



Title	Singularities of Dual Varieties in Characteristic 3
Author(s)	Shimada, Ichiro
Citation	Geometriae Dedicata, 120(1), 141-177 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/s10711-006-9074-z">https://doi.org/10.1007/s10711-006-9074-z</a>
Issue Date	2006-08-11
Doc URL	<a href="https://hdl.handle.net/2115/14688">https://hdl.handle.net/2115/14688</a>
Rights	The original publication is available at <a href="http://www.springerlink.com">www.springerlink.com</a>
Type	journal article
File Information	GD120-1.pdf



# SINGULARITIES OF DUAL VARIETIES IN CHARACTERISTIC 3

ICHIRO SHIMADA

ABSTRACT. We investigate singularities of a general plane section of the dual variety of a smooth projective variety, or more generally, the discriminant variety associated with a linear system of divisors on a smooth projective variety. We show that, in characteristic 3, singular points of  $E_6$ -type take the place of ordinary cusps in characteristic 0.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We work over an algebraically closed field  $k$ .

Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety of dimension  $n > 0$ , and let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a line bundle on  $X$ . We consider the  $m$ -dimensional linear system  $|M|$  of divisors on  $X$  corresponding to a linear subspace  $M$  of  $H^0(X, \mathcal{L})$  with dimension  $m + 1 > 1$ . The *discriminant variety* of  $|M|$  is the locus of all points  $t \in \mathbb{P}_*(M)$  such that the corresponding divisor  $D_t \in |M|$  is singular ([2, Section 2]). When the linear system  $|M|$  embeds  $X$  into a projective space  $\mathbb{P}^m$ , then the parameter space  $\mathbb{P}_*(M)$  of the linear system  $|M|$  is identified with the dual projective space  $(\mathbb{P}^m)^\vee$  of  $\mathbb{P}^m$ , and the discriminant variety of  $|M|$  is called the *dual variety* of  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^m$ .

Since the paper of Wallace [24], it has been noticed that the geometry of dual varieties in positive characteristics is quite different from that in characteristic 0. For example, the reflexivity property does not hold in general in positive characteristics. See [17] and [8] for the definition and detailed accounts of the reflexivity. Many papers have been written about this failure of the reflexivity property in positive characteristics. For example, see [6, 7, 9, 12, 11, 13, 19].

However, if the linear system  $|M|$  is sufficiently ample, then the peculiarity about the reflexivity in positive characteristics vanishes except for the case when  $\text{char } k$  is 2 and  $\dim X$  is odd. Namely we have the following theorem ([14, Théorème 2.5], [8, Theorem (5.4)]):

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose that  $\text{char } k \neq 2$  or  $\dim X$  is even. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a very ample line bundle of  $X$ , and let  $X$  be embedded in  $\mathbb{P}^m$  by the complete linear system  $|\mathcal{A}^{\otimes d}|$  with  $d \geq 2$ . Then the dual variety of  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^m$  is a hypersurface of  $(\mathbb{P}^m)^\vee$ , and  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^m$  is reflexive.*

In this paper, we show that the singularity of the dual variety has a peculiar feature in characteristic 3 that does not vanish however ample the linear system may be.

We assume that  $|M|$  is sufficiently ample. By cutting the dual variety by a general plane in  $\mathbb{P}_*(M) = (\mathbb{P}^m)^\vee$ , we obtain a singular plane curve. If  $\text{char } k > 3$

or char  $k = 0$ , the plane curve has only ordinary cusps as its unbranched singular points. We show that, if char  $k = 3$ , the plane curve has  $E_6$ -singular points as its unbranched singular points.

In fact, we prove our results in the more general setting of discriminant varieties associated with (not necessarily very ample) linear systems. Here in Introduction, however, we state our results in the case of dual varieties.

We assume that the base field  $k$  is of characteristic  $\neq 2$ . Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^m$  be a smooth projective variety of dimension  $n > 0$ . We assume that  $X$  is not contained in any hyperplane of  $\mathbb{P}^m$ , so that the dual projective space

$$\mathbf{P} := (\mathbb{P}^m)^\vee$$

of  $\mathbb{P}^m$  is regarded as the parameter space  $\mathbb{P}_*(M)$  of the linear system  $|M|$  of hyperplane sections on  $X$ , where  $M$  is a linear subspace of  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1))$ . We use the same letter to denote a point  $H \in \mathbf{P}$  and the corresponding hyperplane  $H \subset \mathbb{P}^m$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{D} \subset X \times \mathbf{P}$  the universal family of hyperplane sections. The support of  $\mathcal{D}$  is equal to the closed subset

$$\{ (p, H) \in X \times \mathbf{P} \mid p \in H \}$$

of  $X \times \mathbf{P}$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{D}$  is smooth of dimension  $n + m - 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the critical locus of the second projection  $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$  with the canonical scheme structure (Definition 2.15). Then  $\mathcal{C}$  is smooth, irreducible and of dimension  $m - 1$ . In fact, if  $\mathcal{N}$  is the conormal sheaf of  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^m$ , then  $\mathcal{C}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^*(\mathcal{N})$  ([14, Remarque 3.1.5]). The support of  $\mathcal{C}$  is equal to the set

$$\{ (p, H) \in \mathcal{D} \mid \text{the divisor } H \cap X \text{ of } X \text{ is singular at } p \}.$$

The image of  $\mathcal{C}$  by the projection to  $\mathbf{P}$  is called the *dual variety* of  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^m$ , or the *discriminant variety* of the linear system  $|M|$  on  $X$ .

We will study the singularity of the dual variety by investigating the critical locus  $\mathcal{E}$  of the second projection  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$ . The codimension of  $\mathcal{E}$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $\leq 1$ . If the codimension is 0, then either the dual variety is not a hypersurface of  $\mathbf{P}$ , or  $\mathcal{C}$  is inseparable over the dual variety. By [14, Proposition 3.3] or Proposition 3.14 of this paper, the complement  $\mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{E}$  is set-theoretically equal to

$$\{ (p, H) \in \mathcal{C} \mid \text{the Hessian of the singularity of } H \cap X \text{ at } p \text{ is non-degenerate} \}.$$

We equip the critical locus  $\mathcal{E}$  with the canonical scheme structure by Definition 2.15, and put

$$\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}} := \{ (p, H) \in \mathcal{E} \mid \mathcal{E} \text{ is smooth of dimension } m - 2 \text{ at } (p, H) \},$$

which is a Zariski open (possibly empty) subset of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Note that, if  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}}$  is non-empty, then  $\mathcal{E}$  is of codimension 1 in  $\mathcal{C}$ , and hence the dual variety is a hypersurface in  $\mathbf{P}$ . Moreover, if  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}}$  is non-empty, then the generalized Monge-Segre-Wallace criterion ([16, Theorem (4.4)] or [17, Theorem (4)]) implies that  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^m$  is reflexive.

We put

$$\mathcal{E}^{A_2} := \{ (p, H) \in \mathcal{E} \mid \text{the singularity of } H \cap X \text{ at } p \text{ is of type } A_2 \}.$$

See Definition 2.13 for the definition of the hypersurface singularity of type  $A_2$ .

We will show that  $\mathcal{E}$  is irreducible and the loci  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}}$  and  $\mathcal{E}^{A_2}$  are dense in  $\mathcal{E}$  if  $|M|$  is sufficiently ample (Proposition 4.9).

Let  $P = (p, H)$  be a closed point of  $\mathcal{E}$ , and let  $\Lambda \subset \mathbf{P}$  be a general plane passing through  $H \in \mathbf{P}$ . We denote by  $C_\Lambda$  the pull-back of  $\Lambda$  by the projection  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$ . Our main goal is to investigate the singularity of the morphism  $C_\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$  at  $P \in C_\Lambda$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *Suppose that  $\text{char } k > 3$  or  $\text{char } k = 0$ . Then the following two conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $P \in \mathcal{E}^{A_2}$ ,
- (ii)  $P \in \mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}}$ , and the projection  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$  induces a surjective homomorphism

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}, H})^\wedge \twoheadrightarrow (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}, P})^\wedge$$

on the completions of the local rings.

Moreover, if these conditions are satisfied, then  $C_\Lambda$  is smooth of dimension 1 at  $P$ , and the morphism  $C_\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$  has a critical point of  $A_2$ -type at  $P$  (Definition 2.1).

This result seems to be classically known. See Proposition 4.4 and Theorem 5.2 (1) of this paper for the proof.

Now we assume that  $k$  is of characteristic 3. Then  $P \in \mathcal{E}^{A_2}$  does not necessarily imply  $P \in \mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}}$ . Our main results are as follows.

- (I) The projection  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$  factors as

$$\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}} \xrightarrow{q} (\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}})^{\mathcal{K}} \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathbf{P},$$

where  $q : \mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}})^{\mathcal{K}}$  is the quotient morphism by an integrable subbundle  $\mathcal{K}$  of the tangent vector bundle  $T(\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}})$  of  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}}$  with rank 1 (Definition 2.18). In particular,  $q$  is a purely inseparable finite morphism of degree 3.

- (II) Suppose that  $P = (p, H)$  is a point of  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}} \cap \mathcal{E}^{A_2}$ . Then the morphism  $\tau : (\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}})^{\mathcal{K}} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$  induces a surjective homomorphism

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}, H})^\wedge \twoheadrightarrow (\mathcal{O}_{(\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}})^{\mathcal{K}}, q(P)})^\wedge.$$

Moreover, the scheme  $C_\Lambda$  is smooth of dimension 1 at  $P$ , and the morphism  $C_\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$  has a critical point of  $E_6$ -type at  $P$  (Definition 2.3).

In the case where  $(n, m) = (1, 2)$ , the locus  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}}$  is always empty. In this case, we have the following result. Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  be a smooth projective plane curve. The first projection  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow X$  is then an isomorphism with the inverse morphism given by  $p \mapsto (p, T_p(X))$ , where  $T_p(X) \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  is the tangent line to  $X$  at  $p$ . The projection  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P} = (\mathbb{P}^2)^\vee$  is therefore identified with the Gauss map

$$\gamma_X : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$$

that maps  $p \in X$  to  $T_p(X) \in \mathbf{P}$ . The image of  $\gamma_X$  is the dual curve  $X^\vee$  of  $X$ . A point  $P = (p, T_p(X))$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  is a point of  $\mathcal{E}$  if and only if  $T_p(X)$  is a flex tangent line to  $X$  at  $p$ , and  $P$  is a point of  $\mathcal{E}^{A_2}$  if and only if  $T_p(X)$  is an ordinary flex tangent line to  $X$  at  $p$ .

- (III) Suppose that  $\gamma_X$  induces a separable morphism from  $X$  to  $X^\vee$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}$  is of dimension 0. Let  $P = (p, T_p(X))$  be a point of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Then the length of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}, P}$  is divisible by 3. Suppose that  $p$  is an ordinary flex point of  $X$ . Then  $\gamma_X$  is formally isomorphic at  $p$  to the morphism

$$T_l : t \mapsto (u, v) = (t^{3l+1}, t^3 + t^{3l+2})$$

from  $\text{Spec } k[[t]]$  to  $\text{Spec } k[[u, v]]$ , where  $l := \text{length } \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}, P}/3$ . Hence the singular point  $T_p(X)$  of  $X^\vee$  is formally isomorphic to the plane curve singularity defined by

$$x^{3l+1} + y^3 + x^{2l}y^2 = 0.$$

Suppose that all flex points of  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  are ordinary. Let  $t_l$  be the number of critical points of  $T_l$ -type in the morphism  $\gamma_X$ . Then we have

$$(1.1) \quad \sum t_l = d - 2 + 2g,$$

where  $d$  is the degree of  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  and  $g$  is the genus of  $X$ .

*Remark 1.3.* The critical point of  $T_1$ -type is a critical point of  $E_6$ -type.

*Remark 1.4.* By the Monge-Segre-Wallace criterion, the condition that  $X$  be separable over  $X^\vee$  by  $\gamma_X$  is equivalent to the condition that the plane curve  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  is reflexive. See [7, 9, 11, 19] for the properties of non-reflexive curves.

*Remark 1.5.* If  $\text{char } k > 3$  or  $\text{char } k = 0$ , and if the dual curve  $X^\vee$  has only ordinary nodes and ordinary cusps as its singularities, then the number of the ordinary cusps is equal to  $3(d - 2 + 2g)$ .

The simplest example of the result (III) is as follows. Let  $E \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  be a smooth cubic curve. We fix a flex point  $O \in E$ , and regard  $E$  as an elliptic curve with the origin  $O$ . Since  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$ , the dual curve  $E^\vee$  is of degree 6, and the Gauss map  $\gamma_E$  induces a birational morphism from  $E$  to  $E^\vee$ . The singular points of  $E^\vee$  are in one-to-one correspondence with the flex points of  $E$  via  $\gamma_E$ . On the other hand, the flex points of  $E$  are in one-to-one correspondence with the 3-torsion subgroup  $E[3]$  of the elliptic curve  $E$ . We have

$$E[3] \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } \text{char}(k) \neq 3, \\ \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } \text{char}(k) = 3 \text{ and } E \text{ is not supersingular,} \\ 0 & \text{if } \text{char}(k) = 3 \text{ and } E \text{ is supersingular.} \end{cases}$$

Then the critical locus of  $\gamma_E : E \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$  consists of

$$\begin{cases} 9 \text{ points of } A_2\text{-type} & \text{if } \text{char}(k) \neq 3, \\ 3 \text{ points of } E_6\text{-type} & \text{if } \text{char}(k) = 3 \text{ and } E \text{ is not supersingular,} \\ 1 \text{ point of } T_3\text{-type} & \text{if } \text{char}(k) = 3 \text{ and } E \text{ is supersingular.} \end{cases}$$

The plan of this paper is as follows. In §2, we fix some notions and notation. In §3, we define the schemes  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  in the setting of discriminant varieties, and study their properties. The results in this section are valid in any characteristics including the case where  $\text{char } k = 2$ . In §4, we assume that  $\text{char } k \neq 2$ , and study the scheme  $\mathcal{E}$  more closely. Then we show that, in characteristic 3, the projection from  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}}$  to  $\mathbf{P}$  factors through the quotient morphism by an integrable tangent vector bundle of rank 1 (Theorem 4.5). In §5, we prove a normal form theorem (Theorem 5.2) on the critical points of the morphism  $C_\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$  under the assumption that  $\text{char } k \neq 2$ , and prove the result (II) above. In §6, we treat the case where  $\text{char } k = 3$  and  $(n, m) = (1, 2)$ , and prove the result (III) above, except for the formula (1.1). In §7, we calculate the degree of  $\mathcal{E}$  with respect to  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$ , count the number of the unbranched singular points on  $C_\Lambda$ , and prove (1.1).

In the paper [22], we will study the singularity of discriminant varieties in characteristic 2 in the case where  $\dim X$  is even.

The author would like to thank Professor Hajime Kaji for many valuable comments and suggestions.

**Notation and Terminology.**

- (1) Throughout this paper, we work over an algebraically closed field  $k$ . A *variety* is a reduced irreducible quasi-projective scheme over  $k$ . A *point* means a closed point unless otherwise stated.
- (2) Let  $X$  be a variety, and  $P$  a point of  $X$ . We denote by  $T_P(X)$  the Zariski tangent space to  $X$  at  $P$ . When  $X$  is smooth, we denote by  $T(X)$  the tangent bundle of  $X$ .
- (3) Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism from a smooth variety  $X$  to a smooth variety  $Y$ , and let  $P$  be a point of  $X$ . Then  $f$  is said to be a *closed immersion formally at  $P$*  if the differential homomorphism  $d_P f : T_P(X) \rightarrow T_{f(P)}(Y)$  of  $f$  at  $P$  is injective, or equivalently, the induced homomorphism  $(\mathcal{O}_{Y,f(P)})^\wedge \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_{X,P})^\wedge$  from the formal completion  $(\mathcal{O}_{Y,f(P)})^\wedge$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{Y,f(P)}$  to the formal completion  $(\mathcal{O}_{X,P})^\wedge$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{X,P}$  is surjective.

2. DEFINITIONS

**2.1. Curve singularities.** Let  $\varphi : C \rightarrow S$  be a morphism from a smooth curve  $C$  to a smooth surface  $S$ . Let  $P$  be a point of  $C$ ,  $t$  a formal parameter of  $(\mathcal{O}_{C,P})^\wedge$ , and  $(u, v)$  a formal parameter system of  $(\mathcal{O}_{S,\varphi(P)})^\wedge$ . We have a local homomorphism

$$\varphi^* : (\mathcal{O}_{S,\varphi(P)})^\wedge = k[[u, v]] \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_{C,P})^\wedge = k[[t]].$$

**Definition 2.1.** We say that  $\varphi$  has a *critical point of  $A_2$ -type* at  $P$  if

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^* u &= at^2 + bt^3 + (\text{terms of degree } \geq 4) \quad \text{and} \\ \varphi^* v &= ct^2 + dt^3 + (\text{terms of degree } \geq 4) \end{aligned}$$

with  $ad - bc \neq 0$  hold.

*Remark 2.2.* If  $\varphi$  has a critical point of  $A_2$ -type at  $P$ , then it is possible to choose  $t$  and  $(u, v)$  in such a way that

$$\varphi^* u = t^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi^* v = t^3.$$

The image of the germ  $(C, P)$  by  $\varphi$  is then defined by  $u^3 - v^2 = 0$ . This holds even when  $\text{char } k$  is 2.

**Definition 2.3.** We say that  $\varphi$  has a *critical point of  $E_6$ -type* at  $P$  if

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^* u &= at^3 + bt^4 + (\text{terms of degree } \geq 5) \quad \text{and} \\ \varphi^* v &= ct^3 + dt^4 + (\text{terms of degree } \geq 5) \end{aligned}$$

with  $ad - bc \neq 0$  hold.

*Remark 2.4.* Suppose that  $\varphi$  has a critical point of  $E_6$ -type at  $P$ . If  $\text{char } k$  is not 2 nor 3, then, under suitable choice of  $t$  and  $(u, v)$ , we have

$$\varphi^* u = t^3 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi^* v = t^4,$$

and the image of the germ  $(C, P)$  is given by  $u^4 - v^3 = 0$ . If  $\text{char } k = 3$ , then, under suitable choice of  $t$  and  $(u, v)$ , we have either

$$(\varphi^*u = t^3, \varphi^*v = t^4) \quad \text{or} \quad (\varphi^*u = t^3 + t^5, \varphi^*v = t^4).$$

In the former case, the image of the germ  $(C, P)$  is given by  $u^4 - v^3 = 0$ , while in the latter case, the image is formally isomorphic to the germ of a plane curve singularity defined by

$$x^4 + y^3 + x^2y^2 = 0.$$

In the notation of Artin [1] and Greuel-Kröning [4], they are denoted by  $E_6^0$  and  $E_6^1$ , respectively. See Remark 2.7 and Propositions 6.2 and 6.3.

From now until the end of this subsection, we assume that  $\text{char } k = 3$ . For  $F \in (\mathcal{O}_{S, \varphi(P)})^\wedge$ , we denote by  $F_{[t, \nu]}$  the coefficient of  $t^\nu$  in the formal power series  $\varphi^*F$  of  $t$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $l$  be a positive integer. We say that  $\varphi$  has a *critical point of  $T_l$ -type* at  $P$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{l} u_{[t, \nu]} \neq 0 \\ v_{[t, \nu]} \neq 0 \end{array} \implies \begin{array}{l} \nu > 3l \text{ or } 3|\nu, \\ \nu > 3l \text{ or } 3|\nu, \end{array} \quad \text{and}$$

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{vmatrix} u_{[t, 3]} & u_{[t, 3l+1]} \\ v_{[t, 3]} & v_{[t, 3l+1]} \end{vmatrix} \neq 0, \quad \begin{vmatrix} u_{[t, 3l+1]} & u_{[t, 3l+2]} \\ v_{[t, 3l+1]} & v_{[t, 3l+2]} \end{vmatrix} \neq 0.$$

*Remark 2.6.* Note that the conditions (2.1) and (2.2) do not depend on the choice of the formal parameters  $t$  and  $(u, v)$ . Indeed, suppose that  $(u, v)$  satisfies (2.1). If

$$u' = \sum \alpha_{ij} u^i v^j \quad \text{and} \quad v' = \sum \beta_{ij} u^i v^j$$

form another formal parameter system of  $(\mathcal{O}_{S, \varphi(P)})^\wedge$ , then  $(u', v')$  also satisfies (2.1), and

$$\begin{bmatrix} u'_{[t, 3]} & u'_{[t, 3l+1]} & u'_{[t, 3l+2]} \\ v'_{[t, 3]} & v'_{[t, 3l+1]} & v'_{[t, 3l+2]} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{10} & \alpha_{01} \\ \beta_{10} & \beta_{01} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{[t, 3]} & u_{[t, 3l+1]} & u_{[t, 3l+2]} \\ v_{[t, 3]} & v_{[t, 3l+1]} & v_{[t, 3l+2]} \end{bmatrix}$$

holds. If  $s$  is another formal parameter of  $(\mathcal{O}_{C, P})^\wedge$  that relates to  $t$  by

$$t = \sum \gamma_i s^i,$$

then  $u_{[s, \nu]}$  and  $v_{[s, \nu]}$  satisfy (2.1), and we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_{[s, 3]} & u_{[s, 3l+1]} & u_{[s, 3l+2]} \\ v_{[s, 3]} & v_{[s, 3l+1]} & v_{[s, 3l+2]} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{[t, 3]} & u_{[t, 3l+1]} & u_{[t, 3l+2]} \\ v_{[t, 3]} & v_{[t, 3l+1]} & v_{[t, 3l+2]} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1^3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_1^{3l+1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma_1^{3l+2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

*Remark 2.7.* The critical point of  $T_l$ -type is just the critical point of  $E_6^1$ -type.

*Remark 2.8.* In §6, we will show that, if  $\varphi$  has a critical point of  $T_l$ -type at  $P$ , then, by choosing appropriate formal parameters  $t$  and  $(u, v)$ , we have

$$\varphi^*u = t^{3l+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi^*v = t^3 + t^{3l+2},$$

and the image of the germ  $(C, P)$  by  $\varphi$  is formally isomorphic to the germ of a plane curve singularity defined by

$$x^{3l+1} + y^3 + x^{2l}y^2 = 0.$$

**2.2. Hypersurface singularities.** Let  $X$  be a smooth variety of dimension  $n$ , and let  $D \subset X$  be an effective divisor of  $X$  that is passing through a point  $P \in X$  and is singular at  $P$ . Let  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be a formal parameter system of  $X$  at  $P$ , and let  $f = 0$  be the local defining equation of  $D$  at  $P$ . The symmetric bilinear form

$$H_{f,P} : T_P(X) \times T_P(X) \rightarrow k$$

defined by

$$H_{f,P} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(P)$$

does not depend on the choice of the formal parameter system  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , and does not depend on the choice of  $f$  except for multiplicative constants. We call  $H_{f,P}$  the *Hessian* of  $D$  at  $P$ .

**Definition 2.9.** We say that the singularity of  $D$  at  $P$  is *non-degenerate* if  $H_{f,P}$  is non-degenerate.

From now on to the end of this subsection, we assume that  $\text{char } k$  is *not* 2.

**Definition 2.10.** A formal parameter system  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  of  $X$  at  $P$  is called *admissible with respect to  $f$*  if

$$f = x_1^2 + \dots + x_r^2 + (\text{terms of degree } \geq 3)$$

holds in  $(\mathcal{O}_{X,P})^\wedge = k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ , where  $r$  is the rank of  $H_{f,P}$ .

*Remark 2.11.* Since  $\text{char } k$  is not 2, any formal parameter system at  $P$  can be turned into an admissible formal parameter system with respect to  $f$  by means of a *linear* transformation of parameters.

**Proposition 2.12.** *Suppose that the Hessian of  $D$  at  $P$  is of rank  $n - 1$ . Then the following two conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) *There exist a local defining equation  $f = 0$  of  $D$  at  $P$  and a formal parameter system  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  admissible with respect to  $f$  such that the coefficient of  $x_n^3$  in  $f$  is non-zero.*
- (ii) *For any local defining equation  $f = 0$  of  $D$  at  $P$  and for every formal parameter system  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  admissible with respect to  $f$ , the coefficient of  $x_n^3$  in  $f$  is non-zero.*

*Proof.* Let  $f = 0$  and  $g = 0$  be local defining equations of  $D$  at  $P$ . Suppose that  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  are formal parameter systems of  $X$  at  $P$  admissible with respect to  $f$  and  $g$ , respectively. Let  $T$  be the  $n \times n$ -matrix whose  $(i, j)$ -component is

$$\frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_j}(P).$$

Since the rank of the Hessian of  $D$  at  $P$  is  $n - 1$ , we have

$${}^t T \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} I_{n-1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline {}^t \mathbf{0} & 0 \end{array} \right] T = c \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} I_{n-1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline {}^t \mathbf{0} & 0 \end{array} \right],$$

where  $c$  is a non-zero constant. Therefore we have

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_n}(P) \neq 0 \iff i = n.$$



There exists a formal parameter series  $u(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  with  $u(0, \dots, 0) \neq 0$  such that

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = u(x_1, \dots, x_n)g(y_1, \dots, y_n)$$

holds. Expanding  $u(x_1, \dots, x_n)g(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  in the formal power series of  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  using (2.3), we see that the coefficient of  $x_n^3$  in  $f$  is equal to

$$u(0, \dots, 0) \left( \frac{\partial y_n}{\partial x_n}(P) \right)^3$$

times the coefficient of  $y_n^3$  in  $g$ .  $\square$

**Definition 2.13.** We say that the singularity of  $D$  at  $P$  is of *type  $A_2$*  if the Hessian of  $D$  at  $P$  is of rank  $n - 1$ , and the conditions (i) and (ii) in Proposition 2.12 above are satisfied.

### 2.3. Degeneracy subschemes.

**Definition 2.14.** Let  $X$  be a variety, and let  $E$  and  $F$  be vector bundles on  $X$  with rank  $e$  and  $f$ , respectively. We put  $r := \min(e, f)$ . For a homomorphism  $\sigma : E \rightarrow F$ , we denote by  $\mathbf{D}(\sigma)$  the closed subscheme of  $X$  defined locally on  $X$  by all  $r$ -minors of the  $f \times e$ -matrix expressing  $\sigma$ , and call  $\mathbf{D}(\sigma)$  the *degeneracy subscheme* of  $\sigma$ .

For  $P \in X$ , let  $\mathfrak{m}_P$  denote the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_P := \mathcal{O}_{X,P}$ , and let

$$\sigma_P := \sigma \otimes \mathcal{O}_P/\mathfrak{m}_P : E \otimes \mathcal{O}_P/\mathfrak{m}_P \rightarrow F \otimes \mathcal{O}_P/\mathfrak{m}_P$$

be the linear homomorphism induced from  $\sigma$  on the fibers over  $P$ . The support of  $\mathbf{D}(\sigma)$  is equal to

$$\{ P \in X \mid \text{the rank of } \sigma_P \text{ is } < r \}.$$

**Definition 2.15.** Let  $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism from a smooth variety  $X$  to a smooth variety  $Y$ . The *critical subscheme* of  $\phi$  is the degeneracy subscheme of the homomorphism

$$d\phi : T(X) \rightarrow \phi^* T(Y),$$

and is denoted by  $\mathbf{Cr}(\phi)$ .

Suppose that  $\dim X \leq \dim Y$ . Then a point  $P \in X$  is in the support of  $\mathbf{Cr}(\phi)$  if and only if  $\phi$  fails to be a closed immersion formally at  $P$ . (See Notation and Terminology (3).)

**2.4. The quotient morphism by an integrable subbundle.** In this subsection, we assume that  $\text{char } k = p > 0$ . Let  $X$  be a smooth variety, and let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a subbundle of  $T(X)$ .

**Definition 2.16.** We say that  $\mathcal{N}$  is *integrable* if  $\mathcal{N}$  is closed under the  $p$ th power operation  $D \mapsto D^p$  and the bracket product

$$(D, D') \mapsto [D, D'] := DD' - D'D$$

of derivations.

**Proposition 2.17** ([21] Théorème 2). *Let  $X$  be a smooth variety, and  $\mathcal{N}$  an integrable subbundle of  $T(X)$ . Then there exists a unique morphism  $q : X \rightarrow X^{\mathcal{N}}$  with the following properties;*

- (i)  $q$  induces a homeomorphism on the underlying topological spaces,
- (ii)  $q$  is a radical covering of height 1, and

(iii) the kernel of  $dq : T(X) \rightarrow q^* T(X^{\mathcal{N}})$  coincides with  $\mathcal{N}$ .

Moreover the variety  $X^{\mathcal{N}}$  is smooth, and  $q$  is a purely inseparable finite morphism of degree  $p^r$ , where  $r$  is the rank of  $\mathcal{N}$ .

Indeed, the scheme structure of  $X^{\mathcal{N}}$  is given on the topological space  $X^{\text{sp}}$  underlying  $X$  by putting

$$\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_{X^{\mathcal{N}}}) := \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)^{\Gamma(U, \mathcal{N})}$$

for each affine Zariski open subset  $U$  of  $X^{\text{sp}}$ , where  $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{N})$  is considered as a module of derivations on  $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$ , and  $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)^{\Gamma(U, \mathcal{N})}$  is the sub-algebra of  $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$  consisting of all the elements that are annihilated by every derivation in  $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{N})$ . The inclusions

$$\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_{X^{\mathcal{N}}}) \hookrightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

together with the identity map on  $X^{\text{sp}}$  yield the radical covering  $q : X \rightarrow X^{\mathcal{N}}$ . See [21] for more detail.

**Definition 2.18.** Let  $X$  be a smooth variety, and  $\mathcal{N}$  an integrable subbundle of  $T(X)$ . The morphism  $q : X \rightarrow X^{\mathcal{N}}$  is called the *quotient morphism by  $\mathcal{N}$* .

*Remark 2.19.* Let  $q : X \rightarrow X^{\mathcal{N}}$  be as in Definition 2.18. Suppose that  $\mathcal{N}$  is of rank  $r$ . Let  $P$  be a point of  $X$ . Then there exists a local parameter system  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  of  $X$  at  $P$  such that

$$(x_1^p, \dots, x_r^p, x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n)$$

is a local parameter system of  $X^{\mathcal{N}}$  at  $q(P)$ . See [21, Proposition 6]. In particular,  $(\mathcal{O}_{X,P})^\wedge$  is a free module of rank  $p^r$  over  $(\mathcal{O}_{X^{\mathcal{N}},q(P)})^\wedge$ , and hence  $(\mathcal{O}_{X,P})^\wedge$  is faithfully flat over  $(\mathcal{O}_{X^{\mathcal{N}},q(P)})^\wedge$ .

*Remark 2.20.* Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism from a smooth variety  $X$  to a smooth variety  $Y$ . Suppose that the kernel  $\mathcal{K}$  of the homomorphism  $df : T(X) \rightarrow f^* T(Y)$  is a subbundle of  $T(X)$ . (This assumption is always satisfied if we replace  $X$  with a Zariski open dense subset of  $X$ .) Then  $\mathcal{K}$  is integrable, and the morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  factors canonically as

$$X \xrightarrow{q} X^{\mathcal{K}} \longrightarrow Y,$$

where  $q : X \rightarrow X^{\mathcal{K}}$  is the quotient morphism by  $\mathcal{K}$ .

### 3. THE DISCRIMINANT VARIETY OF A LINEAR SYSTEM

We make no assumptions on the characteristic of the base field  $k$  in this section.

Let  $\bar{X}$  be a projective variety of dimension  $n > 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \bar{X}$  be a line bundle on  $\bar{X}$ , and  $M$  a linear subspace of  $H^0(\bar{X}, \mathcal{L})$  with dimension  $m + 1 \geq 2$ . We denote by

$$\mathbf{P} := \mathbb{P}_*(M)$$

the projective space of one-dimensional linear subspaces of  $M$ , which is the parameter space of the linear system  $|M|$ . We put

$$X := \bar{X} \setminus (\text{Sing}(\bar{X}) \cup \text{Bs}(|M|)),$$

where  $\text{Sing}(\bar{X})$  is the singular locus of  $\bar{X}$  and  $\text{Bs}(|M|)$  is the base locus of the linear system  $|M|$ . We denote by

$$\Psi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^\vee$$

the morphism induced by the linear system  $|M|$ . Let

$$\mathrm{pr}_1 : X \times \mathbf{P} \rightarrow X \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{pr}_2 : X \times \mathbf{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$$

be the projections. For a non-zero element  $f$  of  $M$ , we denote by  $[f]$  the point of  $\mathbf{P}$  corresponding to  $f$ , and by  $\overline{D}_{[f]} \in |M|$  the divisor of  $\overline{X}$  defined by  $f = 0$ . We then put

$$D_{[f]} := \overline{D}_{[f]} \cap X.$$

In the vector bundle  $M \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}$  on  $\mathbf{P}$ , there exists a tautological subbundle  $\mathcal{S} \hookrightarrow M \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}$  of rank 1, which is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(-1)$ . Hence we have a canonical section

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}} \longrightarrow M \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$$

of  $M \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$ . On the other hand, the inclusion  $M \hookrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{L})$  induces a natural homomorphism

$$(3.2) \quad M \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}.$$

We put

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}} := \mathrm{pr}_1^* \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathrm{pr}_2^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(1).$$

Composing the pull-backs of (3.1) and (3.2) to  $X \times \mathbf{P}$ , we obtain a section

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{O}_{X \times \mathbf{P}} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{L}}.$$

**Definition 3.1.** We fix a non-zero element

$$\sigma \in H^0(X \times \mathbf{P}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}})$$

corresponding to (3.3), which is unique up to multiplicative constants. We denote by  $\mathcal{D}$  the subscheme of  $X \times \mathbf{P}$  defined by  $\sigma = 0$ , and by

$$p_1 : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow X \quad \text{and} \quad p_2 : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$$

the projections.

It is easy to see that the support of  $\mathcal{D}$  coincides with the set

$$\{ (p, [f]) \in X \times \mathbf{P} \mid p \in D_{[f]} \}.$$

**Proposition 3.2.** *The scheme  $\mathcal{D}$  is smooth.*

*Proof.* Since the linear system  $|M|$  has no base points on  $X$ , the first projection  $p_1 : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow X$  is a smooth morphism with fibers being hyperplanes of  $\mathbf{P}$ . Since  $X$  is smooth, so is  $\mathcal{D}$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  denote the critical subscheme  $\mathbf{Cr}(p_2)$  of  $p_2 : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$ .

Let  $U$  be a Zariski open subset of  $X \times \mathbf{P}$ . Assume that there exists a trivialization

$$\tau : \tilde{\mathcal{L}}|_U \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{X \times \mathbf{P}}|_U$$

of the line bundle  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  over  $U$ . Let  $\Theta$  be a section of  $T(X \times \mathbf{P})$  over  $U$ , which is regarded as a derivation on  $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_{X \times \mathbf{P}})$ . Since  $\mathcal{D}$  is defined by  $\sigma = 0$ , the element

$$\tau^{-1}(\Theta(\tau(\sigma)))|_{\mathcal{D}} \in \Gamma(U \cap \mathcal{D}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}})$$

does not depend on the choice of the trivialization  $\tau$ . Hence we denote it by  $(\Theta\sigma)|_{\mathcal{D}}$ . It is obvious that, if two sections  $\Theta$  and  $\Theta'$  of  $T(X \times \mathbf{P})$  over  $U$  are mapped to

the same element in  $\Gamma(U \cap \mathcal{D}, T(X \times \mathbf{P}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}})$ , then we have  $(\Theta\sigma)|_{\mathcal{D}} = (\Theta'\sigma)|_{\mathcal{D}}$ . Therefore we have a natural homomorphism

$$d\sigma : T(X \times \mathbf{P}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{L}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}}$$

of vector bundles on  $\mathcal{D}$  defined by

$$\Theta|_{\mathcal{D}} \mapsto (\Theta\sigma)|_{\mathcal{D}}.$$

We then denote by

$$d\sigma_X : p_1^* T(X) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{L}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}}$$

the restriction of  $d\sigma$  to the direct factor  $p_1^* T(X)$  of

$$T(X \times \mathbf{P}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}} = p_1^* T(X) \oplus p_2^* T(\mathbf{P}).$$

**Proposition 3.4.** (1) *The critical subscheme  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $p_2 : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$  coincides with the degeneracy subscheme  $\mathbf{D}(d\sigma_X)$  of  $d\sigma_X$ .*

(2) *A point  $(p, [f])$  of  $\mathcal{D}$  is contained in  $\mathcal{C}$  if and only if the divisor  $D_{[f]}$  of  $X$  is singular at  $p \in X$ .*

**Construction 3.5.** In order to prove Proposition 3.4, we introduce a formal parameter system of  $\mathcal{D}$  at a point  $P = (p, [f]) \in \mathcal{D}$ . We choose a formal parameter system  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  of  $X$  at  $p \in X$ . Since the linear system  $|M|$  has no base points on  $X$ , we can choose a global section  $\beta$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  such that  $\beta(p) \neq 0$ . Then we can choose a basis  $(\mathbf{b}_0, \dots, \mathbf{b}_m)$  of  $M$  in such a way that

$$\mathbf{b}_0 = f, \quad \mathbf{b}_m = \beta,$$

and that the functions

$$\phi_i := \mathbf{b}_i / \beta \quad (i = 0, \dots, m-1)$$

on  $X$  defined locally at  $p$  satisfy

$$\phi_0(p) = \dots = \phi_{m-1}(p) = 0.$$

Let  $(y_1, \dots, y_m)$  be the affine coordinate system of  $\mathbf{P}$  such that a point  $(c_1, \dots, c_m)$  corresponds to the one-dimensional linear subspace of  $M$  spanned by

$$\mathbf{b}_0 + c_1 \mathbf{b}_1 + \dots + c_m \mathbf{b}_m \in M.$$

Then  $[f] = [\mathbf{b}_0] \in \mathbf{P}$  is the origin  $(0, \dots, 0)$ .

We will regard  $\phi_0, \dots, \phi_{m-1}$  as formal power series of  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  so that we will write  $\phi_i(0)$  instead of  $\phi_i(p)$ , for example. We put

$$\Phi := \phi_0 + y_1 \phi_1 + \dots + y_{m-1} \phi_{m-1} + y_m.$$

Then we have

$$(3.4) \quad \sigma = c \Phi \beta \quad \text{for some } c \in k^\times$$

in  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_P} (\mathcal{O}_P)^\wedge$ , where  $\mathcal{O}_P$  is the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_{X \times \mathbf{P}, P}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{D}$  is given by  $\Phi = 0$  locally at  $P$ . Since

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y_m}(0, 0) = 1,$$

we see that

$$(\xi, \eta) = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_{m-1}) := (p_1^* x_1, \dots, p_1^* x_n, p_2^* y_1, \dots, p_2^* y_{m-1})$$

is a formal parameter system of  $\mathcal{D}$  at  $P$ .



**Proposition 3.7.** *The subscheme  $\mathcal{C}$  is smooth of dimension  $m - 1$  at a point  $P = (p, [f])$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  if one of the following holds;*

- (i) *the singularity of  $D_{[f]}$  at  $p$  is non-degenerate, or*
- (ii) *the morphism  $\Psi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^\vee$  induced by the linear system  $|M|$  is a closed immersion formally at  $p$ .*

*Proof.* We use the formal parameter system  $(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m)$  of  $X \times \mathbf{P}$  at  $P$  given in Construction 3.5. By Corollary 3.6, the subscheme  $\mathcal{C}$  is smooth of dimension  $m - 1$  at the origin  $P$  if and only if the  $(n + m) \times (n + 1)$ -matrix

$$J := \left[ \begin{array}{c|cccc} \frac{\partial \phi_0}{\partial x_1}(0) & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & \\ \frac{\partial \phi_0}{\partial x_n}(0) & & \frac{\partial^2 \phi_0}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(0) & (i, j=1, \dots, n) & \\ \hline 0 & & & & \\ \vdots & & \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x_j}(0) & (i=1, \dots, m-1, j=1, \dots, n) & \\ 0 & & & & \\ \hline 1 & 0 & \dots & & 0 \end{array} \right] \left. \begin{array}{l} \vphantom{\frac{\partial \phi_0}{\partial x_1}(0)} \\ \vphantom{\frac{\partial \phi_0}{\partial x_n}(0)} \\ \vphantom{\frac{\partial^2 \phi_0}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(0)} \\ \vphantom{\frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x_j}(0)} \\ \vphantom{\frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x_j}(0)} \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} n \\ m \end{array}$$

is of rank  $n + 1$ . Here we have used the following equalities:

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_i}(0, 0) = \frac{\partial \phi_0}{\partial x_i}(0), \quad \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y_j}(0, 0) = \begin{cases} \phi_j(0) = 0 & \text{if } j < m, \\ 1 & \text{if } j = m, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_i} \right) (0, 0) = \frac{\partial^2 \phi_0}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}(0), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \left( \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_i} \right) (0, 0) = \begin{cases} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x_i}(0) & \text{if } j < m, \\ 0 & \text{if } j = m. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that the condition (i) holds. Then the Hessian matrix

$$\left( \frac{\partial^2 \phi_0}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(0) \right)$$

of  $D_{[f]}$  at  $p$  is non-degenerate, and hence the matrix  $J$  is of rank  $n + 1$ . Suppose that the condition (ii) holds. Then there exist  $n$  divisors  $D_1, \dots, D_n \in |M|$  that pass through  $p$ , are smooth at  $p$ , and intersect transversely at  $p$ . The local defining equations of these  $D_i$  at  $P$  are linear combinations of  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{m-1}$ , because the divisor  $D_{[f]} = \{\phi_0 = 0\}$  is singular at  $p$  and the divisor corresponding to  $\mathbf{b}_m$  does not pass through  $p$ . Hence the  $(m - 1) \times n$ -matrix

$$\left( \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x_j}(0) \right)_{i=1, \dots, m-1, j=1, \dots, n}$$

is of rank  $n$ , and thus  $J$  is of rank  $n + 1$ .  $\square$

**Assumption 3.8.** From now on until the end of the paper, we assume that  $m > n$ , and that the locus

$$X^\circ := \{ p \in X \mid \text{the morphism } \Psi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^\vee \text{ is a closed immersion formally at } p \}$$

is dense in  $X$ .

Note that if  $\bar{X}$  is smooth and the linear system  $|M|$  is very ample, then  $X^\circ$  coincides with  $\bar{X}$ .

**Definition 3.9.** We put

$$\mathcal{C}^\circ := \mathcal{C} \cap (X^\circ \times \mathbf{P}),$$

and denote by

$$\pi_1 : \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow X^\circ \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_2 : \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$$

the projections.

**Proposition 3.10.** *The scheme  $\mathcal{C}^\circ$  is a smooth irreducible closed subscheme of  $X^\circ \times \mathbf{P}$  with dimension  $m - 1$ .*

*Proof.* The fact that  $\mathcal{C}^\circ$  is smooth of dimension  $m - 1$  follows from Proposition 3.7 and the definition of  $X^\circ$ . We will prove the irreducibility of  $\mathcal{C}^\circ$ . For each point  $p \in X^\circ$ , there exists a unique  $n$ -dimensional linear subspace  $L_p \subset \mathbf{P}^\vee$  passing through  $\Psi(p)$  such that the image of the injective homomorphism  $d_p \Psi : T_p(X^\circ) \rightarrow T_{\Psi(p)}(\mathbf{P}^\vee)$  coincides with  $T_{\Psi(p)}(L_p) \subset T_{\Psi(p)}(\mathbf{P}^\vee)$ . The fiber of  $\pi_1 : \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow X^\circ$  over  $p$  coincides with the linear subspace

$$\{ H \in \mathbf{P} \mid L_p \subset H \}$$

of  $\mathbf{P}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{C}^\circ$  is irreducible.  $\square$

*Remark 3.11.* The above proof of Proposition 3.10 shows that, if  $m = n + 1$ , then  $\pi_1 : \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow X^\circ$  is an isomorphism with the inverse morphism given by  $p \mapsto (p, L_p)$ . In this case, the morphism  $\pi_2 : \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$  is identified with the Gauss map  $X^\circ \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$  of the morphism  $\Psi : X^\circ \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^\vee$ .

**Definition 3.12.** Let  $\mathcal{E}$  denote the critical subscheme  $\mathbf{Cr}(\pi_2)$  of  $\pi_2 : \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$ .

**Definition 3.13.** We will construct the *universal Hessian*

$$\mathcal{H} : \pi_1^* T(X^\circ) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}^\circ}} \pi_1^* T(X^\circ) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{L}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}^\circ}$$

on  $\mathcal{C}^\circ$ . Let  $U$  be a Zariski open subset of  $X^\circ$ . Making  $U$  smaller if necessary, we may assume that there exist regular functions  $(u_1, \dots, u_n)$  on  $U$  that form a coordinate system on  $U$ , and that there exists a trivialization  $\mathcal{L}|_U \cong \mathcal{O}_U$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  over  $U$ . Let  $V$  be a Zariski open subset of  $\mathbf{P}$  over which the line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$  is trivialized. Let  $\Phi_{U \times V}$  denote the regular function on  $U \times V$  obtained from the fixed global section  $\sigma$  of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  via a trivialization  $\tau : \tilde{\mathcal{L}}|_{(U \times V)} \cong \mathcal{O}_{U \times V}$ . We define  $\mathcal{H}$  on  $\mathcal{C}^\circ \cap (U \times V)$  by

$$\mathcal{H} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j} \right) := \tau^{-1} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \Phi_{U \times V}}{\partial u_i \partial u_j} \right).$$

It is easy to see that this definition does not depend on the choice of the coordinate system  $(u_1, \dots, u_n)$  on  $U$  and the trivializations of the line bundles, because the functions  $\Phi_{U \times V}$  and  $\partial \Phi_{U \times V} / \partial u_1, \dots, \partial \Phi_{U \times V} / \partial u_n$  are constantly equal to zero on  $\mathcal{C}^\circ \cap (U \times V)$  by Corollary 3.6. Therefore we can define  $\mathcal{H}$  globally on  $\mathcal{C}^\circ$ . We denote by

$$\mathcal{H}^\sim : \pi_1^* T(X^\circ) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{L}} \otimes \pi_1^* T(X^\circ)^\vee$$

the homomorphism induced from  $\mathcal{H}$ .

The following proposition is a scheme-theoretic refinement of [14, Proposition 3.3]. See also the Hessian criterion of Hefez and Kleiman ([17, Theorem (12)], [8, Theorem 3.2]).

**Proposition 3.14.** *The critical subscheme  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $\pi_2 : \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$  coincides with the degeneracy subscheme  $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{H}^\sim)$  of  $\mathcal{H}^\sim$ .*

**Construction 3.15.** In order to prove Proposition 3.14, we introduce a formal parameter system of  $\mathcal{C}^\circ$  at a point  $P = (p, [f]) \in \mathcal{C}^\circ$ . We use the same notation as in Construction 3.5. Since  $p \in X^\circ$ , we can assume that the vectors  $\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n$  among the basis  $\mathbf{b}_0, \dots, \mathbf{b}_m$  of  $M$  define divisors that pass through  $p$ , are smooth at  $p$ , and intersect transversely at  $p$ . Then we can take  $(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n)$  as the formal parameter system  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  of  $X^\circ$  at  $p$ ; that is, we have

$$\phi_1 = x_1, \quad \dots, \quad \phi_n = x_n,$$

and hence we have

$$\Phi = \phi_0 + y_1 x_1 + \dots + y_n x_n + y_{n+1} \phi_{n+1} + \dots + y_{m-1} \phi_{m-1} + y_m.$$

By a further linear transformation of the basis  $\mathbf{b}_0, \dots, \mathbf{b}_m$ , we can also assume that

$$\frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x_j}(0) = 0 \quad \text{for } i = n+1, \dots, m-1 \quad \text{and } j = 1, \dots, n$$

hold; that is, the functions  $\phi_{n+1}, \dots, \phi_{m-1}$  have no linear terms as formal power series of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . By Corollary 3.6, the local defining equations of  $\mathcal{C}^\circ$  in  $X^\circ \times \mathbf{P}$  at  $P = (p, [f])$  are as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_0 + y_1 x_1 + \dots + y_n x_n + y_{n+1} \phi_{n+1} + \dots + y_{m-1} \phi_{m-1} + y_m &= 0, \\ \frac{\partial \phi_0}{\partial x_1} + y_1 &+ y_{n+1} \frac{\partial \phi_{n+1}}{\partial x_1} + \dots + y_{m-1} \frac{\partial \phi_{m-1}}{\partial x_1} &= 0, \\ &\dots \\ &\dots \\ \frac{\partial \phi_0}{\partial x_n} &+ y_n + y_{n+1} \frac{\partial \phi_{n+1}}{\partial x_n} + \dots + y_{m-1} \frac{\partial \phi_{m-1}}{\partial x_n} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

We see that

$$(u, v) = (u_1, \dots, u_n, v_{n+1}, \dots, v_{m-1}) := (\pi_1^* x_1, \dots, \pi_1^* x_n, \pi_2^* y_{n+1}, \dots, \pi_2^* y_{m-1})$$

is a formal parameter system of  $\mathcal{C}^\circ$  at  $P = (p, [f])$ .

*Proof of Proposition 3.14.* Let  $P = (p, [f])$  be a point of  $\mathcal{C}^\circ$ . We use the formal parameter system  $(u, v)$  of  $\mathcal{C}^\circ$  at  $P$  and the affine coordinate system  $(y_1, \dots, y_m)$  of  $\mathbf{P}$  with the origin  $[f]$  given in Construction 3.15. We put

$$\gamma_j := \pi_2^* y_j \quad (j = 1, \dots, m).$$



Since  $\gamma_j = v_j$  for  $j = n+1, \dots, m-1$ , the Jacobian matrix of  $\pi_2 : \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$  is of the form

$$\left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} \frac{\partial \gamma_i}{\partial u_j} & & & & & * \\ & (i,j=1,\dots,n) & & & & \\ \hline & & 0 & & & I_{m-n-1} \\ \hline \frac{\partial \gamma_m}{\partial u_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \gamma_m}{\partial u_n} & & & * \end{array} \right].$$

Hence the defining ideal of the critical subscheme  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $\pi_2$  at  $P$  is generated by all  $n$ -minors of the  $(n+1) \times n$  matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{a}_n \\ \mathbf{a}_m \end{bmatrix} := \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \gamma_1}{\partial u_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \gamma_1}{\partial u_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial \gamma_n}{\partial u_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \gamma_n}{\partial u_n} \\ \hline \frac{\partial \gamma_m}{\partial u_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \gamma_m}{\partial u_n} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since  $\Phi|_{\mathcal{C}^\circ} \equiv 0$ , we have

$$(3.7) \quad \tilde{\phi}_0 + \gamma_1 u_1 + \cdots + \gamma_n u_n + v_{n+1} \tilde{\phi}_{n+1} + \cdots + v_{m-1} \tilde{\phi}_{m-1} + \gamma_m \equiv 0,$$

where

$$\tilde{\phi}_i := \phi_i(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \pi_1^* \phi_i.$$

Applying  $\partial/\partial u_i$  to (3.7), we obtain

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}_0}{\partial u_i} + \gamma_i + \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{\partial \gamma_\nu}{\partial u_i} u_\nu + \sum_{\mu=n+1}^{m-1} v_\mu \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}_\mu}{\partial u_i} + \frac{\partial \gamma_m}{\partial u_i} \equiv 0.$$

Since  $(\partial \Phi / \partial x_i)|_{\mathcal{C}^\circ} \equiv 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we have

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}_0}{\partial u_i} + \gamma_i + \sum_{\mu=n+1}^{m-1} v_\mu \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}_\mu}{\partial u_i} \equiv 0,$$

because  $(\partial \phi_j / \partial x_i)|_{\mathcal{C}^\circ} = \partial \tilde{\phi}_j / \partial u_i$ . Combining the identities (3.8) and (3.9), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \gamma_m}{\partial u_i} \equiv - \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{\partial \gamma_\nu}{\partial u_i} u_\nu \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

Thus we have

$$\mathbf{a}_m = - \sum_{\nu=1}^n u_\nu \mathbf{a}_\nu.$$

Therefore the defining ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$  at  $P$  is generated by

$$\det A := \det \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{a}_n \end{bmatrix}$$

in  $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}^\circ, P})^\wedge$ . On the other hand, we have

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \Big|_{\mathcal{C}^\circ} \equiv \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{\phi}_0}{\partial u_i \partial u_j} + \sum_{\mu=n+1}^{m-1} v_\mu \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{\phi}_\mu}{\partial u_i \partial u_j}.$$

Applying  $\partial/\partial u_j$  to (3.9), we obtain

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{\phi}_0}{\partial u_i \partial u_j} + \frac{\partial \gamma_i}{\partial u_j} + \sum_{\mu=n+1}^{m-1} v_\mu \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{\phi}_\mu}{\partial u_i \partial u_j} \equiv 0.$$

Combining (3.10) and (3.11), we obtain

$$(3.12) \quad \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \Big|_{\mathcal{C}^\circ} \equiv -\frac{\partial \gamma_i}{\partial u_j}.$$

We denote by

$$S := \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{s}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{s}_n \end{bmatrix} = \left( \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \Big|_{\mathcal{C}^\circ} \right)$$

the  $n \times n$  matrix representing the universal Hessian  $\mathcal{H}$  locally at  $P$ . From (3.12), we obtain

$$\mathbf{s}_i = -\mathbf{a}_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

Hence  $\det A$  and  $\det S$  generate the same ideal in  $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}^\circ, P})^\wedge$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{E}$  coincides with  $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{H}^-)$  locally at  $P$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.16** ([14] Proposition 3.3). *The morphism  $\pi_2 : \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$  is a closed immersion formally at a point  $(p, [f]) \in \mathcal{C}^\circ$  if and only if the singularity of the divisor  $D_{[f]}$  of  $X^\circ$  at  $p \in X^\circ$  is non-degenerate.*

**Corollary 3.17.** *The subscheme  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $X^\circ \times \mathbf{P}$  is defined by*

$$\Phi = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_1} = \dots = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_n} = \det \left( \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right) = 0$$

locally at a point  $P = (p, [f])$  of  $\mathcal{C}^\circ$ , where  $\Phi$  is the function on  $X^\circ \times \mathbf{P}$  defined locally at  $P$  given in Construction 3.15.

*Remark 3.18.* By Corollaries 3.6 and 3.17, the scheme  $\mathcal{E}$  is of codimension  $\leq 1$  in  $\mathcal{C}^\circ$ . It was observed by Wallace [24] that, in positive characteristics,  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{C}^\circ$  may coincide. For example, let  $\bar{X}$  be the Fermat hypersurface

$$X_0^{q+1} + X_1^{q+1} + \dots + X_{n+1}^{q+1} = 0$$

of degree  $q+1$  in  $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ , where  $q = l^\nu$  is a power of the characteristic  $l > 0$  of  $k$ , and let  $M$  be the complete linear system  $|\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}(1)|$ . Then, at every point  $p$  of  $\bar{X}$ , the divisor  $T_p(\bar{X}) \cap \bar{X}$  of  $\bar{X}$  has a *degenerate* singular point at  $p$ , and hence  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{C}^\circ$  holds. In this case, the morphism  $\mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$  is purely inseparable of degree  $q^n$  onto its image. See [14, Example 3.4] or [23] for the details.

4. THE SCHEME  $\mathcal{E}$ 

In this section, we assume that  $\text{char } k$  is *not* 2.

**Construction 4.1.** Let  $P = (p, [f])$  be a point of  $\mathcal{E}$ , and let  $r$  be the rank of the Hessian of  $D_{[f]}$  at  $p$ . By Corollary 3.16, we have  $r < n$ . We choose a formal parameter system  $(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m)$  of  $X^\circ \times \mathbf{P}$  at  $P$  given in Construction 3.15. Since  $\text{char } k \neq 2$ , we can assume that the functions

$$\phi_1 = x_1, \dots, \phi_n = x_n$$

form an admissible formal parameter system with respect to  $\phi_0$  at  $p \in X^\circ$  by a linear transformation of the basis  $\mathbf{b}_0, \dots, \mathbf{b}_m$  of  $M$ . (See Remark 2.11). Thus we have

$$\phi_0 = x_1^2 + \dots + x_r^2 + (\text{terms of degree } \geq 3) \quad \text{in} \quad (\mathcal{O}_{X^\circ, p})^\wedge = k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]].$$

**Definition 4.2.** Let

$$\varpi_1 : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow X^\circ \quad \text{and} \quad \varpi_2 : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$$

be the projections. We put

$$\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}} := \{ P \in \mathcal{E} \mid \mathcal{E} \text{ is smooth of dimension } m-2 \text{ at } P \},$$

which is a Zariski open subset of  $\mathcal{E}$ , and let

$$\varpi_1^{\text{sm}} : \mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}} \rightarrow X^\circ \quad \text{and} \quad \varpi_2^{\text{sm}} : \mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$$

be the restrictions of  $\varpi_1$  and  $\varpi_2$  to  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}}$ . Note that, if  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}}$  is non-empty, then the image of the projection  $\pi_2 : \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$  is a hypersurface.

We also put

$$\mathcal{E}^{A_2} := \{ (p, [f]) \in \mathcal{E} \mid \text{the singularity of the divisor } D_{[f]} \text{ at } p \text{ is of type } A_2 \}.$$

In the following, Proposition 4.3 concerns with both the cases of characteristic 3 and characteristic  $\neq 3$ , Proposition 4.4 treats the case where  $\text{char } k \neq 3$ , and Theorem 4.5 is a result in characteristic 3.

**Proposition 4.3.** *If  $P = (p, [f])$  is a point of  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}}$ , then the rank of the Hessian  $H_{\phi_0, p}$  of the divisor  $D_{[f]}$  at  $p$  is  $n-1$ .*

*Conversely, let  $P = (p, [f])$  be a point of  $\mathcal{E}$ , and suppose that the rank of  $H_{\phi_0, p}$  is  $n-1$ . Let  $(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m)$  be the formal parameter system of  $X^\circ \times \mathbf{P}$  at  $P$  given in Construction 4.1. Let  $a_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) be the coefficient of  $x_i x_n^2$  in  $\phi_0$ , and let  $b_j$  ( $j = n+1, \dots, m-1$ ) be the coefficient of  $x_n^2$  in  $\phi_j$ . Then  $P \in \mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}}$  holds if and only if at least one of*

$$a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, 3a_n, b_{n+1}, \dots, b_{m-1}$$

*is not zero.*

**Proposition 4.4.** *Suppose that  $\text{char } k \neq 3$ . Then we have*

$$\mathcal{E}^{A_2} = \mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}} \setminus \mathbf{Cr}(d\varpi_2^{\text{sm}}).$$

**Theorem 4.5.** *Suppose that  $\text{char } k = 3$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{K}$  the kernel of the homomorphism*

$$d\varpi_2^{\text{sm}} : T(\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}}) \rightarrow \varpi_2^{\text{sm}*} T(\mathbf{P}).$$

*Then  $\mathcal{K}$  is an integrable subbundle of  $T(\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}})$  with rank 1. Let*

$$\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}} \xrightarrow{q} (\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}})^{\mathcal{K}} \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathbf{P}$$

be the canonical factorization of  $\varpi_2^{\text{sm}}$ , where  $q$  is the quotient morphism by  $\mathcal{K}$ . Then we have

$$q(\mathcal{E}^{A_2} \cap \mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}}) \subset (\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}})^{\mathcal{K}} \setminus \mathbf{Cr}(\tau).$$

*Proof of Propositions 4.3, 4.4 and Theorem 4.5.* Let  $P = (p, [f])$  be a point of  $\mathcal{E}$ , and let  $r$  be the rank of the Hessian  $H_{\phi_0, p}$  of  $D_{[f]}$  at  $p$ . We use the formal parameter system  $(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m)$  of  $X^\circ \times \mathbf{P}$  at  $P$  given in Construction 4.1. For a formal power series  $F$  of  $(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m)$ , we denote by  $F^{[1]}$  the homogeneous part of degree 1 of  $F$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^{[1]} &= y_m, \\ \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_i}\right)^{[1]} &= 2x_i + y_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, r), \\ \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_i}\right)^{[1]} &= y_i \quad (i = r + 1, \dots, n), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\det \left(\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}\right)\right)^{[1]} &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r < n - 1, \\ \left(\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x_n^2}\right)^{[1]} & \text{if } r = n - 1, \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r < n - 1, \\ 2(a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_{n-1} x_{n-1} + 3a_n x_n + \\ \quad + b_{n+1} y_{n+1} + \dots + b_{m-1} y_{m-1}) & \text{if } r = n - 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 3.17, the Zariski tangent space  $T_P(\mathcal{E})$  to  $\mathcal{E}$  at  $P$  is identified with the linear space defined by these  $n + 2$  linear forms in the  $(n + m)$ -dimensional linear space with coordinates  $(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m)$ . Hence Proposition 4.3 is proved.

If  $\text{char } k \neq 3$  and  $P \in \mathcal{E}^{A_2}$ , then  $P \in \mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}}$  because  $3a_n \neq 0$ . Suppose that  $P \in \mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}}$ . The kernel of the linear homomorphism

$$d_P \varpi_2^{\text{sm}} : T_P(\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}}) \rightarrow T_{[f]}(\mathbf{P})$$

is identified with the intersection of the linear space defined by the  $n + 2$  linear forms above and the linear space defined by

$$y_1 = \dots = y_m = 0.$$

Hence  $\text{Ker}(d_P \varpi_2^{\text{sm}})$  is of dimension 0 if and only if  $3a_n \neq 0$ . Thus Proposition 4.4 is proved.

We now assume that  $\text{char } k = 3$ . Suppose that  $P = (p, [f]) \in \mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}}$ . The kernel of the linear homomorphism  $d_P \varpi_2^{\text{sm}}$  is of dimension 1 and is generated by

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right)_P \in T_P(\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}}).$$

Since this holds at every point  $P$  of  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}}$ , we see that the sub-sheaf  $\mathcal{K} = \text{Ker}(d\varpi_2^{\text{sm}})$  of  $T(\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}})$  is a subbundle of rank 1. The integrability of  $\mathcal{K}$  follows trivially from the definition. From now on, we further assume that  $P \in \mathcal{E}^{A_2}$ ; that is,  $a_n \neq 0$ . The fiber

$$Z := (\varpi_2^{\text{sm}})^{-1}([f])$$

of  $\varpi_2^{\text{sm}}$  passing through  $P$  is defined by

$$\phi_0 = \frac{\partial \phi_0}{\partial x_1} = \cdots = \frac{\partial \phi_0}{\partial x_n} = \det \left( \frac{\partial^2 \phi_0}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right) = 0$$

in  $X^\circ \times \{[f]\} \cong X^\circ$  locally at  $P$ . We will calculate  $\dim_k \mathcal{O}_{Z,P}$ . Since  $\varpi_2^{\text{sm}}$  factors through the radical covering  $q: \mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}})^\mathcal{K}$  of degree 3, we have

$$\dim_k \mathcal{O}_{Z,P} \geq 3.$$

We put

$$\xi_i := x_i|_Z \quad (i = 1, \dots, n-1) \quad \text{and} \quad t := x_n|_Z.$$

Using the identity  $\partial \phi_0 / \partial x_1 = \cdots = \partial \phi_0 / \partial x_{n-1} = 0$  on  $Z$  and Lemma 4.6 below, we can write  $\xi_i$  in formal power series of  $t$  as follows:

$$\xi_i = a_i t^2 + (\text{terms of degree} \geq 3) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n-1).$$

Making substitutions  $x_i = \xi_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$  and  $x_n = t$  in  $\phi_0$ , we obtain a formal power series

$$\phi_0|_Z = a_n t^3 + (\text{terms of degree} \geq 4).$$

Since  $a_n \neq 0$ , we obtain  $\dim_k \mathcal{O}_{Z,P} \leq 3$ . Therefore  $\dim_k \mathcal{O}_{Z,P} = 3$  holds. We put

$$A := (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}},P})^\wedge, \quad B := (\mathcal{O}_{(\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}})^\mathcal{K},q(P)})^\wedge, \quad C := (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P},[f]})^\wedge,$$

and let  $\mathfrak{m}_A, \mathfrak{m}_B, \mathfrak{m}_C$  be their maximal ideals, respectively. From  $\dim_k \mathcal{O}_{Z,P} = 3$  and Remark 2.19, we have

$$\dim_k (A/\mathfrak{m}_C A) = 3 = \dim_k (A/\mathfrak{m}_B A).$$

Since  $\mathfrak{m}_C B \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_B$ , we obtain

$$\mathfrak{m}_B A = \mathfrak{m}_C A.$$

Since  $A$  is faithfully flat over  $B$ , we obtain  $\mathfrak{m}_B = \mathfrak{m}_C B$ , which implies that  $C \rightarrow B$  is surjective. Hence  $\tau$  is a closed immersion formally at  $q(P)$ . Thus Theorem 4.5 is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $F_1(u, t), \dots, F_N(u, t)$  be formal power series of variables  $(u, t) = (u_1, \dots, u_N, t)$  such that  $F_1(0, 0) = \cdots = F_N(0, 0) = 0$  and  $\det J \neq 0$ , where*

$$J := \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial u_1}(0, 0) & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial u_N}(0, 0) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial F_N}{\partial u_1}(0, 0) & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_N}{\partial u_N}(0, 0) \end{bmatrix}.$$

We put

$$\mu := \min\{ \text{ord}_{t=0}(F_i(0, t)) \mid i = 1, \dots, N \},$$

and let  $\alpha_i$  be the coefficient of  $t^\mu$  in  $F_i(0, t)$ . We put

$$\begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_N \end{bmatrix} := -J^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_N \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then we can solve the equation

$$F_1(u, t) = \cdots = F_N(u, t) = 0$$

with indeterminates  $u_1, \dots, u_N$  in  $k[[t]]$  as follows:

$$u_i = \beta_i t^\mu + (\text{terms of degree } > \mu) \quad (i = 1, \dots, N).$$

*Proof.* Obvious.  $\square$

The following Corollary of Proposition 4.3 plays a crucial role in the proof of Theorem 5.2.

**Corollary 4.7.** *Suppose that  $\text{char } k = 3$ . If  $P \in \mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}}$ , then at least one of*

$$a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, b_{n+1}, \dots, b_{m-1}$$

*is not zero. In particular, if  $(n, m) = (1, 2)$ , then  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}} = \emptyset$ .*

*Remark 4.8.* Suppose that the Hessian  $H_{\phi_0, p}$  of  $D_{[f]}$  at  $p$  is of rank  $n - 1$ . Then the condition that at least one of  $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, 3a_n$  be non-zero is independent of the choice of the admissible formal parameter system  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  of  $X$  at  $p$  with respect to  $\phi_0$ . The condition that at least one of  $b_{n+1}, \dots, b_{m-1}$  be non-zero is equivalent to the condition that there exists a divisor  $D \in \mathbf{P}$  passing through  $p$  and having a non-degenerate singular point at  $p$ .

Next we will give a sufficient condition for  $\mathcal{E}^{A_2}$  and  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}}$  to be dense in  $\mathcal{E}$ .

**Proposition 4.9.** *For  $p \in X^\circ$ , let  $\mathfrak{m}_p \subset \mathcal{O}_p$  denote the maximal ideal of the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_p := \mathcal{O}_{X^\circ, p}$ , and let  $\mathcal{L}_p$  denote the  $\mathcal{O}_p$ -module  $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_p$ . Suppose that the evaluation homomorphism*

$$v_p : M \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_p / \mathfrak{m}_p^4 \mathcal{L}_p \cong \mathcal{O}_p / \mathfrak{m}_p^4$$

*is surjective at every point  $p$  of  $X^\circ$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}$  is irreducible, and  $\mathcal{E}^{A_2}$  and  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}}$  are dense in  $\mathcal{E}$ .*

*Proof.* The space  $\mathfrak{m}_p^2 / \mathfrak{m}_p^3$  is regarded as the space of symmetric bilinear forms on the Zariski tangent space  $T_p(X^\circ) = (\mathfrak{m}_p / \mathfrak{m}_p^2)^\vee$ . The determinant of the symmetric matrix cuts out the irreducible subscheme  $\tilde{D}$  of degenerate symmetric bilinear forms in  $\mathfrak{m}_p^2 / \mathfrak{m}_p^3$ . By Proposition 3.14, there exists a closed variety  $\tilde{D} \subset \mathfrak{m}_p^2 / \mathfrak{m}_p^4 \subset \mathcal{O}_p / \mathfrak{m}_p^4$ , which is a cone over  $D \subset \mathfrak{m}_p^2 / \mathfrak{m}_p^3$  in the subspace  $\mathfrak{m}_p^2 / \mathfrak{m}_p^4$  of  $\mathcal{O}_p / \mathfrak{m}_p^4$  and is invariant under the multiplications by elements of  $k^\times$ , such that

$$\varpi_1^{-1}(p) = \mathbb{P}_*(v_p^{-1}(\tilde{D})).$$

By the definition of hypersurface singularities of type  $A_2$ , and by Proposition 4.3, there exist Zariski open dense subsets  $\tilde{D}^{A_2}$  and  $\tilde{D}^{\text{sm}}$  of  $\tilde{D}$ , which are invariant under the multiplications by elements of  $k^\times$ , such that

$$\varpi_1^{-1}(p) \cap \mathcal{E}^{A_2} = \mathbb{P}_*(v_p^{-1}(\tilde{D}^{A_2})) \quad \text{and} \quad \varpi_1^{-1}(p) \cap \mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}} = \mathbb{P}_*(v_p^{-1}(\tilde{D}^{\text{sm}})).$$

Therefore, if  $v_p$  is surjective at every point  $p \in X^\circ$ , then  $\mathcal{E}$  is irreducible, and  $\mathcal{E}^{A_2}$  and  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}}$  are dense in  $\mathcal{E}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.10.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a very ample line bundle on a smooth projective variety  $\bar{X}$ . If  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{A}^{\otimes 3}$  and  $M = H^0(\bar{X}, \mathcal{L})$ , then  $\mathcal{E}$  is irreducible, and  $\mathcal{E}^{A_2}$  and  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}}$  are dense in  $\mathcal{E}$ .*

## 5. A GENERAL PLANE SECTION OF THE DISCRIMINANT HYPERSURFACE

In this section, we still assume that  $\text{char } k$  is *not* 2.

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $P = (p, [f])$  be a point of  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}}$ , and let  $\Lambda \subset \mathbf{P}$  be a general plane passing through the point  $\pi_2(P) = [f]$  of  $\mathbf{P}$ . We denote by

$$\pi_\Lambda : C_\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$$

the restriction of  $\pi_2 : \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$  to

$$C_\Lambda := \pi_2^{-1}(\Lambda) \subset \mathcal{C}^\circ.$$

Note that, if  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}}$  is not empty, then the image of  $\pi_2 : \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$  is a hypersurface, and hence  $\pi_2(\mathcal{C}^\circ) \cap \Lambda$  is a projective plane curve.

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $P = (p, [f])$  be a point of  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}} \cap \mathcal{E}^{A_2}$ , and let  $\Lambda$  be a general plane in  $\mathbf{P}$  passing through  $[f]$ . Then  $C_\Lambda$  is smooth of dimension 1 at  $P \in C_\Lambda$ .*

(1) *Suppose that  $\text{char } k \neq 3$ . Then the morphism  $\pi_\Lambda : C_\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$  has a critical point of  $A_2$ -type at  $P$ .*

(2) *Suppose that  $\text{char } k = 3$ . Then the morphism  $\pi_\Lambda : C_\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$  has a critical point of  $E_6$ -type at  $P$ .*

*Proof.* We use the formal parameter system

$$(x, y) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m)$$

of  $X^\circ \times \mathbf{P}$  at  $P = (p, [f]) \in \mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}}$  given in Construction 4.1. Since  $\Lambda \subset \mathbf{P}$  is a general plane passing through the origin  $[f]$ , we can take

$$u := y_n | \Lambda \quad \text{and} \quad v := y_m | \Lambda$$

as affine coordinates of  $\Lambda$  with the origin  $[f]$ . The linear embedding  $\Lambda \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}$  is given by

$$(5.1) \quad y_n = u, \quad y_m = v, \quad y_i = \alpha_i u + \beta_i v \quad (i \neq n, m),$$

where  $\alpha_i$  and  $\beta_i$  ( $i \neq n, m$ ) are general elements of  $k$ . For a formal power series  $F = F(x, y)$  of  $(x, y)$ , we denote by  $F_\Lambda$  the formal power series of

$$(x, u, v) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, u, v)$$

obtained by making the substitutions (5.1) in  $F$ . In other words, we put

$$F_\Lambda(x, u, v) := F | (X^\circ \times \Lambda).$$

For simplicity, we put

$$\Phi_i := \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_i}.$$

Then  $C_\Lambda$  is defined in  $X^\circ \times \Lambda$  by the equations

$$\Phi_\Lambda = \Phi_{1,\Lambda} = \dots = \Phi_{n,\Lambda} = 0$$

locally at  $P$ . The linear parts  $\Phi_\Lambda^{[1]}, \Phi_{1,\Lambda}^{[1]}, \dots, \Phi_{n,\Lambda}^{[1]}$  of these formal power series are given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\Lambda^{[1]} &= v, \\ \Phi_{i,\Lambda}^{[1]} &= 2x_i + \alpha_i u + \beta_i v \quad (i < n), \\ \Phi_{n,\Lambda}^{[1]} &= u. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $C_\Lambda$  is smooth of dimension 1 at  $P$ , and the variable

$$t := x_n | C_\Lambda$$

is a formal parameter of  $C_\Lambda$  at  $P$ . Hence we can write the functions  $u | C_\Lambda$ ,  $v | C_\Lambda$  and  $x_i | C_\Lambda$  ( $i < n$ ) on  $C_\Lambda$  as formal power series of  $t$  with no constant terms:

$$\begin{aligned} u | C_\Lambda &= U(t) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} U_\nu t^\nu, \\ v | C_\Lambda &= V(t) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} V_\nu t^\nu, \\ x_i | C_\Lambda &= X_i(t) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} X_{i,\nu} t^\nu \quad (i < n). \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove the assertions (1) and (2), it is enough to calculate the coefficients  $U_\nu$  and  $V_\nu$  up to  $\nu = 3$  and up to  $\nu = 4$ , respectively.

The coefficients are calculated by the following algorithm. Let  $(S)$  be a set of substitutions of the form

$$(S) \begin{cases} u = P_u(t), \\ v = P_v(t), \\ x_i = P_{x_i}(t) \quad (i < n), \end{cases}$$

where  $P_u$ ,  $P_v$  and  $P_{x_i}$  are polynomials in  $t$  with coefficients in  $k$  and without constant terms. For a formal power series  $F$  of  $(x, y)$ , we denote by  $s(F, S)$  the formal power series of  $t$  obtained from  $F_\Lambda = F_\Lambda(x, y)$  by making the substitutions  $(S)$  and  $x_n = t$ :

$$s(F, S) := F_\Lambda(P_{x_1}(t), \dots, P_{x_{n-1}}(t), t, P_u(t), P_v(t)).$$

We also denote by  $c(F, S, l)$  the coefficient of  $t^l$  in  $s(F, S)$ .

**The  $(l + 1)$ -st step of the algorithm.** Suppose that we have calculated the coefficients  $U_\nu$ ,  $V_\nu$  and  $X_{i,\nu}$  for  $\nu \leq l$  in such a way that, by making the substitutions

$$(S_l) \begin{cases} u = P_u^{[l]}(t) = \sum_{\nu=1}^l U_\nu t^\nu, \\ v = P_v^{[l]}(t) = \sum_{\nu=1}^l V_\nu t^\nu, \\ x_i = P_{x_i}^{[l]}(t) = \sum_{\nu=1}^l X_{i,\nu} t^\nu \quad (i < n) \end{cases}$$

and  $x_n = t$  to the formal power series  $\Phi_\Lambda, \Phi_{1,\Lambda}, \dots, \Phi_{n,\Lambda}$  defining  $C_\Lambda$  in  $X^\circ \times \Lambda$ , we obtain

$$c(\Phi, S_l, \lambda) = c(\Phi_1, S_l, \lambda) = \dots = c(\Phi_n, S_l, \lambda) = 0$$

for  $\lambda \leq l$ . We then put

$$(S_{l+1}) \begin{cases} u = P_u^{[l]}(t) + U_{l+1} t^{l+1}, \\ v = P_v^{[l]}(t) + V_{l+1} t^{l+1}, \\ x_i = P_{x_i}^{[l]}(t) + X_{i,l+1} t^{l+1} \quad (i < n), \end{cases}$$

and solve the equations

$$c(\Phi, S_{l+1}, l + 1) = c(\Phi_1, S_{l+1}, l + 1) = \dots = c(\Phi_n, S_{l+1}, l + 1) = 0$$

with indeterminates being the new coefficients  $U_{l+1}$ ,  $V_{l+1}$  and  $X_{i,l+1}$  ( $i < n$ ).

A monomial  $M$  of  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is said to be of degree  $[\lambda, \mu]$  if  $M$  is of degree  $\lambda$  in  $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$  and of degree  $\mu$  in  $x_n$ . For a formal power series  $F$  of  $x$ , we denote by  $F^{[\lambda, \mu]}$  the homogeneous part of degree  $[\lambda, \mu]$ . Let  $M$  be a monomial of  $(x, y)$ , or of  $(x, u, v)$ . We say that  $M$  is of degree  $[\lambda, \mu, \nu]$  if  $M$  is of degree  $[\lambda, \mu]$  in



$x$ , and is of degree  $\nu$  in  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_m)$  or in  $(u, v)$ , respectively. Let  $F$  be a formal power series of  $(x, y)$ , or of  $(x, u, v)$ . We denote by  $F^{[\lambda, \mu, \nu]}$  the homogeneous part of  $F$  with degree  $[\lambda, \mu, \nu]$ . Since the embedding  $\Lambda \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}$  is linear, we obviously have

$$(F^{[\lambda, \mu, \nu]})_\Lambda = (F_\Lambda)^{[\lambda, \mu, \nu]}$$

for a formal power series  $F$  of  $(x, y)$ . If the substitutions

$$(S) \begin{cases} u &= P_u(t), \\ v &= P_v(t), \\ x_i &= P_{x_i}(t) \quad (i < n) \end{cases}$$

satisfy

$$\text{ord}_{t=0} P_u(t) \geq A, \quad \text{ord}_{t=0} P_v(t) \geq A, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ord}_{t=0} P_{x_i}(t) \geq B \quad (i < n),$$

then we have

$$c(F, S, l) = \sum_{B\lambda + \mu + A\nu \leq l} c(F^{[\lambda, \mu, \nu]}, S, l).$$

Recall that

$$\Phi = \phi_0 + y_1 x_1 + \dots + y_n x_n + y_{n+1} \phi_{n+1} + \dots + y_{m-1} \phi_{m-1} + y_m,$$

where  $\phi_0, \phi_{n+1}, \dots, \phi_{m-1}$  are formal power series of  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_0^{[0,0]} &= \phi_{n+1}^{[0,0]} = \dots = \phi_{m-1}^{[0,0]} = 0, \\ \phi_0^{[0,1]} &= \phi_{n+1}^{[0,1]} = \dots = \phi_{m-1}^{[0,1]} = \phi_0^{[1,0]} = \phi_{n+1}^{[1,0]} = \dots = \phi_{m-1}^{[1,0]} = 0, \\ \phi_0^{[2,0]} &= x_1^2 + \dots + x_{n-1}^2, \quad \phi_0^{[1,1]} = \phi_0^{[0,2]} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Recall also that  $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_{n+1}, \dots, b_{m-1}$  are defined in Proposition 4.3 by

$$\phi_0^{[1,2]} = (a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_{n-1} x_{n-1}) x_n^2, \quad \phi_0^{[0,3]} = a_n x_n^3,$$

and

$$\phi_j^{[0,2]} = b_j x_n^2 \quad (j = n+1, \dots, m-1).$$

By the assumption  $P \in \mathcal{E}^{A_2}$ , we have

$$a_n \neq 0.$$

We define  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_0^{[1,3]} &= (e_1 x_1 + \dots + e_{n-1} x_{n-1}) x_n^3, & \phi_0^{[0,4]} &= e_n x_n^4, \\ \phi_0^{[1,4]} &= (f_1 x_1 + \dots + f_{n-1} x_{n-1}) x_n^4, & \phi_0^{[0,5]} &= f_n x_n^5. \end{aligned}$$

We also define homogeneous polynomials  $A_i(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$  ( $i < n$ ) of degree 1 and  $B(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$  of degree 2 by

$$A_i := \frac{1}{x_n} \frac{\partial \phi_0^{[2,1]}}{\partial x_i}, \quad B := \frac{\partial \phi_0^{[2,1]}}{\partial x_n}.$$

Then we obtain Table 5.1.

**Step 1.** We put

$$(S_1) \begin{cases} u &= U_1 t, \\ v &= V_1 t, \\ x_i &= X_{i,1} t \quad (i < n). \end{cases}$$

$[\lambda, \mu, \nu]$	$F = \Phi$	$F = \Phi_i \ (i < n)$	$F = \Phi_n$
$[0, 0, 0]$	0	0	0
$[0, 0, 1]$	$y_m$	$y_i$	$y_n$
$[0, 1, 0]$	0	0	0
$[0, 1, 1]$	$y_n x_n$	—	$2 \left( \sum_{j=n+1}^{m-1} b_j y_j \right) x_n$
$[0, 2, 0]$	0	$a_i x_n^2$	$3 a_n x_n^2$
$[0, 3, 0]$	$a_n x_n^3$	$e_i x_n^3$	$4 e_n x_n^3$
$[0, 4, 0]$	$e_n x_n^4$	$f_i x_n^4$	$5 f_n x_n^4$
$[1, 0, 0]$	0	$2x_i$	0
$[1, 1, 0]$	0	$A_i(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) x_n$	$2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i x_i \right) x_n$
$[1, 2, 0]$	$\left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i x_i \right) x_n^2$	—	0 if char $k = 3$
$[2, 0, 0]$	$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i^2$	—	$B(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})$
if $\nu > 1$	0	0	0

 TABLE 5.1.  $F^{[\lambda, \mu, \nu]}$  for  $F = \Phi, \Phi_i \ (i < n)$  and  $\Phi_n$ 

Then we have

$$c(F, S_1, 1) = \sum_{\lambda+\mu+\nu \leq 1} c(F^{[\lambda, \mu, \nu]}, S_1, 1)$$

for any formal power series  $F$  of  $(x, y)$ . Therefore we obtain equations

$$V_1 = 0, \quad 2X_{i,1} + \alpha_i U_1 + \beta_i V_1 = 0 \quad (i < n), \quad U_1 = 0.$$

Hence we get

$$U_1 = V_1 = X_{i,1} = 0 \quad (i < n).$$

**Step 2.** We put

$$(S_2) \begin{cases} u &= U_2 t^2, \\ v &= V_2 t^2, \\ x_i &= X_{i,2} t^2 \end{cases} \quad (i < n).$$

Then we have

$$c(F, S_2, 2) = \sum_{2\lambda+\mu+2\nu \leq 2} c(F^{[\lambda, \mu, \nu]}, S_2, 2).$$

Therefore we obtain equations

$$\begin{aligned} V_2 &= 0, \\ \alpha_i U_2 + \beta_i V_2 + a_i + 2X_{i,2} &= 0 \quad (i < n), \\ U_2 + 3a_n &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get

$$U_2 = -3a_n, \quad V_2 = 0, \quad X_{i,2} = (3a_n \alpha_i - a_i)/2 \quad (i < n).$$

**Step 3.** We put

$$(S_3) \begin{cases} u &= U_2 t^2 + U_3 t^3, \\ v &= V_3 t^3, \\ x_i &= X_{i,2} t^2 + X_{i,3} t^3 \quad (i < n). \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$c(F, S_3, 3) = \sum_{2\lambda + \mu + 2\nu \leq 3} c(F^{[\lambda, \mu, \nu]}, S_3, 3).$$

Putting  $F = \Phi$  in this formula, we obtain an equation

$$V_3 + U_2 + a_n = 0.$$

Hence we get

$$V_3 = 2a_n.$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} u|_{C_\Lambda} &= -3a_n t^2 + (\text{terms of degree } \geq 3), \\ v|_{C_\Lambda} &= 2a_n t^3 + (\text{terms of degree } \geq 4). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the assertion (1) in char  $k \neq 3$  is proved.

From now on, we assume char  $k = 3$ . Then we have

$$U_2 = 3a_n = 0, \quad X_{i,2} = a_i \quad (i < n),$$

and the substitutions  $(S_3)$  become as follows:

$$(S_3) \begin{cases} u &= U_3 t^3, \\ v &= V_3 t^3, \\ x_i &= X_{i,2} t^2 + X_{i,3} t^3 \quad (i < n). \end{cases}$$

Therefore we have

$$c(F, S_3, 3) = \sum_{2\lambda + \mu + 3\nu \leq 3} c(F^{[\lambda, \mu, \nu]}, S_3, 3).$$

Hence we get equations

$$V_3 + a_n = 0,$$

$$\alpha_i U_3 + \beta_i V_3 + e_i + 2X_{i,3} + A_i(X_{1,2}, \dots, X_{n-1,2}) = 0 \quad (i < n),$$

$$U_3 + e_n + 2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i X_{i,2} \right) = 0.$$

Thus we obtain

$$U_3 = 2e_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i^2, \quad V_3 = 2a_n,$$

and

$$X_{i,3} = \alpha_i U_3 + \beta_i V_3 + \Xi_i = \alpha_i (2e_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i^2) + 2\beta_i a_n + \Xi_i \quad (i < n),$$

where  $\Xi_1, \dots, \Xi_{n-1}$  do not depend on the parameters  $\alpha_j$  nor  $\beta_j$  ( $j \neq n, m$ ).

**Step 4.** We put

$$(S_4) \begin{cases} u &= U_3 t^3 + U_4 t^4, \\ v &= V_3 t^3 + V_4 t^4, \\ x_i &= X_{i,2} t^2 + X_{i,3} t^3 + X_{i,4} t^4 \quad (i < n). \end{cases}$$

We have

$$c(F, S_4, 4) = \sum_{2\lambda + \mu + 3\nu \leq 4} c(F^{[\lambda, \mu, \nu]}, S_4, 4).$$

Putting  $F = \Phi$  and  $F = \Phi_n$  into this formula, we obtain equations

$$\begin{aligned} V_4 + U_3 + e_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i X_{i,2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} X_{i,2}^2 &= 0, \quad \text{and} \\ U_4 + 2 \sum_{j=n+1}^{m-1} b_j (\alpha_j U_3 + \beta_j V_3) + 2f_n + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i X_{i,3} + B(X_{1,2}, \dots, X_{n-1,2}) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

From the first equation, we obtain

$$V_4 = -U_3 - e_n - 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i^2 = 0.$$

Since  $V_3 = 2a_n \neq 0$ , the critical point  $P$  of  $\pi_\Lambda$  is of  $E_6$ -type if and only if  $U_4 \neq 0$ . From the second equation, we obtain

$$U_4 = U_3 \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i \alpha_i + \sum_{j=n+1}^{m-1} b_j \alpha_j \right) + V_3 \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i \beta_i + \sum_{j=n+1}^{m-1} b_j \beta_j \right) + \Upsilon,$$

where  $\Upsilon$  does not depend on the parameters  $\alpha_j$  nor  $\beta_j$  ( $j \neq n, m$ ). From Corollary 4.7 and the assumption  $P \in \mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}}$ , at least one of  $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, b_{n+1}, \dots, b_{m-1}$  is not zero. Since  $V_3 = 2a_n \neq 0$ , by choosing  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}, \beta_{n+1}, \dots, \beta_{m-1}$  general enough, we have  $U_4 \neq 0$ .  $\square$

## 6. THE DUAL CURVE OF A PLANE CURVE IN CHARACTERISTIC 3

Throughout this section, we suppose that  $\text{char } k = 3$  and  $(n, m) = (1, 2)$ .

Recall that, in the case  $(n, m) = (1, 2)$ , the projection  $\pi_1 : \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow X^\circ$  is an isomorphism, and  $\pi_2 : \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$  is identified with the Gauss map (Remark 3.11).

**Theorem 6.1.** (1) *The critical subscheme  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $\pi_2 : \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$  is of dimension 0 if and only if  $\pi_2$  is separable onto its image.*

(2) *Suppose that  $\pi_2$  is separable onto its image. Then, at every point  $P$  of  $\mathcal{E}$ , the length of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}, P}$  is a multiple of 3. Let  $P = (p, [f])$  be a point of  $\mathcal{E}^{A_2}$ . Then  $\pi_2$  has a critical point of  $T_l$ -type at  $P$ , where  $l := \text{length } \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}, P}/3$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\pi_2$  is inseparable onto its image, then the generic point of  $\mathcal{C}^\circ$  is contained in  $\mathcal{E}$ , and hence  $\dim \mathcal{E} = \dim \mathcal{C}^\circ = 1$ . Conversely, suppose that  $\pi_2$  is separable onto its image. Let  $P = (p, [f])$  be a point of  $\mathcal{E}$ . We use the formal parameters  $(x_1, y_1, y_2)$  of  $X^\circ \times \mathbf{P}$  given in Construction 4.1. We put

$$\phi_0 = c_3 x_1^3 + c_4 x_1^4 + \dots = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} c_{3\nu} x_1^{3\nu} + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} c_{3\nu+1} x_1^{3\nu+1} + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} c_{3\nu+2} x_1^{3\nu+2}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{C}^\circ$  is defined locally at  $P$  by the equations

$$\phi_0 + y_1 x_1 + y_2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \phi'_0 + y_1 = 0.$$

Therefore

$$t := x_1 |_{\mathcal{C}^\circ}$$

is a formal parameter of  $\mathcal{C}^\circ$  at  $P$ , and  $\pi_2 : \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$  is given by

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi_2^* y_1 &= -\phi'_0 |_{\mathcal{C}^\circ} = -\sum c_{3\nu+1} t^{3\nu} + \sum c_{3\nu+2} t^{3\nu+1}, \\ \pi_2^* y_2 &= (\phi'_0 x_1 - \phi_0) |_{\mathcal{C}^\circ} = -\sum c_{3\nu} t^{3\nu} + \sum c_{3\nu+2} t^{3\nu+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\pi_2$  is separable, there exists a positive integer  $\nu$  such that  $c_{3\nu+2} \neq 0$ . By Corollary 3.17, the scheme  $\mathcal{E}$  is defined on  $\mathcal{C}^\circ$  by

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x_1^2} \Big|_{\mathcal{C}^\circ} = \phi''_0 |_{\mathcal{C}^\circ} = -\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} c_{3\nu+2} t^{3\nu} = 0.$$

Therefore  $\dim_P \mathcal{E}$  is 0, and the length of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E},P}$  is equal to  $3l$ , where

$$l := \min\{\nu \mid c_{3\nu+2} \neq 0\}.$$

If  $P \in \mathcal{E}^{A_2}$ , then  $c_3 \neq 0$ . Therefore, from (6.1), we see that  $\pi_2$  has a critical point of  $T_l$ -type at  $P$ .  $\square$

In the rest of this section, we will investigate normal forms of a critical point of  $T_l$ -type. Let  $\varphi : C \rightarrow S$  be a morphism given in §2.1.

**Proposition 6.2.** *Suppose that  $\varphi$  has a critical point of  $T_l$ -type at  $P \in C$ . Then there exist a formal parameter  $t$  of  $(\mathcal{O}_{C,P})^\wedge$  and a formal parameter system  $(u, v)$  of  $(\mathcal{O}_{S,\varphi(P)})^\wedge$  such that  $\varphi$  is given by*

$$\varphi^* u = t^{3l+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi^* v = t^3 + t^{3l+2}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $t$  and  $(u, v)$  be arbitrary formal parameters of  $(\mathcal{O}_{C,P})^\wedge$  and  $(\mathcal{O}_{S,\varphi(P)})^\wedge$ , respectively. For  $F \in (\mathcal{O}_{S,\varphi(P)})^\wedge$ , we denote by  $F_{[t,\nu]}$  the coefficient of  $t^\nu$  in  $\varphi^* F \in (\mathcal{O}_{C,P})^\wedge = k[[t]]$ . For  $A, B \in (\mathcal{O}_{C,P})^\wedge$ , we write  $A = B + [\geq N]$  if  $A - B$  is contained in the  $N$ th power of the maximal ideal of  $(\mathcal{O}_{C,P})^\wedge$ . By the definition of the critical point of  $T_l$ -type, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^* u &= u_{[t,3]} t^3 + u_{[t,6]} t^6 + \cdots + u_{[t,3l]} t^{3l} + u_{[t,3l+1]} t^{3l+1} + u_{[t,3l+2]} t^{3l+2} + [\geq 3l+3], \\ \varphi^* v &= v_{[t,3]} t^3 + v_{[t,6]} t^6 + \cdots + v_{[t,3l]} t^{3l} + v_{[t,3l+1]} t^{3l+1} + v_{[t,3l+2]} t^{3l+2} + [\geq 3l+3], \end{aligned}$$

and the coefficients  $u_{[t,\nu]}$  and  $v_{[t,\nu]}$  satisfy (2.2). Since  $(u_{[t,3]}, v_{[t,3]}) \neq (0, 0)$ , we can assume that

$$(6.2) \quad u_{[t,3]} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad v_{[t,3]} = 1$$

by a linear transformation of  $(u, v)$ . If  $r \geq 2$ , then we have

$$(v^r)_{[t,\nu]} \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \nu \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3} \implies \nu \geq 3l+4.$$

Therefore, replacing  $u$  with

$$u - c_2 v^2 - \cdots - c_l v^l$$

with appropriate coefficients  $c_2, \dots, c_l$ , we can assume that

$$\varphi^* u = u_{[t,3l+1]} t^{3l+1} + u_{[t,3l+2]} t^{3l+2} + [\geq 3l+3].$$

By (6.2) and the condition (2.2), we have  $u_{[t,3l+1]} \neq 0$ . Therefore there exists a formal parameter  $s$  of  $(\mathcal{O}_{C,P})^\wedge$  such that

$$\varphi^*u = s^{3l+1}.$$

By  $u_{[s,3]} = 0$  and the condition (2.2), we can assume

$$v_{[s,3]} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad v_{[s,3l+1]} = 0$$

by a linear transformation of  $(u, v)$ . If  $r \geq 2$ , then we have

$$(v^r)_{[s,\nu]} \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \nu \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3} \implies \nu \geq 3l + 5.$$

Therefore, replacing  $v$  with

$$v - d_2v^2 - \dots - d_lv^l$$

with appropriate coefficients  $d_2, \dots, d_l$ , we can assume that

$$\varphi^*v = s^3 + v_{[s,3l+2]}s^{3l+2} + [\geq 3l + 3].$$

By the condition (2.2) again, we have  $v_{[s,3l+2]} \neq 0$ . Replacing  $(u, v, s)$  with  $(\alpha u, \beta v, \gamma s)$  with appropriate  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in k^\times$ , and denoting  $s$  by  $t$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^*u &= t^{3l+1}, & \text{and} \\ \varphi^*v &= t^3 + t^{3l+2} + [\geq 3l + 3]. \end{aligned}$$

We put

$$T := \{ 3a + (3l + 1)b \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \},$$

and fix functions

$$m_1 : T \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \quad \text{and} \quad m_2 : T \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$$

such that

$$3m_1(\nu) + (3l + 1)m_2(\nu) = \nu$$

holds for every  $\nu \in T$ . It is easy to see that a non-negative integer  $\nu$  is in  $T$  if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} &(\nu \leq 3l \quad \text{and} \quad \nu \equiv 0 \pmod{3}) \\ \text{or} & \quad (3l < \nu \leq 6l + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \nu \not\equiv 2 \pmod{3}) \\ \text{or} & \quad (6l + 1 < \nu) \end{aligned}$$

holds. Therefore, replacing  $v$  with

$$v - \sum_{\nu \geq 3l+3, \nu \in T} e_\nu u^{m_2(\nu)} v^{m_1(\nu)}$$

with coefficients  $e_\nu$  chosen appropriately, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^*u &= t^{3l+1}, & \text{and} \\ \varphi^*v &= t^3 + t^{3l+2} + \sum_{\mu=1}^{l-1} A_\mu t^{3l+3\mu+2} \end{aligned}$$

with  $A_1, \dots, A_{l-1} \in k$ . If the coefficients  $A_\mu$  are all zero, then the proof is finished. Assume that  $A_\mu \neq 0$  for some  $\mu < l$ , and put

$$m := \min\{ \mu \mid A_\mu \neq 0 \}.$$

We put

$$u' := u - A_m uv^m.$$

Then we have

$$\varphi^* u' = t^{3l+1} - A_m t^{3l+3m+1} + [\geq 6l + 3m].$$

There exists a formal parameter  $s$  of  $(\mathcal{O}_{C,P})^\wedge$  such that

$$\varphi^* u' = s^{3l+1}.$$

Then we have

$$s = t - A_m t^{3m+1} + [\geq 3m + 2],$$

and therefore

$$t = s + A_m s^{3m+1} + [\geq 3m + 2].$$

Let  $R_r$  ( $r \geq 3m + 1$ ) be the coefficients in

$$t^3 = s^3 + \sum_{r \geq 3m+1} R_r s^{3r}.$$

Because  $3l + 2 \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$ , we have

$$t^{3l+2} + A_m t^{3l+3m+2} + [\geq 3l + 3m + 3] = s^{3l+2} + [\geq 3l + 3m + 3].$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\varphi^* v = s^3 + \sum_{r=3m+1}^{l+m} R_r s^{3r} + s^{3l+2} + [\geq 3l + 3m + 3].$$

If  $r \geq 3m + 1$ , then we have

$$(v^r)_{[s,\nu]} \neq 0 \text{ and } \nu \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3} \implies \nu \geq 3(r-1) + 3l + 2 \geq 3l + 3m + 3.$$

Therefore, replacing  $v$  with

$$v - \sum_{r=3m+1}^{l+m} R'_r v^r$$

with appropriate coefficients  $R'_r$ , we can assume that

$$\varphi^* v = s^3 + s^{3l+2} + [\geq 3l + 3m + 3].$$

Replacing  $v$  with

$$v - \sum_{\nu \geq 3l+3m+3, \nu \in T} f_\nu u^{m_2(\nu)} v^{m_1(\nu)}$$

with appropriate coefficients  $f_\nu$  and denoting  $u'$  by  $u$  and  $s$  by  $t$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^* u &= t^{3l+1} && \text{and} \\ \varphi^* v &= t^3 + t^{3l+2} + \sum_{\mu=m+1}^{l-1} A'_\mu t^{3l+3\mu+2} \end{aligned}$$

with new coefficients  $A'_{m+1}, \dots, A'_{l-1}$ . Thus we have

$$\min\{\mu \mid A'_\mu \neq 0\} > m = \min\{\mu \mid A_\mu \neq 0\}.$$

Therefore, after repeating this process finitely often, we obtain formal power series with the desired properties.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.3.** *Suppose that  $\varphi$  has a critical point of  $T_l$ -type at  $P \in C$ . Then the image of the germ  $(C, P)$  by  $\varphi$  is formally isomorphic to the germ of a plane curve singularity defined by*

$$(6.3) \quad x^{3l+1} + y^3 + x^{2l}y^2 = 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $C_l \subset \mathbb{A}^2$  be the affine curve defined by the equation (6.3), and let

$$\nu : \widetilde{C}_l \rightarrow C_l$$

be the normalization in a neighborhood of  $O := (0, 0)$ . Let  $P \in \widetilde{C}_l$  be a point such that  $\nu(P) = O$ . It is enough to show that  $\nu^{-1}(O)$  consists of a single point  $P$  (that is,  $C_l$  is locally irreducible at  $O$ ), and that the composite of  $\nu$  and the inclusion  $C_l \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$  has a critical point of  $T_l$ -type at  $P$ .

We denote by  $D_{m,n}$  the affine curve defined by

$$x^{m+1} + y^3 + x^n y^2 = 0.$$

We have  $C_l = D_{3l,2l}$ . Let  $\beta : (\mathbb{A}^2)^\sim \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$  be the blowing-up at  $O$ . The proper transform of  $D_{m,n}$  ( $m \geq 3, n \geq 2$ ) by  $\beta$  is isomorphic to  $D_{m-3,n-1}$ , and the proper birational morphism

$$\psi_{m,n} := \beta | D_{m-3,n-1}$$

is given by  $(x, y) \mapsto (x, xy)$ . We also have

$$\psi_{m,n}^{-1}(O) = \{O\}.$$

Since

$$D_{0,l} : x + y^3 + x^l y^2 = 0$$

is smooth at  $O$ , the curve  $D_{3l,2l} = C_l$  is locally irreducible at  $O$ , and the composite

$$\nu : D_{0,l} \xrightarrow{\psi_{3,l+1}} D_{3,l+1} \xrightarrow{\psi_{6,l+2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\psi_{3l,2l}} D_{3l,2l} = C_l$$

is the normalization of  $C_l$  in a neighborhood of  $O$ . We put

$$t := y | D_{0,l},$$

which is a formal parameter of  $D_{0,l}$  at  $O$ . Then

$$x | D_{0,l} = -t^3 - (-1)^l t^{3l+2} + (\text{terms of degree } \geq 3l+3).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \nu^*(x | C_l) = x | D_{0,l} &= -t^3 - (-1)^l t^{3l+2} + (\text{terms of degree } \geq 3l+3) \quad \text{and} \\ \nu^*(y | C_l) = (x^l y) | D_{0,l} &= (-1)^l t^{3l+1} + (\text{terms of degree } \geq 3l+3), \end{aligned}$$

we see that the composite of  $\nu : D_{0,l} \rightarrow C_l$  and the inclusion  $C_l \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$  has a critical point of  $T_l$ -type at  $O \in D_{0,l}$ .  $\square$

## 7. THE DEGREE OF $\mathcal{E}$

For a smooth projective variety  $V$ , we denote by  $A_k(V) = A^{\dim V - k}(V)$  the abelian group of rational equivalence classes of  $k$ -cycles of  $V$ , and by  $A_*(V)$  the Chow group of  $V$ . For a closed subscheme  $W$  of  $V$ , let  $[W] \in A_*(V)$  be the class of  $W$ . We denote by

$$\int_V : A_0(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

the degree map  $\sum_P n_P [P] \mapsto \sum_P n_P$ .

In this section, we assume the following:

$$(7.1) \quad \overline{X} = X = X^\circ;$$

that is,  $\overline{X}$  is smooth, the linear system  $|M|$  on  $\overline{X}$  has no base points, and the morphism  $\Psi : \overline{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^V$  induced by  $|M|$  is a closed immersion formally at every



point of  $\bar{X}$ . We have  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^\circ$ . For simplicity, we denote by  $X$  for  $\bar{X}$  or  $X^\circ$  and by  $\mathcal{C}$  for  $\mathcal{C}^\circ$ . We also assume that

$$(7.2) \quad \mathcal{E} \text{ is of codimension 1 in } \mathcal{C}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  are closed subschemes of dimensions  $m-1$  and  $m-2$ , respectively, in the smooth projective variety  $X \times \mathbf{P}$ . The purpose of this section is to calculate

$$\deg \mathcal{C} := \int_{X \times \mathbf{P}} c_1(\mathrm{pr}_2^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(1))^{m-1} \cap [\mathcal{C}] \quad \text{and} \quad \deg \mathcal{E} := \int_{X \times \mathbf{P}} c_1(\mathrm{pr}_2^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(1))^{m-2} \cap [\mathcal{E}].$$

For  $\alpha \in A^a(X)$  and  $\beta \in A^b(\mathbf{P})$ , we denote by the same letters  $\alpha \in A^a(X \times \mathbf{P})$  and  $\beta \in A^b(X \times \mathbf{P})$  the pull-backs of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  by the projections. We put

$$h := c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(1)) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda := c_1(\mathcal{L}).$$

It is easy to see that, if  $\alpha \in A^a(X)$  and  $\beta \in A^b(\mathbf{P})$ , then

$$\int_{X \times \mathbf{P}} h^{(n+m)-(a+b)} \cap \alpha \beta = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a < n, \\ (\int_X \alpha) \cdot (\int_{\mathbf{P}} h^{m-b} \cap \beta) & \text{if } a = n. \end{cases}$$

By the definition of the divisor  $\mathcal{D}$  of  $X \times \mathbf{P}$ , we have

$$\mathcal{O}_{X \times \mathbf{P}}(\mathcal{D}) = \tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \mathrm{pr}_1^* \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathrm{pr}_2^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(1).$$

Therefore

$$[\mathcal{D}] = (\lambda + h) \cap [X \times \mathbf{P}] \quad \text{in } A_*(X \times \mathbf{P}).$$

By Proposition 3.4, the subscheme  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\mathcal{D}$  is defined as the degeneracy subscheme of the homomorphism

$$(d\sigma_X)^\vee : (\tilde{\mathcal{L}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}})^\vee \rightarrow (p_1^* T(X))^\vee.$$

Using Thom-Porteous formula [3, Chapter 14], we have

$$[\mathcal{C}] = \Delta_n^{(1)}(c(T(X)^\vee - \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^\vee)) \cap [\mathcal{D}] = \left( (\lambda + h) \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i c_i(X) (\lambda + h)^{n-i} \right) \cap [X \times \mathbf{P}]$$

in  $A_*(X \times \mathbf{P})$ . In particular, we obtain the following well-known formula ([14, 15]):

$$\deg \mathcal{C} = \sum_{i=0}^n \left\{ (-1)^i (n-i+1) \int_X c_i(X) \lambda^{n-i} \cap [X] \right\}.$$

By Proposition 3.14, the divisor  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  is defined as the degeneracy subscheme of the symmetric homomorphism

$$\mathcal{H} : \pi_1^* T(X) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{L}} \otimes \pi_1^* T(X)^\vee$$

By Harris-Tu-Pragacz formula ([5, Theorem 10], [20, Theorem 4.1], see also [10]), we have

$$[\mathcal{E}] = 2c_1 \left( \pi_1^* T(X)^\vee \otimes \sqrt{\tilde{\mathcal{L}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}} \right) \cap [\mathcal{C}] \in A^1(\mathcal{C}).$$

Hence we obtain the following. (Compare with [2, Formula (2.2)].)

**Proposition 7.1.** *In  $A_*(X \times \mathbf{P})$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{E}] &= (-2c_1(X) + n\lambda + nh) \cap [\mathcal{C}] \\ &= \left( (-2c_1(X) + n\lambda + nh)(\lambda + h) \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i c_i(X) (\lambda + h)^{n-i} \right) \cap [X \times \mathbf{P}]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \deg \mathcal{E} &= n \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(-1)^{n-j}(j+1)(j+2)}{2} \int_X c_{n-j}(X) \lambda^j \cap [X] - \\ &\quad \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{n-j} j(j+1) \int_X c_{n-j}(X) c_1(X) \lambda^{j-1} \cap [X]. \end{aligned}$$

**Example 7.2.** Suppose that  $\text{char } k = 3$ . Let  $X$  be a smooth projective curve of genus  $g$ , and let  $|M|$  be a 2-dimensional linear system on  $X$  without base points such that the induced morphism  $\Psi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^\vee = \mathbb{P}^2$  is a closed immersion formally at every point of  $X$ . Let

$$\gamma : X \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^2)^\vee = \mathbf{P}$$

be the Gauss map of  $\Psi$ . For a point  $p \in X$ , let  $\mu_p$  denote the multiplicity at  $p$  of the divisor  $\Psi^*(\gamma(p))$ . Suppose that

- (i)  $\mu_p \leq 3$  at every point  $p \in X$ , and
- (ii) there exists  $p \in X$  such that  $\mu_p = 2$ .

Then  $\gamma : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$  is separable onto its image. Hence  $\mathcal{E}$  is of dimension 0, and every critical point of  $\gamma$  is of  $T_l$ -type by Theorem 6.1. Let  $t_l$  be the number of the critical points of  $T_l$ -type. Then we have

$$\sum t_l = \frac{\text{length } \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}}{3} = \frac{\deg \mathcal{E}}{3} = \int_X (\lambda - c_1(X)) \cap [X] = \deg \Psi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1) - 2 + 2g.$$

Therefore the formula (1.1) is proved.

In characteristic 3, the morphism  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$  factors through the finite morphism  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}})^{\mathcal{K}}$  of degree 3 by Theorem 4.5. If  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{E}$ , then  $\deg \mathcal{E}$  must be divisible by 3. If we take  $\mathcal{L}$  to be a cube of a very ample line bundle, then the assumptions (7.1) and (7.2) are satisfied and  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{sm}}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{E}$  by Corollary 4.10. Therefore we obtain the following non-trivial divisibility relation among the Chern numbers of a smooth projective variety in characteristic 3:

**Corollary 7.3.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety of dimension  $n$  in characteristic 3. Then the integer*

$$\int_X (n c_n(X) + 2 c_{n-1}(X) c_1(X)) \cap [X]$$

*is divisible by 3.*

In fact, this divisibility relation follows from the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem by the argument of Libgober and Wood. See [18, Remark 2.4].

## REFERENCES

1. M. Artin, *Coverings of the rational double points in characteristic  $p$* , Complex analysis and algebraic geometry, Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo, 1977, pp. 11–22.
2. Igor Dolgachev and Anatoly Libgober, *On the fundamental group of the complement to a discriminant variety*, Algebraic geometry (Chicago, Ill., 1980), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 862, Springer, Berlin, 1981, pp. 1–25.
3. William Fulton, *Intersection theory*, second ed., Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 2, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.

4. G.-M. Greuel and H. Kröning, *Simple singularities in positive characteristic*, Math. Z. **203** (1990), no. 2, 339–354.
5. Joe Harris and Loring W. Tu, *On symmetric and skew-symmetric determinantal varieties*, Topology **23** (1984), no. 1, 71–84.
6. A. Hefez and A. Thorup, *Reflexivity of Grassmannians and Segre varieties*, Comm. Algebra **15** (1987), no. 6, 1095–1108.
7. Abramo Hefez, *Nonreflexive curves*, Compositio Math. **69** (1989), no. 1, 3–35.
8. Abramo Hefez and Steven L. Kleiman, *Notes on the duality of projective varieties*, Geometry today (Rome, 1984), Progr. Math., vol. 60, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1985, pp. 143–183.
9. Masaaki Homma, *On duals of smooth plane curves*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **118** (1993), no. 3, 785–790.
10. T. Józefiak, A. Lascoux, and P. Pragacz, *Classes of determinantal varieties associated with symmetric and skew-symmetric matrices*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **45** (1981), no. 3, 662–673.
11. Hajime Kaji, *On the Gauss maps of space curves in characteristic  $p$* , Compositio Math. **70** (1989), no. 2, 177–197.
12. ———, *On the duals of Segre varieties*, Geom. Dedicata **99** (2003), 221–229.
13. Hajime Kaji and Atsushi Noma, *On the generic injectivity of the Gauss map in positive characteristic*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **482** (1997), 215–224.
14. N. M. Katz, *Pinceaux de Lefschetz: théorème d'existence*, Groupes de monodromie en géométrie algébrique. II, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1967–1969 (SGA 7 II), Dirigé par P. Deligne et N. Katz, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 340, pp. 212–253.
15. S. L. Kleiman, *The enumerative theory of singularities*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **35** (1980), no. 6(216), 69–148, Translated from the English by V. S. Kulikov.
16. Steven L. Kleiman, *About the conormal scheme*, Complete intersections (Acireale, 1983), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1092, Springer, Berlin, 1984, pp. 161–197.
17. ———, *Tangency and duality*, Proceedings of the 1984 Vancouver conference in algebraic geometry (Providence, RI), CMS Conf. Proc., vol. 6, Amer. Math. Soc., 1986, pp. 163–225.
18. Anatoly S. Libgober and John W. Wood, *Uniqueness of the complex structure on Kähler manifolds of certain homotopy types*, J. Differential Geom. **32** (1990), no. 1, 139–154.
19. Rita Pardini, *Some remarks on plane curves over fields of finite characteristic*, Compositio Math. **60** (1986), no. 1, 3–17.
20. Piotr Pragacz, *Cycles of isotropic subspaces and formulas for symmetric degeneracy loci*, Topics in algebra, Part 2 (Warsaw, 1988), Banach Center Publ., vol. 26, PWN, Warsaw, 1990, pp. 189–199.
21. C. S. Seshadri, *L'op  $\bar{\mathbb{R}}$  ation de Cartier. Applications*, Séminaire C. Chevalley, 3ième année: 1958/59. Variétés de Picard, École Normale Supérieure, Paris, 1960, pp. 83–135.
22. Ichiro Shimada, *Singularities of dual varieties in characteristic 2*, preprint.  
<http://www.math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp/~shimada/preprints.html>
23. ———, *Lattices of algebraic cycles on Fermat varieties in positive characteristics*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **82** (2001), no. 1, 131–172.
24. Andrew H. Wallace, *Tangency and duality over arbitrary fields*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **6** (1956), 321–342.

DIVISION OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY, SAPPORO 060-0810, JAPAN

*E-mail address:* [shimada@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp](mailto:shimada@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp)