



HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

Title	Applications of the theory of KM20-Langevin equations to the linear prediction problem for the multi-dimensional weakly stationary time series
Author(s)	Okabe, Yasunori
Citation	Hokkaido University Preprint Series in Mathematics, 133, 2-22
Issue Date	1992-01
DOI	https://doi.org/10.14943/83278
Doc URL	https://hdl.handle.net/2115/68880
Type	departmental bulletin paper
File Information	re133.pdf



**Applications of the theory of
KM₂O-Langevin equations to
the linear prediction problem for
the multi-dimensional weakly
stationary time series**

Yasunori Okabe

Series #133. January 1992

HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY
PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS

- # 107: T. Nakazi, ρ -dilations and hypo-Dirichlet algebras, 15 pages. 1991.
- # 108: A. Arai, An abstract sum formula and its applications to special functions, 25 pages. 1991.
- # 109: Y.-G. Chen, Y. Giga and S. Goto, Analysis toward snow crystal growth, 18 pages. 1991.
- # 110: T. Hibi, M. Wakayama, A q -analogue of Capelli's identity for $GL(2)$, 7 pages. 1991.
- # 111: T. Nishimori, A qualitative theory of similarity pseudogroups and an analogy of Sacksteder's theorem, 13 pages. 1991.
- # 112: K. Matsuda, An analogy of the theorem of Hector and Duminy, 10 pages. 1991.
- # 113: S. Takahashi, On a regularity criterion up to the boundary for weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations, 23 pages. 1991.
- # 114: T. Nakazi, Sum of two inner functions and exposed points in H^1 , 18 pages. 1991.
- # 115: A. Arai, De Rham operators, Laplacians, and Dirac operators on topological vector spaces, 27 pages. 1991.
- # 116: T. Nishimori, A note on the classification of non-singular flows with transverse similarity structures, 17 pages. 1991.
- # 117: T. Hibi, A lower bound theorem for Ehrhart polynomials of convex polytopes, 6 pages. 1991.
- # 118: R. Agemi, H. Takamura, The lifespan of classical solutions to nonlinear wave equations in two space dimensions, 30 pages. 1991.
- # 119: S. Altschuler, S. Angenent and Y. Giga, Generalized motion by mean curvature for surfaces of rotation, 15 pages. 1991.
- # 120: T. Nakazi, Invariant subspaces in the bidisc and commutators, 20 pages. 1991.
- # 121: A. Arai, Commutation properties of the partial isometries associated with anticommuting self-adjoint operators, 25 pages. 1991.
- # 122: Y.-G. Chen, Blow-up solutions to a finite difference analogue of $u_t = \Delta u + u^{1+\alpha}$ in N -dimensional balls, 31 pages. 1991.
- # 123: A. Arai, Fock-space representations of the relativistic supersymmetry algebra in the two-dimensional space-time, 13 pages. 1991.
- # 124: S. Izumiya, The theory of Legendrian unfoldings and first order differential equations, 16 pages. 1991.
- # 125: T. Hibi, Face number inequalities for matroid complexes and Cohen-Macaulay types of Stanley-Reisner rings of distributive lattices, 17 pages. 1991.
- # 126: S. Izumiya, Completely integrable holonomic systems of first order differential equations, 35 pages. 1991.
- # 127: G. Ishikawa, S. Izumiya and K. Watanabe, Vector fields near a generic submanifold, 9 pages. 1991.
- # 128: A. Arai, I. Mitoma, Comparison and nuclearity of spaces of differential forms on topological vector spaces, 27 pages. 1991.
- # 129: K. Kubota, Existence of a global solution to a semi-linear wave equation with initial data of non-compact support in low space dimensions, 53 pages. 1991.
- # 130: S. Altschuler, S. Angenent and Y. Giga, Mean curvature flow through singularities for surfaces of rotation, 62 pages. 1991.
- # 131: M. Giga, Y. Giga and H. Sohr, L^p estimates for the Stokes system, 13 pages. 1991.
- # 132: Y. Okabe, T. Ootsuka, Applications of the theory of KM_2O -Langevin equations to the non-linear prediction problem for the one-dimensional strictly stationary time series, 27 pages. 1992.

**Applications of the theory of KM₂O-Langevin equations to
the linear prediction problem
for the multi-dimensional weakly stationary time series**

Dedicated to Professor Hiroshi Tanaka on his sixteen birthday

Yasunori Okabe

Department of Mathematics

Faculty of Science

Hokkaido University

Sapporo 060, JAPAN

§ 1. Introduction

Let $X = (X(n); n \in \mathbb{Z})$ be a d -dimensional weakly stationary time series on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$ with expectation vector 0 and covariance matrix function R . Wiener and Masani ([16],[17],[3]) have developed a theory of the linear prediction problem for the time series X . By the innovation method, they have introduced an innovation process $\epsilon_+ = (\epsilon_+(n); n \in \mathbb{Z})$ by

$$(1.1) \quad \epsilon_+(n) = X(n) - P_{M_{-\infty}^{n-1}(X)} X(n),$$

where $P_{M_{-\infty}^{n-1}(X)}$ stands for the projection operator on the past subspace $M_{-\infty}^{n-1}(X)$ of $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$ defined by

$$(1.2) \quad M_{-\infty}^{n-1}(X) = \text{the closed subspace generated by } \{X_j(l); l \leq n-1, 1 \leq j \leq d\}.$$

We denote by $V_+ \in M(d; \mathbb{R})$ the prediction error matrix:

$$(1.3) \quad V_+ = E(\epsilon_+(0) {}^t \epsilon_+(0)).$$

This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Science Research No. 03452011, the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan.

The process X is said to be purely nondeterministic if and only if $\cap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{-\infty}^n(X) = 0$ and to be of full rank if and only if the rank of the matrix V_+ is d . It has been in [16] characterized that X is purely nondeterministic and of full rank if and only if it has a spectral density matrix function Δ such that

$$(1.4) \quad \log(\det(\Delta)) \in L^1(-\pi, \pi)$$

and then proved that

$$(1.5) \quad \det V_+ = \exp\left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log(\det(\Delta(\theta))) d\theta\right].$$

Furthermore in [17] and [3] they have derived a generating function which, in the one-dimensional case, corresponds to the outer function of the spectral density function: the main purpose of [17] was to obtain certain algorithms computing the generating function, the linear predictor and the prediction error matrix in terms of the spectral density matrix function under the conditions that X is purely nondeterministic and of full rank and the eigenvalues of the spectral density matrix function are essentially bounded above and away from zero; the latter condition has been weakened in [3]. For that purpose, they have used the theorem of alternating projections due to von Neumann [14]. However, it seems that they have not succeeded in obtaining the computable algorithm which is fit for the application to other science.

Differently from Wiener and Masani's method in [16],[17] and [3], we shall apply the theory of KM_2O -Langevin equations to the linear prediction problem for the process X which is purely nondeterministic and of full rank and to solve some unsettled problems in [17] and [3]. We shall find that, in addition to the forward innovation process ϵ_+ and the forward prediction error matrix V_+ , the backward innovation process $\epsilon_- = (\epsilon_-(n); n \in \mathbb{Z})$ and the backward prediction error matrix $V_- \in M(d; \mathbb{R})$ will be indispensable to obtain certain computable algorithm for the linear predictor which is fit for the application to other science:

$$(1.6) \quad \epsilon_-(n) = X(n) - P_{M_{n+1}^\infty(X)} X(n);$$

$$(1.7) \quad V_- = E(\epsilon_-(0) {}^t \epsilon_-(0)).$$

By using the so-called innovation method, we have in [7] constructed the theory of KM_2O -Langevin equations with finite delay drift term for the multi-dimensional weakly stationary time series. Some relations which hold between both the delay and fluctuation coefficients in KM_2O -Langevin equations play important roles in this theory. In the field of systems, control and information engineerings, they have been known as LD-algorithm for the one-dimensional case and LWWR-algorithm for the multi-dimensional case in the model fitting of AR-Langevin equations with finite degree ([2],[1],[15],[18]). A fundamental feature of the theory of KM_2O -Langevin equations lies in a comprehension that such algorithms can be understood as a kind of fluctuation-dissipation theorem ([7]). As the application of the theory of KM_2O -Langevin equations to data analysis, we are going to develop a new project of the stationary, causal and prediction analysis ([11],[10],[13]).

Now we shall explain the contents of this paper. In §2 we shall recall and rearrange a part of the Wiener-Masani's theory in [16]. The theory of KM_2O -Langevin equations will be introduced in §3 according to [7]. In particular, we shall rearrange the KM_2O -Langevin data associated with the process X which consists of the triplet of the forward and backward KM_2O -Langevin delay functions, the forward and backward KM_2O -Langevin partial correlation functions, and the forward and backward KM_2O -Langevin fluctuation functions. By taking certain scaling limit of the forward (resp. backward) KM_2O -Langevin equation, we shall in §4 derive a forward (resp. backward) $AR(\infty)$ -Langevin equation which governs the time evolution of the time series X . §5 treats the global behaviour of the KM_2O -Langevin partial correlation (resp. delay) functions by using the algorithms mentioned above. We shall in §6 obtain two kinds of prediction formulae for the linear predictor in terms of the innovation process ϵ_+ and the process X , respectively. In the final §7, we shall give a concrete representation theorem for the generating function in the Wiener-Masani's theory ([17] and [3]) which, according to the nomenclature in the one-dimensional time series, will be called in this paper an outer matrix function of the spectral density matrix function Δ of the time series X .

This paper has been announced in [8]. The method used in this paper can be effectively applied to the non-linear prediction problem for one-dimensional strictly stationary time series in order to resolve the open problem in Masani and Wiener ([4]) which will be

appeared in the future ([12]). Furthermore in [13] we shall develop the prediction analysis based upon non-linear causal analysis in the part (III) of our new project.

§ 2. The Wiener-Masani's theory

Let $X = (X(n); n \in \mathbb{Z})$ be a d -dimensional weakly stationary time series on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$ with expectation vector 0 and covariance matrix function R :

$$(2.1) \quad E(X(n)) = 0 \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z});$$

$$(2.2) \quad E(X(m) {}^t X(n)) = R(m - n) \quad (m, n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

We introduce a notation which will be often used in this paper. For any d -dimensional square-integrable stochastic process $Y = ({}^t(Y_1(n), Y_2(n), \dots, Y_d(n)); n \in \mathbb{Z})$ defined on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$, we define, for any $m, n, -\infty \leq n \leq m \leq \infty$, a real closed subspace $M_n^m(Y)$ of $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$ by

$$(2.3) \quad M_n^m(Y) \equiv \text{the closed linear hull of } \{Y_j(k); k \in \mathbb{Z}, n \leq k \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq d\}.$$

Furthermore $P_{M_n^m(Y)}$ denotes the projection operator on the closed subspace $M_n^m(Y)$.

We shall recall and rearrange a part of the Wiener-Masani's theory for the linear prediction problem of the time series X ([16]). By the innovation method, they have introduced an innovation process $\epsilon_+ = (\epsilon_+(n); n \in \mathbb{Z})$ by

$$(2.4) \quad \epsilon_+(n) = X(n) - P_{M_{-\infty}^{n-1}(X)} X(n)$$

and defined the prediction error matrix $V_+ \in M(d; \mathbb{R})$ by

$$(2.5) \quad V_+ = E(\epsilon_+(0) {}^t \epsilon_+(0)).$$

It is easy to see that the time series ϵ_+ is a white noise with covariance matrix function V_+ , that is, for any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$(2.6) \quad E(\epsilon_+(m) {}^t \epsilon_+(n)) = \delta_{mn} V_+.$$

The process X is said to be purely nondeterministic if and only if

$$(2.7) \quad \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{-\infty}^n(X) = 0$$

and Wiener-Masani has proved

THEOREM 2.1 ([16]). *The condition (2.7) is equivalent to the following causal condition:*

$$(2.8) \quad M_{-\infty}^n(X) = M_{-\infty}^n(\epsilon_+) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Furthermore, X is said to be of full rank if and only if the rank of the matrix V_+ is d . Wiener-Masani has proved

THEOREM 2.2 ([16]). *The time series X is purely nondeterministic and of full rank if and only if it has a spectral density matrix function Δ such that*

$$(2.9) \quad \log(\det(\Delta)) \in L^1(-\pi, \pi).$$

Then the following formula holds:

$$(2.10) \quad \det V_+ = \exp\left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log(\det(\Delta(\theta))) d\theta\right].$$

We call the time series ϵ_+ and the matrix V_+ the forward innovation process and the forward prediction error matrix, respectively. For further study, we need to introduce the backward innovation process $\epsilon_- = (\epsilon_-(n); n \in \mathbb{Z})$ and the backward prediction error matrix V_- by

$$(2.11) \quad \epsilon_-(n) = X(n) - P_{M_{n+1}^\infty(X)} X(n)$$

and

$$(2.12) \quad V_- = E(\epsilon_-(0) {}^t \epsilon_-(0)).$$

Similarly for ϵ_+ , the time series ϵ_- is a white noise with covariance matrix function V_- :

$$(2.13) \quad E(\epsilon_-(m) {}^t \epsilon_-(n)) = \delta_{mn} V_- \quad (m, n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

COROLLARY 2.1. We assume that the time series X is purely nondeterministic and of full rank. Then the following hold:

$$(2.14) \quad M_n^\infty(X) = M_n^\infty(\epsilon_-) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z});$$

$$(2.15) \quad \det V_- = \exp\left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log(\det(\Delta(\theta))) d\theta\right].$$

PROOF: We consider the d -dimensional weakly stationary time series $X_- \equiv (X(-n); n \in \mathbb{Z})$. When we use the notation that $\epsilon_-(X)$ (resp. $\epsilon_+(X_-)$) stands for the backward (resp. forward) innovation process associated with the time series X (resp. X_-), it can be seen that $M_n^\infty(X) = M_{-\infty}^{-n}(X_-)$ and $\epsilon_-(X)(n) = \epsilon_+(X_-)(-n)$. Furthermore it follows that the spectral density matrix function of X_- becomes ${}^t\Delta(\theta)$ and $\det(\Delta(\theta)) = \det({}^t\Delta(\theta))$. Therefore we can prove Corollary 2.1 from Theorems 2.1 and 2.2. Q.E.D.

§ 3. The theory of KM_2O -Langevin equations

We shall recall the theory of KM_2O -Langevin equations from [7]. Let $X = (X(n); n \in \mathbb{Z})$ be the same time series as in §2. In this section, we treat the case where the covariance function R has a spectral density matrix function Δ defined on $[-\pi, \pi)$:

$$(3.1) \quad R(n) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-in\theta} \Delta(\theta) d\theta \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Then we define, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, two block Toeplitz matrices $T_n^+, T_n^- \in M(nd; \mathbb{R})$ by

$$(3.2_{\pm}) \quad T_n^{\pm} = \begin{pmatrix} R(0) & R(\pm 1) & \dots & R(\pm(n-1)) \\ R(\mp 1) & R(0) & \dots & R(\pm(n-2)) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ R(\mp(n-1)) & R(\mp(n-2)) & \dots & R(0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is to be noted ([7]) that

$$(3.3) \quad {}^tR(n) = R(-n) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

$$(3.4) \quad T_n^+, T_n^- \in GL(nd; \mathbb{R}) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}), \text{ and}$$

$$(3.5) \quad T_1^+ = T_1^- = R(0).$$

According to the method of innovation, we introduce the d -dimensional forward (resp. backward) KM₂O-Langevin force $\nu_+ = (\nu_+(n); n \in \mathbb{N}^*)$ (resp. $\nu_- = (\nu_-(l); l \in -\mathbb{N}^*)$) as follows:

$$(3.6_+) \quad \nu_+(n) = X(n) - P_{M_0^{n-1}(X)} X(n) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}^*);$$

$$(3.6_-) \quad \nu_-(l) = X(l) - P_{M_{l+1}^0(X)} X(l) \quad (l \in -\mathbb{N}^*),$$

where $\mathbb{N}^* = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, $-\mathbb{N}^* = \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$, and $M_0^{-1}(X) = M_1^0(X) = \{0\}$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, let $V_+(n)$ (resp. $V_-(n)$) be the covariance matrix of $\nu_+(n)$ (resp. $\nu_-(-n)$). We call the function $V_+(\cdot)$ (resp. $V_-(\cdot)$) the forward (resp. backward) KM₂O-Langevin fluctuation function. The following causal relation holds among X , ν_+ and ν_- :

Causal relation ([7]).

$$(3.7) \quad \nu_+(0) = \nu_-(0) = X(0).$$

$$(3.8_{\pm}) \quad E(\nu_{\pm}(\pm m) {}^t\nu_{\pm}(\pm n)) = \delta_{mn} V_{\pm}(n) \quad (m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*).$$

$$(3.9_+) \quad M_0^n(X) = M_0^n(\nu_+) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}^*).$$

$$(3.9_-) \quad M_{-n}^0(X) = M_{-n}^0(\nu_-) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}^*).$$

Let the system $\{\gamma_+(n, k), \gamma_-(n, k), \delta_+(m), \delta_-(m), V_+(l), V_-(l); l \in \mathbb{N}^*, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq k < n\}$ of $M(d; \mathbb{R})$ be the KM₂O-Langevin data associated with the process X . We know that X satisfies the forward (resp. backward) KM₂O-Langevin equation (3.10₊) (resp. (3.10₋)):

KM₂O-Langevin equations ([7]).

$$(3.10_{\pm}) \quad X(\pm n) = - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{\pm}(n, k) X(\pm k) - \delta_{\pm}(n) X(0) + \nu_{\pm}(\pm n) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

We call the function $\gamma_+(\cdot, *)$ (resp. $\gamma_-(\cdot, *)$) the forward (resp. backward) KM₂O-Langevin delay function associated with the process X . The function $\delta_+(\cdot)$ (resp. $\delta_-(\cdot)$) is said to be the forward (resp. backward) KM₂O-Langevin partial correlation function associated with the process X .

REMARK 3.1. The forward KM_2O -Langevin equation (3.10₊) is a discrete analogue to the $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ -Langevin equation derived by T. Miyoshi ([5],[6]).

Concerning the relation between the Toeplitz matrices and the KM_2O -Langevin fluctuation functions, we can use the KM_2O -Langevin equations to see that

$$(3.11_{\pm}) \quad \det T_n^{\pm} = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \det V_{\pm}(k) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

It follows from (3.4) and (3.11_±) that

$$(3.12) \quad V_+(n), V_-(n) \in GL(d; \mathbb{R}) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}^*).$$

The fluctuation-dissipation theorem (FDT) we have stated in §1 is the following:

FDT ([2],[1],[15],[18],[7]). For any $n, k \in \mathbb{N}, n > k$,

$$(3.13_{\pm}) \quad \gamma_{\pm}(n, k) = \gamma_{\pm}(n-1, k-1) + \delta_{\pm}(n) \gamma_{\mp}(n-1, n-k-1);$$

$$(3.14_{\pm}) \quad V_{\pm}(n) = (I - \delta_{\pm}(n) \delta_{\mp}(n)) V_{\pm}(n-1);$$

$$(3.15) \quad \delta_-(n) V_+(n-1) = V_-(n-1)^t \delta_+(n);$$

$$(3.16) \quad \delta_-(n) V_+(n) = V_-(n)^t \delta_+(n),$$

where we put

$$(3.17) \quad \gamma_+(m, 0) = \delta_+(m) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_-(m, 0) = \delta_-(m) \quad (m \in \mathbb{N}).$$

FDT implies that both the KM_2O -Langevin delay and fluctuation functions can be recursively calculated from the KM_2O -Langevin partial correlation functions. On the other hand, the latter can be obtained from the correlation function R by the following formulae:

KM_2O -Langevin partial correlation functions([2],[1],[15],[18],[7]).

$$(3.18_{\pm}) \quad \delta_{\pm}(n) = -(R(\pm n) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \gamma_{\pm}(n-1, k) R(\pm(k+1))) V_{\mp}(n-1)^{-1} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

For any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*, m \geq n$, we define $P_+(m, n), P_-(m, n)$ and $e_+(m, n), e_-(m, n)$ by

$$(3.19_{\pm}) \quad P_{\pm}(m, n) = E[X(\pm m) {}^t \nu_{\pm}(\pm n)] V_{\pm}(n)^{-1/2}$$

and

$$(3.20_+) \quad e_+(m, n) = E[(X(m) - P_{M_0^n}(X)X(m)) {}^t (X(m) - P_{M_0^n}(X)X(m))],$$

$$(3.20_-) \quad e_-(m, n) = E[(X(-m) - P_{M_{-n}^0}(X)X(-m)) {}^t (X(-m) - P_{M_{-n}^0}(X)X(-m))].$$

We call the function $P_+(\cdot, *)$ (resp. $P_-(\cdot, *)$) the forward (resp. backward) prediction function and the function $e_+(\cdot, *)$ (resp. $e_-(\cdot, *)$) the forward (resp. backward) prediction error function. Then we know

Prediction formulae ([7]). (i) For any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*, m \geq n$,

$$(3.21_+) \quad P_{M_0^n}(X)X(m) = \sum_{k=0}^n P_+(m, k) V_+(k)^{-1/2} \nu_+(k);$$

$$(3.21_-) \quad P_{M_{-n}^0}(X)X(-m) = \sum_{k=0}^n P_-(m, k) V_-(k)^{-1/2} \nu_-(-k).$$

(ii) For any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*, m > n$,

$$(3.22_+) \quad P_{M_0^n}(X)X(m) = \sum_{k=0}^n Q_+(m, n; k) X(k);$$

$$(3.22_-) \quad P_{M_{-n}^0}(X)X(-m) = \sum_{k=0}^n Q_-(m, n; k) X(-k).$$

Here the $M(d; \mathbb{R})$ -valued prediction functions $P_{\pm}(\cdot, *)$ and $Q_{\pm}(\cdot, *, *)$ can be determined by the following algorithms:

Prediction algorithms ([7]). (i) For any $m, k \in \mathbb{N}^*, m \geq k$,

$$(3.23_{\pm}) \quad P_{\pm}(m, k) = \begin{cases} V_{\pm}(k)^{1/2} & \text{if } m = k \\ -\sum_{l=k}^{m-1} \gamma_{\pm}(m, l) P_{\pm}(l, k) & \text{if } m \geq k+1 \end{cases}.$$

(ii) For any $m, n, k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $m > n \geq k$,

$$(3.24_{\pm}) \quad Q_{\pm}(m, n; k) = \begin{cases} -\gamma_{\pm}(n+1, k) & \text{if } m = n+1 \\ \sum_{l=n+1}^{m-1} \gamma_{\pm}(m, l) Q_{\pm}(l, n; k) - \gamma_{\pm}(m, k) & \text{if } m \geq n+2 \end{cases}.$$

Finally the prediction error functions can be calculated by the following formulae:

Prediction error formulae ([7]). (i) For any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $m > n$,

$$(3.25_{\pm}) \quad e_{\pm}(m, n) = \sum_{k=n+1}^m P_{\pm}(m, k) {}^t P_{\pm}(m, k).$$

(ii) In particular, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$,

$$(3.26_{\pm}) \quad e_{\pm}(n+1, n) = (I - \delta_{\pm}(n+1)\delta_{\mp}(n+1)) \cdots (I - \delta_{\pm}(1)\delta_{\mp}(1))R(0).$$

§ 4. AR(∞)-Langevin equations

In the sequel, we shall assume that the time series X is purely non-deterministic and of full rank. Hence we remark from Theorem 2.2 that X has the spectral density matrix function Δ satisfying the regularity condition (2.9).

Let N be any fixed natural number. Using the unitary discrete group $(U(n); n \in \mathbb{Z})$ on the Hilbert space $M_{-\infty}^{\infty}(X)$ defined by

$$(4.1) \quad U(n)(X_j(m)) = X_j(m+n) \quad (m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq j \leq d),$$

we define two d -dimensional weakly stationary processes $\epsilon_N^+ = (\epsilon_N^+(n); n \in \mathbb{Z})$ and $\epsilon_N^- = (\epsilon_N^-(n); n \in \mathbb{Z})$ by

$$(4.2_{\pm}) \quad \epsilon_N^{\pm}(n) = U(n \mp N)\nu_{\pm}(\pm N).$$

Then the forward (resp. backward) KM₂O-Langevin equation (3.10₊) (resp. (3.10₋)) can be transformed into the following generalized forward (resp. backward) AR(N)-Langevin equation (4.3₊) (resp. (4.3₋)):

$$(4.3_{+}) \quad X(n) = - \sum_{k=1}^N \gamma_{+}(N, N-k) X(n-k) + \epsilon_N^+(n) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z});$$

$$(4.3_{-}) \quad X(n) = - \sum_{k=1}^N \gamma_{-}(N, N-k) X(n+k) + \epsilon_N^-(n) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

REMARK 4.1. The AR-Langevin equations with finite degree can be characterized in the framework of the generalized AR-Langevin equations ([10]).

According to the definition of the KM_2O -Langevin forces and (4.2 $_{\pm}$), we have

$$(4.4_+) \quad \epsilon_N^+(n) = X(n) - P_{M_{n-N}^{n-1}}(X)X(n) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z});$$

$$(4.4_-) \quad \epsilon_N^-(n) = X(n) - P_{M_{n+1}^{n+N}}(X)X(n) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Hence, by noting (2.4) and (2.11), we can let $N \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.4 $_{\pm}$) to obtain the following

THEOREM 4.1.

$$(4.5_{\pm}) \quad \text{l.i.m.}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_N^{\pm}(n) = \epsilon_{\pm}(n) \quad \text{for any } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Concerning the global behaviour of the KM_2O -Langevin fluctuation functions, we have

THEOREM 4.2.

$$(4.6_{\pm}) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V_{\pm}(n) = V_{\pm}.$$

PROOF: According to the definition of the forward KM_2O -Langevin force, it follows from the weak stationarity of the process X that, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$V_+(n+1) = E[(X(0) - P_{M_{-n-1}^{-1}}(X)X(0)) {}^t(X(0) - P_{M_{-n-1}^{-1}}(X)X(0))].$$

Therefore, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above, we find from (2.4), (2.5), (2.11) and (2.12) that (4.6 $_{+}$) holds. In the same way, we can prove (4.6 $_{-}$). Q.E.D.

By virtue of Theorem 2.2, the matrices $V_{\pm}$ are non-singular and so we can define two d -dimensional time series $\xi_{\pm} = (\xi_{\pm}(n); n \in \mathbb{Z})$ by

$$(4.7_{\pm}) \quad \xi_{\pm}(n) = V_{\pm}^{-1/2} \epsilon_{\pm}(n).$$

It at once follows from (2.6) and (2.13) that two time series $\xi_{\pm}$ are standard white noises:

$$(4.8_{\pm}) \quad E(\xi_{\pm}(m) \xi_{\pm}(n)) = \delta_{mn} I.$$

Immediately from (2.8), (2.14) and (4.7 $_{\pm}$), we have

THEOREM 4.3. *There exist the following causal relations among X and $\xi_{\pm}$:*

$$(4.9_{+}) \quad M_{-\infty}^n(X) = M_{-\infty}^n(\xi_{+}) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z});$$

$$(4.9_{-}) \quad M_n^{\infty}(X) = M_n^{\infty}(\xi_{-}) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Moreover, by letting $N \rightarrow \infty$ in the generalized AR(N)-Langevin equations (4.3 $_{\pm}$), we find from Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 that

THEOREM 4.4. *The time series X satisfies the following two kinds of stochastic difference equations:*

$$(4.10_{+}) \quad X(n) = -\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \text{l.i.m.} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \gamma_{+}(N, N-k) X(n-k) + V_{+}^{1/2} \xi_{+}(n) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z});$$

$$(4.10_{-}) \quad X(n) = -\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \text{l.i.m.} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \gamma_{-}(N, N-k) X(n+k) + V_{-}^{1/2} \xi_{-}(n) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

DEFINITION 4.1. *We call equation (4.10 $_{+}$) (resp. (4.10 $_{-}$)) the forward (resp. backward) AR(∞)-Langevin equation associated with the time series X .*

We remark that equations (4.10 $_{\pm}$) give the concrete representations for the inclusion $\supset$ in the causal relations (4.9 $_{\pm}$).

§ 5. The global behaviour of KM₂O-Langevin partial correlation (resp. delay) functions

In order to obtain the concrete form of the limit of coefficients in AR(∞)-Langevin equations (4.10 $_{\pm}$), we shall study the global behaviour for both the KM₂O-Langevin partial correlation functions and the KM₂O-Langevin delay functions.

LEMMA 5.1.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_{\pm}(n) = 0.$$

PROOF: We claim that

$$(5.1) \quad \text{the sequence } (\delta_{\pm}(n); n \in \mathbb{N}) \text{ is bounded.}$$

By virtue of (3.14 $_{\pm}$) and (3.15) in FDT,

$$(5.2_{\pm}) \quad V_{\pm}(n+1) - V_{\pm}(n) = -\delta_{\pm}(n+1)V_{\pm}(n) {}^t\delta_{\pm}(n+1)$$

and so, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned} ((V_{+}(n+1) - V_{+}(n))x, x) &= (V_{+}(n) {}^t\delta_{+}(n+1)x, {}^t\delta_{+}(n+1)x) \\ &\geq (V_{+}(\infty) {}^t\delta_{+}(n+1)x, {}^t\delta_{+}(n+1)x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there exists a positive constant c such that, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\| {}^t\delta_{+}(n+1)x \| \leq c\|x\|,$$

which yields (5.1).

Let $D_{+} \in M(d; \mathbb{R})$ be any limit point of the sequence $(\delta_{+}(n); n \in \mathbb{N})$ along a subsequence $(n_k; k \in \mathbb{N})$ converging to ∞ . Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (5.2 $_{+}$) along the subsequence above, we find that

$$D_{+}V_{+} {}^tD_{+} = 0$$

and so the non-singularity of the matrix V_{+} implies that $D_{+} = 0$, which completes the forward part. The backward part can be proved in like manner. Q.E.D.

LEMMA 5.2.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{\pm}(n, k) = 0 \quad \text{for any fixed } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

PROOF: We show only the forward part, because the backward part can be similarly proved. We claim that there exists a positive constant c_k such that

$$(5.3) \quad \|\gamma_{+}(n, n-k)\| \leq c_k \quad \text{for any } n \geq k.$$

By multiplying ${}^t\nu_+(n-m)$ ($1 \leq m \leq k$) in the forward KM_2O -Langevin equation (3.10₊) from the right, we have

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & E(X(n) {}^t\nu_+(n-m)) \\ &= - \sum_{l=1}^{m-1} \gamma_+(n, n-l) E[X(n-l) {}^t\nu_+(n-m)] - \gamma_+(n, n-m) V_+(n-m). \end{aligned}$$

Since it follows from (3.8₊) and Theorem 4.2 that, for any $l \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\|E(X(l) {}^t\nu_+(n-m))\| \leq \|R(0)\| \|V_+(n-m)\| \leq \|R(0)\|^2,$$

we can apply this and Theorem 4.2 to (5.4) to observe that (5.3) can be proved by induction.

Therefore, we can conclude from (3.13₊) in **FDT**, Lemma 5.1 and (5.3) that the forward part holds by induction. Q.E.D.

We are now in a position to state the main theorem for the global behaviour of the KM_2O -Langevin delay functions $\gamma_+(\cdot, *)$, $\gamma_-(\cdot, *)$.

THEOREM 5.1. *The limits $\gamma_{\pm}(k) \equiv \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{\pm}(n, n-k)$ exist for any $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and they satisfy the following recursive relations:*

$$\gamma_{\pm}(k) = -\{E[X(0) {}^t\xi_{\pm}(\mp k)] - \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \gamma_{\pm}(l) E[X(0) {}^t\xi_{\pm}(\mp(k-l))]\} V_{\pm}^{-1/2}.$$

PROOF: We prove only the forward part. According to the definition of the forward KM_2O -Langevin force, for any $l, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $0 \leq l \leq k-1 < n$,

$$E(X(n-l) {}^t\nu_+(n-k)) = E(X(0) {}^t\epsilon_{n-k}^+(l-k)).$$

Substituting these relations into (5.4) with $m = k$, we have, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq k$,

$$E(X(0) {}^t\epsilon_{n-k}^+(-k)) = - \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \gamma_+(n, n-l) E[X(0) {}^t\epsilon_{n-k}^+(l-k)] - \gamma_+(n, n-k) V_+(n-k).$$

Therefore, we can apply Theorem 4.1 to the equations above to observe that the forward part holds by induction. Q.E.D.

§ 6. The prediction formulae

We shall show that the d -dimensional white noises ξ_+ and ξ_- in Theorem 4.1 play the same role as those in the canonical representation theorem for one-dimensional purely nondeterministic weakly stationary processes and give some prediction formulae.

At first we shall study the global behaviour of the prediction functions $P_{\pm}(\cdot, *)$.

THEOREM 6.1. (i) For any $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, the limits $P_{\pm}(k) \equiv \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{\pm}(n, n - k)$ exist and are represented in terms of the white noises:

$$(6.1_{\pm}) \quad P_{\pm}(k) = E(X(0) {}^t \xi_{\pm}(\mp k)).$$

(ii) They satisfy the following estimates:

$$(6.2_{\pm}) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{\pm}(k) {}^t P_{\pm}(k) \leq C_{\pm}$$

with two positive definite matrices $C_+, C_- \in GL(d; \mathbb{R})$.

(iii) Moreover, they satisfy the following recursive relations:

$$(6.3_{\pm}) \quad \begin{cases} P_{\pm}(0) = V_{\pm}^{1/2} \\ P_{\pm}(k) = - \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \gamma_{\pm}(k-l) P_{\pm}(l) \end{cases} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N})$$

PROOF: Only the forward part is proved. By (3.19₊) and (4.2₊), for any $n, k \in \mathbb{N}^*, n \geq k$,

$$P_+(n, n - k) = E[X(0) {}^t \epsilon_n^+(-k)] V_+(n - k)^{-1/2},$$

which, combined with Theorems 4.1 and 4.2, implies that (i) holds. Furthermore, it follows from (3.25₊) that, for any $n, k \in \mathbb{N}^*, n \geq k$,

$$(6.4) \quad e_+(n, n - k) = \sum_{l=1}^k P_+(n, n - k + l) {}^t P_+(n, n - k + l).$$

On the other hand, we can see from (3.20₊) that there exists a positive definite matrix $C_+ \in GL(d; \mathbb{R})$ satisfying

$$(6.5) \quad e_+(n, n - k) \leq C_+.$$

Therefore, by virtue of Theorem 3.1, we can let $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (6.3) to observe that (ii) holds from (6.4).

Finally it follows from (3.23 $_{\pm}$) that, for any $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{cases} P_{\pm}(n, n) = V_{\pm}(n)^{1/2} \\ P_{\pm}(n, n-k) = -\sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \gamma_{\pm}(n, n-k+l) P_{\pm}(n-k+l, n-k) \end{cases}$$

Therefore, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the equations above, we find that (iii) follows from (i), Theorems 4.2 and 5.1. Q.E.D.

REMARK 6.1. The recursive relations in Theorem 5.1, together with (6.1 $_{\pm}$), are the same as the relations (6.3 $_{\pm}$) in Theorem 6.1.

Concerning the concrete representations for the inclusion $\subset$ in (4.9 $_{\pm}$), we shall show

THEOREM 6.2. *The time series X can be represented in terms of the standard white noises $\xi_{\pm}$:*

$$(6.6_{+}) \quad X(n) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^n P_{+}(n-k) \xi_{+}(k) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z});$$

$$(6.6_{-}) \quad X(n) = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} P_{-}(k-n) \xi_{-}(k) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

PROOF: Note that each component of the right-hand sides of (6.6 $_{\pm}$) converges in the space $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$ by (ii) in Theorem 6.1. For any fixed $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, put

$$Y \equiv X(n) - \sum_{k=-\infty}^n P_{+}(n-k) \xi_{+}(k).$$

It follows from (4.9 $_{+}$) that $Y \in M_{-\infty}^n(\xi_{+})$. On the other hand, we see from (4.9 $_{+}$) and (6.1 $_{+}$) that, for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \leq n$,

$$E(Y {}^t\xi_{+}(m)) = E(X(0) {}^t\xi_{+}(m-n)) - P_{+}(n-m) = 0$$

and so $Y = 0$, giving (6.6 $_{+}$). In the same way, (6.6 $_{-}$) can be proved. Q.E.D.

By virtue of Theorems 4.3 and 6.2, the predictor based upon the past (resp. the future) can be concretely represented in terms of white noises ξ_+ and ξ_- .

THEOREM 6.3.

$$(6.7_+) \quad P_{M_{-\infty}^0(X)} X(n) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^0 P_+(n-k) \xi_+(k) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

$$(6.7_-) \quad P_{M_0^\infty(X)} X(-n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_-(k+n) \xi_-(k) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

On the other hand, we shall obtain another formulae of the predictors in terms of the time series X itself.

THEOREM 6.4.

$$(6.8_+) \quad P_{M_{-\infty}^0(X)} X(n) = \text{l.i.m.}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^N Q_+(N+n, N; N-k) X(-k) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

$$(6.8_-) \quad P_{M_0^\infty(X)} X(-n) = \text{l.i.m.}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^N Q_-(N+n, N; N-k) X(k) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

PROOF: We prove only (6.8₊), because (6.8₋) can be proved in a similar way. According to the prediction formula (3.22₊) with $m = N + n$ and $n = N$, for any $N, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$P_{M_0^N(X)} X(n+N) = \sum_{k=0}^N Q_+(n+N, n; k) X(k).$$

By operating the shift operator $U(-N)$ to the equation above,

$$P_{M_{-N}^0(X)} X(n+N) = \sum_{k=0}^N Q_+(n+N, n; N-k) X(-k).$$

Hence, letting $N \rightarrow \infty$ in this equation, we obtain (6.8₊).

Q.E.D.

In order to obtain the concrete form of the limit of coefficients in the prediction formulae (6.8_±), we shall study the global behaviour of the prediction functions $Q_\pm(\cdot, *; *)$.

THEOREM 6.5. The limits $Q_{\pm}(n, k) \equiv \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} Q_{\pm}(n + N, N; N - k)$ exist for any $n \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and they satisfy the following recursive relations:

$$(6.9_{\pm}) \quad \begin{cases} Q_{\pm}(1, k) = -\gamma_{\pm}(k + 1) \\ Q_{\pm}(n, k) = \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{\pm}(n - l) Q_{\pm}(l, k) - \gamma_{\pm}(n + k) \quad (n \geq 2) \end{cases}.$$

PROOF: By (3.24₊),

$$Q_{\pm}(1 + N, N; N - k) = -\gamma_{\pm}(N + 1, N - k).$$

Hence, it follows from Theorem 5.1 that (6.9_±) holds for $n = 1$. When $n \geq 2$, furthermore, by using (3.24₊) again,

$$Q_{\pm}(n + N, N; N - k) = \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{\pm}(n + N, l + N) Q_{\pm}(N + l, N; N - k) - \gamma_{\pm}(n + N, N - k).$$

Therefore, by virtue of Theorem 5.1, we can let $N \rightarrow \infty$ in the above to observe that the limits $Q_{\pm}(n, k) \equiv \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} Q_{\pm}(n + N, N; N - k)$ exist and they satisfy (6.9_±), by mathematical induction. Q.E.D.

§ 7 Outer matrix functions for the process X

We determine two $M(d; \mathbb{R})$ -valued functions E_+, E_- defined on $\mathbb{Z}$ by

$$(7.1_{\pm}) \quad E_{\pm}(n) = \sqrt{2\pi} \chi_{\{0,1,\dots\}}(\pm n) P_{\pm}(n)$$

and then denote by H_+, H_- their Fourier inverse transform:

$$(7.2_{\pm}) \quad H_{\pm} = \tilde{E}_{\pm}.$$

Immediately from Theorem 6.2, we have

$$(7.3_{+}) \quad X(n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} E_{+} * \xi_{+}(n) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z});$$

$$(7.3_{-}) \quad X(n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} E_{-} * \xi_{-}(n) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

It can be seen from (7.3 $_{\pm}$) that

$$(7.4_+) \quad \Delta(\theta) = H_+(\theta)H_+(\theta)^* \quad \text{a.e. } \theta \in [-\pi, \pi);$$

$$(7.4_-) \quad \Delta(\theta) = H_-(\theta)H_-(\theta)^* \quad \text{a.e. } \theta \in [-\pi, \pi).$$

In particular, it is to be noted from (2.9) and (7.4 $_{\pm}$) that $H_{\pm}(\theta)$ belong to $GL(d; \mathbb{R})$ for almost all $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi)$. The function H_+ is named by the generating function in [16],[17] and [3]. By taking account of (4.9 $_{\pm}$), (7.3 $_{\pm}$) and (7.4 $_{\pm}$), according to the nomenclature in the one-dimensional case, we shall give the following

DEFINITION 7.1. We call the matrix function H_+ (resp. H_-) the forward (resp. backward) outer matrix function of the spectral density matrix function Δ or the process X .

The aim of this section is to obtain a concrete representation for the outer matrix functions H_+, H_- . Let $(E(\theta); \theta \in [-\pi, \pi))$ be the resolution of the identity associated with the unitary discrete group $(U(n); n \in \mathbb{Z})$ acting on the Hilbert space $M_{-\infty}^{\infty}(X)$:

$$(7.5) \quad X(n) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-in\theta} dE(\theta)X(0).$$

It is to be noted that the spectral density matrix function Δ can be represented as

$$(7.6) \quad \Delta(\theta) d\theta = d(E(\theta)X(0), X(0)).$$

LEMMA 7.1.

$$\xi_{\pm}(n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-in\theta} H_{\pm}(\theta)^{-1} dE(\theta)X(0) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

PROOF: By Theorem 4.3, we can find two $M(d; \mathbb{C})$ -valued functions $F_{\pm} = (F_{jk}^{\pm})_{1 \leq j, k \leq d}$ defined on $[-\pi, \pi)$ satisfying

$$(7.7_{\pm}) \quad F_{jk}^{\pm} \in L^2([-\pi, \pi), \Delta_{kk}(\theta) d\theta) \quad (1 \leq j, k \leq d);$$

$$(7.8_{\pm}) \quad \xi_{\pm}(0) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F_{\pm}(\theta) dE(\theta)X(0).$$

However, since it follows from (2.4), (2.11) and (4.7 $_{\pm}$) that

$$(7.9_{\pm}) \quad U(n)\xi_{\pm}(0) = \xi_{\pm}(n) \quad \text{for any } n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

we can see that

$$(7.10_{\pm}) \quad \xi_{\pm}(n) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-in\theta} F_{\pm}(\theta) dE(\theta) X(0) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

By substituting (7.10 $_{\pm}$) into (7.3 $_{\pm}$), we have

$$X(n) = \sqrt{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-in\theta} \tilde{E}_{\pm}(\theta) F_{\pm}(\theta) dE(\theta) X(0) \quad \text{for any } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Therefore, by virtue of the uniqueness of Fourier transform, it follows from (7.2 $_{\pm}$) and (7.5) that

$$F_{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} H_{\pm}^{-1},$$

which, together with (7.10 $_{\pm}$), completes the proof of Lemma 7.1.

Q.E.D.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, two $M(d; \mathbb{R})$ -valued functions F_n^+, F_n^- are defined on $[-\pi, \pi)$:

$$(7.11_{\pm}) \quad F_n^{\pm}(\theta) = I + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{\pm}(n, n-l) e^{il\theta}.$$

We are now in a position to prove the main theorem of this section.

THEOREM 7.1. (i) *The following limits exist in $L^2(-\pi, \pi)$:*

$$\text{l.i.m.}_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n^{\pm}(\theta) H_{\pm}(\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} V_{\pm}(\infty)^{1/2}.$$

(ii) *There exists a subsequence $(n_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ converging to ∞ such that*

$$H_{\pm}(\theta)^{-1} = \sqrt{2\pi} V_{\pm}(\infty)^{-1/2} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{l=1}^{n_k-1} (I + \gamma_{\pm}(n_k, n_k-l) e^{il\theta}) \quad \text{a.e. } \theta \in [-\pi, \pi).$$

PROOF: (ii) immediately follows from (i). Put

$$Y(n) \equiv X(0) + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \gamma_+(n, n-l) X(-l) - V_+(\infty)^{1/2} \xi_+(0).$$

According to Theorem 4.4,

$$(7.12) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(Y(n) {}^t Y(n)) = 0.$$

On the other hand, by virtue of Lemma 7.1, it follows from the spectral representation (7.5) and (7.11_±) that

$$Y(n) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left\{ F_n^+(\theta) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} V_+(\infty)^{1/2} H_+(\theta)^{-1} \right\} dE(\theta) X(0).$$

Hence, we find from (7.4₊) that

$$\begin{aligned} & E(Y(n) {}^t Y(n)) \\ &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left\{ F_n^+(\theta) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} V_+(\infty)^{1/2} H_+(\theta)^{-1} \right\} \Delta(\theta) \left\{ F_n^+(\theta) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} V_+(\infty)^{1/2} H_+(\theta)^{-1} \right\}^* d\theta \\ &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left\{ F_n^+(\theta) H_+(\theta) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} V_+(\infty)^{1/2} \right\} \left\{ F_n^+(\theta) H_+(\theta) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} V_+(\infty)^{1/2} \right\}^* d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, combining this with (7.12), we have the forward part of (i). The backward part can be similarly proved. Q.E.D.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Durbin, J., The fitting of time series models, Rev. Int. Stat., 28 (1960), 233-244.
- [2]. Levinson, N., The Wiener RMS error criterion in filter design and prediction, J. Math. Phys., 25 (1947), 261-278.
- [3]. Masani, P., The prediction theory of multivariate stochastic processes, III. Unbounded spectral densities, Acta Math., 104 (1960), 141-162.
- [4]. Masani, P. and N. Wiener, Non-linear prediction, Probability and Statistics, The Harald Cramér Volume (ed. by U. Grenander), John Wiley, 1959, 190-212.
- [5]. Miyoshi, T., On (l,m)-string and $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ -Langevin equation associated with a stationary Gaussian process, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA Math., 30(1983), 139-190.
- [6]. ———, On an $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued stationary Gaussian process associated with (k,l,m)-string and $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ -Langevin equation, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA Math., 31(1984), 154-194.

- [7]. Okabe, Y., On stochastic difference equations for the multi-dimensional weakly stationary time series, Prospect of Algebraic Analysis (ed. by M. Kashiwara and T. Kawai), Academic Press, Tokyo, 1988, 601-645.
- [8]. ———, The random forces associated with discrete-time weakly stationary processes, Proceedings of Preseminar for International Conference on Gaussian Random fields, Nagoya, 1991, 126-134.
- [9]. ———, Langevin equation and causal analysis, Sugaku, 43(1991), 322-346 (in Japanese).
- [10]. Okabe, Y. and A. Inoue, The theory of KM_2O -Langevin equations and its applications to data analysis (II): Causal analysis, to be submitted in Nagoya Math. J..
- [11]. Okabe, Y. and Y. Nakano, The theory of KM_2O -Langevin equations and its applications to data analysis (I): Stationary analysis, Hokkaido Math. J., 20 (1991), 45-90.
- [12]. Okabe, Y. and T. Ootsuka, Applications of the theory of KM_2O -Langevin equations to the non-linear prediction problem for the one-dimensional strictly stationary time series, to be submitted in Acta Math..
- [13]. ———, The theory of KM_2O -Langevin equations and its applications to data analysis (III): Prediction analysis, in preparation.
- [14]. von Neumann, J., Functional Operators, Vol.2, Princeton, 1950.
- [15]. Whittle, P., On the fitting of multivariate autoregressions, and the approximate canonical factorization of a spectral density matrix, Biometrika, 50 (1963), 129-134.
- [16]. Wiener, N. and P. Masani, The prediction theory of multivariate stochastic processes, I. The regularity condition, Acta Math., 98 (1957), 111-150.
- [17]. ———, The prediction theory of multivariate stochastic processes, II. The linear predictor, Acta Math., 99 (1958), 93-137.
- [18]. Wiggins, R.A. and E.A. Robinson, Recursive solution to the multichannel fitting problem, J. Geophys. Res., 70 (1965), 1885-1891.