HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

Title	ON A DIFFERENTIAL-DIFFERENCE EQUATION
Author(s)	Uchiyama, Saburô
Citation	Journal of the Faculty of Science Hokkaido University. Ser. 1 Mathematics, 19(2), 059-065
Issue Date	1966
Doc URL	https://hdl.handle.net/2115/56070
Type	departmental bulletin paper
File Information	JFSHIU_19_N2_059-065.pdf

Hokkaido University Collection of Scholarly and Academic Papers : HUSCAP

ON A DIFFERENTIAL-DIFFERENCE EQUATION

By

Saburô UCHIYAMA

In connexion with the study of certain incomplete sums of multiplicative functions, N. G. de Bruijn and J. H. van Lint [3] have introduced the function $f_s(x)$ $(s \geqq 0)$ satisfying the set of conditions:

(i) $f_s(x) = 0$ for $x < 0$,

(ii) $f_s(x)$ is continuous for $x > 0$,

(iii) $f_s(x) = x^{s-1}$ for $0 < x \leqq 1$,

(iv) $xf_s'(x) = (s-1)f_s(x) - sf_s(x-1)$ for $x > 1$.

(The function $f_s(x)$ is originally defined in [3; II, §2] only for $x > 0$; it will be convenient, however, to define $f_s(x) = 0$ for $x < 0$ for our purpose.)

On the other hand, N. G. de Bruijn [1 and 2] has investigated in detail the property and behaviour of $f_s(x)$ for $s = 1$. In particular, there he obtained an explicit formula for $f_1(x)$:

$$f_1(x) = \frac{e^C}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \exp\left(-xt + \int_0^t \frac{e^z - 1}{z} dz\right) dt \qquad (x > 0),$$

where C is Euler's constant,

$$C = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{1}{m} - \log n \right).$$

In the present note we shall prove an analogous formula for $f_s(x)$ with general $s > 0$.

Remark. For $s = 0$ it is easy to see that $f_s(x) = f_0(x) = x^{-1}$ $(x > 0)$. We may suppose, therefore, that $s > 0$ throughout in the following.

1. Lemmata. We require two lemmas independent of one another.

Lemma 1. *If $\phi(s)$ is a (complex valued) continuous function defined for $s > 0$ and satisfying the functional equation*

$$\phi(s+r) = \phi(s)\phi(r) \qquad (s > 0,\ r > 0),$$

then there is an integer A independent of s such that

$$\phi(s) = e^{2\pi i A s} \left(\phi(1)\right)^s \qquad (s > 0).$$

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that $\phi(s)$ does not vanish for $s>0$ and hence that $\phi(1)\neq 0$. Consider the continuous function

$$\psi(s)=\frac{\phi(s)}{(\phi(1))^s} \qquad (s>0),$$

where $z^s=\exp(s\log z)$ and the branch of $\log z$ is taken in such a way that $\log z$ is real for real $z>0$. We have for any $s>0$

$$\psi(s+1)=\frac{\phi(s+1)}{(\phi(1))^{s+1}}=\frac{\phi(s)}{(\phi(1))^s}=\psi(s).$$

Thus, if we put

$$\alpha(s)=\frac{1}{1+|\log|\psi(s)||},$$

then

$$(1) \qquad \int_0^1\alpha(s)\,ds=\int_0^1\alpha(2s)\,ds.$$

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1\alpha(s)ds&=\int_0^1\alpha(s+1)ds=\int_1^2\alpha(s)ds\\&=2\int_{1/2}^1\alpha(2s)ds=2\int_0^1\alpha(2s)ds-\int_0^1\alpha(s)ds,\end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to (1). Since $\phi(2s)=(\psi(s))^2$, we deduce from (1) that

$$\int_0^1\frac{|\log|\psi(s)||}{(1+|\log|\psi(s)||)(1+2|\log|\psi(s)||)}ds=0,$$

and this implies that $\log|\psi(s)|=0$ almost everywhere on $(0,1)$. It follows that $|\psi(s)|=1$ *everywhere* on $(0,\infty)$. This means that, if we set

$$(2) \qquad \frac{\phi(s)}{(\phi(1))^s}=e^{2\pi i\theta(s)},$$

then $\theta(s)$ is a real valued continuous function of $s>0$ satisfying the congruence

$$\theta(s+r)\equiv\theta(s)+\theta(r) \pmod 1 \qquad (s>0,\ r>0).$$

Hence, there is a constant $c\equiv 0 \pmod 1$ such that

$$\theta(s+r)=\theta(s)+\theta(r)+c \qquad (s>0,\ r>0),$$

and it follows from this that the limit

$$\lim_{s\to+0}\theta(s)=-c$$

exists. Thus, if we put

$$\theta^*(s) = \theta(s) + c\,,$$

then $\theta^*(s)$ satisfies the equation

$$\theta^*(s+r) = \theta^*(s) + \theta^*(r) \qquad (s>0,\ r>0).$$

Since $\theta^*(s)$ is continuous for $s>0$, we find by a well-known theorem (which is in fact easy to prove) that $\theta^*(s)=As$ $(s>0)$ for some real constant A, so that $\theta(s)=\theta^*(s)-c=As-c$. But, in view of (2), we may take $c=0$. Finally, the constant A must be integral, since $e^{2\pi iA}=1$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Lemma 2. *We have*

$$f_{s+r}(x) = \frac{\Gamma(s+r)}{\Gamma(s)\,\Gamma(r)} \int_0^x f_s(y) f_r(x-y)\,dy \qquad (s>0,\ r>0).$$

Proof. Put

$$f(x) = \int_0^x f_s(y) f_r(x-y)\,dy\,.$$

Apparently, $f(x)=0$ for $x<0$ and $f(x)$ is continuous for $x>0$. For $0<x\leqq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= x^{s+r-1} \int_0^1 z^{s-1}(1-z)^{r-1}\,dz \\ &= f_{s+r}(x) \frac{\Gamma(s)\,\Gamma(r)}{\Gamma(s+r)}\,. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose now that $x>1$ and write

$$\begin{aligned} xf(x) &= \int_0^x y f_s(y) f_r(x-y)\,dy + \int_0^x f_s(y)(x-y) f_r(x-y)\,dy \\ &= \int_0^x (x-y) f_s(x-y) f_r(y)\,dy + \int_0^x f_s(y)(x-y) f_r(x-y)\,dy \\ &= I_1 + I_2\,, \end{aligned}$$

say. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dI_1}{dx} &= \int_0^x \big((x-y) f_s'(x-y) + f_s(x-y)\big) f_r(y)\,dy \\ &= \int_0^x (x-y) f_s'(x-y) f_r(y)\,dy + \int_0^x f_s(x-y) f_r(y)\,dy \\ &= (s-1) f(x) - s f(x-1) + f(x) \\ &= s f(x) - s f(x-1)\,, \end{aligned}$$

and, by symmetry,

$$\frac{dI_2}{dx} = rf(x)-rf(x-1)\,.$$

Since $\big(xf(x)\big)' = xf'(x)+f(x)$, we thus obtain

$$xf'(x) = (s+r-1)f(x)-(s+r)f(x-1)\,.$$

Hence the function $\dfrac{\Gamma(s+r)}{\Gamma(s)\,\Gamma(r)}f(x)$ satisfies all the conditions (i)—(iv) with $s+r$ in place of s, and, since these conditions uniquely determine the function $f_{s+r}(x)$, it follows that

$$f_{s+r}(x) = \frac{\Gamma(s+r)}{\Gamma(s)\,\Gamma(r)}f(x)\,,$$

which is the required result.

Remark. The substance of Lemma 2 is a particular case of a slightly more general result. Thus, let $h(x)=h(x\,;\,c)$ be a function defined by the following conditions, $c=(c_0, c_1, \cdots, c_n)$ being an $(n+1)$-tuple of constants ($n\geqq 0$ fixed):

(i) $h(x)=0$ for $x<0$,

(ii) $h(x)$ is continuous for $x>0$,

(iii) $\lim\limits_{x\to+0} xh(x)=0$,

(iv) $xh'(x)=(c_0-1)h(x)+\sum\limits_{j=1}^{n} c_j h(x-j)$ for all $x>0$, $x\neq m$ $(1\leqq m\leqq n)$.

(Obviously the above conditions for $h(x)=h(x\,;\,c)$ imply that $c_0>0$ and $h(x)=Bx^{c_0-1}$ for $0<x\leqq 1$ with B a constant and, for $x>1$, $h(x)$ is uniquely determined once B is fixed. We shall be concerned with those functions $h(x)$ only which are not identically zero.) Then we have

$$h(x\,;\,a+b) = K\int_0^x h(y\,;\,a)h(x-y\,;\,b)\,dy \qquad (x\neq 0)\,,$$

where K is a constant and where we set

$$a+b = (a_0+b_0,\ a_1+b_1, \cdots, a_n+b_n)$$

if

$$a=(a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_n) \quad \text{and} \quad b=(b_0, b_1, \cdots, b_n).$$

2. The Explicit Formula. We now consider the Laplace transform of $f_s(x)$,

$$F_s(\xi) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\xi x} f_s(x)\, dx\,,$$

where ξ is a complex variable. The integral defining $F_s(\xi)$ is absolutely convergent on the line $\mathrm{Re}\,\xi = 0$ (cf. §3 below). Also, $F_s(\xi)$ is, as a function of s, continuous for $s>0$, ξ ($\mathrm{Re}\,\xi \geqq 0$) being fixed.

In view of Lemma 2 we have

$$F_{s+r}(\xi) = \frac{\Gamma(s+r)}{\Gamma(s)\,\Gamma(r)} F_s(\xi) F_r(\xi) \qquad (s>0,\ r>0)$$

or

$$\frac{F_{s+r}(\xi)}{\Gamma(s+r)} = \frac{F_s(\xi)}{\Gamma(s)} \frac{F_r(\xi)}{\Gamma(r)} \qquad (s>0,\ r>0).$$

Hence, by applying Lemma 1 to $\phi(s) = F_s(\xi)/\Gamma(s)$, we get for $s>0$

$$\frac{F_s(\xi)}{\Gamma(s)} = e^{\pi i A s} \left(\frac{F_1(\xi)}{\Gamma(1)} \right)^s$$

or

$$F_s(\xi) = \Gamma(s) \left(F_1(\xi) \right)^s,$$

the constant A being necessarily zero since for any $s>0$ $F_s(\xi)$ has a positive real value for real $\xi \geqq 0$.

We see from the explicit formula for $f_1(x)$ ([2; §1]) that

$$F_1(\xi) = e^C \exp\left(\int_0^{-\xi} \frac{e^z - 1}{z}\, dz \right).$$

Therefore,

$$(3) \qquad F_s(\xi) = \Gamma(s) e^{Cs} \exp\left(s \int_0^{-\xi} \frac{e^z - 1}{z}\, dz \right) \qquad (s>0).$$

By a standard inversion formula for the Laplace transform, we thus obtain the following result.

Theorem. *We have for* $s>0$

$$f_s(x) = \lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{\Gamma(s) e^{Cs}}{2\pi i} \int_{-iT}^{iT} \exp\left(-xt + s \int_0^t \frac{e^z - 1}{z}\, dz \right) dt \qquad (x \neq 0).$$

3. Notes. 1) We note that for $s=1$ the right-hand side of the equality in the theorem is equal to $\frac{1}{2}$ at $x=0$ and for $s>1$ it is equal to 0 at $x=0$. Also, if $s=1$ then we have

$$f_1(x)=\frac{e^C}{2\pi i}\int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty}\exp\left(-xt+\int_0^t\frac{e^z-1}{z}\,dz\right)dt$$

for all $x\neq 0$, and if $s>1$ then

$$f_s(x)=\frac{\Gamma(s)e^{Cs}}{2\pi i}\int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty}\exp\left(-xt+s\int_0^t\frac{e^z-1}{z}\,dz\right)dt$$

for all x, $-\infty<x<\infty$, $f_s(0)$ being defined to be equal to 0.

2) de Bruijn and van Lint [3; I] have also considered the function $g_s(x)$ $(s\geqq 0)$ defined by the conditions:

(i) $g_s(x)=0$ for $x<0$,

(ii) $g_s(x)$ is continuous for $x\geqq 0$,

(iii) $g_s(x)=x^s$ for $0\leqq x\leqq 1$,

(iv) $xg_s'(x)=sg_s(x)-sg_s(x-1)$ for $x>1$.

As is noted in [3; II, §2], we have for $s>0$

$$g_s(x)=s\int_0^x f_s(y)\,dy\,.$$

They showed in [3; I, §2] that if $s=0$ then $g_s(x)=g_0(x)=1$ for all $x>0$ and if $s>0$ then $g_s(x)$ is a positive, monotone increasing function of x for $x>0$.

It is also proved there that we have

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}g_s(x)=\Gamma(s+1)e^{Cs}\qquad(s>0)$$

and this implies at once that

$$\int_0^\infty f_s(x)\,dx=\Gamma(s)e^{Cs}\qquad(s>0),$$

which clearly agrees with (3). And in the course of its proof they found a formula which is essentially the same as (3). (In fact, using the relation between $f_s(x)$ and $g_s(s)$, we can show that $F_s(\xi)$ $(s>0)$ satisfies as a function of ξ the differential equation

$$\xi F_s'(\xi)=s(e^{-\xi}-1)F_s(\xi),$$

and, by integrating this equation, we get the formula (3).) Thus, our main interest of this note is in deriving the explicit formula for $f_s(x)$ from a somewhat different point of view, that is, on the basis of Lemma 2 which shows an interesting interrelation existent among the functions $f_s(x)$ $(s>0)$.

References

[1] N. G. DE BRUIJN: On the number of positive integers $\leqq x$ and free of prime factors $>y$. Kon. Nederlandse Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A, vol. 54 (1951), pp. 50–60.

[2] N. G. DE BRUIJN: The asymptotic behaviour of a function occurring in the theory of primes. Journ. Indian Math. Soc., vol. 15 (1951), pp. 25–32.

[3] N. G. DE BRUIJN and J. H. VAN LINT: Incomplete sums of multiplicative functions. I, II. Kon. Nederlandse Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A, vol. 67 (1964), pp 339–347, 348–359.

Department of Mathematics,
Hokkaidô University

(Received August 10, 1965)