



Title	Evolutionary Changes in Chlorophyllide a Oxygenase (CAO) Structure Contribute to the Acquisition of a New Light-harvesting Complex in Micromonas
Author(s)	Kunugi, Motoshi; Takabayashi, Atsushi; Tanaka, Ayumi
Citation	Journal of Biological Chemistry, 288(27), 19330-19341 https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M113.462663
Issue Date	2013-07-05
Doc URL	https://hdl.handle.net/2115/53278
Rights	This research was originally published in Journal of Biological Chemistry. Motoshi Kunugi, Atsushi Takabayashi, and Ayumi Tanaka. Evolutionary changes in chlorophyllide a oxygenase (CAO) structure contribute to the acquisition of a new light-harvesting complex in Micromonas. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2013; Vol288(27):pp19330-19341. © the American Society for Biochemistry and Molecular Biology.
Type	journal article
File Information	Final manuscript.pdf



Evolutionary changes in chlorophyllide *a* oxygenase (CAO) structure contribute to the acquisition of a new light-harvesting complex in *Micromonas*

Motoshi Kunugi¹, Atsushi Takabayashi^{1,2}, and Ayumi Tanaka^{1,2}

¹Institute of Low Temperature Science, Hokkaido University, N19 W8 Kita-Ku, Sapporo 060-0819, Japan

²Japan Core Research for Evolutionary Science and Technology (CREST)

*Running title: *Evolution of CAO*

To whom correspondence should be addressed: Atsushi Takabayashi, Institute of Low Temperature Science, Hokkaido University, N19 W8 Kita-Ku, Sapporo 060-0819, Japan; Phone: 86- 11-706-5493; Fax: 86-11-706-5493; E-mail: takabayashi@pop.lowtem.hokudai.ac.jp

Key words: CAO; evolution; chlorophyll; photosystem; photosynthesis

Background: CAO domain structure has changed during evolution.

Results: *Micromonas* CAO is split into two proteins, both of which are required for chlorophyll *b* synthesis.

Conclusion: Establishment of a new light-harvesting system has been accompanied by changes in the CAO structure during evolution.

Significance: This is the first report showing the relationship between chlorophyll metabolism and structures of light-harvesting system.

SUMMARY

Chlorophyll *b* is found in photosynthetic prokaryotes and primary and secondary endosymbionts, although their light-harvesting systems are quite different. Chlorophyll *b* is synthesized from chlorophyll *a* by chlorophyllide *a* oxygenase (CAO), which is a Rieske-mononuclear iron oxygenase. Comparison of the amino acid sequences of CAO among photosynthetic organisms elucidated changes in the domain

structures of CAO during evolution. However, the evolutionary relationship between the light-harvesting system and the domain structure of CAO remains unclear. To elucidate this relationship, we investigated the CAO structure and the pigment composition of chlorophyll-protein complexes in the prasinophyte *Micromonas*. The *Micromonas* CAO is composed of two genes, *MpCAO1* and *MpCAO2*, which possess Rieske and mononuclear iron binding motifs,

respectively. Only when both genes were introduced into the chlorophyll *b*-less *Arabidopsis* mutant (*chl1-1*) was chlorophyll *b* accumulated, indicating that cooperation between the two subunits is required to synthesize chlorophyll *b*. While *Micromonas* has a characteristic light-harvesting system in which chlorophyll *b* is incorporated into a core antennas of reaction centers, chlorophyll *b* was also incorporated into the core antennas of reaction centers of the *Arabidopsis* transformants that contained the two *Micromonas* CAO proteins. Based on these results, we discuss the evolutionary relationship between the structures of CAO and light-harvesting systems.

Photosynthesis consists of two processes; one involves harvesting light energy and producing ATP and NADPH, and the second involves the fixation of CO₂ to sugar using ATP and NADPH. Light energy is harvested by photosynthetic pigments such as chlorophyll, carotenoid and phycobilin (1,2). Most oxygenic photosynthetic organisms contain chlorophyll *a*, which is involved in harvesting light energy in antenna systems and in driving electron transfer in the reaction centers (3), although *Prochlorococcus* contains [8-vinyl]-chlorophyll *a*, and *Acaryochloris* contains chlorophyll *d* in their reaction centers. In addition to chlorophyll *a*, some photosynthetic organisms have an additional pigment that exists in the peripheral antenna complex and plays an important role in absorbing a broad light spectrum for photosynthesis (4). For example, cyanobacteria and red algae contain phycobilins, which form a

large complex called the phycobilisome (5). Fucoxanthin is a major pigment in brown algae and exists in fucoxanthin-chlorophyll-protein complexes (6). The acquisition of these pigments has played an essential role in environmental adaptation by establishing new light-harvesting systems.

Chlorophyll *b* is widely distributed in prokaryotes and primary and secondary endosymbionts (7). However, the light-harvesting systems are quite different among these organisms, although they all contain chlorophyll *b* as a major light-harvesting pigment. In *Prochlorococcus*, [8-vinyl]-chlorophyll *b* binds to prochlorophyte chlorophyll binding protein (Pcb) (8) and forms the photosystem (PS)I-Pcb and PSII-Pcb supercomplexes (9). Pcb belongs to the six-transmembrane helix family, which includes CP43 and CP47 and iron-stress-induced protein A (IsiA) (10). In land plants and most green algae, chlorophyll *b* binds to Lhc proteins containing three transmembrane helices that associate with the core antennas of reaction centers of PSI and II (11-13). In the prasinophyte order Mamiellales, the content of chlorophyll *b* in the light-harvesting system is extremely high (14) compared to land plants and green algae; however, the distribution of chlorophyll *b* in their light-harvesting system has not been well studied. In addition, prasinophyte photosystems contain the prasinophyte-specific light-harvesting chlorophyll *a/b*-protein complex (LHC) type designated LHCP (14), which binds chlorophyll *a*, chlorophyll *b* and chlorophyll *c*-like pigments

and other carotenoids.

Chlorophyll *b* is synthesized from chlorophyll *a* by chlorophyllide *a* oxygenase (CAO) (15). CAO catalyzes two successive oxygenation reactions, converting a methyl group at the C7 position to a formyl group via a hydroxymethyl group to generate chlorophyll *b* (16). CAO is not structurally related to *bciD* which is involved in the C7-methyl oxidation (formylation) in bacteriochlorophyll *e* synthesis (17). CAO is a unique enzyme, and all the chlorophyll *b*-containing organisms, without exception, have CAO. However, the structure of CAO is variable among photosynthetic organisms, in contrast to other chlorophyll metabolic enzymes whose structures are largely conserved (18). Eukaryotic CAOs, except for that of Mamiellales, consist of three domains: the A, B and C domains in order from the N-terminus (18). The A domain controls the accumulation of the *Arabidopsis* CAO (AtCAO) protein in response to the presence of chlorophyll *b* (19,20). The C domain is the catalytic domain, which contains a Rieske center and a mononuclear iron binding motif. The B domain might serve as a linker between the A and C domains. The prokaryotic *Prochlorothrix hollandica* CAO (PhCAO) has high sequence similarity to higher plant CAOs, but it only contains the sequence corresponding to the catalytic domain and has no regulatory domain (21). *Prochlorococcus* CAOs also lack a regulatory domain and have low sequence homology to other CAOs, although they contain Rieske and mononuclear iron binding motifs (22). The low sequence similarity is partly

because *Prochlorococcus* uses [8-vinyl]-chlorophyll instead of chlorophyll and therefore had to adapt to fit [8-vinyl]-chlorophyll. Mamiellales has a unique CAO that is quite different from others. The complete sequence of the genomes of the Mamiellales *Micromonas* and *Ostreococcus* revealed the presence of two genes that have sequence similarity to CAO (23). Interestingly, these two genes conserve either the Rieske center or mononuclear iron binding motif, suggesting that these two gene products cooperate in the synthesis of chlorophyll *b*, although no experimental evidence for this had not been demonstrated so far.

As previously mentioned, the evolution of the light-harvesting systems of chlorophyll *b*-containing organisms has been accompanied by changes in the CAO structure. In this study, we examined the light-harvesting systems of *Micromonas* by BN-PAGE and native-green gel followed by pigment analysis and found that *Micromonas* has a characteristic light-harvesting system in which chlorophyll *b* is incorporated into the core antennas of reaction centers. When both *Micromonas* CAO genes were simultaneously introduced into the *Arabidopsis* chlorophyll *b*-less (*chl1-1*) mutant, chlorophyll *b* was incorporated into the core antennas of reaction centers, indicating that the light-harvesting systems of the transgenic plant shared a common structure with that of *Micromonas*. Based on these results, we discuss the coevolution of CAO and the light-harvesting systems.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Plant materials and growth conditions — *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Columbia ecotype) was grown in a chamber equipped with white fluorescent lamps under a 16 h photoperiod at a light intensity of 70 $\mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ at 23 °C. The *Arabidopsis ch1-1* mutant (24) was used as the chlorophyll *b*-less plant in this study. *Micromonas pusilla* (CCMP1545) was inoculated into 100 mL of liquid L1 medium (25) in a 300-mL Erlenmeyer flask. All culture experiments with *Micromonas pusilla* were performed at 23 °C under the continuous light of 15 $\mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$. Artificial genes encoding *MpCAO1* (MicpuC2 16022) and *MpCAO2* (MicpuC2 60555) were designed to optimize their codon usage for *Arabidopsis* (Fig. 3). To construct an *MpCAO1*-FLAG vector, the full coding region for *MpCAO* (FeS) was fused with a transit peptide of *AtCAO* (1-168 bp) and a C-terminal FLAG-tag

(GCCTCGTCAGTGATAAAACGAGAAGAC TACAA) and was subcloned into the pGreenII vector. To construct an *MpCAO2*-HA vector, the full coding region for *MpCAO2* was fused with a transit peptide of *AtCAO* (1-168 bp) and a C-terminal HA tag (GCCTCGTCAGTGATAAAACGAGAAGAC TACAA) and was subcloned into the pGreenII vector. The resulting plasmids were introduced into *ch1-1* plants via *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated transformation. We named these mutant lines *MpCAO1* and *MpCAO2*, respectively. Primary transformants were selected on agar plates containing 50 mg/ml kanamycin or 20 mg/ml hygromycin,

respectively. To construct a *PhCAO* vector, the full coding region for a *Prochlorothrix hollandica CAO* gene (*PhCAO*) was fused with a transit peptide of *AtCAO* (1–168 bp) and subcloned into the pGreenII vector (21). To construct a BCFLAG vector, the coding region for the B and C domains of *AtCAO* (Pro¹⁷¹-Gly⁵³⁵) was fused with a transit peptide of *AtCAO* (1–168 bp) and subcloned into the pGreenII vector. In addition, we generated double mutants by co-transformation (*MpCAO1*+*MpCAO2-1*) and by genetic crossing (*MpCAO1*+*MpCAO2-2*). Confirmation of genotypes was performed by PCR. Genomic DNA was extracted as described in the previous report (26). The primers used for amplification of *MpCAO1* and *MpCAO2* are listed in Table S1. The PCR products were separated on ethidium bromide-stained 2.0% agarose gels.

Expression and purification of recombinant *AtCAO* — The coding region of *AtCAO* lacking its transit peptide was amplified by PCR (KOD FX NEO DNA polymerase; Toyobo, Japan) using the following primers; 5'-TAGGCATATGGAGCTCTTGTGGATGTG GAGGATCCTA-3' (the underlined region is an engineered *SacI* site) and 5'-CCTATCTAGACTGCGAGTTAGCCGGAGA AAGGTAGTTTA-3' (the underlined region is an engineered *PstI* site) and cloned into the *SacI/PstI* sites of the pCold ProS2 vector (Takara, Japan). The recombinant protein obtained from this plasmid possesses a hexahistidine tag at the N terminus. The expression plasmid was introduced into *Escherichia coli* C41 cells (over express,

France). The transformed cells were grown at 37 °C in 1 L Luria-Bertani medium containing ampicillin (50 mg/mL) and 0.1 mM of ammonium ferric citrate until the optical density at 600 nm reached at 1.5 (27). The expression of the recombinant *AtCAO* gene was induced with 0.3 mM isopropyl-b-D-thiogalactopyranoside for 18 h at 18 °C. After incubation, the culture was harvested by centrifugation, and the collected cells were resuspended in buffer (20 mM imidazole, 500 mM NaCl and 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.9) and disrupted by sonication. Cells were then centrifuged at 21,600 x *g* for 5 min at 4 °C to remove cell debris. The soluble fraction containing the recombinant protein was purified with a nickel column (His-Trap HP kit; GE Healthcare, USA), according to the manufacturer's protocol. The eluent fractions were concentrated to 0.05 mL by a centrifugal filter device (Amicon Ultra-15, 30,000 MWCO; Millipore, USA) and used for 2D-BN/SDS-PAGE.

Isolation of thylakoid membranes — *Arabidopsis* thylakoid membranes (wild type, *MpCAO1*+ *MpCAO2*, *BCFLAG* and *PhCAO*) were prepared from the leaves of 5-week-old plants as described previously (28). *Micromonas* thylakoid membranes were prepared from ten-day-old cells. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 2,500 x *g* in growth phase and suspended in 50 mM Tricine-NaOH (pH 8.0). The cells were broken with glass beads (100 µm in diameter) at 4 °C using a Mini-Bead Beater (Waken B Tech, Japan). After removal of the beads and unbroken cells, the supernatant was centrifuged at 21,600 x *g* for 5 min at 4 °C. The

green pellets were washed with 5 mM EDTA-2Na three times and used as *Micromonas* thylakoid membranes.

Native green gel electrophoresis — Thylakoid membranes of wild-type and *MpCAO1 Arabidopsis* and *Micromonas pusilla* CCMP1545 were solubilized in 1.0% SDS at 4 °C and centrifuged at 21,600 x *g* for 5 min at 4 °C. The supernatants were applied onto a 8% polyacrylamide disk gel (5 mm in diameter) containing 0.1% SDS. Electrophoresis was carried out at 4 °C for approximately 1.5 h at 0.5 mA/tube according to the method of Anderson *et al.* (29), provided that the upper and lower reservoir buffer contained 0.1% SDS.

1D SDS-PAGE — The leaf (15 mg flesh weight) were solubilized by SDS-extraction buffer (30) and separated on slab gels containing 14% polyacrylamide using the Laemmli buffer system (31). For the immunoblot analysis of PsaA/PsaB (CP1), the leaves were separated on 14% polyacrylamide gels that contained 4 M urea.

2D-SDS/SDS-PAGE — The total leaf proteins were extracted from the leaf (100 mg flesh weight) of 25 day-old plants (wild type, *MpCAO1*+*MpCAO2*) using LDS sample buffer [63mM Tris-HCl (pH 6.8), 10 % glycerol and 0.5 % LDS]. After centrifugation at 18,800 *g* for 5 min at 4 °C, the supernatants were loaded onto an SDS-PAGE gel (14% acrylamide gel) at 4 °C for 4 h at 6 mA using the Laemmli buffer system (31). For the second dimension using SDS-PAGE, the SDS gel strips were soaked for 1 h at room temperature in a solution that

contained 1% (w/v) SDS and 1% (v/v) 2-mercaptoethanol and run on a second-dimension SDS–PAGE gel (14% polyacrylamide gels that contained 4 M urea) using the Laemmli buffer system (31). After the second dimension SDS–PAGE, gels were stained with the Pierce Silver Stain kit for mass spectrometry (Thermo Fisher Scientific, JAPAN) according to instructions.

2D-BN/SDS–PAGE — BN-PAGE was performed essentially according to the method described by Takabayashi et al. (28). Briefly, the thylakoid membrane proteins (which corresponded to 5 µg of Chl) of *Arabidopsis* wild-type, *MpCAO1+MpCAO2*, *BCFLAG*, and *PhCAO* plants or the purified recombinant AtCAO proteins (which corresponded to 4 µg protein) were suspended in ice-cold resuspension buffer A that contained 50 mM imidazole-HCl (pH 7.0), 20% glycerol, 5 mM 6-aminocaproic acid and 1 mM EDTA-2Na and were then solubilized with 1% (w/v) β-dodecyl maltoside on ice for 5 min. For the second dimension using SDS–PAGE, the BN gel strips were soaked for 1 h at 37 °C in a solution that contained 1% (w/v) SDS and 1% (v/v) 2-mercaptoethanol and run on a second-dimension SDS–PAGE gel (14% polyacrylamide) using the Laemmli buffer system (31).

Immunoblot analysis — Immunoblot analysis was performed essentially according to the method described by Takabayashi et al. (28). The transferred proteins were detected with an anti-AtCAO rabbit primary antibody (19), an anti-PsaA/PsaB (CP1) antibody (32), an

anti-CP47 antibody (Agrisera, Sweden), an anti-Lhcb2 antibody (Agrisera, Sweden), an anti-FLAG antibody (Sigma, Japan), an anti-HA antibody (Funakoshi, Japan), and Penta-His HRP Conjugate Kit (QIAGEN, Japan).

Co-immunoprecipitation — The thylakoid membrane proteins (which corresponded to 5 µg of Chl) of *Arabidopsis* wild-type and *MpCAO1+MpCAO2* plants were suspended in ice-cold resuspension buffer A and solubilized with 1% (w/v) β-dodecyl maltoside on ice for 5 min. Extracts were incubated with anti-DYKDDDDK beads (Wako, Japan) and anti-HA beads (Wako, Japan) for 8 h at 4 °C. Supernatants were discarded, and beads were washed with buffer A three times. Bound complexes were eluted with SDS-extraction buffer for 10 min at 100 °C. After centrifugation at 21,600 × *g* for 5 min at 4 °C, the supernatants were separated on slab gels containing 14% polyacrylamide.

Pigment determination — Leaves were weighed and pulverized in acetone using the ShakeMaster grinding apparatus (BioMedical Science, Japan), and the extracts were centrifuged at 21,600 × *g* for 5 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was loaded onto a Symmetry C8 column (4.6 × 150 mm; Waters, Japan) as described previously (33). The elution profiles were monitored by measuring the absorbance at 650 nm using a diode array detector (SPD-M20A, Shimadzu, Japan), and the pigments were identified by their retention times and spectral patterns. Pigment quantification was performed using the areas of the peaks, described previously (34). All reported Chl

(chlorophyll) quantities were the means of three biologically independent samples.

Multiple alignment of amino acid sequences — The amino acid sequences listed below were retrieved from GenBank (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/>) and Joint Genome Initiative (<http://jgi.doe.gov>). The amino acid sequences were aligned with the ClustalW program (35) via the BioEdit program (36).

Accession numbers — The amino acid sequences used in this work are as follows. GenBank identification numbers: *Arabidopsis thaliana* (At): AtCAO,15219408; *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* (Cr): CrCAO,159463890; *Prochlorothrix hollandica* (Ph): PhCAO, 38488612; *Micromonas pusilla* (Mp) protein IDs are from the Joint Genome Initiative: MpCAO (Fe-S), 16022, MpCAO (Fe), 60555.

RESULTS

Diversity of CAO domain structure in chlorophyll b-containing organisms – CAOs of vascular plants and most of the green algae have the same domain structure, which consists of the regulatory domain (A domain), catalytic domain (C domain) and the linker (B domain) (Fig. 1C). The amino acid sequence of the B domain is quite different, likely because this domain has no function besides linking the A and C domains (18). Sequence variations are observed in the A domain between vascular plants and the green algae *Chlamydomonas* (Fig. 2), likely due to different regulatory mechanisms of chlorophyll *b* synthesis. Prochlorophyte and Mamiellales

CAOs have no sequence corresponding to the regulatory and linker domains (Fig. 1C). The amino acid sequences of the catalytic domains of CAOs are highly conserved except for the *Prochlorococcus* (22) and Mamiellales CAOs (Fig. 2). In *Micromonas*, CAO is composed of two subunits, one (MpCAO1) that contains only a Rieske center motif and another (MpCAO2) that contains only a mononuclear iron binding motif (Fig. 1A and 1B).

Cooperation between MpCAO1 and MpCAO2 in chlorophyll b synthesis – CAO, which contains both Rieske and mononuclear iron binding motifs, is not found in the *Micromonas* or *Ostreococcus* genomes, and MpCAO1 and MpCAO2 are the only genes that have sequence similarity to CAO in these organisms. This suggests that MpCAO1 and MpCAO2 are responsible for chlorophyll *b* synthesis in these organisms, although the structure of these proteins is largely different from other CAOs. Introduction of a gene to chlorophyll *b*-less organisms or mutants is a convenient method to determine whether the gene in question is responsible for chlorophyll *b* synthesis or not (21,37). However, the GC content of the *Micromonas* genes is high (25), and the gene is not thought to be efficiently translated in *Arabidopsis*. Therefore, the codons of MpCAO1 and MpCAO2 were optimized for expression in *Arabidopsis* (Fig. 3) and fused with FLAG and HA tags, respectively.

The synthetic DNAs encoding these proteins were expressed under the control of the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter in the *Arabidopsis ch1-1* mutant, which lacks

chlorophyll *b*. PCR experiments showed that the *MpCAO1* and *MpCAO2* genes were successfully introduced into the *Arabidopsis ch1-1* mutant (Fig. 4B). Figure 4A shows the plants 25 days after germination. The *ch1-1* mutant plants were small compared to the WT and exhibited a pale green color due to the lack of chlorophyll *b*. The transgenic plants with either *MpCAO1* or *MpCAO2* exhibited the same phenotype as the *ch1-1* mutant. When both *MpCAO1* and *MpCAO2* genes were introduced into the *ch1-1* mutant, the size and the color of the transgenic plants became similar to that of the WT. Next, we examined the accumulation of the transgene products by immunoblotting using antibodies against the FLAG (*MpCAO1*) or HA (*MpCAO2*) tags (Fig. 4C). As shown in Figure 4, the *MpCAO1* and *MpCAO2* proteins accumulated in the transgenic plants harboring *MpCAO1* or *MpCAO2* genes, respectively. Neither *MpCAO1* nor *MpCAO2* was detected in the WT and the *ch1-1* mutant plants, and two lines of *MpCAO1+MpCAO2* plants accumulated both proteins.

Next, we determined the chlorophyll content of the transgenic plants by HPLC (Table 1). The chlorophyll *a/b* ratio of the WT was 3.03, whereas chlorophyll *b* was not detected in the *ch1-1* mutant as reported previously. The chlorophyll content of *ch1-1* was lower than WT due to the deficiency in LHCII (38), which is a major chlorophyll binding complex. The chlorophyll content of the transgenic plants that have either *MpCAO1* or *MpCAO2* was similar to that of the *ch1-1* mutant, indicating that neither *MpCAO1* nor *MpCAO2* alone can synthesize

chlorophyll *b*. In contrast, a large amount of chlorophyll *b* accumulated when *MpCAO1* and *MpCAO2* were cointroduced. These results clearly indicate that cooperation of *MpCAO1* and *MpCAO2* is indispensable for the synthesis of chlorophyll *b*. It should be noted that the chlorophyll *a/b* ratio of the *MpCAO1+MpCAO2* plants was significantly lower than that of the WT. It was reported that in *Arabidopsis*, the chlorophyll *a/b* ratio does not significantly change even when full length *AtCAO* is overexpressed because the A domain strictly regulates chlorophyll *b* synthesis by a negative feedback mechanism. Therefore, the expression of *Micromonas MpCAO1* and *MpCAO2* might not be properly regulated in *Arabidopsis*.

Subunit structures of CAO—As part of the catalytic activity of CAO, an electron must be transferred to a mononuclear iron from a Rieske center. For efficient electron transfer, it is reasonable to assume that the two subunits, *MpCAO1* and *MpCAO2*, form a complex. To examine this possibility, we carried out a co-immunoprecipitation experiment using anti-FLAG or anti-HA antibodies. *MpCAO1*-FLAG and *MpCAO2*-HA were coexpressed in the *ch1-1* mutant and the thylakoid membranes of the transgenic plants were isolated. Solubilized thylakoid membranes were subjected to co-immunoprecipitation with anti-FLAG antibodies. Coprecipitated proteins were eluted and subjected to immunoblot analysis. As shown in Figure 5A, *MpCAO2*-HA coprecipitated with the anti-FLAG antibody, indicating that *MpCAO2* interacted with *MpCAO1*. The same result was obtained when

MpCAO2 was precipitated by the anti-HA antibody (Fig. 5B). These experiments showed that MpCAO1 and MpCAO2 form a complex.

Next, we examined whether MpCAO1 and MpCAO2 form a heterodimer by BN-PAGE followed by immunoblot analysis. Thylakoid membranes were isolated from *MpCAO1+MpCAO2* plants and subjected to BN-PAGE followed by immunoblot analysis using anti FLAG- or anti-HA-tag antibodies. Both MpCAO1 and MpCAO2 bands were found at approximately 40 kDa, corresponding to monomeric MpCAO1 and MpCAO2 (Fig. 6A). In addition to these monomeric bands, an 80 kDa band was observed with both anti-FLAG and -HA antibodies (Fig. 6A), suggesting that an MpCAO1 and MpCAO2 heterodimer was formed. Interestingly, higher molecular weight bands than the heterodimer were found, and the immunoblot profile with anti-FLAG tag antibodies was almost the same as that with anti-HA tag (Fig. 6A).

We then examined the oligomeric state of *Arabidopsis* CAO in chloroplasts. Because full length AtCAO (ABC) is under the detectable level of immunoblotting as we reported previously (19), we expressed the AtCAO protein without the A domain fused with a FLAG tag (BC-FLAG) in the *Arabidopsis ch1-1* mutant. The thylakoid membranes were solubilized and analyzed by 2D-BN/SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting. Almost the same profiles were observed with the anti-CAO and -FLAG antibodies (Fig. 6B). The smallest band was approximately 50 kD, which likely represents monomeric BC-FLAG protein (42

kD) (Fig. 6B). In addition to the monomeric form, higher molecular weight bands were observed on the gel, estimated as approximately 160 kDa, 240-300 kDa, 500 kDa and 750 kDa (Fig. 6B). CAO has sequence homology to dicamba monooxygenase and 2-oxoquinoline 8-monooxygenase (Fig. 7), which contain a Rieske-mononuclear iron oxygenase motif also present in CAO. The crystal structure of the oxygenase component of dicamba monooxygenase and 2-oxoquinoline 8-monooxygenase revealed a homotrimer and showed a ring-shaped, symmetric arrangement (39). Considering this report, it is reasonable to assume that the 160 kD band corresponds to a trimeric form of AtCAO (BC-FLAG). If this assumption is true, then the 240-300 kD, 500 kD and 750 kD bands probably correspond to a 2x3-mer, 3x3-mer and 5x3-mer, respectively.

The experimental results shown in Figure 6B do not necessarily exclude the possibility that full length CAO does not form the same oligomeric structures with the A domain-deleted AtCAO or that AtCAO assembled with other proteins to form large complex. To examine this possibility, we expressed full length AtCAO fused with FLAG (AtCAO-FLAG) in *E. coli*. The soluble fraction of the *E. coli* lysate was subjected to BN-PAGE followed by immunoblotting (Fig. 6C). A large complex and trimeric forms were observed as in the experiments with transgenic plants (Fig. 6B). These results clearly show that full length CAO is capable of forming a homotrimer and larger complexes.

Prochlorothrix hollandica is a

cyanobacterium that contains chlorophyll *b*. *Prochlorothrix* CAO (PhCAO) has no regulatory or linker domains and contains only the catalytic domain. To examine whether PhCAO forms trimeric and multimeric complexes as AtCAO does, we expressed PhCAO in the *Arabidopsis ch1-1* mutant and examined the oligomeric state of PhCAO (Fig. 6D). A large amount of the PhCAO protein was detected between 170-1200 kD in addition to the trimeric and monomeric PhCAO (Fig. 6D).

Pigment composition of chlorophyll protein complexes of *Micromonas* and the *Arabidopsis* transformants harboring *Micromonas* CAO—In green plants, it is reported that chlorophyll *b* exists in peripheral antenna such as LHCI and LHCII. However, when the A domain deleted-CAO (B and C domains only) is expressed in *Arabidopsis*, chlorophyll *b* was distributed not only in the peripheral antenna but also in the inner antenna of both photosystems (40), indicating the close relationship between the CAO structure and the distribution of chlorophyll *b* among various light-harvesting complexes. To examine whether a different structure of the light-harvesting system is constructed in *Micromonas* due to the characteristics of its CAO, *Micromonas* chlorophyll-protein complexes were separated by native-green gel (Fig. 8A). Six green bands were resolved. Bands 1 and 4 are the core complexes of photosystem I and II, respectively. Bands 3 and 5 are the trimeric and monomeric LHCII, respectively. The level of monomeric LHCII was very low, indicating that the LHCII trimer is more stable compared to that of other

green plants. The separation profile of these bands was very close to that of *Arabidopsis* and other green plants except for the LHCII band between the PSI core antennas and LHC trimer. Band 6 is a free pigment band. Next, pigments were extracted from these bands and analyzed by HPLC. The chlorophyll *a/b* ratios of the trimeric and monomeric LHCII bands were 0.98 and 1.38 (Table 2), respectively, which is consistent with that of higher plants. [8-vinyl]-protochlorophyllide and prasinoxanthin are the characteristic pigments of *Micromonas*. These pigments were found only in LHCII, not in the core antennas of reaction centers. In contrast, β -carotene is predominantly found in the core antennas of both photosystems. The chlorophyll *a/b* ratios of the core antennas of PSI and PSII were 3.22 and 5.73, respectively, which are low values compared to those of other photosynthetic organisms, indicating that chlorophyll *b* is significantly incorporated into core antennas of reaction centers in *Micromonas*. One of the reasons for the distribution of chlorophyll *b* in the core antennas of reaction centers might be the different structure of CAO. To examine this possibility, we introduced *Micromonas MpCAO1* and *MpCAO2* into the *Arabidopsis ch1-1* mutant and analyzed the pigment composition of the green bands (Fig. 8B). The chlorophyll *a/b* ratios of the core antennas of PSI and PSII of wild type *Arabidopsis* plants were 21.35 and 13.09 (Table 3), respectively, indicating that these core antennas of reaction centers consist primarily of chlorophyll *a*, and the level of chlorophyll *b* is very low. In contrast, the ratios of the transgenic

plants with *MpCAO1* and *MpCAO2* were 3.43 and 3.50, respectively. This indicates that chlorophyll *b* is incorporated into core antennas of reaction centers when AtCAO is substituted with *Micromonas* CAO, suggesting a strong evolutionary relationship between the CAO structure and light-harvesting systems.

Although the green band of CP1 (heterodimer of PsaA/PsaB) was reported to contain only the core antennas of PSI (41), co-migration of LHC on this region cannot be completely excluded, because CP1-LHCI band was close to CP1 on the gel (Fig. 8B). To purify the core antennas of PSI without LHC, thylakoid membranes were solubilized in 0.5% SDS and separated by Tris/glycine SDS-PAGE for 4 hr. The CP1 band was clearly separated from trimeric LHC with this electrophoresis and CP1-LHCI band were disappeared (Fig. 8C and 8D). Second dimensional SDS-PAGE visualized with silver staining clearly shows that CP1 bands did not contain LHC in both wild type and *MpCAO1+MpCAO2* plants, indicating that PsaA/PsaB was purified by this method. Chlorophyll *a/b* ratio of WT CP1 band was 19.30 which is well consistent to the previous report (42). Chlorophyll *a/b* ratio of CP1 band of the *MpCAO1+MpCAO2* plant was 4.46, indicating that chlorophyll *b* is incorporated into the core antennas of PSI. The incorporation of chlorophyll *b* into the core antennas of reaction centers was also observed in the BC-*Arabidopsis* plants in which A-domain of CAO is deleted (43). It should be noted that LHCII amounts were slightly high and PsaA/B amounts were slightly low in the *MpCAO1+MpCAO2* plant compared to

wild type (Fig. 8E). This is consistent with the idea that the level of LHCII is regulated by the amount of chlorophyll *b*.

DISCUSSION

Oligomeric structure of CAO – Dicamba monooxygenase and 2-oxoquinoline monooxygenase are Rieske-mononuclear iron oxygenases (39). Their crystal structures show a symmetric trimer and ring-like structure. In the trimeric form, an electron is transferred from the Rieske center to the mononuclear iron in the neighboring subunit, where the catalytic reaction takes place. It is reasonable to speculate that CAO has the same oligomeric structure and electron transfer chain because CAO is a Rieske-mononuclear iron oxygenase and has sequence similarity to dicamba monooxygenase and 2-oxoquinoline monooxygenase. BN-PAGE also indicated that both AtCAO and PhCAO form a trimer and larger complexes (Figs. 6 and 7). Interestingly, CAO in *Arabidopsis* formed complexes larger than the trimer. Recombinant CAO protein expressed in *E. coli* also showed the same electrophoretic profile on the BN-gel, indicating that AtCAO and PhCAO proteins form trimers and multimers. It should be noted that neither AtCAO nor PhCAO associated with LHCII or PSII to form large complexes because these complexes did not comigrate with the CAO protein on the BN gels, suggesting that the trimeric CAO, a unit structure of CAO, assembles into a large complex by itself. Although it is still unclear whether phytylation or formylation is the last step of chlorophyll *b* biosynthesis (44), CAO is one of the enzymes

which catalyze the last part of chlorophyll synthesis. This suggests the involvement of CAO in the formation of LHC. One hypothesis is that a large complex contributes to supplying sufficient chlorophyll *b* for the formation of LHC, which requires approximately 7 chlorophyll *b* molecules. The other hypothesis is that a large complex is an inactive form. At present, the biochemical importance of this large complex is not clear. Further study is necessary to clarify the importance of the large complex.

Subunit structure of *Micromonas* CAO – *Micromonas* CAO is composed of two proteins, which contain either Rieske (MpCAO1) or mononuclear iron (MpCAO2) binding motifs. Neither of these proteins can synthesize chlorophyll *b* by itself. Only when the two proteins were simultaneously expressed was chlorophyll *b* accumulated. Co-immunoprecipitation and BN-PAGE followed by immunoblotting showed that the MpCAO1 and MpCAO2 proteins form a heterodimer. As far as we know, the Rieske-mononuclear iron oxygenase forms a homotrimer of c_3 symmetry (45-47). The *Micromonas* CAO may be the first example of a Rieske-mononuclear iron oxygenase that forms a heterodimer. Based on the present results and previous report of the Rieske-mononuclear iron oxygenase (39), the electron transfer route between the CAO subunits is proposed in Figure 9. In the trimeric form of CAO, Rieske is reduced from ferredoxin, and then the electron is transferred to a mononuclear iron in the neighboring subunit, converting chlorophyll *a* into chlorophyll *b*. In the *Micromonas* CAO,

ferredoxin reduces the Rieske center in MpCAO1, which transfers electron to the mononuclear iron in MpCAO2 where chlorophyll *b* is synthesized.

Evolution of CAOs and light-harvesting systems – It has been reported that chlorophyll metabolism is closely related to the formation and degradation of chlorophyll-protein complexes. Direct interaction between light-harvesting complexes and a chlorophyll metabolic enzyme was reported for chlorophyll *b* reductase (CBR), which converts chlorophyll *b* to 7-hydroxymethyl chlorophyll *a* in the chlorophyll cycle (48). CBR directly catalyzes the reduction of chlorophyll *b* in the LHC, which is the first step of LHCII degradation (27). For this reason, LHC is never degraded in the *cbr* mutant (49). When CAO was overexpressed in *Arabidopsis*, the LHC level increased (50). Interestingly, when the A domain-deleted CAO was introduced into the *ch1-1* mutant, an unusual light-harvesting system was constructed, and chlorophyll *b* was incorporated not only into the LHC but also into the core antennas of both photosystems (21,51). These results lead us to speculate that the evolution of CAO is closely related to that of the light-harvesting systems.

The evolution of CAOs and light-harvesting systems is summarized in Figure 9. Prochlorophyte CAOs consist of only catalytic domains, and they have no sequence corresponding to the A and B domains. In these organisms, chlorophyll *b* does not exist in the LHC but is found in Pcb (10), which has no sequence similarity to LHC but is similar to core antennas (CP43/CP47) of photosystem II. The

Prochlorothrix CAO has high sequence similarity to the C domain of higher plants, but *Prochlorococcus* CAO has less similarity (22). This may be at least partly due to the different chlorophyll species used by each enzyme. *Prochlorococcus* use [8-vinyl]-chlorophyll whereas other oxygenic organisms use chlorophyll. In eukaryotes, photosynthetic organisms acquired an LHC with a three-membrane helix, and most chlorophyll *b* is incorporated into the LHC. In this stage of evolution, CAO acquired the A domain, which might be important to the preferential incorporation of chlorophyll *b* into the LHC as well as the regulation of chlorophyll synthesis. This hypothesis is supported by the finding that when full length CAO (with A, B and C domains) was substituted by the A domain-deleted CAO, chlorophyll *b* accumulates in excess and is incorporated into the core antennas of reaction centers (21). The A domain is conserved in land plants and green algae in which LHCII is a major light-harvesting complex and chlorophyll *b* does not exist in the core antennas of reaction centers. However, in prasinophytes, the A domain was lost, and CAO

separated into MpCAO1 and MpCAO2 over the course of evolution. Acquisition of the two separate genes encoding CAO might not be an evolutionarily difficult process because an electron is transferred from Rieske and mononuclear iron between two neighboring CAO, which was already the case in the trimeric CAO.

Interestingly, the light-harvesting system of *Micromonas* also drastically changed, although chlorophyll *b* is a major light-harvesting pigment as in other green algae and land plants. The chlorophyll *a/b* ratio of *Micromonas* is low, and chlorophyll *b* is incorporated into core antennas of reaction centers. This characteristic organization of the light-harvesting system of *Micromonas* might be at least partly related to the changes in the CAO structure. This hypothesis is supported by experiments showing that when the *Micromonas* CAO was introduced into *Arabidopsis*, the chlorophyll *a/b* ratio became low, and chlorophyll *b* was incorporated into the core antennas of reaction centers, which is similar to light-harvesting systems of *Micromonas*.

References

1. Neilson, J. A., and Durnford, D. G. (2010) *Photosynth Res* **106**, 57-71
2. Green, B. R., and Durnford, D. G. (1996) *Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol* **47**, 685-714
3. Renger, T., and Schlodder, E. (2010) *Chemphyschem : a European journal of chemical physics and physical chemistry* **11**, 1141-1153
4. Chen, M., Schliep, M., Willows, R. D., Cai, Z. L., Neilan, B. A., and Scheer, H. (2010) *Science* **329**, 1318-1319

5. Adir, N. (2005) *Photosynth Res* **85**, 15-32
6. Lepetit, B., Volke, D., Szabo, M., Hoffmann, R., Garab, G., Wilhelm, C., and Goss, R. (2007) *Biochemistry* **46**, 9813-9822
7. Tomitani, A., Okada, K., Miyashita, H., Matthijs, H., Ohno, T., and Tanaka, A. (1999) *Nature* **400**, 159-162
8. Garczarek, L., Hess, W. R., Holtzendorff, J., van der Staay, G. W., and Partensky, F. (2000) *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **97**, 4098-4101
9. Bibby, T. S., Mary, I., Nield, J., Partensky, F., and Barber, J. (2003) *Nature* **424**, 1051-1054
10. van der Staay, G. W., Yurkova, N., and Green, B. R. (1998) *Plant Mol. Biol.* **36**, 709-716
11. Kouril, R., Dekker, J. P., and Boekema, E. J. (2012) *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1817**, 2-12
12. Schmid, V. H. R. (2008) *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences* **65**, 3619-3639
13. Koziol, A. G., Borza, T., Ishida, K., Keeling, P., Lee, R. W., and Durnford, D. G. (2007) *Plant Physiol.* **143**, 1802-1816
14. Six, C., Worden, A. Z., Rodriguez, F., Moreau, H., and Partensky, F. (2005) *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **22**, 2217-2230
15. Tanaka, A., Ito, H., Tanaka, R., Tanaka, N. K., Yoshida, K., and Okada, K. (1998) *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **95**, 12719-12723
16. Ito, H., Ohtsuka, T., and Tanaka, A. (1996) *The Journal of biological chemistry* **271**, 1475-1479
17. Harada, J., Mizoguchi, T., Satoh, S., Tsukatani, Y., Yokono, M., Noguchi, M., Tanaka, A., and Tamiaki, H. (2013) *PLoS One* **8**, e60026
18. Nagata, N., Satoh, S., Tanaka, R., and Tanaka, A. (2004) *Planta* **218**, 1019-1025
19. Yamasato, A., Nagata, N., Tanaka, R., and Tanaka, A. (2005) *Plant Cell* **17**, 1585-1597
20. Sakuraba, Y., Tanaka, R., Yamasato, A., and Tanaka, A. (2009) *J. Biol. Chem.* **284**, 36689-36699
21. Hirashima, M., Satoh, S., Tanaka, R., and Tanaka, A. (2006) *The Journal of biological chemistry* **281**, 15385-15393
22. Satoh, S., and Tanaka, A. (2006) *Plant Cell Physiol.* **47**, 1622-1629
23. Tanaka, R., Ito, H., and Tanaka, A. (2010) *Regulation and Functions of the Chlorophyll Cycle in The Chloroplast: Basics and Applications*, Springer
24. Oster, U., Tanaka, R., Tanaka, A., and Ruedigger, W. (2000) *Plant J* **21**, 305-310
25. Worden, A. Z., Lee, J. H., Mock, T., Rouze, P., Simmons, M. P., Aerts, A. L., Allen, A. E., Cuvelier, M. L., Derelle, E., Everett, M. V., Foulon, E., Grimwood, J., Gundlach, H., Henrissat, B., Napoli, C., McDonald, S. M., Parker, M. S., Rombauts, S., Salamov, A., Von Dassow, P., Badger, J. H., Coutinho, P. M., Demir, E., Dubchak, I., Gentemann, C., Eikrem,

- W., Gready, J. E., John, U., Lanier, W., Lindquist, E. A., Lucas, S., Mayer, K. F., Moreau, H., Not, F., Otilar, R., Panaud, O., Pangilinan, J., Paulsen, I., Piegu, B., Poliakov, A., Robbins, S., Schmutz, J., Toulza, E., Wyss, T., Zelensky, A., Zhou, K., Armbrust, E. V., Bhattacharya, D., Goodenough, U. W., Van de Peer, Y., and Grigoriev, I. V. (2009) *Science* **324**, 268-272
26. Edwards, K., Johnstone, C., and Thompson, C. (1991) *Nucleic acids research* **19**, 1349
27. Shimoda, Y., Ito, H., and Tanaka, A. (2012) *Plant J* **72**, 501-511
28. Takabayashi, A., Kurihara, K., Kuwano, M., Kasahara, Y., Tanaka, R., and Tanaka, A. (2011) *Plant Cell Physiol* **52**, 2103-2114
29. Anderson, J. M., Waldron, J. C., and Thorne, S. W. (1978) *Febs Lett* **92**, 227-233
30. Tsugama, D., Liu, S. K., and Takano, T. (2011) *Plant Methods* **7**
31. Laemmli, U. K. (1970) *Nature* **227**, 680-685
32. Tanaka, A., Yamamoto, Y., and Tsuji, H. (1991) *Plant and Cell Physiology* **32**, 195-204
33. Zapata, M., Rodriguez, F., and Garrido, J. L. (2000) *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* **195**, 29-45
34. Tanaka, R., Rothbart, M., Oka, S., Takabayashi, A., Takahashi, K., Shibata, M., Myouga, F., Motohashi, R., Shinozaki, K., Grimm, B., and Tanaka, A. (2010) *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**, 16721-16725
35. Thompson, J. D., Higgins, D. G., and Gibson, T. J. (1994) *Nucleic acids research* **22**, 4673-4680
36. Hall, T. A. (1999) *Nucleic Acids Symposium Series* **41**, 95-98
37. Satoh, S., Ikeuchi, M., Mimuro, M., and Tanaka, A. (2001) *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **276**, 4293-4297
38. Takabayashi, A., Kurihara, K., Kuwano, M., Kasahara, Y., Tanaka, R., and Tanaka, A. (2011) *Plant Cell Physiol.* **52**, 2103-2114
39. Martins, B. M., Svetlitchnaia, T., and Dobbek, H. (2005) *Structure* **13**, 817-824
40. Sakuraba, Y., Yokono, M., Akimoto, S., Tanaka, R., and Tanaka, A. (2010) *Plant Cell Physiol.* **51**, 1055-1065
41. Anderson, J. M. (1980) *Biochim Biophys Acta* **591**, 113-126
42. Satoh, S., Ikeuchi, M., Mimuro, M., and Tanaka, A. (2001) *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **276**, 4293-4297
43. Sakuraba, Y., Yokono, M., Akimoto, S., Tanaka, R., and Tanaka, A. (2010) *Plant Cell Physiol* **51**, 1055-1065
44. Tanaka, R., and Tanaka, A. (2011) *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1807**, 968-976
45. D'Ordine, R. L., Rydel, T. J., Storek, M. J., Sturman, E. J., Moshiri, F., Bartlett, R. K., Brown, G. R., Eilers, R. J., Dart, C., Qi, Y., Flasinski, S., and Franklin, S. J. (2009) *Journal of Molecular Biology* **392**, 481-497
46. Jakoncic, J., Jouanneau, Y., Meyer, C., and Stojanoff, V. (2007) *The FEBS journal* **274**,

47. Ferraro, D. J., Gakhar, L., and Ramaswamy, S. (2005) *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* **338**, 175-190
48. Kusaba, M., Ito, H., Morita, R., Iida, S., Sato, Y., Fujimoto, M., Kawasaki, S., Tanaka, R., Hirochika, H., Nishimura, M., and Tanaka, A. (2007) *The Plant cell* **19**, 1362-1375
49. Horie, Y., Ito, H., Kusaba, M., Tanaka, R., and Tanaka, A. (2009) *The Journal of biological chemistry* **284**, 17449-17456
50. Tanaka, R., and Tanaka, A. (2005) *Photosynth Res* **85**, 327-340
51. Sakuraba, Y., Balazadeh, S., Tanaka, R., Mueller-Roeber, B., and Tanaka, A. (2012) *Plant Cell Physiol.* **53**, 505-517

Acknowledgements – We thank Dr. Hisashi Ito (Hokkaido University) for technical assistant and also thank Dr. Ryouichi Tanaka (Hokkaido University) for helpful discussion. This work was financially supported by the Grants-in-Aids for Young Scientists (23770035 to A.T.) and by Scientific Research (10197402 to R.T.) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Comparison of the domain structure of the CAO protein among photosynthetic organisms. A: Comparison of amino acid sequences of the Rieske center in CAO proteins. B: Comparison of amino acid sequences of the mononuclear iron binding motif in CAO proteins. In both panels, identical residues are shown in white type on a black background. C: The domain structure of CAO proteins. Rieske center (Fe-S) and mononuclear iron (Fe) binding motif are in the C domain. AtCAO; *Arabidopsis thaliana* CAO; CrCAO, *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* CAO; MpCAO1, *Micromonas pusilla* CAO (Fe-S); MpCAO2, *Micromonas pusilla* CAO (Fe); PhCAO, *Prochlorothrix hollandica* CAO. D: Schematic drawing of core/peripheral antenna complexes of *Arabidopsis*, *Chlamydomonas*, *Micromonas* and *Prochlorothrix*.

Figure 2. Multiple amino acid sequence alignment of CAO proteins. Identical residues are shown in white type on a black background. The AtCAO sequences corresponding to A-domain, B-domain and C-domain are shown. Asterisks and closed squares shows binding sites of Rieske center and mononuclear iron-binding motif, respectively.

Figure 3. Sequences of synthetic genes of MpCAO1 and MpCAO2.

Figure 4. Generation of MpCAO overexpression Arabidopsis lines. A, phenotypes of wild-type and transgenic lines. 1, wild type; 2, MpCAO1+MpCAO2-1; 3, MpCAO1+MpCAO2-2; 4, *chl1-1*; 5,

MpCAO1; and 6, *MpCAO2*. B, confirmation of transgenic lines by PCR. C, confirmation of transgenic lines by immunoblot analysis. The *MpCAO1*-FLAG and *MpCAO2*-HA proteins were detected using anti-FLAG or anti-HA antibodies, respectively. Lane 1, wild type; lane 2, *ch1-1*; lane 3, *MpCAO1*; lane 4, *MpCAO2*; lane 5, *MpCAO1+MpCAO2-1*; lane 6, *MpCAO1+MpCAO2-2*.

Figure 5. Co-immunoprecipitation of *MpCAO1*-FLAG and *MpCAO2*-HA proteins.

Co-immunoprecipitation of *MpCAO1*-FLAG and *MpCAO2*-HA proteins. The solubilized thylakoid membrane proteins from wild type or *MpCAO1_MpCAO2* plants were co-immunoprecipitated with the anti-FLAG antibody (FLAG) or anti-HA antibody (HA) and subjected to SDS-PAGE. Detection of *MpCAO1*-FLAG and *MpCAO2*-HA proteins was performed by immunoblot analysis with anti-FLAG antibody (A) or anti-HA antibody (B), respectively. Lane 1, wild type; lane 2, *MpCAO1_MpCAO2*.

Figure 6. Analysis of the oligomeric states of the CAO proteins. A: 2D-BN/ SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting indicated that *MpCAO1* and *MpCAO2* proteins accumulated in monomeric, heterodimeric (86 kDa) and higher molecular weight forms in *MpCAO1+MpCAO2* plant. B: 2D-BN/ SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting indicated that BCFLAG proteins accumulated in monomeric (43kDa), trimeric (126 kDa) and higher molecular weight forms in the BCFLAG overexpression plants. The BCFLAG proteins were detected using an anti-FLAG antibody or anti-CAO antibody. C: 2D-BN/ SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting indicated that recombinant AtCAO protein formed higher molecular weight protein complexes in *E. coli*. The AtCAO proteins were detected using an anti-His antibody. D: 2D-BN/ SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting indicated that PhCAO formed monomeric (41kDa), trimeric (123 kDa) and higher molecular weight complexes. The PhCAO proteins were detected using an anti-CAO antibody.

Figure 7. Multiple sequence alignment of AtCAO, dicamba monooxygenases (ddmC) and 2-Oxoquinoline 8-Monooxygenase (oxoO) proteins. Identical residues are shown in white type on a black background. Black asterisks and closed squares shows binding sites of Rieske center and mononuclear iron-binding motif, respectively.

Figure 8. Separation of chlorophyll-protein complexes. Thylakoid membranes of *M. pusilla* (A), *A. thaliana* (WT) (B, C, and E), and *MpCAO1_MpCAO2* plants (B, D, and E) were prepared. Chlorophyll-protein complexes of those plants were separated by native green gel electrophoresis (A and B). The identity of the chlorophyll-protein complexes corresponding to the bands are presented on the left side of the gel. CP1*, CP1 (PsaA/B)-LHCI complexes; CPa, core complexes of PSII (CP43/CP47); LHCII¹ and LHCII³, monomeric and trimeric LHCII, respectively; FC, free Chl. In

addition, chlorophyll-protein complexes of WT and *MpCAO1_MpCAO2* plants were also analyzed by two-dimensional SDS/PAGE, followed by silver staining (*C* and *D*). The amounts of PsaA/B, CP47, and Lhcb2 proteins were estimated by SDS-PAGE, followed by immunoblot analysis with their specific antibodies (*E*).

Figure 9. Evolution of CAO structure. A: Prochlorophyte CAOs have only the C domain (catalytic domain) and form a trimer. CAOs in green algae and land plants have A, B and C domains and form a trimer. Mamiellales *Micromonas* CAOs are composed of two proteins with either a Rieske (Fe-S) or mononuclear iron (Fe) binding motifs. Neither protein has an A or B domain. They interact with each other to form a dimer. B: In each case, electrons must be transferred to a mononuclear iron (Fe) from a Rieske center (Fe-S) across the protein-protein interface.

Table 1. Chlorophyll content of wild-type and transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants. The value given is the mean $\pm$ SE of three experiments. n.d. stands for “not detected”. Chl, Chlorophyll.

Plants	Chl <i>a</i>	Chl <i>b</i>	Total Chl	Chl <i>a/b</i>
	(μg/gFW)			
WT	1053.1 $\pm$ 47.8	347.8 $\pm$ 14.9	1400.9 $\pm$ 62.1	3.03 $\pm$ 0.04
<i>chl1-1</i>	760.6 $\pm$ 67.6	0	760.6 $\pm$ 67.6	-
MpCAO1	671.4 $\pm$ 16.4	0	671.4 $\pm$ 16.4	-
MpCAO2	650.1 $\pm$ 37.8	0	650.1 $\pm$ 37.8	-
MpCAO1+MpCAO2-1	737.9 $\pm$ 68.9	445.5 $\pm$ 30.5	1182.5 $\pm$ 68.9	1.65 $\pm$ 0.03
MpCAO1+MpCAO2-2	747.6 $\pm$ 69.9	490.0 $\pm$ 31.0	1237.6 $\pm$ 69.9	1.53 $\pm$ 0.09

Table 2. Chlorophyll *a/b* ratios and other pigments of Chlorophyll-protein complexes in *Micromonas*. Chlorophyll-protein complexes were separated by native green gel electrophoresis and were extracted from the gel. Their chlorophyll *a/b* ratios and other pigments were determined by HPLC analysis. The band numbers correspond to Fig. 8A. Chl, Chlorophyll; β -car, β -carotene; DVP*, 8-vinyl-protochlorophyllide; Pra*, prasinoxanthin; ND, not detected.

Band Number	Chl <i>a/b</i>	β-car*/Chl a	DVP*/Chl a	Pra*/Chl a
1	3.22	0.26	n.d.	n.d
2	1.03	0.03	0.61	0.91
3	0.98	0.01	0.69	0.77
4	5.73	0.48	n.d.	n.d.
5	1.38	0.22	n.d.	2.17
6	1.66	0.48	n.d.	4.73

Table 3. Chlorophyll a/b ratios of Chlorophyll-protein complexes in wild type (WT) and *MpCAO1+MpCAO2* plants. Chlorophyll-protein complexes were separated by native green gel electrophoresis and were extracted from the gel. CP1 is a heterodimer of PsaA/PsaB. Their Chlorophyll *a/b* ratios were determined by HPLC analysis. Chl, Chloroyll.

Chl-protein complexes	Wild type	<i>MpCAO1+MpCAO2</i>
CP1-LHCI complexes (CP1[*])	8.75	3.25
CP1	21.35	3.43
Trimeric LHCII (LHCII³)	1.49	0.90
Core complexes of PSII (Cpa)	13.09	3.50
Monomeric LHCII (LHCII¹)	1.57	0.86
Free Chls (FC)	1.82	0.79