



HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

Title	Asymptotic behaviors of radial solutions to semilinear wave equations in odd space dimensions
Author(s)	Kubo, H. ; Kubota, K.
Citation	Hokkaido University Preprint Series in Mathematics, 225, 1-47
Issue Date	1994-01-01
DOI	https://doi.org/10.14943/83372
Doc URL	https://hdl.handle.net/2115/68976
Type	departmental bulletin paper
File Information	re225.pdf



**Asymptotic behaviors of radial solutions
to semilinear wave equations
in odd space dimensions**

H. Kubo and K. Kubota

Series #225. January 1994

HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY
PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS

- # 195: T. Ozawa, Y. Tsutsumi, Global existence and asymptotic behavior of solutions for the Zakharov equations in three space dimensions, 34 pages. 1993.
- # 196: H. Kubo, Asymptotic behaviors of solutions to semilinear wave equations with initial data of slow decay, 25 pages. 1993.
- # 197: Y. Giga, Motion of a graph by convexified energy, 32 pages. 1993.
- # 198: T. Ozawa, Local decay estimates for Schrödinger operators with long range potentials, 17 pages. 1993.
- # 199: A. Arai, N. Tominaga, Quantization of angle-variables, 31 pages. 1993.
- # 200: S. Izumiya, Y. Kurokawa, Holonomic systems of Clairaut type, 17 pages. 1993.
- # 201: K.-S. Saito, Y. Watatani, Subdiagonal algebras for subfactors, 7 pages. 1993.
- # 202: K. Iwata, On Markov properties of Gaussian generalized random fields, 7 pages. 1993.
- # 203: A. Arai, Characterization of anticommutativity of self-adjoint operators in connection with Clifford algebra and applications, 13 pages. 1993.
- # 204: J. Wierzbicki, An estimation of the depth from an intermediate subfactor, 7 pages. 1993.
- # 205: N. Honda, Vanishing theorem for the tempered distributions, 11 pages. 1993.
- # 206: T. Hibi, Betti number sequences of simplicial complexes, Cohen-Macaulay types and Möbius functions of partially ordered sets, and related topics, 25 pages. 1993.
- # 207: A. Inoue, Regularly varying correlations, 23 pages. 1993.
- # 208: S. Izumiya, B. Li, Overdetermined systems of first order partial differential equations with singular solution, 9 pages. 1993.
- # 209: T. Hibi, Hochster's formula on Betti numbers and Buchsbaum complexes, 7 pages. 1993.
- # 210: T. Hibi, Star-shaped complexes and Ehrhart polynomials, 5 pages. 1993.
- # 211: S. Izumiya, G. T. Kossioris, Geometric singularities for solutions of single conservation laws, 28 pages. 1993.
- # 212: A. Arai, On self-adjointness of Dirac operators in Boson-Fermion Fock spaces, 43 pages. 1993.
- # 213: K. Sugano, Note on non-commutative local field, 3 pages. 1993.
- # 214: A. Hoshiga, Blow-up of the radial solutions to the equations of vibrating membrane, 28 pages. 1993.
- # 215: A. Arai, Scaling limit of anticommuting self-adjoint operators and nonrelativistic limit of Dirac operators, 35 pages. 1993.
- # 216: Y. Giga, N. Mizoguchi, Existence of periodic solutions for equations of evolving curves, 45 pages. 1993.
- # 217: T. Suwa, Indices holomorphic vector fields relative to invariant curves, 10 pages. 1993.
- # 218: S. Izumiya, G. T. Kossioris, Realization theorems of geometric singularities for Hamilton-Jacobi equations, 14 pages. 1993.
- # 219: Y. Giga, K. Yama-uchi, On instability of evolving hypersurfaces, 14 pages. 1993.
- # 220: W. Bruns, T. Hibi, Cohen-Macaulay partially ordered sets with pure resolutions, 11 pages. 1993.
- # 221: S. Jimbo, Y. Morita, Ginzburg Landau equation and stable solutions in a rotational domain, 32 pages. 1993.
- # 222: T. Miyake, Y. Maeda, On a property of Fourier coefficients of cusp forms of half-integral weight, 12 pages. 1993.
- # 223: I. Nakai, Notes on versal deformation of first order PDE and web structure, 34 pages. 1993.
- # 224: I. Tsuda, Can stochastic renewal of maps be a model for cerebral cortex?, 30 pages. 1993.

Asymptotic behaviors of radial solutions to semilinear wave equations in odd space dimensions

Hideo Kubo and Kôji Kubota

§0. Introduction

This paper is concerned with semilinear wave equations of the form

$$(0.1) \quad u_{tt} - u_{rr} - \frac{n-1}{r}u_r = F(u, u_t, u_r) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2,$$

where $u = u(r, t)$ is a real-valued function and $n = 2m + 3$ with m a nonnegative integer. For a large class of the nonlinear term F we will show that “small” solutions of (0.1) exist and are asymptotic to the solutions of the linear wave equation

$$(0.2) \quad u_{tt} - u_{rr} - \frac{n-1}{r}u_r = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2,$$

namely, there exist solutions u_-, u_+ of (0.2) and $u(t) - u_{\pm}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ in the sense of the energy norm.

As is well known, the equation (0.1) is the radially symmetric version of a special case of

$$(0.3) \quad u_{tt} - \Delta u = F_0(u, Du, D_x Du) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R},$$

where $D = (D_x, D_t)$, $D_x = (\partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_n)$ and $D_t = \partial/\partial t$. The existence of global small solutions of the Cauchy problem for (0.3) has been shown by Christodoulou [3], Li Ta-tsien and Chen Yun-mei [11], and Li Ta-tsien and Yu Xin [12], provided the nonlinear term F_0 and the initial data prescribed on $t = 0$ are “nice”. Moreover the asymptotic behaviors as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ for solutions of (0.3), which guarantee the existence of the scattering operator, have been researched by Strauss [19], Mochizuki and Motai [13], [14], Pecher [15], Tsutaya [22] and Kubota and Mochizuki [10] in the case where F_0 is independent of $Du, D_x Du$, i.e., $F_0 = F_1(u)$, and by Klainerman [7], Shatah [16] and Klainerman and Ponce [8] in the case where F_0 does not explicitly depend on u , i.e., $F_0 = F_2(Du, D_x Du)$.

In the present paper we study the asymptotic behaviors of radial solutions to (0.3), which guarantee the existence of the scattering operator, in the case where F_0 is independent of $D_x Du$, i.e., $F_0 = F_3(u, Du)$.

§1. Statements of main results

First consider the Cauchy problem for the linear wave equation (0.2):

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} u_{tt} - u_{rr} - \frac{n-1}{r}u_r &= 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ u(r, 0) &= f(r), \quad u_t(r, 0) = g(r) \quad \text{for } r \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned}$$

where $n = 2m + 3$ and m is a nonnegative integer. We assume the pair $\{f, g\}$ of the initial data belongs to the following function space E_0 : The set of $\{f, g\}$ such that f, g are even functions on $\mathbb{R}$, $f \in C^{m+3}(\mathbb{R})$, $g \in C^{m+2}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\|\{f, g\}\|_0 < \infty$. Here the norm is defined by

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\{f, g\}\|_0 &= \sup_{-\infty < r < \infty} |f(r)| < r >^{m+1+\kappa} \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{m+2} \sup_{-\infty < r < \infty} (|f^{(j+1)}(r)| + |g^{(j)}(r)|) < r >^{m+2+\kappa}, \end{aligned}$$

where $< r > = \sqrt{1 + r^2}$, $g^{(j)}(r) = \left(\frac{d}{dr}\right)^j g(r)$ and κ is a positive parameter. Note that the function $< |x| >^{-m-1-\kappa}$ does not belong to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $0 < \kappa \leq 1/2$.

We also introduce another function space X in which we will look for solutions of the nonlinear wave equation of (0.1):

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} X &= \{u(r, t) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2); r^j u(r, t) \in C^{j+2,0}(\mathbb{R}^2), r^j u_t(r, t) \in C^{j+1,0}(\mathbb{R}^2) \\ &\quad \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq m+1 \text{ and } \|u\| < \infty\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \|u\| &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} \sup_{(r,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2} |D_{r,t}^\alpha u(r, t)| < r >^m < |r| + |t| > < |r| - |t| >^\kappa \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{m+1} \sup_{(r,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2} (|r^j D_r^{j+2} u(r, t)| + |r^j D_r^{j+1} D_t u(r, t)|) \\ &\times < r >^{m-j} < |r| + |t| > < |r| - |t| >^\kappa \end{aligned}$$

with the same parameter κ as in (1.2), and $C^{k,0}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ stands for the set of continuous functions $u(r, t)$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$ such that $D_r^j u(r, t)$ are continuous on $\mathbb{R}^2$ for $0 \leq j \leq k$. We also write $X_d = \{u \in X; \|u\| \leq d\}$.

In (1.4) if $j \geq 1$, we interpret $r^j D_r^{j+2} u(r, t)$ for $r = 0$ as a linear combination of $D_r^{k+2}(r^k u(r, t))$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, j$, because

$$r^j D_r^{j+2} u(r, t) = \sum_{k=0}^j C_k D_r^{k+2}(r^k u(r, t)) \quad \text{for } r \neq 0,$$

so that $r^j D_r^{j+2} u(r, t)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Now, for the Cauchy problem (1.1) we have

Theorem. 1.1. *Let $\{f, g\} \in E_0$. Then (1.1) admits a unique solution $u(r, t)$ which belongs to $X \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and is even in r . Moreover*

$$(1.5) \quad r^j u(r, t) \in C^{j+2}(\mathbb{R}^2) \quad \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq m+1$$

and for $(r, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ we have

$$(1.6) \quad |u(r, t)| \leq C \|\{f, g\}\|_0 < r >^{-m} < |r| + |t| >^{-1} < |r| - |t| >^{-\kappa},$$

$$(1.7) \quad |D_{r,t}^\alpha u(r, t)| \leq C \|\{f, g\}\|_0 < r >^{-m-1} < |r| - |t| >^{-\kappa-1} \quad \text{if } 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 2$$

and

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & |r^{|\alpha|-2} D_{r,t}^\alpha u(r, t)| \\ & \leq C \|\{f, g\}\|_0 < r >^{-m+|\alpha|-3} < |r| - |t| >^{-\kappa-1} \quad \text{if } 3 \leq |\alpha| \leq m+3, \end{aligned}$$

where C is a constant depending only on n and κ .

Remark. Employing the methods in Kubo [9], we find that the conclusions of Theorem 1.1 are still valid for a wider class of initial data, say, E'_0 : The set of $\{f, g\}$ such that f, g are continuous even functions on $\mathbb{R}$, $r^{m+1} f(r) \in C^{m+3}(\mathbb{R})$, $r^{m+1} g(r) \in C^{m+2}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\|\{f, g\}\|'_0 < \infty$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \|\{f, g\}\|'_0 &= \sup_{-\infty < r < \infty} |f(r)| < r >^{m+1+\kappa} \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{m+2} \sup_{-\infty < r < \infty} \{|D_r^{j+1}(r^{m+1} f(r))| + |D_r^j(r^{m+1} g(r))|\} < r >^{\kappa+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Next consider the nonlinear wave equation (0.1). Let $p_0(m)$ be the positive root of the quadratic equation

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi(m, p) &\equiv (m+1)p^2 - (m+2)p - 1 \\ &= \frac{n-1}{2} p^2 - \frac{n+1}{2} p - 1 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then we impose the following three conditions $(H)_1, (H)_2$ and $(H)_3$ on the nonlinear term F in (0.1):

$$(H)_1 \quad F(\lambda) = F(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \in C^{m+2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$$

and there exist positive numbers p and A satisfying

$$(1.10) \quad p_0(m) < p \leq m + 3$$

and

$$(1.11) \quad |D^\alpha F(\lambda)| \leq A|\lambda|^{p-|\alpha|} \quad \text{if} \quad |\alpha| < p, |\alpha| \leq m + 2 \quad \text{and} \quad |\lambda| \leq 1.$$

$$(H)_2 \quad F(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \text{ is even in } \lambda_3.$$

$$(H)_3 \quad D^{m+2}F \text{ is locally Hölder continuous,}$$

namely, for $|\alpha| = m + 2$ and $|\lambda|, |\lambda'| \leq 1$ we have

$$(1.12) \quad |D^\alpha F(\lambda) - D^\alpha F(\lambda')| \leq B|\lambda - \lambda'|^\delta,$$

where B, δ are positive numbers depending only on F, n such that $\delta = p - (m + 2)$ if $m + 2 < p \leq m + 3$ and δ is an arbitrary positive number satisfying $0 < \delta \leq 1$ if $p \leq m + 2$.

Remark. Since $2 < p_0(0) < 3$ and $1 < p_0(m) < 2$ for $m \geq 1$, the hypothesis $(H)_1$ implies that $p \geq 2$.

Examples. If $m \geq 1$, then

$$F(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^3 a_j \lambda_j^2$$

satisfies $(H)_1, (H)_2$ and $(H)_3$, where a_j are constants. If $m = 0$, then

$$F(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^3 b_j |\lambda_j|^{p_j}$$

satisfies $(H)_1, (H)_2$ and $(H)_3$ provided $p_j > 1 + \sqrt{2}$, where b_j are constants. Indeed, we take $p = \min\{3, p_1, p_2, p_3\}$.

We also impose the following condition on the positive parameter κ in (1.2) and (1.4):

$$(1.13) \quad \frac{2}{p-1} - m - 1 < \kappa \leq q,$$

where $q = q(m, p)$ is a positive number defined by

$$(1.14) \quad q = \frac{1 + \Phi(m, p)}{p} = (m+1)p - (m+2)$$

with the $\Phi(m, p)$ in (1.9).

We are now in a position to state the main theorem in this paper.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $u_-(r, t)$ be the solution of the Cauchy problem (1.1) with $\{f, g\} \in E_0$. Assume conditions $(H)_1, (H)_2, (H)_3$ and (1.13) hold. Then there are positive constants ε_0, d having the following property, where ε_0 depends only on F, n and κ , and d only on F and n : If $\|\{f, g\}\|_0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, then there exists uniquely a solution $u(r, t)$ of the nonlinear wave equation (0.1) which belongs to $X_d \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, is even in r and has the following asymptotic behavior*

$$(1.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & |D_r(u(r, t) - u_-(r, t))| + |D_t(u(r, t) - u_-(r, t))| \\ & \leq C_1 \|u\|^p < r >^{-m-1} < |r| - |t| >^{-1} < |r| - t >^{-\kappa} \\ & \text{for } (r, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where C_1 is a constant depending only on F and n . Moreover we have

$$(1.16) \quad \|u\| \leq 2\|u_-\| \leq C_0 \varepsilon,$$

$$(1.17) \quad \|u(t) - u_-(t)\|_e \leq C_2 \|u\|^p < t >^{-\kappa} \quad \text{for } t \leq 0,$$

where

$$(1.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \|v(t)\|_e &= \left\{ \int_0^\infty (|D_r v(r, t)|^2 + |D_t v(r, t)|^2) r^{n-1} dr \right\}^{1/2}, \\ |u(r, t) - u_-(r, t)| &\leq C_3 \|u\|^p < r >^{-m} < |r| + |t| >^{-1} \\ &\quad \times < |r| - t >^{-\kappa} \quad \text{for } (r, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1.19) \quad \begin{aligned} & |r^{\alpha+\beta-2} D_t^\beta D_r^\alpha (u(r, t) - u_-(r, t))| \\ & \leq C_4 \|u\|^p < r >^{-m+\alpha+\beta-3} < |r| - |t| >^{-1} < |r| - t >^{-\kappa} \\ & \text{for } (r, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2, 2 \leq \alpha + \beta \leq m + 3 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \beta \leq 2, \end{aligned}$$

where C_2, C_3 and C_4 are constants depending only on F, n and C_0 is a constant depending only on n and κ . Furthermore there exists uniquely a solution $u_+(r, t)$ of the linear wave equation (0.2) which belongs to $X \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and has the asymptotic behaviors (1.15), (1.17), (1.18) and (1.19) with $u_-(r, t), < |r| - t >^{-\kappa}$ and "for $t \leq 0$ " replaced by $u_+(r, t), < |r| + t >^{-\kappa}$ and "for $t \geq 0$ ", respectively. Besides we have

$$(1.20) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|u_+(0)\|_e^2 - \|u_-(0)\|_e^2 \\ &= 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \int_0^{\infty} F(u, u_t, u_r)(r, t) u_t(r, t) r^{n-1} dr. \end{aligned}$$

Remarks. 1) Let E be the closure of E_0 with respect to the energy norm $\|u_-(0)\|_e$. Then the "scattering operator": $\{f, g\} \mapsto \{u_+(r, 0), (D_t u_+)(r, 0)\}$ is shown to be exist on a dense set of a neighborhood of 0 in E .

2) Condition (1.2) on the decay rate of initial data can be somewhat relaxed, provided the number p in $(H)_1$ is large, say, $p > (m + 3)/(m + 1)$. For the details see Appendix below.

3) To prove the uniqueness of such a solution of (0.1) as in Theorem 1.2, we will show that the solution satisfies a certain integral equation (see Lemma 5.5 in §5) and that a solution of the integral equation is unique in X_d (see Proposition 5.4).

4) For the last factor in (1.15), (1.18) and (1.19), we note that $< |r| - t >^{-\kappa} = < |r| + |t| >^{-\kappa}$ for $t \leq 0$.

5) In [15] where $m = 0$, Pecher takes the parameter in (1.4) as $\kappa = q(0, p) = p - 2$.

6) Consider the following Cauchy problem

$$(1.21) \quad \begin{aligned} & u_{tt} - \Delta u = |u|^p \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty), n \geq 2, p > 1, \\ & u(x, 0) = 0, u_t(x, 0) = g(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tilde{p}_0(n)$ be the positive root of (1.9). Then it is necessary for (1.21) to admit global (in time) solutions that $p > \tilde{p}_0(n)$ if $n = 2, 3$, and $p \geq \tilde{p}_0(n)$ if $n \geq 4$. (For the details see John [6], Glassey [5], Sideris [18] and Schaeffer [17]). Therefore the first half of condition (1.10), i.e., $p > p_0(m)$ is almost necessary to obtain Theorem 1.2.

7) Let $p > \tilde{p}_0(n)$. Then it is necessary for (1.21) to admit global radial solutions that the parameter κ in (1.2) satisfies $\kappa + m + 1 \geq 2/(p - 1)$, where κ is a real number and $m = (n - 2)/2$ if n is even. (For the details see Asakura [2], Agemi

and Takamura [1], Tsutaya [21] and Takamura [20]). Therefore the first half of condition (1.13) is almost necessary to obtain Theorem 1.2.

The plan of this paper is as follows. In the next section we summarize some known results concerning the fundamental solution for the Cauchy problem (1.1). In §3 we prove Theorem 1.1, by employing the results in §2. In §4 we establish a priori estimates for the nonlinear term in (0.1). In §5 we prove Theorem 1.2, by employing the results in §§2 through 4. Finally in the Appendix we relax condition (1.2) on the decay rate of the initial data in (1.1), when $p > (m + 3)/(m + 1)$.

§2. Preliminaries

In this section we shall summarize some known results concerning the fundamental solution for the Cauchy problem (1.1). We start with

Lemma 2.1. *Let $H(\rho) \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ and set*

$$(2.1) \quad u(r, t) = \int_{-1}^1 H(t + r\sigma)(1 - \sigma^2)^m d\sigma,$$

where $m = (n - 3)/2$. Then $u(r, t)$ belongs to $C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and is even in r . Moreover $u(r, t)$ satisfies the linear wave equation (0.2), where $r^{-1}u_r(r, t)$ is interpreted as

$$\frac{1}{r}u_r(r, t) = \int_0^1 u_{rr}(r\lambda, t)d\lambda.$$

For the proof see for example Courant and Hilbert [4], p.700 or Kubo [9], §2. For the Cauchy problem (1.1) we have

Lemma 2.2. *Let $f(r), g(r) \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying $r^{m+1}f(r) \in C^{m+3}(\mathbb{R})$, $r^{m+1}g(r) \in C^{m+2}(\mathbb{R})$. Then $r^k f(r) \in C^{k+2}(\mathbb{R})$ and $r^k g(r) \in C^{k+1}(\mathbb{R})$ for $0 \leq k \leq m$. Moreover set*

$$(2.2) \quad H_g(r) = (2m!)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2} \right)^m (r^{2m+1}g(r)).$$

Then $H_f(r) \in C^3(\mathbb{R})$ and $H_g(r) \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$. Furthermore put

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} u(r, t) = & \int_{-1}^1 H_g(t + r\sigma)(1 - \sigma^2)^m d\sigma \\ & + D_t \int_{-1}^1 H_f(t + r\sigma)(1 - \sigma^2)^m d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

If f, g are even functions, we have

$$(2.4) \quad u(r, 0) = f(r), u_t(r, 0) = g(r).$$

Besides if $f = 0$ then $u(r, t)$ is odd in t .

For the proof see Kubo [9], §2. From this lemma we have easily

Corollary 2.3. Let $g(r) \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$ be an even function such that $r^{m+1}g(r) \in C^{m+2}(\mathbb{R})$. Let $H_g(r)$ be defined by (2.2). Then

$$\int_{-1}^1 H_g(r\sigma)(1 - \sigma^2)^m d\sigma = 0$$

and

$$\int_{-1}^1 H'_g(r\sigma)(1 - \sigma^2)^m d\sigma = g(r).$$

For the inhomogeneous wave equation

$$(2.5) \quad u_{tt} - u_{rr} - \frac{n-1}{r}u_r = G(r, t) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2,$$

we have

Lemma 2.4. Let $G(r, t) \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $r^{m+1}G(r, t) \in C^{m+2,0}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Set

$$H(r, t) = (2m!)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2} \right)^m (r^{2m+1}G(r, t))$$

and

$$w(r, t) = \int_s^t d\tau \int_{-1}^1 H(t - \tau + r\sigma, \tau)(1 - \sigma^2)^m d\sigma,$$

where s is an arbitrary real number. Then $H(r, t) \in C^{2,0}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $w(r, t)$ is even in r . Moreover suppose $G(r, t)$ is even in r . Then

$$(2.6) \quad D_t w(r, t) = \int_s^t d\tau \int_{-1}^1 D_t H(t - \tau + r\sigma, \tau)(1 - \sigma^2)^m d\sigma.$$

Furthermore $w(r, t)$ belongs to $C^2(\mathbb{R})$ and satisfies (2.5) with the zero initial data $w(r, s) = 0$ and $w_t(r, s) = 0$.

For the proof see e.g. [9], the proof of Proposition 3.4. The following lemma shows that the function $u(r, t)$ given by (2.1) does gain regularity by multiplying r .

Lemma 2.5. Let $u(r, t)$ be defined by (2.1), where $H(\rho) \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$. Let α, β be nonnegative integers such that $0 \leq \alpha + \beta \leq m$. Then

$$(2.7) \quad (D_t r)^\beta (D_r r)^\alpha u(r, t) = \int_{-1}^1 H(t + r\sigma) \psi_{\alpha, \beta}(\sigma) d\sigma,$$

where

$$\psi_{\alpha, \beta}(\sigma) = \left(-\frac{d}{d\sigma}\right)^\beta \left(-\sigma \frac{d}{d\sigma}\right)^\alpha (1 - \sigma^2)^m$$

and $(D_r r)u = D_r(ru)$. Moreover if $\alpha + \beta = m$ we have

$$(2.8)_1 \quad (D_t r)^{\beta+1} (D_r r)^\alpha u(r, t) = \int_{-1}^1 H(t + r\sigma) \left(-\frac{d}{d\sigma}\right) \psi_{\alpha, \beta}(\sigma) d\sigma \\ + H(t + r) \psi_{\alpha, \beta}(1) - H(t - r) \psi_{\alpha, \beta}(-1)$$

and

$$(2.8)_2 \quad (D_r r)^{m+1} u(r, t) = \int_{-1}^1 H(t + r\sigma) \left(-\sigma \frac{d}{d\sigma}\right) \psi_{\alpha, \beta}(\sigma) d\sigma \\ + H(t + r) \psi_{\alpha, \beta}(1) + H(t - r) \psi_{\alpha, \beta}(-1).$$

For the proof see [9], the proof of Proposition 2.5.

Corollary 2.6. Let $H(\rho, \tau) \in C^{\ell, 0}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, where ℓ is a positive integer. Set

$$v_k(r, t, \tau) = r^k \int_{-1}^1 H(t + r\sigma, \tau) (1 - \sigma^2)^m d\sigma,$$

where k is an integer such that $0 \leq k \leq m + 1$. Then

$$(2.9) \quad D_t^\beta D_r^\alpha v_k(r, t, \tau) \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^3)$$

for nonnegative integers α, β such that $0 \leq \alpha + \beta \leq k + \ell$.

Proof. It suffices to prove (2.9) when $k \leq \alpha + \beta \leq k + \ell$. Since

$$D_t^\beta D_r^\alpha (r^{\alpha+\beta} v_0(r, t, \tau)) = \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha} C_j (D_t r)^\beta (D_r r)^j v_0$$

with some constants C_j such that $C_\alpha = 1$, by Lemma 2.5 we get

$$D_t^\beta D_t^\alpha (r^{\alpha+\beta} v_0(r, t, z)) = \int_{-1}^1 H(t + r\sigma, \tau) \tilde{\psi}_{\alpha, \beta}(\sigma) d\sigma \\ + C_{\alpha, \beta} H(t + r, \tau) + C'_{\alpha, \beta} H(t - r, \tau)$$

for $0 \leq \alpha + \beta \leq m + 1$, where $\tilde{\psi}_{\alpha, \beta}(\sigma)$ is a polynomial and $C_{\alpha, \beta}, C'_{\alpha, \beta}$ are constants.

Therefore we obtain (2.9) for α, β such that $k \leq \alpha + \beta \leq k + \ell$. The proof is complete.

We will need also another representation for the solution of (1.1).

Lemma 2.7. Let $g(r)$, $H_g(r)$ and $u(r, t)$ be as in Lemma 2.2 with $f = 0$. Assume g is an even function. Then

$$(2.10) \quad u(r, t) = \int_{|t-r|}^{t+r} g(\rho) K(\rho, r, t) d\rho \quad \text{if } r > 0, t \geq 0,$$

where $K(\rho, r, t) = (2r)^{-1} \rho$ if $m = 0$, and

$$(2.11) \quad K(\rho, r, t) = \frac{(-1)^m}{2m!} \left(\frac{\rho}{r} \right)^{2m+1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \frac{1}{2\rho} \right)^m \phi^m(\rho, r, t)$$

with $\phi(\rho, r, t) = r^2 - (t - \rho)^2$ if $m \geq 1$. Moreover we have

$$(2.12) \quad K(-\rho, r, t) = -K(\rho, r, t)$$

and

$$(2.13) \quad K(\rho, -r, t) = -K(\rho, r, t).$$

For the proof see [9], the proof of Lemma 2.3. For the above function $K(\rho, r, t)$ and the polynomial $\phi^m(\rho, r, t)$, we will need the following three lemmas which have been obtained in [9], section 4. In what follows we denote constants independent of ρ, r and t by C_1, C_2 and so on. Besides, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2)$ stand for multi-indices of nonnegative integers such that $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 2m + 4$.

Lemma 2.8. Let $r \geq 0, t \geq 0$ and $|t - r| \leq \rho \leq t + r$. Then

$$(2.14) \quad |D_{r,t}^\alpha \phi^m(\rho, r, t)| \leq C_1 r^{2m-|\alpha|} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq 2m + 4,$$

where $C_1 = 0$ unless $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq 2m$, and

$$(2.15) \quad |D_{r,t}^\alpha \phi^m(\rho, r, t)| \leq C_2 r^m \rho^{m-|\alpha|} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq m.$$

Lemma 2.9. Let $r \geq 0$ and $t \geq 0$. Then

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & |D_{r,t}^\alpha (D_{r,t}^\beta \phi^m(\rho, r, t)|_{\rho=t \pm r})| \\ & \leq C_3 r^{2m-|\alpha|-|\beta|} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq |\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 2m + 3, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_3 = 0$ unless $m \leq |\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 2m$. Moreover suppose $r \geq t$. Then

$$(2.17)_1 \quad \begin{aligned} & |D_{r,t}^\alpha (D_{r,t}^\beta \phi^m(\rho, r, t)|_{\rho=r-t})| \\ & \leq C_4 r^m (r - t)^{m-|\alpha|-|\beta|} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq |\alpha| + |\beta| \leq m \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.17)_2 \quad \begin{aligned} & |D_{r,t}^\alpha (D_{r,t}^\beta \phi^m(\rho, r, t)|_{\rho=r-t})| \\ & \leq C_5 r^{2m-|\alpha|-|\beta|} \quad \text{for } m + 1 \leq |\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 2m + 3, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_5 = 0$ if $|\alpha| + |\beta| \geq 2m + 1$.

Lemma 2.10. Let $r \geq 1, t \geq 0$ and $|t - r| \leq \rho \leq t + r$. Then

$$(2.18) \quad |D_{r,t}^\alpha K(\rho, r, t)| \leq C_6 r^{-m-1} \chi_\alpha(\rho) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq m+4,$$

where

$$(2.19) \quad \chi_\alpha(\rho) = \begin{cases} <\rho>^{m+1} & \text{if } |\alpha| = 0, \\ <\rho>^m + r^{-1} <\rho>^{m+1} & \text{if } |\alpha| = 1 \\ <\rho>^{m-1} + r^{-1} <\rho>^m + r^{-2} <\rho>^{m+1} & \text{if } |\alpha| \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Moreover we have

$$(2.20) \quad |D_{r,t}^\alpha (D_{r,t}^\beta K(\rho, r, t)|_{\rho=t \pm r})| \leq C_7 r^{-m-1} X_{\alpha+\beta}(t \pm r) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq |\alpha| + |\beta| \leq m+3.$$

Furthermore suppose $r \geq t$. Then

$$(2.21) \quad |D_{r,t}^\alpha (D_{r,t}^\beta K(\rho, r, t)|_{\rho=r-t})| \leq C_8 r^{-m-1} X_{\alpha+\beta}(r-t) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq |\alpha| + |\beta| \leq m+3.$$

§3. The linear wave equation

The purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 1.1. We start with

Lemma 3.1. Let $f(r), g(r) \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$ be even functions. Suppose $r^{m+1}f(r) \in C^{m+3}(\mathbb{R})$ and $r^{m+1}g(r) \in C^{m+2}(\mathbb{R})$. Then there exists a unique solution $u(r, t) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ of the Cauchy problem (1.1). Moreover the solution $u(r, t)$ is given by (2.3), even in r and satisfies

$$(3.1) \quad r^k u(r, t) \in C^{k+2}(\mathbb{R}^2) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq m+1.$$

Proof. Let $u(r, t)$ be given by (2.3) with (2.2). Then it follows from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 that $u(r, t)$ belongs to $C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, is even in r and satisfies (1.1). Moreover by Lemma 2.2 and Corollary 2.6 with $H(\rho, \tau) = H'_f(\rho) + H_g(\rho)$ we obtain (3.1). Since the C^2 -solution of (1.1) is unique, we complete the proof.

Now, to prove Theorem 1.1 we have only to establish the estimates (1.6), (1.7) and (1.8), because of Lemma 3.1.

In what follows we suppose $\{f, g\} \in E_0$ and set $\varepsilon = ||\{f, g\}||_0$.

First we shall deal with the case where $|r|$ is small.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $|r| \leq 1$. Then (1.6), (1.7) and (1.8) hold.*

Proof. From (2.2) and (2.3) we have

$$(3.2) \quad u(r, t) = \int_{-1}^1 H(t + r\sigma)(1 - \sigma^2)^m d\sigma,$$

where

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} H(\rho) &= H_f'(\rho) + H_g(\rho) \\ &= a_0 f(\rho) + \sum_{j=0}^m \rho^{j+1} (a_{j+1} f^{(j+1)}(\rho) + b_j g^{(j)}(\rho)) \end{aligned}$$

with some constants a_k, b_j . Moreover (1.2) yields

$$(3.4) \quad |H^{(j)}(\rho)| \leq C_j \varepsilon < \rho >^{-\kappa-1} \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1, 2.$$

First we shall derive

$$(3.5) \quad |D_{r,t}^\alpha u(r, t)| \leq C\varepsilon < t >^{-\kappa-1} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq 2,$$

which implies (1.6) and (1.7) for $|r| \leq 1$, since $< t >^{-1} \leq 2 < |r| + |t| >^{-1}$ for $|r| \leq 1$. Let $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq 2$. Then (3.2) and (3.4) imply

$$|D_{r,t}^\alpha u(r, t)| \leq C\varepsilon \int_{-1}^1 < t + r\sigma >^{-\kappa-1} d\sigma.$$

Since $< t + r\sigma >^{-1} \leq 2 < t >^{-1}$ for $|r\sigma| \leq 1$, we obtain (3.5).

Next we shall prove

$$(3.6) \quad |r^{|\alpha|-2} D_{r,t}^\alpha u(r, t)| \leq C\varepsilon < t >^{-\kappa-1} \quad \text{for } 3 \leq |\alpha| \leq m+3.$$

Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ and $3 \leq |\alpha| \leq m+3$. Write as

$$\begin{aligned} & r^{|\alpha|-2} D_t^{\alpha_2} D_r^{\alpha_1} u(r, t) \\ &= \sum_{|\gamma|=2} \sum_{|\beta| \leq |\alpha|-2} C_{\beta, \gamma} D_{r,t}^\gamma (D_t r)^{\beta_2} (D_r r)^{\beta_1} u(r, t), \end{aligned}$$

where $C_{\beta, \gamma}$ are constants. Since it follows from (3.2) and Lemma 2.5 that

$$\begin{aligned} (D_t r)^{\beta_2} (D_r r)^{\beta_1} u(r, t) &= \int_{-1}^1 H(t + r\sigma) \tilde{\psi}_\beta(\sigma) d\sigma \\ &\quad + C_\beta H(t + r) + C'_\beta H(t - r), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\psi}_\beta(\sigma)$ is a polynomial and C_β, C'_β are constants, we obtain (3.6) according to (3.4), as before. The proof is complete.

The rest of this section will be devoted to prove (1.6), (1.7) and (1.8) for $|r| \geq 1$. Since $u(-r, t) = u(r, t)$, one can assume $r \geq 1$. We may also assume $t \geq 0$, since the first term or the second one on the right hand side of (2.3) is odd or even in t , respectively.

We shall first deal with the case where t/r is large.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $t \geq 3r$ and $r \geq 1$. Then (1.6), (1.7) and (1.8) hold.*

Proof. We claim that the function $H(\rho)$ given by (3.3) can be represented as

$$(3.7) \quad H(\rho) = \sum_{j=0}^m D_\rho^j F_j(\rho),$$

where F_j belongs to $C^{j+2}(\mathbb{R})$ and satisfies

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} |D_\rho^\alpha F_j(\rho)| &\leq C_{j,\alpha} \epsilon < \rho >^{-m-1-\kappa+j} \\ \text{for } 0 \leq \alpha \leq j+2, 0 \leq j \leq m. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, from (3.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} H(\rho) &= a_0 f(\rho) + \sum_{j=0}^m a'_{j+1} D_\rho^j (\rho^{j+1} f'(\rho)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^m b'_j D_\rho^j (\rho^{j+1} g(\rho)) \end{aligned}$$

with other constants a'_k and b'_j . Hence, setting

$$F_j(\rho) = \rho^{j+1} (a'_{j+1} f'(\rho) + b'_j g(\rho)) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq m$$

and

$$F_0(\rho) = a_0 f(\rho) + \rho (a'_1 f'(\rho) + b'_0 g(\rho)),$$

we see that $F_j \in C^{j+2}(\mathbb{R})$ and (3.8) holds, because $\{f, g\} \in E_0$.

Next, changing a variable in (3.2) by $\rho = t + r\sigma$, we have

$$u(r, t) = r^{-2m-1} \int_{t-r}^{t+r} H(\rho) \phi^m(\rho, r, t) d\rho,$$

where $\phi(\rho, r, t) = r^2 - (t - \rho)^2$ is the same function as in (2.11). Note that

$$D_{r,t}^\alpha \phi^m(\rho, r, t) = 0 \quad \text{for } \rho = t \pm r, \quad 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq m-1.$$

Therefore, using (3.7) and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$(3.9) \quad u(r, t) = r^{-2m-1} \sum_{j=0}^m \int_{t-r}^{t+r} F_j(\rho) D_t^j \phi^m(\rho, r, t) d\rho.$$

We are now in a position to prove (1.6), (1.7) and (1.8) for $t \geq 3r$. It follows from (2.14), (3.8) and (3.9) that

$$|u(r, t)| \leq C\varepsilon \sum_{j=0}^m r^{-j-1} \int_{t-r}^{t+r} \langle \rho \rangle^{-m-1-\kappa+j} d\rho.$$

Since $t - r \geq (t + r)/2$ for $t \geq 3r$, and

$$\int_{t-r}^{t+r} \langle \rho \rangle^{-m-1-\kappa+j} d\rho \leq 2r \langle t - r \rangle^{-m-1-\kappa+j},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u(r, t)| &\leq C\varepsilon r^{-m} \sum_{j=0}^m r^{m-j} \langle t + r \rangle^{-m+j-\kappa-1} \\ &\leq (m+1)C\varepsilon r^{-m} \langle t + r \rangle^{-\kappa-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (1.6). Next suppose $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m+3$. Then, using (2.16) also, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |D_{r,t}^\alpha u(r, t)| &\leq C\varepsilon \sum_{j=0}^m \{ r^{-j-|\alpha|-1} \int_{t-r}^{t+r} \langle \rho \rangle^{-m-1-\kappa+j} d\rho \\ &\quad + r^{-m-1} \langle t \pm r \rangle^{-m-1-\kappa+j} \}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (1.7) and (1.8). Thus we prove the lemma.

Next we shall treat the case where t/r is bounded

Lemma 3.4. *Let $0 \leq t \leq 3r$ and $r \geq 1$. Then (1.6), (1.7) and (1.8) hold.*

Proof. By virtue of (2.3) and Lemma 2.7 we get

$$u(r, t) = \int_{|t-r|}^{t+r} g(\rho) K(\rho, r, t) d\rho + D_t \int_{|t-r|}^{t+r} f(\rho) K(\rho, r, t) d\rho,$$

where $K(\rho, r, t)$ is the function given by (2.11). Moreover we have from (1.2)

$$|f(\rho)| \leq C\varepsilon \langle \rho \rangle^{-m-1-\kappa}$$

and

$$|f^{(j+1)}(\rho)| + |g^{(j)}(\rho)| \leq C\varepsilon \langle \rho \rangle^{-m-2-\kappa} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq m+2.$$

Therefore by Lemma 2.10 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |u(r, t)| &\leq C\varepsilon r^{-m-1} \left(\int_{|t-r|}^{t+r} \langle \rho \rangle^{-\kappa-1} d\rho + \langle t-r \rangle^{-\kappa} \right) \\ &\leq C\varepsilon r^{-m-1} \langle t-r \rangle^{-\kappa}, \end{aligned}$$

since $r^{-1} \langle \rho \rangle$ is bounded for $0 \leq \rho \leq 4r, r \geq 1$. Therefore (1.6) follows, because $r \geq (t+r)/4$ for $0 \leq t \leq 3r$. Similarly we obtain (1.7) and (1.8). The proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. All conclusions of the theorem follows immediately from Lemmas 3.1, 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4. Thus we prove Theorem 1.1.

§4. The nonlinear wave equation

As will be seen, a solution of (0.1), which belongs to $X \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, is even in r and has the asymptotic behavior (1.15), satisfies the following integral equation

$$(4.1) \quad u(r, t) = u_-(r, t) + L(u)(r, t),$$

where u_- is the solution of (1.1),

$$(4.2) \quad L(u)(r, t) = \int_{-\infty}^t d\tau \int_{-1}^1 H(t - \tau + r\sigma, \tau) (1 - \sigma^2)^m d\sigma,$$

$$(4.3) \quad H(\rho, \tau) = (2m!)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho^2} \right)^m (\rho^{2m+1} G(\rho, \tau))$$

and

$$(4.4) \quad G(\rho, \tau) = F(u(\rho, \tau), u_t(\rho, \tau), u_r(\rho, \tau))$$

with F the function in (0.1). (See Lemma 5.5 in the next section).

The purpose of this section is to establish basic a priori estimates for the integral operator L . Throughout the present section, by L, H and G we mean the operator or functions defined by (4.2), (4.3) and (4.4), respectively. By C we also denote various constants depending only on F and n , unless stated otherwise.

We shall start with estimating G .

Lemma 4.1. Assume condition $(H)_1$ holds. Let $u(r, t) \in X$. Then

$$(4.5) \quad \rho^k G(\rho, \tau) \in C^{k+1,0}(\mathbb{R}^2) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq m+1.$$

Moreover suppose $\|u\| \leq 1$. Then

$$(4.6) \quad |D_\rho^j G(\rho, \tau)| \leq C \|u\|^p < \rho >^{-mp} < |\rho| + |\tau| >^{-p} < |\rho| - |\tau| >^{-p\kappa} \\ \text{for } |\rho| \geq 1, 0 \leq j \leq m+2$$

and

$$(4.7) \quad |\rho^k D_\rho^j G(\rho, \tau)| \leq C \|u\|^p < \tau >^{-p-p\kappa} \\ \text{for } |\rho| \leq 1, 0 \leq j \leq k+1 \quad \text{and } 0 \leq k \leq m+1,$$

where p is the number in $(H)_1$.

For the proof see e.g. Kubo [9], the proof of Lemma 3.2. Next we shall estimate $H(\rho, \tau)$.

Lemma 4.2. Assume condition $(H)_1$ holds. Let $u(r, t) \in X$. Then $H(\rho, \tau) \in C^{2,0}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Moreover, setting

$$(4.8) \quad w_k(r, t, \tau) = r^k \int_{-1}^1 H(t - \tau + r\sigma, \tau) (1 - \sigma^2)^m d\sigma,$$

where k is an integer such that $0 \leq k \leq m+1$, we have

$$(4.9) \quad D_{r,t}^\alpha w_k(r, t, \tau) \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^3) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k+2.$$

Furthermore suppose $\|u\| \leq 1$. Then

$$(4.10) \quad |D_\rho^j H(\rho, \tau)| \leq C \|u\|^p < \rho >^{p-q-1} < |\rho| + |\tau| >^{-p} < |\rho| - |\tau| >^{-p\kappa} \\ \text{for } j = 0, 1, 2$$

and

$$(4.11) \quad |D_{r,t}^\alpha w_k(r, t, \tau)| \leq C \|u\|^p < r >^k < \tau >^{-\min\{p, q+1\}} \\ \text{for } 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k+2,$$

where q is the positive number defined by (1.14).

Proof. From (4.3) we have

$$(4.12) \quad H(\rho, \tau) = \sum_{j=0}^m C_j D_\rho^j (\rho^{j+1} G(\rho, \tau))$$

with some constants C_j . Therefore (4.5) yields $H(\rho, \tau) \in C^{2,0}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and hence by Corollary 2.6 we get (4.9). Next let $\|u\| \leq 1$. If $|\rho| \leq 1$, the estimate (4.10) follows from (4.7) and (4.12), since $\langle \tau \rangle^{-1} \leq 2 \langle |\rho| + |\tau| \rangle^{-1}$ for $|\rho| \leq 1$. If $|\rho| \geq 1$, by (4.6) we have

$$|D_\rho^j H(\rho, \tau)| \leq C \|u\|^p \langle \rho \rangle^{m+1-mp} \langle |\rho| + |\tau| \rangle^{-p} \langle |\rho| - |\tau| \rangle^{-p\kappa},$$

which implies (4.10), because $mp = q - p + m + 2$ according to (1.14). Finally, (4.11) is a direct consequence of (4.8) and (4.10) provided $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq 2$. If $3 \leq |\alpha| \leq k + 2$, we refer to the proof of Corollary 2.6. Then we obtain (4.11), as above. The proof is complete.

For the operator L we have

Lemma 4.3. *Assume condition $(H)_1$ holds. Let $u(r, t) \in X$. Then $L(u)(r, t)$ is even in r and satisfies*

$$(4.13) \quad r^k L(u)(r, t) \in C^{k+2,0}(\mathbb{R}^2) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq m + 1.$$

Moreover assume $G(\rho, \tau)$ is even in ρ . Then

$$(4.14) \quad D_t L(u)(r, t) = \int_{-\infty}^t D_t w_0(r, t, \tau) d\tau,$$

where w_0 is given by (4.8). Furthermore $L(u)$ belongs to $C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and satisfies the nonlinear wave equation (0.1). Besides we have

$$(4.15) \quad r^k D_t L(u)(r, t) \in C^{k+1,0}(\mathbb{R}^2) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq m + 1.$$

Proof. Define $w_k(r, t, \tau)$ by (4.8). Then (4.2) implies

$$(4.16) \quad L(u)(r, t) = \int_{-\infty}^t w_0(r, t, \tau) d\tau.$$

Hence (4.13) follows from (4.9) and (4.11), because $p > 1$ and $q + 1 > 1$. Besides, $w_0(r, t, \tau)$ is even in r hence so is $L(u)(r, t)$.

Next suppose $G(\rho, \tau)$ is even in ρ . Then $H(\rho, \tau)$ is odd in ρ hence $w_0(r, t, \tau) = 0$ for $\tau = t$. Therefore by (4.11) and (4.16) we get (4.14), because $H(\rho, \tau) \in C^{2,0}(\mathbb{R}^2)$

according to the preceding lemma. Moreover by (4.9) we have $L(u) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} & (D_t^2 - D_r^2 - \frac{n-1}{r}D_r)L(u)(r,t) \\ &= D_t w_0(r,t,\tau)|_{\tau=t} + \int_{-\infty}^t (D_t^2 - D_r^2 - \frac{n-1}{r}D_r)w_0(r,t,\tau)d\tau \\ &= D_t w_0(r,t,\tau)|_{\tau=t} \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 2.1. Since Corollary 2.3 yields

$$(4.17) \quad D_t w_0(r,t,\tau) = G(r,t) \quad \text{for } \tau = t,$$

we thus see that $L(u)$ satisfies (0.1). Now, (4.15) follows from (4.14) and Lemma 4.2. The proof is complete.

We shall now state the main result of this section.

Proposition 4.4. *Assume conditions $(H)_1, (H)_2$ and (1.13) hold. Suppose $u(r,t)$ is even in r and belongs to $X_1 = \{u \in X; \|u\| \leq 1\}$. Then $L(u)(r,t)$ belongs to X and is even in r . Moreover for $(r,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ we have*

$$(4.18) \quad |L(u)(r,t)| \leq C\|u\|^p < r >^{-m} < |r| + |t| >^{-1} < |r| - t >^{-\kappa} \quad \text{if } |r| \geq 1,$$

$$(4.19) \quad \begin{aligned} & |D_t^\beta D_r^\alpha L(u)(r,t)| \\ & \leq C\|u\|^p < r >^{-m-1} < |r| - |t| >^{-1} < |r| - t >^{-\kappa} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{if } |r| \geq 1, 1 \leq \alpha + \beta \leq m+3 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \beta \leq 2,$$

$$(4.20) \quad |D_t^\beta D_r^\alpha L(u)(r,t)| \leq C\|u\|^p < t >^{-\kappa-1} \quad \text{if } |r| \leq 1, 0 \leq \alpha + \beta \leq 2$$

and

$$(4.21) \quad \begin{aligned} & |r^{\alpha+\beta-2} D_t^\beta D_r^\alpha L(u)(r,t)| \leq C\|u\|^p < t >^{-\kappa-1} \\ & \text{if } |r| \leq 1, 3 \leq \alpha + \beta \leq m+3 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \beta \leq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By $(H)_2$ and (4.4) we see that $G(\rho, \tau)$ is even in r . Therefore it follows from Lemma 4.3 that $L(u)(r,t)$ belongs to $C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and is even in r . Moreover $r^j L(u)(r,t) \in C^{j+2,0}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $r^j D_t L(u)(r,t) \in C^{j+1,0}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for $1 \leq j \leq m+1$. Consequently we find from (1.3) that $L(u) \in X$ if $\|L(u)\| < \infty$, which follows from (4.18) through (4.21). Thus we must only to prove these estimates. In doing so, one can assume $r \geq 0$, since $L(u)(r,t)$ is even in r . From now on we suppose the hypotheses of the proposition are fulfilled.

We shall first deal with the case where $\beta = 0$.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $0 \leq r \leq 1$. Then (4.20) and (4.21) hold for $\beta = 0$.*

The proof will be given later. In what follows we assume $r \geq 1$ to prove (4.18) and (4.19). Then we adopt another representation for the operator L . Let $w_0(r, t, \tau)$ be given by (4.8). Then from Lemma 2.7 we have

$$(4.22) \quad w_0(r, t, \tau) = \int_{|\rho_-|}^{\rho_+} G(\rho, \tau) K(\rho, r, t - \tau) d\rho,$$

where $\rho_{\pm} = t - \tau \pm r$ and $K(\rho, r, t)$ is given by (2.11). We also regard $L(u)$ as $r^{-m-1}(r^{m+1}L(u))$.

We start with

Lemma 4.6. *Let $r \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq m + 3$. Then we have*

$$(4.23) \quad |D_r^\alpha(r^{m+1}L(u)(r, t))| \leq C_\alpha \|u\|^p (I_{1,\alpha} + I_2 + I_3 + I_4).$$

Here $I_2 = I_3 = I_4 = 0$ if $\alpha = 0$, and

$$(4.24) \quad I_{1,0} = \int_{-\infty}^t d\tau \int_{|\rho_-|}^{\rho_+} \langle \rho \rangle^{p-q-1} \langle \rho + |\tau| \rangle^{-p} \langle \rho - |\tau| \rangle^{-p\kappa} d\rho,$$

where $\rho_{\pm} = t - \tau \pm r$ and q is the positive number given by (1.14),

$$(4.25) \quad I_{1,\alpha} = r^{-1} I_{1,0} + \int_{-\infty}^t d\tau \int_{|\rho_-|}^{\rho_+} \langle \rho \rangle^{p-q-2} \langle \rho + |\tau| \rangle^{-p} \langle \rho - |\tau| \rangle^{-p\kappa} d\rho$$

if $1 \leq \alpha \leq m + 3$,

$$(4.26) \quad I_2 = \int_{-\infty}^t \langle \rho_+ \rangle^{p-q-1} \langle \rho_+ + |\tau| \rangle^{-p} \langle \rho_+ - |\tau| \rangle^{-p\kappa} d\tau,$$

$$(4.27) \quad I_3 = \int_{-\infty}^t \langle \rho_- \rangle^{p-q-1} \langle |\rho_-| + |\tau| \rangle^{-p} \langle |\rho_-| - |\tau| \rangle^{-p\kappa} d\tau$$

and

$$(4.28) \quad I_4 = \langle r - t \rangle^{-p-p\kappa}.$$

Proof. It follows from (2.18), (4.6), (4.7) and (4.22) that

$$|w_0(r, t, \tau)| \leq C \|u\|^p r^{-m-1} \int_{|\rho_-|}^{\rho_+} \langle \rho \rangle^{m+1-mp} \\ \times \langle \rho + |\tau| \rangle^{-p} \langle \rho - |\tau| \rangle^{-p\kappa} d\rho.$$

Therefore by (4.16) we get (4.23) for $\alpha = 0$, since $m + 1 - mp = p - q - 1$ according to (1.14).

Next suppose $1 \leq \alpha \leq m + 3$. From (4.11) and (4.16) we have

$$(4.29) \quad D_r^\alpha(r^{m+1}L(r)(r, t)) = \int_{-\infty}^t D_r^\alpha(r^{m+1}w_0(r, t, \tau))d\tau.$$

If $|t - \tau - r| \geq 1$, we have $|\rho_-| \geq 1$ hence by Lemma 2.10, (4.6) and (4.22) we obtain

$$(4.30) \quad \begin{aligned} & |D_r^\alpha(r^{m+1}w_0(r, t, \tau))| \\ & \leq C_\alpha \|u\|^p \left\{ \int_{|\rho_-|}^{\rho_+} (r^{-1} < \rho >^{p-q-1} + < \rho >^{p-q-2}) \right. \\ & \quad \times < \rho + |\tau| >^{-p} < \rho - |\tau| >^{-p\kappa} d\rho \\ & \quad + < \rho_+ >^{p-q-1} < \rho_+ + |\tau| >^{-p} < \rho_+ - |\tau| >^{-p\kappa} \\ & \quad \left. + < \rho_- >^{p-q-1} < |\rho_-| + |\tau| >^{-p} < |\rho_-| - |\tau| >^{-p\kappa} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $0 < |\rho_-| \leq 1$, we write as

$$\begin{aligned} w_0(r, t, \tau) &= \int_{|\rho_-|}^1 G(\rho, \tau) K(\rho, r, t - \tau) d\rho \\ &\quad + \int_1^{\rho_+} G(\rho, \tau) K(\rho, r, t - \tau) d\rho \\ &\equiv w'_0(r, t, \tau) + w''_0(r, t, \tau). \end{aligned}$$

For the second term w''_0 we get an estimate analogous to (4.30). Consider the first term w'_0 . From (2.11) we have

$$K(\rho, r, t) = r^{-2m-1} \sum_{j=0}^m C_j \rho^{j+1} D_t^j \phi^m(\rho, r, t)$$

with some constants C_j , so that

$$r^{m+1}w'_0(r, t, \tau) = r^{-m} \sum_{j=0}^m C_j \int_{|\rho_-|}^1 \rho^{j+1} G(\rho, \tau) D_t^j \phi^m(\rho, r, t - \tau) d\rho.$$

Therefore it follows from Lemmas 2.8, 2.9 and (4.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} |D_r^\alpha(r^{m+1}w'_0(r, t, \tau))| &\leq C \|u\|^p < \tau >^{-p-p\kappa} \\ &\leq C \|u\|^p < t - r >^{-p-p\kappa}, \end{aligned}$$

since $< \tau >^{-1} \leq 2 < t - r >^{-1}$ for $|t - r - \tau| \leq 1$. Thus by (4.29) and (4.30) we obtain (4.23). The proof is complete.

From now on we shall estimate the quantities given by (4.24) through (4.27). Notice that condition $p > p_0(m)$ is equivalent to

$$(4.31) \quad p > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad q > \frac{2}{p-1} - m - 1,$$

since (1.9) and (1.14) imply

$$(p-1)\left(q - \frac{2}{p-1} + m + 1\right) = \Phi(m, p).$$

Moreover (1.13) yields

$$(4.32) \quad 0 < \kappa \leq q = (m+1)p - (m+2)$$

and

$$(4.33) \quad p\kappa + q > \kappa + 1$$

We will often employ the following two lemmas also.

Lemma 4.7. *Let a, b be real numbers such that $a \geq 0, b \geq 0$ and $a + b > 1$. Then*

$$(4.34) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle x \rangle^{-a} \langle x+y \rangle^{-b} dx \leq C \quad \text{for } y \in \mathbb{R},$$

where C is a constant depending only on $a + b$.

Proof. Since

$$\langle x \rangle^{-a} \langle x+y \rangle^{-b} \leq \langle x \rangle^{-a-b} + \langle x+y \rangle^{-a-b},$$

we have easily (4.34).

Lemma 4.8. *Suppose (4.31), (4.32) and (4.33) hold. Then*

$$(4.35) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle x \rangle^{-p\kappa} \langle x+y \rangle^{-q} dx \leq C \langle y \rangle^{-\kappa} \quad \text{for } y \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$(4.36) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle y-x \rangle^{-q} \langle y-2x \rangle^{-p\kappa} dx \leq C \langle y \rangle^{-\kappa} \quad \text{for } y \in \mathbb{R}$$

and

$$(4.37) \quad \int_y^{\infty} \langle x-y \rangle^{p-q-1} \langle 2x-y \rangle^{-p} dx \leq C \langle y \rangle^{p\kappa-\kappa-1} \quad \text{for } y \geq 0,$$

where C is a constant independent of y .

Proof. First consider (4.35). By $I(y)$ we denote the left hand side of the inequality.

If $y \geq 0$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} I(y) &= \int_{-\infty}^{-y/2} \langle x \rangle^{-p\kappa} \langle x+y \rangle^{-q} dx + \int_{-y/2}^{\infty} \langle x \rangle^{-p\kappa} \langle x+y \rangle^{-q} dx \\ &\equiv I_1(y) + I_2(y). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$I_1(y) \leq \langle \frac{y}{2} \rangle^{-\kappa} \int_{-\infty}^{-y/2} \langle x \rangle^{\kappa-p\kappa} \langle x+y \rangle^{-q} dx.$$

Since $\kappa - p\kappa \leq 0$, $q \geq 0$ and $\kappa - p\kappa - q < -1$, by (4.34) we get (4.35) for I_1 . Next

$$I_2(y) \leq \langle \frac{y}{2} \rangle^{-\kappa} \int_{-y/2}^{\infty} \langle x \rangle^{-p\kappa} \langle x+y \rangle^{\kappa-q} dx.$$

Since $\kappa - q \leq 0$, we obtain (4.35) for $y \geq 0$, as above. If $y \leq 0$, changing the variable by $x+y = z$, we have

$$I(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle z+|y| \rangle^{-p\kappa} \langle z \rangle^{-q} dz.$$

Therefore we get (4.35) analogously to the preceding case.

Next consider (4.36). Setting $y - 2x = z$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle y-x \rangle^{-q} \langle y-2x \rangle^{-p\kappa} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle z \rangle^{-p\kappa} \langle \frac{y+z}{2} \rangle^{-q} dz. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (4.36) follows from (4.35).

Finally we shall prove (4.37). Since

$$\int_y^{\infty} \langle x-y \rangle^{p-q-1} \langle 2x-y \rangle^{-p} dx \leq \int_y^{\infty} \langle x-y \rangle^{-q-1} dx \quad \text{for } y \geq 0$$

and $q > 0$, we get (4.37) easily if $p\kappa - \kappa - 1 \geq 0$. Now, suppose $p\kappa - \kappa - 1 < 0$.

Since $2x - y \geq y$ for $x \geq y$, we have

$$\langle 2x-y \rangle^{-p} \leq \langle y \rangle^{-p-p\kappa+\kappa+1}$$

for $x \geq y \geq 0$. Noting that $p\kappa + p > \kappa + 1$, we get therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_y^\infty < x - y >^{p-q-1} < 2x - y >^{-p} dx \\ \leq < y >^{p\kappa-\kappa-1} \int_y^\infty < x - y >^{-q-p\kappa+\kappa} dx \quad \text{for } y \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.33) we thus obtain (4.37). The proof is complete.

We are now in a position to estimate (4.24) through (4.27). In what follows we assume (4.31), (4.32) and (4.33) hold.

First we shall deal with (4.24).

Lemma 4.9. *Let $r \geq 1$. Then*

$$(4.38) \quad I_{1,0}(r, t) \leq C < r - t >^{-\kappa}$$

and

$$(4.39) \quad I_{1,0}(r, t) \leq Cr < r + |t| >^{-\kappa-1} \quad \text{if } |t| \geq 3r.$$

Proof. We shall divide $I_{1,0}$ into two parts as follows:

$$(4.40) \quad I_{1,0} = I_{1,0}^+ + I_{1,0}^-,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_{1,0}^+(r, t) &= \int_0^{t_+} d\tau \int_{|\rho_-|}^{\rho_+} < \rho >^{p-q-1} < \rho + \tau >^{-p} < \rho - \tau >^{-p\kappa} d\rho \\ I_{1,0}^-(r, t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{t_-} d\tau \int_{|\rho_-|}^{\rho_+} < \rho >^{p-q-1} < \rho - \tau >^{-p} < \rho + \tau >^{-p\kappa} d\rho \end{aligned}$$

and $t_+ = \max\{t, 0\}$, $t_- = \min\{t, 0\}$, so that $I_{1,0}^+(r, t) = 0$ for $t \leq 0$. Moreover, introducing characteristic coordinates by

$$(4.41) \quad \xi = \rho + \tau, \quad \eta = \rho - \tau,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (4.42)_+ \quad I_{1,0}^+(r, t) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{|t-r|}^{t+r} < \xi >^{-p} d\xi \int_{r-t}^{\xi} < \frac{\xi + \eta}{2} >^{p-q-1} \\ &\quad \times < \eta >^{-p\kappa} d\eta \quad \text{for } t > 0, \end{aligned}$$

(4.42)₋

$$I_{1,0}^-(r, t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{t-r}^{t+r} \langle \xi \rangle^{-p\kappa} d\xi \int_{\max\{|t-r|, \xi\}}^{\infty} \langle \frac{\xi + \eta}{2} \rangle^{p-q-1} \langle \eta \rangle^{-p} d\eta.$$

First consider $I_{1,0}^+$. Let $t > 0$. Since $p > 1$, we have

$$I_{1,0}^+(r, t) \leq C \int_{|t-r|}^{t+r} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} d\xi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \xi + \eta \rangle^{-q} \langle \eta \rangle^{-p\kappa} d\eta.$$

By (4.35) we get tehrefore

$$I_{1,0}^+(r, t) \leq C \int_{|t-r|}^{t+r} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1-\kappa} d\xi.$$

Hence (4.38) and (4.39) follows for $I_{1,0}^+$, because $t - r \geq (t + r)/2$ for $t \geq 3r \geq 0$.

Next consider $I_{1,0}^-$. From (4.42)₋ we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{1,0}^-(r, t) &\leq C \int_{t-r}^{\infty} \langle \xi \rangle^{-p\kappa} d\xi \int_{|t-r|}^{\infty} \langle \xi + \eta \rangle^{-q-1} d\eta \\ &\leq C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \xi \rangle^{-p\kappa} \langle \xi + |t-r| \rangle^{-q} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by (4.35) we obtain (4.38) for $I_{1,0}^-$.

Finally we shall prove (4.39) for $I_{1,0}^-$. Let $|t| \geq 3r$. Note that $|t \pm r| \geq (|t| + r)/2$. If $p\kappa \geq \kappa + 1$, we have from (4.42)₋

$$I_{1,0}^-(r, t) \leq C \langle |t| + r \rangle^{-p\kappa} \int_{t-r}^{t+r} d\xi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \xi + \eta \rangle^{-q-1} d\eta.$$

Hence (4.39) follows. If $p\kappa < \kappa + 1$, we have

$$I_{1,0}^-(r, t) \leq C \int_{t-r}^{t+r} \langle \xi \rangle^{-\kappa-1} d\xi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \xi + \eta \rangle^{-q-p\kappa+\kappa} d\eta,$$

since

$$\langle \eta \rangle^{-p} \leq \langle \xi \rangle^{p\kappa-\kappa-1} \langle \eta \rangle^{-p-p\kappa+\kappa+1} \quad \text{for } \eta \geq \xi \geq 0.$$

Therefore by (4.33) we get

$$I_{1,0}^-(r, t) \leq C \langle |t| + r \rangle^{-\kappa-1} \int_{t-r}^{t+r} d\xi,$$

which implies (4.39) for $I_{1,0}^-$. The proof is complete.

Next we shall deal with (4.25).

Lemma 4.10. *Let $r \geq 1$. Then*

$$(4.45) \quad I_{1,\alpha}(r,t) \leq C \langle r-t \rangle^{-\kappa-1} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq \alpha \leq m+3.$$

Proof. Since the first term on the right hand side of (4.25) is dominated by $C \langle r+|t| \rangle^{-1} \langle r-t \rangle^{-\kappa}$ according to the preceding lemma, we have only to estimate the second term. For convenience set

$$J(r,t) = \int_{-\infty}^t d\tau \int_{|\rho-|}^{\rho+} \langle \rho \rangle^{p-q-2} \langle \rho+|\tau| \rangle^{-p} \langle \rho-|\tau| \rangle^{-p\kappa} d\rho$$

and divide J into two parts, analogously to (4.40):

$$J(r,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t-} d\tau + \int_0^{t+} d\tau \equiv J_- + J_+.$$

Then we have estimates similar to (4.42) $_{\pm}$:

$$(4.46)_- \quad J_-(r,t) \leq \int_{t-r}^{t+r} \langle \xi \rangle^{-p\kappa} d\xi \int_{\max\{|t-r|,\xi\}}^{\infty} \langle \frac{\xi+\eta}{2} \rangle^{p-q-2} \langle \eta \rangle^{-p} d\eta,$$

$$(4.46)_+ \quad J_+(r,t) \leq \int_{|t-r|}^{t+r} \langle \xi \rangle^{-p} d\xi \int_{r-t}^{\xi} \langle \frac{\xi+\eta}{2} \rangle^{p-q-2} \langle \eta \rangle^{-p\kappa} d\eta,$$

where $J_+(r,t) = 0$ if $t \leq 0$.

First consider J_- . We have

$$\begin{aligned} J_-(r,t) &\leq C \langle t-r \rangle^{-1} \int_{t-r}^{\infty} \langle \xi \rangle^{-p\kappa} d\xi \int_{|t-r|}^{\infty} \langle \xi+\eta \rangle^{-q-1} d\eta \\ &\leq C \langle t-r \rangle^{-1} \int_{t-r}^{\infty} \langle \xi \rangle^{-p\kappa} \langle \xi+|t-r| \rangle^{-q} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by (4.35) we obtain

$$(4.47)_- \quad J_-(r,t) \leq C \langle r-t \rangle^{-1-\kappa}.$$

Next we shall prove

$$(4.47)_+ \quad J_+(r,t) \leq C \langle r-t \rangle^{-1-\kappa} \quad \text{if } t > 0.$$

Setting

$$I(\xi) = \langle \xi \rangle^{-p} \int_{r-t}^{\xi} \langle \frac{\xi + \eta}{2} \rangle^{p-q-2} \langle \eta \rangle^{-p\kappa} d\eta,$$

we have from (4.46)₊

$$J_+(r, t) \leq \int_{|t-r|}^{2|t-r|} I(\xi) d\xi + \int_{2|t-r|}^{\infty} I(\xi) d\xi.$$

First consider the second term on the right hand side. If $\xi \geq 2|t-r|$, we have

$$I(\xi) \leq C \langle \xi - |t-r| \rangle^{-\kappa-2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \xi + \eta \rangle^{\kappa-q} \langle \eta \rangle^{-p\kappa} d\eta$$

hence by (4.33) and (4.34) we obtain

$$(4.48) \quad \int_{2|t-r|}^{\infty} I(\xi) d\xi \leq C \langle t-r \rangle^{-\kappa-1}.$$

Next consider the first term. Let $|t-r| \leq \xi \leq 2|t-r|$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} I(\xi) &\leq C \langle \xi \rangle^{-p-p\kappa} \int_{-|t-r|}^{-\xi/2} \langle \xi + \eta \rangle^{p-q-2} d\eta \\ &\quad + C \langle \xi \rangle^{-\kappa-2} \int_{-\xi/2}^{\xi} \langle \xi + \eta \rangle^{\kappa-q} \langle \eta \rangle^{-p\kappa} d\eta \\ &\equiv I_1(\xi) + I_2(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.7 we have

$$I_2(\xi) \leq C \langle \xi \rangle^{-\kappa-2}.$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(\xi) &\leq C \langle \xi \rangle^{-1-\kappa} \int_{-|t-r|}^{\infty} \langle \xi + \eta \rangle^{\kappa-q-p\kappa-1} d\eta \\ &\leq C \langle t-r \rangle^{-1-\kappa} \langle \xi - |t-r| \rangle^{\kappa-q-p\kappa}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|t-r|}^{2|t-r|} I(\xi) d\xi &\leq C \langle t-r \rangle^{-1-\kappa} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \xi - |t-r| \rangle^{\kappa-q-p\kappa} d\xi \\ &\quad + C \int_{|t-r|}^{\infty} \langle \xi \rangle^{-\kappa-2} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by (4.33) we obtain

$$\int_{|t-r|}^{2|t-r|} I(\xi) d\xi \leq C \langle t-r \rangle^{-\kappa-1}.$$

This and (4.48) imply (4.47)₊. Now (4.45) follows from (4.47)_±. Thus we prove Lemma 4.10.

Thirdly we shall treat (4.26).

Lemma 4.11. *Let $r \geq 0$. Then*

$$(4.49) \quad I_2(r, t) \leq C \langle r + t \rangle^{-1} \langle r - t \rangle^{-\kappa}.$$

Proof. We divide (4.26) into two parts as follows:

$$(4.50) \quad \begin{aligned} I_2(r, t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{t_-} \langle t + r - \tau \rangle^{p-q-1} \langle t + r - 2\tau \rangle^{-p} \langle t + r \rangle^{-p\kappa} d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^{t_+} \langle t + r - \tau \rangle^{p-q-1} \langle t + r \rangle^{-p} \langle t + r - 2\tau \rangle^{-p\kappa} d\tau \\ &\equiv I_2^-(r, t) + I_2^+(r, t), \end{aligned}$$

where $t_- = \min\{t, 0\}$, $t_+ = \max\{t, 0\}$, so that $I_2^+(r, t) = 0$ if $t \leq 0$.

First consider the second term I_2^+ . Let $t > 0$. Since $p > 1$ and $t + r \geq t + r - \tau \geq 0$ for $0 \leq \tau \leq t$, we have

$$I_2^+(r, t) \leq \langle t + r \rangle^{-1} \int_0^t \langle t + r - \tau \rangle^{-q} \langle t + r - 2\tau \rangle^{-p\kappa} d\tau.$$

Hence by (4.36) we get

$$I_2^+(r, t) \leq C \langle t + r \rangle^{-1-\kappa},$$

which implies (4.49) for I_2^+ .

Next consider the first term I_2^- . If $t \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2^-(r, t) &\leq \langle t + r \rangle^{-p\kappa} \int_{-\infty}^0 \langle t + r - \tau \rangle^{-q-1} d\tau \\ &\leq C \langle t + r \rangle^{-p\kappa-q}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by (4.33) we get

$$I_2^-(r, t) \leq C \langle t + r \rangle^{-\kappa-1},$$

which implies (4.49) for I_2^- and $t \geq 0$.

Now let $t < 0$. Then

$$I_2^-(r, t) \leq \langle r - |t| \rangle^{-p\kappa} \int_{|t|}^{\infty} \langle \tau - |t| + r \rangle^{p-q-1} \langle 2\tau - |t| + r \rangle^{-p} d\tau.$$

First suppose $|t| \geq 3r$. We have

$$I_2^-(r, t) \leq \langle |t| - r \rangle^{-p\kappa} \int_{|t|-r}^{\infty} \langle \tau - |t| + r \rangle^{p-q-1} \langle 2\tau - |\tau| + r \rangle^{-p} d\tau.$$

Hence by (4.37) with $y = |t| - r$ we get

$$I_2^-(r, t) \leq C \langle |t| - r \rangle^{-\kappa-1},$$

which implies (4.49), since $|t| - r \geq (|t| + r)/2$ for $|t| \geq 3r$.

Finally suppose $0 \leq |t| \leq 3r$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2^-(r, t) &\leq \langle r - |t| \rangle^{-p\kappa} \int_{|t|}^{\infty} \langle \tau - |t| + r \rangle^{-q-1} \\ &\leq C \langle r - |t| \rangle^{-p\kappa} \langle r \rangle^{-q}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $r \geq (r + |t|)/4$ for $|t| \leq 3r$ and $0 < \kappa \leq q$, we get

$$I_2^-(r, t) \leq C \langle r + |t| \rangle^{-\kappa} \langle r - |t| \rangle^{\kappa-q-p\kappa}.$$

Therefore by (4.33) we obtain (4.49). The proof is complete.

Fourthly we shall deal with (4.27).

Lemma 4.12. *Let $r \geq 0$. Then*

$$(4.51) \quad I_3(r, t) \leq C \langle r - t \rangle^{-\kappa-1}.$$

Proof. We shall divide (4.27) into two parts, as follows:

$$(4.52) \quad I_3(r, t) = I_{3,1}(r, t) + I_{3,2}(r, t),$$

where

$$(4.53) \quad I_{3,1}(r, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t-r} \langle t - r - \tau \rangle^{p-q-1} \langle t - r - \tau + |\tau| \rangle^{-p} \langle t - r - \tau - |\tau| \rangle^{-p\kappa} d\tau$$

and

$$(4.54) \quad I_{3,2}(r, t) = \int_{t-r}^t \langle \tau + r - t \rangle^{p-q-1} \langle \tau + r - t + |\tau| \rangle^{-p} \langle \tau + r - t - |\tau| \rangle^{-p\kappa} d\tau.$$

First consider (4.53). If $-\infty < t \leq r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{3,1}(r, t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{t-r} \langle t-r-\tau \rangle^{p-q-1} \langle t-r-2\tau \rangle^{-p} \langle t-r \rangle^{-p\kappa} d\tau \\ &= \langle r-t \rangle^{-p\kappa} \int_{r-t}^{\infty} \langle \tau-r+t \rangle^{p-q-1} \langle 2\tau-r+t \rangle^{-p} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by (4.37) with $y = r - t$ we get (4.51) for $I_{3,1}$. If $t > r \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{3,1}(r, t) &= \int_{-\infty}^0 \langle t-r-\tau \rangle^{p-q-1} \langle t-r-2\tau \rangle^{-p} \langle t-r \rangle^{-p\kappa} d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^{t-r} \langle t-r-\tau \rangle^{p-q-1} \langle t-r \rangle^{-p} \langle t-r-2\tau \rangle^{-p\kappa} d\tau \\ &\equiv I'_{3,1} + I''_{3,1}. \end{aligned}$$

For the first term we have

$$\begin{aligned} I'_{3,1}(r, t) &\leq \langle t-r \rangle^{-p\kappa} \int_{-\infty}^0 \langle t-r-\tau \rangle^{-q-1} d\tau \\ &\leq C \langle t-r \rangle^{-p\kappa-q}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by (4.33) we obtain (4.51) for $I'_{3,1}$. For the second term we have

$$I''_{3,1}(r, t) \leq \langle t-r \rangle^{-1} \int_0^{t-r} \langle t-r-\tau \rangle^{-q} \langle t-r-2\tau \rangle^{-p\kappa} d\tau.$$

Therefore by (4.36) we get (4.51) for $I''_{3,1}$. Thus (4.51) holds for $I_{3,1}$.

Next consider (4.54). If $t \geq r \geq 0$, we have

$$I_{3,2}(r, t) \leq \langle t-r \rangle^{-p\kappa} \int_{t-r}^{\infty} \langle \tau-t+r \rangle^{p-q-1} \langle 2\tau-t+r \rangle^{-p} d\tau.$$

Hence by (4.37) we get (4.51) for $I_{3,2}$. Now suppose $-\infty < t < r$. Then we divide (4.54) into two parts, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{3,2}(r, t) &= \int_{t-r}^{t-} \langle \tau+r-t \rangle^{p-q-1} \langle r-t \rangle^{-p} \langle 2\tau+r-t \rangle^{-p\kappa} d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_{t-}^t \langle \tau+r-t \rangle^{p-q-1} \langle 2\tau+r-t \rangle^{-p} \langle r-t \rangle^{-p\kappa} d\tau \\ &\equiv I'_{3,2}(r, t) + I''_{3,2}(r, t), \end{aligned}$$

where $t_- = \min\{t, 0\}$, so that $I''_{3,2}(r, t) = 0$ for $t \leq 0$. For the first term we have

$$I'_{3,2}(r, t) \leq \langle r-t \rangle^{-1} \int_{t-r}^0 \langle \tau+r-t \rangle^{-q} \langle 2\tau+r-t \rangle^{-p\kappa} d\tau.$$

Hence by (4.36) we get (4.51) for $I'_{3,2}$. For the second term, assuming $t > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I''_{3,2}(r, t) &\leq \langle r - t \rangle^{-p\kappa} \int_0^t \langle \tau + r - t \rangle^{-q-1} d\tau \\ &\leq C \langle r - t \rangle^{-p\kappa - q}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by (4.33) we get (4.51) for $I''_{3,2}$. Thus (4.51) holds for $I_{3,2}$. In view of (4.52) we complete the proof.

Finally we shall prove Lemma 4.5 which is a consequence of Lemma 4.11 or 4.12.

Proof of Lemma 4.5. First we shall prove (4.20) for $\beta = 0$. Let $0 \leq r \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$. It follows from (4.2), (4.8) and (4.11) that

$$D_r^\alpha L(u)(r, t) = \int_{-\infty}^t D_r^\alpha w_0(r, t, \tau) d\tau.$$

Moreover by (4.10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |D_r^\alpha w_0(r, t, \tau)| &\leq C \|u\|^p \int_{-1}^1 \langle t - \tau + r\sigma \rangle^{p-q-1} \\ &\quad \times \langle |t - \tau + r\sigma| + |\tau| \rangle^{-p} \langle |t - \tau + r\sigma| - |\tau| \rangle^{-p\kappa} d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Since $t - \tau - 1 \leq t - \tau + r\sigma \leq t - \tau + 1$ for $|r\sigma| \leq 1$, we get therefore

$$|D_r^\alpha L(u)(r, t)| \leq C \int_{-\infty}^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{p-q-1} \langle t - \tau + |\tau| \rangle^{-p} \langle t - \tau - |\tau| \rangle^{-p\kappa} d\tau.$$

Noting that the integral on the right hand coincides with (4.26) for $r = 0$, by Lemma 4.11 we obtain (4.20) for $\beta = 0$.

Next we shall prove (4.21) for $\beta = 0$. Let $3 \leq \alpha \leq m + 3$. Note that

$$r^{\alpha-2} D_r^\alpha L(u)(r, t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha-2} C_j D_r^2 D_r^j (r^j L(u)(r, t))$$

with some constants C_j . Hence by (4.8) and (4.11) we have

$$r^{\alpha-2} D_r^2 L(u)(r, t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha-2} C_j \int_{-\infty}^t D_r^{j+2} w_j(r, t, \tau) d\tau.$$

In view of the proof of Corollary 2.6 we have therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |r^{\alpha-2} D_r^\alpha L(u)(r, t)| &\leq C \int_{-\infty}^t \left\{ \int_{-1}^1 |(D_\rho^2 H)(t - \tau + r\sigma, \tau)| d\rho \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |(D_\rho^2 H)(t - \tau + r, \tau)| + |(D_\rho^2 H)(t - \tau - r, \tau)| \right\} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by (4.10) and Lemma 4.11 we obtain (4.21) for $\beta = 0$, as before. The proof is complete.

End of Proof of Proposition 4.4. As we remarked at the opening of the proof, we have only to prove (4.18) through (4.21) for $r \geq 0$. If $\beta = 0$, these estimate follows immediately from Lemmas 4.5, 4.6 and Lemmas 4.9 through 4.12. If $\beta = 1$ or $\beta = 2$, we shall employ (4.14) instead of (4.16). Then it follows from (4.11) and (4.17) that

$$D_t^2 L(u)(r, t) = \int_{-\infty}^t D_t^2 w_0(r, t, \tau) d\tau + G(r, t).$$

Therefore, except for $G(r, t)$, we obtain (4.19), (4.20) and (4.21) for $\beta = 1, 2$ analogously to the case $\beta = 0$. Thus it suffices to prove

(4.55)

$$|D_r^\alpha G(r, t)| \leq C \|u\|^p \langle r \rangle^{-m-1} \langle r + |t| \rangle^{-\kappa-1} \quad \text{for } r \geq 1, 0 \leq \alpha \leq m+1,$$

because $p > 1$ hence (4.7) implies

$$|r^\alpha D_r^\alpha G(r, t)| \leq C \|u\|^p \langle t \rangle^{-\kappa-1} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq r \leq 1, 0 \leq \alpha \leq m+1.$$

First suppose $|t| \geq 3r$ and $r \geq 1$. Then from (4.6) we have for $0 \leq \alpha \leq m+1$

$$\begin{aligned} |D_r^\alpha G(r, t)| &\leq C \|u\|^p \langle r \rangle^{-mp} \langle r + |t| \rangle^{-p-p\kappa} \\ &\leq C \|u\|^p \langle r \rangle^{-(m+1)p+\kappa+1-p\kappa} \langle r + |t| \rangle^{-1-\kappa}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover (4.32) implies

$$-(m+1)p + \kappa + 1 \leq -m - 1.$$

Hence we get (4.45). Next suppose $|t| \leq 3r$ and $r \geq 1$. Then (4.6) implies

$$\begin{aligned} |D_r^\alpha G(r, t)| &\leq C \|u\|^p \langle r \rangle^{-mp-p} \\ &\leq C \|u\|^p \langle r + |t| \rangle^{-m-2-q}, \end{aligned}$$

since $(m+1)p = q + m + 2$ and $\langle r \rangle^{-1} \leq 4 \langle r + |t| \rangle^{-1}$ for $|t| \leq 3r$. Therefore by (4.32) we obtain (4.55). Thus we prove Proposition 4.4.

§5. Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section we shall prove the main theorem (i.e., Theorem 1.2), by employing the results in the previous sections. The plan is as follows. First we show that the integral equation (4.1) is uniquely solvable in the function space X . (See Proposition 5.4). Then the solution satisfies the nonlinear wave equation (0.1) according to Lemma 4.3. In order to prove the uniqueness of such a solution of (0.1) as in the theorem, we next show that a solution of (0.1) having appropriate asymptotic behaviors satisfies (4.1). (See Lemma 5.5). Finally we prove the statements about the solution u_+ of (0.2).

First of all we state

Lemma 5.1. *The function space X defined by (1.3) is a Banach space.*

Proof. Introduce another norm $\|u\|'$ for $u \in X$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|' = & \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} \sup_{(r,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2} |D_{r,t}^\alpha u(r,t)| < r >^m < |r| + |t| > < |r| - |t| >^\kappa \\ & + \sum_{j=0}^{m+1} \sup_{(r,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2} \{|D_r^{j+2}(r^{m+1}u(r,t))| + |D_r^{j+1}D_t(r^{m+1}u(r,t))|\} \\ & \times < r >^{-1} < |r| + |t| > < |r| - |t| >^\kappa. \end{aligned}$$

Then we find that the two norms are equivalent and (1.3) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} X = \{ & u(r,t) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2); r^{m+1}u(r,t) \in C^{m+3,0}(\mathbb{R}^2), \\ & r^{m+1}u_t(r,t) \in C^{m+2,0}(\mathbb{R}^2) \text{ and } \|u\|' < \infty \}. \end{aligned}$$

(See Kubo [9], Lemma 3.1 and its proof).

Now we shall introduce an auxiliary Banach space Y by

$$\begin{aligned} Y = \{ & v(r,t) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap C^{m+3,0}(\mathbb{R}^2); \\ & D_t v(r,t) \in C^{m+2,0}(\mathbb{R}^2) \text{ and } \|v\|_Y < \infty \}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_Y = & \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} \sup_{(r,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2} |D_{r,t}^\alpha v(r,t)| < r >^{-1} < |r| + |t| > < |r| - |t| >^\kappa \\ & + \sum_{j=0}^{m+1} \sup_{(r,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2} (|D_r^{j+2}v(r,t)| + |D_r^{j+1}D_tv(r,t)|) \\ & < r >^{-1} < |r| + |t| > < |r| - |t| >^\kappa. \end{aligned}$$

Let Y_m be a closed subspace of Y given by

$$Y_m = \{v(r, t) \in Y; D_r^j v(0, t) = 0 \text{ and } D_r^j D_t v(0, t) = 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq j \leq m\}.$$

Then for $v \in Y_m$ we have

$$v(r, t) = (m!)^{-1} r^{m+1} \int_0^1 (1 - \lambda)^m (D_r^{m+1} v)(r\lambda, t) d\lambda$$

and

$$v_t(r, t) = (m!)^{-1} r^{m+1} \int_0^1 (1 - \lambda)^m (D_r^{m+1} D_t v)(r\lambda, t) d\lambda.$$

Therefore, setting

$$u(r, t) = r^{-m-1} v(r, t) \text{ for } v \in Y_m,$$

we have $u \in X$, because

$$|D_{r,t}^\alpha u(r, t)| \leq \sup_{|\rho| \leq 1} |(D_r^{m+1} D_{r,t}^\alpha v(\rho, t))| \text{ for } |r| \leq 1, |\alpha| \leq 1$$

and have

$$\|u\|' \leq C \|v\|_Y.$$

Conversely, setting $v(r, t) = r^{m+1} u(r, t)$ for $u \in X$, we have $v(r, t) \in Y_m$, because

$$\|v\|_Y \leq C \|u\|'.$$

Since Y_m is a Banach space, we conclude that X is also a Banach space. The proof is complete.

Next from Proposition 4.4 we have easily

Lemma 5.2. *Let the hypotheses of Proposition 4.4 be fulfilled. Then $L(u)(r, t)$ belongs to X and is even in r . Moreover we have*

$$(5.1) \quad \|L(u)\| \leq C_1 \|u\|^p,$$

where C_1 is a constant depending only on F and n .

In order to show that (4.1) is solvable in X , we shall introduce an auxiliary norm $\|u\|$ for $u \in X$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\| &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} \sup_{(r,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2} |D_{r,t}^\alpha u(r, t)| < r >^m < |r| + |t| > < |r| - |t| >^\kappa \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^m \sup_{(r,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2} \{|r^{j+1} D_r^{j+2} u(r, t)| + |r^{j+1} D_r^{j+1} D_t u(r, t)|\} \\ &\quad < r >^{m-j-1} < |r| + |t| > < |r| - |t| >^\kappa. \end{aligned}$$

Then we see from (1.4) that

$$(5.2) \quad |||u||| \leq ||u|| \quad \text{for} \quad u \in X,$$

since $|r| \leq \langle r \rangle$. Moreover we have

Lemma 5.3. *Assume conditions $(H)_1, (H)_2, (H)_3$ and (1.13) hold. Let $u(r, t), v(r, t) \in X_1$ be even in r . Then*

$$(5.3) \quad |||L(u) - L(v)||| \leq C_2 |||u - v||| (|||u|||^{p-1} + |||v|||^{p-1})$$

and

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} ||L(u) - L(v)|| &\leq C_3 ||u - v|| (||u||^{p-1} + ||v||^{p-1}) \\ &+ C_4 |||u - v|||^\delta (||u||^{p-1} + ||v||^{p-1}), \end{aligned}$$

where δ is the number in (1.12) and C_2, C_3 and C_4 are positive constants depending only on F and n .

Proof. By $H(u), G(u)$ we denote the functions defined by (4.3), (4.4) respectively. Then (4.2) implies

$$L(u)(r, t) - L(v)(r, t) = \int_{-\infty}^t d\tau \int_{-1}^1 (H(u) - H(v))(t - \tau + r\sigma, \tau) (1 - \sigma^2)^m d\sigma$$

with

$$(H(u) - H(v))(\rho, \tau) = (2m!)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho^2} \right)^m \{ \rho^{2m+1} (G(u) - G(v))(\rho, \tau) \}.$$

Moreover, analogously to (4.6) and (4.7), by $(H)_1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} &|D_\rho^j(G(u) - G(v))(\rho, \tau)| \\ &\leq C |||u - v||| (|||u|||^{p-1} + |||v|||^{p-1}) \langle \rho \rangle^{-mp} \\ &\times \langle |\rho| + |\tau| \rangle^{-p} \langle |\rho| - |\tau| \rangle^{-p\kappa} \quad \text{for} \quad |\rho| \geq 1, 0 \leq j \leq m+1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\rho^k D_\rho^j(G(u) - G(v))(\rho, \tau)| &\leq C |||u - v||| (|||u|||^{p-1} + |||v|||^{p-1}) \langle \tau \rangle^{-p-p\kappa} \\ &\text{for} \quad |\rho| \leq 1, 0 \leq j \leq k \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq k \leq m+1. \end{aligned}$$

(For the details see e.g. Kubo [9], §3). Therefore, analogously to Proposition 4.4, we obtain (5.3). Furthermore, employing condition $(H)_3$ also, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |D_\rho^{m+2}(G(u) - G(v))(\rho, \tau)| \\ & \leq C\{||u - v||(|u|^{p-1} + |v|^{p-1}) + ||u - v||^\delta \times \\ & \quad \times (||u|^{m+2} + |v|^{m+2})\} < \rho >^{-mp} < |\rho| + |\tau| >^{-p} < |\rho| - |\tau| >^{-p\kappa} \\ & \quad \text{for } |\rho| \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & |\rho^k D_\rho^{k+1}(G(u) - G(v))(\rho, \tau)| \\ & \leq C\{||u - v||(|u|^{p-1} + |v|^{p-1}) + ||u - v||^\delta \times \\ & \quad \times (||u|^{m+2} + |v|^{m+2})\} < \tau >^{-p-p\kappa} \\ & \quad \text{for } |\rho| \leq 1 \text{ and } 0 \leq k \leq m+1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain (5.4), as before. The proof is complete.

We are now in a position to solve the integral equation (4.1).

Proposition 5.4. *Let the hypotheses of Theorem 1.2 be fulfilled. Then there are positive numbers ε_0 and d having the following property, where ε_0 depends only on F, n and κ , and d only on F and n : If $||\{f, g\}||_0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, there exists uniquely a solution $u(r, t)$ of (4.1) which belongs to X_d and is even in r . Moreover $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and we have (1.15) through (1.19).*

Proof. First of all we set

$$(5.7) \quad d = \min\{1, (4C_2)^{-1/(p-1)}\},$$

where C_2 is the constant in (5.3). From (5.2) and (5.3) we have then

$$(5.8) \quad |||L(u) - L(v)||| \leq \frac{1}{2} |||u - v||| \quad \text{for } u, v \in X_d.$$

We now define a sequence of functions u_k ($k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) by $u_0 = u_-$ and

$$u_k = u_0 + L(u_{k-1}) \quad \text{for } k \geq 1.$$

It follows from Theorem 1.1 that $u_0(r, t)$ belongs to X , is even in r and satisfies

$$(5.9) \quad ||u_0|| \leq C_0 \varepsilon \quad \text{for any } \varepsilon > 0,$$

where C_0 is a constant dependign only on n and κ . Let ε_0 be the maximum of positive numbers ε satisfying the following three consitions

$$(5.10)_1 \quad 2C_0\varepsilon \leq d \leq 1,$$

$$(5.10)_2 \quad 2^p C_1 (C_0\varepsilon)^{p-1} \leq 1,$$

and

$$(5.10)_3 \quad 2^{p+1} C_3 (C_0\varepsilon)^{p-1} \leq 1,$$

where C_0, C_1 and C_3 are the constants in (5.9), (5.1) and (5.4) respectively and d is the number given by (5.7).

In what follows we suppose $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$. Then by induction it follows from Lemma 5.2, (5.9), (5.10)₁ and (5.10)₂ that $u_k \in X_d$ for $k \geq 0$ and

$$(5.11) \quad \|u_k\| \leq 2\|u_0\| \quad \text{for} \quad k \geq 1.$$

Moreover (5,8) implies

$$\|u_{k+1} - u_k\| \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k \|u_1 - u_0\| \quad \text{for} \quad k \geq 0.$$

Using (5.4) and (5.10)₃ also we thus obtain

$$\|u_{k+1} - u_k\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u_k - u_{k-1}\| + C_5 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\delta k} \quad \text{for} \quad k \geq 1,$$

where

$$C_5 = 2^{m+3+\delta} C_4 \|u_1 - u_0\|^\delta \|u_0\|^{m+2}.$$

Consequently we have

$$\|u_{k+1} - u_k\| \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k \|u_1 - u_0\| + C_5 k \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\delta k}$$

for $k \geq 1$, since $0 < \delta \leq 1$. Therefore the sequence u_k ($k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) converges to a function u in X , because of Lemma 5.1. Besides it follows from (5.9), (5.10)₁ and (5.11) that $u \in X_d$ and

$$(5.12) \quad \|u\| \leq 2\|u_-\| \leq 2C_0\varepsilon.$$

Moreover we see from (5.2) and (5.8) that u is a unique solution of (4.1) in X_d . Now (1.15) through (1.19) follows immediately form (5.12) and Proposition 4.4, since (1.17) is a direct consequence of (1.15). Thus we prove Proposition 5.4.

In order to prove the uniqueness of a solution of the nonlinear wave equation (0.1) which satisfies the asymptotic behavior (1.15), we need the following

Lemma 5.5. Assume conditions $(H)_1$ and $(H)_2$ hold. Let $u_-(r, t)$ be the solution of the Cauchy problem (1.1) which is even in r and belongs to $X \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Let $u(r, t)$ be a solution of (0.1) which belongs to $X \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, is even in r and satisfies the following asymptotic behavior

$$(5.13) \quad |D_r(u(r, t) - u_-(r, t))| + |D_t(u(r, t) - u_-(r, t))| \leq C < r >^{-m-1} < t >^{-\mu}$$

for $(r, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $t < 0$, where C, μ are constants independent of r, t , and $0 < \mu < 1$. Then $u(r, t)$ satisfies the integral equation (4.1).

Proof. Consider the following Cauchy problem for (0.2):

$$(5.14) \quad \begin{aligned} w_{tt} - w_{rr} - \frac{n-1}{r} w_r &= 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times [s, \infty), \\ w(r, s) &= f(r, s), w_t(r, s) = g(r, s) \quad \text{for } r \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned}$$

where s is a fixed negative number and

$$(5.15) \quad \begin{aligned} f(r, s) &= u(r, s) - u_-(r, s), \\ g(r, s) &= D_t(u(r, t) - u_-(r, t))|_{t=s}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $u(r, t) - u_-(r, t)$ belongs to X and is even in r , it follows from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 that (5.14) admits a unique solution $w(r, t; s) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Moreover the solution is given by

$$(5.16) \quad w(r, t; s) = \int_{-1}^1 (D_\rho H_f + H_g)(t - s + r\sigma, s) (1 - \sigma^2)^m d\sigma$$

for each $s < 0$, where $H_f(\rho, s), H_g(\rho, s)$ are defined by (2.2) with $g(r) = f(r, s), g(r) = g(r, s)$ respectively. Besides $w(r, t; s)$ is even in r .

Now set

$$(5.17) \quad v(r, t; s) = u_-(r, t) + \int_s^t d\tau \int_{-1}^1 H(t - \tau + r\sigma, \tau) (1 - \sigma^2)^m d\sigma + w(r, t; s),$$

where $H(\rho, \tau)$ is given by (4.3) and (4.4). Then, since $G(\rho, \tau)$ is even in ρ according to $(H)_2$, it follows from (4.5) and Lemma 2.4 that $v(r, t; s)$ belongs to $C^2\mathbb{R}^2$ and satisfies the inhomogeneous wave equation (2.5). Moreover from (5.15) we have $v(r, t; s) = u(r, t)$ and $v_t(r, t; s) = u_t(r, t)$ for $t = s$. Therefore by the uniqueness of solutions to (2.5) we obtain $v(r, t; s) = u(r, t)$ for $(r, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, since $u(r, t)$ is

also a solution of (2.5) with $G(r, t) = F(u(r, t), u_t(r, t), u_r(r, t))$ regarded as a given function. In order to show that $u(r, t)$ is a solution of (4.1) we thus have only to prove

$$(5.18) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} w(r, t; s) = 0 \quad \text{for } (r, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

because of (5.17).

Let $(r, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ be fixed. Since each side of (4.1) is even in r and continuous according to (4.13), one can assume $r > 0$. We find from the proof of Lemma 3.3 that the function $D_\rho H_f + H_g$ in (5.16) is represented as

$$(D_\rho H_f + H_g)(\rho, s) = \sum_{j=0}^m D_\rho^j F_j(\rho, s),$$

where

$$F_0(\rho, s) = a_0 f(\rho, s) + \rho(a_1 D_\rho f(\rho, s) + b_0 g(\rho, s)),$$

$$F_j(\rho, s) = \rho^{j+1}(a_{j+1} D_\rho f(\rho, s) + b_j g(\rho, s)) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq m,$$

and a_k, b_j are constants. Moreover, since $u - u_- \in X$, we have $F_j(\rho, s) \in C^{j+2,0}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for $0 \leq j \leq m$ hence $D_\rho H_f + H_g \in C^{2,0}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. For convenience set $\rho = t - s + r\sigma$. Then from (5.16) we get

$$w(r, t; s) = \sum_{j=0}^m \int_{-1}^1 \{D_\rho^j F_j(\rho, s)\} (1 - \sigma^2)^m d\sigma.$$

Since

$$D_\rho^j F_j(\rho, s) = r^{-j} D_\sigma^j F_j(\rho, s),$$

integrating by parts, we obtain

$$w(r, t; s) = \sum_{j=0}^m r^{-j} \int_{-1}^1 F_j(\rho, s) (-D_\sigma)^j (1 - \sigma^2)^m d\sigma.$$

Furthermore (5.13) and (5.15) yield

$$|F_j(\rho, s)| \leq C < s >^{-\mu} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq m,$$

because $u - u_- \in X$ implies

$$|u(r, t) - u_-(r, t)| \leq C < t >^{-\mu}.$$

Thus we have

$$|w(r, t; s)| \leq C < s >^{-\mu} \sum_{j=0}^m r^{-j}$$

hence (5.18) follows. The proof is complete.

In order to complete the proof of Theorem 1.2 we also need the following

Proposition 5.6. Let $u(r, t) \in X_1$ be a solution of (4.1) which is even in r , where $u_-(r, t) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is a solution of (0.2). Suppose conditions $(H)_1, (H)_2$ and (1.13) hold. Then there exists uniquely a solution $u_+(r, t)$ of the linear wave equation (0.2) which belongs to $X \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and has the following asymptotic behaviors

$$(5.19) \quad |u(r, t) - u_+(r, t)| \leq C_1 \|u\|^p \langle r \rangle^{-m} \langle |r| + |t| \rangle^{-1} \langle |r| + t \rangle^{-\kappa} \\ \text{for } (r, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

$$(5.20) \quad |D_t^\beta D_r^\alpha (u(r, t) - u_+(r, t))| \leq C_2 \|u\|^p \langle r \rangle^{-m-1} \langle |r| - |t| \rangle^{-1} \langle |r| + t \rangle^{-\kappa} \\ \text{for } 1 \leq \alpha + \beta \leq 2, \quad (r, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

$$(5.21) \quad |r^{\alpha+\beta-2} D_t^\beta D_r^\alpha (u(r, t) - u_+(r, t))| \\ \leq C_3 \|u\|^p \langle r \rangle^{-m+\alpha+\beta-3} \langle |r| - |t| \rangle^{-1} \langle |r| + t \rangle^{-\kappa} \\ \text{for } 3 \leq \alpha + \beta \leq m+3, 0 \leq \beta \leq 2 \quad \text{and } (r, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

and

$$(5.22) \quad \|u(t) - u_+(t)\|_e \leq C_4 \langle t \rangle^{-\kappa} \quad \text{for } t \geq 0,$$

where C_j are constants depending only on n and F .

Proof. Set

$$u_+(r, t) = u_-(r, t) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau \int_{-1}^1 H(t - \tau + r\sigma, \tau) (1 - \sigma^2)^m d\sigma,$$

where $H(\rho, \tau)$ is the function given by (4.3) and (4.4). Then it follows from Lemmas 4.2 and 2.1 that u_+ belongs to $C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and satisfies (0.2). Moreover from (4.1) we have

$$(5.23) \quad u(r, t) - u_+(r, t) = - \int_t^{\infty} d\tau \int_{-1}^1 H(t - \tau + r\sigma, \tau) (1 - \sigma^2)^m d\sigma \\ = \int_{-\infty}^{-t} d\tau \int_{-1}^1 H(-t - \tau + r\sigma, -\tau) (1 - \sigma^2)^m d\sigma,$$

since $H(\rho, \tau)$ is odd in ρ . Therefore by virtue of Proposition 4.4 we have $u - u_+ \in X$ hence $u_+ \in X$. Furthermore from (4.18) through (4.21) we obtain (5.19) through (5.22), since (5.20) implies (5.22).

Next we shall show the uniqueness of such a solution u_+ of (0.2). Let $v_+(r, t)$ be another solution and set $w(r, t) = u_+(r, t) - v_+(r, t)$. Then from (0.2) and (5.20) we have

$$\|w(t)\|_e^2 = \|w(0)\|_e^2 \quad \text{for} \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Moreover (5.22) implies that $\|w(t)\|_e$ tends to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$, since

$$\|w(t)\|_e \leq \|u_+(t) - u(t)\|_e + \|u(t) - v_+(t)\|_e.$$

Therefore we conclude that $w(r, t)$ is constant. Hence $w(r, t)$ vanishes identically according to (5.19). The proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let ε_0 and d be the same numbers as in Proposition 5.4. Let $\|\{f, g\}\|_0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$. Then there exists uniquely a solution $u(r, t)$ of (4.1) which is even in r and belongs to X_d . Moreover it follows from Lemma 4.3 that u belongs to $C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and satisfies (0.1). Furthermore we have (1.15) through (1.19).

Next we shall show the uniqueness of such a solution of (0.1). Let $u(r, t)$ be a solution of (0.1) which is even in r , belongs to $X_d \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and has the asymptotic behavior (1.15). Then we see from Lemma 5.5 that u satisfies (4.1). Moreover, a solution of (4.1) is unique in X_d according to (5.8). Therefore such a solution of (0.1) is unique.

Finally the statements for the u_+ follows from Proposition 5.6. It remains only to prove (1.20). From (0.1) we have

$$2 \int_0^t d\tau \int_0^\infty G(r, \tau) u_t(r, \tau) r^{n-1} dr = \|u(t)\|_e^2 - \|u(0)\|_e^2,$$

where $G(r, t)$ is given by (4.4), because (1.7), (1.15) and (1.19) implies

$$|D_{r,t}^\alpha u(r, t)| \leq C \langle r \rangle^{-m-1} \langle |r| - |t| \rangle^{-\kappa-1} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 2.$$

Moreover by (5.22) we get

$$\|u(t)\|_e \longrightarrow \|u_+(0)\|_e \quad \text{as} \quad t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore

$$2 \int_0^\infty d\tau \int_0^\infty G(r, \tau) u_t(r, \tau) r^{n-1} dr = \|u_+(0)\|_e^2 - \|u(0)\|_e^2.$$

Analogously we have from (1.17)

$$2 \int_{-\infty}^0 d\tau \int_0^{\infty} G(u_t(r, \tau) r^{n-1} dr = \|u(0)\|_e^2 - \|u_-(0)\|_e^2.$$

Hence (1.20) follows. Thus we prove Theorem 1.2.

Appendix

The purpose of this appendix is to show that one can relax condition (1.2) on decay rate of the initial data in (1.1), provided the number p in $(H)_1$ is large, say, $p > (m+3)/(m+1)$. In what follows we shall indicate only the points different from the previous sections.

For §1, we first replace the number $1 + \kappa$ in (1.2) by μ , so that (1.2) changes into

$$(1.2)' \quad \begin{aligned} \|\{f, g\}\|_0 &= \sup_{-\infty < r < \infty} |f(r)| < r >^{m+\mu} \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{m+2} \sup_{-\infty < r < \infty} (|f^{(j+1)}(r)| + |g^{(j)}(r)|) < r >^{m+1+\mu}, \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < \mu < 1$. We also replace (1.4) by

$$(1.4)' \quad \begin{aligned} \|u\| &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} \sup_{(r,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2} |D_{r,t}^{\alpha} u(r, t)| < r >^m < |r| + |t| >^{\mu} \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{m+1} \sup_{(r,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2} (|r^j D_r^{j+2} u(r, t)| + |r^j D_r^{j+1} D_t u(r, t)|) < r >^{m-j} < |r| + |t| >^{\mu}, \end{aligned}$$

where μ is the same number as in (1.2)'. Then, in Theorem 1.1, the estimates (1.6), (1.7) and (1.8) change into

$$(1.6)' \quad |u(r, t)| \leq C \|\{f, g\}\|_0 < r >^{-m} < |r| + |t| >^{-\mu},$$

$$(1.7)' \quad |D_{r,t}^{\alpha} u(r, t)| \leq C \|\{f, g\}\|_0 < r >^{-m-1} < |r| - |t| >^{-\mu} \quad \text{if } 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 2$$

and

$$(1.8)' \quad \begin{aligned} |r^{|\alpha|-2} D_{r,t}^{\alpha} u(r, t)| &\leq C \|\{f, g\}\|_0 < r >^{-m+|\alpha|-3} < |r| - |t| >^{-\mu} \\ &\text{if } 3 \leq |\alpha| \leq m+3. \end{aligned}$$

Next consider (0.1). We replace condition (1.10) by

$$(1.10)' \quad p > \frac{m+3}{m+1}.$$

Note that $(m+3)/(m+1) > p_0(m)$. Besides, if $p > m+3$, we change condition (1.12) for

$$(1.12)' \quad |D^\alpha F(\lambda) - D^\alpha F(\lambda')| \leq B|\lambda - \lambda'|(|\lambda|^{p-m-3} + |\lambda'|^{p-m-3}).$$

We also replace condition (1.13) by

$$(1.13)' \quad \frac{2}{p-1} - m < \mu < 1, \quad \mu > \frac{1}{p}.$$

Then, in Theorem 1.2, the estimates (1.15), (1.17), (1.18) and (1.19) change into

$$(1.15)' \quad \begin{aligned} & |D_r(u(r,t) - u_-(r,t))| + |D_t(u(r,t) - u_-(r,t))| \\ & \leq C_1 \|u\|^p < r >^{-m-1} < |r| - t >^{-\mu} \quad \text{for } (r,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.17)' \quad \|u(t) - u_-(t)\|_e \leq C_2 \|u\|^p < t >^{-\mu+(1/2)} \quad \text{if } \mu > (1/2), t \leq 0,$$

$$(1.18)' \quad |u(r,t) - u_-(r,t)| \leq C_3 \|u\|^p < r >^{-m} < |r| + |t| >^{-\mu} \quad \text{for } (r,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

and

$$(1.19)' \quad \begin{aligned} & |r^{\alpha+\beta-2} D_t^\beta D_r^\alpha (u(r,t) - u_-(r,t))| \\ & \leq C_4 \|u\|^p < r >^{-m+\alpha+\beta-3} < |r| - t >^{-\mu} \\ & \text{for } (r,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2, 2 \leq \alpha + \beta \leq m+3 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \beta \leq 2. \end{aligned}$$

For the $u_+(r,t)$, we replace the factor $< |r| - t >^{-\mu}$ in (1.15)' and (1.19)' by $< |r| + t >^{-\mu}$. besides, (1.20) holds if $\mu > 1/2$.

For §3, we replace $\kappa+1$ by μ in the proofs of Lemmas 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4. Moreover, in the proof of Lemma 3.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u(r,t)| & \leq C\varepsilon r^{-m-1} \left(\int_{|t-r|}^{t+r} < \rho >^{-\mu} d\rho + < t+r >^{1-\mu} \right) \\ & \leq C\varepsilon r^{-m-1} < t+r >^{1-\mu}, \end{aligned}$$

since $\mu < 1$.

For §4, we first replace (4.6), (4.7) and (4.10) by

(4.6)'

$$|D_\rho^j G(\rho, \tau)| \leq C \|u\|^p < \rho >^{-mp} < |\rho| + |\tau| >^{-p\mu} \quad \text{for } |\rho| \geq 1, 0 \leq j \leq m+2,$$

(4.7)'

$$|\rho^k D_\rho^j G(\rho, \tau)| \leq C \|u\|^p < \tau >^{-p\mu}$$

$$\text{for } |\rho| \leq 1, 0 \leq j \leq k+1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq k \leq m+1$$

and

$$(4.10)' \quad |D_\rho^j H(\rho, \tau)| \leq C \|u\|^p < \rho >^{p-q-1} < |\rho| + |\tau| >^{-p\mu} \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1, 2.$$

In Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 we assume condition (1.13)' as well as the hypotheses holds.

Then (4.11) changes into

(4.11)'

$$|D_{r,t}^\alpha \omega_k(r, t, \tau)| \leq C \|u\|^p < r >^k < \tau >^{-\min\{p\mu, \mu+1\}} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k+2.$$

In Proposition 4.4, the estimates (4.18) and (4.19) change into

$$(4.18)' \quad |L(u)(r, t)| \leq C \|u\|^p < r >^{-m} < |r| + |t| >^{-\mu} \quad \text{if } |r| \geq 1$$

and

$$(4.19)' \quad |D_t^\beta D_r^\alpha L(u)(r, t)| \leq C \|u\|^p < r >^{-m-1} < |r| - t >^{-\mu}$$

$$\text{if } |r| \geq 1, 1 \leq \alpha + \beta \leq m+3 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \beta \leq 2.$$

Besides, the factor $< t >^{-\kappa-1}$ in (4.20) and (4.21) changes into $< t >^{-\mu}$.

In Lemma 4.6, the factors $< \rho + |\tau| >^{-p} < \rho - |\tau| >^{-p\kappa}$ and $< |\rho_\pm| + |\tau| >^{-p} < |\rho_\pm| - |\tau| >^{-p\kappa}$ in (4.24) through (4.27) change into $< \rho + |\tau| >^{-p\mu}$ and $< |\rho_\pm| + |\tau| >^{-p\mu}$, respectively. Besides, the $-p - p\kappa$ in (4.28) does into $-p\mu$. We also replace conditions (4.32) and (4.33) by

$$(4.32)' \quad 1/p < \mu < 1$$

and

$$(4.33)' \quad p\mu + q > \mu + p.$$

Lemmas 4.7 and 4.8 are now unnecessary.

In Lemma 4.9 we delete (4.38) and replace (4.39) by

$$(4.39)' \quad I_{1,0}(r, t) = Cr < r + |t| >^{-\mu}.$$

For the proof we change the factors $< \rho + \tau >^{-p} < \rho - \tau >^{-p\kappa}$ and $< \rho - \tau >^{-p} < \rho + \tau >^{-p\kappa}$ in (4.40) for $< \rho + \tau >^{-p\mu}$ and $< \rho - \tau >^{-p\mu}$ respectively, hence (4.42) $_{\pm}$ change into

$$(4.42)'_+ \quad I_{1,0}^+(r, t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{|t-r|}^{t+r} < \xi >^{-p\mu} d\xi \int_{r-t}^{\xi} < \frac{\xi + \eta}{2} >^{p-q-1} d\eta \quad \text{for } t \geq 0$$

and

$$(4.42)'_- \quad I_{1,0}^-(r, t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{t-r}^{t+r} d\xi \int_{\max\{|t-r|, \xi\}}^{\infty} < \frac{\xi + \eta}{2} >^{p-q-1} < \eta >^{-p\mu} d\eta.$$

For (4.42)' $_+$, assuming $t > 0$ we have from (4.33)'

$$\begin{aligned} I_{1,0}^+ &\leq C \int_{|t-r|}^{t+r} < \xi >^{-\mu} d\xi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} < \xi + \eta >^{p-q-1-p\mu+\mu} d\eta \\ &\leq C \int_{|t-r|}^{t+r} < \xi >^{-\mu} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain (4.39)'. For (4.42)' $_-$, if either $t \leq 0$ or $t \geq 3r$, we have

$$I_{1,0}^- \leq C < r + |t| >^{-\mu} \int_{t-r}^{t+r} d\xi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} < \xi + \eta >^{p-q-1-p\mu+\mu} d\eta.$$

If $0 \leq t \leq 3r$, then

$$\begin{aligned} I_{1,0}^- &\leq C \int_{t-r}^{t+r} d\xi \int_{|t-r|}^{\infty} < \xi + \eta >^{p-q-1-p\mu} d\eta \\ &\leq C \int_{t-r}^{t+r} < \xi + |t-r| >^{p-q-p\mu} d\xi \\ &\leq C \int_0^{2(t+r)} < \xi >^{p-q-p\mu} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by (4.32)' and (4.33)' we obtain (4.39)'.

In Lemma 4.10 we replace (4.45) by

$$(4.45)' \quad I_{1,\alpha}(r, t) \leq C < r - t >^{-\mu} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq \alpha \leq m + 3.$$

For the proof we note that the $J(r, t)$ changes into

$$J(r, t) = \int_{-\infty}^t d\tau \int_{|\rho_-|}^{\rho_+} \langle \rho \rangle^{p-q-2} \langle \rho + |\tau| \rangle^{-p\mu} d\rho,$$

where $\rho_{\pm} = t - \tau \pm r$. Moreover we have

$$|\rho_-| + |\tau| \geq |r - t| \quad \text{for } \tau \leq t,$$

because

$$t - r - \tau + |\tau| \geq r - t \quad \text{if } \tau \leq t - r \leq 0$$

and

$$\tau + r - t + |\tau| \geq t - r \quad \text{if } \tau \geq t - r \geq 0.$$

Hence by (4.33)' we get

$$\begin{aligned} J(r, t) &\leq \langle r - t \rangle^{-\mu} \int_{-\infty}^t d\tau \int_{|\rho_-|}^{\infty} \langle \rho \rangle^{p-q-2-p\mu+\mu} d\rho \\ &\leq C \langle r - t \rangle^{-\mu} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \rho_- \rangle^{p-q-1-p\mu+\mu} d\rho \\ &\leq C \langle r - t \rangle^{-\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

In Lemma 4.11 we replace (4.49) by

$$(4.49)' \quad I_2(r, t) \leq C \langle r + |t| \rangle^{-\mu}.$$

For the proof we note that (4.26) changes into

$$(4.26)' \quad I_2 = \int_{-\infty}^t \langle t + r - \tau \rangle^{p-q-1} \langle t + r - \tau + |\tau| \rangle^{-p\mu} d\tau.$$

Moreover

$$t + r - \tau + |\tau| \geq r + |t| \quad \text{for } \tau \leq t,$$

since $-\tau \geq |t|$ if $t < 0$. Hence by (4.26)' we get

$$I_2 \leq \langle r + |t| \rangle^{-\mu} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle t + r - \tau \rangle^{p-q-1-p\mu+\mu} d\tau.$$

Therefore (4.33)' yields (4.49)'.

In Lemma 4.12 we replace (4.51) by

$$(4.51)' \quad I_3(r, t) \leq C \langle r - t \rangle^{-\mu}.$$

For the proof we notice that (4.27) change into

$$(4.27)' \quad I_3 = \int_{-\infty}^t \langle t - r - \tau \rangle^{p-q-1} \langle |t - r - \tau| + |\tau| \rangle^{-p\mu} d\tau.$$

Moreover we have

$$|t - r - \tau| + |\tau| \geq |r - t| \quad \text{for } \tau \leq t,$$

as in the proof of Lemma 4.10. Hence by (4.33)' we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &\leq \langle r - t \rangle^{-\mu} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle t - r - \tau \rangle^{p-q-1-p\mu+\mu} d\tau \\ &\leq C \langle r - t \rangle^{-\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

In the proof of Lemma 4.5 we have from (4.10)'

$$|D_r^\alpha w_0(r, t, \tau)| \leq C \|u\|^p \int_{-1}^1 \langle t - \tau + r\sigma \rangle^{p-q-1} \langle |t - \tau + r\sigma| + |\tau| \rangle^{-p\mu} d\sigma$$

for $0 \leq r \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$. Hence (4.20) with $\beta = 0$ and $\kappa + 1 = \mu$ follows, as before.

Finally we replace (4.55) by

$$(4.55)' \quad |D_r^\alpha G(r, t)| \leq C \|u\|^p \langle r \rangle^{-m-1} \langle r + |t| \rangle^{-\mu} \quad \text{for } r \geq 1 \quad \text{and } 0 \leq \alpha \leq m+1,$$

which follows immediately from (4.6)' and (4.33)', since $p > 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} -mp - p\mu + \mu &= p - q - p\mu + \mu - m - 2 \\ &< -m - 2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we prove Proposition 4.4.

For §5, we replace the factor $\langle |r| + |t| \rangle \langle |r| - |t| \rangle^\kappa$ in the auxiliary norm $|||u|||$ by $\langle |r| + |t| \rangle^\mu$. Besides, if $p > m + 3$, we take $C_4 = 0$ in (5.4). In the proof of Lemma 5.3 we replace the factor $\langle |\rho| + |\tau| \rangle^{-p} \langle |\rho| - |\tau| \rangle^{-p\kappa}$ and $\langle \tau \rangle^{-p-p\kappa}$ by $\langle |\rho| + |\tau| \rangle^{-p\mu}$ and $\langle \tau \rangle^{-p\mu}$, respectively. Finally, in Proposition 5.6 we place the factors $\langle |r| + |t| \rangle^{-1} \langle |r| + t \rangle^{-\kappa}$ in (5.19) and $\langle |r| - |t| \rangle^{-1} \langle |r| + t \rangle^{-\kappa}$ in (5.20), (5.21) by $\langle |r| + t \rangle^{-\mu}$. Besides, if $\mu > 1/2$, we change the factor $\langle t \rangle^{-\kappa}$ in (5.22) by $\langle t \rangle^{-\mu+(1/2)}$. Thus we obtain an analogue to Theorem 1.2.

REFERENCES

1. Agemi R. and Takamura H., *The lifespan of classical solutions to nonlinear wave equations in two space dimensions*, Hokkaido Math. J., 21 (1992), 517-542.
2. Asakura F., *Existence of a global solution to a semi-linear wave equation with slowly decreasing initial data in three space dimensions*, Comm. in P.D.E., 11 (1986), 1459-1487.
3. Christodoulou D., *Global solutions of nonlinear hyperbolic equations for small initial data*, C.P.A.M., 39, (1986), 267-282.
4. Cournat R. and Hilbert D., *Methods of mathematical physics II*, Interscience, New York, (1962).
5. Glassey R. T., *Finite-time blow-up for solutions of nonlinear wave equations*, Math. Z., 177 (1981), 323-340.
6. John F., *Blow-up of solutions of nonlinear wave equations in three space dimensions*, Manuscripta Math., 28 (1979), 235-268.
7. Klainerman S., *Long-time behavior of solutions to nonlinear evolution equations*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., 78 (1982), 73-98.
8. Klainerman S. and Ponce G., *Global, small amplitude solutions to nonlinear evolution equations*, C.P.A.M., 36 (1983), 133-141.
9. Kubo H., *Asymptotic behaviors of solutions to semilinear wave equations with initial data of slow decay*, to appear in Mathematical Methods in Applied Sciences..
10. Kubota K. and Mochizuki K., *On small data scattering for 2-dimensional semilinear wave equations*, Hokkaido Math. J., 22 (1993), 79-97.
11. Li Ta-tsien and Chen Yun-mei, *Initial value problems for nonlinear wave equations*, Comm. in P.D.E., 13 (1988), 383-422.
12. Li Ta-tsien and Yu-Xin, *Life-span of classical solutions to fully nonlinear wave equations*, Comm. in P.D.E., 16 (1991), 909-940.
13. Mochizuki K. and Morai T., *The scattering theory for the nonlinear wave equation with small data*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., 25 (1985), 703-715.
14. Mochizuki K. and Motai T., *The scattering theory for the nonlinear wave equation with small data, II*, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ., 23 (1987), 771-790.
15. Pecher H., *Scattering for semilinear wave equations with small data in three space dimensions*, Math. Z., 198 (1988), 277-289.
16. Shatah J., *Global existence of small solutions to nonlinear evolution equations*, J. Diff. Eq., 46 (1982), 409-425.
17. Schaeffer J., *The equation $u_{tt} - \Delta u = |u|^p$ for the critical value of p* , Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, 101A (1985), 31-44.
18. Sideris T.C., *Nonexistence of global solutions to semilinear wave equations in high dimensions*, J. Diff. Eq. 52, (1984), 378-406.
19. Strauss W. A., *Nonlinear scattering theory at low energy*, J. Funct. Analysis, 41 (1981), 110-133.
20. Takamura H., *Blow-up for semilinear wave equations with slowly decaying data in high dimensions*, Mathematical Research Note 93-007 (1993), Univ. of Tsukuba.
21. Tsutaya K., *Global existence theorem for semilinear wave equations with non-compact data in two space dimensions*, J. Diff. Eq., 104 (1993), 332-360.
22. Tsutaya K., *Scattering theory for semilinear wave equations with small data in two space dimensions*, to appear in Trans. A.M.S...

Department of Mathematics
Hokkaido University