



HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

Title	EXAMPLES OF SINGULAR POINTS
Author(s)	Kuramochi, Zenjiro
Citation	Journal of the Faculty of Science Hokkaido University. Ser. 1 Mathematics, 16(1-2), 149-187
Issue Date	1962
Doc URL	https://hdl.handle.net/2115/56024
Type	departmental bulletin paper
File Information	JFSHIU_16_N1-2_149-187.pdf



EXAMPLES OF SINGULAR POINTS

By

Zenjiro KURAMUCHI

The purpose of the present paper is to construct examples of singular points of first and of second kind.

PART I

In this part we shall construct some Riemann surface to construct singular points, non N -minimal points and to show that there exists a Riemann surface which has the set of singular points of first kind of the power of continuum.

1. **Concentrating ring C.R.** (α, ε) . If a Riemann surface with finite genus has two circular relative boundaries, we call it a *generalized ring*. We call an ordinary ring a *simple ring*. Let $R: 1 < |z| < \exp \alpha$ be a simple ring with module $\alpha: 1 < |z| < \exp \alpha$. We shall construct from R a generalized ring G.R. such that any harmonic function such that $|U(z)| \leq 1$ in G.R. must satisfy the condition

$$|\max_{|z|=\exp \frac{\alpha}{2}} U(z) - \min_{|z|=\exp \frac{\alpha}{2}} U(z)| < \varepsilon$$

on a circle $|z| = \exp \frac{\alpha}{2}$ (in the following we say that $U(z)$ has *aberration* $< \varepsilon$ on $|z| = \exp \frac{\alpha}{2}$). We call such a ring a *concentrating ring* and denote it by C.R. (α, ε) .

a). *Determining an integer $m: m \geq 4$.* Let $U(z)$ be a harmonic function in a simple ring S.R: $\exp \frac{\alpha}{3} < |z| < \exp \frac{2\alpha}{3}$ such that $0 \leq U(z) \leq 1$ and continuous on $|z| = \exp \frac{\alpha}{3}$ and on $|z| = \exp \frac{2\alpha}{3}$. Then

$$U(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial(\text{S.R.})} U(\zeta) \frac{\partial}{\partial n} G(\zeta, z) ds,$$

where $G(\zeta, z)$ is the Green's function of the above ring.

Since $\frac{\partial}{\partial n}G(\zeta, z)$ is a continuous functions of z on $|z| = \exp \frac{\alpha}{3}$ and on $|z| = \exp \frac{2\alpha}{3}$ for fixed ζ and

$$|U(z_1) - U(z_2)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial(S.R.)} |U(\zeta)| \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial n}G(\zeta, z_1) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega}G(\zeta, z_2) \right| ds,$$

there exists an integer $m(\alpha)$ such that $|\arg z_1 - \arg z_2| \leq \frac{2\pi}{2^m}$ and $|z_1| = |z_2| = \exp \frac{\alpha}{2}$ imply $|U(z_1) - U(z_2)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$. Fix m at present.

b) *Determining a constant β .* Let D be a rectangle: $|\operatorname{Re} z| \leq \frac{1}{2\beta}$, $0 \leq \operatorname{Im} z \leq 1$ on the z -plane. Let $\omega(z)$ be the harmonic measure of two sides of D : $|\operatorname{Re} z| = \frac{1}{2\beta}$, i.e. $w(z)$ is a positive harmonic function in D such that $\omega(z) = 1$ on $|\operatorname{Re} z| = \frac{1}{2\beta}$ and $w(z) = 0$ on $\operatorname{Im} z = 0$ and $\operatorname{Im} z = 1$. Now $\omega(z) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on $|\operatorname{Re} z| = 0$ as $\beta \rightarrow 0$. Let β^* be a constant such that $w(z) < \varepsilon'$ on $\operatorname{Re} z = 0$ for $\beta \leq \beta^*$. Then any harmonic function $U(z)$ in D such that $U(z) = 0$ on $\operatorname{Im} z = 0$ and $\operatorname{Im} z = 1$ and $|U(z)| < 1$ satisfies $|U(z)| < \varepsilon'$ on $|\operatorname{Re} z| = 0$, where

$$\varepsilon' = \frac{\varepsilon}{8m}.$$

Put $\gamma = \frac{\alpha}{3m}$ and let $H_i, H'_i, \widehat{H}_i, \widehat{\widehat{H}}_i$ and H be rings and Γ_i, Γ'_i ($i=1, 2, \dots, n$) and Γ be circles cited below:

$$H_i: \exp(i-1)\gamma < |z| < \exp i\gamma, \quad H'_i: \exp(\alpha - i\gamma) > |z| > \exp(\alpha - (i-1)\gamma)$$

$$\widehat{H}_i: \exp(i-1)\gamma < |z| < \exp(\alpha - (i-1)\gamma),$$

$$\widehat{\widehat{H}}_i: \exp\left(i - \frac{1}{2}\right)\gamma < |z| < \exp\left(\alpha - \left(i - \frac{1}{2}\right)\gamma\right),$$

$$H: \exp \frac{\alpha}{3} < |z| < \exp \frac{2\alpha}{3}$$

$$\Gamma_i: |z| = \exp\left(i - \frac{1}{2}\right)\gamma, \quad \Gamma'_i: |z| = \exp\left(\alpha - \left(i - \frac{1}{2}\right)\gamma\right)$$

$$\Gamma: |z| = \exp \frac{\alpha}{2}.$$

c) *Slits for identifying.* Let $s_{i,j}$ and $s'_{i,j}$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, m$), $i=1, 2, 3, \dots, 2^{ml}$ (where l is an integer such that

$$0 < \frac{\frac{2\pi}{2^{ml}}}{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \leq \beta^*$$

and that $s_{i,j}$ and $s'_{i,j}$ are contained in H_i and H'_i respectively, i.e.

$$s_{i,j}: \exp\left(i-1+\frac{1}{4}\right)\gamma < |z| < \exp\left(i-1+\frac{3}{4}\right)\gamma: \quad \arg z = \frac{2\pi}{2^{m_l}}(j-1)$$

$$s'_{i,j}: \exp\left(\alpha - \left(i-1+\frac{3}{4}\right)\gamma\right) < |z| < \exp\left(\alpha - \left(i-1+\frac{1}{4}\right)\gamma\right): \quad \arg z = \frac{2\pi}{2^{m_l}}(j-1).$$

Let $H_i(s)$ be H_i with $\sum s_{i,j}$. Then $H_i(s)$ and $H'_i(s)$ ($i=1,2,\dots,m$) are all conformally equivalent.




Fig. 1.

d) *Construction of a concentrating ring: C.R. (α, ε) .* At first in $H_1 + H'_1$ identify two edges of $s_{1,j}$ and $s'_{1,j}$ lying symmetrically with respect to $I_{1,1}$ (real axis). Next in $H_2 + H'_2$ identify two edges of $s_{2,j}$ and $s'_{2,j}$ lying symmetrically with respect to $I_{2,1}$ (imaginary axis). Let $E_{i,k}$ be a circular echelon ($i=1,2,\dots,m$), $k=1,2,3,\dots,2^{i-1}$) and $I_{i,k}$ be a diameter such that

$$E_{i,k}: \exp(i-1)\gamma < |z| < \exp(\alpha - (i-1)\gamma), \quad \frac{2\pi}{2^{i-1}}(k-1) < \arg z < \frac{2k\pi}{2^{i-1}}.$$

$$I_{i,k}: 0 \leq |z| < \exp(\alpha - (i-1)\gamma), \quad \arg z = \frac{2\pi}{2^{i-1}}(k-1) + \frac{2\pi}{2^i} \text{ or } \frac{2\pi}{2^{i-1}}(k-1) + \frac{2\pi}{2^i} + \pi.$$

In every $E_{ik} \cap (H_i + H'_i)$ ($i=3,4,\dots,m$) identify two edges of $\sum(s_{i,j} + \sum s'_{i,j})$ lying symmetrically with respect to I_{ik} ($k=1,2,3,\dots,2^{i-1}$). Then we have identified every $s_{i,j}$ and $s'_{i,j}$ contained in the simple ring and we have a generalized ring G.R. We shall prove such G.R. is a C.R. (α, ε) . Let $U(z)$ be a harmonic function in G.R. such that $|U(z)| \leq 1$.




Fig. 2.

Let $T_1(z)$ be the inversion with respect to I_{11} (real axis). Since G.R. has symmetric structure, $U(T_1(z)) - U(z)$ is harmonic in G.R. and $U(T_1(z)) - U(z) = 0$ on $\sum (s_{1j} + s'_{1j})$. Now the echelons




Fig. 3.

$$\exp\left(i-1+\frac{1}{4}\right)\gamma < |z| < \exp\left(i-1+\frac{3}{4}\right)\gamma, \quad \frac{2\pi}{2^m}(j-1) < \arg z < \frac{2\pi}{2^m}j,$$

and

$$\exp\left(\alpha - \left(i - 1 + \frac{3}{4}\right)r\right) < |z| < \exp\left(\alpha - \left(i - 1 + \frac{1}{4}\right)r\right), \quad \frac{2\pi}{2^m}(j-1) < \arg z < \frac{2\pi}{2^m}j$$

are conformally equivalent to the rectangle:

$$|\operatorname{Re} \zeta| < \frac{1}{2\beta'}, \quad 0 \leq I_m \zeta \leq 1, \quad \beta' \leq \beta^*.$$

Consider $U(z) - U(T_1(z))$ in the above rectangle. Then

$$|U(z) - U(T_1(z))| < \varepsilon' \quad \text{on } \operatorname{Re} \zeta = 0.$$

Hence $|U(T_1(z)) - U(z)| < \varepsilon'$ on $\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_1'$, whence by the maximum principle

$$|U(T_1(z)) - U(z)| < \varepsilon' \quad \text{on } \hat{H}_1 \supset \hat{\hat{H}}_2. \quad (1)$$

Let $T_2(z)$ be the inversion with respect to I_{21} (imaginary axis). Then similarly as above

$$|U(T_2(z)) - U(z)| < \varepsilon' \quad \text{on } \hat{H}_2. \quad (2)$$




Fig. 4.

Let $\tau_2(z)$ be the rotation about $z=0$ such that $\arg \tau_2(z) - \arg z = \pi$. Then $\tau_2(z) = T_1 T_2(z)$ for $z \in E_{21}$ and $\tau_2(z) = T_1 T_2(z)$ for $z \in E_{22}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence } |U(\tau_2(z)) - U(z)| &< |U(T_1 T_2(z)) - U(T_1(z))| \\ &+ |U(T_1(z)) - U(z)| < 2\varepsilon' \quad \text{on } \Gamma_2 + \Gamma_2'. \end{aligned}$$

$\hat{H}_2$ is invariant with respect to $\tau_2(z)$ and $U(\tau_2(z)) - U(z)$ is harmonic in $\hat{H}_2$, whence by the maximum principle

$$|U(\tau_2(z)) - U(z)| < 2\varepsilon' \quad \text{on } \hat{H}_2$$

$$\text{Similarly} \quad |U(\tau_{-2}(z)) - U(z)| < 2\varepsilon' \quad \text{on } \hat{H}_2, \quad (3)$$

where $\tau_{-2}(z)$ is the rotation about $z=0$ such that $\arg \tau_{-2}(z) - \arg z = -\pi$.

Let $T_{3,k}(z)$ be the inversion with respect to $I_{3,k}$ ($k=1,2$). Then $\hat{\hat{H}}_3$ has symmetric structure, $U(T_{3,k}(z)) - U(z)$ is harmonic in $\hat{\hat{H}}_3$ and vanishes

only on $(E_{3,k} + E_{3,k'}) \cap (\sum (s_{3,j} + s'_{3,j}))$, where $k' = k+2$ and $|U(T_{3,k}(z)) - U(z)| \leq 1$ on $(\sum (s_{3,j} + s'_{3,j})) - ((E_{3,k} + E_{3,k'}) \cap (\sum (s_{3,j} + s'_{3,j})))$.

Hence $|U(T_{3,k}(z)) - U(z)| < \varepsilon'$ on $(\Gamma_3 + \Gamma'_3) \cap (E_{3,k} + E_{3,k'})$, (4)

Let $\tau_3(z)$ be the rotation about $z=0$ such that $\arg \tau_3(z) - \arg z = \frac{\pi}{2}$. Then

$\tau_3(z) = T_{3,2}T_2(z)$ for $z \in E_{3,2} + E_{3,3}$ and $\tau_3(z) = T_{3,3}T_1(z)$ for $z \in E_{3,2} + E_{3,4}$.

Now $T_{3,2}T_2(z)$ and $T_2(z)$ for $z \in E_{3,1}$ (or $E_{3,3}$) are contained in the same $E_{3,4}$ (or $E_{3,2}$), whence by (4)

$$|U(T_{3,2}T_2(z)) - U(T_2(z))| < \varepsilon' \quad \text{on} \quad (\Gamma_3 + \Gamma'_3) \cap (E_{3,1} + E_{3,3})$$

Similarly $|U(T_{3,1}T_1(z)) - U(T_1(z))| < \varepsilon' \quad \text{on} \quad (\Gamma_3 + \Gamma'_3) \cap (E_{3,2} + E_{3,4})$.

Hence by (4), (1) and (2)

$$|U(\tau_3(z)) - U(z)| < |U(T_{3,i}T_i(z)) - U(T_i(z))| + |U(T_i(z)) - U(z)| < 2\varepsilon' \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_3 + \Gamma'_3,$$

where $i=1$ for $z \in (E_{3,2} + E_{3,4})$ and $i=2$ for $z \in (E_{3,1} + E_{3,3})$.

$\hat{H}_3$ is invariant with respect to $\tau_3(z)$ and $U(\tau_3(z)) - U(z)$ is harmonic in $\hat{H}_3$.

Hence by the maximum principle

$$|U(\tau_3(z)) - U(z)| < 2\varepsilon' \quad \text{on} \quad \hat{H}_3. \quad (5)$$

Similarly $|U(\tau_{-3}(z)) - U(z)| < 2\varepsilon' \quad \text{on} \quad \hat{H}_3$.

Consider $U(T_{3,1}(z)) - U(z)$ in $\hat{H}_3$.

At first, $|U(T_{3,1}(z)) - U(z)| < \varepsilon'$ for $z \in (\Gamma_3 + \Gamma'_3) \cap (E_{3,1} + E_{3,3})$ (6)

Let z and z' be points in $E_{3,2}$ and $E_{3,4}$ such that $T_{3,1}(z) = z'$. Then there exists $\tau_2(z)$ such that $T_{3,4}(\tau_2(z)) = z'$ i.e. $T_{3,4}(\tau_2(z)) = T_{3,1}(z)$. Now $T_{3,4}(\tau_2(z))$ and $\tau_2(z)$ are contained in $E_{3,4} + E_{3,2}$ and $T_{3,4}(\tau_2(z)) = z'$. Hence

$$|T_{3,4}(\tau_2(z)) - U(\tau_2(z))| < \varepsilon' \quad \text{for} \quad z \in (\Gamma_3 + \Gamma'_3) \cap (E_{3,1} + E_{3,3}).$$

Whence by (5)

$$\begin{aligned} |U(T_{3,1}(z)) - U(z)| &< |U(T_{3,4}(\tau_2(z)) - U(\tau_2(z)))| \\ &+ |U(\tau_2(z)) - U(z)| < 3\varepsilon' \quad \text{for} \quad z \in (\Gamma_3 + \Gamma'_3) \cap (E_{3,2} + E_{3,4}) \end{aligned}$$

Hence by (6) $|U(T_{3,1}(z)) - U(z)| < 3\varepsilon'$ on $(\Gamma_3 + \Gamma'_3)$ and by the maximum principle

$$|U(T_{3,1}(z)) - U(z)| < 3\varepsilon' \quad \text{in} \quad \hat{H}_3. \quad (7)$$

Let $T_{i,j}(z)$ be the inversion with respect to $I_{i,j}$ and $\tau_i(z)(\tau_{-i}(z))$ be the rotation about $z=0$ such that $\arg \tau_i(z) - \arg z = \frac{2\pi}{2^i} \left(\arg \tau_{-i}(z) - z = -\frac{2\pi}{2^i} \right)$. Then

$$|U(\tau_i(z)) - U(z)| < (2i-2)\varepsilon' \quad \text{on} \quad \hat{H}_i, \quad (8)$$

$$|U(\tau_{-i}(z)) - U(z)| < (2i-2)\varepsilon' \quad \text{on} \quad \hat{H}_i,$$

$$|U(T_{i,j}(z)) - U(z)| < (2i-1)\varepsilon' \quad \text{on} \quad \hat{H}_i. \quad (9)$$

Proof. Assume $|U(\tau_s(z)) - U(z)| < 2(s-1)\varepsilon'$,
 $|U(T_{s,j}(z)) - U(z)| < (2s-1)\varepsilon'$ on $\hat{H}_s (s=1, 2, \dots, i)$.

Then we shall prove that the above inequalities hold for $i+1$,
 Similarly as (6)

$$|U(T_{i+1,j}(z)) - U(z)| < \varepsilon' \quad \text{on} \quad (\Gamma_{i+1} + \Gamma'_{i+1}) \cap (E_{i+1,j} + E_{i+1,j+2^i}). \quad (10)$$

Let $\tau_{i+1}(z)$ be the rotation about $z=0$ such that $\arg \tau_{i+1}(z) - \arg z = \frac{2\pi}{2^{i+1}}$. Since $I_1 + I_{2,1} + (I_{3,1} + I_{3,2}) + (I_{4,1} + I_{4,2} + I_{4,3} + I_{4,4}) + \dots + (I_{i+1,1} + I_{i+1,2} + \dots + I_{i+1,2^{i-1}})$ separates H_{i+1} into 2^{i+1} parts, for any $z \in (\Gamma_{i+1} + \Gamma'_{i+1})$ there exist $T_{s,t} (s \leq i+1)$ and $T_{i+1,j}(z)$ such that $\tau_{i+1}(z) = T_{i+1,j} T_{s,t}(z)$ and both $T_{i+1,j} T_{s,t}(z)$ and $T_{s,t}(z)$ are contained in the same $E_{i+1,j}$. Hence $|U(\tau_{i+1}(z)) - U(z)| < |U(T_{i+1,j} T_{s,t}(z)) - U(T_{s,t}(z))| + |U(T_{s,t}(z)) - U(z)| \leq 2i\varepsilon'$ on $(\Gamma_{i+1} + \Gamma'_{i+1}) \cap F_{s,k}$ by (9) and (10). $\hat{H}_{i+1}$ is invariant with respect to $\tau_{i+1}(z)$ and $U(\tau_{i+1}(z)) - U(z)$ is harmonic in $\hat{H}_{i+1}$, whence by the maximum principle

$$|U(\tau_{i+1}(z)) - U(z)| < 2i\varepsilon' \quad \text{on} \quad \hat{H}_{i+1}. \quad (11)$$

Similarly $|U(\tau_{i+1}(z)) - U(z)| < 2i\varepsilon' \quad \text{on} \quad \hat{H}_{i+1}$.

Let z and z' be points such that $T_{i+1,1}(z) = z'$. If z and z' are contained in $E_{i+1,1}$, $|U(T_{i+1,1}(z)) - U(z)| < \varepsilon'$ by (10) on $(\Gamma_{i+1} + \Gamma'_{i+1}) \cap E_{i+1,1}$. If z and z' are not contained in $E_{i+1,1}$, there exists a $\tau_s(z) (\tau_s(z)) (s \leq i+1)$ such that $\tau_s(z)$ and z are contained in the same $E_{i+1,k}$ and $T_{i+1,k}(\tau_s(z)) = z' = T_{i+1,1}(z)$. Hence $|U(T_{i+1,1}(z)) - U(z)| = |U(T_{i+1,k}(\tau_s(z)) - U(z))| \leq |U_{i+1,k}(\tau_s(z)) - U(\tau_s(z))| + |U(\tau_s(z)) - U(z)| < (2i+1)\varepsilon' = (2i+1)\varepsilon'$ on $(\Gamma_{i+1} + \Gamma'_{i+1}) \cap E_{i+1,k}$. $\hat{H}_{i+1}$ has symmetric structure with respect to $I_{i+1,1}$ and $U(T_{i+1,1}(z)) - U(z)$ is harmonic, whence by the maximum principle

$$|U(T_{i+1,1}(z)) - U(z)| < (2i+1)\varepsilon' \quad \text{on} \quad \hat{H}_{i+1}.$$

Similarly

$$|U(T_{i+1,j}(z)) - U(z)| < (2i+1)\varepsilon' \quad \text{on} \quad H_{i+1,1}, \\ (i=1, 2, 3, \dots, m) \quad \text{and} \quad j=1, 2, \dots, 2^m$$

Thus (8) and (9) are valid for every i and j . By $H \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^m \hat{H}_i$

$$|U(T_{i,j}(z)) - U(z)| < 2m\varepsilon' = \frac{\varepsilon}{4} : z \in H \quad \text{for} \quad i=1, 2, \dots, m, \quad j=1, 2, \dots, 2^m. \quad (12)$$

Put $\theta_0 = \frac{2\pi}{2^{m+2}}$, $\theta_k = \frac{2\pi}{2^m}k - \frac{2\pi}{2^{m+1}}$: $k=1, 2, \dots, 2^m$ and $r = \exp \frac{\alpha}{2} \left(\Gamma : |z| = \exp \frac{\alpha}{2} \right)$

Then there exists $T_{i,j}(z)$ such that $T_{i,j}(re^{i\theta_k}) = re^{i\theta_0}$.

Hence $|U(re^{i\theta_k}) - U(re^{i\theta_0})| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}. \quad (13)$

Next for every point z on Γ , there exists a point $re^{i\theta_k}$ such that

$$|\arg z - \theta_k| < \frac{2\pi}{2^m}.$$

On the other hand, $U(z)$ is harmonic in the ring $H: \exp \frac{\alpha}{3} < |z| < \exp \frac{2\alpha}{3}$. Hence

$$|U(z') - U(z'')| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \text{ for } |\arg z' - \arg z''| < \frac{2\pi}{2^m} \text{ and } |z'| = |z''| = \exp \frac{\alpha}{2}.$$

Thus by (13)

$$\max_{z \in \Gamma} U(z) - \min_{z \in \Gamma} U(z) < \varepsilon,$$

and G.R. is a concentrating ring C.R. (α, ε) .

Let R be a Riemann surface with compact relative boundary ∂R_0 and let $\{R_n\}$ be an exhaustion of R with compact relative boundary ∂R_n ($n=1, 2, \dots$). $R - R_n$ is composed of a finite number of non compact domains $G_{n,i}: i=1, 2, \dots, i(n)$. We make an ideal boundary component p correspond to $\{G_{1,i_1}, G_{2,i_2}, G_{3,i_3}, \dots\}: G_{n,i_n} \supset G_{n+1,i_{n+1}}$, where $G_{1,i_1} \supset G_{2,i_2} \supset \dots$ and $\partial G_{n,i}$ is compact and contained in ∂R_n . For any given $G_{n,i}$, if there exists a number j_0 such that $p_j \in G_{n,i}$ for $j \geq j_0$, we say that a sequence $\{p_j\}$ ($j=1, 2, \dots$) converges to p . Let $U(z)$ be a harmonic function in $R - R_1$ such that $U(z)$ has M.D.I. over $R - R_1$ among all harmonic functions with value $U(z)$ on ∂R_1 , we say that $U(z)$ is $\bar{h}$ armonic in $R - R_1$.

Lemma 1. a). Suppose N -Martin's topology is defined in $R - R_0$ and the distance $\delta(p, q)$ is defined as

$$\delta(p, q) = \sup_{z \in R_1} \left| \frac{N(z, p)}{1 + N(z, p)} - \frac{N(z, q)}{1 + N(z, q)} \right|.$$

Let p be an ideal boundary component such that $p = (G_{1,i_1}, G_{2,i_2}, \dots)$. If for any $\bar{h}$ armonic function¹⁾ $U(z)$ in $R - R_1$ $|\max_{z \in \partial G_{n',i}} U(z) - \min_{z \in \partial G_{n',i}} U(z)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, there exists only one ideal boundary point of N -Martin's topology on p .

b). Suppose that there exists only one boundary point of N -Martin's topology on a boundary component $p = \{G_{1,i_1}, G_{2,i_2}, \dots\}$, then for any given number l , there exists a number m such that $v_l(p) \supset G_{m,i_m}$, where $v_l(p) = E \left[z \in \bar{R}: \delta(z, p) < \frac{1}{l} \right]$.

1) Let $U(z)$ be a harmonic function in $R - R_1$. If $U(z)$ has minimal Dirichlet integral among all harmonic functions with value $U(z)$ on ∂R_1 , we say that $U(z)$ is $\bar{h}$ armonic in $R - R_1$.

Proof of a). Let $U_n(z)$ be a harmonic function in $R_n - R_2$ such that $U_n(z) = U(z)$ on ∂R_2 and $\frac{\partial}{\partial n} U_n(z) = 0$ on ∂R_n . Then by the maximum principle

$$\max_{z \in \partial G_m, i_m} U_n(z) \geq \sup_{z \in G_m, i_m} U_n(z) \geq \inf_{z \in G_m, i_m} U_n(z) \geq \min_{z \in \partial G_m, i_m} U_n(z) \quad \text{for } n \geq m.$$

By Lemma 1 of $P^{2)}$ $U_n(z) \Rightarrow U(z)$, hence

$$\max_{z \in \partial G_m, i_m} U(z) \geq \sup_{z \in G_m, i_m} U(z) \geq \inf_{z \in G_m, i_m} U(z) \geq \min_{z \in \partial G_m, i_m} U(z).$$

Hence by the assumption $U(z)$ converges as $z \rightarrow p$. Assume that there exist two points p and q of N -Martin's boundary points on p . Then we can find a point z_0 in R_1 such that $N(z_0, p) \neq N(z_0, q)$. Let $\{p_i\}$ and $\{q_i\}$ be fundamental sequences determining p and q respectively. Then both $\{p_i\}$ and $\{q_i\} \rightarrow p$. By $N(z_0, p_i) = N(p_i, z_0)$ and $N(z_0, q_i) = N(q_i, z_0)$ we consider $N(p_i, z_0)$ and $N(q_i, z_0)$ instead of $N(z_0, p_i)$ and $N(z_0, q_i)$ respectively. $N(z, z_0) < M < \infty$ in $R - R_2$ and harmonic in $R - R_2$. Hence $N(p_i, z_0) \rightarrow N(p, z_0) = N(q, z_0) \leftarrow N(q_i, z)$ by the assumption, whence $N(z_0, p) = N(z_0, q)$. This is a contradiction. Hence only one point of N -Martin's topology exists on p .

Proof of b). Assume that $b)$ is false. We can find a sequence $\{p_i\}$ such that $p_i \notin v_i(p)$ and $p_i \rightarrow p$. This means that $G_{i, i_1}, G_{2, i_2}, \dots$ has at least one point of N -Martin's point outside of $v_i(p)$. This contradicts that $\{G_{i_1, 1}, G_{2, i_2}, \dots\}$ has only one point of N -Martin's topology. Hence we have $b)$.

Example 1. Let $1 = r_1 < r_2 < r_3, \dots, \lim_n r_n = 2$. Let A_n and B_n be simple rings cited below:

$$A_n: r_{2n+1} < |z| < r_{2n+2}: \alpha_n = \log \frac{r_{2n+2}}{r_{2n+1}}$$

$$B_n: r_{2n+2} < |z| < r_{2n+3}: \beta_n = \log \frac{r_{2n+3}}{r_{2n+2}}$$

We make a concentrating ring C.R. $(\alpha_n, \frac{1}{n}) = A_n^*$ from A_n .

Let $s_{n,j}$ be slits in B_n such that

$$\exp\left(\log r_{2n+2} + \frac{1}{4}\beta_n\right) < |z| < \exp\left(\log r_{2n+2} + \frac{3}{4}\beta_n\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \arg z = \frac{2\pi}{2^{m_n}} j: \\ j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 2^{m_n} - 1,$$

where m_n is a number such that every positive harmonic function ≤ 1 in B_n vanishing on $\sum_j s_{n,j}$ must satisfy

$$U(z) < \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{on } |z| = (r_{2n+2}, r_{2n+3})^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (14)$$




Fig. 5.

2) We abbreviate the previous paper "Potentials on Riemann surfaces" by P .

Denote by B_n^* the ring B_n with slits $\sum_j s_{n,j}$ and put

$$R_n(A_n^*) = A_1^* + B_1^* + A_2^* + \cdots + B_{n-1}^* + A_n^*,$$

$$R_n(B_n^*) = R(A_n^*) + B_n^*. \quad \text{Put } R = \bigcup_1^\infty R_n(A_n^*) = \bigcup_1^\infty R_n(B_n^*).$$

$R - R_n(A_n^*)$ is a non compact domain and $\{R - R_n(A_n^*)\}: n=1,2,\dots$ determines an ideal boundary component p and R has the following properties:

- a). *There exists only one point p of N -Martin's boundary point on p .*
- b). *$\omega(p, z) > 0$, i.e. p is a singular point.*
- c). *$w(p, z) = 0$, i.e. p is a singular point of first kind.*

Proof of a). Let $U(z)$ be a harmonic function in $R - R_2(A_2^*)$ such that $0 < U(z) < M$. Then since A_n^* is a concentrating ring,

$$\left| \max_{z \in \partial A_{n+1}^*} U(z) - \min_{z \in \partial A_{n+1}^*} U(z) \right| < \left| \max_{|z|=\sqrt{r_{2n+1} \cdot r_{2n+2}}} U(z) - \min_{|z|=\sqrt{r_{2n+1} \cdot r_{2n+2}}} U(z) \right| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence by Lemma 1. a) we have a).

Proof of b). By Lemma 1. b) there exists a number n such that $\nu_l(p) \supset R - R_n(A_n^*)$, where $\nu_l(p) = E\left[z \in \bar{R}: \delta(z, p) < \frac{1}{l}\right]$. Let $\omega_n(z)$ be a harmonic function in $R_n(A_n^*)$ such that $\omega_n(z) = 0$ on $C = E[z: |z| = 1]$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial n} \omega_n(z) = 0$ on $\sum_{i,j} s_{i,j}$ contained in $R_n(A_n^*)$ and $\omega_n(z) = 1$ on $\partial(R_n(A_n^*))$. Then by the Dirichlet principle

$$D(w(\nu_l(p), z)) \geq D(\omega_n(z)),$$

where $\omega(\nu_l(p), z)$ is C.P. of $\nu_l(p)$.

Clearly $\omega_n(z) = \frac{\log |z|}{\log r_{2n+1}}$, since $\sum s_{i,j}$ are radial slits. Hence

$$\frac{2\pi}{\log 2} = \lim_n D(\omega_n(z)) \leq \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} D(\nu_l(p), z) = D(\omega(p, z)).$$

Thus p is a singular point.

Proof of c). Let $w_n(z)$ be a harmonic function in $R_n(A_n^*)$ such that $w_n(z) = 0$ on $C + \sum s_{i,j}$ and $w_n(z) = 1$ on $\partial(R_n(A_n^*))$. Then $w(\nu_l(p), z) \leq w_n(z)$, where $w(\nu_l(p), z)$ is H.M. (harmonic measure) of $\nu_l(p)$ and $\nu_l(p) \subset (R - R_n(A_n^*))$. Consider $w_n(z)$ in B_{m-1}^* . Then by (14)

$$w_n(z) \leq \frac{1}{m-1} \quad \text{on } |z| = (r_{2m}, r_{2m+1})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Hence by the maximum principle $w_n(z) \leq \frac{1}{m-1}$ on $R_n(A_{m-1}^*)$. Let $l \rightarrow \infty$ and then $n \rightarrow \infty$ and then $m \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$w(p, z) \leq \lim w_n(z) = 0 \quad \text{in } R.$$

Thus p is a singular point of first kind.

Remark. In Example 1, if we do not make slits s_{ij} in B_n , i.e. B_n has no slits and B_n is a simple ring. Then it is easily seen that $\omega(p, z) = w(p, z)$. Hence in this case p is a singular point of second kind.

Example 2. Let $1 = r_1 < r_2 < r_3, \dots, \lim_n r_n = 2$. Let A_n and B_n be simple rings:




Fig. 6.

$$A_n: r_{2n+1} < |z| < r_{2n+2}, \quad \alpha_n = \log \frac{r_{2n+2}}{r_{2n+1}}$$

$$B_n: r_{2n+2} \leq |z| < r_{2n+3}, \quad \beta_n = \log \frac{r_{2n+3}}{r_{2n+2}}$$

Let A_n^* be the same concentrating ring C.R. $(\alpha_n, \frac{1}{n})$ defined in Example 1. Let $C_{B,n}$ and $C'_{B,n}$ be circles in B_n cited below:

$$C_{B_n}: |z| = \exp \left(\log r_{2n+2} + \frac{1}{4} \beta_n \right), \quad C'_{B_n}: |z| = \exp \left(\log r_{2n+2} + \frac{3}{4} \beta_n \right).$$

Put $R = A_1^* + B_1 + A_2^* + \dots$. Let $\omega(s_n, z)$ be C.P. of s_n in R , i.e. $\omega(s_n, z)$ is a harmonic function in R such that $\omega(s_n, z) = 0$ on $|z| = 1$, $\omega(s_n, z) = 1$ on s_n and has M.D.I. over R , where s_n is a slit in B_n :

$$s_n: r_{2n+2} \exp \left(\frac{\beta_n}{2} - t_n \right) < |z| < r_{2n+2} \exp \left(\frac{\beta_n}{2} + t_n \right), \quad \arg z = 0.$$

Clearly $\omega(s_n, z) \downarrow 0$ as $t_n \rightarrow 0$, i.e. as the length of $s_n \rightarrow 0$. Hence there exists a number m_n such that $\omega(s_n, z) < \frac{1}{2^{n+2}}$ on $C_{B_n} + C'_{B_n}$ for $t \leq m_n$. Put $t_n = m_n$. Then $\omega(s_n, z) < \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$ on $C_{B_n} + C'_{B_n}$ and by the maximum principle

3) See "Potentials on Riemann surfaces".

$$\omega(s_n, z) < \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \quad \text{in } R - B_n. \quad (15)$$

In every B_n we make a slit s_n mentioned above and denote by B_n^* the B_n with a slit s_n .

Put $R(A_n^*) = A_1^* + B_1^* + \dots + B_{n-1}^* + A_n^*$ and $R(B_n^*) = R(A_n^*) + B_n^*$ and $R^* = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} R(A_n^*)$.

Let $\hat{B}_n^*$ be a ring with one slit s_n which is symmetric to B_n^* with respect to the imaginary axis. Let $\tilde{A}_n^*$ be the ring which is identical to A_n^* .

Put $\hat{R}(\hat{A}_n^*) = \hat{A}_1^* + \hat{B}_1^* + \hat{A}_2^* + \dots + \hat{B}_{n-1}^* + \hat{A}_n^*$ and $\hat{R}(\hat{B}_n^*) = \hat{R}(\hat{A}_n^*) + \hat{B}_n^*$ and $\hat{R}^* = \bigcup \hat{R}(\hat{A}_n^*)$.

Connect R and $\hat{R}$ by identifying two edges of s_n and $\hat{s}_n$ ($n=1, 2, \dots$). Then we have a Riemann surface $\mathfrak{R}$ which is symmetric with respect to $\sum s_n$. Then $\mathfrak{R}$ has the following properties:

- a) $\mathfrak{R} \in H_0.2.B$ and $H_0.2.D$.⁴⁾
- b) $\mathfrak{R}$ has no irregular points for the Green's function of $\mathfrak{R}$ and $\mathfrak{R}$ has no N -minimal harmonic function $N(z, p)$ such that $\sup_{z \in R} N(z, p) = \infty$ and $p \in B$ (B is the set of boundary point of $\mathfrak{R}$).
- c) $\mathfrak{R}$ has two singular N -minimal points of second kind, which form the whole set of N -minimal boundary points and $\mathfrak{R}$ has non N -minimal points.

Proof of a). Let $\Gamma(A_n^*)$ be a circle in A_n^* such that

$$|z| = (r_{2n+1}, r_{2n+2})^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Let Γ_0 be the compact relative boundary of $\mathfrak{R}$ on $|z|=1$.

Let $U(z)$ be a bounded harmonic function in $\mathfrak{R} - \sum s_n$ such that $U(z)=0$ on $\Gamma_0 + \hat{\Gamma}_0$ and $|U(z)| \leq M$. Put $a_n = \max_{z \in \Gamma(A_n^*)} U(z)$ and $b_n = \min_{z \in \Gamma(A_n^*)} U(z)$. Then since A_n^* is a concentrating ring,

$$0 \leq a_n - b_n \leq \frac{M}{n} \quad (16)$$

We show $\lim_n a_n$ and $\lim_n b_n$ exist and $\lim_n a_n = \lim_n b_n$.

Assume $\varlimsup_u a_n = a' > \varliminf_n a_n = a''$. Let $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{a' - a''}{10}$. Since $\varlimsup_n a_n = a'$ and $\varliminf_n a_n = a''$, there exist numbers n' , n'' and n''' such that

$$|a' - a_{n'}| < \varepsilon, |a'' - a_{n''}| < \varepsilon, |a' - a_{n'''}| < \varepsilon \text{ and } n' < n'' < n''' \text{ and } \frac{M}{2^{n'-1}} < \frac{4M}{n} < \varepsilon. \quad (17)$$

4) We denote by $H_0.2.B.(H_0.2.D.)$ the class of Riemann surfaces with compact relative boundaries ∂R_0 such that there exist 2 linearly independent bounded (Dirichlet bounded) harmonic functions vanishing on ∂R_0 .

Let $(\Gamma(A_n^*), \Gamma(A_{n'''}^*))$ be the part of R^* bounded by $\Gamma(A_n^*) + \Gamma(A_{n'''}^*) + \sum_{i=n'}^{n'''-1} s_i$. Let $U'(z)$ be a harmonic function in $(\Gamma(A_n^*), \Gamma(A_{n'''}^*))$ such that $U'(z) = b_{n'}$ on $\Gamma(A_n^*)$, $U'(z) = 0$ on $\sum_{i=n'}^{n'''-1} s_i$ and $U'(z) = b_{n'''}$ on $\Gamma(A_{n'''}^*)$. Let $\omega(s_n, z)$ be C.P. of s_n relative $R = A_1^* + B_1 + A_2^* + B_2, \dots$. Then

$$U(z) \geq U'(z) - M \sum_{i=n'}^{n'''-1} \omega(s_i, z). \quad (18)$$

Now by (15)

$$M \sum_{i=n'}^{n'''-1} \omega(s_i, z) < \frac{M}{2^{n'+1}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \dots \right) \leq \frac{M}{2^{n'}} \text{ on } (\Gamma(A_n^*), \Gamma(A_{n'''}^*)) - \sum_{i=n'}^{n'''-1} B_n.$$

Hence by (17)

$$\begin{aligned} U(z) &\geq \min \left(b_{n'} - \frac{M}{2^{n'}}, b_{n'''} - \frac{M}{2^{n'}} \right) \\ &\geq \min \left(a_{n'} - \frac{M}{n} - \frac{M}{2^{n'}}, a_{n'''} - \frac{M}{n} - \frac{M}{2^{n'}} \right) \geq a' - \varepsilon - \frac{M}{n} \text{ on } \Gamma(A_{n'''}^*). \end{aligned}$$

Whence $(a'' + \varepsilon > a_{n'''} > a_{n''}) b_{n''} = \min_{\Gamma(A_{n'''}^*)} U(z) > a' - 2\varepsilon$. This contradicts (17). Hence $a' = a''$ and $\lim_n a_n$ exists. Similarly $\lim_n b_n$ exists and by (16) $\lim_n a_n = \lim_n b_n$.

Put $\mathfrak{R}_{n,n+i} = R(A_n^*) + \hat{R}(\hat{A}_{n+i}^*)$ (see Fig. 6). Then $\mathfrak{R}_{n,n+i}$ is bounded by $\hat{\Gamma}_0 + \Gamma_0 + \sum_{i=n}^{n+i-1} s_i + \Gamma(A_n^*) + \hat{\Gamma}(\hat{A}_{n+i}^*)$. Let $\omega_{n,n+i}(z)$ be a harmonic function in $\mathfrak{R}_{n,n+i}$ such that $\omega_{n,n+i}(z) = 0$ on $\Gamma_0 + \hat{\Gamma}_0$, $\omega_{n,n+i}(z) = 1$ on $\Gamma(A_n^*)$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial n} \omega_{n,n+i}(z) = 0$ on $\hat{\Gamma}(\hat{A}_{n+i}^*) + \sum_{i=n}^{n+i-1} s_i$. Let $\tilde{\omega}_n(z)$ be a harmonic function in $R^*(A_n)$ such that $\tilde{\omega}_n(z) = 1$ on Γ_0 , $\tilde{\omega}_n(z) = 1$ on $\Gamma(A_n^*)$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial n} \tilde{\omega}_n(z) = 0$ on $\sum_{i=n}^n s_i$. Then $\tilde{\omega}_n(z) = \frac{\log |z|}{\frac{1}{2} \log r_{2n+1} r_{2n+2}}$ and

$$D(\omega_{n,n+i}(z)) \geq D(\tilde{\omega}_n(z)) \geq \frac{2\pi}{\log 2}. \quad (19)$$

Let $i \rightarrow \infty$ and then $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\omega_{n,n+i}(z) \Rightarrow \omega_n(z)$ and $\omega_n(z) \Rightarrow$ C.P. determined by a sequence $\{R^* - R(A_n^*)\}$ ($n=1,2,\dots$) which we denote by $\omega(B, z)$. Then by (19) $\omega(B, z) > 0$. Consider $\omega_{n,n+i}(z)$ in $\hat{R}(\hat{A}_{n+i}^*)$. Now $\frac{\partial}{\partial n} \omega_{n,n+i}(z) = 0$ on $\hat{\Gamma}(\hat{A}_{n+i}^*)$ and < 1 on $\sum s_i$ and $\omega_{n,n+i}(z) = 0$ on $\hat{\Gamma}_0$. Let $\hat{\omega}(s_m, z)$ be C.P. of s_m in $\hat{R}$. Then $\hat{\omega}_{n+i}(s_m, z) \rightarrow \omega(s_m, z)$ as $n+i \rightarrow \infty$, where $\hat{\omega}_{n+i}(s_m, z)$ is a harmonic function in $\hat{R}_{n+i}$ (symmetric surface of R_{n+i}) such that $\hat{\omega}_{n+i}(s_m, z)$

$=1$ on s_m , $\hat{\omega}_{n+i}(s_m, z)=0$ on $\hat{\Gamma}_0$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial n}\hat{\omega}_{n+i}(s_m, z)=0$ on $\hat{\Gamma}(\hat{A}_{n+i}^*)$. Hence by the maximum principle

$$\omega_{n, n+i}(z) \leq \sum_{m=1}^{n+i} \hat{\omega}_{n+i}(s_m, z) \text{ in } \hat{R}(\hat{A}_{n+i}^*).$$

Let $i \rightarrow \infty$ and then $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$\omega(B, z) \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \hat{\omega}_{n+1}(s_m, z) \text{ in } \hat{R}^*.$$

By (15) $\omega(B, z) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{\omega}(s_m, z) \leq \frac{1}{2^2}$ on $\hat{\Gamma}(\hat{A}_n^*)$. Since $\omega(B, z) \leq 1$ in $\hat{R}^*$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega(B, z) \text{ exists and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega(B, z) \leq \frac{1}{4} \text{ i.e. } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{z \in \hat{\Gamma}(\hat{A}_n^*)} \omega(B, z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min_{z \in \hat{\Gamma}(\hat{A}_n^*)} \omega(B, z) \leq \frac{1}{4}.$$

Now $\omega(B, z) > 0$ implies $\sup \omega(B, z) = 1$ by P.C.2.⁵⁾ Consider $\omega(B, z)$ in $R^*(A_n^*) + \hat{R}^*(\hat{A}_n^*)$. Then $\omega(B, z) \leq \max_{z \in (\Gamma(A_n^*) + \hat{\Gamma}(\hat{A}_n^*))} \omega(B, z)$ in $R^*(A_n^*) + \hat{R}^*(\hat{A}_n^*)$. Hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{z \in \Gamma(A_n^*)} \omega(B, z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min_{z \in \Gamma(A_n^*)} \omega(B, z) = 1$, since A_n^* is C.R. $(\alpha, \frac{1}{n})$.

Let $\omega(\hat{B}, z)$ be C.P. of the ideal boundary determined by the sequence $\{\hat{R}^* - \hat{R}^*(A_n^*)\}$ similarly as $\omega(B, z)$. Then

$$\omega(B, z) \neq \omega(\hat{B}, z).$$

If we denote $\lim_{z \in \Gamma(A_n^*)} \omega(B, z)$ and $\lim_{z \in \hat{\Gamma}(\hat{A}_n^*)} \omega(B, z)$ by values of $\omega(B, z)$ at B and $\hat{B}$ respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{value of } \omega(B, z) \text{ at } B &= \text{value of } \omega(\hat{B}, z) \text{ at } \hat{B} = 1 \\ \text{value of } \omega(B, z) \text{ at } \hat{B} &= \text{value of } \omega(\hat{B}, z) \text{ at } B \leq \frac{1}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $U(z)$ be a harmonic function in $\Re$ such that $U(z)=0$ on $\Gamma_0 + \hat{\Gamma}_0$ and $|U(z)| \leq M$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{z \in \Gamma(A_n^*)} U(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min_{z \in \Gamma(A_n^*)} U(z)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{z \in \hat{\Gamma}(\hat{A}_n^*)} U(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min_{z \in \hat{\Gamma}(\hat{A}_n^*)} U(z)$ which we denote by α and $\hat{\alpha}$ respectively. Then it is proved as before that there exist two constant β and $\hat{\beta}$ such that

$$U(z) = \beta \omega(B, z) + \hat{\beta} \omega(\hat{B}, z).$$

Hence $\Re \in H_{0.2.B}$, because $\omega(B, z)$ and $\omega(\hat{B}, z)$ are linearly independent.

Map the universal covering surface $\Re^\infty$ of $\Re$ onto $|\xi| < 1$. Suppose

5) See the properties of capacity potentials and harmonic measures of "Potentials on Riemann Surfaces".

$U(z) \in H_0.D$ ($H_0.D$ means the class of Dirichlet bounded harmonic functions vanishing on $\Gamma_0 + \hat{\Gamma}_0$). Then $U(z)$ is Poisson's integrable. Hence $U(z)$ must be a linear form of $\omega(B, z)$ and $\omega(\hat{B}, z)$. Hence $\Re \in H_0.2.D$, because $\omega(B, z)$ and $\omega(\hat{B}, z) \in H_0.D$ and linearly independent.

Proof of b and c). N -Martin's topology can be defined in $\Re$. Let B_N the ideal boundary points $\Re$ with respect to N -Martin's topology. Let $\omega_n(z)$ be a harmonic function in $\hat{R}(\hat{A}_n^*) + R(A_n^*)$ such that $\omega_n(z) = 0$ on $\Gamma_0 + \hat{\Gamma}_0$ and $\omega_n(z) = 1$ on $\Gamma(A_n^*) + \hat{\Gamma}(A_n^*)$. Then $\lim_n \omega_n(z) = \omega(B_N, z) = w(B_N, z)$, where $\omega(B_N, z)$ and $w(B_N, z)$ are C.P. and H.M. of B_N .

Clearly $\omega_n(z) \geq \omega(B, z)$ and $\geq \omega(\hat{B}, z)$. Hence for any given positive number ε there exists a number n_0 such that $\omega(B, z) > 1 - \varepsilon$ on $\Gamma(A_n^*)$ and $\omega(B, z) > 1 - \varepsilon$ on $\hat{\Gamma}(\hat{A}_n^*)$ for $n \geq n_0$. Hence by the minimum principle $\omega_n(z) > 1 - \varepsilon$ on $\Re - (R(A_n^*) + \hat{R}(\hat{A}_n^*))$ and $\omega(B_N, z) = w(B_N, z) > 1 - \varepsilon$ in $\Re - (R(A_n^*) + \hat{R}(\hat{A}_n^*))$, whence every point of B_N is regular for the Green's function. Hence by Theorem 11. b) of the previous paper "Singular Points of Riemann Surfaces" there exists no N -minimal function $N(z, p)$ such that $\sup_{z \in R} N(z, p) = \infty$ by $\Re \in H_0.2.D$ and by Theorem 16. c) of the same paper B_N has two singular points of second kind, because $\Re \in H_0.2.D$. Let $\{p_i\}$ be a sequence contained in $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s_i$ such that $\{N(z, p_i)\}$ converges uniformly to $N(z, p)$. By the symmetry of $\Re$, $N(z, p) = c(\omega(B, z) + \omega(\hat{B}, z))$, where c is a constant. $\omega(B, z) + \omega(\hat{B}, z)$ is not N -minimal, since $(\omega(B, z) + \omega(\hat{B}, z)) - \omega(B, z)$ and $\omega(B, z)$ are superharmonic in $\Re$. Hence $N(z, p)$ is non N -minimal, whence $\{p_i\}$ determines a non N -minimal point and $\Re$ has non minimal points.

2. Intense connection. Let J be a simple ring: $1 < |z| < \exp \alpha$ and s_j be slits:

$$s_j: \exp \frac{\alpha}{4} < |z| < \exp \frac{3\alpha}{4}, \arg z = \frac{2\pi(j-1)}{2m}, j=1, 2, \dots, 2m.$$

Let $J(s)$ be the ring J with slits $\sum s_j$ and let $\hat{J}(s)$ be an identical exemplar as $J(s)$. Connect $\hat{J}(s)$ and $J(s)$ crosswise on $\sum s_j$. Then we have a two-sheeted Riemann surface $R = J(s) + \hat{J}(s)$. Let $U(z)$ be a harmonic function in R and let $P(z)$ be the transformation in R such that $P(z)$ and z have the same projection. Then $U(P(z)) - U(z)$ is harmonic in R and vanishes on branch points (endpoints of $\sum s_j$). If $|U(P(z)) - U(z)| < M$ in R , then there exists a constant $\lambda < 1$ depending on $\Re$ such that

$$|U(P(z)) - U(z)| < M\lambda \quad \text{on} \quad |z| = \exp \frac{\alpha}{2},$$

$$\text{if } 0 < \mathfrak{M} < \frac{\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}}{m} < 3\mathfrak{M}.$$

In the present paper we fix $\mathfrak{M}$ and suppose that the ratio $\frac{\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}}{m}$ of any intense connection used in this paper satisfies

$$\mathfrak{M} < \frac{\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}}{m} < 3\mathfrak{M}. \quad (20)$$

In fact, map R onto $0 < \operatorname{Re} \zeta < \alpha$ by $\zeta = \log z$. Assume that there exists no constant λ mentioned above, we can construct a two-sheeted Riemann surface R_i with $\left(\frac{\alpha_i}{m}\right) \rightarrow \left(\frac{\alpha}{m}\right)$: $\mathfrak{M} < \frac{\frac{\alpha_i}{2\pi}}{m} < 3\mathfrak{M}$ and we can also find a sequence of harmonic functions $U_i(z)$ on R_i (R_i varies) such that $\max |(U(P(z)) - U(z))| = M$ on $\operatorname{Re} \zeta = \frac{\alpha}{2}$. Now $U(z)$ is a normal family in $\{R_i\}$: the set of Riemann surface. Choose a subsequence $U_i(z)$ of $\{U_i(z)\}$ such that $U_i(z)$ converges uniformly to $U(z)$ such that $|U(P(z)) - U(z)| = M$ on $|z| = \exp \frac{\alpha}{2}$. Clearly $U(z)$ is a harmonic function in the limit surface $R = \lim_i R_i$ and $U(P(z)) - U(z) = 0$ on endpoints of $\sum s_j$, whence $U(z)$ is non constant. This contradicts the maximum principle. Hence

$$|U(P(z)) - U(z)| < M\lambda \quad \text{on} \quad |z| = \exp \alpha, \quad \text{if } \mathfrak{M} < \frac{\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}}{m} < 3\mathfrak{M}.$$

Intense connection α for two-sheeted Riemann surface. Let J be a simple ring: $1 < |z| < \exp \alpha$ and let m be an integer such that $\lambda^m < \varepsilon$.

Let H_i , H'_i and Γ_i , Γ'_i ($i=1, 2, \dots, m$) and Γ be rings and circles cited below:

$$H_i: \exp(i-1)\gamma < |z| < \exp i\gamma, \quad H'_i: \exp(\alpha - i\gamma) < |z| \exp(\alpha - i-1)\gamma).$$

$$\Gamma_i: |z| = \exp\left(i - \frac{1}{2}\right)\gamma, \quad \Gamma'_i: |z| = \exp\left(\alpha - \left(i - \frac{1}{2}\right)\gamma\right),$$

$$\Gamma: |z| = \exp \frac{\alpha}{2},$$

Let $s_{i,j}(s'_{i,j})$ ($j=1, 2, \dots, 2l$) be slits in $H_i(H'_i)$ such that where $\gamma = \frac{\alpha}{2m+1}$.

$$s_{i,j}: \exp\left(\left(i-1+\frac{1}{4}\right)\gamma\right)r < |z| < \exp\left(\left(i-1+\frac{3}{4}\right)\gamma\right)r, \quad \arg z = \frac{2\pi}{l}(j-1).$$

$$s'_{i,j}: \exp\left(\alpha - \left(i-1+\frac{3}{4}\right)\gamma\right)r < |z| < \exp\left(\alpha - \left(i-1+\frac{1}{4}\right)\gamma\right)r, \quad \arg z = \frac{2\pi}{l}(j-1),$$

where the ratio $\frac{\gamma}{\frac{2\pi}{l}}$ satisfies $\mathfrak{M} < \frac{\gamma}{\frac{2\pi}{l}} < 3\mathfrak{M}$.

Let $J(s)$ be the ring with slits $\sum(s_{ij} + s'_{ij})$ and let $\hat{J}(s)$ be the identical leaf as $J(s)$.

Connect $\hat{J}(s)$ and $J(s)$ crosswise on $\sum_{ij}(s_{ij} + s'_{ij})$. Then we have a two-sheeted Riemann surface $J(s) + \hat{J}(s)$.

Let $P(z)$ be the transformation in $R = J(s) + \hat{J}(s)$ such that $\text{proj } P(z) = \text{proj } z$. Then since R is invariant with respect to $P(z)$, $U(P(z)) - U(z)$ is harmonic in R and $|U(P(z)) - U(z)| < M\lambda$ on $\Gamma_1 + \Gamma'_1$, whence by the maximum principle $|U(P(z)) - U(z)| < M\lambda$ on the part of R bounded by $\Gamma_1 + \Gamma'_1$. Also $|U(P(z)) - U(z)| < M\lambda$ in $H_2 + H'_2$ implies $|U(P(z)) - U(z)| < M\lambda^2$ on the part of R bounded by $\Gamma_2 + \Gamma'_2$. In this way we have

$$|U(P(z)) - U(z)| < M\lambda^m \quad \text{on } |z| = \exp \frac{\alpha}{2}.$$

Every harmonic function in R such that $0 < U(z) < M$ has almost equal values with projectional deviation $< \frac{\varepsilon}{M}$ i.e.

$$|U(z_1) - U(z_2)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{M} \quad \text{for } \text{proj } z_1 = \text{proj } z_2 \in \Gamma.$$

Such a connection will be called an *intense connection in the ring* $1 < |z| < \exp \alpha$ with projectional deviation $< \frac{\varepsilon}{M}$.

Intense connection of 2^{q_n} number of rings with projectional deviation ε_n .




Fig. 7.

Let J be a simple ring $1 < |z| < \exp \alpha$. Let H_l, H'_l be rings $\Gamma_l, \Gamma'_l, \Gamma$ be circles ($l=1, 2, \dots, q_n$) cited belows:

$$H_l: \exp(l-1)\beta < |z| < \exp l\beta, \quad H'_l: \exp(\alpha - (l\beta)) < |z| < \exp(\alpha - (l-1)\beta),$$

$$\Gamma_l: |z| = \exp\left(l-1 + \frac{1}{2}\right)\beta, \quad \Gamma'_l: |z| = \exp\left(\alpha - \left(l-1 + \frac{1}{2}\right)\beta\right).$$

$$\Gamma: z = \exp \frac{\alpha}{2},$$

where $\beta = \frac{1}{2q_n + 1}$.

H_l and H'_l ($l=1, 2, \dots, q_n$) are conformally equivalent. In every $H_l(H'_l)$ we make slits $\sum_{j,k} (s_{lj,k} + s'_{lj,k})$ which are conformally equivalent relative




Fig. 9.

H_l (H'_l) independent of l for intense connection so that every harmonic function $0 < U(z) < M$ in $H_l + \hat{H}_l(H'_l + \hat{H}'_l)$ must have the projectional deviation ε' ($\varepsilon' = \frac{\varepsilon_n}{q_n}$) on $\Gamma_l + \Gamma'_l$, where $\hat{H}_l(H'_l)$ is the exemplar which is identical to $H_l(H'_l)$ and H_l and $\hat{H}_l(H'_l$ and $\hat{H}'_l)$ are connected crosswise on $\sum_{j,k} (s_{lj,kj} + s'_{lj,kj})$ contained in $H_l(H'_l)$. (see Fig. 9)

We denote be $I(1)$ the ring J with slits for intense connection. Let $I(2), I(3), \dots, I(2^{q_n-1})$ and $\hat{I}(1), \hat{I}(2), \hat{I}(3), \dots, \hat{I}(2^{q_n-1})$ be identical exemplars as $I(1)$.

First connection and first order group.

Connect $I(i)$ and $\hat{I}(i)$ on slits crosswise on the slits contained in $H_1 + H'_1$ as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} I(1) & I(2), \dots & \{I(i)\}, \dots & , & I(2^{q_n-1}) \\ \updownarrow & \updownarrow & \updownarrow & & \updownarrow \\ \hat{I}(1) & \hat{I}(2), \dots & \{\hat{I}(i)\}, \dots & , & \hat{I}(2^{q_n-1}). \end{array}$$

first order group.

2-nd connection and 2-nd order group.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} I(1) & I(2), \dots & \{I(2j+1) & I(2j+2)\}, \dots & I(2^{q_n-1}-1) & I(2^{q_n-1}) \\ \swarrow \searrow & & \swarrow \searrow & & \swarrow \searrow \\ \hat{I}(1) & \hat{I}(2), \dots & \{\hat{I}(2j+1) & \hat{I}(2j+2)\}, \dots & \hat{I}(2^{q_n-1}-1) & \hat{I}(2^{q_n-1}). \end{array}$$

2-nd order group

$I(2j+1)$ and $\hat{I}(2j+1)$ and $I(2j+2)$ and $\hat{I}(2j+2)$ are crosswise on the slits contained in $H_2 + H'_2$.

3-rd connection and 3-rd order group.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} I(1) & I(2) & I(3) & I(4), \dots & \{I(2j+1) & I(2j+2) & I(2j+3) & I(2j+4)\}, \dots \\ \swarrow \searrow \nearrow \nwarrow & & \swarrow \searrow \nearrow \nwarrow & & \swarrow \searrow \nearrow \nwarrow \\ \hat{I}(1) & \hat{I}(2) & \hat{I}(3) & \hat{I}(4), \dots & \{I(2j+1) & I(2j+2) & I(2j+3) & I(2j+4)\}, \dots \end{array}$$

3-rd order group

$$j=0,1,2,\dots,\frac{2^{q_n-1}-4}{4}.$$

Examplars with an arrow are connected crosswise on the slits contained in H_3 and H'_3 .

.....
.....

i -th connection and i -th order group

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ccccccc} I(2^{i-1}j+1) & I(2^{i-1}j+2), \dots & I(2^{i-1}j+2^{i-2}) & I(2^{i-1}j+2^{i-2}+1), \dots & I(2^{i-1}j+2^{i-1}) \\ \swarrow \searrow \nearrow \nwarrow & & \swarrow \searrow \nearrow \nwarrow & & \swarrow \searrow \nearrow \nwarrow \\ \hat{I}(2j+1) & \hat{I}(2j+2), \dots & \hat{I}(2j+2^{i-1}) & \hat{I}(2j+2^{i-2}+1), \dots & \hat{I}(2^{i-1}+2^{i-1}) \end{array} \right\}$$

i -th order group


$$j=0,1,2,\dots,\frac{2^{q_n-1}-2^{i-1}}{2^{i-1}}.$$

Exemplars contained in the i -th groups with an arrow are connected crosswise on the slits contained in $H_i + H'_i$.

.....

q_n -th connection and q_n -th order group

In this case q_n -th group is only one and



Exemplars with an arrow are connected crosswise on the slits contained in $H_{q_n} + H'_{q_n}$. Then we have a 2^{q_n} -sheeted covering surface R over $I(1) = J$.

Let $P_1(z)$ be the transformation such that $\text{proj } P_1(z) = \text{proj } z$ and $P(z)$ and z are contained in the exemplars with an arrow in the first connection. Then $U(P_1(z)) - U(z)$ is harmonic in R and $|U(P(z)) - U(z)| < \varepsilon'$ on $\Gamma_1 + \Gamma'_1$, whence by the maximum principle $|U(P(z)) - U(z)| < \varepsilon'$ on Γ , that is

$$|U(z') - U(z'')| < \varepsilon', \text{ if } \text{proj } z' = \text{proj } z'' \in \Gamma \text{ and } z' \in I(i) \text{ and } z'' \in I(i). \quad (21)$$

Let $P_2(z)$ be the transformation such that $\text{proj } P(z) = \text{proj } z$ and z are contained in the exemplars with an arrow in the second connection. Then as above

$$|U(z') - U(z'')| < \varepsilon' \text{ if } \text{proj } z' = \text{proj } z'' \in \Gamma \text{ and } z' \text{ and } z'' \text{ are contained in the exemplars with an arrow in the second connection.} \quad (22)$$

By (21) and (22)

$$|U(z') - U(z'')| < 2\varepsilon' : \text{proj } z' = \text{proj } z'' \in \Gamma,$$

where z' and z'' are contained in the exemplars in the same group of second order. (23)

.....

Let $P_i(z)$ be the transformation corresponding to i -th connection as above. Then

$$|U(P_i(z)) - U(z)| < \varepsilon' \text{ on } \Gamma, \text{ whence similarly as above}$$

$$|U(z') - U(z'')| < i\varepsilon' : \text{proj } z' = \text{proj } z'' \in \Gamma,$$

where z and z'' are contained in the exemplars in the same group of i -th order. Now the q_n -th group contains all exemplars. Hence

$$|U(z') - U(z'')| < q_n \varepsilon' = \varepsilon_n : \text{proj } z' = \text{proj } z'' \in \Gamma, \quad (24)$$

where z' and z'' any points of 2^{q_n} exemplars.

Thus $U(z)$ has almost equal value on $|z| = \exp \frac{\alpha}{2}$ with projectional devia

tion ε_n . We call such a connection 2^{qn} -sheets intense connection with projectional deviation ε_n .

3. Folded concentrating ring of 2^{qn} number of sheets with aberration $< \varepsilon_n$.

We shall combine the two operations: concentrating and intense connection.

Let G be a simple ring: $1 < |z| < \exp \alpha$. Let $L_1, L_2, L_3, L'_1, L'_2, L'_3$ be rings and $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3, \Gamma'_1, \Gamma'_2, \Gamma'_3$ be circles cited below:

$$L_1: 1 < |z| < \exp \beta_n, \quad L_2: \exp \beta_n < |z| < \exp 2\beta_n, \quad L_3: \exp 2\beta_n < |z| < \exp 3\beta_n.$$

$$L'_1: \exp \alpha > |z| > \exp (\alpha - \beta_n), \quad L'_2: \exp (\alpha - \beta_n) < |z| < \exp (\alpha - 2\beta_n),$$

$$L'_3: \exp (\alpha - 2\beta_n) < |z| < \exp (\alpha - 3\beta_n).$$

$$\Gamma_1: \exp \frac{\beta_n}{2} = |z|, \quad \Gamma_2: |z| = \exp \frac{3\beta_n}{2}, \quad \Gamma_3: |z| = \exp \frac{5}{2}\beta_n.$$

$$\Gamma'_1: |z| = \exp \left(\alpha - \frac{\beta_n}{2} \right), \quad \Gamma'_2: |z| = \exp \left(\alpha - \frac{3\beta_n}{2} \right), \quad \Gamma'_3: |z| = \exp \left(\alpha - \frac{5}{2}\beta_n \right),$$

where $7\beta_n < \alpha$.




Fig. 9.

In L_i and L'_i ($i=1,3$) we make slits for intense connection for 2^{qn} -exemplars with projectinal deviation $< \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2}$ on Γ_i and Γ'_i ($i=1,3$). These slits contained in L_i and L'_i must be conformally equivalent. In L_2 and L'_2 we make slits and identify their edges so that every harmonic function $|U(z)| < 1$ in L_2 (L'_2) has circular aberration $< \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2}$ on Γ_2 (Γ'_2). Hence we have a generalized ring $I(1)$ with two concentrating ring L_2 and L'_2 and has slits for intense connection for 2^{qn} -exemplars with projectional deviation $< \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2}$. Let $I(2), I(3), \dots, I(2^{qn-1}), \hat{I}(1), \hat{I}(2), \dots, I(2^{qn-1})$ be $2^{qn}-1$ number of generalized rings with slits which are identical to $I(1)$. Perform the

intense connection about $I(1), \dots, I(2^{q_n-1}), \hat{I}(1), \dots, \hat{I}(2^{q_n-1})$, i.e. they will be connected crosswise on the slits for intense connection mentioned as 2) ("Intense connection"). Then we have a covering surface of 2^{q_n} sheets over $I(1)$. We denote it by R . Then R has the following property.

Let $U(z)$ be a harmonic function in R such that $0 \leq U(z) \leq 1$. Let $P_i(z)$ be the projectional transformation such that $I(2^{i-1}j+k) \leftrightarrow \hat{I}(2j^{i-1}+2^{i-2}+k)$. Then $U(P_i(z)) - U(z)$ is harmonic in R . Then $|U(P_i(z)) - U(z)| < \varepsilon'$, $\varepsilon' = \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2^{q_n}}$ on $\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_3 (\Gamma'_1 + \Gamma'_3)$. Hence by the maximum principle $|U(P_i(z)) - U(z)| < \varepsilon'$ on $L_2 + L'_2$. Hence $U(z)$ has *projectional deviation* $< \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2}$ on $\Gamma_2 + \Gamma'_2$. Next $L_2 (L'_2)$ is a concentrating ring. $U(z)$ has *circular aberration* $< \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2}$ on $\Gamma_2 (\Gamma'_2)$. Whence we see that there exist constants α' and α'' such that

$$|U(z) - \alpha'| < \varepsilon_n \text{ for } z \in R \text{ and } \log |z| = \frac{3}{2}\beta_n, \quad (25)$$

$$|U(z) - \alpha''| < \varepsilon_n \text{ for } z \in R \text{ and } \log |z| = \alpha - \frac{3}{2}\beta_n.$$

We denote such a 2^{q_n} -sheeted covering surface over a generalized ring by $(\alpha, \beta_n, q_n, \varepsilon_n)$ and call a *folded concentrating ring*.

Lemma 2. Let S be a sector such that $1 < |z| < \exp \gamma$, $0 < \arg z < \Theta$ with a finite number of radial slits. Let $U(z)$ be a harmonic function in S with boundary values $\varphi(e^{i\theta})$ and $\varphi(re^{i\theta})$ on $|z|=1$ and $|z|=\exp \gamma=r$, where $\varphi(e^{i\theta})$ and $\varphi(re^{i\theta})$ are continuous functions of z . Then

$$D(U(z)) \geq \frac{1}{2\pi\gamma} \int_0^\Theta |\varphi(e^{i\theta}) - \varphi(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta.$$

Proof. We divide S into sufficiently narrow circular rectangles $A_j: 1 < |z| < \exp \gamma$, $\theta_j < \arg z \leq \theta_{j+1}$ ($j=1, 2, 3, \dots, m$) such that $\max_{\theta_j \leq \theta \leq \theta_{j+1}} \varphi(e^{i\theta}) - \min_{\theta_j \leq \theta \leq \theta_{j+1}} \varphi(e^{i\theta}) < \frac{1}{n}$ and $\max_{\theta_j \leq \theta \leq \theta_{j+1}} \varphi(re^{i\theta}) - \min_{\theta_j \leq \theta \leq \theta_{j+1}} \varphi(re^{i\theta}) < \frac{1}{n}$.

Let $\{A'_j\}$ and $\{A''_j\}$ be $\{A_j\}$ such that $\max_{\theta_j \leq \theta \leq \theta_{j+1}} \varphi(e^{i\theta}) \leq \min_{\theta_j \leq \theta \leq \theta_{j+1}} \varphi(re^{i\theta})$ and $\min_{\theta_j \leq \theta \leq \theta_{j+1}} \varphi(e^{i\theta}) \geq \max_{\theta_j \leq \theta \leq \theta_{j+1}} \varphi(re^{i\theta})$ respectively and let $\{A'_j\}$ be rectangles contained neither in $\{A'_j\}$ nor in $\{A''_j\}$. Suppose $A_j \in \{A'_j\}$. Let $\tilde{U}_j(z)$ be a harmonic function in A_j such that $\tilde{U}_j(z) = \max_{\theta_j \leq \theta \leq \theta_{j+1}} \varphi(e^{i\theta})$ on $|z|=1$, $\tilde{U}_j(z) = \min_{\theta_j \leq \theta \leq \theta_{j+1}} \varphi(re^{i\theta})$ on $|z|=\exp \gamma$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial n} \tilde{U}_j(z) = 0$ on two segments: $1 < |z| < \exp \gamma$, $\arg z = \theta_j$

and $1 < |z| < \exp \gamma$, $\arg z = \theta_{j+1}$ and on radial slits in A_j . Let $\tilde{U}_j(z)$ be a harmonic function in A' such that $\tilde{U}(z) = \varphi(e^{i\theta})$ on $|z| = 1$ and $\tilde{U}_j(z) = \varphi(re^{i\theta})$ on $|z| = \exp \gamma$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial n} \tilde{U}_j(z) = 0$ on two segments: $1 < |z| < \exp \gamma$, $\arg z = \theta_j$ and on $1 < |z| < \exp \gamma$, $\arg z = \theta_{j+1}$ and on radial slits contained in A_j . Then since $U_j(z) - U_f(z) \geq 0$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial n} \tilde{U}_j(z) \geq 0$ on $|z| = 1$ and $\tilde{U}_j(z) - \tilde{U}_f(z) \leq 0$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial n} U_j(z) \leq 0$ on $|z| = \exp \gamma$, we have

$$D_{A_j}(\tilde{U}_j(z), \tilde{U}_j(z) - \tilde{U}_f(z)) \geq 0, \text{ whence } D_{A_j}(\tilde{U}_j(z)) \geq D_{A_j}(\tilde{U}_f(z)).$$

Clearly

$$D(\tilde{U}_j(z)) = \frac{(\theta_{j+1} - \theta_j)}{2\pi\gamma} \left| \max_{\theta_j \leq \theta \leq \theta_{j+1}} \varphi(e^{i\theta}) - \min_{\theta_j \leq \theta \leq \theta_{j+1}} \varphi(re^{i\theta}) \right|^2.$$

On the other hand, $D_{A_j}(U(z) - \tilde{U}_j(z), \tilde{U}_j(z)) = 0$, whence $D_{A_j}(U(z)) \geq D_{A_j}(\tilde{U}_j(z))$.

$$\text{Hence } D_{A_j}(U(z)) \geq D_{A_j}(\tilde{U}_j(z)) \geq \frac{1}{2\pi\gamma} \int_{\theta_j}^{\theta_{j+1}} |\varphi(e^{i\theta}) - \varphi(re^{i\theta})|^2 - \frac{4(\theta_{j+1} - \theta_j)}{2\pi\gamma n^2}.$$

In case $A_j \in \{A_j''\}$, we have similarly the above inequality. In case $A_j \in \{A_j'''\}$, let $\tilde{U}_j(z) = 0$.

$$\text{Then } D_{A_j}(U(z)) \geq 0 \geq \frac{1}{2\pi\gamma} \int_{\theta_j}^{\theta_{j+1}} |\varphi(e^{i\theta}) - \varphi(re^{i\theta})|^2 - \frac{4(\theta_{j+1} - \theta_j)}{2\pi\gamma n^2}.$$

$$\text{Hence } D(U(z)) \geq \sum_j D(\tilde{U}_j(z)) \geq \frac{1}{2\pi\gamma} \int_0^\theta |\varphi(e^{i\theta}) - \varphi(re^{i\theta})|^2 - \frac{4\theta}{2\pi\gamma n}.$$

Let $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then we have the lemma.

Example 3. We shall construct a Riemann surface which has singular points of first kind of power of continuum.

3.1. Let A_0 be a rectangle: $0 < \operatorname{Re} z < \log 2$, $0 < \operatorname{Im} z < 2\pi$.

Let $A_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}$ ($i_1 = 1, 2$, $i_2 = 1, 2, \dots$, $i_n = 1, 2$) be a rectangle such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\log 2) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \right) &< \operatorname{Re} z < (\log 2) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} + \frac{1}{2^n} \right), \\ 2\pi \left(\frac{i_1 - 1}{2} + \frac{i_2 - 1}{2^2} + \dots + \frac{i_n - 1}{2^n} \right) &< \operatorname{Im} z < 2\pi \left(\frac{i_1 - 1}{2} + \frac{i_2 - 1}{2^2} + \dots + \frac{i_n - 1}{2^n} + \frac{1}{2^n} \right). \end{aligned}$$

For every $A_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}$ identify the two segments




Fig. 10.

$$(\log 2) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\right) < \operatorname{Re} z < (\log 2) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2^n}\right),$$

$$\operatorname{Im} z = 2\pi \left(\frac{i_1-1}{2} + \frac{i_2-1}{2^2} + \cdots + \frac{i_n-1}{2^n}\right)$$

and

$$(\log 2) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\right) < \operatorname{Re} z < (\log 2) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2^n}\right),$$

$$\operatorname{Im} z = 2\pi \left(\frac{i_1-1}{2} + \frac{i_2-1}{2^2} + \cdots + \frac{i_n-1}{2^n} + \frac{1}{2^n}\right).$$

Then $A_{i_1 \dots i_n}$ becomes a ring $A_{i_1 \dots i_n}^R$. $A_{i_1 \dots i_{n+1,1}}$ and $A_{i_1 \dots i_{n+1,2}}$ have boundary $B_{i_1 \dots i_{n-1}}$ lying on $\operatorname{Re} z = (\log 2) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^n}\right)$, $2\pi \left(\frac{i_1-1}{2} + \frac{i_2-1}{2^2} + \cdots + \frac{i_{n-1}-1}{2^{n-1}}\right) \leq \operatorname{Im} z \leq 2\pi \left(\frac{i_1-1}{2} + \frac{i_2-1}{2^2} + \cdots + \frac{i_{n-1}-1}{2^{n-1}} + \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\right)$. Connect $(A_{i_1 \dots i_{n-1,1}}^R + A_{i_1 \dots i_{n-1,2}}^R)$ with $A_{i_1, i_2 \dots i_{n-1}}^R$ on $B_{i_1 \dots i_{n-1}}$ for every $A_{i_1 \dots i_n}$: $n \leq m$. Then we have a Riemann surface A_m^R . Put $A^R = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_m^R$. Then A^R is a Riemann surface of planer character and has $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph$ number of boundary components. We call a ring $A_{i_1 \dots i_n}^R$ a ring of n -th grade. Let $A_{n\text{-th}}^R$ ($n \geq 1$) be one of n -th grade. We shall construct a generalized ring (folded concentrating ring). Every $A_{i_1 \dots i_n}^R$ is conformally equivalent to $A_{n\text{-th}}^R$. Map $A_{i_1 \dots i_n}^R$ onto ζ -plane as follows:

$$0 < \operatorname{Re} \zeta < \log 2, \quad 0 \leq \operatorname{Im} \zeta < 2\pi.$$

Let $H_1, H_2, H_3, H'_1, H'_2, H'_3$ and Γ, Γ' be rings and circle cited belows:

$$H_1: 0 < \operatorname{Re} \zeta < \beta_n. \quad H_2: \beta_n < \operatorname{Re} \zeta < 2\beta_n. \quad H_3: 2\beta_n < \operatorname{Re} \zeta < 3\beta_n.$$

$$H'_1: \log 2 > \operatorname{Re} \zeta > (\log 2) - \beta_n. \quad H'_2: (\log 2) - \beta_n > \operatorname{Re} \zeta > (\log 2) - 2\beta_n.$$

$$H'_3: (\log 2) - 2\beta_n > \operatorname{Re} \zeta > (\log 2) - 3\beta_n.$$

$$\Gamma : \operatorname{Re} \zeta = \frac{3}{2} \beta_n. \quad \Gamma' : \operatorname{Re} \zeta = (\log 2) - \frac{3}{2} \beta_n,$$

where

$$\beta_n = \frac{\log 2}{2^{2n} \times 6} \quad (26)$$

In $H_2(H'_2)$ we make slits and identify their edges so that $H_2(H'_2)$ in $A_{n_1 \dots i_n}^R$ becomes a concentrating ring $\left(\beta_n, \frac{1}{10^n}\right)$, i.e. circular aberration on $\Gamma(\Gamma') \leq \frac{1}{10^n}$ (see Fig. 10). In H_1, H_3 and H'_1, H'_3 we make slits for intense connection for 2^{q_n} sheets so that the projectional deviation $< \frac{1}{10^n}$ on $\Gamma(\Gamma')$.

When we perform the intense connection, a covering surface over $A_{i_1 \dots i_n}^R$ becomes a 2^{q_n} -folded concentrating ring $\left(\log 2, \beta_n, q_n, \frac{1}{10^n}\right)$, where $q_n = 2n$.

We remark: the ratio of slits for intense connection is between $\mathfrak{M}$ and $3\mathfrak{M}$.

Let $s_{1,j,k}$ be slits in H_1 for the intense connection (H_3, H'_1, H'_3) . Then $s_{1,j,k}$ is as follows:

let $h_{1,j}(h'_{1,j})$ be a ring

$$h_{1,j}: (j-1)\gamma \leq \operatorname{Re} \zeta \leq j\gamma, \quad 0 \leq \operatorname{Im} \zeta \leq 2\pi. \quad h'_{1,j}: \beta_n - i\gamma \leq \operatorname{Re} \zeta \leq \beta_n - (i-1)\gamma, \\ 0 \leq \operatorname{Im} \zeta \leq 2\pi, \quad \text{where } \gamma = \frac{\beta_n}{2q_n + 1}.$$

$s_{1,j,k}$ is a slit in $h_j(h'_j)$ as follows:

$$s_{1,j,k}: \left(j-1 + \frac{1}{4}\right)\gamma \leq \operatorname{Re} \zeta \leq \left(j-1 + \frac{3}{4}\right)\gamma, \quad \operatorname{Im} \zeta = 2\pi \frac{k}{l_n}: k=1, \dots, l_n (j=1, 2, \dots, q_n)$$

and the ratio $\frac{\gamma}{\frac{2\pi}{l_n}}$ satisfies $\mathfrak{M} < \frac{\gamma}{\frac{2\pi}{l_n}} < 3\mathfrak{M}$ independently of n . Especially

we observe $s_{1,1,k}$ in a ring h_1 which is used for the first intense connection, where $h_1: 0 \leq \operatorname{Re} \zeta \leq \gamma, \quad 0 \leq \operatorname{Im} \zeta \leq 2\pi. \quad s_{1,1,k}: \frac{\delta}{4} \leq \operatorname{Re} \zeta \leq \frac{3}{4}, \quad \operatorname{Im} \zeta = \frac{2\pi k}{l_n}.$ (see Fig. 11)

Put $I(1) = A_{i_1 \dots i_n}^R$ let $I(2), \dots, I(2^{q_n-1})$ and $\hat{I}(1), \hat{I}(2), \dots, \hat{I}(2^{q_n-1})$ be exemplars which are identical to $A_{i_1 \dots i_n}^R$ and perform the 2^{q_n} -intense connection by use of slits contained in H_1, H_3, H'_1 and H'_3 . Then we have a 2^{q_n} sheeted-folded concentrating ring $\left(\log 2, \beta_n, q_n, \frac{1}{10^n}\right)$. We denote it by $A_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{f,C,R}$.

All $A_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{f,C,R}$ of n -th grade folded concentrating ring are coformally equivalent to $A_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{f,C,R}$.




Fig. 11.

Let $A_{i_1, \dots, i_n}^{G,R}$ be a generalized ring with slits for intense connection and put $A_n^{G,R} = A_0^R + (A_1 + A_2) + (A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21} + A_{22}) + \dots + \underbrace{(A_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} + A_{i_1, i_n} + \dots A)}_{2^n \text{ number}}$ and $A^{G,R} = \bigcup A_n^{G,R}$.

3.2. Covering surface over $A^{G,R}$.

Put $CA_n^{G,R} = A^{G,R} - A_{n-1}^{G,R}$. Then $CA_n^{G,R}$ is composed of 2^n components, i.e.

$$CA_n^{G,R} = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} (A_{i_1 \dots i_n} + A_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n, i_{n+1}} + \dots).$$

We denote by m CA m number of exemplars which are identical to CA . Put $\mathfrak{R}^{G,R} = A_0 + (2^{q_1} - 1)CA_1^{G,R} + (2^{q_2} - 2^{q_1})CA_2^{G,R} + \dots + (2^{q_n} - 2^{q_{n-1}})CA_n^{G,R} + \dots$

Then $\mathfrak{R}^{G,R}$ is a covering surface (consisting of infinitely many disjoint components) over $A^{G,R}$. We shall construct a Riemann surface $\mathfrak{R}$ from $\mathfrak{R}^{G,R}$ by intense connection. Every $A_{i_1, \dots, i_n}^{G,R}$ (of n -th grade $A_n^{G,R}$) is covered by $\mathfrak{R}^{G,R}$ 2^{q_n} -times. We shall perform the intense connection among 2^{q_n} number of sheets over $A_{i_1, \dots, i_n}^{G,R}$ as follows:

0-th step. $I^0(1) = A_0 + \sum_{i_1} A_{i_1} + \sum_{i_1, i_2} A_{i_1, i_2} + \dots$, where A_0 is a simple ring.




Fig. 12.

First step. Put $I^1(1) = CA_0$, $I^1(2) = I^1(3) = \dots = I^1(2^{q_1-1}) = \hat{I}^1(1) = \hat{I}^1(2) = \dots = I^1(2^{q_1-1}) = I^1(1)$. $A_1^{G,R} + A_2^{G,R}$ is covered by $\sum I^1(j) + \hat{I}^1(j)$. We perform the intense connection among the above 2^{q_1} -sheeted covering surface by

the slits contained in $A_1 + A_2$ (the slits are contained in $H_1 + H'_1 + H_3 + H'_3$ of A_i (1-st grade A_i). Then we have a Riemann surface $\mathfrak{R}_1$ which has the following properties.

a). $\mathfrak{R}_1$ has the following relative boundary B_0 which lies on $\operatorname{Re} z = 0$, $0 < \operatorname{Im} z < 2\pi$ and B_1 consisting of $2^{q_1} - 1$ number of components lying on $\operatorname{Re} z = \log 2$, $0 < \operatorname{Im} z < \pi$, and B_2 consisting of $2^{q_1} - 1$ number of components lying on $\operatorname{Re} z = \log 2$, $\pi < \operatorname{Im} z < 2\pi$.

b). The part of $\mathfrak{R}_1$ lying over $\operatorname{Re} z > (\log 2)\left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\right)$ is now a generalized ring with slits for intense connection.

c). The part of $\mathfrak{R}_1$ lying over $\log 2 < \operatorname{Re} z < (\log 2)\left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\right)$ has no slits, since the intense connection is performed and the part over $A_1(A_2)$ is a folded concentrating ring $\left(\log 2, \beta_1 q_2, \frac{1}{10}\right)$.

Suppose $n-1$ -th step is performed.

n -th step is as follows: Let $I^n(i)$ be examplars cited below:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 I^n(1) & = I^{n-1}(1) \\
 \dots & \\
 \dots & \\
 \dots & \\
 \dots & \\
 I^n(2^{q_{n-1}-1}) & = I^{n-1}(2^{q_{n-1}-1}) \\
 I^n(2^{q_{n-1}-1} + 1) & = \hat{I}^{n-1}(1) \\
 \dots & \\
 \dots & \\
 I^n(2^{q_{n-1}}) & = \hat{I}^{n-1}(2^{q_{n-1}-1}) \\
 I^n(2^{q_{n-1}} + 1) & \\
 \dots & \\
 \dots & \\
 I^n(2^{q_{n-1}}) & \\
 \hat{I}^n(1) & \\
 \dots & \\
 \dots & \\
 \dots & \\
 \dots & \\
 \dots & \\
 \dots & \\
 \hat{I}^n(2^{q_{n-1}}) &
 \end{array}$$

newly added at the n -th step and $I^n(j)$ is identical to $CA_{n-1}^{G,R}$.

We perform the intense connection (for 2^{q_n} sheets) by slits contained in $A_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{G,R}$. Let $\mathfrak{R}_n$ be the above Riemann surface obtained after the intense connection and put

$$\mathfrak{R} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{R}_n.$$

a). $\mathfrak{R}_n$ has relative boundary $B_0 + (B_1 + B_2) + \dots + \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} B_{i_1 \dots i_n}$ and is open. $B_{i_1 \dots i_l}$ is composed of $2^{q_l} - 2^{q_{l-1}}$ ($l \leq n$) components lying over

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} z &= (\log 2) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^{l-2}} \right), \quad 2\pi \left(\frac{i_1 - 1}{2} + \dots + \frac{i_{l-1} - 1}{2^l} \right) \\ &< \operatorname{Im} z < 2\pi \left(\frac{i_1 - 1}{2} + \frac{i_2 - 1}{2} + \frac{i_{l-1} - 1}{2^l} + \frac{1}{2^l} \right). \end{aligned}$$

b). The part of $\mathfrak{R}_n$ lying over $\operatorname{Re} z > (\log 2) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^n} \right)$ is now a generalized ring with slits (about which the intense connection is not performed) and consists of infinitely many components.

A). We denote by $A_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{f,G,R}$ the part of $\mathfrak{R}_n$ over $A_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{G,R}$. Then $A_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{f,G,R}$ is a folded concentrating ring $\left(\log 2, \beta_n, q_n, \frac{1}{10^n} \right)$.

We map $A_{i_1 \dots i_n}$ onto $0 < \operatorname{Re} \zeta < \log 2$, $0 < \operatorname{Im} \zeta < 2\pi$ on the ζ -plane. $A_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{f,G,R}$ is a 2^{q_n} -sheeted covering surface consisting of

$$I^n(1), \dots, I(2^{q_{n-1}}), \underbrace{I^n(2^{q_{n-1}} + 1) \dots I^n(2^{q_n-1}), I^n(1) \dots, \hat{I}^n(q_{n-1})}_{N(^n I(i))}$$

of which $2^{q_n} - 2^{q_{n-1}}$ number of sheets ($N(^n I(i))$) are newly added at the n -th step and $B_{i_1 \dots i_n}$ of $\mathfrak{R}$ is the relative boundary of $N(I(i))$ (the set of $I(i)$ and $I(i)$ which are added newly at the n -th step). Every $I^n(i)$ and $\hat{I}^n(i)$ are connected at the first connection, that is, $I^n(i)$ and $\hat{I}^n(i)$ are connected crosswise on $\sum_{j,k} s_{1,j,k}$. We observe $s_{1,1,k}$, where $\sum_k s_{1,1,k}$ are slits in $h_1 + \hat{h}_1$ and $h_1(\hat{h}_1) : 0 < \operatorname{Re} \zeta < \gamma$, $0 < \operatorname{Im} \zeta < 2\pi$ (see Fig. 13).

$s_{1,1,k} : \frac{\delta}{4} < \operatorname{Re} \zeta < \frac{3}{4}\gamma$, $\operatorname{Im} \zeta = \frac{k}{2l_n}$ ($k=1, 2, \dots, l_n$) the ratio $\frac{\gamma}{\frac{2\pi}{l_n}}$ is bounded so

$$\text{as} \quad \mathfrak{M} < \frac{\gamma}{\frac{2\pi}{l_n}} < 3\mathfrak{M} : \gamma = \frac{\beta_n}{2^{q_{n+1}}}. \quad (27)$$

$\hat{h}_1$ is the set which is identical to h_1 in $^n \hat{I}(i)$.

Now $h_1 + \hat{h}_1$ is a generalized ring connected crosswise on $\sum_k s_{1,1,k}$ and has four relative boundaries $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4$ (see Fig. 13).




Fig. 13.

Let $w(z)$ be a harmonic function in $h + \hat{h}_1$ such that $0 < w(z) < 1$ and $= 0$ on one of $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$ and β_4 . Then since $\mathfrak{M} < \frac{\gamma}{2\pi} < 3\mathfrak{M}$, there exists a constant μ such that

$$0 < w(z) < \mu < 1 \text{ on } \operatorname{Re} \zeta = \frac{\gamma}{2} \quad (28)$$

Let $\Gamma_{i_1 \dots i_n}$ be the set of $\Re$ lying over $\operatorname{Re} \zeta = \frac{\gamma}{2}$. Then $\Gamma_{i_1 \dots i_n}$ consists of 2^{q_n} number of circles which are contained in $A_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{f, C, R}$. Let $w(z)$ be a harmonic function in $\Re$ such that $0 < w(z) < 1$ and $w(z) = 0$ on $B_0 + (B_1 + B_2) + (B_{11} + B_{12} + B_{21} + B_{12}) + \dots$. Then since at least one of $I^n(i)$ and $\hat{I}^n(i)$ is added newly at the n -th step, one of $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4$ must be contained in $B_{i_1 \dots i_n}$ on which $w(z) = 0$. Now $h_1 + \hat{h}_1$ in $I^n(i) + \hat{I}^n(i)$ of every $A_{i_1 \dots i_n}$ is conformally equivalent to that of $I^n(1) + \hat{I}^n(1)$. Hence by (28)

$$w(z) < \lambda < 1 \text{ on } \Gamma_{i_1 \dots i_n}. \quad (29)$$

Let $\Re_n^f$ be the component of $\Re$ containing B_0 divided by $\sum_{i_1=1,2, \dots, i_n=1,2} \Gamma_{i_1 \dots i_n}$ from $\Re$. Then $\Re_n^f$ ($n=1,2,\dots$) is an exhaustion of $\Re$, i.e.

$$\bigcup \Re_n^f = \Re. \quad (30)$$

B. Let ${}^1\Gamma_{i_1 \dots i_n}^D$ and ${}^2\Gamma_{i_1 \dots i_n}^D$ be the sets of $A_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{f, C, R}$ lying over the ring

$$\operatorname{Re} \zeta = \frac{3\beta_n}{2}, \quad 0 < \operatorname{Im} \zeta < 2\pi \text{ and } \operatorname{Re} \zeta = (\log 2) - \frac{3}{2}\beta_n, \quad 0 < \operatorname{Im} \zeta < 2\pi.$$

The part $A_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{f, C, R}$ of $\Re$ over $A_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{G, R}$ is a folded concentrating ring. Let $w(z)$

be a harmonic function in $A_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{f, C, R}$ such that $0 < \omega(z) < 1$. Then there exist two constants $a_{i_1 \dots i_n}^1$ and $a_{i_1 \dots i_n}^2$ such that

$$|\omega(z) - a_{i_1 \dots i_n}^1| < \frac{1}{10^n} \text{ on } {}^1\Gamma_{i_1 \dots i_n}^D.$$

$$|\omega(z) - a_{i_1 \dots i_n}^2| < \frac{1}{10^n} \text{ on } {}^2\Gamma_{i_1 \dots i_n}^D.$$

Suppose $|a_{i_1 \dots i_n}^1 - a_{i_1 \dots i_n}^2| > \frac{2}{10^n}$. Then the slits used for the intense connection are radial in $A_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{G, R}$ and $A_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{f, C, R}$ is composed of 2^{q_n} sheets. Hence by Lemma 2

$$D(\omega(z)) > \frac{2^{q_n} \left(a_{i_1 \dots i_n}^1 - a_{i_1 \dots i_n}^2 - \frac{2}{10^n} \right)^2 \times 2\pi}{(\log 2) - 3\beta_n} \geq \frac{2\pi \left(a_{i_1 \dots i_n}^1 - a_{i_1 \dots i_n}^2 - \frac{2}{10^n} \right)^2 2^{q_n}}{\log 2} : q_n = 2n \quad (31)$$




Fig. 14.

C). Let $\omega(z)$ be a harmonic function in $A_{i_1 \dots i_{n-1}}^{f, C, R} + A_{i_1 \dots i_{n-1}, i_n}^{f, C, R}$ such that $0 < \omega(z) < 1$. Then there exist constants $a_{i_1 \dots i_{n-1}}^2$ and $a_{i_1 \dots i_{n-1}, i_n}^1$ such that

$$|\omega(z) - a_{i_1 \dots i_{n-1}}^2| < \frac{1}{10^{n-1}} \text{ on } {}^2\Gamma_{i_1 \dots i_{n-1}}^D \text{ and } |\omega(z) - a_{i_1 \dots i_{n-1}, i_n}^1| < \frac{1}{10^n} \text{ on } {}^1\Gamma_{i_1 \dots i_{n-1}, i_n}^D.$$

Then as above if $|a_{i_1 \dots i_{n-1}}^2 - a_{i_1 \dots i_{n-1}, i_n}^1| > \frac{2}{10^{n-1}}$,

$$D(\omega(z)) \geq \frac{\left(a_{i_1 \dots i_{n-1}}^2 - a_{i_1 \dots i_{n-1}, i_n}^1 - \frac{2}{10^{n-1}} \right)^2 \pi}{3\beta_{n-1}} = \frac{\left(a_{i_1 \dots i_{n-1}, i_n}^1 - a_{i_1 \dots i_{n-1}}^2 - \frac{2}{10^{n-1}} \right)^2}{\frac{1}{2} \frac{\log 2}{2^{2n-2}}} : \beta_n = \frac{\log 2}{6 \times 2^{2n}} \quad (32)$$

$\Re$ has relative boundary $B_0 + (B_1 + B_2) + (B_{1,1} + B_{1,2} + B_{2,1} + B_{2,2}) \dots \dots \Re_n^f$ is

compact and $\mathfrak{R} - \mathfrak{R}_n^r$ is composed of 2^n number of non compact components which we denote by $G_{i_1 \dots i_n}$ ($i_1=1, 2, i_2=1, 2, \dots$), where $G_{i_1 \dots i_n}$ is the part of $\mathfrak{R}$ lying over $(A_{i_1 \dots i_n, 1} + A_{i_1 \dots i_n, 2}) + (A_{i_1 \dots i_n, 1, 1} + A_{i_1 \dots i_n, 1, 2} + A_{i_1 \dots i_n, 2, 1} + A_{i_1 \dots i_n, 2, 2}) + \dots$. $G_{i_1 \dots i_n} \supset G_{i_1 \dots i_n, i_{n+1}} \supset G_{i_1 \dots i_n, i_{n+1}, i_{n+2}} \dots$ determines an ideal boundary component $p(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n, \dots)$. The set B^* of p clearly the power of continuum. Then $\mathfrak{R}$ has the following properties.

a). Let $N(z, p)$ be the N -Green's function of $\mathfrak{R}$ and suppose that N -Martin's topology is defined in $\mathfrak{R}$ and

$$\delta(p, q) = \sup_{z \in A_0} \left| \frac{N(z, p)}{1 + N(z, p)} - \frac{N(z, q)}{1 + N(z, q)} \right|.$$

Then there exists only one ideal boundary point p of N -Martin's topology on a boundary component $p(i_1, i_2, \dots)$.

b). $\omega(p, z) > 0$, i.e. p is a singular point.

c). $w(B^*, z) = 0$.

Hence $B^* \ni p$ and by $w(p, z) \leq w(B^*, z) \leq 0$. Every point p is a singular point of first kind. Hence $\mathfrak{R}$ has the set of singular points of first kind of power of continuum.

Proof of a). Let $\mathfrak{R}_n^{D,r}$ be the component of $\mathfrak{R}$ containing B_0 divided by $\sum_{i_1=1,2, \dots, i_n=1,2} \Gamma_{i_1 \dots i_n}^D$ from $\mathfrak{R}$. $\mathfrak{R} - \mathfrak{R}_n^{D,r}$ is composed of 2^n number of non compact components $G_{i_1 \dots i_n}^D$ lying over $A_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{f,C,R} + (A_{i_1 \dots i_n, 1} + A_{i_1 \dots i_n, 2}) + \dots$. Clearly $\{G_{i_1 \dots i_n}^D\}$ and $\{G_{i_1 \dots i_n}^D\}$ equivalent and $G_{i_1 \dots i_n}^D \supset G_{i_1 \dots i_n, i_{n+1}}^D \supset G_{i_1, i_n, i_{n+1}, i_{n+2}}^D \supset \dots$ determines also $p(i_1, i_2, \dots)$. Let $U(z)$ be a harmonic function in $\mathfrak{R} - A_0$ and $0 < U(z) < M$. Then $A_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{f,C,R}$ is a folded concentrating ring. Hence

$$\left| \max_{z \in \Gamma_{i_1 \dots i_n}^D} U(z) - \min_{z \in \Gamma_{i_1 \dots i_n}^D} U(z) \right| < \frac{1}{10^n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty :$$

Hence by Lemma 1, there exists only one point p of N -Martin's topology on $p(i_1, i_2, \dots)$.

Proof of b). Let $w(B^*, z)$ be a harmonic measure of B^* . Then $w(B^*, z) = 0$ on $B_0 + (B_1 + B_2) + \dots$ and ≤ 1 . Hence by (29) $w(B^*, z) < \mu < 1$ on $\Gamma_{i_1 \dots i_n}$. By the maximum principle $w(B^*, z) \leq \mu$ on $\mathfrak{R}_n^r$, whence $\sup_{z \in R} w(B^*, z) < \mu < 1$. Hence by P.H.3.⁵⁾ $w(B^*, z) = 0$.

Proof of c). Let p be an ideal boundary point of N -Martin's topology over a boundary component $p(i_1, i_2, \dots)$. Put $v_l(p) = E \left[z \in \mathfrak{R} : \delta(z, p) > \frac{1}{l} \right]$. Then by Lemma 1 there exists a number m such that $v_l(p) \supset G_{i_1 \dots i_m}$. Let

5) See 4)

$\omega(\nu_i(p), z)$ and $\omega(G_{i_1 \dots i_m}, z)$ be C.P.'s of $\nu_i(p)$ and $G_{i_1 \dots i_m}$. Then

$$\omega(p, z) = \lim_{l=\infty} \omega(\nu_l(p), z) \geq \lim_{m=\infty} \omega(G_{i_1 \dots i_m}, z).$$

For simplicity put $\omega_m(z) = \omega(G_{i_1 \dots i_m}, z)$. Now $\omega_m(z)$ has M.D.I. among all harmonic functions with value 0 on B_0 and $\omega_m(z) = 1$ on $G_{i_1 \dots i_m}$. Hence by the Dirichlet principle

$$D(\omega_m(z)) \leq D(\tilde{\omega}(z)) = \frac{2\pi}{\log 2}, \quad (33)$$

where $\tilde{\omega}(z)$ is a harmonic function in A_0 such that $\tilde{\omega}(z) = 0$ on $\operatorname{Re} z = 0$ and $\tilde{\omega}(z) = 1$ on $\operatorname{Re} z = \log 2$.

Since $A_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{f, C, R}$ ($n \geq 1$) is a folded concentrating ring, there exist constants $a_{i_1 \dots i_n}^1$ and $a_{i_1 \dots i_n}^2$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\omega_m(z) - a_{i_1 \dots i_n}^1| &< \frac{1}{10^n} \text{ for } z \in {}^1\Gamma_{i_1 \dots i_n}^D, \quad n \geq 1 \text{ and } m > n, \\ |\omega_m(z) - a_{i_1 \dots i_n}^2| &< \frac{1}{10^n} \text{ for } z \in {}^2\Gamma_{i_1 \dots i_n}^D, \quad n \geq 1 \text{ and } m > n, \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

where $a_{i_1 \dots i_n}^1$ and $a_{i_1 \dots i_n}^2$ depend on $\omega_m(z)$.

Put $A_m(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) = \max |\omega_m(z') - \omega_m(z'')|$, where $z' \in {}^1\Gamma_{i_1 \dots i_n}^D$ and $z'' \in {}^2\Gamma_{i_1 \dots i_n}^D$: $n \geq 1$ (see Fig. 14).

$$\text{Then by (34)} \quad |A_m(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)| \leq |a_{i_1 \dots i_n}^1 - a_{i_1 \dots i_n}^2| + \frac{2}{10^n}. \quad (35)$$

Put $A'_m(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) = \max |\omega_m(z') - \omega_m(z'')|$, where $z' \in {}^2\Gamma_{i_1 \dots i_{n-1}}^D$ and $z'' \in {}^1\Gamma_{i_1 \dots i_{n-1}, i_n}^D$: $n \geq 2$.

$$\text{Then by (34)} \quad |A'_m(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)| < |a_{i_1 \dots i_{n-1}}^2 - a_{i_1 \dots i_n}^1| + \frac{2}{10^{n-1}}.$$

Now by (34), (33) and (31)

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{i_1 \dots i_n}^1 - a_{i_1 \dots i_n}^2| &< \sqrt{\left(\frac{2\pi}{\log 2}\right) \frac{\log 2}{2^{q_n} \times 2\pi}} + \frac{2}{10^n} = \frac{1}{2^n} + \frac{2}{10^n}. \\ &: q_n = 2n. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Similarly by (34), (33) and (32)

$$|a_{i_1 \dots i_{n-1}}^2 - a_{i_1 \dots i_{n-1}, i_n}^1| < \sqrt{\left(\frac{2\pi}{\log 2}\right) \times \frac{\log 2}{2\pi \times 2^{2(n-1)}}} + \frac{2}{10^{n-1}} = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} + \frac{2}{10^{n-1}}. \quad (37)$$

We show $\omega(p, z) > 0$. Assume $\lim_m \omega_m(z) = 0$. Then for any given positive number $\varepsilon > 0$ and for any given ${}^D\Gamma_{i_1 \dots i_{n_0}}$, there exists a number m ($i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n_0}, \varepsilon$) such that

$$\omega_m(z) < \varepsilon \text{ on } {}^D\Gamma_{i_1 \dots i_{n_0}}^2 \text{ and } \omega_m(z) = 1 \text{ on } {}^D\Gamma_{i_1 \dots i_m}^2.$$

Now

$$\varepsilon > \min_{z \in {}^D\Gamma_{i_1 \dots i_{n_0}}^2} \omega_m(z) \geq 1 - \sum_{n=n_0}^m A(i_1, \dots, i_n) - \sum_{n=n_0}^m A'(i_1, \dots, i_n) > 1 - \sum_{n=n_0}^m \left(\frac{4}{10^{n-1}} + \frac{1}{2^{n-2}} \right).$$

This is a contradiction for $\sum_{n=n_0}^m \left(\frac{4}{10^{n-1}} + \frac{1}{2^{n-2}} \right) < \frac{1}{2}$ for $n_0 \geq 4$. Hence $\omega(p, z) \geq \lim_m \omega_m(z) > 0$.

PART II

We shall construct a covering surface over the z -plane which has finite area and has a singular point of first kind. Theorem 12. c) of "Singular points of Riemann surfaces" shows that there exists no singular point, if the number of sheets of the covering surface is bounded and the Theorem 12. a) shows that there exists no singular point of second kind, if the area of the covering surface is finite. Hence this example means that the condition that the number of sheets of the covering surface is bounded is necessary for the validity of Theorem 12. c).

Example 4. Let A be a disc $0 < |z| < 1$. Map A onto $-\infty < \operatorname{Re} \xi < 0$, $0 < \operatorname{Im} \xi < 2\pi$. Let A_n be a ring (A_n is a rectangle in itself, but for the simplicity in this paper we call a ring) such that

$$-n(\log 100) < \operatorname{Re} \xi < -(n-1) \log 100, \quad 0 < \operatorname{Im} \xi < 2\pi.$$

For $n \geq 2$, we shall construct a 2^{qn} ($q_n = 4n$)-sheeted covering surface over A_n by intense connection. Let H_n , H'_n , and Γ_n , Γ'_n , $\hat{\Gamma}_n$, Γ_n^* be rings and circles cited below:

$$H_n: -(n-1)(\log 100) - 2\gamma < \operatorname{Re} \xi < -(n-1) \log 100, \quad 0 \leq \operatorname{Im} \xi < 2\pi.$$

$$H'_n: -(n \log 100) < \operatorname{Re} \xi < -n \log 100 + 2\gamma, \quad 0 \leq \operatorname{Im} \xi < 2\pi.$$

$$\hat{H}_n: 2\gamma - n \log 100 < \operatorname{Re} \xi < -2\gamma - (n-1) \log 100, \quad 0 \leq \operatorname{Im} \xi < 2\pi.$$

$$\Gamma_n: \operatorname{Re} \xi = -(n-1)(\log 100) - \gamma, \quad 0 \leq \operatorname{Im} \xi < 2\pi.$$

$$\Gamma'_n: \operatorname{Re} \xi = -n(\log 100) + \gamma, \quad 0 \leq \operatorname{Im} \xi < 2\pi.$$

$$\hat{\Gamma}_n: \operatorname{Re} \xi = -\left(n-1 + \frac{1}{2}\right) \log 100, \quad 0 \leq \operatorname{Im} \xi < 2\pi.$$

$$\Gamma_n^*: \operatorname{Re} \xi = -c_n: -2\gamma + n \log 100 > c_n > 2\gamma + (n-1) \log 100, \quad 0 \leq \operatorname{Im} \xi < 2\pi.$$

where $\gamma = \frac{\log 100}{8}$.

We make slits in H_n and H'_n ($n \geq 2$) parallel to the real axis for intense connection for 2^{q_n} sheets so that

$$|U(z') - U(z'')| < \frac{1}{10^n}$$

for z' and $z'' \in A_n(1) + \dots, A_n(2^{q_n-1}) + \hat{A}_n(1) + \dots + \hat{A}_n(2^{q_n-1})$ and $\text{proj } z' = \text{proj } z'' \in (\Gamma_n + \Gamma'_n)$ for any harmonic function $U(z)$ such that $0 < U(z) < 1$, where $A_n(1), \dots, A_n(2^{q_n-1})$ are exemplars which are identical to $A_n(1)$. Hence by the maximum principle (maximum principle is used for the projectional transformations $z' \leftrightarrow z''$)

$$|U(z') - U(z'')| < \frac{1}{10^n} \text{ for } \text{proj } z' = \text{proj } z'' \in \hat{H}_n.$$

Put $\mathcal{G}_n = A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_{n-1}$ and $C\mathcal{G}_n = A - (A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_{n-1})$. We denote by $mC\mathcal{G}_n$ the m number of surfaces $C\mathcal{G}_n$ with slits for intense connection. As in case of the Example 3 we construct a Riemann surface.




Fig. 15.

First step. Put $I'(1) = A$.

.....
.....

n -th step.

$$I^n(1) = I^{n-1}(1)$$

.....
.....

$$I^n(2^{q_{n-1}-1}) = I^{n-1}(2^{q_{n-1}-1})$$

$$I^n(2^{q_{n-1}} + 1) = \hat{I}^{n-1}(1)$$

$$I^n(2^{q_{n-1}}) = \hat{I}^{n-1}(2^{q_{n-1}-1})$$

.....
.....

$$I^n(2^{q-1})$$

$$\hat{I}^n(1)$$

.....

.....

.....

.....

$$\hat{I}^n(2^{q_{n-1}})$$

} newly added at the n -th step. These are identical to $C\mathfrak{G}_n$.

We perform the intense connection by slits in A_n , i.e. by slits contained in $H_n + H'_n$ of A_n . Let $\mathfrak{R}_n$ be the above Riemann surface obtained after the n -th intense connection and put

$$\mathfrak{R} = \bigcup \mathfrak{R}_n.$$

Then $\mathfrak{R}_n$ has relative boundary $B_0 + B_1 + \dots + B_{n-1}$ and is open. B_{n-1} is composed of $2^{q_n} - 2^{q_{n-1}}$ circles lying over $\operatorname{Re} \xi = -(n-1) \log 100$, $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} \xi < 2\pi$. Now the part of $\mathfrak{R}$ lying over $\operatorname{Re} \xi < -n \log 100$ is a generalized ring with slits of which the intense connection is not performed. We denote by $\tilde{A}_n$ the part of $\mathfrak{R}$ over A_n . Then $\tilde{A}_n$ is a Riemann surface of 2^{q_n} sheets and $0 \leq U(z) < 1$ has projectional deviation $< \frac{1}{10^n}$ on the part of $\mathfrak{R}$ lying over $\hat{H}_n$, i.e. $|U(z')| < -U(z'') < \frac{1}{10^n}$ for $\operatorname{proj} z' = \operatorname{proj} z'' \in \hat{H}_n$ and z' and $z'' \in \mathfrak{R}$.

$\mathfrak{R}$ has the following properties:

- $\mathfrak{R}$ has only one ideal boundary point p of N -Martin's topology on $z=0$.
- $\mathfrak{R}$ is a covering surface over $|z| < 1$ and has a finite area.
- p is a singular point of first kind.

Proof of a). Let $U(z)$ be a harmonic function in $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{H}_n \subset A : \hat{H}_n = E \left[z : -\exp \left(n - \frac{1}{4} \right) \log 100 < |z| < -\exp \left(n - \frac{3}{4} \right) \log 100 \right]$ (see Fig. 15) such that $D_{\Sigma \hat{H}_n}(U(z)) < \infty$. Then there exists a sequence of circles $\Gamma_{n_i}^* : |z| = r_{n_i}$ in $\sum \hat{H}_n$ such that

$$\int_{\Gamma_{n_i}^*} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial n} U(z) \right| ds \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n_i \rightarrow \infty.$$

In fact, put $re^{i\theta} = -\log |z|$ and put $L(r) = \int \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} U(re^{i\theta}) \right| r d\theta$. Assume $\liminf L(r) > \delta_0 > 0$ for $r \in \sum E_n$, where E_n is the circular projection of $(-\log |z| : z \in H_n)$ on the real axis, i.e. $E_n = E \left[r : \left(\frac{1}{4} \right) \log 100 > r > \left(n - \frac{3}{4} \right) \log 100 \right]$. Then

$$\delta_0 \int_{r_0}^r \frac{1}{r} dr \leq \int_{r_0}^r \frac{L(r)}{r} dr \leq \iint_{\Sigma \hat{H}_n} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} U(z) \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} U(z) \right)^2 r^2 \right\} r dr d\theta = D(U(z)).$$

Let $r \rightarrow \infty$. Then $D(U(z)) = \infty$. This is a contradiction. Hence there exists a sequence $\{\Gamma_{n_i}\}$ such that

$$\int_{\Gamma_{n_i}} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial n} U(z) \right| ds \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n_i \rightarrow \infty.$$

This sequence $\{\Gamma_{n_i}\}$ depends on the function $U(z)$.

$$\text{Put } \varepsilon_{n_i} = \int_{\Gamma_{n_i}^*} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial n} U(z) \right| ds. \quad (38)$$

Let $\tilde{\Gamma}_{n_i}^*$ be the set of $\Re$ lying over $\Gamma_{n_i}^*$ (see Fig. 15). Then $\tilde{\Gamma}_{n_i}^*$ is composed of $2^{q_{n_i}}$ circles. $\tilde{\Gamma}_{n_i}^*$ divides $\Re$ into two components. Let $\Re_{\tilde{\Gamma}_{n_i}^*}$ be one component of them containing B_0 . Then $\{\Re_{\tilde{\Gamma}_{n_i}^*}\}$ is an exhaustion with compact relative boundary $B_0 + B_1 + \dots + B_{n_i-1}$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}_{n_i}^*$, where B_i lies over $\text{Re } \xi = -i \log 100$. Hence

$$\Re = \bigcup \Re_{\tilde{\Gamma}_{n_i}^*}.$$

Now $\hat{H}_{n_i} (\subset A_{n_i})$ and A_{n_i} is intensely connected with $2^{q_{n_i}} - 1$ exemplars of $\Re$ on the slits contained in H_{n_i} and H'_{n_i} of A_{n_i} , hence $|U(z') - U(z'')| < \frac{1}{10^{n_i}}$ for $\text{proj } z' = \text{proj } z'' \in \hat{H}_{n_i}$ and z' and z'' are contained in $\Re$. Hence by (38)

$$\left| \max_{z \in \tilde{\Gamma}_{n_i}^*} U(z) - \min_{z \in \tilde{\Gamma}_{n_i}^*} U(z) \right| < \varepsilon_{n_i} + \frac{2}{10^{n_i}}. \quad (39)$$

Let $\tilde{\Gamma}_n$ be the set of $\Re$ over Γ_n . Then $\tilde{\Gamma}_n$ is composed of 2^{q_n} circles over Γ_n . $\tilde{\Gamma}_n$ divides $\Re$ into two parts. Let $\Re_{\tilde{\Gamma}_n}$ be one of them containing B_0 . Then $\{\Re_{\tilde{\Gamma}_n}\}$ is also an exhaustion of $\Re$, i.e. $\Re = \bigcup \Re_{\tilde{\Gamma}_n}$. Clearly $\{\Re_{\tilde{\Gamma}_{n_i}}\}$ and $\{\Re_{\tilde{\Gamma}_n}\}$ are equivalent and $\{\Re - \Re_{\tilde{\Gamma}_n}\}$ determines an ideal boundary component p on $z=0$.

Let $N(z, p)$ be the N -Green's function of $\Re$ and $\delta(p, q)$ be defined by

$$\delta(p, q) = \sup_{z \in A_1} \left| \frac{N(z, p)}{1 + N(z, p)} - \frac{N(z, q)}{1 + N(z, q)} \right|.$$

Consider $N(z, p) : p \in A_1$ in $A - A_2$. Then $N(z, p)$ is harmonic and $D(N(z, p)) < \infty$. Hence there exists a sequence $\{\Gamma_{n_i}^*\}$ such that

$$\int_{\Gamma_{n_i}^*} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial n} N(z, p) \right| ds \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n_i \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } \Gamma_{n_i}^* \in \hat{H}_{n_i} \text{ of } A_{n_i}.$$

Hence by (39)

$$\left| \max_{z \in \tilde{\Gamma}_{n_i}^*} N(z, p) - \min_{z \in \tilde{\Gamma}_{n_i}^*} N(z, p) \right| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n_i \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence by Lemma 1 there exists only one point of Martin's topology on the boundary component p .

Proof of b). Since the area of $A_n = \pi \left(\frac{1}{100^{2(n-1)}} - \frac{1}{100^{2n}} \right) = \frac{9900\pi}{100^{2n}}$, area of $\Re = 9900\pi \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2^{q_n}}{100^{2n}} \right) = 9900\pi \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2^4}{100^2} \right)^n < \infty$, for $q_n = 4n$.

Proof of c) Let $U(z)$ be a harmonic function in $\Re_{\tilde{r}_m}(m > n)$ such that $D(U(z)) \leq \frac{2\pi}{\log 100}$. Let A_n^{n-1} be the ring: $-\exp \left(n-1 + \frac{1}{2} \right) \log 100 < |z| < -\exp \left(n-1 - \frac{1}{2} \right) \log 100$.

$$\text{Assume } |U(r_{n-1}e^{i\theta}) - U(r_ne^{i\theta})| > \frac{3}{10^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}$$

on the set of θ of angular measure $> \frac{2\pi}{2^n}$

on A_n^{n-1} as a function of z on A_n^{n-n} , where

$r_{n-1}e^{i\theta} \in \hat{\Gamma}_{n-1}$ and $r_ne^{i\theta} \in \hat{\Gamma}_n$ (Fig. 16).

Now there exist 2^{q_n} number of exemplars identical to A_n which are connected intensely with projectional deviation $< \frac{1}{10^n}$ on $\hat{\Gamma}_n$, whence

$$|U(z') - U(z'')| < \frac{1}{10^n} \text{ for } \text{proj } z' = \text{proj } z'' \in \hat{\Gamma}_n \text{ and } z' \text{ and } z'' \in \Re.$$

Hence

$$|U(r_ne^{i\theta}) - U(r_{n-1}e^{i\theta})| > \frac{1}{10^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \text{ for } \theta \text{ of angular measure } > \frac{2\pi}{2^n} \quad (40)$$

on $2^{q_{n-1}}$ exemplars lying over A_n^{n-1} where $r_{n-1}e^{i\theta}$ lie and $r_ne^{i\theta}$ on the same exemplar.

Let $\tilde{A}_n^{n-1}$ be the part of $\Re$ lying over A_n^{n-1} . Then by Lemma 2 and by (40)




Fig. 16.

$$\frac{2\pi}{\log 100} \geq D(U(z)) \geq \frac{2^{qn-1} \times 2\pi}{2\pi \times (\log 100) \times 10^{n-1} \times 2^n} > \frac{2^{4(n-1)}}{10^{n-1}(\log 100)} > \frac{2\pi}{\log 100}.$$

This is a contradiction for $n \geq 3$. Hence

$$|U(r_{n-1}e^{i\theta}) - U(r_n e^{i\theta})| < \frac{3}{10^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} : n \geq 3, \quad (41)$$

except at most an exceptional set $\Theta_{n, n-1}(E)$ of angular measure $< \frac{2\pi}{2^n}$ on the ring A_n^{n-1} .

Let $\tilde{\Gamma}_n$ be the set of $\Re$ lying over $\hat{\Gamma}_n$. Then $\tilde{\Gamma}_n$ divides $\Re$ into two parts. Let $\Re_{\tilde{\Gamma}_n}$ be the one of them containing B_0 . Then $\{\Re_{\tilde{\Gamma}_n}\}$ is an exhaustion and $\{\Re_{\tilde{\Gamma}_n}\}$ is equivalent to $\{\Re_{\tilde{\Gamma}_n}^*\}$. $\{\Re - \Re_{\tilde{\Gamma}_n}\}$ determines the ideal boundary component p and by a), by Lemma 1 for any given $\nu_i(p)$ there exists a number m_i such that

$$(\Re - \Re_{\tilde{\Gamma}_m}) \subset \nu_i(p) = E \left[z \in \Re : \delta(z, p) < \frac{1}{l} \right] \text{ for } m \geq m_i.$$

Hence

$$\text{C.P. of } p \quad \omega(p, z) = \lim_l \omega(\nu_i(p), z) \geq \lim_m \omega(\Re - \Re_{\tilde{\Gamma}_m}, z),$$

where $\omega(\Re - \Re_{\tilde{\Gamma}_m}, z)$ is C.P. of $\Re - \Re_{\tilde{\Gamma}_m}$.

For simplicity put $\omega_m(z) = \omega(\Re - \Re_{\tilde{\Gamma}_m}, z)$. Assume $\lim_m \omega_m(z) = 0$. Then for any given positive number $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{10}$, there exists a number m_0 such that $\omega_m(z) < \varepsilon$ on $\hat{\Gamma}_5 = E \left[|z| = -\exp \left(4 + \frac{1}{2} \right) \log 100 \right]$ for $m \geq m_0$. Now $\omega_m(z) = 1$ on $\Gamma_m (\subset \tilde{\Re} - A_1)$ and has M.D.I. over $\Re$. Hence by the Dirichlet principle

$$D(\omega_m(z)) < D(\tilde{\omega}(z)) = \frac{2\pi}{\log 100},$$

where $\tilde{\omega}(z)$ is a harmonic function in A_1 such that $\tilde{\omega}(z) = 0$ on $|z| = 1$ and $\tilde{\omega}(z) = 1$ on $|z| = \frac{1}{100}$. Hence by (41)

$$|U(r_n e^{i\theta}) - U(r_{n-1} e^{i\theta})| < \frac{3}{10^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \text{ except } \Theta_{n, n-1}(E), \quad (42)$$

where $\Theta_{n, n-1}(E)$ depends on $\omega_m(z)$.

Let Φ be the complementary set of $\sum_{n=5}^m \Theta_{n, n-1}(E)$. Then angular measure of $\Phi > 2\pi \left(1 - \sum_{n=4}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \right) > \frac{15\pi}{8}$. Suppose $\theta \in \Phi$. Then by (42)

$$|\omega(r_m e^{i\theta}) - \omega(r_4 e^{i\theta})| < \sum_{n=5}^m \frac{3}{10^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} < \frac{3}{10}.$$

On the other hand, $\omega_m(z) = 1$ on $\hat{\Gamma}_m$, i.e. $\omega(r_m e^{i\theta}) = 1$, whence

$$\frac{1}{10} > \varepsilon > \max_{z \in \hat{\Gamma}_5} \omega_m(z) > 1 - \frac{3}{10} \text{ on } \hat{\Gamma}_5.$$

This is a contradiction. Hence $0 < \lim_m \omega_m(z) < \omega(p, z)$.

Next by Theorem 12. a) (of the previous paper "On Singular points" $\Re$ has no singular point of second kind. Hence p is a singular point of first kind. Thus we have c).

Department of Mathematics
Hokkaido University

(Received July 20, 1961)