



HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

Title	LOCALLY FINITE OUTER GALOIS THEORY
Author(s)	Miyashita, Yōichi
Citation	Journal of the Faculty of Science Hokkaido University. Ser. 1 Mathematics, 20(1-2), 001-026
Issue Date	1967
Doc URL	https://hdl.handle.net/2115/56082
Type	departmental bulletin paper
File Information	JFSHIU_20_N1-2_001-026.pdf



LOCALLY FINITE OUTER GALOIS THEORY

By

Yôichi MIYASHITA

Introduction.

This paper is the continuation of the preceding paper [22]. In §1 and §2, locally finite (outer) Galois extensions are treated. The main results are parallel to those of the finite case. In these studies, Nagahara [12] is our guide. Further several results for finite Galois extensions are added (Th. 1.18). In §3, we give a normal basis theorem for a finite Galois extension.

§1. As to notations and terminologies we follow [22]. Let A be a ring with 1 ($\neq 0$), C the center of A , G a (finite or infinite) group of automorphisms of A , $B = A^G = \{x \in A; \sigma(x) = x \text{ for all } \sigma \text{ in } G\}$, and $\hat{G}$ the group of all B -automorphisms of A . $\hat{G}$ is then a topological group in finite topology (cf. Jacobson [7]). We denote the closure of G in $\hat{G}$ by G^* . Δ means the trivial crossed product of A with G : $\Delta = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus A u_\sigma$, $u_\sigma u_\tau = u_{\sigma\tau}$ ($\sigma, \tau \in G$), $u_\sigma x = \sigma(x) u_\sigma$ ($x \in A$). Then there is a canonical ring homomorphism j from Δ to $\text{End}(A_B)$ defined by $j(\sum_\sigma x_\sigma u_\sigma)(y) = \sum_\sigma x_\sigma \cdot \sigma(y)$ ($\sum_\sigma x_\sigma u_\sigma \in \Delta$, $y \in A$). For any intermediate ring T of A/B , $G^T = \{\sigma \in G; \sigma|T = 1_T\}$ is a subgroup of G , where $\sigma|T$ means the restriction of σ to T . We call it a *fixed subgroup* of G . For any subgroup H of G , $A^H = \{x \in A; \sigma(x) = x \text{ for all } \sigma \text{ in } H\}$ is an intermediate ring of A/B . We call it a *fixed subring* of A (with respect to G). Then, as is well known, the set of all fixed subgroups of G and the set of all fixed subrings of A are anti-order-isomorphic in the usual sense of Galois theory. A subring T of A is called a *G-invariant* subring of A if $\sigma(T) = T$ for all σ in G (or equivalently, $\sigma(T) \subseteq T$ for all σ in G). Let N be a fixed subgroup of G . Then, A^N is G -invariant if and only if N is a normal subgroup of G : $N \triangleleft G$. Let T be an intermediate ring of A/B , and put $H = G^T$. Then, for σ, τ in G , $\sigma|T = \tau|T$ if and only if $\sigma H = \tau H$. Let H and K be subgroups of G such that $H \supseteq K$ and $(H:K) < \infty$, and let $H = \sigma_1 K \cup \cdots \cup \sigma_r K$ be the left coset decomposition. For any x in A^K we put $t_{H:K}(x) = \sum_i \sigma_i(x)$. Then $t_{H:K}$ is an $A^H - A^K$ -homomorphism from A^K to A^H , and is independent of the choice of $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$. If $K = 1$, we write simply t_H instead of $t_{H:1}$.

Here we present several fundamental facts, which are essential throughout the present study. Let ${}_T M_U$ and ${}_T N_U$ be T -left, U -right modules. If ${}_T M_U$ is

isomorphic to a direct summand of ${}_rN_U^r$ for some natural number r , then we write ${}_rM_U|_rN_U$, where ${}_rN_U^r$ means the direct sum of r copies of ${}_rN_U$. If ${}_rM_U|_rN_U$ and ${}_rN_U|_rM_U$ we write ${}_rM_U \sim {}_rN_U$ (similar) (cf. Morita [21]). To be easily seen, ${}_rM_U|_rN_U$ if and only if there are T - U -homomorphisms $f_1, \dots, f_r$ in $\text{Hom}({}_rM_U, {}_rN_U)$ and $g_1, \dots, g_r$ in $\text{Hom}({}_rN_U, {}_rM_U)$ such that $\sum_i f_i g_i =$ the identity of M , or equivalently, $\text{Hom}({}_rM_U, {}_rN_U) \cdot \text{Hom}({}_rN_U, {}_rM_U) = \text{Hom}({}_rM_U, {}_rM_U)$, where homomorphisms act on the right side.

Let T be a ring with 1, M a unital T -left module, and $T^* = \text{End}({}_rM)$.

S. 1. If ${}_rT|_rM$ then $M_{T^*}|T_{T^*}^*$ (i.e. M_{T^*} is finitely generated and projective) and $T = \text{End}(M_{T^*})$. (Morita)

S. 2. If ${}_rM|_rT$ then $T_{T^*}^*|M_{T^*}$. (Morita)

S. 3. Let T be commutative. If ${}_rM|_rT$ and ${}_rM$ is faithful, then ${}_rT|_rM$. (Auslander-Buchsbaum-Goldman)

S. 4. Let $\bar{T}$ be an extension ring of T . If ${}_rT|_r\bar{T}$ then ${}_rT$ is a direct summand of ${}_r\bar{T}$ (and conversely). (Müller)

S. 5. Let $\bar{T}$ be an extension ring of T . If ${}_rT_T|_r\bar{T}_T$ then ${}_rT_T$ is a direct summand of ${}_r\bar{T}_T$. (The proof is similar to the one of S.4.)

In [22], A/B was called a *G-Galois extension* if G is finite and there are elements $a_1, \dots, a_n; a_1^*, \dots, a_n^*$ in A such that $\sum_i a_i \cdot \sigma(a_i^*) = \delta_{1,\sigma}$ ($\sigma \in G$). In this paper, A/B is called a *finite G-Galois extension* if A/B is G -Galois and $t_G(c) = 1$ for some c in A . Then, the following are equivalent:

- (a) A/B is finite G -Galois.
 - (b) G is finite, $A_B \sim B_B$ and $j: A \simeq \text{End}(A_B)$.
 - (c) G is finite and ${}_A A \sim {}_A A$.
- (Cf. S.1, S.2, [6] and [21]).

A/B is called a *locally finite G-Galois extension* if there are fixed normal subgroups N_λ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) of G which satisfy the following conditions: (1) $(G : N_\lambda) < \infty$, and A^{N_λ}/B is a finite G/N_λ -Galois extension. (2) $A = \bigcup_\lambda A^{N_\lambda}$, and $\{A^{N_\lambda}; \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is a directed set with respect to the inclusion relation (abbrev. $A = \bigcup_\lambda A^{N_\lambda}$ is a *directed union*). Then we call $A = \bigcup_\lambda A^{N_\lambda}$ a representation of the locally finite G -Galois extension A/B . If $V_A(B) = C$, an extension A/B is said to be *outer*.

Now we shall prove first the following

Proposition 1.1. *Let $G = G^*$ (i.e. G is closed in $\hat{G}$). Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $\{\sigma(x); \sigma \in G\}$ is finite for any x in A .
- (ii) G is compact.
- (iii) Every directed union of fixed subrings of A with respect to G is also a fixed subring of A with respect to G , and $\bigcap H = 1$, where H ranges

over all fixed subgroups of G such that $(G:H) < \infty$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) If we put $\prod_{x \in A} \{\sigma(x); \sigma \in G\} = D$, then $G \subseteq D$ and D is compact. Therefore it is sufficient to prove that G is closed in D . Let ρ be any element of the closure of G in D . Then, as is easily seen, ρ is a B -ring isomorphism from A into A . Let a be in A , and put $F = \{\sigma(a); \sigma \in G\}$. Then, by assumption, F is a finite subset of A , so that there is an element τ in G such that $\rho|F = \tau|F$. Then, in particular, $\rho(\tau^{-1}(a)) = \tau(\tau^{-1}(a)) = a$. Thus ρ is a B -automorphism of A . Hence the closure of G in D is contained in $\hat{G}$. Since G is closed in $\hat{G}$, G is closed in D , as desired. (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) For any x in G , we put $H_x = \{\sigma \in G; \sigma(x) = x\}$. Then H_x is open in G , and therefore σH_x is open in G for any σ in G . Then, since G is compact, we have $(G:H_x) < \infty$. Evidently $\bigcap_{x \in A} H_x = 1$. This proves the second assertion. Let $(A \neq) T = \bigcup_{\lambda \in A} T_\lambda$ be a directed union of fixed subrings of A with respect to G , and let $K_\lambda = G^{T_\lambda}$. Then each K_λ is a closed subgroup of G , and $A^{K_\lambda} = T_\lambda$. Let a be an element of $A - T$, and put $U = \{\sigma \in G; \sigma(a) = a\}$. Then U is open in G , so that each $K_\lambda - U$ is closed in G . Since $a \notin T_\lambda$ and $A^{K_\lambda} = T_\lambda$, we have $K_\lambda - U \neq \emptyset$. For any finite subset $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ of A , there is an element λ_0 of A such that $T_{\lambda_0} \supseteq \bigcup_i T_{\lambda_i}$. Then $K_{\lambda_0} \subseteq \bigcap_i K_{\lambda_i}$, and so $\emptyset \neq K_{\lambda_0} - U \subseteq \bigcap_i (K_{\lambda_i} - U) = \bigcap_i (K_{\lambda_i} - U)$. Thus $\{K_\lambda - U; \lambda \in A\}$ has finite intersection property. Since G is compact, we have $\bigcap_\lambda (K_\lambda - U) \neq \emptyset$. If ρ is in $\bigcap_\lambda (K_\lambda - U)$ then $\rho \in G^T$ and $\rho(a) \neq a$. Therefore $a \notin A^K$, where $K = G^T$. Thus $A^K = T$. Hence T is a fixed subring of A with respect to G . (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let H and K be fixed subgroups of G such that $(G:H) < \infty$ and $(G:K) < \infty$. Then $H \cap K$ is also a fixed subgroup of G with $(G:H \cap K) < \infty$. Therefore $\bigcup A^H$ is a directed union of fixed subrings of A , where H ranges over all fixed subgroups of G with $(G:H) < \infty$. Then, by assumption, $\bigcup A^H$ is a fixed subring of A with respect to G . Since $\bigcap H = 1$, we have $A = \bigcup A^H$. For any x in A , there is an A^H such that $x \in A^H$. Therefore if we put $L = \{\sigma \in G; \sigma(x) = x\}$ then $(G:L) < \infty$. This implies that $\{\sigma(x); \sigma \in G\}$ is finite.

Remark. For any x in A , $\{\sigma(x); \sigma \in G\} = \{\sigma(x); \sigma \in G^*\}$.

Proposition 1.2. *Let N be a fixed normal subgroup of G such that $(G:N) < \infty$ and A^N/B is finite G/N -Galois, and G_1 a subgroup of G^* containing G . Then A^N/B is finite G_1/N_1 -Galois, where $N_1 = \{\sigma \in G_1; \sigma|A^N = 1_{A^N}\}$.*

Proof. Put $T = A^N$. Evidently $A^{N_1} = T$. Since G is dense in G_1 and T_B is finitely generated, there holds $G|T = G_1|T$. Therefore T is G_1 -invariant, $N_1 \triangleleft G_1$, and $(G_1:N_1) < \infty$. There are elements $a_1, \dots, a_n; a_1^*, \dots, a_n^*$ in T such that $\sum_i a_i \cdot \sigma(a_i^*) = \delta_{N,\sigma}$ for all σ in G . If τ is in $G_1 - N_1$ then $\tau|T = \rho|T$ for

some ρ in $G - N$, and $\sum_i a_i \cdot \tau(a_i^*) = \sum_i a_i \cdot \rho(a_i^*) = 0$. Thus $\sum_i a_i \cdot \sigma(a_i^*) = \delta_{N_1, \sigma}$ for σ in G_1 .

Corollary. *Let A/B be locally finite G -Galois, and G_1 a subgroup of G^* containing G . Then A/B is locally finite G_1 -Galois.*

Proposition 1.3. *Let H_λ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) be fixed subgroups of G such that $A = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A^{H_\lambda}$ is a directed union.*

(1) *If H is a subgroup of G such that $(G:H) < \infty$ then $A^H \subseteq A^{H_\lambda}$ for some λ in Λ .*

(2) *If K is a subgroup of G such that $(K:1) < \infty$ then $K \cap H_\mu = 1$ for some μ in Λ .*

Proof. (1) Let $[H_\lambda \cup H]$ be the subgroup of G generated by $H_\lambda \cup H$. Since $G \supseteq [H_\lambda \cup H] \supseteq H$, we have $(G:[H_\lambda \cup H]) \leq (G:H)$ for all λ in Λ . Let $(G:[H_{\lambda_0} \cup H])$ be maximum. We shall prove that $A^H \subseteq A^{H_{\lambda_0}}$. For any H_λ there is an H_μ such that $A^{H_\mu} \supseteq A^{H_\lambda} \cup A^{H_{\lambda_0}}$. Then $H_\mu \subseteq H_\lambda \cap H_{\lambda_0}$, and so $[H_\mu \cup H] \subseteq [H_\lambda \cup H] \cap [H_{\lambda_0} \cup H]$. Since $(G:[H_{\lambda_0} \cup H])$ is maximum, we have $([H_\lambda \cup H] \supseteq) [H_\mu \cup H] = [H_{\lambda_0} \cup H]$. Hence $[H_{\lambda_0} \cup H] \subseteq [H_\lambda \cup H]$ for all λ in Λ . Then $A^H = \bigcup_\lambda (A^{H_\lambda} \cap A^H) = \bigcup_\lambda A^{[H_\lambda \cup H]} = A^{[H_{\lambda_0} \cup H]} = A^{H_{\lambda_0}} \cap A^H$, which means $A^H \subseteq A^{H_{\lambda_0}}$. (2) Since $A = \bigcup_\lambda A^{H_\lambda}$, we have $1 = G^A = \bigcap_\lambda H_\lambda$. Let $K = \{\sigma_1 = 1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_r\}$. Then, for any σ_i ($i \neq 1$), there is an H_{λ_i} such that $\sigma_i \notin H_{\lambda_i}$. By assumption there is a μ such that $H_\mu \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1, \dots, r} H_{\lambda_i}$. Then $H \cap H_\mu \subseteq H \cap (\bigcap_{i=1, \dots, r} H_{\lambda_i}) = 1$.

Remark. Let A/B be locally finite G -Galois, and $A = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A^{N_\lambda}$ its representation. If G is finite then $A = A^{N_\lambda}$ for some λ .

Proposition 1.4. *Let T be an intermediate ring of A/B such that $G|T$ is finite, and let $H = G^T$, and $G = \sigma_1 H \cup \dots \cup \sigma_r H$ a left coset decomposition of G . If there are elements $t_1, \dots, t_n; t_1^*, \dots, t_n^*$ in T such that $\sum_i t_i \cdot \sigma(t_i^*) = \delta_{H, \sigma}$ for all σ in G , then there hold the following.*

(1) *$T = A^H$, and T_B is finitely generated and projective.*

(2) *$j^*: A(\sum_k u_{\sigma_k})T = \sum_k A u_{\sigma_k} \simeq \text{Hom}(T_B, A_B)$, where $j^*(\sum_k x_k u_{\sigma_k})(t) = \sum_k x_k \cdot \sigma_k(t)$, and this induces the B - T -isomorphism $({}_B T_T \simeq) (\sum_k u_{\sigma_k})T \simeq \text{Hom}(T_B, B_B)$.*

(3) *The following are equivalent: (i) $B_B | T_B$. (ii) ${}_B B | {}_B T$. (iii) $t_{G:H}(c) = 1$ for some c in T .*

Proof. (1) $t_{G:H}$ is a B - B -homomorphism from A^H to B . For any y in A^H , $T \ni \sum_i t_i \cdot t_{G:H}(t_i^* y) = \sum_i t_i \sum_k \sigma_k(t_i^* y) = \sum_k \sum_i t_i \cdot \sigma_k(t_i^*) \sigma_k(y) = y$. Hence $A^H = T$, and T_B is finitely generated and projective (cf. [3]). (2) j^{*-1} is the mapping such that $j^{*-1}(f) = \sum_i f(t_i) (\sum_k u_{\sigma_k}) t_i^*$ ($f \in \text{Hom}(T_B, A_B)$). The second part will be easily seen. (3) The equivalence (i) $\iff$ (iii) is easy from (2).

Therefore (i) and (ii) are equivalent, because the situation is right-left symmetric.

Proposition 1.5. *Let A/B be locally finite G -Galois. Then there hold the following:*

- (1) G^* is compact.
- (2) By j , Δ is isomorphic to a dense subring of $\text{Hom}(A_B, A_B)$.
- (3) A subgroup H of G is a closed subgroup of G if and only if H is a fixed subgroup of G .

Proof. Let $A = \bigcup_{\lambda} A^{N_{\lambda}}$ be a representation of the locally finite G -Galois extension A/B . (1) If x is in A then $x \in A^{N_{\nu}}$ for some ν in Λ . Then $(G : N_{\nu}) < \infty$ implies that $(\{\sigma(x); \sigma \in G\} =) \{\sigma(x); \sigma \in G^*\}$ is finite. Hence, by Prop. 1.1, G^* is compact. (2) By Prop. 1.4 (2), $\text{Im } j$ is dense in $\text{Hom}(A_B, A_B)$. Therefore it suffices to prove that j is 1-1. Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$ be different elements in G . Then there is a finite subset F of A such that $\sigma_i|F \neq \sigma_k|F$ provided $i \neq k$. From this fact and Prop. 1.4, we can easily see that j is 1-1. (3) Evidently, a fixed subgroup is a closed subgroup. Let H be any subgroup of G , and put $H' = G^T$, where $T = A^H$. Then $T = A^{H'}$. It suffices to prove that H is dense in H' . To prove this, we take any finite subset F of A . Then $F \subseteq A^{N_{\mu}}$ for some N_{μ} . Put $N = N_{\mu}$. Then, by finite Galois theory, we obtain $(G/N)^{T_1} = HN/N$ and $(A/N)^{T_1} = H'N/N$, where $T_1 = A^{HN}$ and $T'_1 = A^{H'N}$ (cf. [22; Prop. 2.2]). Since $A^{HN} = A^H \cap A^N = A^{H'} \cap A^N = A^{H'N}$, we have $HN/N = H'N/N$, that is, $HN = H'N$. Hence $H|A^N = H'|A^N$, and so $H|F = H'|F$. Since F is arbitrary, this implies that H is dense in H' . This completes the proof.

Theorem 1.6. *Let A/B be locally finite G -Galois, $G = G^*$, and H a subgroup of G , and let A' be an indecomposable extension ring of B such that $V_{A'}(B) = V_{A'}(A')$. Assume that there is a B -ring homomorphism g from A to A' . Then, for any B -ring homomorphism f from A^H to A' , there is an element σ in G such that $f = g\sigma|A^H$.*

Proof. Let $A = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A^{N_{\lambda}}$ be a representation. For each N_{λ} , there is an element σ in G such that $f|A^{HN_{\lambda}} = g\sigma|A^{HN_{\lambda}}$ ([22; Th. 4.1]). For each λ , we put $K_{\lambda} = \{\sigma \in G; f|A^{HN_{\lambda}} = g\sigma|A^{HN_{\lambda}}\}$. Then $K_{\lambda} \neq \emptyset$, and $\{K_{\lambda}; \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ has finite intersection property. Let τ be in the closure of K_{λ} in G . Since $(A^{N_{\lambda}})_B$ is finitely generated, $\tau|A^{N_{\lambda}} = \alpha|A^{N_{\lambda}}$ for some α in K_{λ} . Then $\tau|A^{HN_{\lambda}} = \alpha|A^{HN_{\lambda}}$, and so $f|A^{HN_{\lambda}} = g\alpha|A^{HN_{\lambda}} = g\tau|A^{HN_{\lambda}}$. Hence $\tau \in K_{\lambda}$, and therefore K_{λ} is closed in G . Since G is compact (Prop. 1.5), we have $\bigcap_{\lambda} K_{\lambda} \neq \emptyset$. If ρ is in $\bigcap_{\lambda} K_{\lambda}$, then $f|A^{HN_{\lambda}} = g\rho|A^{HN_{\lambda}}$ for all λ in Λ . Since $A^H = \bigcup_{\lambda} A^{HN_{\lambda}}$, we know $f = g\rho|A^H$.

The following theorem will follow at once from Th. 1.6 and Cor. to Prop. 1.2.

Theorem 1.7. *Let A/B be locally finite outer G -Galois, and A an*

indecomposable ring. Then $G^* = \hat{G}$, that is, G is dense in $\hat{G}$.

Proposition 1.8. *Let A/B be locally finite G -Galois, and $G = G^*$ (cf. Cor. to Prop. 1.2). Then there hold the following.*

(1) *For an intermediate ring T of A/B the following are equivalent.*
 (i) $T = A^H$ for some subgroup H of G . (ii) *There are subgroups H_γ ($\gamma \in \Gamma$) of G such that $T = \bigcup_\gamma A^{H_\gamma}$, $(G : H_\gamma) < \infty$ and $\{A^{H_\gamma}; \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ is a directed set with respect to the inclusion relation.*

(2) *If H is a subgroup of G such that $(G : H) < \infty$ then $(A^H)_B$ is finitely generated.*

Proof. Let $A = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A^{N_\lambda}$ be a representation of the locally finite G -Galois extension A/B . (1) (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) $T = A^H = \bigcup_\lambda (A^H \cap A^{N_\lambda}) = \bigcup_\lambda A^{HN_\lambda}$ is a directed union, and $(G : HN_\lambda) < \infty$. (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) follows from Prop. 1.1. (2) By Prop. 1.3, $A^H \subseteq A^{N_\nu}$ for some ν in Λ . Then, $A^H = A^{HN_\nu}$ is a fixed subring of the finite G/N_ν -Galois extension A^{N_ν}/B , and therefore $(A^H)_{A^H} | (A^{N_\nu})_{A^H}$ (cf. [22; §2. p. 118]). Since $(A^{N_\nu})_B$ is finitely generated, $(A^H)_B$ is finitely generated.

Let T be an intermediate ring of A/B , and S a subset of A . T is called a G -separable cover of S if T satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) T/B is a separable extension, and $T \supseteq S$.
- (2) $G|T$ is finite.
- (3) $G|T$ is strongly distinct (i.e. if $\sigma|T \neq \tau|T$ for σ, τ in G then $\sigma|T$ and $\tau|T$ are strongly distinct).

Theorem 1.9. *Let A/B be locally finite outer G -Galois, and T an intermediate ring of A/B . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $T = A^H$ for some subgroup H of G such that $(G : H) < \infty$.
- (ii) T/B is a separable extension, T_B is finitely generated, and $G|T$ is strongly distinct.
- (iii) T is a G -separable cover of B .

Proof. Let $A = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A^{N_\lambda}$ be a representation. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) By Prop. 1.3, $T = A^H \subseteq A^{N_\nu}$ for some ν in Λ . Then T is a fixed subring of the finite G/N_ν -Galois extension A^{N_ν}/B . Then, by [19; Prop. 3.4], T/B is a separable extension. By Prop. 1.8 (2) (cf. Cor. to Prop. 1.2), T_B is finitely generated. By [22; Th. 2.6], $G|T$ is strongly distinct. (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) This follows from the fact that $\{\sigma(x); \sigma \in G\}$ is finite for any x in A . (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let $\{(t_i, t_i^*); i = 1, \dots, n\}$ be a (B, T) -projective coordinate system of T/B . Then, by [22; Prop. 1.2], $\sum_i t_i \cdot \sigma(t_i^*) = \delta_{H, \sigma}$ for σ in G , where $H = G^T$. $\#(G|T) < \infty$ implies $(G : H) < \infty$. By Prop. 1.4, $A^H = T$.

Combining Th. 1.9 with Prop. 1.8, we obtain the following theorem (cf. [12; Th. 3], [28; Theorem]).

Theorem 1.10. *Let A/B be locally finite outer G -Galois, and $G=G^*$. Then, for an intermediate ring T of A/B , the following are equivalent.*

- (i) $T=A^H$ for some subgroup H of G .
- (ii) For any finite subset F of T there is an intermediate ring T_0 of T/B such that $T_0 \supseteq F$, T_0/B is separable, T_{0B} is finitely generated, and $G|T_0$ is strongly distinct.
- (iii) Any finite subset of T has a G -separable cover which is contained in T .

Next we shall proceed to the characterization of locally finite outer Galois extensions.

Proposition 1.11. *Let $V_A(B)=C$, T a G -separable cover of B , and $\{(t_i, t_i^*); i=1, \dots, n\}$ a (B, T) -projective coordinate system for T/B , and put $H=G^T$. Then there hold the following.*

- (1) $\sum_i t_i \cdot \sigma(t_i^*) = \delta_{H, \sigma}$ for all σ in G .
- (2) $A^H=T$, $(G:H) < \infty$, and T/B is a projective Frobenius extension.
- (3) Let K be a subgroup of G containing H . Then, $\sum_i t_{K:H}(t_i) \sigma(t_i^*) = \delta_{K, \sigma}$ for all σ in G , T is (B, A^K) -projective, T/A^K is a projective Frobenius extension, and $G|A^K$ is strongly distinct. Further the following are equivalent. (α) $(A^K)_{A^K}|T_{A^K}$. (β) $(A^K)(A^K)|_{(A^K)}T$. (γ) $t_{K:H}(c)=1$ for some c in T .

Proof. (1) follows from [22; Prop. 1.2], and (2) is obvious by (1) and Prop. 1.4. (3) It will be easily seen that $\sum_i t_{K:H}(t_i) \sigma(t_i^*) = \delta_{K, \sigma}$ for all σ in G . Since $\sum_i t t_i \otimes t_i^* = \sum_i t_i \otimes t_i^* t$ ($\in T \otimes_B T$) for t in T , $\sum_i y \cdot t_{K:H}(t_i) \otimes t_i^* = \sum_i t_{K:H}(t_i) \otimes t_i^* y$ ($\in A^K \otimes_B T$) for all y in A^K . Hence the mapping $x \rightarrow \sum_i t_{K:H}(t_i) \otimes t_i^* x$ from T to $A^K \otimes_B T$ is an A^K - A^K -homomorphism. Since $\sum_i t_{K:H}(t_i) t_i^* x = x$, it follows that T is (B, A^K) -projective. Let $\rho|A^K \neq \tau|A^K$ for ρ, τ in G . Then $\tau^{-1}\rho \notin K$, and so $0 = \tau(\sum_i t_{K:H}(t_i) \tau^{-1}\rho(t_i^*)) = \sum_i \tau(t_{K:H}(t_i)) \rho(t_i^*)$. Thus, by [22; Prop. 1.1], $\rho|A^K$ and $\tau|A^K$ are strongly distinct. If we set $G=K$ in Prop. 1.4, the remainder follows from Prop. 1.4.

Theorem 1.12. *Let $V_A(B)=C$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) A/B is locally finite (outer) G -Galois.
- (ii) For any finite subset F of A there is a G -invariant G -separable cover T of F such that ${}_B B|_B T$.
- (iii) For any finite subset F of A there is a G -separable cover T of F which satisfies the following: If T_0 is an intermediate ring of T/B such that (α) T is (B, T_0) -projective, (β) T/T_0 is a projective Frobenius extension, (γ) $G|T_0$ is strongly distinct, then ${}_{T_0} T_0|_{T_0} T$.
- (iv) For any finite subset F of A there is a G -separable cover T of F

which satisfies the following: If T_0 is an intermediate ring of T/B such that (α) T is (B, T_0) -projective, (β) T/T_0 is a projective Frobenius extension, (γ) $G|T_0$ is strongly distinct, (δ) T_0 is a G -invariant fixed subring (with respect to G), then ${}_x T_0|_x T$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii), (iii) Let $A = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A^{\lambda}$ be a representation of the locally finite G -Galois extension A/B . Then any finite subset F of A is contained in some A^{μ} ($\mu \in \Lambda$). By [22; Th. 1.5], A^{μ} is a G -invariant G -separable cover of F such that ${}_B B|_B A^{\mu}$. Let T_0 be an intermediate ring of A^{μ}/B such that A^{μ} is (B, T_0) -projective and that $G|T_0$ is strongly distinct. Then, by [22; Th. 2.6], T_0 is a fixed subring of the finite outer G/N_{μ} -Galois extension A^{μ}/B , whence ${}_x T_0|_x T$ by [22; §2. p. 118]. (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let F be a finite subset of A , and T a G -invariant G -separable cover of F such that ${}_B B|_B T$. If we put $N = G^T$, then $A^N = T$, $N \triangleleft G$ and $(G:N) < \infty$ (Prop. 1.11). By Prop. 1.11, A^N/B is a finite G/N -Galois extension. Noting that $(A^N)_B$ is finitely generated, A/B is a locally finite G -Galois extension. (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) is trivial. (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let T_1 be a separable cover of an element x in A . Put $G^{T_1} = H_1$. Then $\#(G|T_1) < \infty$ implies $(G:H_1) < \infty$ and $\#\{\sigma(x); \sigma \in G\} < \infty$. Thus any finite subset of A is contained in a G -invariant finite subset of A . Let F be a G -invariant finite subset of A , and T a G -separable cover of F as that in (iv), and let $\{(t_i, t_i^*); i=1, \dots, n\}$ be a (B, T) -projective coordinate system of T/B , and $H = G^T$. Then, by Prop. 1.11, $A^H = T$, $(G:H) < \infty$, and $\sum_i t_i \cdot \sigma(t_i^*) = \delta_{H, \sigma}$ for all σ in G . Set $N = G^T$. Then $H \subseteq N \triangleleft G$, and $F \subseteq A^N \subseteq A^H = T$. By Prop. 1.11, T is (B, A^N) -projective, T/A^N is a projective Frobenius extension, and $G|A^N$ is strongly distinct. Then, by the assumption for T , ${}_{(A^N)}(A^N)|_{(A^N)} T$, so that $t_{N:H}(c) = 1$ for some c in T (Prop. 1.11 (3)). Put $t'_i = t_{N:H}(t_i)$ and $t_i^{*'} = t_{N:H}(t_i^* c)$. Then, $t'_i, t_i^{*'} \in A^N$, and $\sum_i t'_i \cdot \sigma(t_i^{*'}) = \delta_{N, \sigma}$ for all σ in G (Prop. 1.11 (3)). Further, as is easily seen, $\sum_i t'_i \cdot \sigma(t_i^{*'}) = \delta_{N, \sigma}$ for all σ in G . Since ${}_B B|_B T$ (Prop. 1.11 (3)), we have ${}_B B|_B A^N$. Thus A^N/B is a finite G/N -Galois extension. Noting that $(A^N)_B$ is finitely generated, we conclude that A/B is a locally finite G -Galois extension.

Proposition 1.13. Let $A^* \supseteq T \supseteq B^*$ be rings such that A^* is (B^*, T) -projective, A' an extension ring of B^* such that $V_{A'}(B^*) = V_{A'}(A')$, and $f_1, \dots, f_s$ B^* -ring homomorphisms from A^* to A' such that $f_i|T$ and $f_k|T$ ($i \neq k$) are strongly distinct. If $(B^*)_{B^*}^{r_{B^*}} \twoheadrightarrow T_{B^*}$ then $(A')_{A'}^{r_{A'}} \twoheadrightarrow (A')_{A'}^s$.

Proof. Let $\{(t_i, a_i^*); i=1, \dots, n\}$ be a (B^*, T) -projective coordinate system for A^* . Then, by [22; Prop. 1.2], $\sum_i f_h(t_i) f_k(a_i^*) = \delta_{h,k}$ for all h, k . Let φ be a A' -right homomorphism from $T \otimes_{B^*} A'$ to $(A')_{A'}^s$, defined by $\varphi(t \otimes a') = (f_1(t)a', \dots, f_s(t)a')$. Since $\sum_i f_h(t_i) f_k(a_i^*) = \delta_{h,k}$, φ is an epimorphism. $(B^*)_{B^*}^{r_{B^*}} \twoheadrightarrow T_{B^*}$ implies that $(A')_{A'}^{r_{A'}} \twoheadrightarrow T \otimes_{B^*} A'_{A'}$. Hence we have $(A')_{A'}^{r_{A'}} \twoheadrightarrow (A')_{A'}^s$, as

desired.

Concerning Prop. 1.13, we consider the following condition.

Condition (F): If ${}_A A^r \twoheadrightarrow {}_A A^s$ for positive integers r, s , then $r \geq s$.

Remark. Let ${}_A A^r \twoheadrightarrow {}_A A^s$ for positive integers r, s . Then, since ${}_A A^s$ is projective, ${}_A A^s$ is isomorphic to an A -direct summand of ${}_A A^r$.

(1) If ${}_A A$ is finite dimensional, then $r \cdot \dim {}_A A \geq s \cdot \dim {}_A A$, and so $r \geq s$ (cf. [11]).

(2) Assume that there is a proper ideal $\mathfrak{A}$ of A such that ${}_A A/\mathfrak{A}$ is finite dimensional. Then, since ${}_A A^r/\mathfrak{A}^r \twoheadrightarrow {}_A A^s/\mathfrak{A}^s$, the above (1) yields $r \geq s$, because ${}_A A^r/\mathfrak{A}^r \simeq {}_A (A/\mathfrak{A})^r$ and ${}_A A^s/\mathfrak{A}^s \simeq {}_A (A/\mathfrak{A})^s$.

(3) If A is commutative, then $r \geq s$ by (2).

Proposition 1.14. *Let $V_A(B) = C$, and A an indecomposable ring satisfying (F), and let T be an intermediate ring of A/B , and S a subset of A . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) T is a G -separable cover of S .
- (ii) $T \supseteq S$, T/B is a separable extension, and T_B is finitely generated.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is evident by Prop. 1.11. (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) By [22; Lemma 2.7], A is (B, T) -projective. Then, by Prop. 1.13, we have $\#(G|T) < \infty$, and hence T is a G -separable cover of S .

If A is commutative, then A satisfies (F). Therefore, by Th. 1.12, S. 3 and Prop. 1.14, we have the following

Theorem 1.15 (Nagahara [12]). *Let A be an indecomposable commutative ring. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) A/B is locally finite G -Galois.
- (ii) For any finite subset F of A there is an intermediate ring T of A/B such that (α) T/B is a separable extension, and T_B is finitely generated, (β) $T \supseteq F$.

Proposition 1.16. *Let A/B be locally finite G -Galois, and H a subgroup of G . Then $G|A^H$ is strongly distinct.*

Proof. Let σ, τ be in G , and e a central idempotent of A such that $\sigma(x)e = \tau(x)e$ for all x in A^H . Let $A = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A^{N_\lambda}$ be a representation of the locally finite G -Galois extension A/B . We may assume that $e \in A^{N_\lambda}$ for all λ in Λ . Suppose that $\sigma|A^H \neq \tau|A^H$. Since $A^H = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A^{N_\lambda H}$, $\sigma|A^{N_\mu H} \neq \tau|A^{N_\mu H}$ for some μ in Λ . Then, by [22; Prop. 2.4], $(G/N_\mu)|A^{N_\mu H}$ is strongly distinct. Therefore we have $e = 0$. Thus $G|A^H$ is strongly distinct.

Theorem 1.17. *Let A/B be locally finite outer G -Galois, and T an intermediate ring of A/B . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) $T = A^H$ for some subgroup H of G , and A_T is finitely generated.

- (ii) $T = A^H$ for some subgroup H of G such that $(H:1) < \infty$.
 (iii) A/T is a projective Frobenius extension, $\text{Hom}(A_T, A_T) \subseteq \Delta$, and $G|T$ is strongly distinct.

When any of the above conditions is satisfied A/A^H is finite H -Galois.

Proof. Let $A = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A^{N_\lambda}$ be a representation of the locally finite outer G -Galois extension A/B . (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Let $A = x_1 T + \cdots + x_r T$. Then $x_1, \dots, x_r \in A^{N_\mu}$ for some $\mu \in \Lambda$, so that $A = A^{N_\mu} \cdot T = A^{N_\mu} \cdot A^H$. Hence $N_\mu \cap H = 1$. Since $(G:N_\mu) < \infty$ we have $(H:1) < \infty$. (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) By Prop. 1.3, $H \cap N_\mu = 1$ for some $\mu \in \Lambda$. There are elements $a_1, \dots, a_n; a_1^*, \dots, a_n^*$ in A^{N_μ} such that $\sum_i a_i \cdot \sigma(a_i^*) = \delta_{N_\mu, \sigma}$ for all σ in G . Then $\sum_i a_i \cdot \sigma(a_i^*) = \delta_{1, \sigma}$ for all σ in H . Hence A/A^H is H -Galois. Therefore A/A^H is a projective Frobenius extension (cf. [22; p. 121]), and $\text{Hom}(A_T, A_T) = \sum_{\tau \in H} A u_\tau \subseteq \Delta$. By Prop. 1.16, $G|T$ is strongly distinct. (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let $h = \sum_{\tau \in H} a_\tau u_\tau$ be a Frobenius homomorphism of A/T , where H is a finite subset of G and $a_\tau \neq 0$ for all τ in H . Then, since $th = ht$ for all t in T , we have $ta_\tau = a_\tau \cdot \tau(t)$ for all t in T , in particular, $ba_\tau = a_\tau b$ for all b in B . Hence $a_\tau \in V_A(B) = C$ for all τ in H . There are elements r_i, l_i in A such that $x = \sum_i h(xr_i)l_i = \sum_i r_i \cdot h(l_i x)$ for all x in A (cf. [27]). Then $u_1 = \sum_i r_i h l_i = \sum_i r_i \sum_{\tau \in H} a_\tau \cdot \tau(l_i) u_\tau = \sum_{\tau \in H} \sum_i r_i \cdot a_\tau \cdot \tau(l_i) u_\tau$, and so $1 = \sum_i r_i a_1 l_i = a_1 \sum_i r_i l_i$. Thus a_1 is an invertible element in C , and $a_1^{-1} = \sum_i r_i l_i$. Since H is finite there is an N_μ such that $\tau|A^{N_\mu} \neq \rho|A^{N_\mu}$ provided $\tau \neq \rho$ ($\tau, \rho \in H$). Since A^{N_μ}/B is finite G/N_μ -Galois, there are elements d_k, e_k in A^{N_μ} such that $\sum_k d_k \cdot \sigma(e_k) = \delta_{N_\mu, \sigma}$ for all σ in G . Put $\Delta_0 = \text{Hom}(A_T, A_T)$. Then $\Delta_0 = AhA$, and $\Delta_0 \ni \sum_k \tau(d_k) h e_k = \sum_{\sigma \in H} \sum_k \tau(d_k) a_\sigma \cdot \sigma(e_k) u_\sigma = a_\tau u_\tau$ for τ in H . Thus $\Delta_0 = AhA = \sum_{\tau \in H} \oplus A a_\tau u_\tau$. Since A/T is a projective Frobenius extension with Frobenius homomorphism h , ${}_A A \otimes_T A_A \simeq {}_A \Delta_0 A$ by the correspondence $x \otimes y \rightarrow x h y$. Let φ be the A -left homomorphism from Δ to Δ_0 defined by $\varphi(\sum_\sigma x_\sigma u_\sigma) = \sum_{\tau \in H} x_\tau a_\tau u_\tau$, and ψ the A -left homomorphism from Δ_0 to Δ defined by $\psi(x h y) = \sum_i x \cdot h(y r_i) v l_i$, where $v = \sum_{\tau \in H} u_\tau$. Then, as $h(tr_i) a_\tau = \tau h(y r_i) a_\tau$ ($\tau \in H$), $\varphi \psi = 1$. Since $a_\tau u_\tau = \sum_k \tau(d_k) h e_k$, we have $\psi(a_\tau u_\tau) = \sum_k \sum_i \tau(d_k) h(e_k r_i) v l_i = \sum_{\nu, \rho \in H} \sum_k \sum_i \tau(d_k) a_\rho \cdot \rho(e_k) \rho(r_i) u_\nu l_i = \sum_{\nu \in H} \sum_i a_\tau \cdot \tau(r_i) u_\nu l_i$, and so $\varphi \psi(a_\tau u_\tau) = \sum_{\nu \in H} \sum_i a_\tau \cdot a_\nu \cdot \tau(r_i) \times \nu(l_i) u_\nu$. On the other hand, $\varphi \psi(a_\tau u_\tau) = a_\tau u_\tau$, and hence $a_\tau^2 \cdot \tau(\sum_i r_i l_i) = a_\tau$ for all τ in H . Since $a_1^{-1} = \sum_i r_i l_i$, we have $a_\tau^2 \cdot a_1 = a_\tau \cdot \tau(a_1)$. Noting that $\tau(a_1)$ is an invertible element of C , $A a_\tau a_\tau = A a_\tau \cdot \tau(a_1) = A a_\tau$, and so $A = A a_\tau + \text{Ann}_A(a_\tau)$, where $\text{Ann}_A(a_\tau) = \{x \in A; x a_\tau = 0\}$. If $x a_\tau \in \text{Ann}_A(a_\tau)$, then $0 = x a_\tau^2 = x a_\tau \cdot \tau(a_\tau)$, so that $x a_\tau = 0$. Thus $A = A a_\tau \oplus \text{Ann}_A(a_\tau)$. Therefore $A a_\tau$ is written as $A g_\tau$ with a central idempotent g_τ of A . Since $A a_\tau u_\tau \subseteq \Delta_0$, we have $g_\tau u_\tau \in \Delta_0$, and so $g_\tau \cdot t = g_\tau \tau(t)$ for all t in T . Consequently, $\Delta_0 = \sum_{\tau \in H} \oplus A u_\tau$ and $H = G^T$. Hence $\text{End}({}_\Delta A) = (A^H)_r$ the right multiplications of elements of A . Since $a_\tau u_\tau \in \Delta_0 = \text{End}(A_T)$, we have $a_\tau u_\tau \in \text{End}(A_{(A^H)})$. Noting that a_τ is in C , we

can easily be seen that $a_\tau u_\tau \in \text{Hom}({}_{(A^H)}A_{(A^H)}, {}_{(A^H)}A_{(A^H)})$. Thus $h = \sum_{\tau \in H} a_\tau u_\tau \in \text{Hom}({}_{(A^H)}A_{(A^H)}, {}_{(A^H)}A_{(A^H)})$. Then, by [27; Cor. 1], A/A^H is also a projective Frobenius extension with a Frobenius homomorphism h . Since $(H:1) < \infty$, there is an N_λ such that $H \cap N_\lambda = 1$ (Prop. 1.3 (2)). Then $A^{HN_\lambda} \subseteq A^{N_\lambda}$, and $H \simeq HN_\lambda/N_\lambda$ canonically. Therefore there is an element c in A^{N_λ} such that $t_H(c) = 1$ (cf. [22; §2. p. 118]), which implies $(A^H)_{(A^H)} | A_{(A^H)}$, because the A^H -right homomorphism $x \rightarrow t_H(cx)$ ($x \in A$) from A to A^H splits. Therefore there is an element d in A such that $h(d) = 1$. Then, for any x in A^H , $T \ni h(dx) = h(d)x = x$. Thus we obtain $T = A^H$, as desired.

Theorem 1.18. *Let A/B be finite outer G -Galois, and T an intermediate ring of A/B . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) $T = A^H$ for some subgroup H of G .
- (ii) A/T is a projective Frobenius extension, and $G|T$ is strongly distinct.
- (iii) T/B is a separable extension, and $G|T$ is strongly distinct.

Proof. (i) $\iff$ (ii) is evident from Th. 1.17. (i) $\implies$ (iii) follows from [22; Th. 2.6] and [19; Prop. 3.4]. (iii) $\implies$ (i) follows from [22; Th. 2.6 and Lemma 2.7].

§2. Heredity of locally finite Galois extensions.

Let A_0 be a G^* -invariant subring of A such that the mapping $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma|A_0$ ($\sigma \in G^*$) is one-to-one and such that A_0/A_0^G is a locally finite G -Galois extension, and let G^* be compact (as an automorphism group of A). Put $B_0 = A_0^G$, and let $A_0 = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_0^{N_\lambda}$ be a representation of the locally finite G -Galois extension A_0/B_0 . Then G/N_λ may be considered as a finite group of automorphisms of A^{N_λ} . And, by [22; Th. 5.1 and §2. p. 118], $A^{N_\lambda} = A_0^{N_\lambda} \otimes_{B_0} B$, A^{N_λ}/B is finite G/N_λ -Galois. Since $\bigcup_\lambda A^{N_\lambda}$ is a directed union, the compactness of G^* implies that $\bigcup_\lambda A^{N_\lambda} (\supseteq A_0)$ is a fixed subring of A with respect to G^* (Prop. 1.1), so that $A = \bigcup_\lambda A^{N_\lambda}$, because $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma|A_0$ ($\sigma \in G^*$) is 1-1. Thus A/B is locally finite G -Galois. Let H be any subgroup of G . Then, $A^H = \bigcup_\lambda (A^H \cap A^{N_\lambda}) = \bigcup_\lambda A^{HN_\lambda}$. By [22; Th. 5.1], $A^{HN_\lambda} = (A_0^{N_\lambda})^{HN_\lambda/N_\lambda} \otimes_{B_0} B = A_0^{HN_\lambda} \otimes_{B_0} B$. Hence $A^H = \bigcup_\lambda (A_0^{HN_\lambda} \cdot B) = A_0^H \cdot B$, and $A_0^H \otimes_{B_0} B \twoheadrightarrow A_0^H \cdot B = A^H$ canonically. Since the isomorphism $A_0^{HN_\lambda} \otimes_{B_0} B \simeq A_0^{HN_\lambda} \cdot B (\subseteq A^H)$ may be considered as $A_0^{HN_\lambda} \otimes_{B_0} B \rightarrow A_0^H \otimes_{B_0} B \rightarrow A^H$, we know $A^H = A_0^H \otimes_{B_0} B$. Symmetrically we obtain $A^H = B \otimes_{B_0} A_0^H$. Next we consider the set of all $A_0 G$ -left submodules of A and the set of all B_0 -left submodules of B . Let $\bar{X}$ be any $A_0 G$ -left submodule of A . Then $\bar{X} \cap A^{N_\lambda}$ is an $A_0^{N_\lambda}(G/N_\lambda)$ -left submodule of A^{N_λ} . Therefore, by [22; Th. 5.1], we have $\bar{X} \cap A^{N_\lambda} = A_0^{N_\lambda}((\bar{X} \cap A^{N_\lambda}) \cap B) = A_0^{N_\lambda} \otimes_{B_0} (\bar{X} \cap B)$, so that $\bar{X} = \bigcup_\lambda (\bar{X} \cap A^{N_\lambda}) = \bigcup_\lambda (A_0^{N_\lambda} \otimes_{B_0} (\bar{X} \cap B)) = A_0(\bar{X} \cap B)$. Since $A_0^{N_\lambda} \otimes_{B_0} (\bar{X} \cap B) \simeq A_0^{N_\lambda}(\bar{X} \cap B)$

$\cap B) \subseteq \bar{X}$ for all λ , we have $\bar{X} = A_0 \otimes_{B_0} (\bar{X} \cap B)$. Evidently $\bar{X} \cap B$ is a B_0 -left submodule of B . Let X be any B_0 -left submodule of B . Then, as is easily seen, $A_0 X$ is an $A_0 G$ -left submodule of A , and $A_0 X = \cup_{\lambda} A_0^{N_{\lambda}} \cdot X$. By [22; Th. 5.1], $A_0^{N_{\lambda}} \cdot X \cap B = X$ for all λ in Λ , so that $A_0 X \cap B = \cup_{\lambda} (A_0^{N_{\lambda}} X \cap B) = X$. If $\bar{Y}$ is a G -invariant intermediate ring of A/A_0 , then $\bar{Y} \cap B$ is an intermediate ring of B/B_0 , and $\bar{Y} = A_0(\bar{Y} \cap B)$. Symmetrically we have $\bar{Y} = (\bar{Y} \cap B)A_0$. If Y is an intermediate ring of B/B_0 such that $A_0 Y = Y A_0$, then $A_0 Y$ is a G -invariant intermediate ring of A/A_0 . Since $A = \cup_{\lambda} A^{N_{\lambda}}$, we have $\bar{Y} = \cup_{\lambda} (\bar{Y} \cap A^{N_{\lambda}}) = \cup_{\lambda} \bar{Y}^{N_{\lambda}}$, and $\bar{Y}^{N_{\lambda}}/(\bar{Y} \cap B)$ is finite G/N_{λ} -Galois ([22; Th. 5.1]). Hence $\bar{Y}/(\bar{Y} \cap B)$ is locally finite G -Galois. Thus we have obtained the following.

Theorem 2.1. *Let A_0 be a G^* -invariant subring of A such that $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma|A_0$ ($\sigma \in G^*$) is 1-1 and such that A_0/B_0 is locally finite G -Galois where $B_0 = A_0^G$, and let G^* be compact. Then there hold the following:*

- (1) A/B is locally finite G -Galois.
- (2) $A^H = B \otimes_{B_0} A_0^H = A_0^H \otimes_{B_0} B$ for any subgroup H of G . In particular, $A = B \otimes_{B_0} A_0 = A_0 \otimes_{B_0} B$.
- (3) Let $\{\bar{X}\}$ and $\{X\}$ be the set of all $A_0 G$ -left submodules of A and the set of all B_0 -left submodules of B , respectively. Then, $\bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{X} \cap B$ and $X \rightarrow A_0 X = A_0 \otimes_{B_0} X$ are mutually converse order isomorphisms between $\{\bar{X}\}$ and $\{X\}$.
- (4) Let $\{\bar{Y}\}$ and $\{Y\}$ be the set of all G -invariant intermediate rings of A/A_0 and the set of all intermediate rings of B/B_0 such that $A_0 Y = Y A_0$, respectively. Then $\bar{Y}/(\bar{Y} \cap B)$ is locally finite G -Galois, and $\bar{Y} \rightarrow \bar{Y} \cap B$ and $Y \rightarrow A_0 Y = Y A_0$ are mutually converse order isomorphisms between $\{\bar{Y}\}$ and $\{Y\}$.

Let A, A' be R -algebras such that $A \otimes_R A' \neq 0$. Assume that A/B is a locally finite G -Galois extension such that $R \cdot 1 \subseteq B$, and assume that A' is a locally finite G' -Galois extension such that $R \cdot 1 \subseteq B'$. Then each $\sigma \times \tau$ in $G \times G'$ induces an automorphism of $A \otimes_R A'$. Let $A = \cup_{\alpha} A^{N_{\alpha}}$ and $A' = \cup_{\beta} A'^{N'_{\beta}}$ be representations of A/B and A'/B' respectively. Then, by [22; Th. 5.2], $(A^{N_{\alpha}} \otimes_R A'^{N'_{\beta}})/(B \otimes B')$ is a finite $(G/N_{\alpha}) \times (G'/N'_{\beta})$ -Galois extension. Let $\varphi_{\alpha\beta}$ be the canonical R -algebra homomorphism from $A^{N_{\alpha}} \otimes_R A'^{N'_{\beta}}$ to $A^{N_{\alpha}} \otimes A'^{N'_{\beta}}$ ($\subseteq A \otimes_R A'$). We put $(A \otimes_R A' \supseteq) A^{N_{\alpha}} \otimes A'^{N'_{\beta}} = A_{\alpha\beta}$ and $(A \otimes_R A' \supseteq) B \otimes B' = B^*$. To be easily seen, $\text{Ker } \varphi_{\alpha\beta}$ is a $(G/N_{\alpha}) \times (G'/N'_{\beta})$ -invariant ideal of $A^{N_{\alpha}} \otimes_R A'^{N'_{\beta}}$. Hence $A_{\alpha\beta}/B^*$ is $(G/N_{\alpha}) \times (G'/N'_{\beta})$ -Galois ([22; Th. 5.6]). There are elements c and c' in $A^{N_{\alpha}}$ and $A'^{N'_{\beta}}$ respectively such that $t_{G/N_{\alpha}}(c) = 1$ and $t_{G'/N'_{\beta}}(c') = 1$. Then $c \otimes c' \in A_{\alpha\beta}$ and $t_{(G/N_{\alpha}) \times (G'/N'_{\beta})}(c \otimes c') = 1 \otimes 1$. Hence $A_{\alpha\beta}/B^*$ is a finite $(G/N_{\alpha}) \times (G'/N'_{\beta})$ -Galois extension, and $\{\sigma \times \tau \in G \times G'; \sigma \times \tau|A_{\alpha\beta} = 1_{A_{\alpha\beta}}\} = N_{\alpha} \times N'_{\beta}$. Since $\cap_{\alpha, \beta} (N_{\alpha} \times N'_{\beta}) = (\cap_{\alpha} N_{\alpha}) \times (\cap_{\beta} N'_{\beta}) = 1$, $G \times G'$ may be considered

as a group of automorphisms of $A \otimes_R A'$. Let H and H' be subgroups of G and G' , respectively. Then, $(A \otimes_R A')^{H \times H'} = \bigcup_{\alpha, \beta} A_{\alpha, \beta}^{H \times H'} = \bigcup_{\alpha, \beta} (A^{N_\alpha H} \otimes A'^{N'_\beta H'}) = (\bigcup_\alpha A^{N_\alpha H}) \otimes (\bigcup_{\beta} A'^{N'_\beta H'}) = A^H \otimes A'^{H'}$ by [22; Th. 5.2]. In particular $(A \otimes_R A')^{N_\alpha \times N'_\beta} = A^{N_\alpha} \otimes A'^{N'_\beta} = A_{\alpha, \beta}$, and evidently $(G \times G' : N_\alpha \times N'_\beta) < \infty$. Since $A \otimes_R A' = \bigcup_{\alpha, \beta} A^{N_\alpha} \otimes A'^{N'_\beta}$ is a directed union, $A \otimes_R A' / B \otimes B'$ is a locally finite $G \times G'$ -Galois extension. Let $a \in A$ and $a' \in A'$. Then it is evident that $\{\sigma \times \tau \in G \times G' ; \sigma(a) \otimes \tau(a') = a \otimes a'\} \supseteq \{\sigma \in G ; \sigma(a) = a\} \times \{\tau \in G' ; \tau(a') = a'\}$. Put $\{\sigma \in G ; \sigma(a) = a\} = K$ and $\{\tau \in G' ; \tau(a') = a'\} = K'$. Then $A^K \subseteq A^{N_\alpha}$ and $A'^{K'} \subseteq A'^{N'_\beta}$ for some α, β (Prop. 1.3), so that $N_\alpha \subseteq K$ and $N'_\beta \subseteq K'$. By [22; Th. 5.2], $(G/N_\alpha \times G'/N'_\beta)^{A^K \otimes A'^{K'}} = K/N_\alpha \times K'/N'_\beta$, and hence $(G \times G')^{A^K \otimes A'^{K'}} = K \times K'$. Since $(A^K)_B$ and $(A'^{K'})_{B'}$ are finitely generated, $(A^K \otimes A'^{K'})_{B \otimes B'}$ is finitely generated. Hence the finite topology of $G \times G'$ with respect to $A \otimes_R A'$ is the product topology of the finite topology of G with respect to A and the finite topology of G' with respect to A' . Thus we have proved the following

Theorem 2.2. *Let A and A' be R -algebras such that $A \otimes_R A' \neq 0$. If A/B is a locally finite G -Galois extension such that $R \cdot 1 \subseteq B$, and A'/B' is a locally finite G' -Galois extension such that $R \cdot 1 \subseteq B'$, then $(A \otimes_R A') / (B \otimes B')$ is a locally finite $G \times G'$ -Galois extension, and $(A \otimes_R A')^{H \times H'} = A^H \otimes A'^{H'}$ for any subgroup H of G and any subgroup H' of G' . The finite topology of $G \times G'$ with respect to $A \otimes_R A'$ is the product topology of the finite topology of G with respect to A and the finite topology of G' with respect to A' .*

Corollary. *Let A/B be a locally finite G -Galois extension such that $B \subseteq C$, and A' a B -algebra such that $A \otimes_B A' \neq 0$. Then $(A \otimes_B A') / (1 \otimes A')$ is a locally finite G -Galois extension, and $(A \otimes_B A')^H = A^H \otimes A'$ for any subgroup H of G .*

Proposition 2.3. *Let A/B be locally finite G -Galois, and $G = G^*$. If H and K are closed subgroups of G , then $A^{H \cap K} = A^H \cdot A^K = A^K \cdot A^H$. In particular, if $H \cap K = 1$ then $A = A^H \cdot A^K = A^K \cdot A^H$.*

Proof. Let $A = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A^{N_\lambda}$ be a representation of the locally finite G -Galois extension A/B . First we assume that $(G : K) < \infty$. Then, by Prop. 1.3, $A^K \subseteq A^{N_\mu}$ for some $\mu \in \Lambda$. Since $(A^{N_\mu})_B$ is finitely generated and $(A^K)_{A^K}$ is a direct summand of $(A^{N_\mu})_{A^K}$ ([22; §2. p. 118]), $(A^K)_B$ is finitely generated. Therefore we may assume that $A^K \subseteq A^{N_\lambda}$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Then $N_\lambda \subseteq K$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda$, and $A^H \cdot A^K = (\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A^{N_\lambda H}) (\bigcup_{\mu \in \Lambda} A^{N_\mu K}) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (A^{N_\lambda H} \cdot A^{N_\lambda K}) = \bigcup_{\lambda} A^{N_\lambda H \cap N_\lambda K} = \bigcup_{\lambda} A^{N_\lambda H \cap K}$ by [22; Prop. 5.3]. Since $N_\lambda H \cap K = N_\lambda (H \cap K)$ for all λ , we have $A^H \cdot A^K = \bigcup_{\lambda} A^{N_\lambda (H \cap K)} = A^{H \cap K}$. Next we return to general case. For any finite subset F of A^K , we put $K_F = \{\sigma \in G ; \sigma|_F = 1_F\}$. Then $(G : K_F) < \infty$, $A^{K_F} \subseteq A^K$, and $(A^{K_F})_B$ is finitely generated. Therefore $A^K = \bigcup_F A^{K_F}$ is a directed union, and

hence $A^H \cdot A^K = A^H(\cup_F A^{K_F}) = \cup_F (A^H \cdot A^{K_F})$ is also a directed union. Since each $A^H \cdot A^{K_F} (= A^{H \cap K_F})$ is a fixed subring of A , $A^H \cdot A^K$ is a fixed subring of A (Prop. 1.1). Hence, as is easily seen, $A^H \cdot A^K = A^{H \cap K}$. Symmetrically we have $A^{H \cap K} = A^K \cdot A^H$.

Corollary. *Let A/B be locally finite G -Galois, $G = G^*$, and $H_\gamma (\gamma \in \Gamma)$ be closed subgroups of G . Then, $[\cup_\gamma A^{H_\gamma}] = A^{\cap_\gamma H_\gamma}$, where $[\cup_\gamma A^{H_\gamma}]$ means the subring of A generated by $\cup_\gamma A^{H_\gamma}$.*

Proof. Evidently $[\cup_\gamma A^{H_\gamma}] = \cup [A^{H_{\gamma_1}} \cup \dots \cup A^{H_{\gamma_n}}]$, where $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\}$ ranges over all finite subsets of Γ . By Prop. 2.3, $A^{H_{\gamma_1} \cap \dots \cap H_{\gamma_n}} = A^{H_{\gamma_1}} \dots A^{H_{\gamma_n}} = [A^{H_{\gamma_1}} \cup \dots \cup A^{H_{\gamma_n}}]$, and therefore $[\cup_\gamma A^{H_\gamma}]$ is a directed union of fixed subrings of A . Hence, by Prop. 1.1, $[\cup_\gamma A^{H_\gamma}]$ is a fixed subring. Since $\{\sigma \in G; \sigma|[\cup_\gamma A^{H_\gamma}] = 1\} = \cap_\gamma H_\gamma$, we obtain $[\cup_\gamma A^{H_\gamma}] = A^{\cap_\gamma H_\gamma}$, as desired.

Proposition 2.4. *Let A/B be locally finite G -Galois, $\mathfrak{A}$ a G -invariant proper ideal of A , K a closed subgroup of G , and N a closed normal subgroup of G such that $(G:N) < \infty$. Then there hold the following:*

(1) $A^{K \cap N}/A^K$ is finite $K/(K \cap N)$ -Galois. In particular, A^N/B is finite G/N -Galois.

(2) $((A^N + \mathfrak{A})/\mathfrak{A})/((B + \mathfrak{A})/\mathfrak{A})$ is finite G/N -Galois, and $((A^N + \mathfrak{A})/\mathfrak{A})^H = (A^{N^H} + \mathfrak{A})/\mathfrak{A}$ for any subgroup H of G .

Proof. Let $A = \cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A^{N_\lambda}$ be a representation of the locally finite G -Galois extension A/B . (1) By Prop. 1.3, $A^N \subseteq A^{N_\mu}$ for some $\mu \in \Lambda$, and then $N_\mu \subseteq N$, $A^N = (A^{N_\mu})^{N/N_\mu}$. Therefore, by [22; Prop. 5.7], A^N/B is finite $(G/N_\mu)/(N/N_\mu)$ -Galois, or equivalently, finite G/N -Galois. Accordingly, A^N/A^{N^K} is finite NK/N -Galois, or equivalently, finite $K/(K \cap N)$ -Galois. $K/(K \cap N)$ may be considered as a finite group of automorphisms of $A^{K \cap N}$, because $K \cap N \triangleleft K$. Then $A^{K \cap N}/A^K$ is finite $K/(K \cap N)$ -Galois. (2) By (1), A^N/B is finite G/N -Galois. If $t_{G/N}(c) = 1$ for c in A^N , then $t_{G/N}(c + \mathfrak{A}) = 1 + \mathfrak{A}$. Then, by [22; Th. 5.6], $((A^N + \mathfrak{A})/\mathfrak{A})/((B + \mathfrak{A})/\mathfrak{A})$ is finite G/N -Galois, and $((A^N + \mathfrak{A})/\mathfrak{A})^H = (A^{N^H} + \mathfrak{A})/\mathfrak{A}$ for any subgroup H of G .

Let A/B be locally finite G -Galois, K a closed subgroup of G , N a closed normal subgroup of G , and $\mathfrak{A}$ a G -invariant proper ideal of A . Let $A = \cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A^{N_\lambda}$ be a representation of the locally finite G -Galois extension A/B . Then $A^N = \cup_\lambda (A^N \cap A^{N_\lambda}) = \cup_\lambda A^{NN_\lambda}$ is a directed union, and each NN_λ is a closed normal subgroup of G , because $(G:N_\lambda) < \infty$. Then, by Prop. 2.4 (1), A^{NN_λ}/B is finite G/NN_λ -Galois. Therefore there are elements $a_1, \dots, a_m; b_1, \dots, b_m$ in A^{NN_λ} such that $\sum_i a_i \cdot \sigma(b_i) = \delta_{NN_\lambda, \sigma}$ for σ in G . Hence A^{NN_λ}/B is finite $(G/N)/((NN_\lambda)/N)$ -Galois. Hence A^N/B is locally finite G/N -Galois. Next we consider K . $A = \cup_\lambda A^{N_\lambda \cap K}$ is a directed union, and each $N_\lambda \cap K$ is a fixed

normal subgroup of K such that $(K:N_\lambda \cap K) < \infty$. By Prop. 2.4 (1), each $A^{N_\lambda \cap K}/A^K$ is finite $K/(N_\lambda \cap K)$ -Galois. Hence A/A^K is locally finite K -Galois. Finally we consider $\mathfrak{A}$. Evidently, $A/\mathfrak{A} = \bigcup_\lambda ((A^{N_\lambda} + \mathfrak{A})/\mathfrak{A})$. By Prop. 2.4 (2), $((A^{N_\lambda} + \mathfrak{A})/\mathfrak{A})/((B + \mathfrak{A})/\mathfrak{A})$ is finite G/N_λ -Galois, and $((A^{N_\lambda} + \mathfrak{A})/\mathfrak{A})^H = (A^{N_\lambda H} + \mathfrak{A})/\mathfrak{A}$ for any subgroup H of G . Therefore $(A/\mathfrak{A})^H = \bigcup_\lambda ((A^{N_\lambda} + \mathfrak{A})/\mathfrak{A})^H = \bigcup_\lambda (A^{N_\lambda H} + \mathfrak{A})/\mathfrak{A} = (A^H + \mathfrak{A})/\mathfrak{A}$ for any subgroup H of G . Hence $((A + \mathfrak{A})/\mathfrak{A})/((B + \mathfrak{A})/\mathfrak{A})$ is locally finite G -Galois. Thus we have proved the following

Theorem 2.5. *Let A/B be locally finite G -Galois, N a closed normal subgroup of G , K a closed subgroup of G , and $\mathfrak{A}$ a G -invariant proper ideal of A . Then there hold the following:*

- (1) A^N/B is locally finite G/N -Galois.
- (2) A/A^K is locally finite K -Galois.
- (3) $((A + \mathfrak{A})/\mathfrak{A})/((B + \mathfrak{A})/\mathfrak{A})$ is locally finite G -Galois, and $((A + \mathfrak{A})/\mathfrak{A})^H = (A^H + \mathfrak{A})/\mathfrak{A}$ for any subgroup H of G .

Corollary. *Let A/B be locally finite G -Galois, and e a non-zero idempotent in $B \cap C$. Then Ae/Be is locally finite G -Galois, and $(Ae)^H = A^H \cdot e$ for any subgroup H of G .*

Let A/B be locally finite G -Galois, n a positive integer, and J the ring of rational integers. Then, $(J)_n$ is a J -algebra, and $(J)_n \otimes_J A \simeq (A)_n \neq 0$. If we define $\sigma((a_{ik})) = (\sigma(a_{ik}))$ for any σ in G and any (a_{ik}) in $(A)_n$, then $(A)_n/(B)_n$ is locally finite G -Galois and $((A)_n)^H = (A^H)_n$ for any subgroup H of G (Th. 2.2). Now, let $\{e_{ik}; i, k=1, \dots, m\}$ a system of matrix units contained in B , and $A = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A^{N_\lambda}$ a representation of A/B . Put $A_0 = V_A(\{e_{ik}\})$ and $B_0 = B \cap A_0$. Then, as is well known, $A = \sum_{i,k} A_0 e_{ik}$, $A_0 \simeq A_0 e_{ik}$ by the right multiplication of e_{ik} . To be easily seen, $A^{N_\lambda} = \sum_{i,k} A_0^{N_\lambda} e_{ik}$, and $A_0^{N_\lambda} = V_{A_0^{N_\lambda}}(\{e_{ik}\})$. There is an element c in A^{N_λ} such that $t_{G:N_\lambda}(c) = 1$. Let $c = \sum_{i,k} x_{ik} e_{ik}$ ($x_{ik} \in A_0^{N_\lambda}$). Then $1 = t_{G:N_\lambda}(c) = \sum_{i,k} t_{G:N_\lambda}(x_{ik}) e_{ik}$, and so $t_{G:N_\lambda}(x_{11}) = 1$. Thus, by [22; Th. 5.8], $A_0^{N_\lambda}/B_0$ is finite G/N_λ -Galois. Since $A_0 = \bigcup_\lambda A_0^{N_\lambda}$ is a directed union, A_0/B_0 is locally finite G -Galois. Therefore, by Th. 2.1, $A = A_0 \otimes_{B_0} B$. Thus we have obtained the following

Theorem 2.6. *Let A/B be locally finite G -Galois.*

- (1) *For any positive integer n , $(A)_n/(B)_n$ is locally finite G -Galois, and $((A)_n)^H = (A^H)_n$ for any subgroup H of G .*
- (2) *If $\{e_{ik}; i, k=1, \dots, m\}$ is a system of matrix units contained in B , $A_0 = V_A(\{e_{ik}\})$, and $B_0 = B \cap A_0$, then A_0/B_0 is locally finite G -Galois, and $A = A_0 \otimes_{B_0} B$.*

Let A/B be finite G -Galois, and M a A -left module. For any subgroup H of G , we put $M^H = \{m \in M; u_\tau m = m \text{ for all } \tau \in H\}$, which is an A^H -

submodule of M . Evidently $M^H \supseteq A^H \cdot M^G$, and the mapping $\varphi: A^H \otimes_B M^G \rightarrow M^H$ defined by $a \otimes m \rightarrow am$ ($a \in A$, $m \in M^G$) is an A^H -left homomorphism. By assumption there are elements $a_1, \dots, a_n; a_1^*, \dots, a_n^*, d$ in A such that $\sum_i a_i \cdot \sigma(a_i^*) = \delta_{1,\sigma}$ ($\sigma \in G$), $t_H(d) = 1$. Put $t_i = t_H(a_i)$. Then, $t_i \in A^H$ and $\sum_i t_i \cdot \sigma(a_i^*) = \delta_{H,\sigma}$ for σ in G . If m is in M^H , then $A^H \cdot M^G \ni t_i \sum_{\sigma \in G} u_\sigma(a_i^* dm) = \sum_i t_i \sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(a_i^* d) u_\sigma m = t_H(d)m = m$. Hence φ is an epimorphism. If $a \in A^H$ and $m_0 \in M^G$, then $\sum_i t_i \otimes \sum_{\sigma \in G} u_\sigma(a_i^* dam_0) = \sum_i t_i \otimes \sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(a_i^* da) m_0 = \sum_i t_i \sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(a_i^* da) \otimes m_0 = t_H(da) \otimes m_0 = a \otimes m_0$. From this fact, as is easily seen, φ is 1-1. Thus we have $M^H = A^H \otimes_B M^G$. Next we proceed to more general case.

Let A/B be locally finite G -Galois, $A = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A^{N_\lambda}$ its representation, and M a Δ -left module. Let $G = \sigma_1 N_\lambda \cup \dots \cup \sigma_r N_\lambda$ be the coset decomposition of G , and let Δ_λ be the trivial crossed product of A^{N_λ} with G/N_λ : $\Delta_\lambda = \sum_i \oplus A^{N_\lambda} v_{\sigma_i}^-$, $v_{\sigma_i}^- v_{\sigma_k}^- = v_{\sigma_i \sigma_k}^-$, $v_{\sigma_i}^- a = \sigma_i(a) v_{\sigma_i}^-$ ($\bar{\sigma}_i = \sigma_i N$, $\bar{\sigma}_k = \sigma_k N \in G/N_\lambda$, $a \in A^{N_\lambda}$). For any m in M^{N_λ} and any $\sum_i x_i v_{\sigma_i}^-$ in Δ_λ , we define $\sum_i x_i v_{\sigma_i}^-(m) = \sum_i x_i u_{\sigma_i} m$. Then, as is easily seen, M^{N_λ} is a Δ_λ -left module. Since A^{N_λ}/B is finite G/N_λ -Galois, we obtain that $M^{N_\lambda} = A^{N_\lambda} \otimes_B M^G$ and $M^{N_\lambda H} = A^{N_\lambda H} \otimes_B M^G$ for any subgroup H of G . Since $A = \bigcup_\lambda A^{N_\lambda}$ is a directed union, so is $\bigcup_\lambda M^{N_\lambda}$. For any subgroup H of G , $(\bigcup_\lambda M^{N_\lambda})^H = \bigcup_\lambda M^{N_\lambda H} = \bigcup_\lambda A^{N_\lambda H} \cdot M^G = A^H \cdot M^G$, and $A^{N_\lambda H} \otimes_B M^G \simeq A^{N_\lambda H} \cdot M^G$ canonically. The last isomorphism may be considered as $A^{N_\lambda H} \otimes_B M^G \rightarrow A^H \otimes_B M^G \rightarrow A^H \cdot M^G$, and hence we see that $(\bigcup_\lambda M^{N_\lambda})^H = A^H \otimes_B M^G$. For any m in M we put $H_m = \{\sigma \in G; u_\sigma m = m\}$, which is a subgroup of G . Assume that $(G : H_m) < \infty$ and that H_m is closed in G . Then, by Prop. 1.3, $H_m \supseteq N_\nu$ for some $\nu \in \Lambda$, so that $m \in M^{N_\nu}$. Conversely, if m is in $\bigcup_\lambda M^{N_\lambda}$, then $m \in M^{N_\mu}$ for some N_μ , so that $H_m \supseteq N_\mu$. Then, since $(G : N_\mu) < \infty$ and N_μ is closed in G , $(G : H_m) < \infty$ and H_m is closed in G . Thus we have proved the following

Theorem 2.7. *Let A/B be locally finite G -Galois, and M a Δ -left module. Then there hold the following:*

- (1) $A \cdot M^G$ is a Δ -submodule of M , and $(A \cdot M^G)^H = A^H \otimes_B M^G$ for any subgroup H of G .
- (2) $A \cdot M^G = \{m \in M; (G : H_m) < \infty \text{ and } H_m \text{ is closed in } G\}$, where $H_m = \{\sigma \in G; u_\sigma m = m\}$.

Corollary. *Let A/B be finite G -Galois, and M a Δ -left module. Then, $M^H = A^H \otimes_B M^G$ for any subgroup H of G , in particular, $M = A \otimes_B M^G$ (cf. [4; Th. 1.3] and [22; Th. 5.1 (2)]).*

Proposition 2.8. *Let A/B be finite G -Galois. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) *There are elements $a_1, \dots, a_n; a_1^*, \dots, a_n^*$ in $V_A(B)$ such that $\sum_i a_i \cdot \sigma(a_i^*) = \delta_{1,\sigma}$ ($\sigma \in G$) (cf. [22; Cor. to Th. 5.1]).*

(ii) ${}_B A_B |_B B_B$.

Proof. Since $(A \supseteq) (\sum_{\sigma} u_{\sigma}) A \simeq \text{Hom}(A_B, B_B)$ by j , it follows that $(\sum_{\sigma} u_{\sigma}) V_A(B) \simeq \text{Hom}({}_B A_B, {}_B B_B)$, and it is evident that $V_A(B) \simeq \text{Hom}({}_B B_B, {}_B A_B)$ canonically. To be easily seen, ${}_B A_B |_B B_B$ if and only if there are elements $f_1, \dots, f_n$ in $\text{Hom}({}_B A_B, {}_B B_B)$ and $g_1, \dots, g_n$ in $\text{Hom}({}_B B_B, {}_B A_B)$ such that $\sum_i g_i f_i(x) = x$ for all x in A . Consequently (ii) is equivalent to that $u_1 = \sum_i a_i (\sum_{\sigma} u_{\sigma}) a_i^*$ ($= \sum_{\sigma} \sum_i a_i \cdot \sigma(a_i^*) u_{\sigma}$) for some $a_1, \dots, a_n; a_1^*, \dots, a_n^*$ in $V_A(B)$. Hence (i) and (ii) are equivalent.

Corollary. *Let G be finite. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) A/B is outer G -Galois, and ${}_B A_B |_B B_B$.
- (ii) There are elements $a_1, \dots, a_n; a_1^*, \dots, a_n^*$ in C such that $\sum_i a_i \cdot \sigma(a_i^*) = \delta_{1, \sigma}$ ($\sigma \in G$).

Proof. This follows from [22; Prop. 6.4 and Prop. 6.5] and Prop. 2.8.

A/B is called a *completely outer G -Galois extension* if G is finite and completely outer (cf. [22]).

Theorem 2.9. *Let B' be a ring with identity, Z its center, and G' a finite group.*

- (1) *If A'/B' is completely outer G' -Galois and ${}_{B'} A'_{B'} |_{B'} B'_{B'}$, then $A' = B' \otimes_Z C'$, where C' is the center of A' , and C'/Z is G' -Galois.*
- (2) *If C'/Z is G' -Galois and C' is commutative, then $A' = B' \otimes_Z C'$ is a completely outer G' -Galois extension over B' , ${}_{B'} A'_{B'} |_{B'} B'_{B'}$ and $1 \otimes C'$ is the center of A' .*

Proof. (1) By [22; Prop. 6.4], A'/B' is outer G' -Galois and $V_{A'}(B') = C'$, where C' is the center of A' . Then, by Cor. to Prop. 2.8 and [22; Th. 5.1], C'/Z is G' -Galois and $A' = B' \otimes_Z C'$. (2) By [22; Th. 5.2 and Prop. 6.5], $A'/(B' \otimes 1)$ is completely outer G' -Galois. Since ${}_Z Z$ is a direct summand of ${}_Z C'$, $B' \simeq B' \otimes 1$ canonically, and ${}_{B'} A'_{B'} |_{B'} B'_{B'}$, because ${}_Z C' |_Z Z$. Then, by Cor. to Prop. 2.8, C^*/Z is C' -Galois, where C^* is the center of A' . Since $C^* \supseteq 1 \otimes C' \supseteq Z$ and $(1 \otimes C')/Z$ is G' -Galois ([22; Th. 5.1 or Th. 5.6]), we have $C^* = Z \cdot (1 \otimes C') = 1 \otimes C'$ ([22; Th. 5.1]).

Lemma 2.10. *Let T be a ring, and U a subring of T .*

- (1) *Let T/U be a separable extension. If a T -left module M is U -projective, then M is T -projective.*
- (2) *If ${}_T T \otimes_U T_T |_T T_T$ and ${}_U U |_U M$ for a T -left module M , then ${}_T T |_T M$.*
- (3) *Let T_0 be an intermediate ring of T/U . If T is (U, T_0) -projective and T_0 is a T_0 - T_0 -direct summand of T , then T_0/U is a separable extension.*

Proof. (1) Since the mapping $x \otimes y \rightarrow xy$ from $T \otimes_U T$ to T splits as a T - T -homomorphism, the mapping $x \otimes m \rightarrow xm$ from $T \otimes_U M$ to M splits as

a T -left homomorphism. Since ${}_vM$ is projective, so is ${}_rT \otimes_v M$. Therefore M is T -projective. (2) Since ${}_vU|_vM$, ${}_rT|_rT \otimes_v M$. Since ${}_rT \otimes_v T_r|_rT_r$, we have ${}_rT \otimes_v M|_rM$. Hence we have ${}_rT|_rM$. (3) Let φ be the canonical homomorphism from $T_0 \otimes_v T$ to T defined by $\varphi(t_0 \otimes t) = t_0 t$, and let ϕ be a T_0 - T_0 -homomorphism from T to $T_0 \otimes_v T$ such that $\varphi\phi(x) = x$ for all x in T . If $\phi(1) = \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i$ ($a_i \in T_0$, $b_i \in T$), then $\sum_i a_i b_i = 1$ and $\sum_i y a_i \otimes b_i = \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i y$ ($\in T_0 \otimes_v T$) for all y in T_0 . Let π be a T_0 - T_0 -homomorphism from T to T_0 such that $\pi|_{T_0} = 1_{T_0}$. Then, since $\sum_i y a_i \otimes b_i = \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i y$ ($\in T_0 \otimes_v T$) for all y in T_0 , we have $\sum_i a_i \cdot \pi(b_i) = 1$ and $\sum_i y a_i \otimes \pi(b_i) = \sum_i a_i \otimes \pi(b_i) y$ ($\in T_0 \otimes_v T_0$) for y in T_0 . Then the mapping $y \rightarrow \sum_i a_i \otimes \pi(b_i) y$ from T_0 to $T_0 \otimes_v T_0$ is a T_0 - T_0 -homomorphism, and $\sum_i a_i \cdot \pi(b_i) y = y$. Hence T_0/U is a separable extension.

Proposition 2.11. *Let A/B be finite G -Galois, and Z the center of B . If B is a separable Z -algebra and $Z \subseteq C$, then $V_A(B)/Z$ is finite G -Galois.*

Proof. By [2; Prop. 1.5], $B \otimes_Z B^0$ is a central separable Z -algebra, where B^0 is the opposite ring of B . Since ${}_B A$ and ${}_Z B$ are finitely generated and projective, so is ${}_Z A$. Then, by Lemma 2.10 (1), ${}_{B \otimes_Z B^0} A$ is finitely generated and projective. By [2; Th. 2.1], ${}_{B \otimes_Z B^0} B \otimes_Z B^0|_{B \otimes_Z B^0} B$, and hence ${}_B A_B|_B B_B$. Then, by Prop. 2.8, $V_A(B)/Z$ is finite G -Galois (cf. S.3).

Theorem 2.12. *Let G be finite, π the group homomorphism defined by $\pi(\sigma) = \sigma|_C$ ($\sigma \in G$), Z the center of B , and $Z_0 = C^G$, and assume that A is indecomposable. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) A/Z_0 is separable, and π is 1-1.
- (ii) $V_A(B) = C$, A/Z is separable, and ${}_B A_B|_B B_B$.
- (iii) $V_A(B) = C$, and both B/Z and C/Z are separable.
- (iv) Both B/Z and C/Z_0 are separable, and π is 1-1.
- (v) $V_A(B) = C$, A/B is separable, A is (Z, B) -projective, and ${}_B B_B|_B A_B$.
- (vi) $A = B \cdot C$, and A/Z is separable.
- (vii) ${}_{A \otimes_{Z_0} A} A \otimes_{Z_0} A^0|_{A \otimes_{Z_0} A} A$, and $\text{Hom}({}_A A u_{\sigma_A}, {}_A A u_{1_A}) = 0$ for any σ in G such that $\sigma \neq 1$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) By [2; Th. 2.3], A/C and C/Z_0 are separable. Therefore, by [4; Th. 1.3], C/Z_0 is G -Galois. Then, by [22; Th. 5.1], $A = B \otimes_{Z_0} C$. Hence $V_A(B) = C$, and $Z = Z_0$. Since ${}_Z C$ is finitely generated and projective, ${}_B A_B|_B B_B$. (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) $V_A(B) = C$ implies $Z = Z_0$ ($\subseteq C$). By [22; Lemma 2.7], A/C and A/B are separable, so that A/B is outer G -Galois ([22; Th. 1.5]). Then, by Prop. 2.8, C/Z is G -Galois, so that C/Z is separable. Since A/C is separable, B/Z is separable ([22; Cor. to Th. 5.1]). (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) In this case, $Z = Z_0$. By [2; Th. 3.1], $A = B \cdot C$, whence π is 1-1. (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (v) By

[4; Th. 1.3], C/Z_0 is G -Galois. Hence, by [22; Th. 5.1], A/B is G -Galois, and $A = B \cdot C$. Then A/B is separable, $V_A(B) = C$, and $Z = Z_0$. Since Z is commutative, ${}_Z Z$ is a direct summand of ${}_Z C$ (S. 3), so that $t_G(c) = 1$ for some c in C . Then B is a B - B -direct summand of A (cf. [22; § 2. p. 118]). Since B/Z is separable, A is (Z, B) -projective ([22; Lemma 2.7]). (v) $\Rightarrow$ (vi) By Lemma 2.10 (3), B/Z is separable. Then, by [2; Th. 3.1], $A = B \otimes_Z C$. Since both A/B and B/Z are separable, A/Z is separable ([22; Lemma 2.7]). (vi) $\Rightarrow$ (i) As $A = B \cdot C$, $V_A(B) = C$, $Z = Z_0$, and π is 1-1. Thus we know that (i) $\sim$ (vi) are equivalent. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (vii) In this case, $V_A(B) = C$, $Z = Z_0$, and B/Z is separable. Then, by [2; Th. 2.1], ${}_B B \otimes_Z B \otimes_Z B^0|_B B$. Therefore ${}_B B \otimes_Z B|_B B$, and then ${}_A A \otimes_Z A|_A A \otimes_B A$. By [22; Prop. 1.3], ${}_A A \simeq {}_A A \otimes_B A$. Hence ${}_A A \otimes_Z A^0|_A A \otimes_Z A^0$. The second assertion follows from [22; Prop. 6.3]. (vii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) By assumption, $\text{End}({}_A A \otimes_Z A^0) \simeq \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} \text{End}({}_A A \otimes_Z A^0 A u_\sigma)$ (external direct sum as rings). To be easily seen, $\text{End}({}_A A \otimes_Z A^0 A u_\sigma) \simeq C$, which is commutative. Hence $\text{End}({}_A A \otimes_Z A^0)$ is a commutative ring. Then, by S. 1 and S. 3, ${}_A A \otimes_Z A^0$ is finitely generated and projective. Hence ${}_A A \otimes_Z A^0$ is finitely generated and projective, that is, A/Z_0 is separable. Let f be the projection from A to $A u_1$ with respect to the decomposition $A = \sum_\sigma A \oplus A u_\sigma$. Then, since $\text{End}({}_A A \otimes_Z A^0)$ is commutative, f is in the center of $A \otimes_Z A^0$ (cf. S. 1). By [2; Prop. 1.5], the center of $A \otimes_Z A^0$ is $C \otimes C$, so that f is written as $f = \sum_i a_i \otimes a_i^*$ ($a_i, a_i^* \in C$). Then, $u_1 = \sum_i a_i (\sum_\sigma u_\sigma) a_i^* (= \sum_\sigma (\sum_i a_i \cdot \sigma(a_i^*)) u_\sigma)$, and hence $\sum_i a_i \cdot \sigma(a_i^*) = \delta_{1,\sigma}$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Remark. The following are also equivalent to (i) ($\Leftarrow$ (iii)).

(viii) A/C is separable, and C/Z_0 is G -Galois (cf. Kanzaki [8]).

(ix) A/B is outer G -Galois, and B/Z is separable.

Proposition 2.13. *Let A/B be locally finite G -Galois, and b an element of B which is not a right zero divisor of B . Then b is not a right zero divisor of A .*

Proof. Let a be an element of A such that $ab = 0$. Then $Aab = 0$, and so $\sigma(Aa)b = 0$ for all σ in G . Hence, $((\sum_\sigma \sigma(Aa)) \cap B)b = 0$. Then, by assumption, $(\sum_\sigma \sigma(Aa)) \cap B = 0$. Then, by Th. 2.1 (3), $\sum_\sigma \sigma(Aa) = A((\sum_\sigma \sigma(Aa)) \cap B) = 0$. Hence $a = 0$.

Let A/B be locally finite G -Galois, and $S \ni 1$ a G -invariant multiplicative system of regular elements in A such that a left quotient ring $\bar{A}$ of A with respect to S exists. Then G may be regarded as a group of automorphisms of $\bar{A}$. To be easily seen, $\{\sigma(x); \sigma \in G\}$ is finite for any x in $\bar{A}$. Then, by Th. 2.1, $\bar{A}/\bar{B}$ is locally finite G -Galois and $\bar{A} = \bar{B} \otimes_B A = A \otimes_B \bar{B}$, where $\bar{B} = \bar{A}^G$. To be easily seen, any element in $B \cap S$ is a unit of $\bar{B}$. For b in $\bar{B}$, we put

$\mathfrak{L} = \{x \in A; xb \in A\}$, which is a Δ -left submodule of A . Then $(\mathfrak{L} \cap B)b \subseteq B$. If $\mathfrak{L} \cap B \cap S \neq \emptyset$, then $sb \in B$ for some s in $B \cap S$. Therefore, if we assume that $\Delta(s) \cap B \cap S \neq \emptyset$ for all $s \in S$, then $\bar{B}$ is a left quotient ring of B with respect to $B \cap S$. Thus we obtain the following

Theorem 2.14. *Let A/B be locally finite G -Galois, and $S \ni 1$ a G -invariant multiplicative system of regular elements of A such that a left quotient ring $\bar{A}$ of A with respect to S exists. Further, assume that $\Delta(s) \cap B \cap S \neq \emptyset$ for all s in S . Then there hold the following:*

(1) $\bar{A}/\bar{B}$ is locally finite G -Galois and $\bar{A} = \bar{B} \otimes_B A = A \otimes_B \bar{B}$, where $\bar{B} = \bar{A}^G$.

(2) $\bar{A}$ is a left quotient ring of A with respect to $B \cap S$. $\bar{B}$ is a left quotient ring of B with respect to $B \cap S$.

Remark. Let A/B be locally finite G -Galois, and S a G -invariant multiplicative system of regular elements in A such that $S \subseteq C$ and $S \ni 1$. Then S satisfies the conditions in Th. 2.14. To see this, we put $H = \{\sigma \in G; \sigma(s) = s\}$ for s in S . If $G = \sigma_1 H \cup \dots \cup \sigma_r H$ is the left coset decomposition of G , then $\bigcup_i \sigma_i(s) \in \Delta(s) \cap B \cap S$.

A non-zero ring T with 1 is called a *left Goldie ring* if T satisfies the following conditions: (1) T is a semi-prime ring. (2) Any independent set of non-zero left ideals is finite (i.e., ${}_r T$ is *finite dimensional*). (3) T satisfies the ascending chain condition for annihilator left ideals.

A left Goldie ring has a complete left quotient ring which is a semi-simple ring with minimum condition for left ideals, and conversely (Goldie [17]). (Cf. [7])

Theorem 2.15. *Let A/B be locally finite G -Galois, A a left Goldie ring, $\bar{A}$ a complete left quotient ring of A , and B a semi-prime ring. Then there hold the following:*

(1) $\bar{A}/\bar{B}$ is locally finite G -Galois, where $\bar{B} = \bar{A}^G$.

(2) B is a left Goldie ring, and $\bar{B}$ is a complete left quotient ring of B .

Proof. Let S be the set of all regular elements of A . First we shall prove that B is a left Goldie ring. Since ${}_A A$ is finite dimensional, ${}_A A$ is finite dimensional. Then, by Th. 2.1 (3), ${}_B B$ is finite dimensional. Let $I \subseteq I'$ be left ideals of B . Then $l_A(r_B(I)) \subseteq l_A(r_B(I'))$, where $r_B(I) = \{y \in B; Iy = 0\}$ and $l_A(r_B(I)) = \{x \in A; x \cdot r_B(I) = 0\}$. From this fact, B satisfies the ascending chain condition for annihilator left ideals of B . Hence B is a left Goldie ring. By Prop. 2.13, $S \cap B$ is the set of all regular elements of B . For any s in S , ${}_A As$ is essential in ${}_A A$, so that ${}_A \Delta(s)$ is essential in ${}_A A$. Then, by Th. 2.1 (3), ${}_B(\Delta(s) \cap B)$ is essential in ${}_B B$, so that $\Delta(s) \cap B$ contains a regular element

of B ([17; Th. (3.9)]). Hence $\Delta(s) \cap B \cap S \neq \emptyset$ for any s in S . Thus the present theorem follows from Th. 2.14.

Remark. In the following cases, the condition that B is semi-prime is superfluous.

- (1) G is finite and completely outer (cf. [22; p. 132]).
- (2) B is contained in the center of A .

Let T be a ring. If T -left modules M and N have no non-zero isomorphic subquotients, we say that ${}_T M$ and ${}_T N$ are *unrelated* (cf. [22]).

Lemma 2.16. *Let T be a ring, and let M and N be T -left modules, and W a T -submodule of M . If ${}_T(M/W)$ and ${}_T N$ are unrelated, and ${}_T W$ and ${}_T N$ are unrelated, then ${}_T M$ and ${}_T N$ are unrelated.*

Proof. Assume that there are isomorphic subquotients X/X_0 and Y/Y_0 of ${}_T M$ and ${}_T N$, respectively. Then, as is easily seen, $X + W \not\supseteq X_0 + W$ or $X \cap W \not\supseteq X_0 \cap W$. If $X + W \not\supseteq X_0 + W$, then $Y/Y_0 \simeq X/X_0 \twoheadrightarrow (X + W)/(X_0 + W) \neq 0$, a contradiction. If $X \cap W \not\supseteq X_0 \cap W$, then $(X \cap W)/(X_0 \cap W) \simeq (X_0 + (X \cap W))/X_0 \subseteq X/X_0 \simeq Y/Y_0$, which is also a contradiction.

Proposition 2.17. *Let σ, τ be in G , and assume that ${}_A A u_{\sigma A}$ and ${}_A A u_{\tau A}$ are unrelated. Then, for any finite subset $\{x_1, \dots, x_r; y_1, \dots, y_s\}$ of A , there are elements a_k, b_k ($k=1, \dots, t$) in A such that $\sum_k a_k x_i \cdot \sigma(b_k) = x_i$ and $\sum_k a_k y_h \cdot \tau(b_k) = 0$ for all x_i, y_h .*

Proof. By Lemma 2.16, ${}_A (A u_{\sigma})_A^r$ and ${}_A (A u_{\tau})_A^s$ are unrelated. Then, since $A(x_1 u_{\sigma}, \dots, x_r u_{\sigma}, y_1 u_{\tau}, \dots, y_s u_{\tau})A$ is an A - A -submodule of ${}_A (A u_{\sigma})_A^r \oplus (A u_{\tau})_A^s$, $(x_1 u_{\sigma}, \dots, x_r u_{\sigma}, 0, \dots, 0) \in A(x_1 u_{\sigma}, \dots, x_r u_{\sigma}, y_1 u_{\tau}, \dots, y_s u_{\tau})A$ (cf. [22; Prop. 6.1]). Therefore there are elements a_k, b_k ($k=1, \dots, t$) in A such that $\sum_k a_k (x_1 u_{\sigma}, \dots, x_r u_{\sigma}, y_1 u_{\tau}, \dots, y_s u_{\tau}) b_k = (x_1 u_{\sigma}, \dots, x_r u_{\sigma}, 0, \dots, 0)$. Then, $\sum_k a_k x_i \cdot \sigma(b_k) = x_i$ and $\sum_k a_k y_h \cdot \tau(b_k) = 0$ for all x_i, y_h .

Combining Prop. 2.17 with [22; Prop. 6.11] we can easily see the following

Proposition 2.18. *Let A and A' be R -algebras with $A \otimes_R A' \neq 0$, and let G and G' be completely outer finite groups of R -automorphisms of A and A' , respectively. Then, $G \times G'$ is completely outer as an automorphism group of $A \otimes_R A'$.*

§ 3.

Proposition 3.1. *Let A/B be locally finite G -Galois, and X a Δ -left submodule of A . Then $X = A(X \cap B)$.*

Proof. This follows from Th. 2.1 (3).

Proposition 3.2. *Let A/B be locally finite G -Galois, $\{\mathfrak{P}\}$ the set of*

all maximal ideals of A , and $\{p\}$ the set of all maximal ideals of B . Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) $\mathfrak{P} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P} \cap B$ is a mapping from $\{\mathfrak{P}\}$ onto $\{p\}$.
- (ii) $A\mathfrak{p}A \neq A$ for all $p \in \{p\}$, and $\bigcap_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(\mathfrak{P})$ is Δ - A -maximal for all $\mathfrak{P} \in \{\mathfrak{P}\}$.

If (i) holds, then the following are true:

- (1) $\mathfrak{p}A = A\mathfrak{p} \neq A$ for any $p \in \{p\}$.
- (2) $\{\bigcap_{\sigma} \sigma(\mathfrak{P}); \mathfrak{P} \in \{\mathfrak{P}\}\}$ is the set of all maximal Δ - A -submodules of A .
- (3) $\mathfrak{R}({}_A A_A) = \mathfrak{R}({}_A A_A) = \mathfrak{R}({}_B B_B)A = A \cdot \mathfrak{R}({}_B B_B)$, and $\mathfrak{R}({}_A A_A) \cap B = \mathfrak{R}({}_B B_B)$.
- (4) B is B - B -completely reducible if and only if $\bigcap_i \bigcap_{\sigma} \sigma(\mathfrak{P}_i) = 0$ for some $\mathfrak{P}_i$ ($i=1, \dots, n$) in $\{\mathfrak{P}\}$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) If $\mathfrak{P}$ is in $\{\mathfrak{P}\}$, then $\mathfrak{P} \cap B = \sigma(\mathfrak{P}) \cap B$ for any σ in G , and so $\mathfrak{P} \cap B = (\bigcap_{\sigma} \sigma(\mathfrak{P})) \cap B$. By Prop. 3.1, $A((\bigcap_{\sigma} \sigma(\mathfrak{P})) \cap B) = \bigcap_{\sigma} \sigma(\mathfrak{P}) = ((\bigcap_{\sigma} \sigma(\mathfrak{P})) \cap B)A$. Hence $A\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}A \neq A$ for all p in $\{p\}$. Let X be a Δ - A -submodule of A with $A \not\supseteq X \supseteq \bigcap_{\sigma} \sigma(\mathfrak{P})$. Then $B \not\supseteq X \cap B \supseteq (\bigcap_{\sigma} \sigma(\mathfrak{P})) \cap B = \mathfrak{P} \cap B$, and so $X \cap B = (\bigcap_{\sigma} \sigma(\mathfrak{P})) \cap B$. Then, by Prop. 3.1, $X = \bigcap_{\sigma} \sigma(\mathfrak{P})$. Thus $\bigcap_{\sigma} \sigma(\mathfrak{P})$ is Δ - A -maximal. Let Y be a maximal Δ - A -submodule of A . Take a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{P}_1$ of A with $\mathfrak{P}_1 \supseteq Y$. Then $\bigcap_{\sigma} \sigma(\mathfrak{P}_1) \supseteq Y$, and so $\bigcap_{\sigma} \sigma(\mathfrak{P}_1) = Y$. Thus we obtain (2). Therefore $\mathfrak{R}({}_A A_A) = \mathfrak{R}({}_A A_A)$. Since $\mathfrak{R}({}_A A_A) \cap B = \mathfrak{R}({}_B B_B)$, we have $\mathfrak{R}({}_A A_A) = A \cdot \mathfrak{R}({}_B B_B) = \mathfrak{R}({}_B B_B)A$ (Prop. 3.1). B is B - B -completely reducible if and only if $\bigcap_i \mathfrak{p}_i = 0$ for some $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ in $\{p\}$. Thus we obtain (4) (cf. Prop. 3.1). (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let $p \in \{p\}$. Then, as $A\mathfrak{p}A \neq A$, $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{P}$ for some $\mathfrak{P} \in \{\mathfrak{P}\}$, and so $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{P} \cap B$ by the maximality of $\mathfrak{p}$. Let $\mathfrak{Q}$ be in $\{\mathfrak{P}\}$. Then $\mathfrak{q} \supseteq \mathfrak{Q} \cap B$ for some $q \in \{p\}$. There is a $\mathfrak{Q}' \in \{\mathfrak{P}\}$ with $\mathfrak{Q}' \cap B = \mathfrak{q}$. Then $(\bigcap_{\sigma} \sigma(\mathfrak{Q}')) \cap B = \mathfrak{Q}' \cap B \supseteq \mathfrak{Q} \cap B = (\bigcap_{\sigma} \sigma(\mathfrak{Q})) \cap B$, and therefore $\bigcap_{\sigma} \sigma(\mathfrak{Q}') \supseteq \bigcap_{\sigma} \sigma(\mathfrak{Q})$ by Prop. 3.1. By assumption, $\bigcap_{\sigma} \sigma(\mathfrak{Q}') = \bigcap_{\sigma} \sigma(\mathfrak{Q})$. Hence $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{Q}' \cap B = \mathfrak{Q} \cap B$. This completes the proof.

Concerning Prop. 3.2, we state the following

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\mathfrak{P}$ be a maximal ideal of A such that $\bigcap_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(\mathfrak{P}) = \bigcap_i \sigma_i(\mathfrak{P})$ for some $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ in G . Then $\bigcap_{\sigma} \sigma(\mathfrak{P})$ is Δ - A -maximal, and $\{\sigma_i(\mathfrak{P}); i=1, \dots, n\}$ is the set of all maximal ideals containing $\bigcap_{\sigma} \sigma(\mathfrak{P})$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{Q}$ be a maximal ideal of A with $\mathfrak{Q} \supseteq \bigcap_{\sigma} \sigma(\mathfrak{P})$. If $\mathfrak{Q} \neq \sigma_i(\mathfrak{P})$ for all i , then $\mathfrak{Q} + \sigma_i(\mathfrak{P}) = A$ for all i . Then we have a contradiction $A = \mathfrak{Q} + \bigcap_i \sigma_i(\mathfrak{P}) = \mathfrak{Q} + \bigcap_{\sigma} \sigma(\mathfrak{P})$.

Remark. In the following cases, the assumption in Lemma 3.3 holds.

- (1) G is finite. (2) The ring $A/\mathfrak{R}({}_A A_A)$ satisfies the descending chain condition for ideals. (3) G^* is compact, and every maximal ideal of A is A - A -finitely generated. (Cf. Prop. 1.1).

Proposition 3.4.

(1) Let A/B be locally finite outer G -Galois, and B B - B -completely reducible. Assume that, for any maximal ideal $\mathfrak{P}$ of A , there are elements $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ in G such that $\bigcap_i \sigma_i(\mathfrak{P}) = \bigcap_\sigma \sigma(\mathfrak{P})$. Then A is A - A -completely reducible.

(2) Let G be finite and completely outer, and $B_B|A_B$. Then A is A - A -completely reducible if and only if B is B - B -completely reducible. If there is a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{P}$ of A such that $\bigcap_\sigma \sigma(\mathfrak{P}) = 0$, then B is B - B -minimal, and conversely.

Proof. (1) Any maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of B is written as $\mathfrak{p} = Be$ with a central idempotent e of B . Then, by assumption, $(1 \neq) e \in V_A(B) = C$. Therefore, $A\mathfrak{p} = Ae = eA = \mathfrak{p}A \neq A$. Thus, by Prop. 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, A is A - A -completely reducible. (2) In this case, $\alpha A = A\alpha \neq A$ for any proper ideal α of B (cf. [22; p. 132]). Then, by Prop. 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, the first assertion is evident (cf. [22; Prop. 6.4]). For any $\mathfrak{P}$ in $\{\mathfrak{P}\}$, $((\bigcap_\sigma \sigma(\mathfrak{P})) \cap B =) \mathfrak{P} \cap B = 0$ if and only if $\bigcap_\sigma \sigma(\mathfrak{P}) = 0$ (Prop. 3.1). Thus we know the second assertion.

Theorem 3.5. Let A/B be finite G -Galois, B a semi-primary ring, and $A\mathfrak{p}A \neq A$ for any maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of B . Then ${}_B A \simeq {}_B A B$, that is, A has a normal basis. (Cf. [13; Th. 1]).

Proof. By [22; Th. 1.7], it suffices to prove that ${}_B A$ is free. Let $g = (G:1)$. (1) First we assume that $\mathfrak{R}(B) = 0$. Then B is a direct sum of simple rings: $B = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$. Let $1 = \sum_i e_i$, $e_i \in \alpha_i$. Then $\alpha_i = Be_i = e_i B$ and $e_i^2 = e_i$. By assumption we have $(1 - e_i)A = A(1 - e_i)$ (Prop. 3.2 and Lemma 3.3), so that e_i is a central idempotent of A contained in B . Then each Ae_i/Be_i is G -Galois ([22; Cor. to Th. 5.6]). Since Be_i is a simple ring, ${}_{Be_i} Ae_i$ is free (cf. [7]). Hence Ae_i has a normal basis, so that ${}_{Be_i} Ae_i \simeq {}_{Be_i} (Be_i)^g$ for all i ([22; Th. 1.7]). Hence ${}_B A \simeq {}_B B^g$. (2) Next we proceed to general case. Since A and B are semi-primary ([22; Prop. 7.3]), $\mathfrak{R}({}_A A) = \mathfrak{R}(A)$ and $\mathfrak{R}({}_B B) = \mathfrak{R}(B)$. Then, by Prop. 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, $\mathfrak{R}(A) = \mathfrak{R}(B)A = A \cdot \mathfrak{R}(B)$ and $\mathfrak{R}(A) \cap B = \mathfrak{R}(B)$. By [22; Th. 5.6], $(A/\mathfrak{R}(A))/((B + \mathfrak{R}(A))/\mathfrak{R}(A))$ is G -Galois, and satisfies the same conditions as A/B , because $(B + \mathfrak{R}(A))/\mathfrak{R}(A) \simeq B/(\mathfrak{R}(A) \cap B) = B/\mathfrak{R}(B)$ canonically. By (1), we have ${}_B A/\mathfrak{R}(A) \simeq {}_B (B/\mathfrak{R}(B))^g \simeq {}_B B^g/\mathfrak{R}(B)^g$. Since $\mathfrak{R}(A) = \mathfrak{R}(B)A$ and ${}_B A$ is finitely generated and projective, we have ${}_B A \simeq {}_B B^g$ by the uniqueness of projective cover. This completes the proof.

Corollary. Let A/B be finite G -Galois, B a semi-primary ring, and Z the center of B . Assume that $Z \subseteq C$ and that B is a central separable Z -algebra. Then A has a normal basis.

Proof. In this case, any proper ideal of B is written as αB with an ideal

α of Z (cf. [2]). Then, as $Z \subseteq C$, $(\alpha B)A = \alpha A = A\alpha = A(B\alpha) \neq A$ ([22; Lemma 7.1]).

Let A/B be finite G -Galois, $B \subseteq C$, and $g = (G:1)$. For any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of B , we denote by $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the quotient extension of B with respect to $\mathfrak{p}$. Then $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a B -algebra, canonically. By [22; Cor. to Th. 5.2], $(B_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_B A)/B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is G -Galois. Since $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a local ring, ${}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}}B_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_B A \simeq {}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}}(B_{\mathfrak{p}})^g$ (Cor. to Th. 3.5). We denote by $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the quotient field of $B/\mathfrak{p}$. Then we have ${}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}K_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_B A \simeq {}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}(K_{\mathfrak{p}})^g$ similarly. Thus we obtain the following

Proposition 3.6. *Let A/B be finite G -Galois, $B \subseteq C$, and $g = (G:1)$. Then, ${}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}}B_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_B A \simeq {}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}}(B_{\mathfrak{p}})^g$ and ${}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}K_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_B A \simeq {}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}(K_{\mathfrak{p}})^g$ for any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of B , where $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the quotient extension of B with respect to $\mathfrak{p}$ and $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the quotient field of $B/\mathfrak{p}$.*

The following lemma is of some interest.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $R \supseteq S$ be rings, R_S is finitely generated and projective, and ${}_S S$ is a direct summand of ${}_S R$. If ${}_R R$ is injective, then ${}_S S$ is injective.*

Proof. Let I be any left ideal of S , and f any S -left homomorphism from I to ${}_S R$. Since R_S is finitely generated and projective, we have $RI = R \otimes_S I$. Therefore f can be extended to an R -left homomorphism from RI to R , canonically. Then, by assumption, there is an element a in R such that $r \cdot (s)f = rsa$ for r in R and s in I , so that $(s)f = sa$ for all s in I . Therefore, as is well known, ${}_S R$ is injective. Since ${}_S S$ is a direct summand of ${}_S R$, ${}_S S$ is injective.

Lemma 3.8. $\mathfrak{R}(A) \cap B \subseteq \mathfrak{R}(B)$.

Proof. Let b be in $\mathfrak{R}(A) \cap B$. Then $1-b$ has an inverse in A . Since $B = A^g$, $1-b$ has an inverse in B . Hence $\mathfrak{R}(A) \cap B$ is a quasi-regular ideal of B , that is, $\mathfrak{R}(A) \cap B \subseteq \mathfrak{R}(B)$.

Proposition 3.9. *Let G be finite. If there is an element c in A such that $1 - t_g(c) \in \mathfrak{R}(A)$, then there is an element d in A such that $t_g(d) = 1$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.8, we have $1 - t_g(c) \in \mathfrak{R}(A) \cap B \subseteq \mathfrak{R}(B)$, so that $t_g(A) + \mathfrak{R}(B) = B$. Since $t_g(A)$ is an ideal of B , we have $t_g(A) = B$. Hence $t_g(d) = 1$ for some d in A .

Theorem 3.10. *Let A/B be G -Galois, A a commutative ring, H a subgroup of G , and A' a B -algebra. Then, $A' \otimes_B A^H$ is a direct sum of minimal ideals if and only if A' is a direct sum of minimal ideals (cf. [7; p. 178. Th. 2]).*

Proof. In this case, $(A' \otimes_B A)/A'$ is finite G -Galois, G is completely outer as an automorphism group of $A' \otimes_B A$, and $(A' \otimes_B A)^H = A' \otimes_B A^H$ (cf. [22; Th.

5.2 and Prop. 6.5]). Thus the present theorem is an easy consequence from Prop. 3.4 (2).

Concerning [22; Th. 6.9], we note the following

Lemma 3.11. *Let A/C be separable, and e an idempotent of A such that $eA \subseteq Ae$. Then e is a central idempotent of A .*

Proof. Since $A/\mathfrak{R}(A)$ is a semi-prime ring, we have $(eA + \mathfrak{R}(A))/\mathfrak{R}(A) = (Ae + \mathfrak{R}(A))/\mathfrak{R}(A)$, that is, $eA + \mathfrak{R}(A) = Ae + \mathfrak{R}(A)$, and so $Ae = eA + (Ae \cap \mathfrak{R}(A)) = eA + \mathfrak{R}(A)e$. Since A is a central separable C -algebra, $\mathfrak{R}({}_A A_A) = \mathfrak{R}(C)A$ by [2; Cor. 3.2]. Since $\mathfrak{R}({}_A A_A) \supseteq \mathfrak{R}(A) \supseteq \mathfrak{R}(C)A$, we have $\mathfrak{R}(A) = \mathfrak{R}(C)A$, and $Ae = eA + \mathfrak{R}(C)Ae$. Hence $Ae = eA$, because ${}_C Ae$ is finitely generated. Consequently, e is a central idempotent of A .

Proposition 3.12. *Let A/B be locally finite G -Galois, and assume that there is a representation $A = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A^{N_\lambda}$ of A/B such that each $\mathfrak{R}(B)A^{N_\lambda}$ is an ideal of A^{N_λ} . Then $\mathfrak{R}(A) = \mathfrak{R}(B)A = A \cdot \mathfrak{R}(B)$, and $\mathfrak{R}(A) \cap B = \mathfrak{R}(B)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{J}$ be a right ideal of A such that $\mathfrak{R}(B)A + \mathfrak{J} = A$. Then $\mathfrak{R}(B)A^{N_\lambda} + (\mathfrak{J} \cap A^{N_\lambda}) \ni 1$ for some λ in Λ , so that $\mathfrak{R}(B)A^{N_\lambda} + (\mathfrak{J} \cap A^{N_\lambda}) = A^{N_\lambda}$. Since $\mathfrak{R}(B)A^{N_\lambda} \subseteq \mathfrak{R}(A^{N_\lambda})$, we have $\mathfrak{J} \cap A^{N_\lambda} = A^{N_\lambda}$, and hence $\mathfrak{J} = A$. Thus we know that $\mathfrak{R}(B)A \subseteq \mathfrak{R}(A)$. Combining this with Lemma 3.8, we have $\mathfrak{R}(A) \cap B = \mathfrak{R}(B)$. Hence $\mathfrak{R}(A) = \mathfrak{R}(B)A = A \cdot \mathfrak{R}(B)$ (Prop. 3.1).

Theorem 3.13. *Let A/B be locally finite G -Galois, $B \subseteq C$, and A' a B -algebra such that $A' \simeq A' \otimes 1$ ($\subseteq A' \otimes_B A$) canonically.*

- (1) $\mathfrak{R}(A' \otimes_B A) = \mathfrak{R}(A' \otimes A)$, and $\mathfrak{R}(A' \otimes A) \cap (A' \otimes 1) = \mathfrak{R}(A') \otimes 1$.
- (2) If A is commutative, then $\mathfrak{R}(A' \otimes A^H) = \mathfrak{R}(A') \otimes A^H$ for any subgroup H of G .

Proof. Let $A = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A^{N_\lambda}$ be a representation of the locally finite G -Galois extension A/B . Then $(A' \otimes_B A)/(A' \otimes 1)$ is a locally finite G -Galois extension with representation $A' \otimes_B A = \bigcup_{\lambda} A' \otimes A^{N_\lambda}$, where $A' \otimes A^{N_\lambda} = (A' \otimes_B A)^{N_\lambda}$ is a finite G/N_λ -Galois extension over $A' \otimes 1$. (1) This will be easily seen by Prop. 3.12. (2) We may assume that H is closed in G . Then each $A^{H \cap N_\lambda}/A^H$ is finite $H/(H \cap N_\lambda)$ -Galois, and $H/(H \cap N_\lambda)$ is completely outer as an automorphism group of $A^{H \cap N_\lambda}$ ([22; Th. 6.6]). Then $H/(H \cap N_\lambda)$ is completely outer as an automorphism group $A' \otimes_B A^{H \cap N_\lambda}$ (Prop. 2.18), and so $H/(H \cap N_\lambda)$ is completely outer as an automorphism group of $A' \otimes A^{H \cap N_\lambda} (\subseteq A' \otimes_B A)$ ([22; Prop. 6.11]). Now, $(A' \otimes_B A)/(A' \otimes A^H)$ is a locally finite H -Galois extension with representation $A' \otimes_B A = \bigcup_{\lambda} A' \otimes A^{H \cap N_\lambda}$, where $A' \otimes A^{H \cap N_\lambda} = (A' \otimes_B A)^{H \cap N_\lambda}$ is a finite $H/(H \cap N_\lambda)$ -Galois extension over $A' \otimes A^H$. Then, by [22; Th. 7.10] and Prop. 3.12, $\mathfrak{R}(A' \otimes_B A) = \mathfrak{R}(A' \otimes A^H)(A' \otimes_B A)$. On the other hand,

$\mathfrak{R}(A' \otimes_B A) = \mathfrak{R}(A') \otimes A = (\mathfrak{R}(A') \otimes A^H)(A' \otimes_B A)$. Hence $\mathfrak{R}(A' \otimes A^H) = \mathfrak{R}(A') \otimes A^H$, as desired (cf. [22; Lemma 7.1]).

References

([1]~[14] are found in [22] below.)

- [15] G. AZUMAYA, Completely faithful modules and self-injective rings, Nagoya Math. J., 27 (1966), 697-708.
- [16] A. W. GOLDIE, The structure of prime rings under ascending chain conditions, Prop. London Math. Soc., 8 (1958), 589-608.
- [17] ———, Semi-prime rings with ascending chain condition, Proc. London Math. Soc., 10 (1960), 201-220.
- [18] M. HARADA, Supplementary results on Galois extension, Osaka J. Math., 2 (1965), 343-350.
- [19] K. HIRATA and K. SUGANO, On semi-simple extensions and separable extensions over non-commutative rings, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 18 (1966), 360-373.
- [20] T. KANZAKI, A note on abelian Galois algebra over a commutative ring, Osaka J. Math., 3 (1966), 1-6.
- [21] ———, On Galois extension of rings, Nagoya Math. J., 27 (1966), 43-49.
- [22] Y. MIYASHITA, Finite outer Galois theory of non-commutative rings, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ., Ser. I, 19 (1966), 114-134.
- [23] K. MORITA, Duality for modules and its application to the theory of rings with minimum condition, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku, 6 (1958), 83-142.
- [24] ———, Adjoint pairs of functors and Frobenius extension, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku, 9 (1965), 137-145.
- [25] B. MÜLLER, Quasi-Frobenius Erweiterungen, Math. Z., 85 (1964), 345-368.
- [26] ———, Quasi-Frobenius Erweiterungen, II. Math. Z., 88 (1965), 380-409.
- [27] T. ONODERA, Some studies on projective Frobenius extension, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ., Ser. I, 18 (1964), 89-107.
- [28] Y. TAKEUCHI, Infinite outer Galois theory of non commutative rings, Osaka J. Math., 3 (1966), 195-200.

Department of Mathematics,
Hokkaido University

(Received June 10, 1967)