



HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

Title	A REMARK ON MAZUR-ORLICZ' S NORM
Author(s)	Koshi, Shōzō
Citation	Journal of the Faculty of Science Hokkaido University. Ser. 1 Mathematics, 16(3-4), 221-224
Issue Date	1962
Doc URL	https://hdl.handle.net/2115/56028
Type	departmental bulletin paper
File Information	JFSHIU_16_N3-4_221-224.pdf



A REMARK ON MAZUR-ORLICZ'S NORM

By

Shôzô KOSHI

1. Let Ω be a measure space with finite measure μ , and let $M(u, v)$ be a real valued function which is defined on $[0, \infty) \times \Omega$ such that

(M. 1) $0 \leq M(u, v) \leq +\infty$ for $(u, v) \in [0, \infty) \times \Omega$ with $M(0, v) = 0$ a.e. $v \in \Omega$;

(M. 2) $M(u, v)$ is an increasing function and left-hand continuous with $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} M(u, v) < +\infty$ a.e. $v \in \Omega$;

(M. 3) $M(u, v)$ is a measurable function of u for a fixed $v \in [0, \infty)$;

(M. 4) $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} M(u, v) > \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} M(u, v)$ a.e. $v \in \Omega$.

Now, we shall consider the function space $L_{M(u, v)}$ whose element f is as follows:

$$(1) \quad \rho(\alpha f) = \int_{\Omega} M(\alpha |f(v)|, v) d\mu < +\infty \quad \text{for some } \alpha > 0.$$

If we identify f and g when $f(v) = g(v)$ except a measure zero set, then we can consider $L_{M(u, v)}$ as a conditionally complete vector lattice with a functional $\rho^{(1)}$:

$$(2) \quad \rho(f) = \int_{\Omega} M(|f(v)|, v) d\mu.$$

In the case that $M(u, v) = M(u)$ for every $v \in \Omega$, and $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} M(u) = 0$, Mazur and Orlicz has considered in his paper [2], the quasi-norm such that

$$(3) \quad \|f\| = \inf \left\{ \varepsilon; \rho\left(\frac{f}{\varepsilon}\right) < \varepsilon \right\}.$$

$\|\cdot\|$ has the following properties:

(F. 1) $\|f + g\| \leq \|f\| + \|g\|$ for $f, g \in L_{M(u)}$;

(F. 2) $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, then $\|\alpha f\| \rightarrow 0$ for each $f \in L_{M(u)}$;

(F. 3) $\|f\| \rightarrow 0$, then $\|\alpha f\| \rightarrow 0$ for every real number α ;

(F. 4) $0 \leq f \leq g$, then $\|f\| \leq \|g\|$;

(F. 5) $0 \leq f_1 \leq f_2 \leq \dots$, $\sup_n \|f_n\| < +\infty$, then $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \in L_{M(u)}$ and $\|\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n\| = \sup_n \|f_n\|$;

1). This space is an example of quasi-modular spaces. cf. [3].

(F. 6) $\|\cdot\|$ is complete.

The fact that $\|\cdot\|$ is complete is considered as a generalization of Riesz-Fisher's theorem concerning the completeness of norms. (cf. [1])

In the case of $L_{M(u,v)}$, we define ρ^* and $\|\cdot\|$ with

$$(4) \quad \rho^*(f) = \rho(f) - \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \rho(\alpha f) \quad \text{for } f \in L_{M(u,v)}$$

and

$$(5) \quad \|f\| = \inf \left\{ \varepsilon; \rho^*\left(\frac{f}{\varepsilon}\right) < \varepsilon \right\} \quad \text{for } f \in L_M(u, v).$$

Then, $\|\cdot\|$ has the properties (F. 1), (F. 2), (F. 3), (F. 4). But, $\|\cdot\|$ is not complete in general cases.

We have the following theorem.

Theorem 1. $\|\cdot\|$ is complete, if and only if $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} M(u, v) = M(v)$ is an integrable function of Ω with respect to μ .

Moreover, we have

Theorem 2. $L_{M(u,v)}$ has a complete quasi-norm if and only if $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} M(u, v) = M(v)$ is an integrable function of Ω with respect to μ .

2. From the definition of ρ and ρ^* , we have

$$(6) \quad \rho(|f|) = \rho(f), \quad \rho^*(|f|) = \rho^*(f)$$

and

$$(7) \quad \rho(\alpha f + \beta g) \leq \rho(f) + \rho(g), \quad \rho^*(\alpha f + \beta g) \leq \rho^*(f) + \rho^*(g) \\ \text{for } \alpha + \beta = 1; \alpha, \beta \geq 0.$$

Hence, for $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in L_{M(u,v)}$,

$$(8) \quad \rho^*(|f_1| + |f_2| + \dots + |f_n|) \leq \rho^*(2f_1) + \dots + \rho^*(2^n f_n).$$

Proof of Theorem 1. It is easily proved that if $M(v)$ is an integrable function of Ω , it follows

$$(9) \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \rho(\alpha f) < +\infty \quad \text{for each } f \in L_{M(u,v)};$$

especially

$$(10) \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \rho(\alpha 1) < +\infty \quad \text{where } 1 \text{ is a characteristic function of } \Omega.$$

Let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence of elements of $L_{M(u,v)}$ with $\|f_n\| \leq 1/2^n$. From the definition of $\|\cdot\|$, $\|f_n\| \leq 1/2^n$ implies

$$(11) \quad \rho^*(2^n f_n) \leq \frac{1}{2^n} \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Hence, $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_n|$ is an element of $L_{M(u,v)}$ because of

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho(|f_1| + \cdots + |f_n|) &\leq \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \rho(\alpha 1) + \rho^*(|f_1| + \cdots + |f_n|) \\ &\leq \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \rho(\alpha 1) + \sum_i \rho^*(2^i f_i) < +\infty; \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $\rho(f) < +\infty$.

Since $L_{M(u,v)}$ is a conditionally complete (in order sense), $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n$ exists in $L_{M(u,v)}$ (in order sense).

Let $\{g_n\}$ ($n=1, 2, \dots$) be a Cauchy sequence of $L_{M(u,v)}$. There exists a subsequence $\{g_{n_i}\}$ of $\{g_n\}$ with

$$(12) \quad \|g_{n_i} - g_{n_{i+1}}\| \leq \frac{1}{2^i}.$$

Hence

$$h = g_{n_1} + (g_{n_2} - g_{n_1}) + \cdots + (g_{n_i} - g_{n_{i-1}}) + \cdots$$

is an element of $L_{M(u,v)}$, and

$$(13) \quad \|h - g_{n_i}\| \leq \frac{1}{2^{i-1}};$$

i.e. g_{n_i} is convergent to h in norm's sense. This shows that $\{g_n\}$ is a convergent sequence.

Now, we shall prove the converse. We shall assume

$$(14) \quad \int_{\Omega} M(v) d\mu = +\infty.$$

Putting

$$(15) \quad \inf \{M(v), n\} = M_n(v),$$

we have

$$(16) \quad \int_{\Omega} M_n(v) d\mu < +\infty.$$

Now, we define the sets as follows:

$$(17) \quad A_n = \{v \in \Omega \ ; \ M_n(v) \neq 0\}$$

and

$$(18) \quad A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n.$$

Moreover, if we put

$$(19) \quad B_n = A_n - A_{n-1} \quad (A_0 = \phi),$$

then

$$(20) \quad A = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n.$$

We can choose the function f_n with

$$(21) \quad \rho^*(f_n) \leq \frac{1}{2^n}$$

and

$$(22) \quad B_n = \{v; f_n(v) \neq 0\}.$$

If we put $f_n^0 = \frac{1}{2^n} f_n$, then we have

$$(23) \quad \|f_n^0\| \leq \frac{1}{2^n}.$$

Hence, $g_m = \sum_{n=1}^m f_n^0$ ($m=1, 2, \dots$) is a Cauchy sequence. But $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n^0 \notin L_{M(u,v)}$.

This shows that $\|\cdot\|$ is not complete.

Q. E. D.

The proof of Theorem 2 is quite similar to that of Theorem 1. Because, if

$\int_{\Omega} M(v) d\mu = +\infty$, then there exists a sequence f_n ($n=1, 2, \dots$) satisfying

(21), (22), (23) for a quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|$ defined on $L_{M(u,v)}$ which is not necessary equal to (5). Similarly to the proof of Theorem 1, $\{f_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence which is not convergent.

Theorem 1 is essentially equal to Theorem 3.2 in [4]. But the proof here is more simpler than that of Theorem 3.2.

References

- [1] I. AMEMIYA: A generalization of Riesz-Fischer's theorem, Jour. of Math. Soc. of Japan, Vol. 5 (1953), pp. 353-354.
- [2] S. MAZUR-W. ORLICZ: On some classes of linear metric spaces, Studia Math. 17 (1958), pp. 97-119.
- [3] S. KOSHI-T. SHIMOGAKI: On quasi-modular spaces, Studia Math. 21 (1961), pp. 15-35.
- [4] S. KOSHI-T. SHIMOGAKI: On F -norms of Quasi-modular spaces, Jour. of the Fac. of Sci. Hokkaido University, Series 1, Vol. 15 (1961), pp. 202-218.

Department of Mathematics,
Hokkaido University

(Received May 7, 1962)