HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

Title	On Riemannian manifolds satisfying a certain condition on the curvature tensors
Author(s)	Yamauchi, Kazunari
Citation	Journal of the Faculty of Science Hokkaido University. Ser. 1 Mathematics, 22(3-4), 099-103
Issue Date	1972
Doc URL	https://hdl.handle.net/2115/54695
Type	departmental bulletin paper
File Information	JFSHIU_22_N3-4_099-103.pdf

Hokkaido University Collection of Scholarly and Academic Papers : HUSCAP

On Riemannian manifolds satisfying a certain condition on the curvature tensors

Dedicated to Professor Yoshie Katsurada on her 60th birthday

By Kazunari YAMAUCHI

§ 0. Introduction

If a Riemannian manifold M is locally symmetric, then its curvature tensor R satisfies

$$(*) \qquad R(X, Y)R = 0 \qquad \text{for any tangent vectors } X \text{ and } Y,$$

where the endomorphism $R(X, Y)$ operates on R as a derivation of tensor algebra at each point of M. As a converse problem, there is a following conjecture by K. Momizu ([1]).

CONJECTURE. *Let M be a complete, irreducible Riemannian manifold with dim-$M \geqq 3$. If M satisfies the condition $(*)$, then M is a locally symmetric space.*

For this conjecture, K. Nomizu gave an affirmative answer in case that M is a complete hypersurface in a Euclidean space ([2]). P. J. Ryan gave an affirmative answer in case that M is a complete hypersurface in a space of constant curvature ([3]). Furthermore there are some results in this direction.

In this paper we shall prove the following theorems

THEOREM 1. *Let $\bar{M}$ be an $n+1$-dimensional Riemannian manifold satisfying the condition $\bar{R}(X, Y)\bar{R}=0$, and M(dim-$M \geqq 5$) be a hypersurface of $\bar{M}$ whose curvature tensor satisfies the condition $(*)$ If M satisfies $\lambda_i = \lambda (= const \neq 0)$, $1 \leqq i \leqq n$, then M is a space of constant curvature, where $\bar{R}$ and R are curvature tensors of $\bar{M}$ and M respectively, and λ_i is a eigenvalue of A, A is a field of symmetric endomorphism which corresponds to the second fundamental form h, that is, $h(X, Y)=g(AX, Y)$ for tangent vectors X and Y.*

THEOREM 2. *Let M be 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold whose curvature tensor satisfies the condition $(*)$. If the scalar curvature K of M is constant, then M is a locally symmetric space.*

I should like to express my hearty thanks to Prof. Y. Katsurada for her kind suggestions and many valuable criticisms.

§ 1. Proof of Theorem 1.

The following is a purely local argument. Let U be a neighborhood of a point $x\in M$ on which we choose a unit vector field ξ normal to M. For any vector field X and Y tangent to M, we have the formulas of Gauss and Weingarten

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y)\xi,$$
$$\bar{\nabla}_X \xi = -AX,$$

where $\bar{\nabla}$ and ∇ denote covariant differentiation for $\bar{M}$ and M respectively. Using these formulas we have the following equation

$$\bar{R}(X, Y)Z = R(X, Y)Z - (AX\wedge AY)Z + \{(\nabla_X h)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y h)(X, Z)\}\xi,$$

where, in general, $X\wedge Y$ denotes the endomorphism which maps Z upon $g(Z, Y)X - g(Z, X)Y$, g being the Riemannian metric of M.

At a point $x\in M$, let $\{e_1, e_2, \cdots e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis of the tangent space $T_x(M)$ such that $Ae_i = \lambda_i e_i$, $1\leqq i\leqq n$.

LEMMA: If $\lambda_i = \lambda = \text{const}$, then $(\nabla_X h)(Y, Z) = 0$.

PROOF.
$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla_X h)(Y, Z) &= X\cdot h(Y, Z) - h(\nabla_X Y, Z) - h(Y, \nabla_X Z)\\ &= X\cdot g(AY, Z) - g(A\nabla_X Y, Z) - g(AY, \nabla_X Z)\\ &= X\cdot g(AY, Z) - g(\nabla_X(AY) - (\nabla_X A)Y, Z) - g(AY, \nabla_X Z)\\ &= g((\nabla_X A)Y, Z).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla_X A)Y &= \nabla_X(AY) - A(\nabla_X Y)\\ &= \nabla_X(\lambda Y) - \lambda\nabla_X Y\\ &= X\lambda\cdot Y + \lambda\nabla_X Y - \lambda\nabla_X Y\\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence it follws that

$$(\nabla_X h)(Y, Z) = 0.$$

In the following computation, assuming that any two indices in i, j, k, l, have not the same value, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\big(\bar{R}(e_i, e_j)\bar{R}\big)(e_i, e_l)e_j &= \big[\bar{R}(e_i, e_j), \bar{R}(e_i, e_l)\big]e_j - \bar{R}\big(\bar{R}(e_i, e_j)e_i, e_l\big)e_j\\ &\quad - \bar{R}\big(e_i, \bar{R}(e_i, e_j)e_l\big)e_j\\ &= \bar{R}(e_i, e_j)\big(R(e_i, e_l)e_j - \lambda^2(\delta_{lj}e_i - \delta_{ij}e_l)\big)\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\bar{R}(e_i, e_l)\big(R(e_i, e_j)e_j-\lambda^2(\delta_{jj}e_i-\delta_{ij}e_j)\big)\\
&-\bar{R}\big(R(e_i, e_j)e_i-\lambda^2(\delta_{ij}e_i-\delta_{ii}e_j), e_l\big)e_j\\
&-\bar{R}\big(e_i, R(e_i, e_j)e_l-\lambda^2(\delta_{lj}e_i-\delta_{il}e_j)\big)e_j\\
=\ &R(e_i, e_j)\big(R(e_i, e_l)e_j\big)-\lambda^2R^r_{jil}(\delta_{rj}e_i-\delta_{ir}e_j)\\
&-R(e_i, e_l)\big(R(e_i, e_j)e_j\big)+\lambda^2R^r_{jij}(\delta_{rl}e_i-\delta_{ir}e_l)\\
&+\lambda^2\big(R(e_i, e_l)e_i-\lambda^2(\delta_{il}e_i-\delta_{ii}e_l)\big)\\
&-R\big(R(e_i, e_j)e_i, e_l\big)e_j-\lambda^2R^r_{iij}(\delta_{rj}e_l-\delta_{lj}e_r)\\
&-\lambda^2\big(R(e_j, e_l)e_j-\lambda^2(\delta_{lj}e_j-\delta_{jj}e_l)\big)\\
&-R\big(e_i, R(e_i, e_j)e_l\big)e_j+\lambda^2R^r_{lij}(\delta_{rj}e_i-\delta_{ij}e_r)\\
=\ &\big(R(e_i, e_j)R\big)(e_i, e_l)e_j+\lambda^2R_{ijil}e_j+\lambda^2(R^r_{iil}-R^r_{jjl})e_r.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\lambda^2R_{ijjl}=0\,,\\
&\lambda^2(R_{liil}-R_{ljjl})=0\,,\\
&\lambda^2(R_{riil}-R_{rjjl})=0 \qquad\qquad (r\neq i, j, l)\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

Under same computation, we have

$$
\big(\bar{R}(e_i, e_j)\bar{R}\big)(e_k, e_l)e_i=\big(R(e_i, e_j)R\big)(e_k, e_l)e_i-\lambda^2R_{jikl}e_i-\lambda^2R^r_{jkl}e_r\,.
$$

Hence we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\lambda^2R_{kjkl}=0\,,\\
&\lambda^2R_{ljkl}=0\,,\\
&\lambda^2R_{rjkl}=0 \qquad\qquad (r\neq i, j, k, l)\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

From the above results we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&R_{ijkl}=0\,,\\
&R_{ijjl}=0\,,\\
&R_{ijij}=R_{iiil}(\overset{\text{put}}{=}\mu)\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

The sectional curvature $\rho(X, Y)$ for the plane spanned by X and Y is given by

$$
\rho(X, Y)=\frac{g(R(X, Y)Y, X)}{g(X, X)g(Y, Y)-(g(X, Y))^2}.
$$

Let $X=a^ie_i$, $Y=b^je_j$, then using $R_{ijkl}=0$, $R_{ijjl}=0$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n}(a^i)^2\cdot\sum_{j=1}^{n}(b^j)^2\cdot\rho(X,\,Y)=g\Big(R(a^ie_i,\,p^je_j)b^ke_k,\,a^le_l\Big)$$
$$=\sum_{i=1}^{n}(a^i)^2\cdot\sum_{j=1}^{n}(b^j)^2\cdot\mu\,.$$

Hence it follows that

$$\rho(X,\,Y)=\mu$$

Therefore M is a space of constant curvature since the sectional curvature $\rho(X,\,Y)$ does not depend on the direction.

§ 2. Proof of Theorem 2.

Now we can see that there is an orthonormal basis $\{e_1,\,e_2,\,e_3\}$ at each tangent space of M such that

(2. 1) $$R_{1212}=a\,,\quad R_{1313}=b\,,\quad R_{2323}=\mathrm{c}\,,$$

otherwise zero. From (2. 1) we have

$$R(e_i,\,e_j)=R_{ijij}e_i\wedge e_j$$

From the following relation;

$$\Big(R(e_i,\,e_j)R\Big)(e_k,\,e_l)=\Big[R(e_i,\,e_j),\,R(e_k,\,e_l)\Big]-R\Big(R(e_i,\,e_j)e_k,\,e_l\Big)$$
$$-R\Big(e_k,\,R(e_i,\,e_j)e_l\Big)$$

we find that it is zero except in case that $k=i$, and $l\neq i,\,j(i\neq j)$. For this case we have

$$\Big(R(e_i,\,e_j)R\Big)(e_i,\,e_l)=R_{ijij}(R_{jljl}-R_{iili})e_j\wedge e_l\,.$$

Thus we see that condition $(*)$ is equivalent to

(2. 2) $$R_{ijij}(R_{jljl}-R_{iili})=0$$

From (2. 2) we have

$$a(c-b)=0\,,$$
$$b(c-a)=0\,,$$
$$c(b-a)=0\,.$$

We consider the following two cases

I. $a=b=c$

II. $a=b=0\,,\qquad c\neq 0$

In case of I, clearly M is a space of constant curvature.
In case of II, we obtain

$$R_{12} = R_{13} = R_{23} = R_{11} = 0\,,$$
$$R_{22} = R_{33} = R_{2323}\,.$$

From the Bianchi's identity, we have

$$\nabla_1 R_{1k} + \nabla_2 R_{2k} + \nabla_3 R_{3k} = \frac{1}{2}\nabla_k K.$$

Let us put $k=3$. Then we have $\nabla_3 R_{33} = \nabla_3 R_{22} = 0$.

Putting $k=2$, we have $\nabla_2 R_{22} = \nabla_2 R_{33} = 0$.

From the Bianchi's identity we have

$$\nabla_1 R_{1kji} + \nabla_2 R_{2kji} + \nabla_3 R_{3kji} = \nabla_i R_{jk} - \nabla_j R_{ik}\,.$$

Put $j=k=2$ and $i=1$, then $\nabla_1 R_{22} = \nabla_1 R_{33} = 0$.

Hence it follows that

$$\nabla_j R_{ik} = 0$$

Therefore M is a locally symmetric space.

Department of Mathematics
Hokkaido University

Bibliography

[1] K. NOMIZU and K. YANO: Some results related to the equivalance problem in Riemannian geometry, Proc. United States-Japan Sem. Diff. Geom., Kyoto, 1965.

[2] K. NOMIZU: On hypersurface satisfying a certain condition on the curvature tensor, Tohoku Math. J. 20 (1968) 46–59.

[3] P. J. RYAN: Homogeneity and curvature conditions for hypersurface, Tohoku Math. J. 21 (1969) 363–388.

[4] K. NOMIZU: On infinitesimal holonomy and isotropy groups, Nagaya Math, J., 11 (1957) 111–114.

(Received August 16, 1971)