HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

Title	A sufficient condition for the uniqueness of solutions to a class of integro-differential equations
Author(s)	Mikami, T.
Citation	Hokkaido University Preprint Series in Mathematics, 267, 1-9
Issue Date	1994-10-01
DOI	https://doi.org/10.14943/83414
Doc URL	https://hdl.handle.net/2115/69018
Type	departmental bulletin paper
File Information	re267.pdf

Hokkaido University Collection of Scholarly and Academic Papers : HUSCAP

A sufficient condition for the uniqueness of solutions to a class of integro-differential equations

Toshio Mikami

Series #267. October 1994

HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS

241 K. Sugano, Note on H-separable Frobenius extensions, 8 pages. 1994.

242 J. Zhai, Some Estimates For The Blowing up Solutions of Semilinear Heat Equations, 11 pages. 1994.

243 N. Hayashi, K. Kato and T. Ozawa, Dilation Method and Smoothing Effect of the Schrödinger Evolution Group, 10 pages. 1994.

244 D. Lehmann, T. Suwa, Residues of holomorphic vector fields relative to singular invariant subvarieties, 26 pages. 1994.

245 H. Kubo, Slowly decaying solutions for semilinear wave equations in odd space dimensions, 30 pages. 1994.

246 T. Nakazi, M. Yamada, (A_2)-Conditions and Carleson Inequalities, 27 pages. 1994.

247 N. Hayashi, K. Kato and T. Ozawa, Dilation Method and smoothing Effect of Solutions to the Benjamin-ono Equation, 17 pages. 1994.

248 H. Kikuchi, Sheaf cohomology theory for measurable spaces, 12 pages. 1994.

249 A. Inoue, Tauberian theorems for Fourier cosine transforms, 9 pages. 1994.

250 S. Izumiya, G. T. Kossioris, Singularities for viscosity solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations, 23 pages. 1994.

251 H. Kubo, K. Kubota, Asymptotic behaviors of radially symmetric solutions of $\Box u = |u|^p$ for super critical values p in odd space dimensions, 51 pages. 1994.

252 T. Mikami, Large Deviations and Central Limit Theorems for Eyraud-Farlie-Gumbel-Morgenstern Processes, 9 pages. 1994.

253 T. Nishimori, Some remarks in a qualitative theory of similarity pseudogroups, 19 pages. 1994.

254 T. Suwa, Residues of complex analytic foliations relative to singular invariant subvarieties, 15 pages. 1994.

255 T. Tsujishita, On Triple Mutual Information, 7 pages. 1994.

256 T. Tsujishita, Construction of Universal Modal World based on Hyperset Theory, 15 pages. 1994.

257 A. Arai, Trace Formulas, a Golden-Thompson Inequality and Classical Limit in Boson Fock Space, 35 pages. 1994.

258 Y-G. Chen, Y. Giga, T. Hitaka and M. Honma, A Stable Difference Scheme for Computing Motion of Level Surfaces by the Mean Curvature, 18 pages. 1994.

259 K. Iwata, J. Schäfer, Markov property and cokernels of local operators, 7 pages. 1994.

260 T. Mikami, Copula fields and its applications, 14 pages. 1994.

261 A. Inoue, An Abel-Tauber theorem for Fourier sine transforms, 6 pages. 1994.

262 N. Kawazumi, Homology of hyperelliptic mapping class groups for surfaces, 13 pages. 1994.

263 Y. Giga, M. E. Gurtin, A comparison theorem for crystalline evolution in the plane, 14 pages. 1994.

264 J. Wierzbicki, On Commutativity of Diagrams of Type II_1 Factors, 26 pages. 1994.

265 N. Hayashi, T. Ozawa, Schrödinger Equations with nonlinearity of integral type, 12 pages. 1994.

266 T. Ozawa, On the resonance equations of long and short waves, 8 pages. 1994.

A sufficient condition for the uniqueness of solutions to a class of integro-differential equations

Toshio Mikami
Department of Mathematics
Hokkaido University
Sapporo 060
Japan

ABSTRACT

We give a sufficient condition for the uniqueness of solutions to Cauchy problem for a class of integro-partial differential operators related to the stochastic analysis, by way of the large deviations technique.

1. Introduction.

Fix $T > 0$ and $u_0(\cdot) \in C(R^n, R)$, and consider the following PDE (see [13]); for $t \in (0, T)$ and $x \in R^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial u(t,x)/\partial t = &\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a^{ij}(t,x)\partial^2 u(t,x)/\partial x_i \partial x_j \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} b^i(t,x)\partial u(t,x)/\partial x_i + f(t,x,u(t,x)), \\ u(0,x) = &\, u_0(x). \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

As a uniqueness theorem for (1.1), the following can be proved from [7], p. 140, Corollary 4.2.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $(a^{ij}(t,x))_{i,j=1}^n$ is bounded and nonnegative definite, and that $f(t,x,u)$ is differentiable in u, and that the following holds;*

$$\sup\{(\partial f(t,x,u)/\partial u)/(1+|x|^2); t \in [0,T], x \in R^n, u \in R\} < \infty, \tag{1.2}$$

$$\sup\{\limsup_{|x|\to\infty} |b^i(t,x)|/(1+|x|); 0 \le t \le T, i = 1, \cdots, n\} < \infty. \tag{1.3}$$

Then any solutions $u_1(t,x)$ and $u_2(t,x) \in C^{1,2}((0,T) \times R^n, R) \cap C([0,T] \times R^n, R)$ to (1.1) coincide with each other if the following holds;

$$\limsup_{x\to\infty} |x|^{-2} \log\{\sup_{0\le t\le T} |u_1(t,x) - u_2(t,x)| + 1\} < +\infty. \tag{1.4}$$

As an example of nonunique solutions to (1.1), the following is known (see also [12]).

Theorem 1.2. *([1], Theorem). Suppose that $n = 1$ in (1.1) and that $a^{11}(t,x) \equiv 1, b^1(t,x) \equiv f(t,x,u) \equiv 0$. Then there exists a solution $u(t,x) \in C^{1,2}((0,\infty) \times R, R) \cap C([0,\infty) \times R, R)$ of (1.1) for which $u(0,x) \equiv 0$, and which is not identically zero for $t > 0$, and for which for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $C = C(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that*

$$|u(t,x)| \leq C(\varepsilon)\exp(\varepsilon/t) \quad \text{on } (0,\infty) \times R. \tag{1.5}$$

In this paper, we generalize Theorem 1.1 by considering a class of integro-differential equations. In a special case our equation becomes (1.1), and our result gives a probabilistic proof to Theorem 1.1.

In section 2 we state our results. In section 3 we prove them.

2. Main results.

Let us introduce our integro-differential equation.

Fix $T > 0$ and $u_0(\cdot) \in C(R^n, R)$, and consider the following integro-differential equation; for $t \in (0,T)$ and $x \in R^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial u(t,x)/\partial t = &\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a^{ij}(t,x)\partial^2 u(t,x)/\partial x_i \partial x_j \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} b^i(t,x)\partial u(t,x)/\partial x_i + \int_{y\neq x, y\in R^n} [u(t,y) - u(t,x) \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^{n}(y_i - x_i)\partial u(t,x)/\partial x_i]\nu_{t,x}(dy) + f(t,x,u(t,x)), \\ u(0,x) = &\, u_0(x). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

In this section we assume the following.

(A.1). $a(t,x) = (a^{ij}(t,x))_{i,j=1}^n$ is a symmetric, nonnegative definite $n \times n$-matrix. $\nu_{t,x}(dy)$ is a nonnegative Borel measure on $R^n \backslash \{x\}$. $b(t,x) = (b^i(t,x))_{i=1}^n$ is globally Lipschitz continuous in x, uniformly in t. For any $s \geq 0$, there exist strong Markov processes $(X(t), P_{s,x})_{s\leq t, x\in R^n}$ whose infinitesimal generator L_t is given by the following; for any infinitely differentiable function $g; R^n \mapsto R$ with a compact support, $t \geq s$, and $x \in R^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} L_t g(x) = &\sum_{i=1}^{n} b^i(t,x)\partial g(x)/\partial x_i + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a^{ij}(t,x)\partial^2 g(x)/\partial x_i \partial x_j \\ &+ \int_{y\neq x, y\in R^n} [g(y) - g(x) - \sum_{i=1}^{n}(y_i - x_i)\partial g(x)/\partial x_i]\nu_{t,x}(dy) \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

(see [9] and [14]).

(A.2). For any $r > 0$

$$H(r) \equiv \sup\{< a(t,x)z, z > + \int_{y \neq x, y \in R^n} [\exp(< z, y-x >) - 1 \quad (2.3).$$
$$- < z, y-x >]\nu_{t,x}(dy); t \in [0,T], x \in R^n, |z| \leq r\} < \infty,$$

where $< \cdot, \cdot >$ denotes the inner product in R^n.
(A.3.1). $f(t,x,u)$ has a continuous $\partial f(t,x,u)/\partial u$, and

$$C(f) \equiv \sup\{(\partial f(t,x,u)/\partial u)/(1+|x|); t \in [0,T], x \in R^n, u \in R\} < \infty. \quad (2.4).$$

(A.3.2). $f(t,x,u)$ has a continuous $\partial f(t,x,u)/\partial u$, and

$$\tilde{C}(f) \equiv \sup\{(\partial f(t,x,u)/\partial u)/(1+|x|^2); t \in [0,T], x \in R^n, u \in R\} < \infty. \quad (2.5).$$

Remark 2.1. From (2.3), for $t \geq s$, and $x \in R^n$,

$$X(t) = x + \int_s^t b(\alpha, X(\alpha))ds + M^{[c]}(t) + M^{[d]}(t) \quad P_{s,x} - a.s., \quad (2.6).$$

where $M^{[c]}(t)$ and $M^{[d]}(t)$ denote square integrable, continuous and purely discontinuous martingales, respectively (see [10]).

Before we state our main result, let us give some notation. Put

$$C_1 = 2\sup\{\limsup_{h \to o} |b(t,x+h) - b(t,x)|/|h|; t \geq 0, x \in R^n\}, \quad (2.7).$$
$$C_2 = n\exp(C_1 T/2), \quad (2.8).$$
$$C(f;r) \equiv \sup\{\partial f(t,x,u)/\partial u; t \in [0,T], |x| \leq r, u \in R\}, \quad (2.9).$$
$$L(r) \equiv \sup\{zr - H(z); z \geq 0\}, \quad (2.10).$$

for $r > 0$.

The following is our main result.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that (A.1)-(A.2) hold, and that $f(t,x,u)$ has a continuous $\partial f(t,x,u)/\partial u$. Then any solutions $u_1(t\ ,x)$ and $u_2(t,x) \in C^{1,2}((0,T) \times R^n, R) \cap C([0,T] \times R^n, R)$ to (2.1) coincide with each other if*

$$\limsup_{x \to \infty} (TL(|x|/(C_2 T)))^{-1} \quad (2.11).$$
$$\times \{\log\{\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |u_1(t,x) - u_2(t,x)| + 1\} C(f;|x|)T\} < 1.$$

Remark 2.2. For $r > 0$ and $z > 0$,

$$L(r)/r \geq z - H(z)/r. \tag{2.12}$$

Let $r \to \infty$ and then $z \to \infty$, we get the following;

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} L(r)/r = \infty. \tag{2.13}$$

As an easy consequence of Theorem 2.1 and Remark 2.2, we get the following corollary whoes proof is omitted.

Corollary 2.2. *Suppose that (A.1)-(A.3.1) hold. Then any solutions* $u_1(t, x)$ *and* $u_2(t,x) \in C^{1,2}((0,T) \times R^n, R) \cap C([0,T] \times R^n, R)$ *to (2.1) coincide with each other if*

$$\limsup_{x\to\infty} |x|^{-1} \log\{ \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} |u_1(t,x) - u_2(t,x)| + 1\} < +\infty. \tag{2.14}$$

In the same way as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we can show that the following is true.

Theorem 2.3. *Suppose that (A.1)-(A.2) and (A.3.2) hold, and that* $\nu_{t,x}(dy) \equiv 0$. *Then any solutions* $u_1(t,x)$ *and* $u_2(t,x) \in C^{1,2}((0,T) \times R^n, R) \cap C([0,T] \times R^n, R)$ *to (2.1) coincide with each other if*

$$\limsup_{x\to\infty} |x|^{-2} \log\{ \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} |u_1(t,x) - u_2(t,x)| + 1\} < +\infty. \tag{2.15}$$

Remark 2.3. The condition (2.5) and (2.15) are equivalent to (1.2) and (1.4), respectively.

We close this section by the following example.

Example 2.1. Suppose that $n = 1$, that $a(t,x) \equiv 0$, and that $\nu_{t,x}(dy) \equiv \delta_{1+x}(dy)$. Then for $z > 0$,

$$H(z) = \exp(z) - 1 - z, \tag{2.16}$$

and for $r > 0$,

$$L(r) = (1+r)\log(1+r) - r. \tag{2.17}$$

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} C_2 T L(r/(C_2 T))/L(r) = 1. \tag{2.18}$$

3. Proof of results.

In this section we prove Theorems in section 2.

Before we prove them, let us give the technical result whose proof will be given later.

For $r > 0$, $t \geq s \geq 0$, and $x \in R^n$, put

$$\tau_r \equiv \inf\{t > 0; |X(t)| > r\}, \tag{3.1}$$

$$C_3 = (16H(1)n^2)^{-1}. \tag{3.2}$$

Then the following result can be proved by way of the idea of Freidlin-Wentzell theory (see [3], [5] and also [2] for other approaches).

Lemma 3.1. *(I). Suppose that (A.1)-(A.2) hold. Then for any $x \in R^n$,*

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} (TL(r/(C_2T)))^{-1} \log P_{0,x}(\tau_r \leq T) \leq -1. \tag{3.3}$$

(II). Suppose that (A.1)-(A.2) hold, and that $\nu_{t,x}(dy) \equiv 0$. Then for any $t > s \geq 0$ and $x \in R^n$,

$$\limsup_{r\to\infty} r^{-2} \log P_{s,x}(\tau_r \leq t) \leq -C_3 \exp(-C_1(t-s))/(t-s) \tag{3.4}$$

(see (2.7)-(2.8) for notation).

Let us first prove Theorem 2.1 from Lemma 3.1, (I).

Proof of Theorem 2.1. For $0 \leq t \leq T$, $x \in R^n$, and $u, v \in R$, put

$$F(t,x:u,v) \equiv \begin{cases} \{f(t,x,u) - f(t,x,v)\}/(u-v) & \text{if } u \neq v, \\ \partial f(t,x,u)/\partial u & \text{if } u = v \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

which is continuous from the assumption, and put

$$w(t,x) \equiv u_1(t,x) - u_2(t,x). \tag{3.6}$$

Then $w(t,x)$ satisfies the following integro-differential equation; for $t \in (0,T)$ and $x \in R^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial w(t,x)/\partial t = &\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a^{ij}(t,x)\partial^2 w(t,x)/\partial x_i \partial x_j + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b^i(t,x)\partial w(t,x)/\partial x_i \\ &+ \int_{y\neq x, y\in R^n} [w(t,y) - w(t,x) \\ &\qquad - \sum_{i=1}^{n}(y_i - x_i)\partial w(t,x)/\partial x_i]\nu_{t,x}(dy) \\ &+ F(t,x;u_1(t,x),u_2(t,x))w(t,x), \\ w(0,x) = 0. & \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

For $t \in [0,T]$ and $x \in R^n$, applying the Ito formula for $w(t-s, X(s)) \times \exp(\int_0^s F(t-\alpha, X(\alpha); u_1(\alpha, X(\alpha)), u_2(\alpha, X(\alpha)))d\alpha)(0 \le s \le t)$, we get the following;

$$
\begin{aligned}
w(t,x) = E_{0,x}[w(0,X(t))\exp(\int_0^t F(t-\alpha, X(\alpha) \qquad (3.8).\\
;u_1(\alpha,X(\alpha)),u_2(\alpha,X(\alpha)))d\alpha); t<\tau_r]\\
+E_{0,x}[w(t-\tau_r,X(\tau_r))\exp(\int_0^{\tau_r} F(t-\alpha,X(\alpha)\\
;u_1(\alpha,X(\alpha)),u_2(\alpha,X(\alpha)))d\alpha); t\ge\tau_r]\\
= E_{0,x}[w(t-\tau_r,X(\tau_r))\exp(\int_0^{\tau_r} F(t-\alpha,X(\alpha)\\
;u_1(\alpha,X(\alpha)),u_2(\alpha,X(\alpha)))d\alpha); t\ge\tau_r]
\end{aligned}
$$

from (3.7).

Form Lemma 3.1, (I) and (2.11), we get, for $x \in R^n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{0\le t\le T}|w(t,x)| \qquad (3.9).\\
&\le \sup_{0\le t\le T,|y|=r}|w(t,y)|\exp(TC(f;r))P_{0,x}(T\ge\tau_r)\\
&\to 0 \quad (\text{as } r\to\infty).
\end{aligned}
$$

Q.E.D.

Next we prove Theorem 2.3 from Lemma 3.1, (II).

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Denote by C_4 the quantity on the right hand side of (2.15). Take $t_1 \in [0,T]$ sufficiently small so that

$$C_4 + t_1\tilde{C}(f) < C_3\exp(-C_1t_1)/t_1. \qquad (3.10).$$

(see (2.7), (2.8) and (3.2)).

In the same way as in (3.9), we get, for $x \in R^n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{0\le t\le t_1}|w(t,x)| \qquad (3.11).\\
&\le \sup_{0\le t\le t_1,|y|=r}|w(t,y)|\exp(t_1\tilde{C}(f)(1+r^2))P_{0,x}(t_1\ge\tau_r)\\
&\to 0 \quad (\text{as } r\to\infty \text{ from Lemma 3.1, (II) and (2.15)}).
\end{aligned}
$$

For $t_1 \le t \le \min(2t_1, T)$ and $x \in R^n$, consider $w(t-t_1, x)$ and $P_{t_1,x}(t \ge \tau_r)$ $(r>0)$. Then in the same way as above, we can show that $w(t-t_1,x)$ $(t_1 \le t \le \min(2t_1,T),\ x \in R^n)$ is identically zero. Inductively we can show that Theorem 2.3 is true.

Q.E.D.

Before we prove Lemma 3.1, let us prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *For any $t \geq s \geq 0$ and $x \in R^n$, the following holds;*

$$\sup_{s\leq\gamma\leq t}|X(\gamma)| \leq (|x| + (t-s)\sup_{s\leq\gamma\leq t}|b(\gamma,o)| \tag{3.12}$$
$$+ \sup_{s\leq\gamma\leq t}|M^{[c]}(\gamma) + M^{[d]}(\gamma)|)\exp(C_1(t-s)/2) \quad P_{s,x} - a.s.$$

(see (2.7) for natation).
Proof. For $\gamma \geq s \geq 0$ and $x \in R^n$

$$\begin{aligned} X(\gamma) &= x + \int_s^\gamma b(\alpha, X(\alpha))d\alpha + M^{[c]}(\gamma) + M^{[d]}(\gamma) \qquad (3.13).\\ &= x + \int_s^\gamma b(\alpha, o)d\alpha + M^{[c]}(\gamma) + M^{[d]}(\gamma)\\ &\quad + \int_s^\gamma [b(\alpha, X(\alpha)) - b(\alpha, o)]d\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

$P_{s,x} - a.s.$. By Gronwall's inequality, from (3.13), the proof is over.
Q.E.D.

Finally we prove Lemma 3.1.
Proof of Lemma 3.1. From Lemma 3.2, for any $s \leq t$, $r > 0$ and $x(|x| < r)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &P_{s,x}(\tau_r \leq t) \qquad (3.14).\\ &= P_{s,x}(\sup_{s\leq\gamma\leq t}|X(\gamma)| \geq r)\\ &\leq P_{s,x}(1 \leq (1 - \exp(C_1(t-s)/2)(|x| + (t-s)\sup_{s\leq\gamma\leq t}|b(\gamma,o)|)/r)^{-1}\\ &\qquad \times \exp(C_1(t-s)/2)/r \sup_{s\leq\gamma\leq t}|M^{[c]}(\gamma) + M^{[d]}(\gamma)|)\\ &\leq P_{s,x}(1 \leq 2^{-1}\exp(C_1(t-s)/2)/r \sup_{s\leq\gamma\leq t}|M^{[c]}(\gamma) + M^{[d]}(\gamma)|)\\ &\qquad \text{(for sufficiently large } r > 0)\\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n P_{s,x}(1 \leq 2^{-1}n\exp(C_1(t-s)/2)/r \sup_{s\leq\gamma\leq t}|M^{[c]}(\gamma)^i + M^{[d]}(\gamma)^i|)\\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sup_{s\leq\gamma\leq t,|y|\leq r} P_{\gamma,y}(1/2 \leq 2^{-1}n\exp(C_1(t-s)/2)/r\\ &\qquad \times |M^{[c]}(t)^i + M^{[d]}(t)^i|) \end{aligned}$$

by the strong Markov property of $(X(t), P_{s,x})_{s\leq t, x\in R^n}$ (see [5], p. 151). Put

$$C_5 \equiv n \exp(C_1(t-s)/2). \tag{3.15}$$

Then for each $i = 1, \cdots, n$, $\gamma \in [s,t]$ and $y \in R^n$, and any $R > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & P_{\gamma,y}(1 \le C_5/r|M^{[c]}(t)^i + M^{[d]}(t)^i|) \\ & \le \exp(-rR)E_{\gamma,y}[\exp(RC_5(M^{[c]}(t)^i + M^{[d]}(t)^i)) \\ & \quad + \exp(-RC_5(M^{[c]}(t)^i + M^{[d]}(t)^i))] \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

by the exponential Chebychev's inequality (see [5], p. 151), and

$$\begin{aligned} & E_{\gamma,y}[\exp(RC_5(M^{[c]}(t)^i + M^{[d]}(t)^i))] \\ & = E_{\gamma,y}[\exp(RC_5(M^{[c]}(t)^i + M^{[d]}(t)^i) - \int_\gamma^t \{a^{ii}(\alpha, X(\alpha))(RC_5)^2 \\ & \quad + \int_{z \ne x, z \in R^n} [\exp(RC_5(z_i - x_i)) - 1 - RC_5(z_i - x_i)]\nu_{\alpha,X(\alpha)}(dz)\}d\alpha \\ & \quad + \int_\gamma^t \{a^{ii}(\alpha, X(\alpha))(RC_5)^2 \\ & \quad + \int_{z \ne x, z \in R^n} [\exp(RC_5(z_i - x_i)) - 1 - RC_5(z_i - x_i)]\nu_{\alpha,X(\alpha)}(dz)\}d\alpha)] \\ & \le \exp[(t-\gamma)H(RC_5)] \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

(see [5], p. 148). In the same way as in (3.17),

$$E_{\gamma,y}[\exp(-RC_5(M^{[c]}(t)^i + M^{[d]}(t)^i))] \le \exp[(t-\gamma)H(RC_5)]. \tag{3.18}$$

From (3.14)-(3.18), we get for $s \le t$ and $x(|x| < r)$,

$$\log P_{s,x}(\tau_r \le t) \le \log(2n) - (t-s)(r/(t-s)R - H(RC_5)). \tag{3.19}$$

Taking the infimum in $R > 0$ on the left hand side of (3.19),

$$\log P_{s,x}(\tau_r \le t) \le \log(2n) - (t-s)L(r/((t-s)C_5)). \tag{3.20}$$

From (3.20),

$$\limsup_{r \to \infty} \{TL(r/(TC_2))\}^{-1} \log P_{0,x}(\tau_r \le T) \le -1, \tag{3.21}$$

which shows that (I) is true (see (2.8) for notation).

Let us prove (II). For any $t > s \ge 0$ and $x \in R^n$,

$$(t-s)L(r/((t-s)C_2)) = (16(t-s)H(1)n^2)^{-1}\exp(-C_1(t-s))). \quad (3.22).$$

(3.20) and (3.22) completes the proof.

Q.E.D.

REFERENCES

[1] Chung, S. Y., Kim, D.: An example of nonuniqueness of the Cauchy problem for the heat equation. Comm. P.D.E., **19**, 1257-1261 (1994)

[2] Fleming, W. H., Soner, H. M.: Controlled Markov processes and Viscosity solutions (Application of Math. 25) Berlin Heidelberg New York Tokyo: Springer 1993

[3] Freidlin, M. I.: Functional integration and partial differential equations (Ann. of Math. Studies 109) Princeton: Princeton University Press 1985

[4] Freidlin, M. I.: Random perturbations of reaction-diffusion equations: the quasi-deterministic approximation. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **305**, 665-697 (1988)

[5] Freidlin, M. I., Wentzell, A. D.: Random perturbations of dynamical systems (Grundlehren Math. Wiss. Bd 260) Berlin Heidelberg New York Tokyo: Springer 1984

[6] Friedman, A.: Partial differential equations of parabolic type. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall 1964

[7] Friedman, A.: Stochastic differential equations and applications **1** (Pro- bab. and Math. Stat. 28) New York: Academic press 1975

[8] Ikeda, N., Watanabe, S.: Stochastic differential equations and diffusion processes, Second edition. Amsterdam New York Oxford Tokyo: North-Holland/Kodansha 1989

[9] Komatsu, T.: Markov processes associated with certain integro - differential equations. Osaka J. Math. **10**, 271-303 (1973)

[10] Meyer, P. A.: Un cours sur les intégrale stochastiques. In: Meyer, P. A.: Séminaire de Probabilités X, Université de Strasbourg 1974/75 (Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 511, pp. 245-400) Berlin Heidelberg New York Tokyo: Springer 1976

[11] Mikami, T.: Some generalizations of Wentzell's lower estimates on large deviations. Stochastics **24**, 269-284 (1988)

[12] Rauch, J.: Partial differential equations (Grad. Texts in Math. 128) Berlin Heidelberg New York Tokyo: Springer 1991

[13] Smoller, J.: Shock waves and reaction-diffusion equations (Grundlehren Math. Wiss. Bd 258) Berlin Heidelberg New York Tokyo: Springer 1983

[14] Stroock, D. W.: Diffusion processes with Lévy Generators. Zeit. Wahrsch. Verw. Gegiete **32**, 209-244 (1975)

[15] Wentzell, A. D.: Rough limit theorems on large deviations for Markov stochastic processes III. Theory Probab. Appl. **24**, 675-692 (1979)