



HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

Title	Crossover of rate-limiting processes in mechanochemical reactions under flow driven by applied mechanical stress
Author(s)	Yamamoto, Tetsuya; Kubota, Koji; Harabuchi, Yu et al.
Citation	Chinese Physics B, 35(7) https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1056/ae5db4
Issue Date	2026-07-01
Doc URL	https://hdl.handle.net/2115/100288
Type	journal article
File Information	TYKKYHHIMechanoCrossoverVer34_revision_offcomment.pdf



Crossover of limiting processes in mechanochemical reactions under flow driven by applied mechanical stress

Tetsuya Yamamoto^a, Koji Kubota^{a,b}, Yu Harabuchi^a, Julong Jiang^a, and Hajime Ito^{a,b}

a. Institute for Chemical Reaction Design and Discovery (WPI-ICReDD), Hokkaido University, Sapporo, 060-8628, Japan. E-mail: tyamamoto@icredd.hokudai.ac.jp

b. Division of Applied Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, 060-8628, Japan.

Mechanochemical organic synthesis using ball milling leverages mechanical energy to drive chemical reactions. A comprehensive understanding of the underlying reaction kinetics is essential for the continuous development of mechanochemical synthesis. However, the rate-limiting processes of mechanochemical reactions remain poorly understood because molecular behavior at interfacial length scales is still largely unknown. We have theoretically predicted that the mechanochemical reactions of two solid reactants form a layer of phase rich in products at their interface due to the instability arising from the immiscibility of products and reactant solids and that the applied mechanical stress accelerates the diffusion of reactants through the product-rich layer by decreasing the thickness of this layer. To shed the light in the rate-limiting processes governing such mechanochemical reactions, we here develop a scaling theory. This theory predicts that the rate-limiting process depends on the thickness of the product-rich layer and can therefore change overtime. Unlike conventional solution-based reactions, the crossover between regimes of rate-limiting process is influenced not only by the diffusion length but also by the extent of reactant dissolution into the product-rich layer and magnitude of the applied mechanical stress. The model developed in this study provides a fundamental framework for a deeper understanding of mechanochemical organic reactions occurring during ball milling.

PACS: 82.45.Jn, 82.20.-w, 83.80.Jx

Introduction

Mechanochemical organic synthesis using ball milling requires little or no organic solvents and has attracted significant attention as an efficient, energy-saving, and ecofriendly alternative to conventional solution-based approaches that rely on organic

solvents. A wide range of organic reactions can be performed efficiently using ball milling.^{1–7} Strong mechanical agitation by ball milling grinds the reactants, reagents, and catalysts (Fig. 1a). Since no solvent is required under mechanochemical conditions, the reactants are used in their native physical states, which can either be solid or liquid, depending on the compounds and reaction conditions.^{8,9} A comprehensive understanding of reaction kinetics under mechanochemical conditions is essential for advancing techniques based on mechanochemical synthesis. Identifying the rate-limiting processes is the first step in understanding the kinetics of chemical reactions.¹⁰ However, the rate-limiting processes of mechanochemical reactions remain poorly understood owing to the inherent complexity arising from the fact that chemical reactions at the molecular length scales are accelerated by macroscopic mechanics¹¹ and that the molecular dynamics at the system composed of multiple phases is involved.

The processes involved in mechanochemical reactions range from macroscopic (solid particles) to microscopic (molecular) levels (Fig. 1).¹¹ At the macroscopic level, mechanical agitation drives the motion of metal balls and solid reactants, as well as fragmentation of the reactants (Fig. 1a). Theoretically, these processes have been studied by using the physics of granular materials^{12–14} and by modeling them as Poisson processes.¹⁵ At the molecular level, the reactants transform to the product (Fig. 1b). It is straightforward to think that the applied mechanical force can directly activate the starting materials and catalysts, thereby decreasing the activation energy of the reactions.^{11–13} Indeed, the impact of applied tension on the reaction of polymeric compounds has been widely studied.^{16–21} Polymer chains are considered to induce and control force transduction so that functional units (mechanophores) can be activated. Theoretically, the activation force is predicted by using density functional theory (DFT)-based quantum chemical calculations, in which the work done by displacements^{22,23} or externally applied forces on pairs of terminal atoms²⁴ or functional groups²¹ is considered. In contrast, the detailed role of mechanical stress in mechanochemical reactions involving small molecules by ball milling remains unclear, except for a few specifically designed systems^{25–27}, probably because the processes at intermediate length scales between the macroscopic and molecular limits remain poorly understood.




Figure 1. Mechanochemical organic synthesis by ball milling. **a.** Mechanochemical synthesis is performed by mechanical agitation of solid reactants (magenta and cyan circles) and metal balls (gray circle). **b.** At the molecular level, reactants A and B transform to product AB. **c.** Mechanochemical reactions occur at the interfaces between reactant solids (magenta and cyan slabs), producing a product-rich layer (green) at these interfaces. The applied mechanical stress by the metal balls drives material flow in the product-rich phase. Reactant molecules A and B diffuse in the product-rich layer and show chemical reactions when they meet.

Mechanochemical reactions involving solid reactants occur at the interface (Fig. 1c). Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations have predicted that the plasticity of colliding solid-reactant particles plays a key role in the mixing of reactants.^{28,29} However, MD simulations are limited to the short timescales before chemical reactions occur. We previously developed a theory, combining the Landau theory for phase transition with the Onsager theory for irreversible processes, which predicts that mechanochemical reactions of two solid reactants produce a product-rich phase at their interface due to the instabilities arising from the immiscibility of products and reactants (green layer in Fig.

1c).³⁰ The theory also predicts that the dissolution of reactant molecules into the product-rich layer regulates the kinetics of mechanochemical reactions.³⁰ Interestingly, adding a small volume of solvent to the system enhances the reactivity of solid reactants,³¹ probably because the solvent wets the surfaces of reactant solids and acts as a plasticizer for the product-rich layer. Under these conditions, the applied mechanical stress drives the material flow, increasing the concentration gradient of the reactants in the product-rich layer by decreasing its thickness (white arrows in Fig. 1c).³² This mechanical effect accelerates diffusion-limited reactions, whose rates depend on the concentration gradient of the reactants, but does not influence the reaction-limited processes, whose rates depend on the local reactant concentrations. However, the previously proposed theory could not predict the factors determining the rate-limiting processes of mechanochemical reactions.

To shed light on the rate-limiting processes of mechanochemical reactions, we developed a theory to study the conditions under which mechanochemical reactions are limited by diffusion or by reaction. In conventional solution-based reactions, the rate-limiting processes are determined by the diffusion length, which is the distance a reactant can diffuse before reacting.³³ The proposed theory predicts that, in mechanochemical reactions, the rate-limiting process depends on the thickness of the product-rich layer and the system size, and can therefore change over time. In the diffusion-limited regime, chemical reactions occur as soon as the reactants meet because the intrinsic reaction is faster than diffusion. In the reaction-limited regime, diffusion of molecules is faster than the reaction; thus, the volume fractions of the reactants and products are determined by the thermodynamic equilibrium condition because of the multiphase nature of the system. There is also ‘marginal’ regime, in which the rate-limiting process is the reaction, but reactant A molecule penetrates the reactant B-rich solid phase (and vice versa) only up to the diffusion length. The crossover between the reaction regimes is determined not only by the diffusion length but also by the extent of the dissolution of reactant molecules into the product-rich layer and applied mechanical stress. Although the present analysis is limited to the mesoscopic interfacial dynamics, it provides a basis for understanding the rate-limiting process of mechanochemical reactions by bridging the microscopic and macroscopic dynamics.

Scaling framework for modeling kinetics of mechanochemical reactions

We develop a scaling theory to analyze the rate-limiting processes in mechanochemical reactions by extending our previous theoretical studies.^{30,32} This theory considers a simple mechanochemical reaction between two solid reactants, A and B, that form a product AB ($A + B \rightarrow AB$). We focus on the interfacial region between the two solid reactants where mechanochemical reactions occur. The coordinate system is set such that the x-y plane lies at the interface between the solid reactants, and the z-axis is normal to this plane (Fig. 2a). The system is described by the volume fractions, $\psi_A(z)$, $\psi_B(z)$, and $\psi_{AB}(z)$, of the reactants and products. Subscripts A, B, and AB represent the quantities of reactants A, B, and AB, respectively. For simplicity, we consider cases in which the diffusion constants and thermodynamic properties of the two reactants are equal, and the volume per product molecule AB is the sum of the volumes per molecule of reactants A and B. In this case, the volume fractions of reactants A and B are symmetric with respect to the x-y plane, $\psi_A(z) = \psi_B(-z)$. Thus, we analyze only half of the system, $z > 0$. By neglecting small volume of added solvents, the system is occupied by either of the reactants or products (incompressibility condition)

$$\psi_A(z) + \psi_B(z) + \psi_{AB}(z) = 1. \quad (1)$$

The volume fractions of A and B follow the reaction-diffusion equations,³⁴

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_A(z) = D_A \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\psi_A(z) \frac{\partial \mu_A(\psi_A, \psi_B)}{\partial z} \frac{1}{k_B T} \right) - k \psi_A(z) \psi_B(z) \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_B(z) = D_B \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\psi_B(z) \frac{\partial \mu_B(\psi_A, \psi_B)}{\partial z} \frac{1}{k_B T} \right) - k \psi_A(z) \psi_B(z) \quad (3)$$

where $\mu_A(\psi_A, \psi_B)$ and $\mu_B(\psi_A, \psi_B)$ are the chemical potentials of A and B, respectively. D_A and D_B are the diffusion constants of reactants A and B, respectively. k is the reaction-rate constant. The volume fraction of the product $\psi_{AB}(z)$ is determined by the incompressibility condition (Eq. (1)). Eqs. (2) and (3) imply that the chemical potentials, rather than the volume fractions, are continuous throughout the system.

The reactant B solid is stable only when the volume fraction $\psi_B(z)$ is larger than the binodal value, $\psi_B(z) > \psi_{B2}$, and a phase rich in products or reactant A is stable when the volume fraction is smaller than that at another binodal value, $\psi_B(z) < \psi_{B1}$.³⁴⁻³⁶ The volume fraction between the two binodal values, $\psi_{B1} < \psi_B(z) < \psi_{B2}$, is unstable. The binodal volume fractions, ψ_{B1} and ψ_{B2} , can be determined experimentally. In our

previous study, we derived ψ_{B1} and ψ_{B2} as a function of the immiscibility parameter by using the mean field approximation.³⁰ The volume fraction $\psi_B(z)$ of reactant B thus exhibits a discontinuity between ψ_{B1} and ψ_{B2} at $z = h(t)$ (Fig. 2b–d). This discontinuity is accompanied by a corresponding jump in the volume fraction $\psi_A(z)$ of reactant A between the binodal values ψ_{A1} and ψ_{A2} at the same position. Note that the chemical potentials are equal at the binodal values, $\mu_A(\psi_{A1}, \psi_{B1}) = \mu_A(\psi_{A2}, \psi_{B2})$ and $\mu_B(\psi_{A1}, \psi_{B1}) = \mu_B(\psi_{A2}, \psi_{B2})$, ensuring continuity of the chemical potentials at $z = h(t)$ (local equilibrium). The region $z > h(t)$ is predominantly occupied by reactant B and is thus identified as the reactant-B-rich solid phase. The discontinuity in the volume fraction at $z = h(t)$ results from the instability that arises from the immiscibility between the product and reactant solids, and the region $0 < z < h(t)$ thus forms a distinct product-rich phase.

A small volume of solvent added to the system can wet the surfaces of the solid reactant particles and act as a plasticizer in the product-rich phase.³¹ For simplicity, we treat the reactant-rich solid phases as rigid bodies and the product-rich phase as a viscous fluid. The applied mechanical stress $\sigma_{\perp}$ drives the flow in the product-rich phase. We here treat cases in which the relaxation time of the product-rich phase is faster than the frequency of the metal ball motions so that the applied mechanical stress is assumed to be static. The material flow decreases the thickness of the product-rich phase with the speed

$$v(t) = \frac{8}{3} \frac{\sigma_{\perp}}{\eta_{AB} r_0^2} h^3(t), \quad (4)$$

where η_{AB} is the viscosity of the product-rich phase and r_0 is the radius of the interfacial region. Eq. (4) is derived by solving the Stokes equation under the lubrication approximation.^{32,35} The thickness $h(t)$ of the product-rich phase depends on the reaction rate $S(t)$ and material flow $v(t)$.

$$\frac{d}{dt} h(t) = \frac{S(t)}{1 - \psi_{A1} - \psi_{B1}} - v(t). \quad (5)$$

The reaction rate, $S(t)$, is defined by the volume of the reactant converted to the product per unit time and area, and its form depends on the rate-limiting process of the reaction.

167 The reaction can occur throughout the entire system of size $L(t)$ in the reaction-limited
168 regime. The thickness $L(t)$ of the system evolves according to the following equation:

169
$$\frac{d}{dt}L(t) = -v(t) \quad (6)$$

170 The volume $V_{\text{ex}}(t)$ of the material is excluded from the interfacial region between the
171 solid reactants by the material flow under the applied mechanical stress, as described
172 by Eq. (4). Mass conservation can then be expressed as follows:

173
$$\frac{d}{dt}(V_{\text{ex}}(t) + \pi r_0^2 L(t)) = 0. \quad (7)$$

174




Figure 2 Volume fraction profile of reactants. a. Schematic showing the coordinate system, where the x–y plane is located at the mid-plane of the product-rich layer (green) and the z-axis is normal to the plane. The volume fractions of reactants A (magenta) and B (cyan) as well as product AB (green) are presented as functions of the position z for the diffusion-limited (b), reaction-limited (c), and marginal regimes (d).

Diffusion-limited regime

In the diffusion-limited regime, the reactant molecules A and B react immediately upon meeting at $z = 0$ (Fig. 2b). Reactant A molecules do not penetrate the region defined by $z > 0$, and the volume fraction of reactant B molecules at the interface, $z = 0$, vanishes, $\psi_B(0) = 0$. In the low-temperature limit, the volume fraction $\psi_B(z)$ of reactant molecules B in the product-rich phase is small. In this case, the chemical potential of reactant B assumes an asymptotic form expressed as $\mu_B = k_B T \log \psi_B(z)$. Solving Eq. (3) using this chemical potential in the steady state, the volume fractions of reactants have the form $\psi_A(z) = 0$ and $\psi_B(z) \approx \psi_{B1}^d z / h(t)$ for $0 < z < h(t)$. The superscript 'd' indicates the binodal value in the diffusion-limited regime (the binary mixture of reactant B and product). The flux $J_B(z)$ represents the volume of reactant B molecules crossing a unit area per unit time,

$$J_B(z) = -D_B \psi_B(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \mu_B(\psi_A, \psi_B). \quad (8)$$

In the diffusion-limited regime, the reaction rate $S(t) (= -J_B(0))$ is equal to the flux of reactant B reaching $z = 0$. Substituting the steady-state profile, the reaction rate has the form

$$S(t) = D_B \frac{\psi_{B1}^d}{h(t)}. \quad (9)$$

The diffusion of reactant molecules occurs only within the region between the two solid reactants.

Reaction-limited regime

In the reaction-limited regime, the diffusion of reactant A and B molecules is so fast that the volume fractions of reactants and products are determined using by thermodynamic equilibrium condition (Fig. 2c). The volume fractions of the reactant molecules in the two reactant-rich solid phases and the product-rich phase are maintained at the binodal values, and the reaction primarily changes the thickness of these phases. Thus, the reaction rate $S(t)$ is expressed as follows

$$S(t) = k\psi_{A1}^r \psi_{B1}^r h(t) + k\psi_{A2}^r \psi_{B2}^r (L(t) - h(t)) + k\psi_{A1}^r \psi_{B1}^r \frac{V_{ex}(t)}{\pi r_0^2}. \quad (10)$$

The superscript 'r' denotes the binodal value in the reaction-limited regime (the ternary mixture of A, B, and AB). The first, second, and third terms in Eq. (10) correspond to the reaction rates in the product-rich phase, reactant-rich solid phase, and volume flown out from the region between the reactant-rich solid phases, respectively. At the first glance, one may think that the reaction rate $S(t)$ depends on the flow via $h(t)$, $L(t)$, and $V_{\text{ex}}(t)$, see eqs. (5)–(7). However, by taking the time derivative to both sides of eq. (10), we derive the relationship

$$\frac{d}{dt}S(t) = \frac{k(\psi_{A1}^r\psi_{B1}^r - \psi_{A2}^r\psi_{B2}^r)}{1 - \psi_{A1}^r - \psi_{B1}^r}S(t). \quad (11)$$

Eq. (11) shows that, in the reaction-limited regime, the reaction rate $S(t)$ is independent of flow.

Marginal regime

In the marginal regime, the product-rich phase remains in thermodynamic equilibrium, while reactant A molecules penetrate the reactant B-rich solid phase only over the diffusion length (Fig. 2d):

$$\lambda_d = \sqrt{\frac{D_A}{k}} \quad (12)$$

In this case, the reaction rate has the form

$$S(t) = k\psi_{A1}^r\psi_{B1}^rh(t) + k\psi_{A2}^r\psi_{B2}^r\lambda_d + k\psi_{A1}^r\psi_{B1}^r\frac{V_{\text{ex}}(t)}{\pi r_0^2}. \quad (13)$$

By taking the time derivative to both sides of eq. (13) we derive the relationship

$$\frac{d}{dt}S(t) = \frac{k\psi_{A1}^r\psi_{B1}^r}{1 - \psi_{A1}^r - \psi_{B1}^r}S(t). \quad (14)$$

Thus, the reaction rate $S(t)$ does not depend on the flow in the marginal regime. The only difference between the reaction-limited and marginal regimes is the distribution of reactant A molecules within the reactant B-rich solid phase and vice versa. Because the binodal values in the marginal regime are identical to those in the reaction-limited regime, we use the same superscript 'r'.

The time evolution of the system is derived by solving eqs. (5) and (6), with the flow fields given by eq. (4). The reaction rates $S(t)$ in the diffusion-limited, reaction-limited, and marginal regimes are derived by using eqs. (9), (11), and (14), respectively. The reaction regime is determined by the minimum among these reaction rates.

The present theory is formulated in terms of the characteristic length scale λ_d , time scale τ_p , and mechanical stress σ_p as well as the volume-fraction ratios, α and β (Table 1). The ratio β is estimated to be large because $\psi_{A1}^r \approx \psi_{B1}^r \approx \psi_{B1}^d/2$. The ratio α depends on the extent to which reactant A dissolves into the reactant B-rich solid (and vice versa), relative to dissolution of these molecules in the product-rich phase. The reaction rate (per unit area) scales as

$$S_p = \frac{(1 - \psi_{A1}^r - \psi_{B1}^r)\lambda_d}{\tau_p}. \quad (15)$$

Symbol	Meaning	Expression	Used value
λ_d	Diffusion length	$\sqrt{D_A/k}$	-
$\frac{1}{\tau_p}$	Inverse reaction time	$\frac{k\psi_{A1}^r\psi_{B1}^r}{1 - \psi_{A1}^r - \psi_{B1}^r} \approx k\psi_{A1}^r\psi_{B1}^r$	-
σ_p	Mechanical stress scale	$\frac{3}{8} \frac{\eta_{AB}}{\tau_p} \frac{r_0^2}{\lambda_d^2}$	-
α	Volume fraction ratio of phases	$\frac{\psi_{A2}^r\psi_{B2}^r}{\psi_{A1}^r\psi_{B1}^r} \approx \frac{\psi_{A2}^r}{(\psi_{A1}^r)^2}$	0.01
β	Volume fraction ratio of regimes	$\frac{\psi_{B1}^d}{\psi_{A1}^r\psi_{B1}^r} \frac{1 - \psi_{A1}^r - \psi_{B1}^r}{1 - \psi_{B1}^d} \approx \frac{\psi_{B1}^d}{(\psi_{B1}^r)^2}$	10.0

Table 1 Characteristic length scale, time scale, and mechanical stress used in the present theory and the volume-fraction ratios.

Regime diagram without applied mechanical stress

In the absence of applied mechanical stress, $v = 0$, the system size remains constant, $L(t) = L_0$ (Eq. (6)), where $L_0 (= L(0))$ represents the initial system size. Our theory predicts that the rate-limiting process depends on the thickness $h(t)$ of the product-rich phase and system size L_0 (Fig. 3a). Because the thickness $h(t)$ increases over time,

the rate-limiting process can change as the reaction proceeds. We identify four types of trajectories: I. For $L_0 > L_2^*$, the reaction begins in the marginal regime and subsequently enters the diffusion-limited regime (black arrow in Fig. 3a). II. For $L_1^* < L_0 < L_2^*$, the reaction sequentially passes through the marginal, reaction-limited, and diffusion-limited regimes (cyan arrows in Fig. 3a). III. For $\lambda_d < L_0 < L_1^*$, the reaction starts in the marginal regime and then shows crossover to the reaction-limited regime (magenta arrow in Fig. 3a). IV. For $L_0 < \lambda_d$, the kinetics remain reaction-limited over the entire reaction time. The explicit expressions for L_1^* and L_2^* are summarized in Table 2, and their derivations are provided in the Supplementary Information (SI).

Marginal/diffusion-limited, marginal/reaction-limited, and reaction-limited/diffusion-limited crossovers occur when the thicknesses of the corresponding product-rich layers were h_{md0} , h_{mr0} , and h_{rd0} , respectively (Fig. 3a). The expressions for the thicknesses of the product-rich layers and the corresponding times are summarized in Tables 3 and 4. The rate-limiting processes are determined not only by the diffusion length λ_d but also by the parameters, α and β , which represent the extent of reactant-molecule dissolution in the product-rich phase, unlike in conventional solution-based reactions.




Figure 3 Regime diagram without material flow. a. Regime diagram of rate-limiting processes of mechanochemical reactions with respect to product-rich layer thickness $h(t)$ and system size L_0 . The diagram was constructed using the expressions in Tables 2–4 with $\alpha = 0.01$ and $\beta = 10.0$. The diffusion-limited, reaction-limited, and marginal regimes are shown in orange, purple, and brown, respectively. Without flow in the product-rich layer, the system thickness L_0 remains constant, whereas the thickness $h(t)$ of the product-rich layer increases over time (trajectories indicated by black, cyan, and magenta arrows). The marginal/diffusion-limited, marginal/reaction-limited, and reaction-limited/diffusion-limited crossover boundaries are denoted by h_{md0} , h_{mr0} , and h_{rd0} , respectively. The sequence of crossovers experienced by the system depends on L_0 of the system with three thresholds λ_d , L_1^* , and L_2^* . **b.** Schematic illustration of the crossover sequences corresponding to the black, cyan, and magenta trajectories shown in panel **a**.

283

Symbol	Trajectories	Expression	SI
λ_d	III-IV	$\sqrt{D_A/k}$	
L_1^*	II-III	$\sqrt{\beta}\lambda_d$	S25
L_2^*	I-II	$\alpha\lambda_d\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2}} - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \lambda_d$	S24

284 **Table 2** Threshold system sizes, L_1^* and L_2^* , that determine changes in trajectory type
 285 in the absence of applied mechanical stress. The derivations are provided in SI.

286

Symbol	Regimes	Expression	SI
h_{md0}	Marginal/Diffusion-limited	$\alpha\lambda_d\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2}} - \frac{1}{2}\right)$	S12
h_{mr0}	Marginal/Reaction-limited	$L_0 - \lambda_d$	S16
h_{rd0}	Reaction-limited/Diffusion-limited	$\frac{\sqrt{4\beta(1-\alpha)\lambda_d^2 + (\alpha L_0)^2} - \alpha L_0}{2(1-\alpha)}$	S20

287 **Table 3** Product-rich layer thickness $h(t)$ of at the regime crossover points in the
 288 absence of applied mechanical stress. The derivations are provided in SI.

289

Symbol	Regimes	Expression	SI
t_{md0}	Marginal/Diffusion-limited	$\tau_p \log\left(\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2}}\right)$	S13
t_{mr0}	Marginal/Reaction-limited	$\tau_p \log\left(1 + \frac{L_0 - \lambda_d}{\alpha\lambda_d}\right)$	S17
t_{rd0}	Reaction-limited/Diffusion-limited	$t_{mr0} + \frac{\tau_p}{1-\alpha} \log\left(\frac{\sqrt{4\beta(1-\alpha)\lambda_d^2 + (\alpha L_0)^2} - \alpha L_0}{2(L_0 - (1-\alpha)\lambda_d)}\right)$	S21

Table 4 Characteristic time at the crossovers between regimes in the absence of applied mechanical stress. The derivations are provided in SI.

Reaction rate without applied mechanical stress

The expressions for the reaction rate and thickness of the product-rich layer in each regime are provided in Tables 5 and 6, and their derivations are provided in the SI. In the marginal regime, the reaction rate $S_m(t)$ increases over time as

$$S_m(t) = k\psi_{A2}^r\psi_{B2}^r\lambda_d e^{\frac{t}{\tau_p}} \quad (16)$$

because the reaction occurs uniformly in the product-rich layer (black line in Fig. 4a). In the diffusion-limited regime, the reaction rate $S_d(t)$ decreases over time as

$$S_d(t) = D_B \frac{\psi_{B1}^d}{\sqrt{h_{md0}^2 + \frac{2\beta\lambda_d^2(t - t_{md0})}{\tau_p}}} \quad (17)$$

Because the diffusion of reactants in the product-rich layer decelerates as the thickness $h(t)$ of this layer increases (black line in Fig. 4a; refer to Tables 2 and 3 for h_{md0} and t_{md0}). Consequently, the reaction rate exhibits a maximum S_{md0} at the crossover between the marginal and diffusion-limited regimes. This non-monotonicity results from the fact that the reaction rate is proportional to the inverse of the thickness of the product-rich layer for the diffusion-limited regime, whereas, in the marginal regime, it is proportional to the thickness of this layer.

The non-monotonicity in the reaction rate may be experimentally accessible unless the characteristic time t_{md0} at the marginal/diffusion-limited crossover is either too short or too long compared with the experimental time scale. The characteristic time t_{md0} is given by

$$t_{md0} = \tau_p \log \left(\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2}} \right). \quad (18)$$

Eq. (18) is derived by enforcing the continuity of the reaction rate and thickness of the product-rich layer at the marginal/diffusion-limited crossover (see Table 4). The

crossover time $t_{\text{md}0}$ has asymptotic form $\beta\tau_p/\alpha^2$ for $\frac{\beta}{\alpha^2} \ll 1$ and $\frac{\tau_p}{2}\log\frac{\beta}{\alpha^2}$ for $\frac{\beta}{\alpha^2} \gg 1$. This implies that if the dissolution of reactant A into the reactant B-rich solid is strongly hindered relative to its dissolution in the product-rich phase, $\psi_{A2}^r/\psi_{A1}^r \ll \sqrt{\psi_{B1}^d}$, the reaction becomes diffusion-limited only at the long time scales, near completion. The reaction rate thus increases for most of the time. In contrast, when the volume fraction of reactant A dissolved into the reactant B-rich solid is comparatively large relative to that in the product-rich phase, $\psi_{A2}^r/\psi_{A1}^r \gg \sqrt{\psi_{B1}^d}$, the marginal regime persists only at the short time scales. The reaction rate thus decreases for most of the time.

Symbol	Regime	Expression	SI
$S_r(t)$	Reaction-limited ($L_0 > \lambda_d$)	$k\psi_{A2}^r\psi_{B2}^r\lambda_d e^{\frac{t_{\text{mr}0}}{\tau_p} + (1-\alpha)\frac{t-t_{\text{mr}0}}{\tau_p}}$	S18
$S_d(t)$	Diffusion-limited	$D_B \frac{\psi_{B1}^d}{\sqrt{h_{d0}^2 + \frac{2\beta\lambda_d^2(t-t_{d0})}{\tau_p}}}$	S15, S23
$S_m(t)$	Marginal	$k\psi_{A2}^r\psi_{B2}^r\lambda_d e^{\frac{t}{\tau_p}}$	S10

Table 5 Reaction rate in the reaction-limited, diffusion-limited, and marginal regimes in the absence of material flow driven by applied mechanical stress. The derivation of these expressions is given in the SI. h_{d0} and t_{d0} are the thicknesses of the product-rich layer and time at which the system enters the diffusion-limited regime. $h_{d0} = h_{\text{md}0}$ and $t_{d0} = t_{\text{md}0}$ for the marginal/diffusion-limited crossover; $h_{d0} = h_{\text{rd}0}$ and $t_{d0} = t_{\text{rd}0}$ for the reaction-limited/diffusion-limited crossover.

Symbol	Regime	Expression	SI
$h_r(t)$	Reaction-limited	$h_{\text{mr}0} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\lambda_d e^{\frac{t_{\text{mr}0}}{\tau_p}} \left(e^{(1-\alpha)\frac{t-t_{\text{mr}0}}{\tau_p}} - 1 \right)$	S19

$h_d(t)$	Diffusion-limited	$\sqrt{h_{d0}^2 + \frac{2\beta\lambda_d^2(t - t_{d0})}{\tau_p}}$	S14,S22
$h_m(t)$	Marginal	$\alpha\lambda_d\left(e^{\frac{t}{\tau_p}} - 1\right)$	S11

Table 6 Thickness of the product-rich phase in the reaction-limited, diffusion-limited, and marginal regimes in the absence of the material flow driven by applied mechanical stress. The derivation of these expressions is given in the SI. h_{d0} and t_{d0} are the thicknesses of the product-rich layer and time at which the system enters the diffusion-limited regime.




Figure 4 Reaction rate vs time. The reaction rate $S(t)$ (rescaled by the scale S_p) (a) and thickness $h(t)$ (rescaled by the diffusion length λ_d) of the product-rich layer (b) are

shown as a function of time for $\sigma_{\perp}/\sigma_p = 0.0$ (black), 0.1 (magenta), and 1.0 (light green). The solid and broken lines denote marginal and diffusion-limited regimes, respectively. The results were obtained by numerically integrating eqs. (5) and (6) with $\alpha = 0.01$, $\beta = 10.0$, and $L_0 = 50.0$. The characteristic times at the marginal/diffusion-limited crossover are indicated by dotted lines.

Reaction rate with applied mechanical stress

For systems with very large size $L_0 \rightarrow \infty$, the mechanochemical reaction starts in the marginal regime and then undergoes a crossover to the diffusion-limited regime. In the absence of applied mechanical stress, the reaction rate decreases over time in the diffusion-limited regime (black line in Fig. 4a). With applied mechanical stress, the reaction rate decreases only slightly after the marginal/diffusion-limited crossover and then becomes constant (magenta and light green lines in Fig. 4a). Thus, the applied mechanical stress accelerates the mechanochemical reaction in the diffusion-limited regime. This acceleration arises because the stress-driven material flow decreases the thickness of the product-rich layer, thereby increasing the diffusive flux of reactant molecules through this layer.³² A new finding in our present study is that the characteristic time at marginal/diffusion-limited crossover increases with increasing applied mechanical stress (see black, magenta, and light-green lines in Fig. 4a).

Quantitatively, eq. (4) suggests that the stress-driven material flow by applied mechanical stress is not significant for the short time scales, when $h(t) \approx 0$. In this short time regime, eqs. (16) and (17) are effective. In the long time scales, the material flow becomes significant, and the increase in the thickness of the product-rich layer owing to the mechanochemical reaction is balanced by its decrease due to the material flow,

$$S(t) \approx v(t), \quad (19)$$

see eq. (5). The time at which this crossover between these two time regimes occurs is denoted as t_c .

In the marginal regime, the reaction rate continues to follow eq. (16), even for $t > t_c$, because the reaction rate depends only on the local reactant volume fractions determined by thermodynamic equilibrium conditions. In contrast, the thickness $h(t)$ of the product-rich layer for $t > t_c$ is expressed as follows

$$\frac{h_m(t)}{\lambda_d} = \left(\frac{\alpha \sigma_p}{\sigma_{\perp}} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} e^{\frac{t}{3\tau_p}}, \quad (20)$$

Eq. (20) shows that the thickness $h(t)$ decreases with increasing applied mechanical stress and grows much more slowly than in the absence of material flow (see Table 6). At a first glance, one may think that the contribution of material flow to the thickness $h(t)$ may not seem important in the marginal regime because it does not affect the reaction rate (eq. (16)). However, the material flow affects the characteristic time at the crossover between marginal and diffusion-limited regimes because the reaction rate is continuous at the crossover, while the reaction rate depends on the thickness of the product-rich layer in the diffusion-limited regime.

In the diffusion-limited regime, the reaction rate is constant for $t > t_c$ and is derived as

$$S_d(t) = D_B \frac{\psi_{B1}^d}{\lambda_d} \left(\frac{\sigma_{\perp}}{\beta \sigma_p} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}, \quad (21)$$

by solving eq. (17) with eqs. (4) and (9) (broken magenta and light-green lines in Fig. 4a). Thus, the reaction rate increases with increasing applied mechanical stress. The acceleration of the diffusion-limited reaction by applied mechanical stress results from the fact that the thickness $h(t)$ of the product-rich layer decreases with increasing stress,

$$\frac{h(t)}{\lambda_d} = \left(\frac{\beta \sigma_p}{\sigma_{\perp}} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}, \quad (22)$$

(broken magenta and light green lines in Fig. 4b).³²

When the time t_{md} at the marginal/diffusion-limited crossover is shorter than the characteristic time t_c , the stress-driven material flow is not significant at t_{md} . In this case, the crossover time is given by eq. (18), $t_{md} = t_{md0}$ (Fig. 5a). When $t_{md} > t_c$, the crossover time t_{md} is expressed as

$$\frac{t_{md}}{\tau_p} = \log \left(\frac{\beta^{3/4} \sigma_{\perp}^{1/4}}{\alpha \sigma_p^{1/4}} \right), \quad (23)$$

which is derived from the continuity of the reaction rate and thickness of the product-rich

layer at t_{md} (see eqs. (20) and (22)). Thus, the characteristic time t_{md} is independent of the applied mechanical stress for small stress and increases with increasing the stress magnitude for large stress (Fig. 5a). The characteristic stress σ_c at the crossover has the asymptotic form σ_p/β for $\beta/\alpha^2 \gg 1$, when dissolution of reactant A in reactant B-rich solid is smaller than that in the product-rich layer, and, otherwise, $\alpha^4\sigma_p/\beta^3$ for $\beta/\alpha^2 \ll 1$, see the discussion below eq. (22). The maximum reaction rate $S(t_{\text{md}})$ is independent of the applied mechanical stress for $\sigma_{\perp} < \sigma_c$, but exhibits a power law dependence on the applied mechanical stress with exponent 1/4 for $\sigma_{\perp} > \sigma_c$ (Fig. 5b). These scaling relationships may be experimentally accessible.




Figure 5 Marginal/diffusion-limited crossover time vs. applied mechanical stress. Characteristic time t_{md} (rescaled by the time scale τ_p) (a) and reaction rate $S(t_{\text{md}})$ (rescaled by the scale S_p) (b) at the marginal/diffusion-limited crossover as a function of

applied mechanical stress. Results were obtained using eqs. (18) and (23) for $\alpha = 0.01$ and $\beta = 10.0$. Slopes of 1/4 are indicated using short line segments.

Trajectories of regimes with applied mechanical stress

In the absence of applied mechanical stress, the thickness $h(t)$ of the product-rich layer increases over time while maintaining a constant system size, $L(t) = L_0$. For $L_0 > L_2^*$, the mechanochemical reaction starts in the marginal regime and then shows crossover to the diffusion-limited regime. Under applied mechanical stress, the stress-driven material flow decreases both the thickness $h(t)$ of the product-rich layer and system size $L(t)$, because material from the product-rich layer flows out of the system (Fig. 6a). Interestingly, under very large applied mechanical stress, the mechanochemical reaction completes before the system reaches the diffusion-limited regime, even for $L_0 > L_2^*$ (magenta arrow in Fig. 6a), although the thickness h_{md} of the product-rich layer decreases with increasing applied mechanical stress (broken lines in Fig. 6a). In this case, the system instead shows crossover to the reaction-limited regime. The rate-limiting processes of mechanochemical reactions therefore depend not only on applied mechanical stress as well as on the diffusion length λ_d and dissolution of reactant molecules in the product-rich layer.




Figure 6 Reaction trajectories vs. applied mechanical stress. Trajectories (a) and reaction rates $S(t)$ (b) of mechanochemical reactions are shown for $\sigma_{\perp}/\sigma_p = 0.0$ (black), 0.1 (cyan), 0.3 (light green), and 1.0 (magenta) as a function of the product-rich layer thickness $h(t)$ and the system size $L(t)$. The results were obtained by numerically integrating eqs. (5) and (6) for $\alpha = 0.01$, $\beta = 10.0$, and $L_0/\lambda_d = 5.0$. The dotted lines correspond to crossover boundaries separating marginal, diffusion-limited, and reaction-limited regimes (see also Fig. 3).

In the marginal and reaction-limited regimes, the reaction rate is independent of the stress-driven material flow. The fact that the system shows crossover to the reaction-limited regime rather than the diffusion-limited regime under large mechanical stress implies that the acceleration of the reaction by mechanical stress has limitation. The

analysis of the reaction trajectories, involving five regimes, is summarized in the SI. For $L_0 > L_2^*$ and $\alpha < \beta^{1/2}$, the trajectory analysis predicts that the crossover switches at a threshold applied mechanical stress,

$$\sigma_{\text{th}} = \frac{(L_0 - \alpha\lambda_d)^4}{\beta^3\lambda_d^4} \sigma_p, \quad (24)$$

see also eq. S53 in SI. The threshold stress is sensitive to the initial size L_0 of the reactant particles. The crossover may be particularly relevant for systems with small reactant particles and the switch of the crossovers thus may be relevant for cases in which these particles are relatively small.

Discussion

We have developed a scaling theory to study the rate-limiting processes of mechanochemical reactions. These processes depend on the thicknesses of the product-rich layer $h(t)$ and system size $L(t)$, both of which change during the reaction. Our theory predicts that the rate-limiting processes are determined not only by the diffusion length λ_d , but also by the parameters, α and β , involved in the dissolution of reactant molecules in the product-rich layer and applied mechanical stress $\sigma_{\perp}$. The trajectories of the system depend on the initial size of the reactant particles and magnitude of the applied mechanical stress. Stress-driven material flow accelerates the diffusion of reactant molecules in the product-rich layer. Thus, the characteristic time at the marginal/diffusion-limited crossover increases with increasing applied mechanical stress. Under sufficiently large stress, it switches to the marginal/reaction-limited crossover. Our previous theory was limited to relatively small applied mechanical stresses and large timescales, where the mechanochemical reaction is diffusion-limited.³² The present theory extends this framework to a wider range of applied mechanical stresses and time by explicitly considering the crossover between reaction regimes.




Figure 7 Conversion ratio vs. time. The conversion ratios $\Gamma(t)/L_0$ (rescaled by the product volume fraction $1 - \psi_{A1}^r - \psi_{B1}^r \approx 1$ in the product-rich phase) are shown as a function of rescaled time t/τ_p for $\sigma_{\perp}/\sigma_p = 0.0$ (black), 0.1 (magenta), 1.0 (light green) with $\alpha = 0.01$, $\beta = 10.0$, and $L_0/\lambda_d = 10.0$. The rescaled conversion ratio $\Gamma(t)/L_0$ is derived by integrating the rescaled reaction rate $S(t)\tau_p/(\lambda_p(1 - \psi_{A1}^r - \psi_{B1}^r))$ (shown in the inset) with respect to the rescaled time t/τ_p and then by dividing it with the rescaled system thickness L_0/λ_d .

Our theory produces a couple of experimentally accessible predictions: First, the mechanochemical reaction accelerates over time in the short-time scales (marginal regime) and then approached to constant rate in the long-time scales (diffusion-limited regime) when the particle size L_0 is larger than the diffusion length λ_d . Second, the reaction rate increases with increasing the magnitude of applied mechanical stress mainly in the long-time scales (see Figs. 4 and 7). These predictions are, at least qualitatively, consistent with the features of the reaction kinetics measured experimentally.^{36,37} Our theory further predicts that 1. the dependence of the reaction rate in the long-time scales on the magnitude of the applied mechanical stress is the power law of exponent $1/4$ (see also Fig. 5) and 2. this acceleration of the mechanochemical reaction saturates when the applied mechanical stress is very large (see also Fig. 6). These experiments may be accessible by quantitative measurement of reaction kinetics over a wide range of applied mechanical stress.

Our scaling theory predicts the thickness $h(\partial)$ of the product-rich layer and reaction rate $S(\partial)$ as functions of time up to the numerical constant of order unity by neglecting the

higher order terms. The numerical simulation of eqs. (2) and (3) by including the convection is another approach to predict the kinetics of mechanochemical reaction. The latter approach takes into account both reaction and diffusion and thus can predict the reaction rate more precisely and seamlessly. The scaling theory is useful to understand the physics involved in the kinetics of mechanochemical reactions and to predict the dependence of the reaction rate on parameters involved in the dissolution and rheology.

The main assumption of the present theory is that a small volume of added solvent acts as a plasticizer for the product-rich layer. In our scaling theory, the rheological property of the product-rich phase is represented by its viscosity η_{AB} (Eq. (4)). The extent of softening depends on the compounds and volume of the added solvent, in contrast to the hot-spot theory^{38–41}, which predicts that mechanical stresses locally increase the temperature for a short period of time. The present theory predicts that even a solvent that selectively dissolves the product molecules, but not the reactant molecules, enhances reactivity. Experimentally measuring the reactivity and rheology by changing solvent and its volume can provide further insights into the mechanisms of solvent-mediated reactivity enhancement in mechanochemical systems.

The present theory is limited to the analysis at the interfacial length scales. The macroscopic dynamics of the reactant particles and milling balls during ball-milling break and mix the solid particles, making the applied mechanical stress time-dependent. From the viewpoint of interfacial length scales, the mechanical agitation breaks the reactant particles to create new surfaces (and also changes the system size $L(t)$) and exchange the pairs of particles forming the interfaces. Among these effects, the creation of new surfaces by particle breakage can be a rate-limiting process for binary reactions. Our present theory assumes that reactant particles are rigid bodies and the mechanism of reaction acceleration predicted by this theory differs from mechanisms involving the creation of new interfaces, which is usually considered as the mechanism of mechanical mixing. Interestingly, pre-milling of reactant particles does not change the kinetics of mechanochemical reactions in some experiments.^{36,42} The present theory is best tested by such experiments.

The present theory integrates the microscopic dynamics of atoms during chemical reaction to our mesoscopic approach, focusing on the dynamics of molecules at

interfaces via the kinetic constant k , but does not address how the kinetic constant k depends on applied mechanical stress. At first glance, applied mechanical stress might be expected to potentially decrease the activation barrier through the work done by the displacement of atoms. However, in general, these effects are thought to be weak for reactions between small molecules. Nevertheless, applied mechanical stress can potentially change the statistical weight of reactant conformers, which may be significant in certain reactions.

Conclusion

The theory predicts that mechanochemical reactions exhibit rich crossovers of rate-limiting processes, in contrast to conventional solution-phase reactions. The reaction rate constant k , which can be estimated by quantum chemical calculations, is integrated into the mesoscopic molecular behavior at interfaces, thus marking the first step toward bridging molecular and mesoscopic theories. Our present theory is limited to cases in which 1. the reaction is of type $A + B \rightarrow AB$, 2. the reactant solids A and B do not form eutectic, 3. the reactant solids act as rigid bodies and the product-rich phase acts as Newtonian fluid, and 4. the system is isothermal. However, this theory takes into account dissolution, diffusion, rheology, and chemical reaction and thus can be extended to the cases of different reaction type^{11,42}, miscibility⁴³, mechanical properties, and ensemble³⁶. We believe that critical experimental tests on our present theory will advance our understanding of the kinetics of mechanochemical reaction by ball-milling.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 24H00453 (K.K.), 24H01832 (K.K.), 24H01050 (K.K.), 22K18333 (H.I.), 22H00318 (H.I.), by JST CREST Grant Number JPMJCR19R1 (H.I.), and by JST FORREST Grant Number JPMJFR201I (K.K.) and JPMJFR2221 (Y.H.). The Institute for Chemical Reaction Design and Discovery (ICReDD), which has been established by the World Premier International Research Initiative (WPI), MEXT, Japan. T. Y. is grateful for Masao Doi, Yuichi Masubuchi, and Shunto Arai for a fruitful discussion.

References

1. James S L, Adams C J, Bolm C, Braga D, Collier P, Friščić T, Grepioni F, Harris K D

- 544 M, Hyett G, Jones W, Krebs A, Mack J, Maini L, Orpen A G, Parkin I P, Shearouse W
545 C, Steed J W and Waddell D C 2012 Chem. Soc. Rev. 41 413
- 546 2. Do J L and Friščić T 2017 ACS Cent. Sci. 3 13
- 547 3. Hernández J G and Bolm C 2017 J. Org. Chem. 82 4007
- 548 4. Tan D and Garcia F 2019 Chem. Soc. Rev. 48 2274
- 549 5. Kubota K and Ito H 2020 Trends in Chem. 2 1066
- 550 6. Kubota K 2023 Bull. Chem Soc. Jpn. 96 913
- 551 7. Speight I R, Ardila-Fierro K J, Hernández J G, Emmerling F, Michalchuk A A L, García
552 F, Colacino E and Mack J 2025 Nat. Rev. Methods Primers 5 29
- 553 8. Seo T, Toyoshima N, Kubota K and Ito H 2021 J. Am. Chem. Soc. 143 6165
- 554 9. Do J L, Mottillo C, Tan D, Štrukil V and Friščić T 2015 J. Am. Chem. Soc. 137 2476
- 555 10. Zholdassov Y S, Kwok R W, Shlain M A, Patel M, Marianski M and Braunschweig A
556 B 2024 RSC Mechanochem. 1 11
- 557 11. Vugrin L, Carta M, Lukin S, Meštrović E, Delogu F and Halasz I 2023 Faraday
558 Discuss. 241 217
- 559 12. Urakaev F Kh and Boldyrev V V 2000 Powder Technology 107 93
- 560 13. Polo A, Carta M, Delogu F, Rustici M and Budroni M A 2022 Front. Chem. 10 915217
- 561 14. Nunez S G, Schott D L and Padding J T 2025 Powder Technology 457 120919
- 562 15. Carta M, Delogu F and Porcheddu A 2021 Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 23 14178
- 563 16. Li J, Nagamani C and Moore J S 2015 Acc. Chem. Res. 48 2181
- 564 17. Wiggins K M, Brantley J N and Bielawski C W 2012 ACS Macro. Lett. 1 623
- 565 18. Mu Q and Hu J 2024 Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 26 679
- 566 19. Kubota K, Toyoshima N, Miura D, Jiang J, Maeda S, Jin M and Ito H 2021 Angew.

- 567 Chem. Int. Ed. 60 16003
- 568 20. Kubota K, Jiang J, Kamakura Y, Hisazumi R, Endo T, Miura D, Kubo S, Maeda S and
569 Ito H 2024 J. Am. Chem. Soc. 146 1062
- 570 21. Jiang J, Kubota K, Harabuchi Y, Jin M, Wang Z J, Nakajima T, Ito H, Gong J P and
571 Maeda S 2025 J. Am. Chem. Soc. 36 32502
- 572 22. Beyer M K 2000 J. Chem. Phys. 112 7307
- 573 23. Klen I M, Husic C C, Kovács D P, Choquette N J and Robb M J 2020 J. Am. Chem.
574 Soc. 142 16364
- 575 24. Ribas-Arino J, Shiga M and Marx D 2009 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 48 4190
- 576 25. Zholdassov Y S, Yuan L, Garcia S R, Kwok R W, Boscoboinik A, Valles D J, Marianski
577 M, Martini A, Carpick R W and Braunschweig A B 2023 Science 380 1053
- 578 26. Yan H, Yang F, Pan D, Lin Y, Hohman J N, Solis-Ibarra D, Li F H, Dahl J E P, Carlson
579 R M K, Tkachenko B A, Fokin A A, Schreiner P R, Galli G, Mao W L, Shen Z X and
580 Melosh N A 2018 Nature 554 505
- 581 27. Wang Y, Dong X, Tang X, Zheng H, Li K, Lin X, Fang L, Sun G, Chen X, Xie L, Bull
582 C L, Funnell N P, Hattori T, Sano-Furukawa A, Chen J, Hensley D K, Cody G D, Ren
583 Y, Lee H H and Mao H 2019 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 58 1468.
- 584 28. Ferguson M, Moyano M S, Tribello G A, Crawford D E, Bringa E M, James S L,
585 Kohanoff J and Pópolo M G D 2019 Chem. Sci. 10 2924
- 586 29. Ferguson M and Frišćić T 2024 Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 26 9940
- 587 30. Yamamoto T, Kubota K and Ito H 2024 J. Soc. Rheol. Jpn. 52 161
- 588 31. Kubota K, Seo T, Koide K, Hasegawa Y and Ito H 2019 Nat. Commun. 10 111
- 589 32. Yamamoto T, Kubota K, Harabuchi Y and Ito H 2025 RSC Mechanochemistry 2 230
- 590 33. Atkins P, de Paula J and Keeler J 2023 Physical Chemistry (12th edn.) (Oxford: Oxford
591 Univ. Press)

- 592 34. Doi M 2013 Soft Matter Physics (Oxford, Oxford University Press)
- 593 35. Safran S A 2003 Statistical Thermodynamics of Surfaces, Interfaces, and
594 Membranes (Boulder: Westview Press)
- 595 36. Hutchings B P, Crawford D E, Gao L, Hu P and James S L 2017 Angew. Chem. Int.
596 Ed. 56 15252
- 597 37. Julien P A, Malvestiti I and Friščić T 2017 Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 13 2160
- 598 38. Bowden F P and Yoffe A 1952 Initiation and Growth of Explosion in Liquids and Solids
599 (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- 600 39. Bowden F P and Yoffe A 1958 Fast Reactions in Solids (London: Butterworths)
- 601 40. Bowden F P and Tabor D 1958 The Friction and Lubrication of Solids (Oxford:
602 Clarendon Press)
- 603 41. Delogu F and Cocco G 2008 J. Alloys Compd. 465 540
- 604 42. Ardila-Fierro K J, Lukin S, Etter M, Užarević K, Halasz O, Bolm C and Hernández J
605 G 2020 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 59 13458