



|                  |  |
|------------------|--|
| Title            | Notes on Japanese and Formosan species of <i>Stantonia</i> Ashmead (Hymenoptera, Braconidae) |
| Author(s)        | Watanabe, Chihisa  |
| Citation         | Insecta matsumurana, 21(1-2), 45-45  |
| Issue Date       | 1957-08  |
| Doc URL          | <a href="http://hdl.handle.net/2115/9607">http://hdl.handle.net/2115/9607</a>                |
| Type             | bulletin (article)   |
| File Information | 21(1-2)_p45-45.pdf   |



[Instructions for use](#)

NOTES ON JAPANESE AND FORMOSAN SPECIES  
OF *STANTONIA* ASHMEAD

(Hymenoptera, Braconidae)

By CHIHISA WATANABE

Entomological Institute, Hokkaido University

In a previous paper (1937) the writer has treated *Stantonina* ASHMEAD (1904) as a synonym of *Microtypus* RATZBURG (1847), representing three species from Japan and Formosa. However, having examined authentic representatives of these genera at the United States National Museum, Washington, D. C. and at the British Museum (Natural History), London, he has come to the conclusion that these genera are quite distinct by the venation of the wings as pointed out by NIXON (1950)\*. Thus, the following species, which were stated by the writer in 1937 as members of *Microtypus*, should be transferred to *Stantonina*.

Genus *Stantonina* ASHMEAD*Stantonina* ASHMEAD, Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus. 28: 146, 1904.(Genotype: *Stantonina flava* ASHMEAD, 1904).1. *Stantonina issikii* WATANABE*Stantonina issikii* WATANABE, Ins. Mats. 6: 187. ♀, Fig. 3 a, 1932.*Microtypus issikii* WATANABE, Jour. Facul. Agr., Hokkaido Imp. Univ. 42: 95, 1937.

Distribution: Formosa.

2. *Stantonina sauteri* WATANABE*Stantonina sauteri* WATANABE, Ins. Mats. 6: 188, ♀♂, Fig. 3 b, 1932, 8: 203, 1934.*Microtypus sauteri* WATANABE, Jour. Facul. Agr., Hokkaido Imp. Univ. 42: 95, 1937.

Distribution: Formosa.

3. *Stantonina takeuchii* (WATANABE) Comb. nov.*Microtypus takeuchii* WATANABE, Jour. Facul. Agr., Hokkaido Imp. Univ. 42: 95, ♀♂, Pl. IV, Fig. 5, 1937.

Distribution: Japan.

Finally, previous authors have taken divergent views about the systematic position of this genus. The present writer, however, agrees fairly with NIXON's conclusion that this genus along with *Microtypus* is best placed near *Zelee* CURTIS (1832).

\* Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. (12) 3: 456, 1950.

[Ins. Mats., Vol. 21, Nos. 1/2, p. 45 August, 1957]