



Title	Numerical investigation of Newhouse sinks of Hénon map
Author(s)	山口, 崇幸
Degree Grantor	北海道大学
Degree Name	博士(理学)
Dissertation Number	甲第11370号
Issue Date	2014-03-25
DOI	https://doi.org/10.14943/doctoral.k11370
Doc URL	https://hdl.handle.net/2115/55516
Type	doctoral thesis
File Information	Takayuki_Yamaguchi.pdf



Numerical investigation of Newhouse sinks of Hénon map

Takayuki Yamaguchi

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY, KITA 10, NISHI
8, KITA-KU, SAPPORO, HOKKAIDO, 060-0810, JAPAN
E-mail address: `yt@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp`

Contents

Introduction	5
Chapter 1. Preliminaries	9
1. Hénon map	9
2. Infinite cascade of sinks	9
3. Computer program and precision	12
Chapter 2. Basin of attraction of Newhouse sink	15
1. Settings and first sink in a sequence	15
2. Basin of attraction of a sink near a homoclinic tangency	16
Chapter 3. Estimation of sinks and power laws	23
1. Power laws of Newhouse sinks	23
2. Estimation of positions of sinks	24
3. Numerical data of power laws of obtained sinks	25
Chapter 4. Verification of sinks	29
1. Interval arithmetic	29
2. Application of Brouwer fixed point theorem with interval arithmetic	31
3. Result of verification of sinks	32
Chapter 5. Chaotic transients	35
1. Chaotic transients	35
Bibliography	39
Appendix A. Algorithm	41
1. Calculation of stable and unstable manifolds	41
2. Search for a sink near a homoclinic tangency	41
3. Pseudo code of search for periodic points in a horseshoe	43
Appendix B. Numerical data of sinks	45
1. Data tables	45

Introduction

In this paper we investigate numerically Newhouse sinks of Hénon map. For nearly classical parameter values of Hénon map, we search numerically for a sequence of Newhouse sinks and investigate their basins and average rambling time.

Firstly, we obtain the first few Newhouse sinks by using an algorithm based on the proof of existences of Newhouse sinks and investigate the basin of attraction of the sink. In the case that we observed, when the sink exists, most orbits converge to it, and the orbit that seems to be Hénon attractor is not an attractor but just a long chaotic transient. The appearances of Newhouse sinks in our cases cause the changes from Hénon attractor to a long chaotic transient.

Secondly, we show how to find successively the Newhouse sinks of higher period. In general, simple iterations of some initial points converge to an attractor. However, it is difficult for simple iterations of some initial points to find Newhouse sinks because the parameter ranges in which these sinks exist are too short. It is known that properties of Newhouse sinks satisfy power laws. We estimate the parameter values and coordinates of the succeeding Newhouse sinks from the power laws and search in a neighborhood of the estimation values by simple iterations. From our estimation, we obtained numerically a sequence of sinks of period from 8 to 60. We also show numerical data of power laws of the sequence of the sinks.

Thirdly, we verify our obtained sinks by applying Brouwer fixed point theorem with interval arithmetic. Fourthly, we discuss the rambling time of the chaotic transients of these sinks.

The sequence of sinks are constructed to prove coexistence of infinitely many sinks. Newhouse showed coexistence of infinitely many sinks when a one-parameter family of two dimensional dissipative diffeomorphism creates a homoclinic tangency nondegenerately [New74, New79]. For a homoclinic tangency, there exists a horseshoe in a neighborhood of the coordinate of the tangency and in a neighborhood of the parameter that the tangency occurs. When we perturb the parameter of the horseshoe, a periodic point in the horseshoe becomes stable. Such sinks at different parameters compose a sequence converging to the homoclinic tangency, which is our object in this paper. In addition, when we fix a homoclinic tangency, because of abundance of diffeomorphisms having a different homoclinic tangency in a neighborhood of the parameter of the fixed homoclinic tangency, infinitely many sinks coexist at a parameter.

The convergence of the aforementioned sequence to the homoclinic tangency means the following. If a one parameter family $\{F_t\}$ of two-dimensional dissipative diffeomorphisms has a homoclinic tangency q_0 at a parameter t_0 , there is a sequence of parameters $\{t_j\}$ accumulating to t_0 such that the diffeomorphism F_{t_j} has a sink q_j of period n_j and, as j varies to infinity, the sequence of sinks $\{q_j\}$ accumulates to q_0 and the sequence n_j goes to infinity [GS72, New74, Rob83]. We refer to the sequence of sinks as an infinite cascade of sinks. This phenomenon gives the existence of a diffeomorphism with a sink of arbitrarily high period near a diffeomorphism having a homoclinic tangency.

Each sink in an infinite cascade of sinks is obtained as below. Perturbing a diffeomorphism with a homoclinic tangency, we can construct a horseshoe near the homoclinic tangency. To be more precise, we can take a rectangle region near the homoclinic tangency in an appropriate coordinate such that some iterations of the diffeomorphism map the rectangle back to its neighborhood and the image of the rectangle is bent and has two components of intersections with the rectangle. As the parameter varies to the homoclinic tangency the horseshoe are destroyed. Full horseshoe, that is, a situation so that the intersection of the rectangle and its image consists of two components, has two saddle periodic points. As the parameter varies slightly so as to break the full horseshoe of the dissipative diffeomorphism, one of the two saddle periodic points becomes stable. As the parameter varies further to the tangency, the subsequent horseshoes appear and destroy in turn. One sink appears in each horseshoe and these sinks in the horseshoes constitute the cascade.

We deal with only such sinks that appear in the horseshoes in a neighborhood of a homoclinic tangency. Tedeschini-Lalli and Yorke called them “simple” Newhouse sinks and investigated measure of parameters where such sinks exist [TLY86]. The “simple” Newhouse sinks are defined as the sinks having their orbits consisting of the following two parts: one part near the saddle fixed point mapped by almost linear map and the other part mapped by a nonlinear map. They proved that the parameters of coexistence of only infinite “simple” Newhouse sinks has zero measure. Therefore, it is not easy that we find parameter values of “simple” Newhouse sinks and we need the estimation described in the following sections.

We consider Hénon map [Hén76]:

$$(1) \quad T_{a,b}(x, y) = (1 + y - ax^2, -bx).$$

It is shown in [FG92, AM06] that the stable and unstable manifolds of the saddle fixed point $(0.631\ldots, -0.189\ldots)$ have a homoclinic tangency for the parameter a near 1.3924198079 when we fix $b = -0.3$. We deal with the same homoclinic tangency in the following sections.

An infinite cascade of sinks is governed by power laws [CJ82, Rob83, TS94]. We translate the power laws to estimations of parameter values and coordinates of a sequence of sinks by using calculation of stable and unstable manifolds of a fixed point. In the result, our numerical search obtained a sequence of sinks of period from 8 to 60. The sinks of period from 8 to 60 converge only in numerical experiments and their existences of the sinks are not rigorous mathematically. We also consider the verification of the sinks. We construct numerically an inclusions in a neighborhood the sinks and apply Brouwer fixed point theorem. The verification is performed by using interval arithmetic. We proved mathematically the existences of the sinks of period from 8 to 14.

We also concerned with the basins of the sinks. In particular, for the obtained sinks, our numerical investigation shows that the basins of the sinks have intersections with the unstable manifold of the saddle fixed point. Therefore, when the sink exists, the Hénon attractor loses its stability and orbits of most initial points in phase space converge to the sink near the homoclinic tangency. Buszko and Stefański investigated transients of sinks of lower period and showed the relation of sizes of periodic windows and average rambling times [BS06]. We apply the same analysis of [BS06] to the transients of the obtained sinks. It is shown that our sinks have the same properties as the sinks of lower period in point of average rambling time.

Our numerical investigation presents an example such that Hénon attractor appeared in numerical experiments is not an attractor but just a long chaotic transient. In addition, our numerical investigation indicates that because the width of main band of the basin of the sink of high period is very narrow rounding errors

of computation hide the existences of the sinks. It is known that the parameter range of simple Newhouse sink is short and actually our numerical search for simple Newhouse sinks needs the parameter estimation. Accordingly, it is unlikely that all Hénon attractors that we observe in numerical experiments are long chaotic transients.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank Professor Kenji Matsumoto for all of his guidance and advice.

CHAPTER 1

Preliminaries

1. Hénon map

Hénon introduced the two-dimensional quadratic map for numerical experiments and revealed that orbits of the map show a chaotic attractor in Fig. 1 [Hén76]. In this paper we use the form of Hénon map (1) and the parameters in his paper correspond to $a = 1.4$ and $b = -0.3$. The map is a diffeomorphism and its inverse is

$$(2) \quad T_{a,b}^{-1}(x, y) = \left(-\frac{y}{b}, a \left(\frac{y}{b} \right)^2 + x - 1 \right).$$

If $(1 + b)^2 + 4a > 0$ then $T_{a,b}$ has two fixed points;

$$(3) \quad x = \frac{-(1 + b) \pm \sqrt{(1 + b)^2 + 4a}}{2a}, y = -bx.$$

The Jacobian matrix of $T_{a,b}$ is

$$(4) \quad DT_{a,b}(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} -2ax & 1 \\ -b & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The determinant of $DT(x, y)$ equals b and is constant.

2. Infinite cascade of sinks

In this section, we recall the construction of Newhouse sink according to [Rob83]. We consider a discrete dynamical system of a diffeomorphism F on $\mathbb{R}^2$. Let $DF(p)$ denote the derivative of F at p . A point p is called a periodic point of period n if $F^n(p) = p$ and $F^i(p) \neq p$ for $0 < i < n$. In particular, a periodic point p of period 1 is called a fixed point. A periodic point p is called a sink if the absolute values of all eigenvalues of $DF(p)$ are less than one. A periodic point p is called a saddle periodic point if the two eigenvalues λ and μ of $DF(p)$ satisfy $|\lambda| < 1$ and $|\mu| > 1$. In addition, the periodic point p is called a dissipative periodic point if




FIGURE 1. The orbits of Hénon map (1) whose initial point is $(0, 0)$ and parameters 1.4 and -0.3 .




FIGURE 2. The stable and unstable manifolds of the saddle fixed point $(6.32536\cdots, 0.18976\cdots)$ of Hénon map with $a = 1.3927060035$ and $b = -0.3$ near which a homoclinic tangency occurs. The stable manifolds are the vertically long curves, which are u-shaped and reach to the top of the figure. The unstable manifolds are the horizontally long curves in the rectangle $[-1.5, 1.5] \times [-1, 1]$, which are bow-shaped and twine Hénon attractor.

$|\det DF(p)| = |\lambda\mu| < 1$. We define the stable manifold $W^s(p, F)$ and the unstable manifold $W^u(p, F)$ of a saddle fixed point p of F ;

$$(5) \quad W^s(p, F) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid |f^n(p) - p| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\}$$

$$(6) \quad W^u(p, F) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid |f^n(p) - p| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow -\infty\}.$$

It is known that if F is a C^r diffeomorphism then these invariant manifolds are C^r curves and tangent to the eigendirections of $DF(p)$ at p . We call a point q a homoclinic point if q is in both $W^s(p, F)$ and $W^u(p, F)$. The homoclinic point q is called a homoclinic tangency if $W^u(q, F)$ and $W^s(q, F)$ are tangent at q . Otherwise, we call q a transverse homoclinic point.

The existence of an infinite cascade of sinks is formulated by the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.1 ([Rob83]). *Let $\{F_t : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2\}$ be a one parameter family of C^1 diffeomorphisms depending continuously on t . Assume $\{F_t\}$ creates a homoclinic intersection at t_0 for the dissipative fixed point p_{t_0} . That is, there is some $\epsilon > 0$ such that for $t_0 - \epsilon < t < t_0 + \epsilon$ the subarcs $\gamma_t^s \subset W^s(p_t, F_t)$ and $\gamma_t^u \subset W^u(p_t, F_t)$ depending continuously on t satisfy the following condition:*

- (1) $\gamma_t^s \cap \gamma_t^u = \emptyset$ for $t_0 - \epsilon < t < t_0$ (respectively, $t_0 < t < t_0 + \epsilon$),
- (2) for $t_0 < t < t_0 + \epsilon$ (respectively, $t_0 - \epsilon < t < t_0$), there are two transverse intersections of γ_t^s and γ_t^u and the directions at the two intersections are different from each other.

Then there is a sequence of parameters t_j converging to t_0 such that F_{t_j} has a sink of period n_j and n_j diverges for $j \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, $\{n_j\}$ satisfies $n_{j+1} - n_j = 1$ for all j if F_t preserves the orientations on $W^s(p_t, F_t)$ and $W^u(p_t, F_t)$. Otherwise, $n_{j+1} - n_j = 2$ for all j .




FIGURE 3. The situation of simple Newhouse sink. Perturbing the diffeomorphism F_{t_0} of a homoclinic tangency, we obtain the box B and the horseshoe region $F_t^{m+N}(B)$ near the point of the tangency u_0 . The diffeomorphism F_t is linear in the neighborhood of the saddle fixed point p_t ; F_t^m makes the box B shrink horizontally and stretch vertically. We obtain the rectangle $F_t^m(B)$ near v_0 . F_t^N maps a neighborhood of v_0 to a neighborhood of u_0 ; this map is nonlinear and pulls the rectangle $F_t^m(B)$ across B . The $B \cap F_t^{m+N}(B)$ includes two saddle periodic points of period $m + N$. One of these two saddles becomes stable when the parameter varies to the homoclinic tangency.

Let us describe the situation of the theorem (Fig. 3). Let λ_t and μ_t be the two eigenvalues of $DF_t(p_t)$. We assume that $0 < \lambda_t < 1$ and $\mu_t < -1$. This settings are similar to the case of Hénon map (1) for the parameters $a \approx 1.3924198079$ and $b = -0.3$, which is numerically investigated in the following sections. We consider the case such that $\gamma_t^s \cap \gamma_t^u = \emptyset$ for $t_0 - \epsilon < t < t_0$ and there are transverse intersections of γ_t^s and γ_t^u for $t_0 < t < t_0 + \epsilon$. Let u_0 be the point of the tangency; $W^s(p_{t_0})$ is tangent to $W^u(p_{t_0})$ at u_0 . We also let v_0 be $F_{t_0}^{-N}(u_0)$ for some integer $N > 0$. We consider sufficiently small neighborhood U of p_t and assume that F_t is linear in U . Transforming coordinate, we assume $F_t(x, y) = (\lambda_t x, \mu_t y)$ in U . We replace u_0 and v_0 by $F_{t_0}^{m_1}(u_0)$ and $F_{t_0}^{-m_2}(v_0)$ respectively for some integers m_1, m_2 , if necessary, and we let u_0 and v_0 be in U , because u_0 and v_0 are a homoclinic point of F_{t_0} . Also we assume $p_t = (0, 0)$, $u_t = (x_0, 0)$, and $v_t = (0, y_0)$ for simplicity.

We take a box $B = \{(x, y) \mid |x - x_0| \leq \delta^s, \delta_1^u \leq y \leq \delta_2^u\}$ near u_0 for $\delta^s, \delta_1^u, \delta_2^u \geq 0$. Because F_t is linear in U , the box B shrinks horizontally and stretches vertically by some iterations of F_t . We obtain a box $F_t^m(B)$ near v_0 for some integer m . Let N be an integer such that a neighborhood of v_0 is mapped to a neighborhood of u_0 by N iterations of F_t . Then $F_t^{m+N}(B)$ is a thin region having horseshoe shape parallel to $W^u(p_t, F_t)$. For suitable $\delta^s, \delta_1^u, \delta_2^u$ and m , $F_{t_1}^{m+N}(B) \cap B$ consists of two components for some parameter t_1 . Two saddle periodic points of period $m + N$ exist in $F_{t_1}^{m+N}(B) \cap B$ (Fig. 4). When the parameter t approaches to t_0 , $W^u(p_t, F_t)$ moves to the tangency point u_0 . Eventually for the parameter t_2 smaller than t_1 , $B \cap F_t^{m+N}(B)$ is the empty set. There is a parameter t between t_1 and t_2 such that one saddle periodic point of period $m + N$ becomes stable.




FIGURE 4. The left case is the situation such that each of two components of $F^n(B) \cap B$ includes one saddle periodic point. The right case is the situation such that no periodic point exists in $F^n(B) \cup B$. If F^n is dissipative, in the process of destruction of the horseshoe, that is, in the transformation from the left case to the right case, one of the two saddle periodic points obtains stability.




FIGURE 5. Distribution of increase ratios of widths of interval vectors after 10 iterations. The scale of x-axis is common logarithm. We calculate increases of width for 3312544 points except points that seem to diverge. Much of the increases of widths are less than 10^3 and the maximum increase of width is $10^{5.766122352727922}$.

To obtain a sink of period $m' + N$ higher than $m + N$, we take a suitable box B' closer to $W^s(p_t, F_t)$ than the box B , in which case $F_t^{m'}(B')$ is also closer to v_0 than $F_t^m(B')$. Similarly to the case of the box B , we obtain a sink of period $m' + N$ from the box B' . We remark that the above-mentioned two sinks that are constructed from B and B' do not coexist because the sink of period $m' + N$ exists at the parameter closer to the tangency than that of the sink of period $m + N$. Taking boxes closer to $W^s(p_t, F_t)$ in turn, we obtain a sequence of sinks approaching to the point of the tangency. If the eigenvalue μ_t is negative then there are two cascades of sinks for $t_0 > t$ and for $t_0 < t$ respectively. The periods of all sinks in the sequence for $t_0 > t$ are even and the periods for $t_0 < t$ are odd, or vice versa.

3. Computer program and precision

A feature of our numerical investigation is to use multiple precision library, in particular, MPFR¹ based on GMP² (The GNU Multiple Precision Arithmetic

¹<http://www.mpfr.org/>

²<http://gmplib.org/>

Library). For interval arithmetic with multiple precision, we use MPFI³. Our computation can show finer structure of the system than computations with double precision.

To set suitable precision, we estimate errors when iterating points by Hénon map (1) whose parameters are $a = 1.4$ and $b = -0.3$ with interval arithmetic. We consider uniformly distributed points that seem to diverge. In particular, we collect points at a lattice of size 10^{-3} in $[-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]$ except points whose 10 times iteration goes out of $[-10, 10] \times [-10, 10]$. We take an interval vector with width 2^{-250} around each point and iterate it 10 times. Then, we calculate the ratio of the width of first interval vector and the width of iterated interval vector. Fig. 5 shows the distribution of the increase ratios of the widths for 3312544 points. Although the maximum increase of width is $10^{5.766122352727922}$, much of the increases of widths are less than 10^3 . Roughly speaking, errors increases to about 1000 times when we carry out 10 iterations of points. The calculation in the following sections were usually carried out with precision from 256 bits to 1024 bits and we increased up to 12000 bits if needed.

The source code that we used to search for the cascade of sinks is available at the repository⁴.

³<http://mpfi.gforge.inria.fr/>

⁴<https://gitorious.org/math-numerical-experiment/math-henon-tangency/>

CHAPTER 2

Basin of attraction of Newhouse sink

1. Settings and first sink in a sequence

We show locally and globally basins of attraction of the first few Newhouse sinks in this chapter. We deal with the Hénon map (1) of which parameter b is -0.3 and parameter a is varied in the neighborhood of 1.4 . Then, the determinant of $DT(x, y)$ is -0.3 and the system is dissipative.

We sketch our procedure to obtain the first few sinks. Firstly, our investigation searches a parameter range including the parameter of a homoclinic tangency. We fix the value of the parameter a and calculate the stable and unstable manifolds of the fixed point (Fig. 2). We repeat the calculations with subtle changes of the parameter a and specify the parameter range that includes an occurrence of a homoclinic tangency (Fig. 1). Furthermore, we bisect the range of parameter a including the homoclinic tangency and obtain the narrower parameter range

$$(7) \quad [1.39241980792391250304093437105, 1.39241980792391250304093437106].$$

Next, we seek coordinates of sinks and their parameters, by using the algorithm in Appendix 2, which is based on the proof of existences of Newhouse sinks. We obtained sinks of periods 13, 15, and 17 as a consequence of our computation. To obtain sinks of higher period we use the estimation in section 2.

Fig. 2 shows the orbit of the sink of period 13 and the stable and unstable manifolds of the saddle fixed point. The orbit starting from the point 0 in the figure goes to the saddle fixed point along the stable manifold and returns to the point 0 along the unstable manifold. The figure ensures that the situation of the sink is the same as that of the sink in Section . In the following sections, we focus on the basins of the sinks.




FIGURE 1. The segments of the stable and unstable manifolds for the saddle fixed point of Hénon map (1). The parameter b is constant and -0.3 . The left image is the case that the parameter a is 1.3923 and the homoclinic tangency has not yet occurred. The right image is the case that the parameter a is 1.3926 and the two homoclinic points exist after the homoclinic tangency.




FIGURE 2. The orbit of the sink of period 13 and the stable and unstable manifolds for the saddle fixed point. The orbit starting from the point denoted by 0 moves along the stable manifold at first. After passing through the saddle fixed point the orbit moves along the unstable manifold with reversal because the eigenvalue of unstable direction for the saddle fixed point is negative.

2. Basin of attraction of a sink near a homoclinic tangency

Fig. 3, Fig. 4, and Fig. 5 show a neighborhood of the sink of period 13, which is displayed by 0 in Fig. 2. The scale of the main band of the basin of attractor as 10^{-7} . The basin of attraction of the sink of period 13 is parallel to the stable manifold of the saddle fixed point and the sink is nearly at the peak of the unstable manifold of the saddle fixed point. Similarly to [GOY87] the boundary of basin of the sink of period 13 consists of the stable manifold of the saddle fixed point of period 13, where both of the sink and the saddle are simultaneously born at the saddle-node bifurcation.

It is remarkable that the intersections of the basin of the sink of period 13 and the unstable manifold of the saddle fixed point in Fig. 4. The closure of the unstable manifold of the saddle fixed point includes Hénon attractor. Because there are the intersections of the basin of the sink of period 13 and the unstable manifold, Hénon attractor does not exist. Most points in the phase space get through the orbit like Hénon attractor and eventually converge to the sink. In other words, there are the chaotic transients like the Hénon attractor (Fig. 6). We discuss the transients in section 1. We note that the basin of attraction is large and covers most of phase space except points to diverge (Fig. 8) and a basin of attraction in this chapter is not correct. Because orbits with short transient are practically important, in this chapter, we regard a set of initial points of short transient orbits as a basin of attraction.

The basin of attractor that causes long chaotic transients is complicated. The outline of initial points of short transient orbits is shown in Fig. 9, which is calculated by iterating points backward in a small neighborhood. If we take points in a lattice and plot points that converges to the sink by iteration of fixed number, then




FIGURE 3. A neighborhood of the sink denoted by 0 in Fig. 2. There are the two vertical lines parallel to each other. The left thick one is the basin of attraction of the sink of period 13 denoted by the square. The right one is the stable manifold of the saddle fixed point. The curved line is the unstable manifold of the saddle fixed point. We observe that the position of the sink is near the peak of the curve of the unstable manifold and the basin of attraction is narrow and parallel to the stable manifold.




FIGURE 4. Magnification near the sink of period 13, where the sink is denoted by the diamond at the center. The thick line is the basin of attraction and the thin and curved line is unstable manifold of the saddle fixed point. There are two intersections of the basin and the unstable manifold.




FIGURE 5. The points denoted by the diamonds are the periodic points of period 13. The upper one is a saddle and the lower one is a sink. The stable manifold of the saddle periodic point composes the boundary of basin of the sink.




FIGURE 6. A chaotic transient and a stable manifold of a saddle of period 13 at $a = 1.3927060035$ and $b = -0.3$. $\square$ is the sink of period 13. The orbit like Hénon attractor is a long transient orbit. After sufficiently large iterations (about 1500000 iterations on the average), most orbits converge to the sink of period 13.

scattered points appear (Fig. 10). The procedure to calculate the points in Fig. 10 is the following. We iterate the points 1000×13 times with 12000 bit precision and we regard iterated points whose distance from the sink is less than 10^{-20} as points in the basin. The scattered points are not isolated points, but this means sensitive dependence on initial points of orbits. Actually, if we take points in a smaller lattice




FIGURE 7. The vertical axis is x-coordinate of points in $\mathbb{R}^2$. The horizontal axis is the parameter a of (1). The lowest curve denotes the saddle fixed point of period 13. The upper curve and the following countless points denote the sink of period 13 denoted by 0 in Fig. 2 and the attractor arising from the sink by period-doubling bifurcation. The attractor collides with the saddle fixed point and then the attractor disappears, that is, the crisis occurs.




FIGURE 8. We plot points whose iterations approach to the sink of period 13. We test every point at a lattice of size 6×10^{-3} in the region $[-3, 3] \times [-3, 3]$.

in a neighborhood of a scattered point and plot points that converges by iteration of fixed number, we obtain a thick line of the basin of attraction (Fig. 11).

Let us mention the bifurcation of the sink of period 13. This sink is created through saddle-node bifurcation and proceeds to period-doubling bifurcation [YA85]. The period-doubling bifurcation is terminated by the crisis; the attractor arising from period-doubling bifurcation collides with the saddle periodic point of period 13. Fig. 7 shows the bifurcation diagram of the sink of period 13.

We show the movements of the stable and unstable manifolds, the sinks of period 13, 15, and 17, and their basins of attraction. Fig. 12 shows the region near the sink denoted by 0 in Fig. 2. When the parameter a decreases from about 1.3927 to the parameter value of the homoclinic tangency, the stable manifold moves to right and the unstable manifold moves to left; then these manifolds approaches to the tangency. In the next section, we estimate the position of the sink from the move of the stable and unstable manifolds.




FIGURE 9. The main band of basin of attraction for the orbit of sink of period 13 denoted by $\square$.




FIGURE 10. The basin of attraction near the sink of period 13 denoted by the square at the center. All scattered points apart from the central basin of attraction are also in the basin. There are scattered points around the basin that are in the basin of attraction. Because the basin bands around the scattered points are very narrow, the basins around these points are not displayed as lines. Actually, the scales of the basin bands are from 10^{-13} to 10^{-2201} .




FIGURE 11. The basin of attraction around the scattered point $\times$ in Fig. 10. The scattered point is not displayed and its position is the center of the figure.




FIGURE 12. The movements of sinks, their basins of attraction, and the stable and unstable manifolds of the fixed points when the parameter a changes. The lines W_1^s and W_1^u are the stable and unstable manifolds of the fixed point for $a = 1.39270603828125$. Similarly, W_2^s and W_2^u are the stable and unstable manifolds for $a = 1.392497593643890380859375$, and W_3^s and W_3^u are the stable and unstable manifolds for $a = 1.3924409549236297607421875$. When the parameter a increases, the stable manifold moves from left to right and the unstable manifold moves from right to left. The sinks exist near the peaks of the unstable manifolds and these basins are lines parallel to each stable manifold. Starting from the left of figure, the periods of the sinks are 13, 15, and 17, respectively.

CHAPTER 3

Estimation of sinks and power laws

1. Power laws of Newhouse sinks

In this chapter, we show an algorithm to obtain the succeeding sinks of a cascade from the first few sinks, the stable and unstable manifolds of the fixed point. The algorithm is derived from power laws of Newhouse sinks. We also show numerical data of power laws of our obtained sinks. In this section, we recall the known results on the asymptotic behavior and the power laws of the parameter values of a cascade of sinks.

We consider the situation of Theorem 1.1 and for simplicity assume that the two eigenvalues λ_t and μ_t are positive. For the two-dimensional map

$$(8) \quad (x, y) \mapsto \left(\frac{(\mu - 1)}{\mu}, \frac{(1 - b)(\mu - 1)}{\mu} \right),$$

Curry and Johson observed numerically that a sequence of parameter values at saddle-node bifurcations approaches a parameter of a homoclinic tangency at a rate of an unstable eigenvalue at a fixed point [CJ82]. This phenomenon is formulated according to [Rob83, 5.3. Remark] by

$$(9) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t_n - t_{n-1}}{t_{n+1} - t_n} = \mu_{t_0},$$

where t_n is the parameter value that the sink of period n exists and t_0 is the parameter of homoclinic tangency. This fact enables us to estimate the parameter value t_{n+1} from the last two parameter values t_{n-1} and t_n .

The relations of bifurcation parameters are described in [TS94] as

$$(10) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{t}_n - t_0}{\bar{t}_{n+1} - t_0} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{t}_n - t_0}{\tilde{t}_{n+1} - t_0} = \mu_{t_0},$$

where the saddle-node bifurcation creates the two periodic points of period n at $\bar{t}_n$, one of the periodic points changes its stability at $\tilde{t}_{n+1}$ through period-doubling bifurcation, and t_0 is the parameter of the homoclinic tangency.

We also are interested in the range of parameter such that a sink exists. The evaluation in [TLY86] gives

$$(11) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{t}_n - \tilde{t}_n}{\bar{t}_{n+1} - \tilde{t}_{n+1}} = \mu_{t_0}^2.$$

This means that it becomes increasingly difficult to find sinks of higher period.




FIGURE 1. The situation that B_n and B_{n+2} include the sinks of period n and $n + 2$ respectively. Then the relation (15) for $l_{n,0}$ and $l_{n+2,0}$ is satisfied. Note that the parameter t_n such that B_n includes a sink is different from the parameter t_{n+2} such that B_{n+2} includes a sink.

To apply these relations to our case such that the unstable eigenvalue is negative, we replace the diffeomorphism F_t by F_t^2 ;

$$(12) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t_n - t_{n-2}}{t_{n+2} - t_n} = \mu_{t_0}^2,$$

$$(13) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{t}_n - t_0}{\bar{t}_{n+2} - t_0} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{t}_n - t_0}{\tilde{t}_{n+2} - t_0} = \mu_{t_0}^2,$$

$$(14) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{t}_n - \tilde{t}_n}{\bar{t}_{n+2} - \tilde{t}_{n+2}} = \mu_{t_0}^4.$$

2. Estimation of positions of sinks

To find sinks numerically we want to estimate the positions of the sinks. In our case, because the unstable eigenvalue μ_t is negative, an infinite cascade of sinks is split into two sequences by the stable manifold: a sequence of even period on one side and a sequence of odd period on the other side. The estimation in such a situation is to obtain the approximate position of the sink of period $n + 2$ from the position of the sink of period n for Hénon map $F_t = T_{t,-0.3}$.

The position of the box B in Fig. 3 relates to the position of stable manifold of the fixed point as below. Let B_n be the box including the sink of period n and $l_{n,i}$ be the distance between the stable manifold and the center point of $F_t^i(B_n)$ for an integer $i \geq 0$. The boxes B_n and B_{n+2} are mapped to a neighborhood of v_0 and F_t is approximately linear in the neighborhood of the saddle fixed point. We obtain $l_{n,i} = |\mu_t|^{-i} l_{n,0}$. Let k be an integer such that $F_t^k(B_n)$ is in a neighborhood of v_0 . Then $F_t^{k+2}(B_{n+2})$ must be in a neighborhood of v_0 and $l_{n,k} = l_{n+2,k+2}$ holds. Therefore, we obtain the relation on the positions of two boxes including the sinks,

$$(15) \quad \frac{l_{n,0}}{l_{n+2,0}} = \mu_t^2.$$

The relation (15) does not take into account the condition that one of the two periodic points in the box is stable. We remember Fig. 4 and consider the intersection of the box and the horseshoe when the periodic point is stable. If the intersection of B_n and $F_{t_n}^n(B_n)$ is composed of two components, both of two periodic points of period n are saddle. If the intersection is empty then there is no periodic point. Therefore, at some parameter that the intersection is composed of one component, one of the two periodic points is stable. The horseshoe region created

by n iterations of the box B_n is narrow and is close to the unstable manifold of the fixed point. Consequently, the unstable manifold approximates to the horseshoe region and the sink is near the peak of the unstable manifold (Fig. 12). Let $\bar{l}$ be the distance between the stable manifold and the peak of the unstable manifold. We can regard $\bar{l}$ as $l_{n,0}$ for the parameters such that the sinks exist. We search for the parameter satisfying

$$(16) \quad \frac{l_{n,0}}{\bar{l}} = \mu_t^2$$

and the obtained parameter is the estimation of parameter such that a sink exists. We can also regard the peak of the unstable manifold from the stable manifold as the estimation of position of the sink.

From the first three sinks of period 13, 15, and 17 mentioned in section 2, we obtained eventually the sinks of period 8 to 60. For example, the procedure to obtain the sink of period 19 from the two sinks of period of 15 and 17 is as below. We calculate the distance $l_{17,0}$ between the sink of period 17 and the stable manifold. Applying (12) to the two sinks of period 15 and 17, we obtain the first estimation of the parameter for the sink of period 19. To refine the estimation further, we search for the parameter such that the condition (16) holds from the calculations of the stable and unstable manifolds near the first estimation of parameter. We obtain the final estimation of the parameter in this way and also obtain the position of the peak of the unstable manifold at the parameter. The final estimation enables simple search to find the next sink. Fixing both a parameter in a neighborhood of the estimation of the parameter and an initial point in a neighborhood of the peak point of unstable manifold, we test convergences of iterations. If the convergent point is found then it is a sink of period 19. For the sinks of higher period, we repeat the above procedure.

3. Numerical data of power laws of obtained sinks

By using the estimation described in the last section, our computer program searched for sinks of odd period and sinks of even period, respectively. As a result, we obtained a sequence of sinks of period from 8 to 60. The numerical data of the sinks is listed in the tables in Appendix B: parameters values of sinks, coordinates of sinks, eigenvalues at sinks, parameter intervals where sinks persist, parameter intervals where attractors exist, lengths of these two types of parameter intervals, ratios of lengths of these two types of parameter intervals, distances between stable manifolds and peaks of unstable manifolds.

We make sure the known power laws of the cascade of sinks (12) and (15). We calculate the sizes of parameter intervals of periodic windows and the distances between stable manifolds and peaks of unstable manifolds. Fig. 2 shows that these values are governed by the power law. The shrinking ratio of the sizes of periodic windows is square of eigenvalue at the fixed point for unstable direction. The distances between the stable manifolds and the peaks of the unstable manifolds get smaller by absolute value of unstable eigenvalue at the fixed point. Because the sinks were obtained by the estimation derived from the power law of the distances, although it is trivial that the obtained sinks satisfy the power law, this data is for confirmation that the obtained sinks are a sequence of Newhouse sinks.

Our numerical data shows that the eigenvalues of Jacobian matrix of n -times composite at the sinks of period n exhibits the power laws shown in Fig. 3. The absolute values of one of the two eigenvalues are approximately constant. The absolute values of the other shrink at a rate of 0.3, which is the absolute value of the determinant of Jacobian matrix. Because the map (1) has the constant




FIGURE 2. Logarithm of distance between peak of unstable manifold and stable manifold of a fixed point, logarithm of width of main band of basin, and logarithm of size of parameter interval that a sink exists. The slopes are about -0.650612 , -1.30444 , and -1.30478 , which agree with $\log |\mu_{t_0}| \approx 0.65130925$ and $\log |\mu_{t_0}^2| \approx 1.3026185$.

determinant b at all of the domain, the determinant of n -times composite is equal to b^n .

We state other numerical data of the obtained sinks. In about 43% of the parameter intervals of periodic windows, the stable periodic point of minimum period, that is, the sink appeared by saddle-node bifurcation, exist (Appendix B Table 14). The lengths of the parameter intervals of the stable periodic points of minimum period in the periodic windows also satisfy the same power law as that of lengths of the periodic windows (Appendix B Table 12).

We are interested in the properties of basins. As stated previously, the basins of the obtained sinks are most of phase space except points that go to infinity. However, in practice, there are points with long transients and points with short transients, of course, which is not rigorous distinction. The basins composing points with short transients are bands parallel to the stable manifolds shown in Fig. 12. For some parameter values we calculated such basins, but we could not observe radical change in neighborhoods of the sinks. As a sort of size of the basin, we consider width in a neighborhood of the sink. We calculate two basin boundaries in a neighborhood of the sink and we regard minimum distance between the two boundaries as the width of the basin. The calculated result is shown in Fig. 2; the shrinking ratio of the widths of basins is the square of eigenvalue.

We describe the difficulty of finding sinks of high period in the cascade of sinks. For example, for the sink of period 27, the size of parameter interval is about 6.6×10^{-15} and the width of the basin of attraction is about 7.9×10^{-14} . It seems to be difficult for the calculation with double precision (53 bit) to find the sink of




FIGURE 3. Logarithm of absolute value of eigenvalue. The slope of logarithm of the absolute value of one eigenvalue are approximately one, that is, the value are approximately constant. The other slope is approximately 0.3, which is the absolute value of the determinant.

period larger than 27. Even if the precision is sufficient large, it is difficult that numerical investigation finds the sinks of higher period. There are two reasons; the basin of attraction is narrow and the parameter interval that a sink exists is short. The width of the basin of the sink of period $n + 2$ is about 7% of that of the sink of period n . The size of the parameter interval of the sink of period n is about 7.4% of that of the sink of period n . If we search for the sink of period $n + 2$ in the same region to the region of period n with finer step, we must calculate about 200 ($\approx 1/(0.07 \times 0.074)$) times as much as the case of period n . Therefore, to obtain a sequence of sinks, we need the above mentioned estimations of positions and parameter values of sinks.

CHAPTER 4

Verification of sinks

1. Interval arithmetic

Interval arithmetic is a method that defines operations on a set of intervals and deals with rounding errors on computers nicely. We consider an interval enclosing a number instead of the number itself. When some arithmetic operations produce a resultant number, we calculate intervals enclosing the number instead of calculating the approximation of the number. In implementations of interval arithmetic on computers, endpoint numbers of intervals are numbers that is represented rigorously by floating point numbers and rounding errors of digital computation are brought into extra expansions of resultant intervals. It is mathematically rigorous that the interval calculated by interval arithmetic on computers is an interval enclosing true value. In this section, we recall definitions of interval arithmetic and fundamental theorems according to [Moo79].

For a closed interval X in $\mathbb{R}$, we will denote the endpoints of X by $\underline{X}$ and $\overline{X}$, that is, $X = [\underline{X}, \overline{X}]$. Identifying $x \in \mathbb{R}$ with $[x, x]$, we regard x as an interval. Replacing elements of usual vector and matrix by intervals, we define an n -dimensional-interval vector and a matrix. We call two intersections X and Y equal if $\underline{X} = \underline{Y}$ and $\overline{X} = \overline{Y}$. We also call two interval vector or two interval matrices are equal if all elements are equal. The intersection and union of two intervals are the usual intersection and union of two sets in $\mathbb{R}$. If $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ then we have

$$(17) \quad X \cap Y := \{\max(\underline{X}, \underline{Y}), \min(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\}$$

$$(18) \quad X \cup Y := \{\min(\underline{X}, \underline{Y}), \max(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\}.$$

The intersection of two interval is an interval except an empty set, but the union is not always an interval. The intersection and union of two interval vectors or two interval matrices are these of two sets in $\mathbb{R}^n$ for some integer n .

We define the width of an interval X by

$$(19) \quad w(X) := \overline{X} - \underline{X}.$$

and the absolute value of X by

$$(20) \quad |X| := \max(|\underline{X}|, |\overline{X}|).$$

For an n -dimensional interval vector $V = (V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n)$, we define the width of V by

$$(21) \quad w(V) := \max(w(V_1), w(V_2), \dots, w(V_n))$$

For an interval matrix M , we define the width of M by

$$(22) \quad w(M) := \max_{i,j} (M_{ij}).$$

For two intervals X and Y , we define arithmetic operations by

$$(23) \quad X \circ Y := \{x \circ y \mid x \in X, y \in Y\},$$

where $\circ$ is the addition $+$, the subtraction $-$, or the product $\cdot$. We will sometimes omit the dot to express the product of two intervals. Before defining the quotient

of two intervals, we need to define the reciprocal of an interval. For an interval X with $0 \notin X$,

$$(24) \quad 1/X := \{1/x \mid x \in X\}.$$

We define the quotient of two intervals X and Y with $0 \notin Y$ by

$$(25) \quad X/Y := X \cdot (1/Y).$$

From these definitions, we have

$$(26) \quad X + Y = [\underline{X} + \underline{Y}, \overline{X} + \overline{Y}],$$

$$(27) \quad X - Y = [\underline{X} - \overline{Y}, \overline{X} - \underline{Y}],$$

$$(28) \quad X \cdot Y = [\min(\underline{X} \cdot \underline{Y}, \underline{X} \cdot \overline{Y}, \overline{X} \cdot \underline{Y}, \overline{X} \cdot \overline{Y}), \max(\underline{X} \cdot \underline{Y}, \underline{X} \cdot \overline{Y}, \overline{X} \cdot \underline{Y}, \overline{X} \cdot \overline{Y})].$$

We remark that addition and multiplication are associative and commutative, however, the distributive law does not always hold. Alternatively, we have

$$(29) \quad X(Y + Z) \subset XY + XZ.$$

We deal with extension of a real valued function f of n real variables to an interval valued function of n interval variables. Of course, for a real vector valued function, we consider each element of the function as a real valued function. If an interval valued function F of n interval variables satisfies

$$(30) \quad F(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n) \subset F(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$$

for $Y_i \subset X_i$ where $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then F is called inclusion monotonic. Arithmetic operations $+$, $-$, $\cdot$, and $/$ are inclusion monotonic. We define an interval extension of f as an interval valued function F of n interval variables so that

$$(31) \quad F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

From the following theorem, calculating the functions by interval arithmetic, we can obtain bounds of values of functions.

THEOREM 4.1 ([**Moo79**, Theorem 3.1]). *If F is an inclusion monotonic interval extension of f , then $f(X_1, \dots, X_n) \subset F(X_1, \dots, X_n)$.*

If we replace real variables of a rational function, then we obtain the interval extension of the rational function. Because rational interval functions are inclusion monotonic, we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 4.2 ([**Moo79**, Corollary 3.1]). *If F is a rational interval function and an interval extension of f , then $f(X_1, \dots, X_n) \subset F(X_1, \dots, X_n)$.*

We remark that if we have two different expressions of a real rational function then two different interval extensions obtained by replacing real variables by intervals does not always coincide.

We calculate bounds of eigenvalues in section 3. To do so, we need to extend square root function to an interval valued function. Because square root is monotonic increasing function, we simply define

$$(32) \quad \sqrt{X} := [\sqrt{\underline{X}}, \sqrt{\overline{X}}].$$

Simple iterations of interval extension of Hénon mapping produce interval vectors with too large widths. We need to refine the bounds. We introduce some definitions and state theorem that subdividing interval vectors at each iteration step produces refined bounds. For an interval extension $F(X)$, if there is a constant L such that $w(F(X)) \leq Lw(X)$ for any $X \subset X_0$ then $F(X)$ is said to be

Lipschitz in X_0 . For an inclusion monotonic interval extension $F(X)$ of a real valued function $f(x)$, we define the excess width of $F(X)$ by

$$(33) \quad w(E(X)) = w(F(X)) - w(f(X)),$$

where $E(X) := F(X) - f(X)$. A uniform subdivision of an interval vector $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ means that X is expressed by the union of interval vectors $(X_{1,j_1}, \dots, X_{n,j_n})$ for a positive integer N ;

$$(34) \quad X = \bigcup_{1 \leq i_k \leq N, 1 \leq k \leq n} (X_{1,j_1}, \dots, X_{n,j_n}),$$

where

$$(35) \quad X_{i,j} := \left[\underline{X}_i + (j-1) \frac{w(X_i)}{N}, \underline{X}_i + j \frac{w(X_i)}{N} \right],$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq N$. Obviously,

$$(36) \quad X_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^N X_{i,j}$$

$$(37) \quad w(X_{i,j}) = \frac{w(X_i)}{N}.$$

The following theorem guarantees that we can obtain arbitrarily refined bounds of a function by using a uniform subdivision of the argument of the function.

THEOREM 4.3 ([Moo79, Theorem 4.1]). *We suppose that $F(X)$ is an inclusion monotonic interval extension of a real valued function f and Lipschitz in X_0 . For a positive integer N we take a uniform subdivision $\{X_{i,j}\}$ of $X \subset X_0$. We consider*

$$(38) \quad F_{(N)}(X) := \bigcup_{1 \leq i_k \leq N, 1 \leq k \leq n} F(X_{1,j_1}, \dots, X_{n,j_n})$$

and define E_N by

$$(39) \quad E_N = F_{(N)}(X) - f(X_1, \dots, X_n).$$

Then, there is a constant K such that

$$(40) \quad w(E_N) \leq K \frac{w(X)}{N}.$$

2. Application of Brouwer fixed point theorem with interval arithmetic

In this section we show how to verify the existences of the obtained sinks. The way is a direct application of Brouwer fixed point theorem. To construct numerically an inclusion required by the theorem, we use interval arithmetic. We also check that the periodic points are sinks by calculating enclosures of eigenvalues at the periodic points.

Before applying fixed point theorem, we extend the map (1) to an interval valued map. Just replacing real numbers by intervals, we obtain the interval extension $\bar{T}$ of Hénon map;

$$(41) \quad \bar{T}_{A,B}(X, Y) = (1 + Y - AX^2, -BX),$$

where A , B , X , and Y are intervals. Practically, we take very small intervals A and B that include the specified parameters a and b in (1).

From Brouwer fixed point theorem, if we construct a rectangle that is mapped back into itself by n iterations and has no intersections with its images of m iterations for $0 < m < n$, an existence of a periodic point of period n is guaranteed. Taking a rectangle is convenient to test numerically that the rectangle includes its image. However, our sinks do not have such rectangle neighborhoods because parts

of the rectangle are away from the sinks transiently. In general, points sufficiently close to a sink approach monotonically to the sink in two directions of eigenvectors at the sink, but points in a rectangle neighborhood do not always approach monotonically to the sink in the distance of the orthogonal coordinate.

To construct an inclusion on a rectangle including the obtained sink of period n , we consider coordinate change from the orthogonal coordinate to the coordinate of the eigenvectors at the sink. We take a small rectangle R around the origin and map R to a neighborhood of the sink by a linear transform g , which maps the origin to the sink and x-axis and y-axis to the eigendirections of the sink. After iterating R by $T_{a,b}$, we restore the coordinate by g^{-1} and we check

$$(42) \quad g^{-1} \circ T_{a,b}^n \circ g(R) \subset R.$$

Because the linear transform g is a homeomorphism, if $g^{-1} \circ T_{a,b}^n \circ g$ has a periodic point in R then $T_{a,b}$ has a periodic point of period n in $g(R)$.

We extend the linear transform g and its inverse g^{-1} to interval extensions $\bar{g}$ and $\bar{g}^{-1}$ by replacing real variables by intervals. From Corollary 4.2 we obtain the following relation;

$$(43) \quad g^{-1} \circ T_{a,b}^n \circ g(R) \subset \bar{g}^{-1} \circ \bar{T}_{A,B}^n \circ \bar{g}(R),$$

where A and B are intervals satisfying $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. Therefore, if we find a rectangle R such that

$$(44) \quad \bar{g}^{-1} \circ \bar{T}_{A,B}^n \circ \bar{g}(R) \subset R,$$

then Hénon map $T_{a,b}$ has periodic points in $g(R)$.

However, in our case, size of a rectangle increases approximately twofold at each iteration of $\bar{T}_{A,B}$ and $\bar{g}^{-1} \circ \bar{T}_{A,B} \circ \bar{g}(R)$ become too large rectangle so that R can not include it. From Theorem 4.3, the following procedure produces finer enclosure of $g^{-1} \circ T_{a,b} \circ g(R)$. We take appropriate threshold value L of maximum width and subdivide R into interval vectors whose width are less than L . We let the set of the subdivided interval vectors S_R . We map each interval vector in S_R by $\bar{g}$ and let S_0 be the set of obtained interval vectors. If there are interval vectors in S_0 whose widths are larger than L , we subdivide them and replace them in S_0 by the subdivided interval vectors. Thus, the widths of all interval vectors in S_0 are less than L . We map each interval vector in S_0 and obtain the set of interval vectors S_1 . Similarly, we repeat mapping with subdivision n times and obtain S_n . We let S be the set obtained by mapping all interval vectors in S_n by $\bar{g}^{-1}$. If all interval vectors in S are included in R , we obtain an existence of a periodic point of period n . This procedure causes increase of amount of computation. For that reason, the verification for sinks of high period are very hard and we constructed only inclusions for the sinks of period 8 to 14.

In implementations of interval arithmetic on computers, because there are rounding errors on computations we can not always obtain exact endpoints of intervals. We extend intervals a bit so that they are expressed by floating point numbers on computers at each calculation. Although the sets $S_0, \dots, S_n$ and S are expanded a bit by rounding errors, if we take the parameters A and B and the rectangle R and so on whose endpoints are exact floating point number on computers, then A , B , and R have no errors. Therefore, the above-mentioned algorithm is valid even if there are extra expansions of intervals at each mapping step.

3. Result of verification of sinks

By using the way stated in the last section, we could verify the existences of the sinks of period from 8 to 14, whose data is shown in Table 1. Fig 1 shows a neighborhood of the sink of period 13. The left figure is the region enclosing the




FIGURE 1. Regions such that the iteration of 13 times maps the region in the left figure to the region in the right figure. The regions are composed small rectangle regions. The iteration for each small rectangle region are calculated by interval arithmetic. From this data of the two regions, we obtained that the iteration of 13 times of Hénon map is an inclusion on the region in the left figure and a periodic point of period 13 exists.

sink of period 13, the right figure is the region mapped by 13 iterations of the map. The former covers the latter, which is tested through transformation by coordinate change as stated in the last section. Both regions are stretched thin regions. The two directions of the eigenvectors are close to each other and the region is stretching in the directions of eigenvectors at the sink of period 13.

Table 1 shows the numerical data obtained from the verification. We state the verification of the sinks of period 8 to 14 as a proposition.

PROPOSITION 4.4. *Hénon map (1) has the periodic points of period 8 to 14 in the ranges of parameter a , x -coordinate and y -coordinate listed in Table 1, when $b = -0.3$. The absolute values of the eigenvalues at the periodic points are less than one. Therefore, the periodic points are stable.*

We note the difference of the values in Table 1 and the values shown in Appendix B; the former are rigorous bounds obtained by interval arithmetic and the latter are approximate values obtained by usual computation.

8	x	$[-1.2641480315599056, -1.2641480315599057]$
	y	$[0.3815883095535991, 0.3815883095535990]$
	a	$[1.3866414978735625, 1.3866414978735626]$
	α	$[-0.0001317565296413, -0.0001317565296411]$
	β	$[-0.4979639352876563, -0.4979639352876542]$
9	x	$[-1.2797615433863033, -1.2797615433863034]$
	y	$[0.3815001609062766, 0.3815001609062765]$
	a	$[1.3968296778150859, 1.3968296778150860]$
	α	$[0.0000393390430422, 0.0000393390430427]$
	β	$[-0.5003426234527945, -0.5003426234527932]$
10	x	$[-1.2725951827993173, -1.2725951827993174]$
	y	$[0.3817739305802194, 0.3817739305802193]$
	a	$[1.3904445108073844, 1.3904445108073845]$
	α	$[-0.0000118133309073, -0.0000118133309067]$
	β	$[-0.4998505541313075, -0.4998505541313065]$
11	x	$[-1.2764873308253127, -1.2764873308253128]$
	y	$[0.3816834340024865, 0.3816834340024864]$
	a	$[1.3934818331832507, 1.3934818331832508]$
	α	$[0.0000035426394508, 0.0000035426394519]$
	β	$[-0.5000424187451586, -0.5000424187451572]$
12	x	$[-1.2744909451906007, -1.2744909451906008]$
	y	$[0.3817369729481438, 0.3817369729481437]$
	a	$[1.3918712413591354, 1.3918712413591355]$
	α	$[-0.0000010629383349, -0.0000010629383331]$
	β	$[-0.4999735008295995, -0.4999735008295976]$
13	x	$[-1.2755371627643452, -1.2755371627643453]$
	y	$[0.3817101619053220, 0.3817101619053219]$
	a	$[1.3927060351470881, 1.3927060351470882]$
	α	$[0.0000003188573829, 0.0000003188573864]$
	β	$[-0.5000113143693783, -0.5000113143693747]$
14	x	$[-1.2749930656059051, -1.2749930656059052]$
	y	$[0.3817242881938740, 0.3817242881938739]$
	a	$[1.3922705183540199, 1.3922705183540200]$
	α	$[-0.0000000956602776, -0.0000000956602712]$
	β	$[-0.4999953250901844, -0.4999953250901780]$

TABLE 1. The data of verified periodic points: the ranges of x -coordinate, y -coordinate, parameter a , and two eigenvalues, where α and β are two eigenvalues at the periodic points. The ranges of x -coordinate and y -coordinate are coordinates of four corners of minimum rectangles that include images of inclusions obtained by our numerical construction. When the parameter b is -0.3 and the parameter a is in the specified range, a periodic point exists in the ranges of x -coordinate and y -coordinate and the periodic points are sinks because the absolute values of the eigenvalues are less than one.

CHAPTER 5

Chaotic transients

1. Chaotic transients

The appearances of simple Newhouse sinks found by our computer program cause chaotic transients, which are chaotic behaviors before the orbits converge to a periodic attractor. In our case, chaotic transients are orbits like the Hénon attractor before they converge to simple Newhouse sinks, which is shown in Fig. 6. In this section, we investigate these chaotic transients. At the beginning, we review known results related to chaotic transients. Subsequently, we show the analysis for average rambling times of our cascade of sinks.

Firstly, we review influence of simple Newhouse sinks to a chaotic attractor. Tatjer and Simó proved under some conditions that when a simple Newhouse sink exists the closure of the unstable manifold of the saddle fixed point includes the




FIGURE 1. Relation of average rambling time and various threshold values for parameters where the sink of period 8 exists. The horizontal axis means threshold values with log scale and the vertical axis means average rambling time. The numbers 0.0, 0.3, 0.6, and 1.0 on the horizontal axis are fractions in the parameter interval. The number 0.0 means a parameter just after saddle-node bifurcation and the number 1.0 means a parameter just before period-doubling bifurcation.




FIGURE 2. Logarithms to base 10 of average rambling time and size of periodic window. Average rambling time is calculated at a center of parameter interval from saddle-node bifurcation to period-doubling bifurcation. The points from left to right corresponds to sinks of periods 15, 14, 13, 12, 11, 10, 9, and 8. We can seem to fit the relation with linear mapping. The slope of the linear mapping is -0.902897 .

sink [TS94]. In that case, a chaotic attractor does not exist in the closure of the unstable manifold of the saddle fixed point. In particular, the Hénon attractor does not exist. The numerical investigation in this paper seems to give a specific case of [TS94, Theorem 5.8], but it is not clear that the condition of the theorem holds because it is difficult to calculate numerically some of values in the condition.

Secondly, we review studies of rambling time, which is an iteration number needed for an orbit to enter a neighborhood of a periodic point. To begin with, we need to define a suitable neighborhood of a periodic point to determine rambling time. For example, Jacobs et al. considered a quadratic map $y = a - x^2$ and defined an immediate basin as below. Let x_s and x_u be two fixed points; $x_s = (-1 + \sqrt{1 + 4a})/2$ and $x_u = (-1 - \sqrt{1 + 4a})/2$. For a parameter a such that x_s is stable, an immediate basin is defined as an interval $[x_u, -x_u]$. Buszko and Stefański also defined a black subset as a union of intervals whose orbits monotonically approach a periodic point [BS03].

Because rambling time depends on its initial point, we take a lot of uniformly distributed initial points in phase space and we consider the average of rambling time. For one-dimensional quadratic maps, Jacobs et al. showed numerically in [JOH97] that, for a given parameter where a periodic point is stable, the average rambling time scales with the size of periodic window including the parameter;

$$\tau \sim \Delta a^{-1/2},$$

where τ is the average rambling time and Δa is the size of the periodic window of parameter space. Moreover, for Hénon map with small b , they approximated Hénon map by a logistic map and conjectured

$$(45) \quad \tau \sim \Delta a^{1/2-d},$$

where d is a fractal dimension of Hénon attractor. In [BS06], for Hénon map with $b = -0.3$, the average rambling time for windows of low period exhibits the same type scaling as (45),

$$(46) \quad \tau \sim \Delta a^{-\beta},$$

where β is a constant determined by numerical calculation and $\beta = 0.9 \pm 0.1$.

In the rest of this section, we apply the same analysis in [BS06] and investigate the same type scaling of average rambling time for the obtained sinks. First of all, we state the definition of rambling time at an initial point and how to determine a threshold value that is required to calculate rambling time. In our numerical investigation, the definition of the rambling time of an initial point for a stable periodic point is simply the minimum number of iterations that the distance from the sink becomes less than an appropriate threshold value. In other word, we take an appropriate threshold distance d for a stable periodic point p_N of period N , and define the rambling time for an initial point q as the integer $r_d(q)$ such that for all integers $i \geq r_d(q)$ and $k < r_d(q)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{|T^i(q) - T^j(p_N)| \mid 0 \leq j < N\} &\leq d \\ \max\{|T^k(q) - T^j(p_N)| \mid 0 \leq j < N\} &> d. \end{aligned}$$

If the threshold value is too large, we may obtain a number of iterations that do not make orbits converge to periodic points. On the contrary, too small threshold value causes an overestimation of rambling time. When Lyapunov multiplier of the sink has an absolute value near one, in particular, at parameters near saddle-node bifurcation and period-doubling bifurcation, the rate of convergence of orbits is slow and therefore the overestimation of rambling time is large.

How to determine the appropriate threshold value is the following. Let α and β be eigenvalues of $DT^N(p_N)$ and let Lyapunov multiplier $|\Lambda|$ be $\max\{|\alpha|, |\beta|\}$, where $DT^N(p_N)$ is the Jacobian matrix of N th iteration of Hénon map T at the stable periodic point p_N . Obviously, $|\Lambda|$ is less than one because p_N is stable. For sufficient small threshold values d_0 and d_1 such that $d_1 < d_0$, we denote rambling times for some initial point q by r_0 and r_1 respectively. Then, because the distance from the orbit of the stable periodic point decreases approximately at the rate $|\Lambda|^{1/N}$ at every iteration in the neighborhood of the periodic point, we have

$$\frac{d_1}{d_0} \approx |\Lambda|^{\frac{(r_0 - r_1)}{N}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{r_0 - r_1}{\log d_0 - \log d_1} \approx -\frac{N}{\log |\Lambda|}.$$

We take about 10000 uniformly distributed initial points in $[-1.5, 1.5] \times [-1.0, 1.0]$ and calculate averages of all values of rambling time. For various threshold values, we calculate values of average rambling time. The result shown as the graph in Fig. 1, which is the relation between logarithms of threshold distance and average rambling time. As stated above, for sufficient small thresholds, the rate of contraction is constant and the graph has the constant slope whose absolute value is almost $N/\log |\Lambda|$. Therefore, the threshold value at the change of the slope is appropriate. From the graph we take 10^{-6} as the appropriate threshold value for the sink of period 8.

We calculated average rambling time for the sinks of period from 8 to 15. Each parameter is at the middle value of the parameter interval when each sink persists. We plot the sizes of parameter intervals of the periodic windows and the logarithms

of values of average rambling time and obtain Fig. 2. From (46) we consider fitting

$$\log \tau = -\beta \log \Delta a + \gamma$$

for some constants β and γ with the graph in 2. Our obtained fitting parameter β is -0.902897 and this value agrees with the value for the case of large periodic window, that is, the case of sinks of lower period, investigated in [BS06]. As for average rambling time, the sinks that we obtained have the same scaling property as that of the sinks of lower period.

Bibliography

- [AM06] Zin Arai and Konstantin Mischaikow, *Rigorous computations of homoclinic tangencies*, SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst. **5** (2006), 280–292.
- [BS03] Katarzyna Buszko and Krzysztof Stefański, *Transient dynamics inside periodic windows and in their vicinity i. logistic maps*, Open Syst. Inf. Dyn. **10** (2003), 183–203, 10.1023/A:1024614307468.
- [BS06] ———, *Measuring transient chaos in nonlinear one- and two-dimensional maps*, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals **27** (2006), no. 3, 630 – 646.
- [CJ82] J.H. Curry and J.R. Johnson, *On the rate of approach to homoclinic tangency*, Physical letters **92** (1982), no. 5, 217–220.
- [FG92] John Erik Fornæss and Estela A. Gavosto, *Existence of generic homoclinic tangencies for Hénon mappings*, J. Geom. Anal. **2** (1992), no. 5, 429–444. MR MR1184708 (93j:58089)
- [GOY87] Celso Grebogi, Edward Ott, and James A. Yorke, *Basin boundary metamorphoses: changes in accessible boundary orbits*, Physica D **24** (1987), 243–262.
- [GS72] N. K. Gavrilov and L. P. Silnikov, *On three-dimensional dynamical systems close to systems with a structurally unstable homoclinic curve. i.*, Mathematics of the USSR - Sbornik **17** (1972), 467–485.
- [Hén76] M. Hénon, *A two-dimensional mapping with a strange attractor*, Comm. Math. Phys. **50** (1976), no. 1, 69–77.
- [JOH97] Joeri Jacobs, Edward Ott, and Brian R. Hunt, *Scaling of the durations of chaotic transients in windows of attracting periodicity*, Phys. Rev. E **56** (1997), no. 6, 6508–6515.
- [Moo79] Ramon E. Moore, *Methods and applications of interval analysis*, SIAM Studies in Applied Mathematics, 1979.
- [New74] Sheldon E. Newhouse, *Diffeomorphisms with infinitely many sinks*, Topology **13** (1974), 9–18.
- [New79] ———, *The abundance of wild hyperbolic sets and non-smooth stable sets for diffeomorphisms*, Publications mathématiques de l’I.H.É.S. **50** (1979), 101–151.
- [Rob83] Clark Robinson, *Bifurcation to infinitely many sinks*, Comm. Math. Phys. **90** (1983), no. 3, 433–459.
- [TLY86] Laura Tedeschini-Lalli and James A. Yorke, *How often do simple dynamical processes have infinitely many coexisting sinks?*, Comm. Math. Phys. **106** (1986), no. 4, 635–657.
- [TS94] J. C. Tatjer and C. Simó, *Basins of attraction near homoclinic tangencies*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **14** (1994), no. 2, 351–390. MR MR1279475 (95b:58097)
- [YA85] James A. Yorke and Kathleen T. Alligood, *Period doubling cascades of attractors: a prerequisite for horseshoes*, Comm. Math. Phys. **101** (1985), no. 3, 305–321. MR MR815187 (87k:58210)

APPENDIX A

Algorithm

1. Calculation of stable and unstable manifolds

We show the algorithm to compute a stable manifold of a saddle fixed point of a two-dimensional diffeomorphism. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be a diffeomorphism that has a saddle fixed point p . If we want to obtain manifolds of a saddle periodic point of period n , we can apply this algorithm by replacing f with f^n . To obtain an unstable manifold, we replace f with f^{-1} . We assume that the two eigenvalues of f are positive by replacing f by f^2 if needed.

The algorithm uses the following facts; on a neighborhood of a saddle fixed point, the stable and unstable manifolds approximate the eigenvectors of Jacobian matrix of the diffeomorphism at the saddle fixed point and the diffeomorphism in the neighborhood approximates a linear map.

Firstly, in advance, we obtain numerically the saddle fixed point p by some way and calculate the eigenvectors of Jacobian matrix. Let $v_s, v_u \in \mathbb{R}^2$ be the eigenvectors of Jacobian matrix at p with the eigenvalues whose absolute values are less than 1 and larger than 1, respectively. We take a sufficiently small neighborhood U of p such that $f|_U$ approximates a linear map.

We consider calculation of a first point on the stable manifold near $p + c_1 v_s \in U$ for small $c_1 \in \mathbb{R}$. We take $c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $q = p + c_1 v_s + c_2 v_u \in U$. Because $f|_U$ is nearly a linear map, in the neighborhood U , some iterations of f maps q to the positive direction of v_s or the negative direction v_u . Varying the value of c_2 , we search for a pair (c_-, c_+) of c_2 , so that $f^{l_1}(c_-)$ is mapped to the negative direction of v_u and $f^{l_2}(c_+)$ is mapped to the positive direction of v_u for suitable iteration numbers l_1 and l_2 . We apply bisection method to the pair (c_-, c_+) and then we obtain small interval $[c'_-, c'_+]$ (or $[c'_+, c'_-]$) of c_2 such that the point on the stable manifold is $p + c_1 v_s + c'_2 v_u$ for some c'_2 in the interval. In practice, we define the point on the stable manifold as $p + c_1 v_s + ((c'_- + c'_+)/2)v_u$.

We let q_1 be the first point of the stable manifold in the above-mentioned way and let q_0 be $f(q_1)$. Secondly, we calculate the segment of the stable manifold between q_0 and q_1 , that is, we calculate sufficiently many points on the stable manifold between q_0 and q_1 . Finally, to stretch the stable manifold distant from the fixed point, we iterate the points by f^{-1} a number of times.

2. Search for a sink near a homoclinic tangency

We show the algorithm to search for a sink near a parameter value that a homoclinic tangency occurs. Here, we search for a sink when two transversal homoclinic points exists after the homoclinic tangency. Let f be the diffeomorphism of surfaces that satisfies such a condition. Note that sinks may exist before the homoclinic tangency occurs, that is, at parameters such that there is no homoclinic point near the tangency. In that case, the same algorithm can find sinks by determining suitable search region at the opposite side.

In advance, we find the search region like the Fig. 1, in which the unstable manifold stick a little out of the stable manifold. We take a parallelogram so




FIGURE 1. The parameters of map (1) are $a = 1.3927060035$ and $b = -0.3$. The points D and C are homoclinic points: the intersections of the stable and unstable manifolds for the saddle periodic point. The parallelogram $ABCD$ includes the segment of the unstable manifold from D to C . The lines AD , EF , and BE are parallel to each other. The lines AB , DC , $B'C'$, and $D'E'$ are parallel to each other. In $ABCD$ there is a periodic saddle point of period 13.

that its two vertexes are homoclinic points and it includes the curve of unstable manifold between the two homoclinic points. Here we fix the parameter value near the tangency and let this parallelogram be $ABCD$, where C and D are the homoclinic points. If we find a period m of periodic point and a parallelogram $A'B'C'D'$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) A' and D' are on AD and B' and C' are on BC ,
- (2) $A'B'$ is parallel to AB and $C'D'$ is parallel to CD ,
- (3) the intersection of the parallelogram $A'B'C'D'$ and the region $f^m(A'B'C'D')$ has two components; that is, there is a horseshoe,

we proceed to the next step, where we calculate approximate coordinates of two saddle periodic points of period m in the intersection of $A'B'C'D'$ and $f^m(A'B'C'D')$. If we can not obtain m and $A'B'C'D'$ satisfying the conditions, We repeat perturbing the parameter and trying to find them until they are found.

We want to obtain two periodic points of period m in $ABCD$. We fix the line segment EF parallel to AD and map the line m times. $f^m(EF)$ is alike the shape of the unstable manifold. Then we calculate the point of the intersection of EF and $f^m(EF)$ and let q be the intersection point. We determine whether $f^m(q)$ is on the AD side from EF or the BC side from EF . To change the position of line EF and repeat this procedure, we divide AB and CD with sufficiently small size and then obtain points $E_1, \dots, E_k$ and $F_1, \dots, F_k$ such that $E_i F_i$ is parallel to AD for all i . For $E_i F_i$ we calculate the intersection q_i by the above procedure. Then we pick up a pair of points (q_-, q_+) from q_i so that q_- and q_+ are mapped to the different side

from EF . We shorten the distance of two points q_- and q_+ by bisection method and obtain a sufficiently short line segment between q_- and q_+ . Then the midpoint of q_- and q_+ approximates point of periodic point. In the case that the condition (3) is satisfied, we obtain two saddle periodic points.

One of the two saddle periodic points becomes a sink when the intersection of a suitable parallelogram $A'B'C'D'$ and $f^m(A'B'C'D')$ consists of one component at a parameter nearer to the tangency. Although there is not necessarily a periodic point in such a case, if we find a pair of (q_-, q_+) then we obtain approximate coordinate of a periodic point. We repeat searching for periodic points until we take suitable parameter and the obtained periodic point is a sink.

3. Pseudo code of search for periodic points in a horseshoe

The algorithm in Appendix 2 to search for periodic points in the search region $ABCD$ is expressed by the following pseudo code.

```
def q_and_direction(EF)
  q = (an intersection of EF and  $f^m(EF)$ )
  tmp, distance_q = projection(DA, DC, q - D)
  tmp, distance_fq = projection(DA, DC,  $f^m(q) - D$ )
  return [q, distance_fq - distance_q]
end

def calc_periodic_point
  n = (sufficient large number of partition of AB and DC)
  error = (maximum distance of coordinates of periodic points)
  vector_step = (B - A) / n
  q_interval_data = []
  last = nil
  for i from 0 to n
    E = A + vector_step * i
    F = E + (D - A)
    q, direction = q_and_direction(EF)
    current = [E, q, direction]
    if last
      E_last, q_last, direction_last = last
      if direction > 0 && direction_last < 0
        q_interval_data.push [current, direction_last]
      else if direction < 0 && direction_last > 0
        q_interval_data.push [direction_last, current]
      end
    end
    last = current
  end
  if q_interval_data.empty?
    return nil
  end
  periodic_points = []
  for data_plus, data_minus in q_interval_data
    E_plus, q_plus, direction_plus = data_plus
    E_minus, q_minus, direction_minus = data_minus
    while (q_plus - q_minus).abs > error
      E = (E_plus + E_minus) / 2
      F = E + (D - A)
```

```
    q, direction = q_and_direction(EF)
    if direction > 0
        E_plus, q_plus = E, q
    else
        E_minus, q_minus = E, q
    end
    end
    periodic_points.push ((q_plus + q_minus) / 2)
end
return periodic_points
end
```

APPENDIX B

Numerical data of sinks

1. Data tables

Numerical data of the obtained sinks are listed in the following tables. Table 1 shows the list of the values of the parameter a where the sinks exist. Table 2 and 3 show the list of the coordinates of the sinks. Table 4 and 5 show the list of the eigenvalues of the sinks. Table 8 and 9 show the list of the parameter intervals where the sinks of specified period exist, that is, the parameter intervals between saddle-node bifurcation and period-doubling bifurcation. Table 10 and 11 show the list of the parameter intervals where the attractor arising from the saddle-node bifurcations exist, that is, the parameter intervals of periodic windows. Table 12 shows the list of ratios of lengths of the parameter intervals where the sinks exist. Table 13 shows the list of ratios of lengths of the parameter intervals where the attractors arising from the saddle-node bifurcations exist. Table 14 shows the list of ratios of lengths of the parameter intervals in Table 12 and 13. Table 15 shows the list of the distances between the stable manifolds and the peak of the unstable manifolds and their ratios.

period	parameter a	period	parameter a
		8	1.38664149787356250000
9	1.39682967781508590995	10	1.39044451080738447805
11	1.39348183318325079174	12	1.39187124135913547473
13	1.39270603514708815022	14	1.39227051835401997070
15	1.39249759396078376854	16	1.39237923569364948177
17	1.39244095493338897575	18	1.39240878086818029898
19	1.39242555647987797736	20	1.39241681068117711904
21	1.39242137052683480445	22	1.39241899322602361400
23	1.39242023267157798334	24	1.39241958647359244535
25	1.39241992337792816159	26	1.39241974772878703645
27	1.39241983930576794529	28	1.39241979156096093336
29	1.39241981645332931688	30	1.39241980347537751260
31	1.39241981024159838398	32	1.39241980671394307857
33	1.39241980855313113200	34	1.39241980759424702657
35	1.39241980809417346952	36	1.39241980783353045237
37	1.39241980796942000901	38	1.39241980789857225808
39	1.39241980793550963533	40	1.39241980791625186329
41	1.39241980792629214800	42	1.39241980792105751770
43	1.39241980792378665887	44	1.39241980792236378636
45	1.39241980792310561907	46	1.39241980792271885514
47	1.39241980792292049944	48	1.39241980792281536962
49	1.39241980792287018039	50	1.39241980792284160409
51	1.39241980792285650271	52	1.39241980792284873513
53	1.39241980792285278485	54	1.39241980792285067348
55	1.39241980792285177427	56	1.39241980792285120036
57	1.39241980792285149957	58	1.39241980792285134357
59	1.39241980792285142491	60	1.39241980792285138250

TABLE 1. The values of parameter a where the sink exists.

period	x coordinate	y coordinate
9	-1.27976154338630332676	0.38150016090627654680
11	-1.27648733082531270876	0.38168343400248646793
13	-1.27553716276434521174	0.38171016190532197212
15	-1.27527703273885344572	0.38171694500136863446
17	-1.27520623128411636160	0.38171877870769113704
19	-1.27518697955868689668	0.38171927701715012326
21	-1.27518174604472220710	0.38171941247399922304
23	-1.27518032343168631819	0.38171944929478208728
25	-1.27517993673462261571	0.38171945930346351249
27	-1.27517983162267160731	0.38171946202402293808
29	-1.27517980305121079612	0.38171946276352383767
31	-1.27517979528493993763	0.38171946296453424263
33	-1.27517979317391901322	0.38171946301917274315
35	-1.27517979260010318583	0.38171946303402452279
37	-1.27517979244412908254	0.38171946303806152270
39	-1.27517979240173234159	0.38171946303915885577
41	-1.27517979239020809754	0.38171946303945713191
43	-1.27517979238707558788	0.38171946303953820909
45	-1.27517979238622411191	0.38171946303956024741
47	-1.27517979238599266448	0.38171946303956623785
49	-1.27517979238592975265	0.38171946303956786617
51	-1.27517979238591265201	0.38171946303956830877
53	-1.27517979238590800373	0.38171946303956842908
55	-1.27517979238590674024	0.38171946303956846178
57	-1.27517979238590639680	0.38171946303956847067
59	-1.27517979238590630344	0.38171946303956847309

TABLE 2. The coordinates of sinks of odd period.

period	x	y
8	-1.26414803155990566355	0.38158830955359902274
10	-1.27259518279931735196	0.38177393058021936800
12	-1.27449094519060074464	0.38173697294814371573
14	-1.27499306560590518015	0.38172428819387392387
16	-1.27512906462917220609	0.38172077592692207224
18	-1.27516600565582752278	0.38171981987086304374
20	-1.27517604505617454618	0.38171956002976662202
22	-1.27517877380397668935	0.38171948940301306126
24	-1.27517951551703021723	0.38171947020562793720
26	-1.27517971712775127688	0.38171946498743782459
28	-1.27517977192930977783	0.38171946356903620597
30	-1.27517978682541319353	0.38171946318348788627
32	-1.27517979087445722178	0.38171946307868536363
34	-1.27517979197506578554	0.38171946305020205462
36	-1.27517979227423197757	0.38171946304245888379
38	-1.27517979235555106303	0.38171946304035414230
40	-1.27517979237765514390	0.38171946303978203373
42	-1.27517979238366345528	0.38171946303962652358
44	-1.27517979238529662910	0.38171946303958425299
46	-1.27517979238574055693	0.38171946303957276302
48	-1.27517979238586122500	0.38171946303956963983
50	-1.27517979238589402489	0.38171946303956879089
52	-1.27517979238590294052	0.38171946303956856013
54	-1.27517979238590536396	0.38171946303956849741
56	-1.27517979238590602270	0.38171946303956848036
58	-1.27517979238590620176	0.38171946303956847572
60	-1.27517979238590625043	0.38171946303956847446

TABLE 3. The coordinates of sinks of even period.

period	two eigenvalues	
9	3.93390430424863572693e-05	-5.00342623452793819690e-01
11	3.54263945135985294617e-06	-5.00042418745157891713e-01
13	3.18857384659543061078e-07	-5.00011314369376520844e-01
15	2.86978455992962120235e-08	-4.99999449448285191163e-01
17	2.58280782017907830145e-09	-4.99999117205112460657e-01
19	2.32453372758525399328e-10	-4.99997678333266171675e-01
21	2.09207534187041027181e-11	-4.99998876409870098498e-01
23	1.88287341702849328254e-12	-4.99997386842789247998e-01
25	1.69458272154285856180e-13	-4.99998376397685221343e-01
27	1.52512261305216089434e-14	-4.99998978424838033738e-01
29	1.37261512953728858791e-15	-4.99997238031453454875e-01
31	1.23535011654083814097e-16	-4.99998654643368048328e-01
33	1.11181883091214677720e-17	-4.99996979003748000016e-01
35	1.00063350481841684327e-18	-4.99998699404722023775e-01
37	9.00573388519507224953e-20	-4.99996903785086484289e-01
39	8.10514641651424309589e-21	-4.99997772373598520888e-01
41	7.29461280737077233910e-22	-4.99999072470535969690e-01
43	6.56516993188628512944e-23	-4.99997670738468243304e-01
45	5.90862837774424798774e-24	-4.99999749125990749627e-01
47	5.31778336629985726135e-25	-4.99998073021506810272e-01
49	4.78601644841757988752e-26	-4.99996880097932052687e-01
51	4.30739540375381064480e-27	-4.99999132004147030989e-01
53	3.87667156874804492837e-28	-4.99997106382157594747e-01
55	3.48898847864605526430e-29	-4.99999389728043278690e-01
57	3.14009843056555073580e-30	-4.99997988534170688869e-01
59	2.82608159221948370039e-31	-4.99999226159685406967e-01

TABLE 4. The eigenvalues of Jacobian matrix at sinks of odd period.

period	two eigenvalues	
8	-1.31756529641247091095e-04	-4.97963935287655270780e-01
10	-1.18133309069991084047e-05	-4.99850554131307012274e-01
12	-1.06293833396807615975e-06	-4.99973500829598525112e-01
14	-9.56602744063121882799e-08	-4.99995325090181168966e-01
16	-8.60239206305607819397e-09	-5.00404081614332513694e-01
18	-7.74841687665481002823e-10	-4.99999542057756894204e-01
20	-6.97359635462816038970e-11	-4.99998024503659284505e-01
22	-6.27623420560484567082e-12	-4.99998224747187910007e-01
24	-5.64859702331267123535e-13	-4.99999442897710240236e-01
26	-5.08373713413655108715e-14	-4.99999461274412252093e-01
28	-4.57537721971967473237e-15	-4.99997953313292507077e-01
30	-4.11784366413982750698e-16	-4.99997447420475116763e-01
32	-3.70901172093154857698e-17	-4.99599442728760061741e-01
34	-3.33543642932484048777e-18	-4.99999986599725615837e-01
36	-3.00189822904642170476e-19	-4.99999080064342980871e-01
38	-2.70171822400185678205e-20	-4.99997263101728888163e-01
40	-2.43153696864478972700e-21	-4.99999202801879231238e-01
42	-2.18839219844543512559e-22	-4.99997163256385952844e-01
44	-1.96954266299893654582e-23	-4.99999782022565387678e-01
46	-1.77259597084709034774e-24	-4.99997645567087084226e-01
48	-1.59533016931113382843e-25	-4.99999590124442955948e-01
50	-1.43580256269141808060e-26	-4.99997706053783413257e-01
52	-1.29222256964477301264e-27	-4.99997604205504607867e-01
54	-1.16299516649381451037e-28	-4.99999816666042300859e-01
56	-1.04669993898611976437e-29	-4.99997767778890282377e-01
58	-9.42030605056904756496e-31	-4.99997417489607197743e-01
60	-8.47823597101255199667e-32	-4.99999745467728878269e-01

TABLE 5. The eigenvalues of Jacobian matrix at sinks of even period.

period	ratios of eigenvalues of period $n - 2$ and n	
11	9.00540322634128430089e-02	9.99400001731684864935e-01
13	8.99854436431157749413e-02	1.00016176346189896986e+00
15	9.00222944446810347935e-02	9.99752345296034030820e-01
17	9.00000598038766806043e-02	9.99999335512922869988e-01
19	9.00002589981349467249e-02	9.99997122251226498226e-01
21	8.99997843457266791459e-02	1.00000239616433404369e+00
23	9.00002681234758506786e-02	9.99997020859143632477e-01
25	8.99998218795403312166e-02	1.00000197912013545080e+00
27	8.99998916348910875614e-02	1.00000120405821544820e+00
29	9.00003132725397219978e-02	9.99996519206119057128e-01
31	8.99997450091692659680e-02	1.00000283323947982356e+00
33	9.00003016169539760713e-02	9.99996648711742547609e-01
35	8.99996903270191572901e-02	1.00000344082273747271e+00
37	9.00003232135358742475e-02	9.99996408751387399054e-01
39	8.99998436533712696564e-02	1.00000173718778148663e+00
41	8.99997659821171422527e-02	1.00000260020545947027e+00
43	9.00002523129475964350e-02	9.99997196530663946407e-01
45	8.99996258900582399400e-02	1.00000415679440953527e+00
47	9.00003016999698477904e-02	9.99996647789350156240e-01
49	9.00002147275833144278e-02	9.99997614143655497102e-01
51	8.99995946561776303920e-02	1.00000450384053303939e+00
53	9.00003646140682060703e-02	9.99995948748988189360e-01
55	8.99995889972389299717e-02	1.00000456671820004242e+00
57	9.00002522159117135438e-02	9.99997197608834378878e-01
59	8.99997772270625693810e-02	1.00000247526098724198e+00

TABLE 6. The ratios of absolute values of eigenvalues of at sinks of odd periods $n - 2$ and n . The absolute value of one eigenvalue shrinks at a rate of square of the determinant at the saddle fixed point, that is, 0.09. The absolute value of the other eigenvalue are approximately constant.

period	ratios of eigenvalues of period $n - 2$ and n	
10	7.49206914806086154546e-02	1.20127027956348072327e+00
12	8.99778684213705809799e-02	1.00024596691406130893e+00
14	8.99960715963652586576e-02	1.00004365083458709549e+00
16	8.99264832391955306833e-02	1.00081752069197370947e+00
18	9.00728171868757432974e-02	9.99191574226831740700e-01
20	9.00002731608168261340e-02	9.99996964889024969546e-01
22	8.99999639560368731158e-02	1.00000040048863957869e+00
24	8.99997807326616718862e-02	1.00000243630969479173e+00
26	8.9999966921900738620e-02	1.00000003675344497457e+00
28	9.00002714341126352835e-02	9.99996984074510997505e-01
30	9.00000910611720120230e-02	9.99998988210223585999e-01
32	9.00716982830459288359e-02	9.99203986552794636220e-01
34	8.99279021012939370124e-02	1.00080173001950887943e+00
36	9.00001631766690983664e-02	9.99998186929186138776e-01
38	9.00003270550607695483e-02	9.99996366068085847497e-01
40	8.99996508534162602456e-02	1.00000387942153585034e+00
42	9.00003671202716422832e-02	9.99995920902509745505e-01
44	8.99995286218822021443e-02	1.00000523756207411120e+00
46	9.00003845637969539426e-02	9.99995727087180595947e-01
48	8.99996499793890133042e-02	1.00000388913302514904e+00
50	9.00003391342746292568e-02	9.99996231855591974041e-01
52	9.00000183327780281075e-02	9.99999796302507847038e-01
54	8.99996017569571923151e-02	1.00000442494227789069e+00
56	9.00003688013338555721e-02	9.99995902224193440124e-01
58	9.00000630523966221735e-02	9.99999299418306124239e-01
60	8.99995809637247810024e-02	1.00000465598029159604e+00

TABLE 7. The ratios of absolute values of eigenvalues of at sinks of even periods $n - 2$ and n . The absolute value of one eigenvalue shrinks at a rate of square of the determinant at the saddle fixed point, that is, 0.09. The absolute value of the other eigenvalue are approximately constant.

period	parameters at saddle-node and period-doubling bifurcations
9	1.3968233489977030974500000000000000000000 1.3968345987500859099500000000000000000000
11	1.3934814033585920161703076171875000000000 1.3934821674769293329915966796875000000000
13	1.3927060033907990877176504658789062500000 1.3927060598461127595926504658789062500000
15	1.3924975916102853082129492045595297082118 1.3924975957889620773495764018251547082118
17	1.3924409547595970907162926627654496057239 1.3924409550685610077582598531140747888294
19	1.3924255564670342362919272183792474599801 1.3924255564898676304460081461127433512680
21	1.3924213705258857640361478743510716334863 1.3924213705275729495745331210998578409123
23	1.3924202326715078614033818373402620991773 1.3924202326716325230348642628494487551308
25	1.3924199233779229805475791529833319211205 1.3924199233779321913146081892009110634698
27	1.3924198393057675624874615984039427609140 1.3924198393057682430321434709276059681053
29	1.3924198164533292885924061461208154283204 1.3924198164533293388749066755548266733108
31	1.3924198102415983818904458058059739853184 1.3924198102415983856056005794709084594730
33	1.3924198085531311318467143293844744895717 1.3924198085531311321212108966380177168874
35	1.3924198080941734695060031588558768691861 1.3924198080941734695262845103777038213962
37	1.3924198079694200090076905741665406453504 1.3924198079694200090091890743252826662156
39	1.3924198079355096353303997704166508400297 1.3924198079355096353305104880280764674629
41	1.3924198079262921480018356108329882157974 1.3924198079262921480018437912731663998231
43	1.3924198079237866588725607040184013057408 1.3924198079237866588725613084353430369478
45	1.3924198079231056190671970667612411855520 1.3924198079231056190671971114189552419361
47	1.3924198079229204994388359115639426542337 1.3924198079229204994388359148635064731155
49	1.3924198079228701803876815565029861453483 1.3924198079228701803876815567467764674448
51	1.3924198079228565027083804295458118884747 1.3924198079228565027083804295638244883347
53	1.3924198079228527848538745792375525219619 1.3924198079228527848538745792388833941745
55	1.3924198079228517742700012221913783310748 1.3924198079228517742700012221914766633938
57	1.3924198079228514995740002134887019719025 1.3924198079228514995740002134887092372474
59	1.3924198079228514249063798807901536743561 1.3924198079228514249063798807901542111607

TABLE 8. The parameter intervals of a where the sinks of odd period persist, that is, parameter intervals of a between saddle-node bifurcation and period-doubling bifurcation.

TABLE 9. The parameter intervals of a where the sinks of even period persist, that is, parameter intervals of a between saddle-node bifurcation and period-doubling bifurcation.

period	parameters at saddle-node and crisis parameter
9	1.3968233489969218474500000000000000000000 1.3968486642695780974500000000000000000000
11	1.3934814033585243800844921875000000000000 1.3934831226574300267122998046875000000000
13	1.3927060033907893220926504658789062500000 1.3927061304159846345926504658789062500000
15	1.3924975916102850673956167460634359582118 1.3924976010122798719798147441103109582118
17	1.3924409547595970682585739672221604211536 1.3924409554547646982432968313636246545520
19	1.3924255564670342367330777926096608706213 1.3924255565184091992298445479270127496518
21	1.3924213705258857640013400059054101406556 1.3924213705296819258043968004382145213542
23	1.3924202326715078614238712199162343272868 1.3924202326717883490834789871942662048892
25	1.3924199233779229805460127700997015311442 1.3924199233779437047304202982717079685642
27	1.3924198393057675624873899361237395088878 1.3924198393057690937109171015552124719594
29	1.3924198164533292885924066663516748654708 1.3924198164533294017275986573655500012170
31	1.3924198102415983818904458712627400581057 1.3924198102415983902495279183875432021003
33	1.3924198085531311318467143128598303346922 1.3924198085531311324643291388420129910627
35	1.3924198080941734695060031606909518414681 1.3924198080941734695516361146202282056142
37	1.3924198079694200090076905740899432085272 1.3924198079694200090110621855684400418954
39	1.3924198079355096353303997704213084602485 1.3924198079355096353306488842533800902485
41	1.3924198079262921480018356108318619109139 1.3924198079262921480018540168019647046024
43	1.3924198079237866588725607040183368607435 1.3924198079237866588725620639523532974237
45	1.3924198079231056190671970667612337385589 1.3924198079231056190671971672410847383403
47	1.3924198079229204994388359115639433251172 1.3924198079229204994388359189879395061732
49	1.3924198079228701803876815565029861114284 1.3924198079228701803876815570515121292636
51	1.3924198079228565027083804295458118859091 1.3924198079228565027083804295863401935557
53	1.3924198079228527848538745792375525218413 1.3924198079228527848538745792405469729497
55	1.3924198079228517742700012221913783310836 1.3924198079228517742700012221915995786303
57	1.3924198079228514995740002134887019719036 1.3924198079228514995740002134887183188788
59	1.3924198079228514249063798807901536743561 1.3924198079228514249063798807901548821651

TABLE 10. The parameter intervals of a where the attractor arising from the first sinks exist. In other words, the parameter intervals are the parameter intervals of periodic windows, which are between saddle-node bifurcations and crisis parameter.

TABLE 11. The parameter intervals of a where the attractor arising from the first sinks exist. In other words, the parameter intervals are the parameter intervals of periodic windows, which are between saddle-node bifurcations and crisis parameter.

period	length of parameter interval	ratio of period $n - 1$ and n
8	5.20772275000000000000e-05	
9	1.12497523828125000000e-05	2.16020570273494302284e-01
10	2.93247083684770117188e-06	2.60669811837633294297e-01
11	7.64118337316821289062e-07	2.60571504314846157774e-01
12	2.09875104998916943058e-07	2.74663091761267114138e-01
13	5.64553136718750000000e-08	2.68994808470334471993e-01
14	1.54209765625000000000e-08	2.73153677829664547395e-01
15	4.17867676913662719727e-09	2.70973550358550919253e-01
16	1.13775893997061157227e-09	2.72277326730320041233e-01
17	3.08963917041967190349e-10	2.71554813755141980575e-01
18	8.40275687605367469563e-11	2.71965637816286208159e-01
19	2.28333941540809277335e-11	2.71736936946872027524e-01
20	6.20759178527665128364e-12	2.71864609500782057799e-01
21	1.68718553838524674879e-12	2.71793893146608482948e-01
22	4.58632788050188768254e-13	2.71833048361196876357e-01
23	1.24661631482425509187e-13	2.71811424587427509847e-01
24	3.38859330562046181743e-14	2.71823275961070458480e-01
25	9.21076702903621757914e-15	2.71816833662477471988e-01
26	2.50367381214716628414e-15	2.71820338551016629944e-01
27	6.80544681872523663207e-16	2.71818428810773995138e-01
28	1.84985300983128735926e-16	2.71819479176797516140e-01
29	5.02825005294340112450e-17	2.71818897297250334726e-01
30	1.36677492317202245658e-17	2.71819203257791347916e-01
31	3.71515477366493447415e-18	2.71819061842514112803e-01
32	1.00985019432891861718e-18	2.71819145056161216597e-01
33	2.74496567253543227316e-19	2.71819096332358446848e-01
34	7.46133969854543212152e-20	2.71819053083226506256e-01
35	2.02813515218269522102e-20	2.71819168423342881997e-01
36	5.51285776110199040637e-21	2.71819052846108846843e-01
37	1.49850015874202086519e-21	2.71819122436868169804e-01
38	4.07320992898162760250e-22	2.71819118951649321367e-01
39	1.10717611425627433250e-22	2.71819064953788759266e-01
40	3.00951625559316527488e-23	2.71819109610647061531e-01
41	8.18044017818402570165e-24	2.71819105910484246742e-01
42	2.22359982438607038016e-24	2.71819092365722411003e-01
43	6.04416941731206993188e-25	2.71819117407101253304e-01
44	1.64292062774655051332e-25	2.71819089491568424145e-01
45	4.46577140563840961460e-26	2.71819059924015612681e-01
46	1.21388222540573187979e-26	2.71819158471278696270e-01
47	3.29956381888177413612e-27	2.71819106485303165696e-01
48	8.96884469004933785206e-28	2.71819100413365828937e-01
49	2.43790322096545515649e-28	2.71819092114532332964e-01
50	6.62668674431496865732e-29	2.71819106161674337530e-01
51	1.80125998599477218337e-29	2.71819093839024796423e-01
52	4.89616917668421751082e-30	2.71819127430415684390e-01
53	1.33087221259949353559e-30	2.71819082342409272926e-01
54	3.61756519725048838423e-31	2.71819124556261326765e-01
55	9.83323189845829580004e-32	2.71819065097485805336e-01
56	2.67286037314872512305e-32	2.71819113059643166890e-01
57	7.26534489965076416090e-33	2.71819095850933956591e-01
58	1.97485957119654607147e-33	2.71819108173581001749e-01
59	5.36804640419477842818e-34	2.71819145142676505625e-01
60	1.45913733705088804332e-34	2.71819061755998850289e-01

TABLE 12. Lengths of parameter intervals of a where the sink persists of minimum period and ratios of lengths of these of period $n - 1$ and n . In other words, the parameter interval is the parameter interval between saddle-node bifurcation and period-doubling bifurcation. The ratios are about the unstable eigenvalue of the saddle fixed point.

period	length of periodic window	ratio of period $n - 1$ and n
8	1.17016546875000000000e-04	
9	2.53152726562500000000e-05	2.16339255706224239921e-01
10	6.59741851018212890625e-06	2.60610209487643622000e-01
11	1.71929890564662780762e-06	2.60601764613408569902e-01
12	4.72211807061648466880e-07	2.74653700709505044753e-01
13	1.27025195312500000000e-07	2.69000464225826809516e-01
14	3.46971093750000000000e-08	2.73151395592348343786e-01
15	9.40199480458419799805e-09	2.70973431906651387960e-01
16	2.56134064116961669922e-09	2.72425234687511790982e-01
17	6.95167629984722864141e-10	2.71407722507100757828e-01
18	1.89062037689291239806e-10	2.71966112250431026329e-01
19	5.13749624967667553174e-11	2.71736003296428614620e-01
20	1.39670506451539147044e-11	2.71864931211052865443e-01
21	3.79616180305679453280e-12	2.71794088780936143442e-01
22	1.03192105576091676421e-12	2.71832737722080217367e-01
23	2.80487659607767278032e-13	2.71811160400193219610e-01
24	7.62433098434638762161e-14	2.71824115007705468191e-01
25	2.07241844075281720064e-14	2.71816431501691919914e-01
26	5.63326196512037088081e-15	2.71820683233935035944e-01
27	1.53122352716543147296e-15	2.71818270949647282783e-01
28	4.16215848805591932679e-16	2.71819131185948982240e-01
29	1.13135191991013875136e-16	2.71818558365031399199e-01
30	3.07523160969255627420e-17	2.71819188669146943348e-01
31	8.35908204712480314399e-18	2.71819593060195405196e-01
32	2.27094850812691849672e-18	2.71674389044672177366e-01
33	6.17614825982182656371e-19	2.71963377316552292942e-01
34	1.67880189589594927192e-19	2.71820206586876917391e-01
35	4.56329539292763641461e-20	2.71818575144762978104e-01
36	1.24039091247940536556e-20	2.71819114406183078154e-01
37	3.37161147849683336829e-21	2.71818460178602234519e-01
38	9.16468640017810901558e-22	2.71819171889401803378e-01
39	2.49113832071629999989e-22	2.71819264941557251560e-01
40	6.77140014588409723764e-23	2.71819516787693026985e-01
41	1.84059701027936885101e-23	2.71819264941557251560e-01
42	5.00308179675592187012e-24	2.71818424609770818896e-01
43	1.35993401643668021626e-24	2.71819264941557251560e-01
44	3.69656919903577300183e-25	2.71819746719887176056e-01
45	1.00479850999781479404e-25	2.71819207458610598307e-01
46	2.73122574760888931457e-26	2.71818252160309145284e-01
47	7.42399618105599513861e-27	2.71819207458610598307e-01
48	2.01798876158405025618e-27	2.71819746719887176056e-01
49	5.48526017835233715813e-28	2.71818172765570839755e-01
50	1.49099950988646346449e-28	2.71819286853651124922e-01
51	4.05283076465837915713e-29	2.71819724807756231624e-01
52	1.10163407365787690138e-29	2.71818424609381818266e-01
53	2.99445110834763184278e-30	2.71819035009041264277e-01
54	8.13951630359618836920e-31	2.71819976653005201623e-01
55	2.21247546708387447019e-31	2.71819035009041264277e-01
56	6.01391723129587200991e-32	2.71818482092478980613e-01
57	1.63469752413438087610e-32	2.71819092492255355231e-01
58	4.44341939365108324374e-33	2.71819056923329041124e-01
59	1.20780903678109461016e-33	2.71819724806273163335e-01
60	3.28305642329379756494e-34	2.71819163734972481828e-01

TABLE 13. Lengths of parameter intervals of a where periodic window exists and ratio of lengths of these of period $n - 1$ and n . In other words, the period window is the parameter interval between saddle-node bifurcation and crisis parameter. The ratios are about the unstable eigenvalue of the saddle fixed point.

period	ratio of parameter intervals
8	4.45041567972691896272e-01
9	4.44385985312905077157e-01
10	4.44487617743496331297e-01
11	4.44436005168884004736e-01
12	4.44451201474335875477e-01
13	4.44441856853570819027e-01
14	4.44445570258689597251e-01
15	4.44445764541286473932e-01
16	4.44204461399192344969e-01
17	4.44445201006780249630e-01
18	4.44444425689675065480e-01
19	4.44445952744353443507e-01
20	4.44445426811026258517e-01
21	4.44445106904207659397e-01
22	4.44445614797541512684e-01
23	4.44446046777073158520e-01
24	4.44444674893787596820e-01
25	4.44445332463378229201e-01
26	4.44444768883328165237e-01
27	4.44445026998986587371e-01
28	4.44445595990585512930e-01
29	4.44446150172510953496e-01
30	4.44446174026113319067e-01
31	4.44445305443891679354e-01
32	4.44682118821727256505e-01
33	4.44446207742853112992e-01
34	4.44444321678790841332e-01
35	4.44445291734997896033e-01
36	4.44445191079511578472e-01
37	4.44446273925398330221e-01
38	4.44446187367902488141e-01
39	4.44445860371943442613e-01
40	4.44445194606090215932e-01
41	4.44444934578177164078e-01
42	4.44446026412737847772e-01
43	4.44445785182217481644e-01
44	4.44444710564351426842e-01
45	4.44444469334266990664e-01
46	4.44445951224812281760e-01
47	4.44445786125450504555e-01
48	4.44444729365544631574e-01
49	4.44446232575562659839e-01
50	4.44445937129756479701e-01
51	4.44444905447860176459e-01
52	4.44446054616568634258e-01
53	4.44446132010444535767e-01
54	4.44444738768098711704e-01
55	4.44444787964987640194e-01
56	4.44445819646370528024e-01
57	4.44445825138076979633e-01
58	4.44445908936324162866e-01
59	4.44444961142287959466e-01
60	4.44444794398926857851e-01

TABLE 14. Ratios of lengths of two parameter intervals; parameter intervals where sinks of minimum period exist and parameter intervals of periodic windows.

period	distances	rations of distances
8	1.00265689934063630709e-02	
9	7.63804257510769375853e-03	7.61780283976562308685e-01
10	3.42523074180080060459e-03	4.48443525696438794179e-01
11	1.84059006697033517336e-03	5.37362357667808596973e-01
12	9.50991571858483297600e-04	5.16677552989212370686e-01
13	4.96129343751499879330e-04	5.21696888208940617827e-01
14	2.58789124828134140657e-04	5.21616244004619570004e-01
15	1.34834871282587099553e-04	5.21022169583567402474e-01
16	7.03294224007326907429e-05	5.21596688836793527308e-01
17	3.66567591759124233024e-05	5.21215131940718651489e-01
18	1.91146095686383711264e-05	5.21448431295006575734e-01
19	9.96472126492080776836e-06	5.21314402428081847079e-01
20	5.19549639289471390821e-06	5.21389033849307963493e-01
21	2.70866671815139245114e-06	5.21348974826683754598e-01
22	1.41221604762196417510e-06	5.21369439126040451375e-01
23	7.36272908283439778207e-07	5.21359964378858639347e-01
24	3.83865695360948747939e-07	5.21363330148735611600e-01
25	2.00133501636164705889e-07	5.21363341540533494505e-01
26	1.04341896742847390725e-07	5.21361470667400277355e-01
27	5.44001463877057553405e-08	5.21364361640616533161e-01
28	2.83621099544736256100e-08	5.21360912383194693437e-01
29	1.47870019928749739427e-08	5.21364666331624020070e-01
30	7.70936239570110056550e-09	5.21360746378191432496e-01
31	4.01938984875060753059e-09	5.21364756570777135574e-01
32	2.09555189463007091829e-09	5.21360697390788068856e-01
33	1.09254695904562983128e-09	5.21364783112898202282e-01
34	5.69611028805340866995e-10	5.21360683025388629534e-01
35	2.96975134913090637081e-10	5.21364790874825310401e-01
36	1.54831157935538807415e-10	5.21360678835451768927e-01
37	8.07235146276517358918e-11	5.21364793133301581515e-01
38	4.20860662860625746208e-11	5.21360677619034774520e-01
39	2.19421932705646912003e-11	5.21364793787609798759e-01
40	1.14397967442720389830e-11	5.21360677267320013737e-01
41	5.96430727270983581163e-12	5.21364793976448316458e-01
42	3.10955527852604156421e-12	5.21360677165990432020e-01
43	1.62121264731600450594e-12	5.21364794030763956134e-01
44	8.45236523587562883911e-13	5.21360677136890457969e-01
45	4.40676566040673764131e-13	5.21364794046339573724e-01
46	2.29751432865653118547e-13	5.21360677128557404023e-01
47	1.19784308478876044731e-13	5.21364794050793924365e-01
48	6.24508281776377156643e-14	5.21360677126177301321e-01
49	3.25596631712149649003e-14	5.21364794052064671698e-01
50	1.69753280379228088503e-14	5.21360677125499081974e-01
51	8.85033840646400448077e-15	5.21364794052426383433e-01
52	4.61421842438217710034e-15	5.21360677125306230924e-01
53	2.40569103854139924030e-15	5.21364794052529134258e-01
54	1.25423270880809341295e-15	5.21360677125251500574e-01
55	6.53912777921713906685e-16	5.21364794052558268054e-01
56	3.40924408678108833270e-16	5.21360677125235995756e-01
57	1.77745984117955232114e-16	5.21364794052566514717e-01
58	9.26697666360278035434e-17	5.21360677125231610623e-01
59	4.83147537970922513950e-17	5.21364794052568845257e-01
60	2.51894127547908114229e-17	5.21360677125230372270e-01

TABLE 15. Distances between stable manifolds and peaks of unstable manifolds. We estimate the positions of the sinks by the distances.