



HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

Title	ON SOME PROPERTIES OF HYPERSURFACES WITH CERTAIN CONTACT STRUCTURES
Author(s)	Nagai, Tamao; Kôjyô, Hidemaro
Citation	Journal of the Faculty of Science Hokkaido University. Ser. 1 Mathematics, 17(3-4), 160-168
Issue Date	1963
Doc URL	https://hdl.handle.net/2115/56043
Type	departmental bulletin paper
File Information	JFSHIU_17_N3-4_160-168.pdf



ON SOME PROPERTIES OF HYPERSURFACES WITH CERTAIN CONTACT STRUCTURES

By

Tamao NAGAI and Hidemaro KÔJYÔ

§ 1. Introduction. Let E^{2n+2} be a $(2n+2)$ -dimensional Euclidean space with cartesian coordinates. We put

$$F = (F_{ij}^{\epsilon}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_m \\ -E_m & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad g = (g_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} E_m & 0 \\ 0 & E_m \end{pmatrix},$$

where E_m ($m=n+1$) is the unit matrix of dimension m . Then F is an almost complex structure in E^{2n+2} and the Euclidean metric g is a Hermitian metric with respect to the almost complex structure. Therefore we may consider E^{2n+2} as an almost Hermitian space. S. Sasaki and Y. Hatakeyama [5]¹⁾ showed that a hypersphere imbedded in E^{2n+2} is an example of a manifold admitting a normal contact metric structure. On the other hand, Y. Tashiro [7] proved that an orientable hypersurface in an almost complex space has an almost contact structure. These results gave us the problem to study the geometric properties of hypersurfaces in almost complex spaces.

In an almost complex space there always exists an affine connection, called F -connection, transposing the almost complex structure F parallelly. Suitable restrictions for F -connection characterize the special class of almost complex space. In the paper [7], properties of hypersurfaces in almost complex spaces were discussed by making use of the relations between F -connection and its induced one. Concerning a Kählerian space Y. Tashiro [7] gave the geometric meaning of the condition in order that a hypersurface has a normal contact structure.

In an almost complex space there exists a Riemannian metric g and without loss of generality we may assume that g be an almost Hermitian metric [8]. The Kählerian space is characterized by that the Riemannian connection defined by g is an F -connection. In general, the Riemannian connection is not an F -connection and restrictions for covariant derivatives of F characterize the special class of an almost Hermitian space. In this paper we always assume that the treated almost complex structure is Hermitian and we shall use the

1) Numbers in brackets refer to the references at the end of the paper.

Riemannian connection and its induced one. The purpose of the present paper is to investigate some geometric properties of a hypersurface in a K-space. § 2 devoted to give the fundamental concepts of almost Hermitian structure and almost contact metric structure. In § 3 we shall give some relations satisfied for a hypersurface in an almost Hermitian space, which will be used in the following sections. In § 4 we shall give a certain property of a hypersurface of a K-space. §§ 5–6 devoted to give some properties of hypersurface in the special K-space. In § 5 we apply the result in § 4 to a hypersurface of a K-space with constant curvature. In the last section we shall obtain some properties of a hypersurface of a Kählerian space and finally we shall prove the theorem for a Kählerian space, which was obtained by Y. Tashiro in another way.

We should like to express our sincere thanks to Dr. Y. Katsurada who gave us many valuable suggestions and constant guidances.

§ 2. Notations and terminologies. Let M^{2n+2} be a $(2n+2)$ -dimensional differentiable manifold with local coordinates x^i ($i=1, 2, \dots, 2n+2$). M^{2n+2} is called an almost Hermitian space if it admits a $(1, 1)$ -tensor field F_j^i satisfying the relations

$$(2.1) \quad F_h^i F_j^h = -\delta_j^i, \quad g_{ij} F_h^i F_k^h = g_{hk},$$

where g_{ij} is the Riemannian metric tensor in M^{2n+2} . From (2.1) it follows that

$$(2.2) \quad F_{ij} = -F_{ji}. \quad (F_{ij} = g_{ih} F_j^h)$$

The $(1, 2)$ -tensor N_{jh}^i defined by

$$(2.3) \quad N_{jh}^i = F_h^k (\partial_k F_j^i - \partial_j F_k^i) - F_j^k (\partial_k F_h^i - \partial_h F_k^i)$$

is called the Nijenhuis tensor, where ∂_i means partial differentiation with respect to the variable x^i .

A $(2n+1)$ -dimensional differentiable manifold M^{2n+1} with local coordinates u^α ($\alpha=1, 2, \dots, 2n+1$) is called an almost contact space, if it admits a $(1, 1)$ -tensor field φ_β^α and two vector fields ξ^α and η_α satisfying the relations

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{cases} \xi^\alpha \eta_\alpha = 1, \\ \text{rank}(\varphi_\beta^\alpha) = 2n, \\ \varphi_\beta^\alpha \xi^\beta = 0, \\ \varphi_\beta^\alpha \eta_\alpha = 0, \\ \varphi_\beta^\alpha \varphi_\gamma^\beta = -\delta_\gamma^\alpha + \xi^\alpha \eta_\gamma. \end{cases}$$

In every almost contact space, we can always find a Riemannian metric

tensor $g_{\alpha\beta}$, called an associated Riemannian metric tensor, such that [3]

$$(2.5) \quad g_{\alpha\beta}\xi^\alpha = \eta_\beta, \quad g_{\alpha\beta}\varphi^\alpha_\lambda\varphi^\beta_\mu = g_{\lambda\mu} - \eta_\lambda\eta_\mu.$$

In this paper we shall say that four tensor fields φ^α_β , $g_{\alpha\beta}$, ξ^α and η_α , satisfying (2.4) and (2.5), define an almost contact metric structure for M^{2n+1} . By means of the last relation of (2.4) and (2.5), we can obtain

$$(2.6) \quad \varphi_{\alpha\beta} = -\varphi_{\beta\alpha}. \quad (\varphi_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\gamma}\varphi^\gamma_\beta)$$

Moreover, when the covariant tensor $\varphi_{\alpha\beta}$ satisfies the relation

$$(2.7) \quad \varphi_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\alpha\eta_\beta - \partial_\beta\eta_\alpha),$$

we shall call M^{2n+1} the contact metric space. In every almost contact space there exists a $(1, 2)$ -tensor $n^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}$ defined by

$$(2.8) \quad n^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} = \varphi^\alpha_\gamma(\partial_\beta\varphi^\alpha_\beta - \partial_\beta\varphi^\alpha_\beta) - \varphi^\alpha_\beta(\partial_\gamma\varphi^\alpha_\beta - \partial_\gamma\varphi^\alpha_\beta) + (\partial_\beta\xi^\alpha)\eta_\gamma - (\partial_\gamma\xi^\alpha)\eta_\beta.$$

When $n^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} = 0$, we shall say that the almost contact structure is normal.

§ 3. Hypersurface in an almost Hermitian space. Let us consider that an orientable hypersurface M^{2n+1} , imbedded in an almost Hermitian space M^{2n+2} , be defined by $2n+2$ equations involving $2n+1$ independent parameters such that

$$(3.1) \quad x^i = x^i(u^\alpha). \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n+2; \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, 2n+1)^{2)}$$

The set of $2n+2$ linearly independent vectors (X^α_i, X^i) determines an ennuple at every point in M^{2n+1} , where $X^\alpha_i = \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial u^i}$ and X^i denotes the contravariant component of the unit normal vector of the hypersurface. Now, we put

$$(3.2) \quad X^\alpha_i = g^{\alpha\beta}g_{\beta j}X^j, \quad X_i = g_{ij}X^j,$$

where $g^{\alpha\beta}$ is defined by $g^{\alpha\beta}g_{\beta\gamma} = \delta^\alpha_\gamma$ and $g_{\alpha\beta}$ is an induced Riemannian metric, i. e. $g_{\alpha\beta} = g_{ij}X^\alpha_iX^\beta_j$. Then, (X^α_i, X_i) is a conjugate ennuple with respect to the ennuple (X^α_i, X^i) , and these quantities satisfy the following wellknown relations:

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} X^\alpha_iX_i = 0, & X^iX^\beta_i = 0, & X^iX_i = 1, \\ X^\alpha_iX^\beta_i = \delta^\beta_\alpha, & X^\alpha_iX^\alpha_j + X^iX_j = \delta^i_j. \end{cases}$$

With respect to the ennuple (X^α_i, X^i) , components of the $(1, 1)$ -tensor F^i_j are expressible as follows:

2) Throughout the present paper the Latin indices are supposed to run over the range 1, 2, ..., $2n+2$, and the Greek indices take the values 1, 2, ..., $2n+1$.

$$(3.4) \quad F_j^i = \varphi_\beta^\alpha X_\alpha^i X_j^\beta + \varphi^\alpha X_\alpha^i X_j + \varphi_\beta X^i X_j^\beta + \varphi X^i X_j,$$

where

$$(3.5) \quad \varphi_\beta^\alpha = F_j^i X_\alpha^i X_\beta^j, \quad \varphi^\alpha = F_j^i X_\alpha^i X^j, \quad \varphi_\beta = F_j^i X_i X_\beta^j, \quad \varphi = F_j^i X_i X^j.$$

However, by virtue of (2.2) we have $\varphi=0$. If we put

$$\varphi^\alpha = -\xi^\alpha, \quad \varphi_\beta = \eta_\beta,$$

in consequence of (2.1) and (3.3), we can easily verify that four tensor fields φ_β^α , $g_{\alpha\beta}$, ξ^α and η_α satisfy the relations (2.4) and (2.5), i.e. these quantities define an almost contact metric structure for the hypersurface M^{2n+1} . (2.3) and (2.8) are rewritten in

$$(3.6) \quad N_{jh}^i = F_h^k (F_{j,k}^i - F_{k,j}^i) - F_j^k (F_{h,k}^i - F_{k,h}^i),$$

$$(3.7) \quad n_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha = \varphi_{\beta;\gamma}^\alpha (\varphi_{\beta;\gamma}^\alpha - \varphi_{\gamma;\beta}^\alpha) - \varphi_{\gamma;\beta}^\alpha (\varphi_{\gamma;\beta}^\alpha - \varphi_{\beta;\gamma}^\alpha) + \xi_{\beta;\gamma}^\alpha \eta_\gamma - \xi_{\gamma;\beta}^\alpha \eta_\beta,$$

where comma and semi-colon denote covariant differentiation with respect to the Riemannian connection defined by g_{ij} and its induced connection respectively. From (3.5) it follows that

$$(3.8) \quad \varphi_{\beta;\gamma}^\alpha = F_{j,k}^i X_\alpha^i X_\beta^j X_\gamma^k + F_j^i X_{i;\gamma}^\alpha X_\beta^j + F_j^i X_\alpha^i X_{\beta;\gamma}^j,$$

$$(3.9) \quad \xi_{\beta;\gamma}^\alpha = -F_{j,k}^i X_\alpha^i X_\beta^j X_\gamma^k - F_j^i X_{i;\beta}^\alpha X_\gamma^j - F_j^i X_\alpha^i X_{\beta;\gamma}^j.$$

On the other hand, covariant derivatives of the vectors X_α^i and X^i satisfy the following Gauss' equations:

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{cases} X_{\alpha;\beta}^i = H_{\alpha\beta} X^i, & X_{i;\beta}^\alpha = H_\beta^\alpha X_i, \\ X_{i;\alpha}^i = -H_\alpha^\gamma X_\gamma^i, & X_{i;\alpha} = -H_{\alpha\gamma} X_\gamma^i, \end{cases} \quad (H_\beta^\alpha = g^{\alpha\gamma} H_{\beta\gamma})$$

where $H_{\alpha\beta}$ is the covariant component of the second fundamental tensor. Substituting (3.10) into the right hand side of (3.8) and (3.9) we find

$$(3.11) \quad \varphi_{\beta;\gamma}^\alpha = F_{j,k}^i X_\alpha^i X_\beta^j X_\gamma^k + H_\gamma^\alpha \eta_\beta - H_{\beta\gamma} \xi^\alpha,$$

$$(3.12) \quad \xi_{\beta;\gamma}^\alpha = -F_{j,k}^i X_\alpha^i X_\beta^j X_\gamma^k + H_\beta^\gamma \varphi_\gamma^\alpha.$$

Making use of (3.6), (3.11) and (3.12) it is easy to see that

$$(3.13) \quad n_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha = N_{jk}^i X_\alpha^i X_\beta^j X_\gamma^k + F_{j,k}^i X^k X_\alpha^i X_\beta^j \eta_\gamma - F_{j,k}^i X^k X_\alpha^i X_\beta^j \eta_\gamma + H_\beta^\gamma \varphi_\gamma^\alpha \eta_\gamma - H_\gamma^\alpha \varphi_\gamma^\beta \eta_\beta + H_\gamma^\alpha \varphi_\gamma^\beta \eta_\beta - H_\gamma^\alpha \varphi_\gamma^\beta \eta_\gamma.$$

§ 4. A certain property of a hypersurface of a K-space. Let us consider that M^{2n+2} be a K-space, then we have the following condition [6]:

$$F_{j,k}^i + F_{k,j}^i = 0,$$

and from this condition we have

$$(4.1) \quad \varphi_{\alpha\beta;\gamma} + \varphi_{\alpha\gamma;\beta} = H_{\alpha\gamma}\eta_{\beta} + H_{\alpha\beta}\eta_{\gamma} - 2H_{\beta\gamma}\eta_{\alpha}$$

by virtue of (3.11). On the other hand, in a manifold with normal contact metric structure the relation

$$(4.2) \quad \varphi_{\alpha\beta;\gamma} = \eta_{\alpha}g_{\beta\gamma} - \eta_{\beta}g_{\alpha\gamma}$$

holds good [5]. Then if the hypersurface of a K-space has the normal contact metric structure, by means of (4.1) and (4.2) we get

$$(4.3) \quad -\eta_{\beta}g_{\alpha\gamma} - \eta_{\gamma}g_{\alpha\beta} + 2\eta_{\alpha}g_{\beta\gamma} = \eta_{\beta}H_{\alpha\gamma} + \eta_{\gamma}H_{\alpha\beta} - 2\eta_{\alpha}H_{\beta\gamma},$$

$$(4.3') \quad -\eta_{\gamma}g_{\beta\alpha} - \eta_{\alpha}g_{\beta\gamma} + 2\eta_{\beta}g_{\gamma\alpha} = \eta_{\gamma}H_{\beta\alpha} + \eta_{\alpha}H_{\beta\gamma} - 2\eta_{\beta}H_{\gamma\alpha}.$$

Subtracting (4.3') from (4.3) it follows that

$$(4.4) \quad (H_{\alpha\gamma} + g_{\alpha\gamma})\eta_{\beta} = (H_{\beta\gamma} + g_{\beta\gamma})\eta_{\alpha}.$$

This equation means that expressions in parentheses for each value of γ are proportional to η_{α} . Then we may put

$$(4.5) \quad H_{\alpha\gamma} = -g_{\alpha\gamma} + \eta_{\alpha}\rho_{\gamma},$$

where ρ_{γ} being proportional factor. If (4.4) be multiplied by $\xi^{\alpha}\xi^{\gamma}$ and summed for α and γ , we get

$$(4.6) \quad (H_{\alpha\gamma} + g_{\alpha\gamma})\xi^{\alpha}\xi^{\gamma}\eta_{\beta} = H_{\beta\gamma}\xi^{\gamma} + \eta_{\beta}.$$

Also, multiplying ξ^{α} to (4.5) and summed for α we have

$$(4.7) \quad H_{\alpha\gamma}\xi^{\alpha} + \eta_{\gamma} = \rho_{\gamma}.$$

In consequence of (4.6) and (4.7) we can write $\rho_{\alpha} = \phi\eta_{\alpha}$, where ϕ is a scalar function. Therefore the second fundamental tensor has the form

$$(4.8) \quad H_{\alpha\beta} = -g_{\alpha\beta} + \phi\eta_{\alpha}\eta_{\beta}.$$

Then we have the following

Theorem 4.1. *If a hypersurface of a K-space has the normal contact metric structure, the second fundamental tensor has the form (4.8).*

§ 5. Some properties of η -umbilical hypersurface in the space with constant curvature. In the following, when the second fundamental tensor has the form (4.8) we shall call the hypersurface " η -umbilical hypersurface". A totally umbilical hypersurface of a constant curvature space is also a constant curvature space [1]. Now, we shall seek the analogous problem in the case of η -umbilical hypersurface.

When M^{2n+2} is a space of constant curvature, the equation of Gauss-Codazzi may be reduced to

$$(5.1) \quad R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = K(g_{\alpha\gamma}g_{\beta\delta} - g_{\alpha\delta}g_{\beta\gamma}) + H_{\alpha\gamma}H_{\beta\delta} - H_{\alpha\delta}H_{\beta\gamma}.$$

Substituting (4.8) into (5.1) we have

$$(5.2) \quad R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = (K+1)(g_{\alpha\gamma}g_{\beta\delta} - g_{\alpha\delta}g_{\beta\gamma}) \\ + \phi(-g_{\alpha\gamma}\eta_\beta\eta_\delta - g_{\beta\delta}\eta_\alpha\eta_\gamma + g_{\alpha\delta}\eta_\beta\eta_\gamma + g_{\beta\gamma}\eta_\alpha\eta_\delta).$$

Then, if an η -umbilical hypersurface is also a constant curvature space, we may put

$$(5.3) \quad -g_{\alpha\gamma}\eta_\beta\eta_\delta - g_{\beta\delta}\eta_\alpha\eta_\gamma + g_{\alpha\delta}\eta_\beta\eta_\gamma + g_{\beta\gamma}\eta_\alpha\eta_\delta = \phi(g_{\alpha\gamma}g_{\beta\delta} - g_{\alpha\delta}g_{\beta\gamma}),$$

where ϕ being a scalar function. If (5.3) be multiplied by $g^{\alpha\delta}$ and summed for α and δ , we get

$$(2n-1)\eta_\beta\eta_\gamma = -(2n\phi+1)g_{\beta\gamma}.$$

The last relation gives the fact that the rank of the matrix $(g_{\alpha\beta})$ be less than $2n+1$. This result contradicts to the fact that $g_{\alpha\beta}$ is an induced metric tensor of the hypersurface. Therefore we have

Theorem 5.1. *An η -umbilical hypersurface of a constant curvature space is not a constant curvature space.*

If (5.1) be multiplied by $g^{\alpha\delta}$ and summed for α and δ we get

$$(5.4) \quad R_{\beta\gamma} = -2nKg_{\beta\gamma} + H_{\beta\delta}H_{\gamma}^{\delta} - (2n+1)HH_{\beta\gamma},$$

where $H = \frac{1}{2n+1}H_{\alpha\beta}g^{\alpha\beta}$. If we substitute (4.8) into (5.4) it follows that

$$(5.5) \quad R_{\beta\gamma} = \{(2n+1)H - 2nK + 1\}g_{\beta\gamma} + \{\phi^2 - [(2n+1)H + 2]\phi\}\eta_\beta\eta_\gamma.$$

Then we have

Theorem 5.2. *An η -umbilical hypersurface of a constant curvature space is an η -Einstein space.*

According to the theorem obtained by M. Okumura [2], if a normal contact space in an η -Einstein space, i.e. the Ricci tensor has the form $R_{\alpha\beta} = ag_{\alpha\beta} + b\eta_\alpha\eta_\beta$, then a and b must be constants. Then, in consequence of Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 5.2, if a hypersurface of a K-space has normal contact metric structure we get from (5.5)

$$(2n+1)H - 2nK + 1 = \text{const.}$$

As it follows that

$$K = \text{const.}$$

from the theorem of Schur, we obtain $H = \text{const.}$ Then by means of (4.8)

we also have $\phi = \text{const.}$ Therefore we have

Theorem 5.3. *If a hypersurface of a K-space with constant curvature has a normal contact metric structure then*

$$H_{\alpha\beta} = -g_{\alpha\beta} + \phi\eta_\alpha\eta_\beta,$$

where $\phi = \text{const.}$ and the hypersurface has constant mean curvature.

§ 6. Some properties of a hypersurface of a Kählerian space. In this section we shall assume that M^{2n+2} be a Kählerian space. Then, we have from (3.12) and (3.13)

$$(6.1) \quad n_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha = (H_\beta^\alpha \varphi_\gamma^\alpha - H_\gamma^\alpha \varphi_\beta^\alpha) \eta_\gamma - (H_\gamma^\alpha \varphi_\beta^\alpha - H_\beta^\alpha \varphi_\gamma^\alpha) \eta_\beta,$$

$$(6.2) \quad \eta_{\beta;\alpha} - \eta_{\alpha;\beta} = \varphi_\alpha^\beta H_{\beta\alpha} - \varphi_\beta^\alpha H_{\alpha\alpha}.$$

In an almost contact metric space there exists a $(1, 1)$ -tensor n_β^α defined by

$$(6.3) \quad n_\beta^\alpha = \xi^\gamma (\varphi_{\beta;\gamma}^\alpha - \varphi_{\gamma;\beta}^\alpha) - \varphi_\beta^\gamma \xi_\gamma^\alpha.$$

By means of (3.11) and (3.12), we have the following expression of the tensor n_β^α :

$$(6.4) \quad n_\beta^\alpha = -H_\beta^\alpha - H_\gamma^\alpha \varphi_\gamma^\beta + H_\gamma^\alpha \xi_\gamma^\beta \eta_\beta.$$

Since the vanishment of $n_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha$ implies the vanishment of n_β^α [4], if an induced almost contact metric structure is normal contact, the second fundamental tensor must satisfy the relation

$$(6.5) \quad H_\beta^\alpha + H_\gamma^\alpha \varphi_\gamma^\beta - H_\gamma^\alpha \xi_\gamma^\beta \eta_\beta = 0.$$

Conversely if (6.5) be multiplied by φ_σ^β and summed for β , it follows that

$$(6.6) \quad \varphi_\sigma^\beta (H_\beta^\alpha + H_\gamma^\alpha \varphi_\gamma^\beta) = 0.$$

Making use of the last relation of (2.4), the above relation reduces to

$$(6.7) \quad H_\sigma^\alpha \varphi_\gamma^\alpha - H_\beta^\alpha \varphi_\sigma^\beta = H_\gamma^\alpha \xi_\gamma^\alpha \varphi_\gamma^\sigma \eta_\sigma.$$

Substituting the right hand side of (6.7) into (6.1), we find that $n_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha = 0$. Then we have

Theorem 6.1. *In order that an induced contact metric structure of a hypersurface in a Kählerian space is normal, it is necessary and sufficient that the second fundamental tensor $H_{\alpha\beta}$ satisfy the relation (6.5).*

Corollary. *With respect to an induced contact metric structure of a hypersurface in a Kählerian space, relations $n_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha = 0$ and $n_\beta^\alpha = 0$ are equivalent.*

Next, we shall consider the case when the induced almost contact metric

structure of a hypersurface in a Kählerian space reduces to a normal contact metric structure.

In consequence of Theorem 4.1, the second fundamental tensor $H_{\alpha\beta}$ has the form (4.8), as a Kählerian space is the special class of a K-space. Conversely from (4.8) and (6.1), we have $n_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha = 0$, i. e. the induced structure is normal. Also, substituting (4.8) into the right hand side of (6.2), it follows that

$$\frac{1}{2}(\varphi_\alpha^r H_{\beta r} - \varphi_\beta^s H_{\alpha s}) = \varphi_{\alpha\beta}.$$

Then, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}(\eta_{\beta;\alpha} - \eta_{\alpha;\beta}) = \varphi_{\alpha\beta},$$

i. e. the induced structure is contact. Therefore we have

Theorem 6.2³⁾. *In order that an induced almost contact metric structure of a hypersurface in a Kählerian space is normal contact metric structure, it is necessary and sufficient that the second fundamental tensor has the form (4.8).*

References

- [1] L. P. EISENHART: Riemannian Geometry, Princeton Univ. Press, (1960).
- [2] M. OKUMURA: Some remarks on space with a certain contact structure, Tohoku Math. Jour., 14 (1962), 135-145.
- [3] S. SASAKI: On differentiable manifolds with certain structures which are closely related to almost contact structure I, Tohoku Math. Jour., 12 (1960), 456-476.
- [4] S. SASAKI and Y. HATAKEYAMA: On differentiable manifolds with certain structures which are closely related to almost contact structure II, Tohoku Math. Jour., 13 (1961), 281-294.
- [5] S. SASAKI and Y. HATAKEYAMA: On differentiable manifolds with contact metric structures, Jour. Math. Soc. Japan, 14 (1962), 249-271.
- [6] S. TACHIBANA: On almost analytic vectors in certain almost Hermitian manifolds, Tohoku Math. Jour., 11 (1959), 351-363.
- [7] Y. TASHIRO: On contact structure of hypersurfaces in complex manifolds, I, Tohoku Math. Jour., 15 (1963), 62-78.
- [8] K. YANO: The theory of Lie derivatives and its applications, North-Holland Publ., (1957).

Department of Mathematics,
Hokkaido University

(Received June 23, 1963)

3) This result was obtained by Y. TASHIRO and in the paper [7] it is proved by another way.

