efficiencies increased to 72%–115% for supernatant concentrated samples and to 82%–132% for floc 343 344 dissolution samples. This result indicates that inhibition of the PCR assay can be completely mitigated 345 by 100-fold dilution. Thus, 100-fold dilution before virus quantification in virus concentration 346 experiments (described in Sections 3.1 and 3.2) was reasonable to completely mitigate inhibition of 347 the PCR assay.

351 Next, we evaluated the reduction efficiencies of indigenous viruses in full-scale DWTPs by 352 applying the developed virus concentration method. Indigenous PMMoV was always quantified not 353 only in raw but also in treated water (i.e., its concentrations were above the quantification limit of the 354 PCR assay in all of the raw and treated water samples tested; Fig. 3). We therefore successfully 355 determined the reduction ratios ($\log_{10}[C_0/C]$) of indigenous PMMoV in full-scale DWTPs. At Plants 356 A and B, the concentrations of indigenous PMMoV were decreased by CS and RSF (Fig. 3a and 3b). 357 The reduction ratios of PMMoV were 0.6–1.5-log₁₀ in CS and –0.1–1.4-log₁₀ in RSF at Plant A, and 358 0.4-1.0-log₁₀ in CS and 0.5-1.2-log₁₀ in RSF at Plant B; reduction ratios of 1.3-2.7-log₁₀ at Plant A and 0.9–2.2-log₁₀ at Plant B were observed in CS–RSF. The reduction ratios of PMMoV in full-scale 359 360 CS-RSF determined by using the developed method (i.e., the electropositive filter-based method) 361 roughly corresponded to the previously-reported reduction ratios of PMMoV in full-scale CS-RSF determined by using the electronegative filter-based method (1.7-2.9-log10; Asami et al., 2016; Kato 362 363 et al., 2018). Thus, virus reduction ratios of 1–3-log10 could be expected in full-scale CS–RSF.

364 At Plant C, the concentrations of indigenous PMMoV in treated water after pre-chlorination and 365 MnOx-coated media filtration (including intermediate-chlorination) were almost the same as those in 366 raw water (Fig. 3c), suggesting that the contributions of these processes to reduction of PMMoV was negligible. Our research group has recently reported that PMMoV has very high resistance to chlorine 367 treatment, probably due to its robust capsid structure: almost no reduction of the PCR signal (i.e., 368 369 almost no degradation of the viral genetic material) was observed within CT values (chlorine 370 concentration multiplied by contact time) of approximately <80 mg-Cl₂·min/L (Shirasaki et al., 2020). 371 This high resistance probably resulted in almost no reduction of PMMoV by pre- and intermediate-372 chlorination, because CT values of pre- and intermediate-chlorination are <20 and <30 mg-Cl₂·min/L,

373 respectively. In contrast, the concentrations of indigenous PMMoV were decreased by C–MF, and the
374 PMMoV reduction ratios of 0.7–1.5-log₁₀ were observed in C–MF.

375 At Plant D, the concentrations of indigenous PMMoV in treated water after pre-chlorination, 376 powdered activated carbon adsorption, and coagulation were almost the same as those in raw water (Fig. 3d), suggesting that the contributions of pre-chlorination and powdered activated carbon 377 378 adsorption to reduction of PMMoV were negligible. This is consistent with the fact that a CT value 379 of pre-chlorination is <70 mg-Cl₂·min/L, and supported by quantification of PMMoV concentrations 380 in the liquid phase of coagulated water samples before and after removing powdered activated carbon 381 (details are described in Section S2.3; Fig. S4). In contrast, the concentrations of indigenous PMMoV 382 were decreased by C–MF, and the PMMoV reduction ratios of 1.3–2.9-log₁₀ were observed in C–MF. We expected higher virus reduction ratios in C-MF than in CS-RSF, because the ability of MF for 383 384 particle separation is higher than that of RSF owing to the small pore size in MF. However, the 385 reduction ratios of PMMoV in C–MF at Plant C (1.0 ± 0.3 -log₁₀) were significantly lower (P < 0.05) 386 than those in CS–RSF at Plant A (1.7 ± 0.5 -log₁₀), and tended to be lower than those in CS–RSF at 387 Plant B (1.4 ± 0.7 -log₁₀). In general, coagulation conditions differ greatly between CS–RSF and C– 388 MF: the conditions used in CS-RSF are determined mainly from the viewpoint of turbidity reduction, 389 whereas the conditions used in C-MF are determined mainly from the viewpoint of preventing 390 membrane fouling because MF can completely remove turbidity without coagulation pretreatment 391 due to its high ability for particle separation. On the other hand, coagulation conditions have been 392 shown to affect virus reduction efficiency in previous lab-scale virus-spiking C-MF studies: due to 393 small diameters of viruses compared with nominal pore sizes of MF membranes, only limited virus 394 reduction ratios (<1-log10) were obtained without coagulation pretreatment or under inappropriate 395 coagulation conditions, whereas virus reduction ratios of >4-log₁₀ were obtained under appropriate 396 coagulation conditions (Fiksdal and Leiknes, 2006; Matsui et al., 2003; Matsushita et al., 2013; 397 Shirasaki et al., 2017a; Zhu et al., 2005). Thus, the lower reduction ratios of PMMoV in C-MF at

Plant C than in CS–RSF at Plants A and B could be attributed to the difference in coagulation conditions. The reduction ratios of PMMoV in C–MF at Plant D (2.2 ± 0.6 -log₁₀) were significantly higher (P < 0.05) than those at Plant C. Because the nominal pore sizes of membranes installed at Plants C and D are the same ($0.1 \mu m$; see Section S1.3), the difference in coagulation conditions between these DWTPs could contribute to this difference. The reasons for the lower reduction ratios of PMMoV in C–MF at Plant C than in CS–RSF at Plants A and B, and the reasons for the higher reduction ratios of PMMoV in C–MF at Plant D than at Plant C are further discussed in Section 3.4.

406 3.4. Lab-scale virus-spiking CS–RSF and C–MF experiments to mimic full-scale CS–RSF and C–MF
407

408 To validate the virus reduction ratios observed in full-scale CS-RSF and C-MF, we conducted lab-409 scale virus-spiking CS-RSF and C-MF experiments. The reduction ratios of PMMoV at lab-scale 410 experiments were similar to those in full-scale studies at similar coagulant dosages, except in raw 411 water sample collected at Plant A in July 2018 (Fig. 4). This result indicated that virus reduction in 412 lab-scale CS-RSF and C-MF successfully mimicked that in full-scale CS-RSF and C-MF, except in 413 the above case (discussed in detail in Sections S2.4 and S2.5; Fig. S5). Accordingly, we investigated 414 the effects of coagulation conditions on virus reduction in lab-scale CS-RSF and C-MF to elucidate 415 why PMMoV reduction ratios were lower in C-MF at Plant C than in CS-RSF at Plants A and B, and 416 why PMMoV reduction ratios were higher in C-MF at Plant D than at Plant C.

In lab-scale CS–RSF with raw water sample collected at Plant A in February 2019, the reduction ratio ($[log_{10}[C_{c0}/C_{cs}] + log_{10}[C_{r0}/C_{rf}]$) of PMMoV was 3.6-log_{10} at a coagulant dosage of 1.62 mg-Al/L (similar to 1.51 mg-Al/L used at Plant A; Fig. 4a), but decreased to 0.5-log_{10} at 0.54 mg-Al/L. In raw water sample from Plant B, the reduction ratio was 2.9-log_{10} at 1.08 mg-Al/L (similar to 0.98 mg-Al/L used at Plant B; Fig. 4b), but decreased to 1.8-log_{10} at 0.54 mg-Al/L. In lab-scale C–MF with water samples from Plant C, the reduction ratios ($log_{10}[C_{m0}/C_{mf}]$) of PMMoV were only 0.5– 1.1-log₁₀ at 0.27 mg-Al/L (similar to 0.33 or 0.27 mg-Al/L used at Plant C; Fig. 4c), but markedly
increased to 2.8–3.5-log₁₀ at 0.54 mg-Al/L. These results indicate that coagulant dosage affected virus
reduction efficiency in CS–RSF and C–MF, and that low coagulant dosage used in C–MF at Plant C
(0.3–0.4 mg-Al/L on the sampling days) was a reason for the lower PMMoV reduction ratios in C–
MF at Plant C than in CS–RSF at Plants A and B.

428 At lab-scale experiments at 0.54 mg-Al/L, the reduction ratios of PMMoV in C-MF (2.8–3.5-log₁₀) 429 were higher than those in CS–RSF (0.5–1.8-log₁₀), indicating that C–MF has higher ability for virus 430 reduction than CS-RSF. On the other hand, coagulant dosages used in C-MF are typically lower than 431 those used in CS-RSF; because MF has high ability for particle separation, the aim of coagulation 432 pretreatment in MF is mainly to prevent membrane fouling, not to reduce turbidity like in RSF. This implies that high virus reduction ratios compared with those achieved in full-scale CS-RSF are not 433 434 necessarily achieved in full-scale C-MF, despite its higher ability for virus reduction in comparison 435 with CS-RSF. Therefore, control of coagulation conditions, including coagulant dosage, is of great 436 importance for actually achieving high virus reduction ratios in full-scale C-MF.

437 As described in Section 3.3, the reduction ratios of PMMoV in C-MF were higher at Plant D than 438 at Plant C, despite similar coagulant dosages (0.3–0.6 mg-Al/L on the sampling days) at both DWTPs. 439 On the other hand, Plant C uses PACI-1.5s as a coagulant, whereas Plant D uses high-basicity PACI 440 with basicity 2.1 (PACI-2.1s; see Section S1.3). Accordingly, we investigated the effect of coagulant 441 type on virus reduction in lab-scale C-MF; the use of PACI-2.1s instead of PACI-1.5s increased the 442 reduction ratios of PMMoV from 0.5–1.1-log₁₀ to 1.6–3.5-log₁₀ (Fig. S6b). This result indicates that coagulant type affected virus reduction efficiency in C–MF, and that the use of high-basicity PACl at 443 444 Plant D was probably a reason for the higher reduction ratios of PMMoV at Plant D than at Plant C. 445 We further investigated the usefulness of high-basicity PACls, particularly a non-sulfated one, for 446 improving virus reduction efficiency in full-scale CS-RSF and C-MF. In lab-scale CS-RSF, the use 447 of high-basicity PACls increased the reduction ratios of PMMoV from 2.9-log₁₀ to 3.5-4.1-log₁₀ (Fig.

448 S6a). In lab-scale C-MF, the PMMoV reduction ratios of >4-log₁₀ were achieved by using non-449 sulfated, high-basicity PACl at 0.27 mg-Al/L (Fig. S6b), similar to the dosage in C-MF at Plant C. In 450 addition, our research group has reported that coagulation pretreatment with high-basicity PACIs 451 more effectively mitigates membrane fouling in MF than that with PACI-1.5s (Kimura et al., 2015). Thus, the efficiency of virus reduction could be increased to >4-log₁₀ in full-scale C–MF with a more 452 453 effective mitigation of membrane fouling by the use of non-sulfated, high-basicity PACl even at a 454 low dosage similar to those applied in full-scale C-MF (e.g., 0.27 mg-Al/L). Details of the effect of 455 coagulant type on virus reduction are described in Section S2.6.

456 Powdered activated carbon is injected into water after pre-chlorination at Plant D, but not Plant C. 457 Our research group has reported that the size of aluminum floc particles generated in C-MF clearly 458 increases in the presence of powdered activated carbon (Matsui et al., 2009). The addition of 459 powdered activated carbon likely generated larger floc particles during coagulation at Plant D than at 460 Plant C, although floc size was not measured in the present study. In general, large floc particles are 461 more effectively removed by the membrane than small floc particles. Thus, enhanced removal of floc 462 particles that entrapped viruses may explain the higher reduction ratios of PMMoV in C–MF at Plant 463 D than at Plant C. Further study is needed to investigate whether virus reduction ratios are actually 464 improved by the addition of powdered activated carbon in C-MF.

465

3.5. Reduction of indigenous human enteric viruses in full-scale DWTPs employing CS–RSF and C–
MF

468

We compared the reduction ratios of indigenous human enteric viruses (AdV, EVs including CV,
HAV, and HuNoVs GI and GII) with those of indigenous PMMoV in full-scale CS–RSF and C–MF.
Indigenous PMMoV was quantified in 100% (20/20) of the raw water samples, whereas indigenous
EVs were quantified in 25% (5/20; 0/7 for Plant A, 1/3 for Plant B, 4/5 for Plant C, 0/5 for Plant D)

and HuNoV GII in 15% (3/20; 0/7 for Plant A, 1/3 for Plant B, 0/5 for Plant C, 2/5 for Plant D) of the
samples (Fig. S7). Concentrations of indigenous AdV, HAV, and HuNoV GI in raw water were always
below the quantification limit.

476 At Plant B, the concentrations of indigenous EVs and HuNoV GII in treated water after CS and 477 after RSF were always below the quantification limit (Fig. 5a). The reduction ratios in CS-RSF were 478 \geq 1.3-log₁₀ for EVs and \geq 1.5-log₁₀ for HuNoV GII; both were higher than that of PMMoV (0.9-log₁₀) 479 on the same day in February 2019. On this sampling day, pre-chlorination was applied before CS. CV 480 (included in EVs) is less resistant to chlorine treatment than PMMoV (Shirasaki et al., 2020), and 481 HuNoV is less resistant than PV (included in EVs; Shin and Sobsey, 2008). Thus, not only removal 482 of viral particles by CS-RSF but also degradation of viral genetic material by pre-chlorination could 483 reduce the PCR signal of EVs and HuNoV GII in CS-RSF at Plant B.

At Plant C, the concentrations of indigenous EVs in treated water after MnOx-coated media filtration (i.e., water just before C–MF) were always below the quantification limit (Fig. 5b), so the reduction ratios of EVs in C–MF could not be determined. At Plant D, the concentrations of indigenous HuNoV GII in treated water after coagulation and after MF were always below the quantification limit (Fig. 5c). We could not conclude whether the reduction ratios of HuNoV GII in C–MF (\geq 1.5-log10) were higher than those of PMMoV (2.3–2.9-log10) on the same days in January and March 2021.

In the present study, the concentrations of indigenous human enteric viruses in treated water after CS–RSF or C–MF were always below the quantification limit, because of their low concentrations in raw water and low resistance to chlorine treatment. Thus, it is difficult to directly evaluate their reduction efficiencies in full-scale physical and physicochemical treatment processes (i.e., particle separation processes), particularly when pre- and/or intermediate-chlorination is applied. In contrast, indigenous PMMoV was always quantified even in treated water, owing to its high concentrations in raw water and higher resistance to chlorine treatment than that of human enteric viruses including a 498 chlorine-resistant virus, CV (Shirasaki et al., 2020). In addition, our research group has reported that 499 the reduction ratios of PMMoV are similar to or lower than those of AdV, CV, HAV, and the surrogate 500 of human noroviruses, MNV, in lab-scale virus-spiking CS-RSF and LPM filtration experiments 501 (Shirasaki et al., 2017a, 2018). Thus, PMMoV could be used as a potential surrogate for these viruses 502 to assess their removal by full-scale physical and physicochemical treatment processes, even when 503 pre- and/or intermediate-chlorination is applied. In full-scale CS-RSF and LPM filtration, reduction 504 ratios of AdV, CV, HAV, and human noroviruses could be expected to be similar to or higher than 505 those of PMMoV. This is supported by the higher reduction ratios of EVs and HuNoV GII in CS-506 RSF at Plant B than that of PMMoV.

507

508 **4. Conclusions**

509

(1) The developed virus concentration method by using an electropositive filter and TF-UF
membrane effectively concentrated and recovered PMMoV from large volumes of water: total
recovery rates of 33%-42% were maintained even when as much as 500-2000 L of PMMoVspiked dechlorinated tap water was concentrated to 20 mL.

514 (2) The reduction ratios of indigenous PMMoV determined by using this method were 0.9–2.7515 log₁₀ in full-scale CS–RSF and 0.7–2.9-log₁₀ in full-scale C–MF.

(3) The reduction ratios of PMMoV in C–MF at Plant C $(1.0 \pm 0.3 - \log_{10})$ were lower than those in CS–RSF at Plants A $(1.7 \pm 0.5 - \log_{10})$ and B $(1.4 \pm 0.7 - \log_{10})$, although MF has higher ability for particle separation than RSF. Lab-scale virus-spiking C–MF experiments that mimicked full-scale C–MF demonstrated that the low coagulant dosages applied in C–MF, which are determined mainly from the viewpoint of preventing membrane fouling, probably led to the lower reduction ratios in C–MF than in CS–RSF. This implies that higher virus reduction ratios

- than those achieved in full-scale CS–RSF are not necessarily achieved in full-scale C–MF,
 despite its higher ability for virus reduction in comparison with CS–RSF.
- (4) The reduction ratios of PMMoV in C–MF at Plant D (2.2 ± 0.6-log₁₀) were higher than those
 at Plant C, despite similar coagulant dosages. In lab-scale C–MF, the PMMoV reduction ratios
 were improved from 1-log₁₀ to 2–4-log₁₀ by using high-basicity PACl instead of PACl-1.5s.
 Thus, the use of high-basicity PACl at Plant D probably resulted in higher reduction ratios of
 PMMoV in C–MF at Plant D than at Plant C.
- 529 (5) In contrast to human enteric viruses, indigenous PMMoV could always be quantified even in treated water, owing to its high concentrations in raw water and high resistance to chlorine 530 531 treatment. Together with our previous findings of similar or lower reduction ratios of PMMoV 532 in comparison with those of human enteric viruses in lab-scale virus-spiking CS-RSF and LPM 533 filtration experiments, PMMoV could be used as a potential surrogate for human enteric 534 viruses to assess virus removal by full-scale physical and physicochemical treatment processes, 535 even when pre- and/or intermediate-chlorination is applied. This possibility is supported by 536 higher reduction ratios of EVs (≥1.3-log₁₀) and HuNoV GII (≥1.5-log₁₀) than that of PMMoV 537 (0.9-log₁₀) in CS–RSF at Plant B, although it could not be judged whether the reduction ratios of HuNoV GII (≥1.5-log₁₀) were higher than those of PMMoV (2.3–2.9-log₁₀) in C–MF at 538 539 Plant D.
- 540

541 Acknowledgements

542

This work was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (grant numbers 19H02269, 2019; 16H06362, 2016; 18H03790, 2018); the Ministry of Health, Labor, and Welfare, Japan; the Bureau of Water Works, Tokyo Metropolitan Government, Japan; The River Foundation, Japan; and the FUSO Innovative Technology Fund, Japan.

References

550	Abbaszadegan, M., Mayer, B.K., Ryu, H., Nwachuku, N., 2007. Efficacy of Removal of CCL Viruses				
551	under Enhanced Coagulation Conditions. Environ. Sci. Technol. 41, 971–977.				
552	Asami, T., Katayama, H., Torrey, J.R., Visvanathan, C., Furumai, H., 2016. Evaluation of virus				
553	removal efficiency of coagulation-sedimentation and rapid sand filtration processes in a drinking				
554	water treatment plant in Bangkok, Thailand. Water Res. 101, 84–94.				
555	Cashdollar, J.L., Brinkman, N.E., Griffin, S.M., McMinn, B.R., Rhodes, E.R., Varughese, E.A.,				
556	Grimm, A.C., Parshionikar, S.U., Wymer, L., Fout, G.S., 2013. Development and evaluation of				
557	EPA Method 1615 for detection enterovirus and norovirus in water. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 79				
558	(1), 215–223.				
559	Fauquet, C.M., Mayo, M.A., Maniloff, J., Desselberger, U., Ball, L.A. (Eds.), 2005. Virus Taxonomy:				
560	Eighth Report of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses. Elsevier Academic Press,				
561	London, UK.				
561 562	London, UK. Fout, G.S., Brinkman, N.E., Cashdollar, J.L., Griffin, S.M., McMinn, B.R., Rhodes, E.R., Varughese,				
561 562 563	London, UK. Fout, G.S., Brinkman, N.E., Cashdollar, J.L., Griffin, S.M., McMinn, B.R., Rhodes, E.R., Varughese, E.A., Karim, M.R., Grimm, A.C., Spencer, S.K., Borchardt, M.A., 2014. Method 1615:				
561 562 563 564	London, UK. Fout, G.S., Brinkman, N.E., Cashdollar, J.L., Griffin, S.M., McMinn, B.R., Rhodes, E.R., Varughese, E.A., Karim, M.R., Grimm, A.C., Spencer, S.K., Borchardt, M.A., 2014. Method 1615: Measurement of Enterovirus and Norovirus Occurrence in Water by Culture and RT-qPCR, Version				
561 562 563 564 565	London, UK. Fout, G.S., Brinkman, N.E., Cashdollar, J.L., Griffin, S.M., McMinn, B.R., Rhodes, E.R., Varughese, E.A., Karim, M.R., Grimm, A.C., Spencer, S.K., Borchardt, M.A., 2014. Method 1615: Measurement of Enterovirus and Norovirus Occurrence in Water by Culture and RT-qPCR, Version 1.3. EPA/600/R-10/181. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Cincinnati, OH, USA.				
 561 562 563 564 565 566 	London, UK. Fout, G.S., Brinkman, N.E., Cashdollar, J.L., Griffin, S.M., McMinn, B.R., Rhodes, E.R., Varughese, E.A., Karim, M.R., Grimm, A.C., Spencer, S.K., Borchardt, M.A., 2014. Method 1615: Measurement of Enterovirus and Norovirus Occurrence in Water by Culture and RT-qPCR, Version 1.3. EPA/600/R-10/181. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Cincinnati, OH, USA. Fiksdal, L., Leiknes, O., 2006. The effect of coagulation with MF/UF membrane filtration for the				
561 562 563 564 565 566 567	 London, UK. Fout, G.S., Brinkman, N.E., Cashdollar, J.L., Griffin, S.M., McMinn, B.R., Rhodes, E.R., Varughese, E.A., Karim, M.R., Grimm, A.C., Spencer, S.K., Borchardt, M.A., 2014. Method 1615: Measurement of Enterovirus and Norovirus Occurrence in Water by Culture and RT-qPCR, Version 1.3. EPA/600/R-10/181. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Cincinnati, OH, USA. Fiksdal, L., Leiknes, O., 2006. The effect of coagulation with MF/UF membrane filtration for the removal of virus in drinking water. J. Membr. Sci. 279 (1–2), 364–371. 				
561 562 563 564 565 566 567 568	 London, UK. Fout, G.S., Brinkman, N.E., Cashdollar, J.L., Griffin, S.M., McMinn, B.R., Rhodes, E.R., Varughese, E.A., Karim, M.R., Grimm, A.C., Spencer, S.K., Borchardt, M.A., 2014. Method 1615: Measurement of Enterovirus and Norovirus Occurrence in Water by Culture and RT-qPCR, Version 1.3. EPA/600/R-10/181. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Cincinnati, OH, USA. Fiksdal, L., Leiknes, O., 2006. The effect of coagulation with MF/UF membrane filtration for the removal of virus in drinking water. J. Membr. Sci. 279 (1–2), 364–371. Hamza, I.A., Jurzik, L., Uberla, K., Wilhelm, M., 2011. Evaluation of pepper mild mottle virus, 				
 561 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 	 London, UK. Fout, G.S., Brinkman, N.E., Cashdollar, J.L., Griffin, S.M., McMinn, B.R., Rhodes, E.R., Varughese, E.A., Karim, M.R., Grimm, A.C., Spencer, S.K., Borchardt, M.A., 2014. Method 1615: Measurement of Enterovirus and Norovirus Occurrence in Water by Culture and RT-qPCR, Version 1.3. EPA/600/R-10/181. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Cincinnati, OH, USA. Fiksdal, L., Leiknes, O., 2006. The effect of coagulation with MF/UF membrane filtration for the removal of virus in drinking water. J. Membr. Sci. 279 (1–2), 364–371. Hamza, I.A., Jurzik, L., Uberla, K., Wilhelm, M., 2011. Evaluation of pepper mild mottle virus, human picobirnavirus and Torque teno virus as indicators of fecal contamination in river water. 				
 561 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 570 	 London, UK. Fout, G.S., Brinkman, N.E., Cashdollar, J.L., Griffin, S.M., McMinn, B.R., Rhodes, E.R., Varughese, E.A., Karim, M.R., Grimm, A.C., Spencer, S.K., Borchardt, M.A., 2014. Method 1615: Measurement of Enterovirus and Norovirus Occurrence in Water by Culture and RT-qPCR, Version 1.3. EPA/600/R-10/181. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Cincinnati, OH, USA. Fiksdal, L., Leiknes, O., 2006. The effect of coagulation with MF/UF membrane filtration for the removal of virus in drinking water. J. Membr. Sci. 279 (1–2), 364–371. Hamza, I.A., Jurzik, L., Uberla, K., Wilhelm, M., 2011. Evaluation of pepper mild mottle virus, human picobirnavirus and Torque teno virus as indicators of fecal contamination in river water. Water Res. 45 (3), 1358–1368. 				

- Haramoto, E., Kitajima, M., Hata, A., Torrey, J.R., Masago, Y., Sano, D., Katayama, H., 2018. A
 review on recent progress in the detection methods and prevalence of human enteric viruses in
 water. Water Res. 135, 168–186.
- 574 Haramoto, E., Kitajima, M., Kishida, N., Konno, Y., Katayama, H., Asami, M., Akiba, M., 2013.
- 575 Occurrence of pepper mild mottle virus in drinking water sources in Japan. Appl. Environ.
 576 Microbiol. 79 (23), 7413–7418.
- 577 Health Canada, 2017. Guidelines for Canadian Drinking Water Quality: Guideline Technical
 578 Document. Enteric Viruses in Drinking Water. Water and Air Quality Bureau, Health Canada,
 579 Ottawa, Canada.
- Huang, H., Schwab, K., Jacangero, J.G., 2009. Pretreatment for low pressure membranes in water
 treatment: a review. Environ. Sci. Technol. 43 (9), 3011–3019.
- Kato, R., Asami, T., Utagawa, E., Furumai, H., Katayama, H., 2018. Pepper mild mottle virus as a
 process indicator at drinking water treatment plants employing coagulation-sedimentation, rapid
 sand filtration, ozonation, and biological activated carbon treatments in Japan. Water Res. 132, 61–
 70.
- 586 Katzenelson, E., Fattal, B., Hostovesky, T., 1976. Organic flocculation: an efficient second-step
 587 concentration method for the detection of viruses in tap water. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 32 (4),
- 588 <u>638–639</u>.
- 589 Kimura, M., Matsui, Y., Saito, S., Takahashi, T., Nakagawa, M., Shirasaki, N., Matsushita, T., 2015.
- 590 Hydraulically irreversible membrane fouling during coagulation-microfiltration and its control by
- 591 using high-basicity polyaluminum chloride. J. Membr. Sci. 477, 115–122.
- 592 Matsui, Y., Hasegawa, H., Ohno, K., Matsushita, T., Mima, S., Kawase, Y., Aizawa, T., 2009. Effects
- 593 of super-powdered activated carbon pretreatment on coagulation and trans-membrane pressure
- 594 buildup during microfiltration. Water Res. 43, 5160–5170.

- Matsui, Y., Matsushita, T., Inoue, T., Yamamoto, M., Hayashi, Y., Yonekawa, H., Tsutsumi, Y., 2003.
 Virus removal by ceramic membrane microfiltration with coagulation pretreatment. Water Sci.
 Technol.: Water Supply. 3 (5), 93–99.
- 598 Matsushita, T., Shirasaki, N., Tatsuki, Y., Matsui, Y., 2013. Investigating norovirus removal by 599 microfiltration, ultrafiltration, and pre-coagulation-microfiltration processes using recombinant 600 norovirus virus-like particles and real-time immuno-PCR. Water Res. 47 (15), 5819–5827.
- Sano, Y., Nozu, Y., Inoue, H., 1978. The interaction of sodium dodecyl sulfate with cucumber green
 mottle mosaic virus protein and tobacco mosaic virus protein. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 186 (2),
 307–316.
- Shi, H., Pasco, E.V., Tarabara, V.V., 2017. Membrane-based methods of virus concentration from
 water: a review of process parameters and their effects on virus recovery. Environ. Sci.: Water Res.
 Technol. 3, 778–792.
- Shin, G.-A., Sobsey, M.D., 2008. Inactivation of norovirus by chlorine disinfection of water. Water
 Res. 42, 4562–4568.
- 609 Shirasaki, N., Matsushita, T., Matsui, Y., Marubayashi, T., Murai, K., 2016. Investigation of enteric
- 610 adenovirus and poliovirus removal by coagulation processes and suitability of bacteriophages MS2
- 611 and φ X174 as surrogates for those viruses. Sci. Total Environ. 563, 29–39.
- 612 Shirasaki, N., Matsushita, T., Matsui, Y., Murai, K., 2017a. Assessment of the efficacy of membrane
- 613 filtration processes to remove human enteric viruses and the suitability of bacteriophages and a
- 614 plant virus as surrogates for those viruses. Water Res. 115, 29–39.
- 615 Shirasaki, N., Matsushita, T., Matsui, Y., Murai, K., Aochi, A., 2017b. Elimination of representative
- 616 contaminant candidate list viruses, coxsackievirus, echovirus, hepatitis A virus, and norovirus,
- from water by coagulation processes. J. Hazard. Mater. 326, 110–119.

- Shirasaki, N., Matsushita, T., Matsui, Y., Yamashita, R., 2018. Evaluation of the suitability of a plant
 virus, pepper mild mottle virus, as a surrogate of human enteric viruses for assessment of the
 efficacy of coagulation-rapid sand filtration to remove those viruses. Water Res. 129, 460–469.
- 621 Shirasaki, N., Matsushita, T., Matsui, Y., Koriki, S., 2020. Suitability of pepper mild mottle virus as
- a human enteric virus surrogate for assessing the efficacy of thermal or free-chlorine disinfection
- 623 processes by using infectivity assays and enhanced viability PCR. Water Res. 186, 116409.
- 624 Sylvestre, E., Prevost, M., Burnet, J.B., Pang, X., Qiu, Y., Smeets, P., Medema, G., Hachad, M.,
- Dorner, S., 2021. Demonstrating the reduction of enteric viruses by drinking water treatment during
 snowmelt episodes in urban areas. Water Res. X. 11, 100091.
- 627 USEPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency), 2016. Drinking Water Contaminant Candidate List
- 4. EPA-HQ-OW-2012-0217. Office of Water, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington,
 DC, USA.
- 630 Varughese, E.A., Brinkman, N.E., Anneken, E.M., Cashdollar, J.L., Fout, G.S., Furlong, E.T., Kolpinc,
- 631 D.W., Glassmeyer, S.T., Keely, S.P., 2018. Estimating virus occurrence using Bayesian modeling
- 632 in multiple drinking water systems of the United States. Sci. Total Environ. 619–620, 1330–1339.
- 633 WHO (World Health Organization), 2011. Guidelines For Drinking-Water Quality, 4th ed. World
- 634 Health Organization, Genava, Switzerland.
- Zhu, B., Clifford, D.A., Chellam, S., 2005. Virus removal by iron coagulation-microfiltration. Water
 Res. 39 (20), 5153–5161.
- 637
- 638

639 **Table 1**

Effects of filter type, pH of beef extract solution, and soaking times before the third and fourth elution on retention rate of PMMoV on NanoCeram filters, its elution rate from the filters with beef extract solution, and total recovery rate of PMMoV from 1000 L of PMMoV-spiked dechlorinated tap water. The experimental conditions of Method 1615 are as follows: filter type, VS2.5-5; pH of beef extract solution, 9.0; number of virus elution procedures, two; soaking times before the first and second elution, 1 and 15 min, respectively; tangential-flow ultrafiltration, not included.

644

Method	Filter type	pH of beef extract solution	Soaking time (min)				Tangential-flow	Retention rate	Elution rate	Total recovery rate
			First	Second	Third	Fourth	ultrafiltration	<i>R</i> _r (%)	R e (%)	R _t (%)
1	P2.5-5DP	9.0	1	15	15	15	_	94.8	27.5	0.7
2	P2.5-5DP	9.0	1	15	30	30	$+^{a}$	99.6	32.1	48.8
3	P2.5-5DP	9.5	1	15	30	30	+	98.8	59.6	78.4
4	VS2.5-5	9.5	1	15	30	30	+	96.8	74.1	80.3

645 ^{*a*} + indicates that tangential-flow ultrafiltration was added to the virus concentration processes.

646





Fig. 1 – Behavior of the viruses during organic flocculation. Viruses were spiked to the BE solution (pH 9.5) at initial concentrations of 10^{8-9} copies/mL for PMMoV, 10^{7-8} copies/mL for CGMMV, CV, and MNV, 10^7 copies/mL for AdV, 10^6 copies/mL for HAV, and 10^{10} copies/mL for MS2. Values are means and error bars indicate standard deviations (n = 3).



Fig. 2 – Effect of filtration volume on retention rate of PMMoV on NanoCeram filters and total recovery rate of PMMoV from PMMoV-spiked dechlorinated tap water. Initial concentration of PMMoV, 10^8 copies/mL. Values are means and error bars indicate standard deviations (n = 2 or 3).







669 C (c), and D (d). Samples of raw water and treated water after coagulation (4 or 20 L) collected at

- 670 Plant D in July 2020 were concentrated in our laboratory, not on-site.









Fig. 4 – Effect of coagulant dosage on reduction ratios of PMMoV in lab-scale CS-RSF (a, b) 677 678 and C-MF (c). Raw water samples collected at Plant A in July 2018 and February 2019 (a), and Plant 679 B in August 2018 (b), and treated water samples after MnOx-coated media filtration collected at Plant C in November 2018 and July 2019 (c) were spiked with PMMoV at an initial concentration of 10^{7–} 680 ⁸ copies/mL, and then used as experimental raw water. Coagulant, PACl-1.5s. Manganese sand (a) or 681 682 silica sand (b) was used. Values are means and error bars indicate standard deviations (n = 1-3). 683 Arrows indicate that the virus concentrations were below the limit of quantification of the real-time 684 RT-PCR. ND, not determined.





690

Fig. 5 – Concentrations of indigenous PMMoV and human enteric viruses in raw and treated water at Plants B (a), C (b), and D (c). Treated water samples were tested only when at least one indigenous human enteric virus could be quantified in raw water samples. Arrows indicate that the virus concentrations were below the limit of quantification of the real-time RT-PCR.