



HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

Title	ON THE INTRINSIC DERIVATIVE IN THE NON-HOLONOMIC EXSURFACE
Author(s)	Katsurada, Yoshie
Citation	Journal of the Faculty of Science Hokkaido University. Ser. 1 Mathematics, 12(4), 157-162
Issue Date	1953
Doc URL	https://hdl.handle.net/2115/55981
Type	departmental bulletin paper
File Information	JFSHIU_12_N4_157-162.pdf



ON THE INTRINSIC DERIVATIVE IN THE NON-HOLONOMIC EXSURFACE

By

Yoshie KATSURADA

Introduction. H. V. CRAIG has shown that M -th order intrinsic derivative of an absolute tensor can be expressed in a form of contraction of extensors [1]⁽¹⁾. The principal purpose of the present paper is its generalization, that is, to find the structure of M -th order intrinsic derivative of a contravariant vector in the space called the non-holonomic exsurface which is defined by the n independent Pfaffian equations

$$(0.1) \quad \sum_{\alpha=0}^M \lambda_{\alpha i}^{a'}(x, x', \dots, x^{(M)}) dx^{(\alpha)i} = 0 \quad (a' = 1, \dots, n)$$

in a space $K_n^{(M)}$ of line-elements of M -th order. A non-holonomic space [2] and an exsurface [3] introduced by CRAIG are both special ones of this space.

In the present paper we use certain of ideas, notations and results given in the previous paper [4] without explanation. The present author wishes to express to Prof. A. KAWAGUCHI her sincere thanks for his criticisms.

§1. The non-holonomic exsurface and the intrinsic derivative. Let us consider the Pfaffian equations defined by (0.1), then under "non-holonomic exsurface" which is denoted by N'_n we understand a set of the fields of n mutually independent excovariant extensors $\lambda_{\alpha i}^{a'}$ associated with each expoint $x^{(\alpha)i}$ of the space $K_n^{(M)}$.

We now define the displacement $ds^{a'}$ of an ideal point in N'_n corresponding to the displacement $dx^{(\alpha)i}$ of an expoint $x^{(\alpha)i}$ in $K_n^{(M)}$ as follows:

$$(1.1) \quad ds^{a'} = \sum_{\alpha=0}^M \lambda_{\alpha i}^{a'}(x, x', \dots, x^{(M)}) dx^{(\alpha)i}.$$

Then for two infinitesimal displacements d_1 and d_2 , there exists the relation

(1) Numbers in brackets refer to the references at the end of the paper.

(2) Numbers in parentheses denote times of differentiation with respect to t .

$$d_1 d_2 s^{a'} - d_2 d_1 s^{a'} = \omega_{\alpha i \beta j}^{a'} d_1 x^{(\alpha)i} d_2 x^{(\beta)j},$$

where

$$(1.2) \quad \omega_{\alpha i \beta j}^{a'} = \frac{\partial \lambda_{\alpha i}^{a'}}{\partial x^{(\beta)j}} - \frac{\partial \lambda_{\beta j}^{a'}}{\partial x^{(\alpha)i}}.$$

Especially, if $\omega_{\alpha i \beta j}^{a'} \equiv 0$, we have the relation*

$$\frac{\partial \lambda_{\alpha i}^{a'}}{\partial x^{(\beta)j}} - \frac{\partial \lambda_{\beta j}^{a'}}{\partial x^{(\alpha)i}} \equiv 0$$

which shows complete integrability of the system of partial differential equations $\frac{\partial s^{a'}}{\partial x^{(\alpha)i}} = \lambda_{\alpha i}^{a'}(x, x', \dots, x^{(M)})$ whose solutions

$$(1.3) \quad s^{a'} = f^{a'}(x, x', \dots, x^{(M)}, s_0^{a'})$$

may be considered as the equations of exsurface in an n -dimensional space. Consequently we can see the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *If $\omega_{\alpha i \beta j}^{a'} \equiv 0$ and $s^{a'}$ are assumed to be rectangular cartesian coordinates of a point in an n -dimensional Euclidean space E , then the non-holonomic exsurface becomes the exsurface defined by CRAIG ([3] p. 792).*

The quantities $v^{a'}$ determined by an excontravariant extensor $v^{a'}$ of range R ($R \leq M$) in $K_n^{(M)}$ such that

$$\binom{M}{R} v^{a'} \equiv \sum_{\alpha=M-R}^M \binom{\alpha}{M-R} \lambda_{\alpha i}^{a'} v^{\alpha-M+Ri}$$

are called the *vector components* in N'_n corresponding to $v^{a'}$. Similarly, the tensor components in N'_n corresponding to higher order excontravariant extensors can be defined. If $v^{(\alpha)i}$, $\alpha = 0, 1, \dots, R$, are the components of the extensor obtained by differentiating the components of a vector v^i in $K_n^{(M)}$ α times along a parameterized arc, then the vector components in N'_n of $v^{(\alpha)i}$ are given by

$$\binom{M}{R} v_R^{a'} = \sum_{\alpha=M-R}^M \binom{\alpha}{M-R} \lambda_{\alpha i}^{a'} v^{(\alpha-M+R)i},$$

we call such the quantities $v_R^{a'}$ R -th order *intrinsic derivatives* of the components $v^{a'}$ in N'_n corresponding to v^i , i. e.,

$$v^{a'} \equiv \lambda_{Mi}^{a'} v^i,$$

and put

$$\frac{\partial^R v^{a'}}{\partial t^R} \equiv v_R^{a'}.$$

Next we shall discuss such the intrinsic derivative of $v^{a'}$.

In the case that $R=1$, we have

$$(1.4) \quad M \frac{\delta v^{a'}}{dt} \equiv v^{a'} = \sum_{\alpha=0}^M \binom{\alpha}{M-1} \lambda_{a'}^{\alpha} v^{(\alpha-M+1)\epsilon} \\ = M \lambda_{M\epsilon}^{a'} v^{(1)\epsilon} + \lambda_{M-1j}^{a'} v^j.$$

If we consider the reciprocal contravariant vectors $\lambda_{a'}^j$ of the covariant vectors $\lambda_{M\epsilon}^{a'}$, which satisfy the equation

$$\lambda_{M\epsilon}^{a'} \lambda_{a'}^j = \delta_{\epsilon}^j \quad (\delta_{\epsilon}^j: \text{Kronecker delta})$$

under the assumption that $|\lambda_{M\epsilon}^{a'}| \neq 0$. Then multiplying the last result of (1.4) by $\frac{1}{M} \lambda_{a'}^j$ and summing with respect to a' , we have

$$\frac{\delta v^{a'}}{dt} \lambda_{a'}^j = v^{(1)j} + \frac{1}{M} \lambda_{M-1k}^{a'} \lambda_{a'}^j v^k,$$

on putting

$$(1.5) \quad \Gamma_{\epsilon}^j = \frac{1}{M} \lambda_{M-1k}^{a'} \lambda_{a'}^j,$$

the above equation is rewritten in the form

$$\frac{\delta v^{a'}}{dt} \lambda_{a'}^j = v^{(1)j} + \Gamma_{\epsilon}^j v^{\epsilon}.$$

Consequently, $K_n^{(M)}$ is the space with the affine connection Γ_{ϵ}^j , Γ_{ϵ}^j being the function of $x^{(\alpha)\epsilon}$, $\alpha=0,1,\dots,M$. For the structure of $\frac{\delta v^{a'}}{dt}$ referred to the components of the non-holonomic exsurface, we can state the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *The relation*

$$\frac{\delta v^{a'}}{dt} = \frac{dv^{a'}}{dt} + \Gamma_{b'}^{a'} v^{b'}.$$

holds good, where

$$\Gamma_{b'}^{a'} = \Gamma_{\epsilon}^j \lambda_{Mj}^{a'} \lambda_{b'}^{\epsilon} - \sum_{\alpha=0}^M \frac{\partial \lambda_{M\epsilon}^{a'}}{\partial x^{(\alpha)j}} x^{(\alpha+1)j} \lambda_{b'}^{\epsilon} \\ = \Gamma_{\epsilon}^j \lambda_{Mj}^{a'} \lambda_{b'}^{\epsilon} - \lambda_{M\epsilon}^{a'(1)} \lambda_{b'}^{\epsilon} \\ = \frac{1}{M} \lambda_{M-1j}^{a'} \lambda_{b'}^j - \lambda_{M\epsilon}^{a'(1)} \lambda_{b'}^{\epsilon}.$$

Proof. Differentiating the expression $v^{\epsilon} = \lambda_{a'}^{\epsilon} v^{a'}$ deduced from $v^{a'} = \lambda_{M\epsilon}^{a'} v^{\epsilon}$ with respect to t , we have

$$v^{(1)z} = \lambda_{a'}^z v^{(1)a'} + \frac{\partial \lambda_{a'}^z}{\partial x^{(\alpha)j}} x^{(\alpha+1)j} v^{a'},$$

let replace $v^{(1)z}$ in the last result of (1.4) with the right-hand member of the above equation, then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta v^{a'}}{dt} &= \lambda_{Mz}^{a'} (\lambda_{b'}^z v^{(1)b'} + \frac{\partial \lambda_{b'}^z}{\partial x^{(\alpha)j}} x^{(\alpha+1)j} v^{b'}) + \frac{1}{M} \lambda_{M-1j}^{a'} \lambda_{b'}^j v^{b'} \\ &= v^{(1)a'} + \left(\frac{1}{M} \lambda_{M-1j}^{a'} \lambda_{b'}^j + \lambda_{Mz}^{a'} \frac{\partial \lambda_{b'}^z}{\partial x^{(\alpha)j}} x^{(\alpha+1)j} \right) v^{b'} \\ &= v^{(1)a'} + \Gamma_{b'}^{a'} v^{b'}, \end{aligned}$$

on making use of $\frac{\partial \lambda_{b'}^z}{\partial x^{(\alpha)j}} \lambda_{Mz}^{a'} = -\lambda_{b'}^z \frac{\partial \lambda_{Mz}^{a'}}{\partial x^{(\alpha)j}}$.

Theorem 1.3. *There exists the relation*

$$(1.6) \quad M \frac{\delta v^{a'}}{dt} = \sum_{\beta'=M-1}^M \binom{\beta'}{M-1} N_{\beta'b'}^{a'} v^{b'(\beta'-M+1)},$$

where

$$N_{\beta'b'}^{a'} = \sum_{\alpha=\beta'}^M \binom{\alpha}{\beta'} \lambda_{a'j}^{a'} \lambda_{b'}^j x^{(\alpha-\beta')j}.$$

Proof. Calculating the right-hand member of (1.6), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\beta'=M-1}^M \binom{\beta'}{M-1} N_{\beta'b'}^{a'} v^{b'(\beta'-M+1)} &= N_{M-1b'}^{a'} v^{b'} + M N_{Mb'}^{a'} v^{b'(1)} \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=M-1}^M \binom{\alpha}{M-1} \lambda_{a'j}^{a'} \lambda_{b'}^j x^{(\alpha-M+1)j} \right\} v^{b'} + M \lambda_{Mj}^{a'} \lambda_{b'}^j v^{b'(1)} \\ &= \left\{ \lambda_{M-1j}^{a'} \lambda_{b'}^j + M \lambda_{Mj}^{a'} \lambda_{b'}^j \right\} v^{b'} + M \delta_{b'}^{a'} v^{b'(1)} \\ &= M \left\{ v^{a'(1)} + \left(\lambda_{Mj}^{a'} \lambda_{b'}^j + \frac{1}{M} \lambda_{M-1j}^{a'} \lambda_{b'}^j \right) v^{b'} \right\} \\ &= M \left\{ v^{a'(1)} + \left(\frac{1}{M} \lambda_{M-1j}^{a'} \lambda_{b'}^j - \lambda_{Mj}^{a'(1)} \lambda_{b'}^j \right) v^{b'} \right\} \\ &= M (v^{a'(1)} + \Gamma_{b'}^{a'} v^{b'}) = M \frac{\delta v^{a'}}{dt}. \end{aligned}$$

In general, for the structure of the higher order intrinsic derivative of $v^{a'}$, we have the

Theorem 1.4. *It follows that*

$$\binom{M}{R} \frac{\delta^R v^{a'}}{dt^R} = \sum_{\beta'=M-R}^M \binom{\beta'}{M-R} N_{\beta'b'}^{a'} v^{b'(\beta'-M+R)},$$

where

$$N_{\beta' b'}^{a'} = \sum_{\alpha=\beta'}^M \binom{\alpha}{\beta'} \lambda_{a' j}^{a'} \lambda_{b'}^{j(\alpha-\beta')}.$$

Such the quantities $N_{\beta' b'}^{a'}$ are called as *intrinsic derivation coefficients* of the non-holonomic exsurface.

Proof. By virtue of the definition, we can observe

$$\binom{M}{R} \frac{\delta^R v^{a'}}{dt^R} = \sum_{\alpha=M-R}^M \binom{\alpha}{M-R} \lambda_{a' j}^{a'} v^{(\alpha-M+R)j}.$$

Differentiating the equation $v^j = \lambda_{b'}^{j(\alpha-M+R)} v^{b'}$ times by LEIBNITZ's rule, the following expression is obtained

$$v^{j(\alpha-M+R)} = \sum_{\beta=0}^{\alpha-M+R} \binom{\alpha-M+R}{\beta} \lambda_{a'}^{j(\alpha-M+R-\beta)} v^{a'(\beta)}.$$

Accordingly, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{M}{R} \frac{\delta^R v^{a'}}{dt^R} &= \sum_{\alpha=M-R}^M \binom{\alpha}{M-R} \lambda_{a' j}^{a'} \sum_{\beta=0}^{\alpha-M+R} \binom{\alpha-M+R}{\beta} \lambda_{b'}^{j(\alpha-M+R-\beta)} v^{b'(\beta)} \\ &= \sum_{\beta=0}^R \sum_{\alpha=\beta+M-R}^M \binom{\alpha}{M-R+\beta} \binom{M-R+\beta}{\beta} \lambda_{a' j}^{a'} \lambda_{b'}^{j(\alpha-M+R-\beta)} v^{b'(\beta)} \\ &= \sum_{\beta=0}^R \binom{M-R+\beta}{M-R} \sum_{\alpha=\beta+M-R}^M \binom{\alpha}{M-R+\beta} \lambda_{a' j}^{a'} \lambda_{b'}^{j(\alpha-M+R-\beta)} v^{b'(\beta)} \\ &= \sum_{\beta=0}^R \binom{M-R+\beta}{M-R} N_{M-R+\beta b'}^{a'} v^{b'(\beta)} \\ &= \sum_{\beta'=M-R}^M \binom{\beta'}{M-R} N_{\beta' b'}^{a'} v^{b'(\beta'-M+R)} \quad (\text{putting: } \beta'=M-R+\beta). \end{aligned}$$

§ 2. Specialization. The corresponding statements for the exsurface $x^{a'} = f^{a'}(x, x', \dots, x^{(M)})$ are obtained by replacing $\lambda_{a' i}^{a'}$ in the preceding statements with $\frac{\partial f^{a'}}{\partial x^{(\alpha)i}}$.

Let us consider a non-holonomic space $\bar{N}_n$ which is given by n independent Pfaffian equations

$$\lambda_i^{a'}(x) dx^i = 0 \quad (a' = 1, \dots, n)$$

corresponding to an n -dimensional space K_n with an affine connection $\Gamma_j^i(x)$ referred to the coordinate system x^i , then if we take the quantities $\lambda_i^{a'} T_{\beta j}^i$ in place of $\lambda_{\beta j}^{a'}$ in the preceding statements, $T_{\beta j}^i$ being the higher order extensive derivative of δ_j^i introduced by CRAIG, that is, $D^M[\delta_{\beta j}^i]$ ([1] p.338), the corresponding results for the higher order intrinsic derivatives of tensors in $\bar{N}_n$ are observed. Also if $\bar{N}_n$ coincides

with the original n -dimensional space K_n , then in use of the quantities $T_{\alpha j}^i$ corresponding to $\lambda_{\alpha j}^{a'}$, the above statements will give the results obtained by CRAIG [1].

(July 1952)

Mathematical Institute,
Hokkaido University, Sapporo.

References.

- [1] H. V. CRAIG: On the structure of intrinsic derivatives, Bulletin Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 52, No. 4 (1947) 332-342.
- [2] G. VRANCEANU: Sur les espaces non-holonomes; Sur le calcul différentiel absolu pour les variétés non-holonomes, C. R., Vol. 183 (1926) p. 852 and 1083.
- [3] H. V. CRAIG: On extensors and Euclidean basis for higher order spaces, Amer. Jour. of Math. Vol. 61 (1939) 721-808.
- [4] Y. KATSURADA: Non-holonomic system in a space of higher order I. On the operations of extensors, Jour. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. Vol. 11 (1950) 190-217.