



HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

Title	Applications of the theory of KM20-Langevin equations to the non-linear prediction problem for the one-dimensional strictly stationary time series
Author(s)	Okabe, Yasunori; Ootsuka, Takashi
Citation	Hokkaido University Preprint Series in Mathematics, 132, 2-27
Issue Date	1992-01
DOI	https://doi.org/10.14943/83277
Doc URL	https://hdl.handle.net/2115/68879
Type	departmental bulletin paper
File Information	re132.pdf



Applications of the theory of
KM₂O-Langevin equations to
the non-linear prediction problem
for the one-dimensional strictly
stationary time series

Y. Okabe and T. Ootsuka

Series #132. January 1992

HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY
PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS

- # 106: T. Nakazi, Extremal problems in H^p , 13 pages. 1991.
- # 107: T. Nakazi, ρ -dilations and hypo-Dirichlet algebras, 15 pages. 1991.
- # 108: A. Arai, An abstract sum formula and its applications to special functions, 25 pages. 1991.
- # 109: Y.-G. Chen, Y. Giga and S. Goto, Analysis toward snow crystal growth, 18 pages. 1991.
- # 110: T. Hibi, M. Wakayama, A q -analogue of Capelli's identity for $GL(2)$, 7 pages. 1991.
- # 111: T. Nishimori, A qualitative theory of similarity pseudogroups and an analogy of Sacksteder's theorem, 13 pages. 1991.
- # 112: K. Matsuda, An analogy of the theorem of Hector and Duminy, 10 pages. 1991.
- # 113: S. Takahashi, On a regularity criterion up to the boundary for weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations, 23 pages. 1991.
- # 114: T. Nakazi, Sum of two inner functions and exposed points in H^1 , 18 pages. 1991.
- # 115: A. Arai, De Rham operators, Laplacians, and Dirac operators on topological vector spaces, 27 pages. 1991.
- # 116: T. Nishimori, A note on the classification of non-singular flows with transverse similarity structures, 17 pages. 1991.
- # 117: T. Hibi, A lower bound theorem for Ehrhart polynomials of convex polytopes, 6 pages. 1991.
- # 118: R. Agemi, H. Takamura, The lifespan of classical solutions to nonlinear wave equations in two space dimensions, 30 pages. 1991.
- # 119: S. Altschuler, S. Angenent and Y. Giga, Generalized motion by mean curvature for surfaces of rotation, 15 pages. 1991.
- # 120: T. Nakazi, Invariant subspaces in the bidisc and commutators, 20 pages. 1991.
- # 121: A. Arai, Commutation properties of the partial isometries associated with anticommuting self-adjoint operators, 25 pages. 1991.
- # 122: Y.-G. Chen, Blow-up solutions to a finite difference analogue of $u_t = \Delta u + u^{1+\alpha}$ in N -dimensional balls, 31 pages. 1991.
- # 123: A. Arai, Fock-space representations of the relativistic supersymmetry algebra in the two-dimensional space-time, 13 pages. 1991.
- # 124: S. Izumiya, The theory of Legendrian unfoldings and first order differential equations, 16 pages. 1991.
- # 125: T. Hibi, Face number inequalities for matroid complexes and Cohen-Macaulay types of Stanley-Reisner rings of distributive lattices, 17 pages. 1991.
- # 126: S. Izumiya, Completely integrable holonomic systems of first order differential equations, 35 pages. 1991.
- # 127: G. Ishikawa, S. Izumiya and K. Watanabe, Vector fields near a generic submanifold, 9 pages. 1991.
- # 128: A. Arai, I. Mitoma, Comparison and nuclearity of spaces of differential forms on topological vector spaces, 27 pages. 1991.
- # 129: K. Kubota, Existence of a global solution to a semi-linear wave equation with initial data of non-compact support in low space dimensions, 53 pages. 1991.
- # 130: S. Altschuler, S. Angenent and Y. Giga, Mean curvature flow through singularities for surfaces of rotation, 62 pages. 1991.
- # 131: M. Giga, Y. Giga and H. Sohr, L^p estimates for the Stokes system, 13 pages. 1991.

Applications of the theory of KM_2O -Langevin equations to
the non-linear prediction problem
for the one-dimensional strictly stationary time series

Dedicated to Professor Kiyoshi Ito on his seventy-seven birthday

Yasunori Okabe and Takashi Ootsuka

Department of Mathematics

Faculty of Science

Hokkaido University

Sapporo 060, JAPAN

§ 1. Introduction

This paper is inspired by Masani-Wiener's work ([8]) of the non-linear prediction problem of a discrete time strictly stationary process. The purpose is to resolve this unsettled problem by applying the theory of KM_2O -Langevin equations.

In a series of papers ([11]–[22],[3],[4],[25]), we have developed the theory of KMO -Langevin equations describing the time evolution of one-dimensional weakly stationary processes with reflection positivity for both the discrete and continuous time cases. The first motivation was to find the mathematical structure behind significant Kubo's fluctuation-dissipation theorem in non-equilibrium statistical physics ([5]). We have manifested a mathematical structure of the fluctuation-dissipation theorem by deriving the Kubo noise which is the random force causing the fluctuation for the classical or quantum stationary dynamics. As the consequence of the research, we have obtained not only a unified mathematical embodiment of the fluctuation-dissipation theorem, but also elucidated the mathematical structure of Alder-Wainwright effect, which indicates the phenomena that

This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Science Research No. 03452011, the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan.

the correlation functions of weakly stationary processes have a long-time tail behaviour ($\propto t^{-p}, p > 0$) ([1],[18],[3],[4],[25]).

In the course of the project above, we have grasped a philosophy—the fluctuation-dissipation principle—as a guiding principle for the attitude of research in applying pure mathematics to applied science ([23]). By using the so-called innovation method, we have in [21] developed the theory of KM_2O -Langevin equations with finite delay drift term for the multi-dimensional weakly stationary time series. Some relations which hold between both the delay and fluctuation coefficients in KM_2O -Langevin equations play important roles in this theory. In the field of systems, control and information engineerings, they have been known as LD-algorithm for the one-dimensional case and LWWR-algorithm for the multi-dimensional case in the model fitting of AR-Langevin equations with finite degree ([6],[2],[29],[33]). A fundamental feature of the theory of KM_2O -Langevin equations lies in a recognition that such algorithms can be comprehended as a kind of fluctuation-dissipation theorem from our fluctuation-dissipation principle. As the application of the theory of KM_2O -Langevin equations to data analysis, we are going to develop a new project of the stationary, causal and prediction analysis ([27],[26],[28]).

Furthermore we have applied in [24] the theory of KM_2O -Langevin equations to the linear prediction problem for the multi-dimensional weakly stationary time series and given a refinement of Wiener-Masani's work in [31], [32] and [7] by obtaining computable algorithms for the linear predictor. The results in [24] are complementary to this paper, as will be explained.

Let $X = (X(n); n \in \mathbb{Z})$ be a real-valued strictly stationary time series on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$ with mean zero. We shall impose the following two hypotheses which are the same as in [8]:

(H.1) *X is finite, i.e., there exists a positive constant $C > 0$ such that $|X(n)(\omega)| \leq C$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and almost all $\omega \in \Omega$;*

(H.2) *For any distinct integers $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) the spectrum of the distribution function of the k -dimensional random variable $(X(n_1), X(n_2), \dots, X(n_k))$ has positive Lebesgue measure.*

The non-linear predictor $\hat{X}(\nu)$ of the future $X(\nu)$, $\nu > 0$, on the basis of the present

and past $X(l), l \leq 0$, is defined by

$$\hat{X}(\nu) = E(X(\nu) | \sigma(X(l); l \leq 0)).$$

Masani and Wiener ([8]) have obtained a representation for the non-linear predictor as follows:

$$(1.1) \quad E(X(\nu) | \sigma(X(l); l \leq 0)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_n(X(0), X(-1), \dots, X(-m_n)),$$

where, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, m_n is a nonnegative integer depending on n , and Q_n is a real polynomial in $m_n + 1$ variables whose coefficients can be theoretically calculated in terms of the moments of the time series X .

However, as Kallianpur has given some comments in [30], the representation (1.1) of the non-linear predictor lacks computable algorithm which is fit for the application to applied science, because the determination of the coefficients of the polynomials Q_n involves the calculation of the determinants of matrices of different sizes, coming from their method of Shmidt's orthogonalization. On the other hand, Masani and Wiener have suggested in [8] that certain computable algorithm for the non-linear predictor may be obtained by means of the linear predictor for a suitably defined, infinite-dimensional, weakly stationary time series.

Following their suggestion, we shall derive an $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ -valued weakly stationary time series $\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{X}(n); n \in \mathbb{Z})$ and consider the $d_q + 1$ -dimensional subprocesses $X^{(q)} = (X^{(q)}(n); n \in \mathbb{Z})$ generated by the first $d_q + 1$ -components of $\mathcal{X}$. We remark that $d_1 = 0$, d_q is increasing to ∞ as $q \rightarrow \infty$ and $X^{(1)} = X$. According to the theory of KM₂O-Langevin equations ([21]), for each $q \in \mathbb{N}$, the linear predictor for the $d_q + 1$ -dimensional subprocess $X^{(q)}$ can be calculated from the KM₂O-Langevin data $\mathcal{LD}(X^{(q)})$ which, corresponding to the fluctuation-dissipation theorem, is obtained from the computable algorithm in terms of the correlation function of $X^{(q)}$. By obtaining a new algorithm computing the KM₂O-Langevin data $\mathcal{LD}(X^{(q)})$ from the KM₂O-Langevin data $\mathcal{LD}(X^{(q-1)})$ ($q = 2, 3, \dots$), we can practically solve the non-linear prediction problem for the original time series X , because the non-linear predictor for X can be obtained as the limit as $q \rightarrow \infty$ of the first component of the linear predictors for $X^{(q)}$.

Now we shall explain the contents of this paper. In §2, according to [21] and [27], we shall recall and rearrange the theory of KM_2O -Langevin equations for a d -dimensional local and weakly stationary time series $Z = (Z(n); |n| \leq N)$, where d, N are fixed natural numbers. In particular, we shall introduce the KM_2O -Langevin data $\mathcal{LD}(Z)$ associated with the time series Z which consists of the triplet of the forward and backward KM_2O -Langevin delay functions, the forward and backward KM_2O -Langevin partial correlation functions, and the forward and backward KM_2O -Langevin fluctuation functions. The KM_2O -Langevin data $\mathcal{LD}(Z)$, together with the forward and backward KM_2O -Langevin forces, will determine the forward and backward KM_2O -Langevin equations describing the time evolution of the time series Z . We can obtain a concrete expression for the linear predictor for the time series Z in terms of the KM_2O -Langevin data $\mathcal{LD}(Z)$. Furthermore, associated with a d -dimensional weakly stationary time series $Z = (Z(n); n \in \mathbb{Z})$, we can construct the KM_2O -Langevin data $\mathcal{LD}(Z)$.

§3 will develop the theory of the KM_2O -Langevin equations and obtain a new formula between the KM_2O -Langevin data $\mathcal{LD}(Z)$ and the KM_2O -Langevin data $\mathcal{LD}(Y)$, where the time series Y is a $d^{(1)}$ -dimensional local and weakly stationary time series generated by the first $d^{(1)}$ -components of the series Z ($1 \leq d^{(1)} < d$).

In the last section, we shall return to the real-valued strictly stationary time series $X = (X(n); n \in \mathbb{Z})$ with mean zero satisfying conditions (H.1) and (H.2). By modifying the idea in Masani and Wiener ([8]), we shall derive an $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ -valued weakly stationary time series ($\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{X}(n); n \in \mathbb{Z})$) and consider the $d_q + 1$ -dimensional subprocesses $X^{(q)} = (X^{(q)}(n); n \in \mathbb{Z})$ generated by the first $d_q + 1$ -components of $\mathcal{X}$. We remark that the first components of $X^{(q)}(n)$ are equal to $X(n)$ ($q \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$) and the construction of the time series $X^{(q)}$ with dimension $d_q + 1$ is fit for the application to data analysis. Applying the results in §3 to these time series $X^{(q)}$, we shall obtain an algorithm computing the KM_2O -Langevin data $\mathcal{LD}(X^{(q)})$ from the KM_2O -Langevin data $\mathcal{LD}(X^{(q-1)})$ ($q = 2, 3, \dots$). Thus the non-linear prediction problem for the original real valued strictly stationary time series

X can be practically solved as follows:

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & E(X(\nu) | \sigma(X(l); l \leq 0)) \\ & = \text{the first component of } \lim_{N, q \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^N Q_+(X^{(q)})(N + \nu, N; N - k) X^{(q)}(-k), \end{aligned}$$

where, for each $q \in \mathbb{N}$, the $M(d_q + 1; \mathbb{R})$ -valued function $Q_+(X^{(q)})(\cdot, *, *)$ is called the forward prediction function associated with the time series $X^{(q)}$ in the theory of the KM_2O -Langevin equations, which can be recursively calculated from the KM_2O -Langevin data $\mathcal{LD}(X^{(q)})$. By using the results in [24], furthermore, we can theoretically obtain an algorithm for the limit as $N \rightarrow \infty$ of the forward prediction functions $Q_+(X^{(q)})(N + \nu, N; N - k)$ for any fixed $q, \nu \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

§ 2. The theory of KM_2O -Langevin equations

We shall recall the theory of KM_2O -Langevin equations from [21], [27].

[2.1] Let d and N be any natural numbers. Let $Z = (Z(n); |n| \leq N)$ be any d -dimensional real-valued local and weakly stationary time series on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$ with covariance matrix function R^Z :

$$(2.1) \quad R^Z(n) = E(Z(n) {}^t Z(0)) \quad (|n| \leq N).$$

Then we define, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq n \leq N$, two block Toeplitz matrices $T_n^+(Z), T_n^-(Z) \in M(nd; \mathbb{R})$ by

$$(2.2_{\pm}) \quad T_n^{\pm}(Z) = \begin{pmatrix} R^Z(0) & R^Z(\pm 1) & \dots & R^Z(\pm(n-1)) \\ R^Z(\mp 1) & R^Z(0) & \dots & R^Z(\pm(n-2)) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ R^Z(\mp(n-1)) & R^Z(\mp(n-2)) & \dots & R^Z(0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is to be noted that

$$(2.3) \quad {}^t R^Z(n) = R^Z(-n) \quad (|n| \leq N),$$

$$(2.4) \quad T_1^+(Z) = T_1^-(Z) = R^Z(0).$$

In this subsection, we treat the case where the following condition holds:

$$(2.5) \quad T_n^+(Z), T_n^-(Z) \in GL(nd; \mathbb{R}) \quad (1 \leq n \leq N).$$

We remark that condition (2.5) is equivalent to

$$(2.6) \quad \{Z_j(n); 1 \leq j \leq d, |n| \leq N\} \text{ is linearly independent in } L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P),$$

where $Z(n) = {}^t(Z_1(n), \dots, Z_d(n))$.

For any d -dimensional square-integrable stochastic process $Y = (Y(n); l \leq n \leq r)$ with a discrete parameter space defined on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$ ($l, r \in \mathbb{Z}, l < r$), we define, for any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, l \leq m \leq n \leq r$, a real closed subspace $\mathcal{L}_m^n(Y)$ of $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$ by

$$(2.7) \quad \mathcal{L}_m^n(Y) = \text{the closed linear hull of } \{Y_j(k); 1 \leq j \leq d, m \leq k \leq n\}.$$

According to the method of innovation, we introduce the d -dimensional forward (resp. backward) KM₂O-Langevin force $\nu^+(Z) = (\nu^+(Z)(n); 0 \leq n \leq N)$ (resp. $\nu^-(Z) = (\nu^-(Z)(m); -N \leq m \leq 0)$) as follows:

$$(2.8_+) \quad \nu^+(Z)(n) = Z(n) - P_{\mathcal{L}_0^{n-1}(Z)} Z(n) \quad (0 \leq n \leq N);$$

$$(2.8_-) \quad \nu^-(Z)(m) = Z(m) - P_{\mathcal{L}_{m+1}^0(Z)} Z(m) \quad (-N \leq m \leq 0),$$

where $\mathcal{L}_0^{-1}(Z) = \mathcal{L}_1^0(Z) = \{0\}$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}^*, 0 \leq n \leq N$, let $V^+(Z)(n)$ (resp. $V^-(Z)(n)$) be the covariance matrix of $\nu^+(Z)(n)$ (resp. $\nu^-(Z)(-n)$). We call the function $V^+(Z)(\cdot)$ (resp. $V^-(Z)(\cdot)$) the forward (resp. backward) KM₂O-Langevin fluctuation function. The following causal relation holds among Z , $\nu^+(Z)$ and $\nu^-(Z)$:

CAUSAL RELATION ([21],[27]).

$$(2.9) \quad \nu^+(Z)(0) = \nu^-(Z)(0) = Z(0).$$

$$(2.10_{\pm}) \quad E(\nu^{\pm}(Z)(\pm m) {}^t \nu^{\pm}(Z)(\pm n)) = \delta_{mn} V^{\pm}(Z)(n) \quad (0 \leq m, n \leq N).$$

$$(2.11_+) \quad \mathcal{L}_0^n(Z) = \mathcal{L}_0^n(\nu^+(Z)) \quad (0 \leq n \leq N).$$

$$(2.11_-) \quad \mathcal{L}_{-n}^0(Z) = \mathcal{L}_{-n}^0(\nu^-(Z)) \quad (0 \leq n \leq N).$$

Let the system $\mathcal{LD}(Z)$ of $M(d; \mathbb{R})$ be the KM_2O -Langevin data associated with the process Z :

$$\mathcal{LD}(Z) = \{\gamma^+(Z)(n, k), \gamma^-(Z)(n, k), \delta^+(Z)(m), \delta^-(Z)(m), V^+(Z)(l), V^-(Z)(l);$$

$$k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq k < n \leq N, 1 \leq m \leq N, l \in \mathbb{N}^*, 0 \leq l \leq N\}.$$

We know that Z satisfies the forward (resp. backward) KM_2O -Langevin equation (2.12₊) (resp. (2.12₋)):

KM_2O -LANGEVIN EQUATIONS ([21],[27]).

$$(2.12_{\pm}) \quad Z(\pm n) = - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \gamma^{\pm}(Z)(n, k) Z(\pm k) - \delta^{\pm}(Z)(n) Z(0) + \nu^{\pm}(Z)(\pm n) \quad (1 \leq n \leq N).$$

In the sequel we adopt a convention to make the summation running the empty set 0. We call the function $\gamma^+(Z)(\cdot, *)$ (resp. $\gamma^-(Z)(\cdot, *)$) the forward (resp. backward) KM_2O -Langevin delay function associated with the process Z . The function $\delta^+(Z)(\cdot)$ (resp. $\delta^-(Z)(\cdot)$) is said to be the forward (resp. backward) KM_2O -Langevin partial correlation function associated with the process Z .

REMARK 2.1. The forward KM_2O -Langevin equation (2.12₊) is a discrete analogue to the $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ -Langevin equation derived by T. Miyoshi ([9],[10]).

Concerning the relation between the Toeplitz matrices and the KM_2O -Langevin fluctuation functions, we can use the KM_2O -Langevin equations to see that

$$(2.13_{\pm}) \quad \det T_n^{\pm}(Z) = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \det V^{\pm}(Z)(k) \quad (1 \leq n \leq N).$$

It follows from (2.5) and (2.13_±) that

$$(2.14) \quad V^+(Z)(n), V^-(Z)(n) \in GL(d; \mathbb{R}) \quad (0 \leq n \leq N).$$

The fluctuation-dissipation theorem (FDT) stated in § 1 is the following:

FDT ([6],[2],[29],[33],[21],[27]). For any $n, k \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq k < n \leq N$,

$$(2.15_{\pm}) \quad \gamma^{\pm}(Z)(n, k) = \gamma^{\pm}(Z)(n-1, k-1) + \delta^{\pm}(Z)(n) \gamma^{\mp}(Z)(n-1, n-k-1);$$

$$(2.16_{\pm}) \quad V^{\pm}(Z)(n) = (I - \delta^{\pm}(Z)(n) \delta^{\mp}(Z)(n)) V^{\pm}(Z)(n-1);$$

$$(2.17) \quad \delta^{-}(Z)(n) V^{+}(Z)(n-1) = V^{-}(Z)(n-1) {}^t \delta^{+}(Z)(n);$$

$$(2.18) \quad \delta^{-}(Z)(n) V^{+}(Z)(n) = V^{-}(Z)(n) {}^t \delta^{+}(Z)(n),$$

where we put

$$(2.19) \quad \gamma^{+}(Z)(m, 0) = \delta^{+}(Z)(m) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma^{-}(Z)(m, 0) = \delta^{-}(Z)(m) \quad (1 \leq m \leq N).$$

The relations (2.16 $_{\pm}$) and (2.17) in **FDT** come from the following relation:

BERG'S RELATION ([29],[33],[21],[27]). For any $n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq n \leq N$,

$$(2.20) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \gamma^{+}(Z)(n, k) R^Z(k+1) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} R^Z(k+1) {}^t \gamma^{-}(Z)(n, k).$$

FDT implies that both the KM_2O -Langevin delay and fluctuation functions can be recursively calculated from the KM_2O -Langevin partial correlation functions. On the other hand, the latter can be obtained from the correlation function R^Z by the following formulae:

KM₂O-LANGEVIN PARTIAL CORRELATION FUNCTIONS ([6],[2],[29],[33],[21],[27]). For any $n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq n \leq N$,

$$(2.21_{\pm}) \quad \delta^{\pm}(Z)(n) = -(R^Z(\pm n) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \gamma^{\pm}(Z)(n-1, k) R^Z(\pm(k+1))) V^{\mp}(Z)(n-1)^{-1}.$$

For any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*, 0 \leq n \leq m \leq N$, we define $P_{+}(Z)(m, n)$, $P_{-}(Z)(m, n)$ and $e_{+}(Z)(m, n)$, $e_{-}(Z)(m, n)$ by

$$(2.22_{\pm}) \quad P_{\pm}(Z)(m, n) = E(Z(\pm m) {}^t \nu^{\pm}(Z)(\pm n)) V^{\pm}(Z)(n)^{-1/2}$$

and

$$(2.23_+) \quad e_+(Z)(m, n) = E((Z(m) - P_{\mathcal{L}_0^n}(Z)Z(m)) {}^t(Z(m) - P_{\mathcal{L}_0^n}(Z)Z(m))),$$

$$(2.23_-) \quad e_-(Z)(m, n) = E((Z(-m) - P_{\mathcal{L}_{-n}^0}(Z)Z(-m)) {}^t(Z(-m) - P_{\mathcal{L}_{-n}^0}(Z)Z(-m))).$$

We call the function $P_+(Z)(\cdot, *)$ (resp. $P_-(Z)(\cdot, *)$) the forward (resp. backward) prediction function and the function $e_+(Z)(\cdot, *)$ (resp. $e_-(Z)(\cdot, *)$) the forward (resp. backward) prediction error function. Then we know

PREDICTION FORMULAE ([21],[27]). (i) For any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*, 0 \leq n \leq m \leq N$,

$$(2.24_+) \quad P_{\mathcal{L}_0^n}(Z)Z(m) = \sum_{k=0}^n P_+(Z)(m, k) V^+(Z)(k)^{-1/2} \nu^+(Z)(k);$$

$$(2.24_-) \quad P_{\mathcal{L}_{-n}^0}(Z)Z(-m) = \sum_{k=0}^n P_-(Z)(m, k) V^-(Z)(k)^{-1/2} \nu^-(Z)(-k).$$

(ii) For any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*, 0 \leq n < m \leq N$,

$$(2.25_+) \quad P_{\mathcal{L}_0^n}(Z)Z(m) = \sum_{k=0}^n Q_+(Z)(m, n; k) Z(k);$$

$$(2.25_-) \quad P_{\mathcal{L}_{-n}^0}(Z)Z(-m) = \sum_{k=0}^n Q_-(Z)(m, n; k) Z(-k).$$

Here the $M(d; \mathbb{R})$ -valued prediction functions $P_{\pm}(Z)(\cdot, *)$ and $Q_{\pm}(Z)(\cdot, *, *)$ can be determined by the following algorithms:

PREDICTION ALGORITHMS ([21],[27]). (i) For any $m, k \in \mathbb{N}^*, 0 \leq k \leq m \leq N$,

$$(2.26_{\pm}) \quad P_{\pm}(Z)(m, k) = \begin{cases} V^{\pm}(Z)(k)^{1/2} & \text{if } m = k \\ -\sum_{l=k}^{m-1} \gamma^{\pm}(Z)(m, l) P_{\pm}(Z)(l, k) & \text{if } m \geq k+1 \end{cases}.$$

(ii) For any $m, n, k \in \mathbb{N}^*, 0 \leq k \leq n < m \leq N$,

$$(2.27_{\pm}) \quad Q_{\pm}(Z)(m, n; k) = \sum_{l=n+1}^{m-1} \gamma^{\pm}(Z)(m, l) Q_{\pm}(Z)(l, n; k) - \gamma^{\pm}(Z)(m, k).$$

Finally the prediction error functions can be calculated by the following formulae:

PREDICTION ERROR FORMULAE ([21],[27]). (i) For any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*, 0 \leq n < m \leq N$,

$$(2.28_{\pm}) \quad e_{\pm}(Z)(m, n) = \sum_{k=n+1}^m P_{\pm}(Z)(m, k) {}^t P_{\pm}(Z)(m, k).$$

(ii) In particular, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq n \leq N$,

$$(2.29_{\pm}) \quad e_{\pm}(Z)(n, n-1) = (I - \delta^{\pm}(Z)(n)\delta^{\mp}(Z)(n)) \cdots (I - \delta^{\pm}(Z)(1)\delta^{\mp}(Z)(1)) R^Z(0).$$

[2.2] Let $Z = (Z(n); n \in \mathbb{Z})$ be any d -dimensional real-valued weakly stationary time series on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$ with covariance function R^Z . In this subsection, we treat the case where the following condition holds:

$$(2.30) \quad \{Z_j(n); 1 \leq j \leq d, n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \text{ is linearly independent in } L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P),$$

where $Z(n) = {}^t(Z_1(n), \dots, Z_d(n))$.

By restricting the time parameter space, we have a d -dimensional real-valued local and weakly stationary time series $Z_N = (Z(n); |n| \leq N) (N \in \mathbb{N})$. It then can be seen that the system $\{\mathcal{LD}(Z_N); N \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of the KM₂O-Langevin data $\mathcal{LD}(Z_N) (N \in \mathbb{N})$ satisfies the following consistency condition:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{\pm}(Z_{N+1})(n, k) &= \gamma^{\pm}(Z_N)(n, k) \quad (1 \leq k < n \leq N); \\ \delta^{\pm}(Z_{N+1})(n) &= \delta^{\pm}(Z_N)(n) \quad (1 \leq n \leq N); \\ V^{\pm}(Z_{N+1})(n) &= V^{\pm}(Z_N)(n) \quad (0 \leq n \leq N). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can construct a KM₂O-Langevin data $\mathcal{LD}(Z)$ associated with the process Z :

$$\mathcal{LD}(Z) = \{\gamma^{\pm}(Z)(n, k), \delta^{\pm}(Z)(m), V^{\pm}(Z)(l); k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, k < n, l \in \mathbb{N}^*\}.$$

§3 A new formula for the KM₂O-Langevin data

Let $d, d^{(1)}, d^{(2)}, N$ be any natural numbers such that $d = d^{(1)} + d^{(2)}$ and let $Z = (Z(n); |n| \leq N)$ be any d -dimensional local and weakly stationary time series satisfying condition (2.6). We divide the components of $Z(n)$ into two blocks $Y(n)$ and $W(n)$, i.e.

$$(3.1) \quad Z(n) = \begin{pmatrix} Y(n) \\ W(n) \end{pmatrix} \quad (|n| \leq N),$$

where $Y(n) = {}^t(Z_1(n), \dots, Z_{d^{(1)}}(n))$ and $W(n) = {}^t(Z_{d^{(1)}+1}(n), \dots, Z_{d^{(1)}+d^{(2)}}(n))$. It is to be noted that $Y = (Y(n); |n| \leq N)$ (resp. $W = (W(n); |n| \leq N)$) is a $d^{(1)}$ -dimensional (resp. $d^{(2)}$ -dimensional) weakly stationary time series satisfying condition (2.6).

In this section, we discuss how the KM_2O -Langevin data associated with Z is calculated by those associated with Y and W . We define the mutual correlation function R^{YW} of Y and W :

$$(3.2) \quad R^{YW}(n) = E(Y(n) {}^tW(0)) \quad (|n| \leq N).$$

Let $\mathcal{LD}(Z)$ (resp. $\mathcal{LD}(Y)$ and $\mathcal{LD}(W)$) be the KM_2O -Langevin data associated with Z (resp. Y and W). We divide the components of matrices $\gamma^\pm(Z)(n, k)$ and $\delta^\pm(Z)(n)$ into four blocks $\gamma_{pq}^\pm(Z)(n, k)$ and $\delta_{pq}^\pm(Z)(n)$, for $p, q \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq p, q \leq 2$, i.e.

$$\gamma^\pm(Z)(n, k) = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{11}^\pm(Z)(n, k) & \gamma_{12}^\pm(Z)(n, k) \\ \gamma_{21}^\pm(Z)(n, k) & \gamma_{22}^\pm(Z)(n, k) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\delta^\pm(Z)(n) = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{11}^\pm(Z)(n) & \delta_{12}^\pm(Z)(n) \\ \delta_{21}^\pm(Z)(n) & \delta_{22}^\pm(Z)(n) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\gamma_{pq}^\pm(Z)(n, k) = ((\gamma^\pm(Z)(n, k))_{ij})_{d^{(p-1)}+1 \leq i \leq d^{(p-1)}+d^{(p)}, d^{(q-1)}+1 \leq j \leq d^{(q-1)}+d^{(q)}}$ with $d^{(0)} = 0$ and $\delta_{pq}^\pm(Z)(n) = \gamma_{pq}^\pm(Z)(n, 0)$.

Moreover, we divide the components of $\nu^\pm(Z)(n)$ into two blocks $\nu^\pm(Z)_1(n)$ and $\nu^\pm(Z)_2(n)$, i.e.

$$\nu^\pm(Z)(n) = \begin{pmatrix} \nu^\pm(Z)_1(n) \\ \nu^\pm(Z)_2(n) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\nu^\pm(Z)_1(n) = {}^t(\nu_1^\pm(Z)(n), \dots, \nu_{d^{(1)}}^\pm(Z)(n))$ and $\nu^\pm(Z)_2(n) = {}^t(\nu_{d^{(1)}+1}^\pm(Z)(n), \dots, \nu_{d^{(1)}+d^{(2)}}^\pm(Z)(n))$. Then, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq n \leq N$, the KM_2O -Langevin equations (2.12 $_{\pm}$) for Z are represented as follows:

$$(3.3_{\pm}) \quad Z(\pm n) = - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{11}^\pm(Z)(n, k) & \gamma_{12}^\pm(Z)(n, k) \\ \gamma_{21}^\pm(Z)(n, k) & \gamma_{22}^\pm(Z)(n, k) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y(\pm k) \\ W(\pm k) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$- \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{11}^{\pm}(Z)(n) & \delta_{12}^{\pm}(Z)(n) \\ \delta_{21}^{\pm}(Z)(n) & \delta_{22}^{\pm}(Z)(n) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y(0) \\ W(0) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \nu^{\pm}(Z)_1(\pm n) \\ \nu^{\pm}(Z)_2(\pm n) \end{pmatrix}.$$

By noting (3.1), we have

$$(3.4_{\pm}) \quad Y(\pm n) = - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{11}^{\pm}(Z)(n, k) Y(\pm k) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{12}^{\pm}(Z)(n, k) W(\pm k) \\ - \delta_{11}^{\pm}(Z)(n) Y(0) - \delta_{12}^{\pm}(Z)(n) W(0) + \nu^{\pm}(Z)_1(\pm n);$$

$$(3.5_{\pm}) \quad W(\pm n) = - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{21}^{\pm}(Z)(n, k) Y(\pm k) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{22}^{\pm}(Z)(n, k) W(\pm k) \\ - \delta_{21}^{\pm}(Z)(n) Y(0) - \delta_{22}^{\pm}(Z)(n) W(0) + \nu^{\pm}(Z)_2(\pm n).$$

We shall obtain other formulae, different from (2.21 $_{\pm}$), by which the KM₂O-Langevin partial correlation functions $\delta^+(Z)(\cdot)$ and $\delta^-(Z)(\cdot)$ are recursively calculated from $\mathcal{LD}(Y)$, $\mathcal{LD}(W)$ and R^{YW} together with (2.15 $_{\pm}$). For this purpose, we define $B^+(Y|W)(l, k)$, $B^-(Y|W)(l, k)$, $B^+(W|Y)(l, k)$ and $B^-(W|Y)(l, k)$ by

$$(3.6_{\pm}) \quad B^{\pm}(Y|W)(l, k) = R^{YW}(\pm l) + \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} R^{YW}(\pm(l - k + j + 1))^t \gamma^{\mp}(W)(k - 1, j)$$

and

$$(3.7_{\pm}) \quad B^{\pm}(W|Y)(l, k) = R^{WY}(\pm l) + \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} R^{WY}(\pm(l - k + j + 1))^t \gamma^{\mp}(Y)(k - 1, j)$$

for any $k, l \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $1 \leq k \leq N$, $0 \leq l \leq N$.

THEOREM 3.1. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq n \leq N$,

$$\delta^{\pm}(Z)(n) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \delta^{\pm}(Y)(n) V^{\mp}(Y)(n-1) & 0 \\ 0 & \delta^{\pm}(W)(n) V^{\mp}(W)(n-1) \end{pmatrix} \right. \\ \left. - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \gamma^{\pm}(Z)(n-1, k) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B^{\pm}(Y|W)(k+1, n) \\ B^{\pm}(W|Y)(k+1, n) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} V^{\mp}(Z)(n-1)^{-1},$$

where

$$(3.8) \quad \gamma^+(Z)(j, j) = I \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma^-(Z)(j, j) = I \quad (0 \leq j \leq N).$$

PROOF: We prove the plus part. We shall rewrite the first term F of the right-hand side of the plus part of (2.21 $_{\pm}$) for any fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq n \leq N$:

$$F = -\left(R^Z(\pm n) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \gamma^{\pm}(Z)(n-1, k) R^Z(\pm(k+1))\right).$$

We divide the components of matrix F into four blocks F_{pq} for $p, q \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq p, q \leq 2$, i.e.

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} F_{11} & F_{12} \\ F_{21} & F_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $F_{pq} = ((F)_{ij})_{d(p-1)+1 \leq i \leq d(p-1)+d(p), d(q-1)+1 \leq j \leq d(q-1)+d(q)}$.

At first we rewrite the (1,1)-block F_{11} of F as follows:

$$F_{11} = -\left(R^Y(n) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \gamma_{11}^+(Z)(n-1, k) R^Y(k+1) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \gamma_{12}^+(Z)(n-1, k) R^{WY}(k+1)\right).$$

We shall rewrite the second term of the equation above; by using equation (2.12 $_{-}$), we see from (2.10 $_{-}$) and (2.11 $_{-}$) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \gamma_{11}^+(Z)(n-1, k) R^Y(k+1) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \gamma_{11}^+(Z)(n-1, k) E(Y(k-n+2)^t Y(-n+1)) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \gamma_{11}^+(Z)(n-1, k) E(Y(k-n+2)^t (-\sum_{j=0}^{n-2} \gamma^-(Y)(n-1, j) Y(-j))) \\ & \quad + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \gamma_{11}^+(Z)(n-1, k) E(Y(k-n+2)^t \nu^-(Y)(-(n-1))) \\ &= -\sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} \gamma_{11}^+(Z)(n-1, k) R^Y(k-n+j+2)^t \gamma^-(Y)(n-1, j) \\ &= -\sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} \gamma_{11}^+(Z)(n-1, k) E(Y(k)^t Y(n-j-2))^t \gamma^-(Y)(n-1, j) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} E((- \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \gamma_{11}^+(Z)(n-1, k) Y(k))^t Y(n-j-2))^t \gamma^-(Y)(n-1, j). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by using equation (3.4₊), we see from (2.10₊) and (2.11₊) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& E\left(-\sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \gamma_{11}^+(Z)(n-1, k)Y(k)\right)^t Y(n-j-2) \\
&= E(Y(n-1)^t Y(n-j-2)) + E\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \gamma_{12}^+(Z)(n-1, k)W(k)\right)^t Y(n-j-2) \\
&\quad - E(\nu^+(Z)_1(n-1)^t Y(n-j-2)) \\
&= R^Y(j+1) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \gamma_{12}^+(Z)(n-1, k)R^{WY}(k-n+j+2).
\end{aligned}$$

Further, by virtue of Berg's relation (2.20), we see

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \gamma_{11}^+(Z)(n-1, k)R^Y(k+1) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \gamma^+(Y)(n-1, k)R^Y(k+1) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \gamma_{12}^+(Z)(n-1, k)R^{WY}(k-n+j+2)^t \gamma^-(Y)(n-1, j).
\end{aligned}$$

According to the definition of $B^+(W|Y)(\cdot, *)$, we see from (2.20₊) that

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{11} &= -\left(R^Y(n) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \gamma^+(Y)(n-1, j)R^Y(k+1)\right) \\
&\quad - \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \gamma_{12}^+(Z)(n-1, k)\left(R^{WY}(k+1) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} R^{WY}(k-n+j+2)^t \gamma^-(Y)(n-1, j)\right) \\
&= \delta^+(Y)(n)V^-(Y)(n-1) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \gamma_{12}^+(Z)(n-1, k)B^+(W|Y)(k+1, n).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, according to (3.8), we get

$$(a) \quad F_{11} = \delta^+(Y)(n)V^-(Y)(n-1) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \gamma_{12}^+(Z)(n-1, k)B^+(W|Y)(k+1, n).$$

Secondly, we rewrite the (2,1)-block F_{21} of F as follows:

$$F_{21} = -\left(R^{WY}(n) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \gamma_{21}^+(Z)(n-1, k)R^Y(k+1) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \gamma_{22}^+(Z)(n-1, k)R^{WY}(k+1)\right).$$

We shall rewrite the second term of the equation above; by using equation (2.12₋), we see from (2.10₋) and (2.11₋) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \gamma_{21}^+(Z)(n-1, k) R^Y(k+1) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} E\left((- \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \gamma_{21}^+(Z)(n-1, k) Y(k))^t Y(n-j-2)\right)^t \gamma^-(Y)(n-1, j). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by using equation (3.5₊), we have from (2.10₊) and (2.11₊) that

$$\begin{aligned} & E\left((- \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \gamma_{21}^+(Z)(n-1, k) Y(k))^t Y(n-j-2)\right) \\ &= R^{WY}(j+1) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \gamma_{22}^+(Z)(n-1, k) R^{WY}(k-n+j+2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F_{21} &= - \left(R^{WY}(n) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} R^{WY}(k+1)^t \gamma^-(Y)(n-1, j) \right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \gamma_{22}^+(Z)(n-1, k) \left(R^{WY}(k+1) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} R^{WY}(k-n+j+2)^t \gamma^-(Y)(n-1, j) \right). \end{aligned}$$

According to the definition of $B^+(W|Y)(\cdot, *)$ in (3.7₊) and (3.8), we get

$$(b) \quad F_{21} = - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \gamma_{22}^+(Z)(n-1, k) B^+(W|Y)(k+1, n).$$

Similarly, we can show

$$(c) \quad F_{12} = - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \gamma_{11}^+(Z)(n-1, k) B^+(Y|W)(k+1, n)$$

and

$$(d) \quad F_{22} = \delta^+(W)(n) V^-(W)(n-1) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \gamma_{21}^+(Z)(n-1, k) B^+(Y|W)(k+1, n).$$

Thus we can conclude from (a), (b), (c) and (d) that the plus part holds. In the same way, the minus part is proved. (Q.E.D.)

As stated in §2, $V^+(Z)(\cdot)$ and $V^-(Z)(\cdot)$ are recursively calculated from $\delta^+(Z)(\cdot)$ and $\delta^-(Z)(\cdot)$ by (2.16 $_{\pm}$). However, we can obtain other formulae for the KM_2O -Langevin fluctuation functions $V^{\pm}(Z)(\cdot)$, similar to Theorem 3.1.

THEOREM 3.2. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq n \leq N$,

$$V^{\pm}(Z)(n) = \begin{pmatrix} V^{\pm}(Y)(n) & 0 \\ 0 & V^{\pm}(W)(n) \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{k=0}^n \gamma^{\pm}(Z)(n, n-k) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B^{\mp}(Y|W)(k, n+1) \\ B^{\mp}(W|Y)(k, n+1) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

PROOF: We divide the components of matrices $V^{\pm}(Z)(n)$ into four blocks $V_{pq}^{\pm}(Z)(n)$ for $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq p, q \leq 2$, i.e.

$$V^{\pm}(Z)(n) = \begin{pmatrix} V_{11}^{\pm}(Z)(n) & V_{12}^{\pm}(Z)(n) \\ V_{21}^{\pm}(Z)(n) & V_{22}^{\pm}(Z)(n) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $V_{pq}^{\pm}(Z)(n) = ((V^{\pm}(Z)(n))_{ij})_{d(p-1)+1 \leq i \leq d(p-1)+d(p), d(q-1)+1 \leq j \leq d(q-1)+d(q)}$.

We prove only the plus part, because the minus part is proved in the same way. By using equation (3.4 $_{+}$) for Z , it follows from (2.10 $_{+}$) and (2.11 $_{+}$) that

$$\begin{aligned} V_{11}^{+}(Z)(n) &= E(\nu^{+}(Z)_1(n)^t Y(n)) + E(\nu^{+}(Z)_1(n)^t (\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \gamma_{11}^{+}(Z)(n, k) Y(k))) \\ &\quad + E(\nu^{+}(Z)_1(n)^t (\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \gamma_{12}^{+}(Z)(n, k) W(k))) \\ &= E(\nu^{+}(Z)_1(n)^t Y(n)). \end{aligned}$$

Further, by using equation (2.12 $_{+}$) for Y and noting (2.10 $_{+}$) and (2.11 $_{+}$) that

$$\begin{aligned} V_{11}^{+}(Z)(n) &= E(\nu^{+}(Z)_1(n)^t (-\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \gamma^{+}(Y)(n, k) Y(k))) + E(\nu^{+}(Z)_1(n)^t \nu^{+}(Y)(n)) \\ &= E(\nu^{+}(Z)_1(n)^t \nu^{+}(Y)(n)). \end{aligned}$$

By using equation (3.4₊) for Z , we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{11}^+(Z)(n) &= E(Y(n)^t \nu^+(Y)(n)) + E\left(\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \gamma_{11}^+(Z)(n, k) Y(k)\right)^t \nu^+(Y)(n)\right) \\
&\quad + E\left(\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \gamma_{12}^+(Z)(n, k) W(k)\right)^t \nu^+(Y)(n)\right) \\
&= V^+(Y)(n) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \gamma_{12}^+(Z)(n, k) E(W(k)^t \nu^+(Y)(n)).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by using equation (2.12₊) for Y ,

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{11}^+(Z)(n) &= V^+(Y)(n) + \sum_{l=1}^n \gamma_{12}^+(Z)(n, n-l) E(W(n-l)^t \nu^+(Y)(n)) \\
&= V^+(Y)(n) + \sum_{l=1}^n \gamma_{12}^+(Z)(n, n-l) E(W(n-l)^t Y(n)) \\
&\quad + \sum_{l=1}^n \gamma_{12}^+(Z)(n, n-l) E(W(n-l)^t \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \gamma^+(Y)(n, j) Y(j)\right)) \\
&= V^+(Y)(n) + \sum_{l=1}^n \gamma_{12}^+(Z)(n, n-l) R^{WY}(-l) \\
&\quad + \sum_{l=1}^n \gamma_{12}^+(Z)(n, n-l) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} R^{WY}(-(l-n+j))^t \gamma^+(Y)(n, j).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, according to the definition of $B^-(W|Y)(\cdot, *)$ in (3.7₋) and (3.8),

$$(a) \quad V_{11}^+(Z)(n) = V^+(Y)(n) + \sum_{k=0}^n \gamma_{12}^+(Z)(n, n-l) B^-(W|Y)(k, n+1).$$

In the same way as in $V_{11}^+(Z)(n)$, it follows from (3.4₊), (3.5₊), (2.10₊), (2.11₊) and

(2.12₊) that

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{21}^+(Z)(n) &= E(\nu^+(Z)_2(n)^t Y(n)) \\
&= E(\nu^+(Z)_2(n)^t \nu(Y)(n)) \\
&= E(W(n)^t \nu^+(Y)(n)) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \gamma_{22}^+(Z)(n, k) E(W(k)^t \nu^+(Y)(n)) \\
&= R^{WY}(0) + \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} R^{WY}(n-l)^t \gamma^+(Y)(n, l) + \sum_{l=1}^n \gamma_{22}^+(Z)(n, n-l) R^{WY}(-l) \\
&\quad + \sum_{l=1}^n \gamma_{22}^+(Z)(n, n-l) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} R^{WY}(-(l-n+j))^t \gamma^+(Y)(n, j).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, according to the definition of $B^-(W|Y)(\cdot, *)$ in (3.7₋) and (3.8),

$$(b) \quad V_{21}^+(Z)(n) = \sum_{k=0}^n \gamma_{22}^+(Z)(n, n-k) B^-(W|Y)(k, n+1).$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$(c) \quad V_{12}^+(Z)(n) = \sum_{k=0}^n \gamma_{11}^+(Z)(n, n-k) B^-(Y|W)(k, n+1)$$

and

$$(d) \quad V_{22}^+(Z)(n) = V^+(Z)(n) + \sum_{k=0}^n \gamma_{21}^+(Z)(n, n-k) B^-(Y|W)(k, n+1).$$

Thus we can conclude from (a), (b), (c) and (d) that the plus part holds. (Q.E.D.)

§4 The non-linear prediction problem

Let $X = (X(n); n \in \mathbb{Z})$ be a one-dimensional strictly stationary time series on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$ with mean zero. Moreover we impose the same hypotheses as in Masani-Wiener[8]:

(H.1) X is finite;

(H.2) for any distinct integers $(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ the spectra of the distribution functions of the k -dimensional random variable ${}^t(X(n_1), \dots, X(n_k))$ have positive Lebesgue measure.

For any subset $\mathcal{A}$ of $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$, we denote by $[\mathcal{A}]$ the closed subspace of $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$, generated by all elements of $\mathcal{A}$.

To obtain the non-linear predictor $\hat{X}(\nu) = E(X(\nu)|\sigma(X(l); l \leq 0))$ is reduced to getting a projection of $X(\nu)$ ($\nu \in \mathbb{N}$) as follows:

LEMMA 4.1(MASANI-WIENER[8]).

$$(i) \quad E(X(\nu)|\sigma(X(l); l \leq 0)) = P_{\mathcal{M}_{-\infty}^0} X(\nu) \quad (\nu \in \mathbb{N}),$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}_{-\infty}^0 = [1, \prod_{k=0}^m X(n_k)^{p_k}; m \in \mathbb{N}^*, p_k \in \mathbb{N}, n_k \in \mathbb{Z}(0 \leq k \leq m), n_0 < \dots < n_m \leq 0].$$

$$(ii) \quad \{1, \prod_{k=0}^m X(n_k)^{p_k}; m \in \mathbb{N}^*, p_k \in \mathbb{N}, n_k \in \mathbb{Z}(0 \leq k \leq m), n_0 < \dots < n_m \leq 0\} \text{ is}$$

linearly independent in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$.

We shall obtain certain computable algorithm for $\hat{X}(\nu)$. For that purpose, we shall show the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.2.

$$E(X(\nu)|\sigma(X(l); l \leq 0)) = P_{\mathcal{K}_{-\infty}^0} X(\nu) \quad (\nu \in \mathbb{N}),$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}_{-\infty}^0 = [\prod_{k=0}^m X(n-k)^{p_k} - E(\prod_{k=0}^m X(n-k)^{p_k}); m \in \mathbb{N}^*, n \leq 0, p_0 \in \mathbb{N}, p_k \in \mathbb{N}^*(1 \leq k \leq m)].$$

PROOF: By Lemma 4.1(i), what we need to prove is that $P_{\mathcal{M}_{-\infty}^0} X(\nu) = P_{\mathcal{K}_{-\infty}^0} X(\nu)$ for any $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. Namely, it is to be shown that $P_{\mathcal{M}_{-\infty}^0 \ominus \mathcal{K}_{-\infty}^0} X(\nu) = 0$ for any $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, because

it can be seen that $\mathcal{K}_{-\infty}^0 \subset \mathcal{M}_{-\infty}^0$. For any $\psi \in \mathcal{M}_{-\infty}^0$,

$$\begin{aligned} (P_{\mathcal{M}_{-\infty}^0 \ominus \mathcal{K}_{-\infty}^0} X(\nu), \psi) &= (P_{\mathcal{M}_{-\infty}^0 \ominus \mathcal{K}_{-\infty}^0} X(\nu), \psi - E(\psi)) + (P_{\mathcal{M}_{-\infty}^0 \ominus \mathcal{K}_{-\infty}^0} X(\nu), E(\psi)) \\ &= E(\psi)(P_{\mathcal{M}_{-\infty}^0 \ominus \mathcal{K}_{-\infty}^0} X(\nu), 1) \quad \text{by } \psi - E(\psi) \in \mathcal{K}_{-\infty}^0 \\ &= E(\psi)(X(\nu), 1) \quad \text{by } 1 \in \mathcal{M}_{-\infty}^0 \ominus \mathcal{K}_{-\infty}^0 \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $(\phi_1, \phi_2) \equiv E(\phi_1 \cdot \phi_2)$. Therefore, we see that $P_{\mathcal{M}_{-\infty}^0 \ominus \mathcal{K}_{-\infty}^0} X(\nu) = 0$. Thus, we can conclude that Lemma 4.2 holds. (Q.E.D.)

For the purpose of parametrizing the infinite-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{K}_{-\infty}^0$, we define a subset Λ of $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}^{\mathbb{N}^*}$ by

$$\Lambda = \{p = (p_0, p_1, p_2, \dots) \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}^{\mathbb{N}^*}; p_0 \geq 1 \text{ and there exists } m \in \mathbb{N}^* \text{ such that } p_m \neq 0, p_k = 0 (k \geq m+1)\}.$$

For any $p \in \Lambda$, a one-dimensional strictly stationary time series $\varphi_p = (\varphi_p(n); n \in \mathbb{Z})$ is introduced by

$$\varphi_p(n) = \prod_{k=0}^m X(n-k)^{p_k}$$

and we set

$$G = \{\varphi_p; p \in \Lambda\}.$$

We shall order the elements of G to arrange them in a sequence $\{\varphi_j; j \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$. For each $q \in \mathbb{N}$, we define a subset Λ_q of Λ and a subset $G^{(q)}$ of G by

$$\Lambda_q = \{p = (p_0, p_1, \dots) \in \Lambda; q = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1) \cdot p_k\} \text{ and } G^{(q)} = \{\varphi_p; p \in \Lambda_q\}.$$

Then we have the disjoint union

$$G = \bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{N}} G^{(q)}.$$

Now we shall order the elements of G . For any $\varphi_p \in G^{(q)}$ and $\varphi_{p'} \in G^{(q')}$, we say that φ_p precedes $\varphi_{p'}$ if and only if $q < q'$ or $q = q'$ and in addition, there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $p_k = p'_k (0 \leq k \leq k_0 - 1)$ and $p_{k_0} > p'_{k_0}$. Then we have

$$G = \{\varphi_j; j \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$$

and

$$G^{(q)} = \{\varphi_{d_{q-1}+1}, \varphi_{d_{q-1}+2}, \dots, \varphi_{d_q}\},$$

where

$$d_q = \text{the number of } \left\{ \bigcup_{r=1}^q G^{(r)} \right\} - 1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & (\varphi_{d_{q-1}+1}(n), \varphi_{d_{q-1}+2}(n), \dots, \varphi_{d_q}(n)) \\ &= (X(n)^q, X(n)^{q-2}X(n-1), \dots, X(n)X(n-q+2)). \end{aligned}$$

For example,

$$(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4) = (0, 1, 3, 6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & (\varphi_0(n), \varphi_1(n), \varphi_2(n), \varphi_3(n), \varphi_4(n), \varphi_5(n), \varphi_6(n)) \\ &= (X(n), X(n)^2, X(n)^3, X(n)X(n-1), X(n)^4, X(n)^2X(n-1), X(n)X(n-2)). \end{aligned}$$

By using the system $G = \{\varphi_j; j \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$, we define $X^{(q)} = (X^{(q)}(n); n \in \mathbb{Z})$ and $Y^{(q)} = (Y^{(q)}(n); n \in \mathbb{Z})$ by

$$X^{(q)}(n) = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_0(n) - E(\varphi_0(n)) \\ \varphi_1(n) - E(\varphi_1(n)) \\ \vdots \\ \varphi_{d_q}(n) - E(\varphi_{d_q}(n)) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$Y^{(q)}(n) = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{d_q-1+1}(n) - E(\varphi_{d_q-1+1}(n)) \\ \varphi_{d_q-1+2}(n) - E(\varphi_{d_q-1+2}(n)) \\ \vdots \\ \varphi_{d_q}(n) - E(\varphi_{d_q}(n)) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, by virtue of Lemma 4.1(ii), we have the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.3.

- (i) For any $q \in \mathbb{N}$, $X^{(q)}$ is a $d_q + 1$ -dimensional weakly stationary time series satisfying condition (2.30).
- (ii) $X^{(1)} = X$.
- (iii) $X^{(q)}(n) = \begin{pmatrix} X^{(q-1)}(n) \\ Y^{(q)}(n) \end{pmatrix} \quad (q = 2, 3, \dots).$
- (iv) $[\bigcup_{N=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{q=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_{-N}^0(X^{(q)})] = \mathcal{K}_{-\infty}^0$.

We shall show how the non-linear predictor of X is expressed by using the linear predictor of $X^{(q)}$.

THEOREM 4.1. For any $\nu > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & E(X(\nu) | \sigma(X(l); l \leq 0)) \\ & = \text{the first component of } \lim_{N, q \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^N Q_+(X^{(q)})(N + \nu, N; N - k) X^{(q)}(-k) \right). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF: By Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3(iv), we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(X(\nu) | \sigma(X(l); l \leq 0)) &= \lim_{N, q \rightarrow \infty} P_{\mathcal{L}_{-N}^0(X^{(q)})} X(\nu) \\ &= \text{the first component of } \lim_{N, q \rightarrow \infty} P_{\mathcal{L}_{-N}^0(X^{(q)})} X^{(q)}(\nu). \end{aligned}$$

By applying the prediction formula (2.25₊) to the time series $X^{(q)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{\mathcal{L}_{-N}^0(X^{(q)})} X^{(q)}(\nu) &= U(-N) P_{\mathcal{L}_0^N(X^{(q)})} X^{(q)}(N + \nu) \\
&= U(-N) \left(\sum_{k=0}^N Q_+(X^{(q)})(N + \nu, N; k) X^{(q)}(k) \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^N Q_+(X^{(q)})(N + \nu, N; k) X^{(q)}(k - N) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^N Q_+(X^{(q)})(N + \nu, N; N - k) X^{(q)}(-k),
\end{aligned}$$

where $U(-N)$ is a unitary operator from $\mathcal{L}_0^N(X^{(q)})$ to $\mathcal{L}_{-N}^0(X^{(q)})$ such that $U(-N)X^{(q)}(n) = X^{(q)}(n - N)$ ($0 \leq n \leq N$). Therefore, we get Theorem 4.1. (Q.E.D.)

We shall explain the structure of algorithm computing the coefficients $Q_+(X^{(q)})(\cdot, *, *)$ ($q \in \mathbb{N}$) in Theorem 4.1. Let $\mathcal{LD}(X^{(q)})$ (resp. $\mathcal{LD}(X^{(q-1)})$ and $\mathcal{LD}(Y^{(q)})$) be the KM₂O-Langevin data associated with $X^{(q)}$ (resp. $X^{(q-1)}$ and $Y^{(q)}$). By (2.27₊),

$$(4.1) \quad Q_{\pm}(X^{(q)})(m, n; k) = \sum_{l=n+1}^{m-1} \gamma^{\pm}(X^{(q)})(m, l) Q_{\pm}(X^{(q)})(l, n; k) - \gamma^{\pm}(X^{(q)})(m, k),$$

which implies that, for each fixed $q \in \mathbb{N}$, $Q_{\pm}(X^{(q)})(\cdot, *, *)$ can be calculated from $\mathcal{LD}(X^{(q)})$. By virtue of FDT, $\mathcal{LD}(X^{(q)})$ can be recursively calculated from the KM₂O-Langevin partial correlation functions $\delta^{\pm}(X^{(q)})(\cdot)$. By applying Theorem 3.1 to the time series $X^{(q)}$, we obtain an algorithm computing $\delta^{\pm}(X^{(q)})(\cdot)$ in Theorem 4.2. The crux is that the $\delta^{\pm}(X^{(q)})(\cdot)$ can be calculated from $\mathcal{LD}(X^{(q-1)})$, $\mathcal{LD}(Y^{(q)})$ and $R^{X^{(q-1)}Y^{(q)}}$ ($q = 2, 3, \dots$).

THEOREM 4.2. For any $n, q \in \mathbb{N}, 2 \leq q$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta^\pm(\mathbf{X}^{(q)})(n) \\ &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \delta^\pm(\mathbf{X}^{(q-1)})(n)V^\mp(\mathbf{X}^{(q-1)})(n-1) & 0 \\ 0 & \delta^\pm(\mathbf{Y}^{(q)})(n)V^\mp(\mathbf{Y}^{(q)})(n-1) \end{pmatrix} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \gamma^\pm(\mathbf{X}^{(q)})(n-1, k) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B^\pm(\mathbf{X}^{(q-1)}|\mathbf{Y}^{(q)})(k+1, n) \\ B^\pm(\mathbf{Y}^{(q)}|\mathbf{X}^{(q-1)})(k+1, n) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} V^\mp(\mathbf{X}^{(q)})(n-1)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\gamma^+(\mathbf{X}^{(q)})(j, j) = I \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma^-(\mathbf{X}^{(q)})(j, j) = I \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}^*).$$

REMARK 4.1. We shall give a comment concerning the global behavior of the prediction functions $Q_\pm(\mathbf{X}^{(q)})(N+\nu, N; N-k)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ in order to complement the representation for the non-linear predictor in Theorem 4.1. For that purpose, we need the following stronger condition (H.3) than (H.2), besides (H.1):

(H.3) For each $q \in \mathbb{N}$, the process $\mathbf{X}^{(q)}$ has the spectral density matrix function $\Delta(\mathbf{X}^{(q)})(\theta)$ defined on $[-\pi, \pi)$ such that

$$(4.2) \quad \log(\det(\Delta(\mathbf{X}^{(q)}))) \in L^1(-\pi, \pi).$$

By Theorems 4.2, 5.1 and 5.2 in [24], we find that, for each $q \in \mathbb{N}$, the following limits exist:

$$(4.3_\pm) \quad V_\pm(\mathbf{X}^{(q)}) \equiv \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V_\pm(\mathbf{X}^{(q)})(n);$$

$$(4.4_\pm) \quad \gamma_\pm(\mathbf{X}^{(q)})(k) \equiv \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_\pm(\mathbf{X}^{(q)})(n, n-k) \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}^*);$$

$$(4.5_\pm) \quad P_\pm(\mathbf{X}^{(q)})(k) \equiv \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_\pm(\mathbf{X}^{(q)})(n, n-k) \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}^*).$$

Moreover they satisfy the following recursive relations: for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(4.6_\pm) \quad \begin{cases} P_\pm(\mathbf{X}^{(q)})(0) = V_\pm(\mathbf{X}^{(q)})^{1/2} \\ P_\pm(\mathbf{X}^{(q)})(k) = -\sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \gamma_\pm(\mathbf{X}^{(q)})(k-l)P_\pm(\mathbf{X}^{(q)})(l) \end{cases}.$$

Finally, by virtue of Theorem 6.5 in [24], we can theoretically obtain the algorithms for the limits as $N \rightarrow \infty$ of the prediction functions $Q_{\pm}(\mathbf{X}^{(q)})(N + \nu, N; N - k)$ for any $q, \nu \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \mathbb{N}^*$: the limits

$$(4.7_{\pm}) \quad Q_{\pm}(\mathbf{X}^{(q)})(\nu, k) \equiv \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} Q_{\pm}(\mathbf{X}^{(q)})(N + \nu, N; N - k)$$

exist and they satisfy the following recursive relations:

$$(4.8_{\pm}) \quad Q_{\pm}(\mathbf{X}^{(q)})(\nu, k) = \sum_{l=1}^{\nu-1} \gamma_{\pm}(\mathbf{X}^{(q)})(\nu - l) Q_{\pm}(\mathbf{X}^{(q)})(l, k) - \gamma_{\pm}(\mathbf{X}^{(q)})(\nu + k).$$

REFERENCES

- [1]. Alder, B.J. & Wainwright, T.E., Velocity autocorrelations for hard spheres, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 18 (1967), 988-990.
- [2]. Durbin, J., The fitting of time series models, *Rev. Int. Stat.*, 28 (1960), 233-244.
- [3]. Inoue, A., The Alder-Wainwright effect for stationary processes with reflection positivity (I), *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 43 (1991), 515-526.
- [4]. ———, The Alder-Wainwright effect for stationary processes with reflection positivity (II), to appear in *Osaka J. Math.*
- [5]. Kubo, R., Statistical mechanical theory of irreversible processes I, general theory and simple applications to magnetic and conduction problem, *J. Phys. Soc. Japan*, 12 (1957), 570-586.
- [6]. Levinson, N., The Wiener RMS error criterion in filter design and prediction, *J. Math. Phys.*, 25 (1947), 261-278.
- [7]. Masani, P., The prediction theory of multivariate stochastic processes, III. Unbounded spectral densities, *Acta Math.*, 104 (1960), 141-162.
- [8]. Masani, P. & Wiener, N., Non-linear prediction, *Probability and Statistics, The Harald Cramér Volume* (ed. by U. Grenander), John Wiley, 1959, 190-212.
- [9]. Miyoshi, T., On (l,m)-string and $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ -Langevin equation associated with a stationary Gaussian process, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA Math.*, 30(1983), 139-190.

- [10]. ———, On an $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued stationary Gaussian process associated with (k, l, m) -string and $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ -Langevin equation, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA Math.*, 31(1984), 154–194.
- [11]. Nakano, Y. & Okabe, Y., On a multi-dimensional $[\alpha, \beta, \gamma]$ -Langevin equation, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, 59 (1983), 171–173.
- [12]. Okabe, Y., On a stationary Gaussian process with T-positivity and its associated Langevin equation and S-matrix, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA Math.*, 26 (1979), 115–165.
- [13]. ———, On a stochastic differential equation for a stationary Gaussian process with T-positivity and the fluctuation-dissipation theorem, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA Math.*, 28 (1981), 169–213.
- [14]. ———, On a Langevin equation, *Sugaku*, 33 (1981), 306–324 (in Japanese).
- [15]. ———, A generalized fluctuation-dissipation theorem for the one-dimensional diffusion process, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 98 (1985), 449–468.
- [16]. ———, On KMO-Langevin equations for stationary Gaussian processes with T-positivity, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA Math.*, 33 (1986), 1–56.
- [17]. ———, On the theory of Brownian motion with the Alder-Wainwright effect, *J. Stat. Phys.*, 45 (1986), 953–981.
- [18]. ———, On long time tails of correlation functions for KMO-Langevin equations, in Proceedings of the Fourth Japan-USSR Symposium on Probability and Mathematical Statistics, Kyoto, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Tokyo, 1299, 1986, 391–397.
- [19]. ———, On the theory of discrete KMO-Langevin equations with reflection positivity (I), *Hokkaido Math. J.*, 16 (1987), 315–341.
- [20]. ———, On the theory of discrete KMO-Langevin equations with reflection positivity (II), *Hokkaido Math. J.*, 17 (1988), 1–44.
- [21]. ———, On stochastic difference equations for the multi-dimensional weakly stationary time series, *Prospect of Algebraic Analysis* (ed. by M. Kashiwara and T. Kawai), Academic Press, Tokyo, 1988, 601–645.
- [22]. ———, On the theory of discrete KMO-Langevin equations with reflection posi-

- tivity (III), *Hokkaido Math. J.*, 18 (1989), 149–174.
- [23]. ———, Langevin equation and causal analysis, *Sugaku*, 43(1991), 322–346 (in Japanese).
- [24]. ———, Applications of the theory of KM_2O -Langevin equations to the linear prediction problem for the multi-dimensional weakly stationary time series, to be submitted in *J. Math. Soc. Japan*.
- [25]. Okabe, Y. & Inoue, A., On the exponential decay of the correlation functions for KMO -Langevin equations, to appear in *Japanese J. Math.*
- [26]. ———, The theory of KM_2O -Langevin equations and its applications to data analysis (II): Causal analysis, to be submitted in *Nagoya Math. J.*
- [27]. Okabe, Y. & Nakano, Y., The theory of KM_2O -Langevin equations and its applications to data analysis (I): Stationary analysis, *Hokkaido Math. J.*, 20 (1991), 45–90.
- [28]. Okabe, Y. & Ootsuka, O., The theory of KM_2O -Langevin equations and its applications to data analysis (III): Prediction analysis, in preparation.
- [29]. Whittle, P., On the fitting of multivariate autoregressions, and the approximate canonical factorization of a spectral density matrix, *Biometrika*, 50 (1963), 129–134.
- [30]. Wiener, N., *Collected Works*, Vol.3, The MIT Press, 1981.
- [31]. Wiener, N. & Masani, P., The prediction theory of multivariate stochastic processes, I. The regularity condition, *Acta Math.*, 98 (1957), 111–150.
- [32]. ———, The prediction theory of multivariate stochastic processes, II. The linear predictor, *Acta Math.*, 99 (1958), 93–137.
- [33]. Wiggins, R.A. & Robinson, E.A., Recursive solution to the multichannel fitting problem, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 70 (1965), 1885–1891.