



Title	Al _{0.44} Ga _{0.56} N spacer layer to prevent electron accumulation inside barriers in lattice-matched InAlN/AlGaIn/AlN/GaN heterostructures
Author(s)	Akazawa, M.; Gao, B.; Hashizume, T. et al.
Citation	Applied Physics Letters, 98(14), 142117 https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3578449
Issue Date	2011-04-04
Doc URL	https://hdl.handle.net/2115/45385
Rights	Copyright 2011 American Institute of Physics. This article may be downloaded for personal use only. Any other use requires prior permission of the author and the American Institute of Physics. The following article appeared in Appl. Phys. Lett. 98, 142117 and may be found at https://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3578449
Type	journal article
File Information	APL98-14_142117.pdf



Al_{0.44}Ga_{0.56}N spacer layer to prevent electron accumulation inside barriers in lattice-matched InAlN/AlGaN/AlN/GaN heterostructures

M. Akazawa,^{1,2,a)} B. Gao,¹ T. Hashizume,^{1,2} M. Hiroki,³ S. Yamahata,³ and N. Shigekawa³

¹Research Center for Integrated Quantum Electronics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-8628, Japan

²JST-CREST, Sanbancho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 102-0075, Japan

³NTT Photonics Laboratories, NTT Corporation, Atsugi, Kanagawa 243-0198, Japan

(Received 13 February 2011; accepted 23 March 2011; published online 7 April 2011)

The barrier structure in lattice-matched InAlN/GaN heterostructures with AlGaN-based spacer layers grown by metal organic vapor phase epitaxy was studied by the capacitance-voltage (C-V) method. To investigate the characteristics under positive bias, an Al₂O₃ overlayer was added. The C-V characteristic of a sample with an Al_{0.38}Ga_{0.62}N (5 nm)/AlN (0.75 nm) double spacer layer exhibited an anomalous saturation at a value far below the insulator capacitance under positive bias, which indicated electron accumulation at the InAlN/AlGaN interface. The C-V characteristic of an alternative sample with a single Al_{0.44}Ga_{0.56}N (1.5 nm) spacer layer did not exhibit the anomalous saturation. © 2011 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3578449]

A lattice-matched InAlN/GaN heterostructure provides a high-density two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG) due to the difference in spontaneous polarization at the interface without any doping.^{1,2} To enhance electron mobility, an AlN ultrathin layer has been used as a conventional spacer layer.^{3,4} Several reports have been published on the application of the InAlN/AlN/GaN structure to field-effect transistors (FETs) (Refs. 3–5) including normally off type FETs.⁵ A recent study, however, reported that the insertion of an Al_{0.38}Ga_{0.62}N/AlN double spacer layer improved surface flatness and electron mobility compared with those for a single AlN spacer layer.⁶ Since the band gap of an Al_{0.38}Ga_{0.62}N layer is smaller than that of a lattice-matched InAlN layer, electron accumulation might occur at the InAlN/AlGaN interface under positive bias, resulting in a reduction in the effective barrier thickness. Schottky barrier diodes are not suitable for investigating electron accumulation in the barrier layer under positive bias. One of the methods of clarifying electron accumulation inside the barrier layer is to measure capacitance-voltage (C-V) characteristics using samples with an insulator overlayer on the heterostructure. In this letter, the Al_{0.38}Ga_{0.62}N/AlN double spacer layer is reappraised. A sample with an Al_{0.44}Ga_{0.56}N single spacer layer is also investigated as an alternative structure, for preventing electron accumulation inside the barrier layer.

Figure 1 shows the structures of the test samples. An Al₂O₃ (10 nm)/In_{0.17}Al_{0.83}N (10 nm)/Al_{0.38}Ga_{0.62}N (5 nm)/AlN (0.75 nm)/GaN (2 μm) structure and an Al₂O₃ (13 nm)/In_{0.18}Al_{0.82}N (12 nm)/Al_{0.44}Ga_{0.56}N (1.5 nm)/GaN (2 μm) structure were fabricated and tested. The fabrication process of the test samples was as follows. Heterostructures were grown by metal organic vapor phase epitaxy. For the cap-annealing process to form an Ohmic contact, a 20 nm thick SiN_x layer was deposited by electron-cyclotron resonance chemical vapor deposition using a SiH₄/Ar and N₂ gas mixture at 260 °C. After opening a ring-shaped window by lithography and wet etching using buffered hydrofluoric acid

(BHF, HF:NH₄F=1:5) solution, a ring-shaped Ti/Al/Ti/Au (30 nm/50 nm/20 nm/100 nm) Ohmic electrode was formed. Then the samples were annealed in N₂ ambient at 800 °C for 1 min. After removing the SiN_x layer using BHF solution, an Al₂O₃ overlayer was deposited by atomic layer deposition (ALD) at a substrate temperature of 250 °C. Finally, a circular Ni/Au (20 nm/50 nm) electrode was formed in the center of the Ohmic ring.

The Hall measurement showed that the In_{0.17}Al_{0.83}N (10 nm)/Al_{0.38}Ga_{0.62}N (5 nm)/AlN (0.75 nm)/GaN heterostructure in Fig. 1(a) produced a 2DEG with a sheet carrier density, n_s , of $2.2 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and an electron mobility, μ , of $1400 \text{ cm}^2/\text{V}\cdot\text{s}$, reproducing the previously reported results.⁶ The obtained C-V characteristic for the Al₂O₃/In_{0.17}Al_{0.83}N/Al_{0.38}Ga_{0.62}N/AlN/GaN structure is plotted in Fig. 2. A step at approximately 0 V can be seen in the C-V curve in addition to the usual step at approximately -11.5 V, indicating the depletion of the 2DEG. From the capacitance values, the plateau at the negative bias corresponds to electron accumulation at the AlN/GaN interface. Even though the positive bias range was limited due to the leakage through the Al₂O₃ layer, the capacitance step at 0 V tends to saturate at a value much lower than the insulator capacitance, C_i , of approximately 260 pF. The saturation capacitance corresponds to the value for electron accumulation at




FIG. 1. (Color online) Schematic sample structures.

^{a)}Electronic mail: akazawa@rciqe.hokudai.ac.jp.




FIG. 2. Measured C-V curve for the Al_2O_3 (10 nm)/ $\text{In}_{0.17}\text{Al}_{0.83}\text{N}$ (10 nm)/ $\text{Al}_{0.38}\text{Ga}_{0.62}\text{N}$ (5 nm)/ AlN (0.75 nm)/ GaN structure. C_i was estimated to be ~ 260 pF. The bias voltage was swept from the positive side to the negative side at a sweep rate of 25 mV/s.

the $\text{InAlN}/\text{AlGaIn}$ interface. This phenomenon may become a drawback of the heterostructure if it is applied to an FET gate, resulting in parallel conduction and a complicated change in potential.

Figure 3 shows conduction band, E_C , potential profiles with respect to the Fermi level, E_F , calculated for the $\text{In}_{0.17}\text{Al}_{0.83}\text{N}/\text{Al}_{0.38}\text{Ga}_{0.62}\text{N}/\text{AlN}/\text{GaN}$ heterostructure by solving the Poisson and Schrödinger equations self-consistently⁷ for several positions of the surface Fermi level, $E_{F\text{ surf}}$. The band alignment based on the amphoteric native-defect model⁸ and related experimental data^{9,10} was assumed in the calculation, while the interface charge due to polarization was estimated in accordance with Ref. 1. For the sample with an $\text{Al}_{0.38}\text{Ga}_{0.62}\text{N}/\text{AlN}$ spacer layer, it can be seen that applying positive bias leads to electron accumulation at the $\text{InAlN}/\text{AlGaIn}$ interface. In the sample with the Al_2O_3 overlayer, electron accumulation at the $\text{InAlN}/\text{AlGaIn}$ interface is expected to occur before that at the $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{InAlN}$ interface because E_C for the AlGaIn layer is closer to E_F than that for the InAlN layer, which is consistent with the mea-




FIG. 3. (Color online) E_C potential profiles of the $\text{In}_{0.17}\text{Al}_{0.83}\text{N}$ (10 nm)/ $\text{Al}_{0.38}\text{Ga}_{0.62}\text{N}$ (5 nm)/ AlN (0.75 nm)/ GaN structure calculated for several $E_{F\text{ surf}}$ positions.




FIG. 4. (Color online) AFM images for (a) $\text{Al}_{0.44}\text{Ga}_{0.56}\text{N}$ (2.0 nm)/ GaN , (b) $\text{Al}_{0.44}\text{Ga}_{0.56}\text{N}$ (1.5 nm)/ GaN , and (c) $\text{In}_{0.18}\text{Al}_{0.82}\text{N}$ (12 nm)/ $\text{Al}_{0.44}\text{Ga}_{0.56}\text{N}$ (1.5 nm)/ GaN .

sured C-V curve. The spacer layer should be thinner with a wider band gap to prevent electron accumulation inside the barrier layer.

An alternative heterostructure was designed as shown in Fig. 1(b). The Al molar fraction, x , of the $\text{Al}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{N}$ spacer layer was increased to enlarge the band gap. The critical thickness, however, decreases as x increases. The spacer layer should be as thick as possible to obtain a high 2DEG mobility to separate electrons from the bottom of the InAlN layer, even though it should be sufficiently thin to prevent electron accumulation inside the barrier layer. In addition, an excessively high value of x may result in deterioration of the surface flatness. We, therefore, attempted to increase x slightly from $x=0.38$ to 0.44. The corresponding increase in the conduction band offset relative to GaN was estimated to be about 0.1 eV by the calculation described above.

It was expected that the surface flatness of the $\text{InAlN}/\text{Al}_{0.44}\text{Ga}_{0.56}\text{N}/\text{GaN}$ structure could be superior to that of the conventional $\text{InAlN}/\text{AlN}/\text{GaN}$ structure because the lattice mismatch is reduced. To verify this, the surface morphology of the grown heterostructures was investigated using an atomic force microscope (AFM). The results are summarized in Fig. 4. When the thickness of the $\text{Al}_{0.44}\text{Ga}_{0.56}\text{N}$ layer was greater than 1.5 nm, the number and size of pits increased remarkably as shown in Fig. 4(a) for 2.0 nm thick $\text{Al}_{0.44}\text{Ga}_{0.56}\text{N}$ on GaN . Therefore, the optimal thickness of the $\text{Al}_{0.44}\text{Ga}_{0.56}\text{N}$ layer was determined to be 1.5 nm. The surface morphology of 1.5 nm $\text{Al}_{0.44}\text{Ga}_{0.56}\text{N}$ on GaN shown in Fig. 4(b) was superior to that of an AlN (~ 1 nm)/ GaN surface,⁶ which led to a smoother $\text{InAlN}/\text{AlGaIn}/\text{GaN}$ surface [root mean square roughness (rms): 0.35 nm], as shown in Fig. 4(c), than that of the conventional $\text{InAlN}/\text{AlN}/\text{GaN}$ (rms: 0.53 nm) structure.⁶ The Hall measurement showed that a 2DEG with $n_s = 2.1 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and $\mu = 1100 \text{ cm}^2/\text{V}\cdot\text{s}$ was obtained for the $\text{In}_{0.18}\text{Al}_{0.82}\text{N}/\text{Al}_{0.44}\text{Ga}_{0.56}\text{N}/\text{GaN}$ heterostructure. Although the mobility is lower for the sample with the $\text{Al}_{0.44}\text{Ga}_{0.56}\text{N}$ single spacer layer, it is much higher than the typical value of less than $200 \text{ cm}^2/\text{V}\cdot\text{s}$ for an InAlN/GaN structure without a spacer layer.^{4,6}




FIG. 5. (Color online) E_C potential profiles of the $\text{In}_{0.18}\text{Al}_{0.82}\text{N}$ (12 nm)/ $\text{Al}_{0.44}\text{Ga}_{0.56}\text{N}$ (1.5 nm)/GaN structure calculated for several E_F surf positions.

A calculation employing the same method used for Fig. 3 showed that InAlN (12 nm)/ $\text{Al}_{0.44}\text{Ga}_{0.56}\text{N}$ (1.5 nm) should operate normally as a barrier under positive bias as shown in Fig. 5. Actually, the measured C-V characteristic of the $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{In}_{0.18}\text{Al}_{0.82}\text{N}/\text{Al}_{0.44}\text{Ga}_{0.56}\text{N}/\text{GaN}$ structure exhibited a wide plateau as plotted in Fig. 6. The measured capacitance at approximately -3 V had a value corresponding to the electron accumulation at the $\text{Al}_{0.44}\text{Ga}_{0.56}\text{N}/\text{GaN}$ interface




FIG. 6. Measured C-V curve for the Al_2O_3 (13 nm)/ $\text{In}_{0.18}\text{Al}_{0.82}\text{N}$ (12 nm)/ $\text{Al}_{0.44}\text{Ga}_{0.56}\text{N}$ (1.5 nm)/GaN structure. C_i was estimated to be ~ 190 pF. The bias voltage was swept from the positive side to the negative side at a sweep rate of 25 mV/s.

and slightly increased as the bias increased toward the onset of electron accumulation at the InAlN surface at approximately 4 V. The positive bias limit of this sample, due to the leakage through the Al_2O_3 layer, was higher than that of the sample with the $\text{Al}_{0.38}\text{Ga}_{0.62}\text{N}/\text{AlN}$ double spacer layer in Fig. 2. Since the Al_2O_3 layer was as thin as 10 nm, its resistivity should have been affected by the roughness and native oxide components of the InAlN surface before the deposition. The pretreatment, using the BHF solution to remove the SiN_x layer, followed by the sample transfer in air to the ALD chamber was not optimized completely for the InAlN surface, which possibly resulted in the difference of the insulator quality between two samples.

In summary, Al_2O_3 (10 nm)/ $\text{In}_{0.17}\text{Al}_{0.83}\text{N}$ (10 nm)/ $\text{Al}_{0.38}\text{Ga}_{0.62}\text{N}$ (5 nm)/ AlN (0.75 nm)/GaN and Al_2O_3 (13 nm)/ $\text{In}_{0.18}\text{Al}_{0.82}\text{N}$ (12 nm)/ $\text{Al}_{0.44}\text{Ga}_{0.56}\text{N}$ (1.5 nm)/GaN structures were fabricated and studied. The C-V characteristic of the former structure exhibited an anomalous saturation at a value far below the insulator capacitance under positive bias, indicating electron accumulation at the $\text{InAlN}/\text{AlGaIn}$ interface, while no such saturation was observed in the latter structure. The $\text{InAlN}/\text{Al}_{0.44}\text{Ga}_{0.56}\text{N}$ (1.5 nm)/GaN structure showed improved surface flatness compared with the conventional $\text{InAlN}/\text{AlN}/\text{GaN}$ structure. A 2DEG with $n_s = 2.1 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and $\mu = 1100 \text{ cm}^2/\text{V}\cdot\text{s}$ was obtained by using the $\text{Al}_{0.44}\text{Ga}_{0.56}\text{N}$ single spacer layer. Since thinning the barrier layer is one of the ways of achieving a normally off FET,⁵ the $\text{InAlN}/\text{Al}_{0.44}\text{Ga}_{0.56}\text{N}$ (1.5 nm)/GaN structure with a thin spacer layer is advantageous from this viewpoint.

¹O. Ambacher, R. Dimitrov, M. Stutzmann, B. E. Foutz, M. J. Murphy, J. A. Smart, J. R. Shealy, N. G. Weimann, K. Chu, M. Chumbes, B. Green, A. J. Sierakowski, W. J. Schaff, and L. F. Eastman, *Phys. Status Solidi B* **216**, 381 (1999).

²J. Kuzmík, *IEEE Electron Device Lett.* **22**, 510 (2001).

³M. Higashiwaki and T. Matsui, *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys., Part 2* **43**, L768 (2004).

⁴M. Gonschorek, J.-F. Carlin, E. Feltn, M. A. Py, and N. Grandjean, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **89**, 062106 (2006).

⁵C. Ostermaier, G. Pozzovivo, J.-F. Carlin, B. Basnar, W. Schrenk, Y. Douvry, C. Gaquière, J.-C. DeJaeger, K. Čičo, K. Fröhlich, M. Gonschorek, N. Grandjean, G. Strasser, D. Pogany, and J. Kuzmík, *IEEE Electron Device Lett.* **30**, 1030 (2009).

⁶M. Hiroki, N. Maeda, and T. Kobayashi, *Appl. Phys. Express* **1**, 111102 (2008).

⁷1D Poisson-Schrodinger solver program developed by Prof Gregory Snider, University of Notre Dame, <http://www.nd.edu/~gsnider/>.

⁸W. Walukiewicz, S. X. Li, J. Wu, K. M. Yu, J. W. Ager III, E. E. Haller, H. Lu, and W. J. Schaff, *J. Cryst. Growth* **269**, 119 (2004).

⁹R. E. Jones, R. Broesler, K. M. Yu, J. W. Ager III, E. E. Haller, W. Walukiewicz, X. Chen, and W. J. Schaff, *J. Appl. Phys.* **104**, 123501 (2008).

¹⁰T. Kubo, H. Taketomi, H. Miyake, K. Hiramatsu, and T. Hashizume, *Appl. Phys. Express* **3**, 021004 (2010).