HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

| | |
|---|---|
| Title | Stanley-Reisner rings whose Betti numbers are independent of the base field |
| Author(s) | Terai, N.; Hibi, T. |
| Citation | Hokkaido University Preprint Series in Mathematics, 276, 1-12 |
| Issue Date | 1995-01-01 |
| DOI | https://doi.org/10.14943/83423 |
| Doc URL | https://hdl.handle.net/2115/69027 |
| Type | departmental bulletin paper |
| File Information | re276.pdf |

Hokkaido University Collection of Scholarly and Academic Papers : HUSCAP

# Stanley-Reisner rings whose Betti numbers are independent of the base field

N. Terai and T. Hibi

Series #276. January 1995

## HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

## PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS

♯ 250 S. Izumiya, G. T. Kossioris, Singularities for viscosity solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations, 23 pages. 1994.

♯ 251 H. Kubo, K. Kubota, Asymptotic behaviors of radially symmetric solutions of $\Box u = |u|^p$ for super critical values $p$ in odd space dimensions, 51 pages. 1994.

♯ 252 T. Mikami, Large Deviations and Central Limit Theorems for Eyraud-Farlie-Gumbel-Morgenstern Processes, 9 pages. 1994.

♯ 253 T. Nishimori, Some remarks in a qualitative theory of similarity pseudogroups, 19 pages. 1994.

♯ 254 T. Suwa, Residues of complex analytic foliations relative to singular invariant subvarieties, 15 pages. 1994.

♯ 255 T. Tsujishita, On Triple Mutual Information, 7 pages. 1994.

♯ 256 T. Tsujishita, Construction of Universal Modal World based on Hyperset Theory, 15 pages. 1994.

♯ 257 A. Arai, Trace Formulas, a Golden-Thompson Inequality and Classical Limit in Boson Fock Space, 35 pages. 1994.

♯ 258 Y-G. Chen, Y. Giga, T. Hitaka and M. Honma, A Stable Difference Scheme for Computing Motion of Level Surfaces by the Mean Curvature, 18 pages. 1994.

♯ 259 K. Iwata, J. Schäfer, Markov property and cokernels of local operators, 7 pages. 1994.

♯ 260 T. Mikami, Copula fields and its applications, 14 pages. 1994.

♯ 261 A. Inoue, An Abel-Tauber theorem for Fourier sine transforms, 6 pages. 1994.

♯ 262 N. Kawazumi, Homology of hyperelliptic mapping class groups for surfaces, 13 pages. 1994.

♯ 263 Y. Giga, M. E. Gurtin, A comparison theorem for crystalline evolution in the plane, 14 pages. 1994.

♯ 264 J. Wierzbicki, On Commutativity of Diagrams of Type $\mathrm{II}_1$ Factors, 26 pages. 1994.

♯ 265 N. Hayashi, T. Ozawa, Schrödinger Equations with nonlinearity of integral type, 12 pages. 1994.

♯ 266 T. Ozawa, On the resonance equations of long and short waves, 8 pages. 1994.

♯ 267 T. Mikami, A sufficient condition for the uniqueness of solutions to a class of integro-differential equations, 9 pages. 1994.

♯ 268 Y. Giga, Evolving curves with boundary conditions, 10 pages. 1994.

♯ 269 A. Arai, Operator-theoretical analysis of representation of a supersymmetry algebra in Hilbert space, 12 pages. 1994.

♯ 270 A. Arai, Gauge theory on a non-simply-connected domain and representations of canonical commutation relations, 18 pages. 1994.

♯ 271 S. Jimbo, Y. Morita and J. Zhai, Ginzburg landau equation and stable steady state solutions in a non-trivial domain, 17 pages. 1994.

♯ 272 S. Izumiya, A. Takiyama, A time-like surface in Minkowski 3-space which contains light-like lines, 7 pages. 1994.

♯ 273 K. Tsutaya, Global existence of small amplitude solutions for the Klein-Gordon-Zakharov equations, 11 pages. 1994.

♯ 274 H. Kubo, On the critical decay and power for semilinear wave equations in odd space dimensions, 22 pages. 1994.

♯ 275 N. Terai, T. Hibi, Alexander duality theorem and second Betti numbers of Stanley-Reisner rings, 2 pages. 1995.

# Stanley–Reisner rings whose Betti numbers are independent of the base field

Naoki Terai  Takayuki Hibi

**Abstract**

We study the Betti numbers which appear in a minimal free resolution of the Stanley–Reisner ring $k[\Delta] = A/I_\Delta$ of a simplicial complex $\Delta$ over a field $k$. It is known that the second Betti number of $k[\Delta]$ is independent of the base field $k$. We show that, when the ideal $I_\Delta$ is generated by square-free monomials of degree two, the third and fourth Betti numbers are also independent of $k$. On the other hand, we prove that, if the goemetric realization of $\Delta$ is homeomorphic to either the 3-sphere or the 3-ball, then all the Betti numbers of $k[\Delta]$ are independent of the base field $k$.

## Introduction

Let $A = k[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_v]$ denote the polynomial ring in $v$-variables over a field $k$, which will be considered to be the graded algebra $A = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} A_n$ over $k$ with the standard grading, i.e., each $\deg x_i = 1$. Let $\mathbf{Z}$ (resp. $\mathbf{Q}$, $\mathbf{N}$) denote the set of integers (resp. rational numbers, non-negative integers). We write $A(j)$, $j \in \mathbf{Z}$, for the graded module $A(j) = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbf{Z}}[A(j)]_n$ over $A$ with $[A(j)]_n := A_{n+j}$. Let $I$ be an ideal of $A$ generated by homogeneous polynomials and $R$ the quotient algebra $A/I$. When $R$ is regarded as a graded module over $A$ with the quotient grading, it has a graded *finite free resolution*

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j\in\mathbf{Z}} A(-j)^{\beta_{hj}} \xrightarrow{\varphi_h} \cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \bigoplus_{j\in\mathbf{Z}} A(-j)^{\beta_{1j}} \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} A \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} R \longrightarrow 0; \qquad (1)$$

where each $\bigoplus_{j\in\mathbf{Z}} A(-j)^{\beta_{ij}}$, $1 \leq i \leq h$, is a graded free module of rank $0 \neq \sum_{j\in\mathbf{Z}} \beta_{ij} < \infty$, and where every $\varphi_i$ is degree-preserving. Moreover, there exists a unique such resolution which minimizes each $\beta_{ij}$; such a resolution is called *minimal*. If a finite free resolution (1) is minimal, then the *homological*

*dimension* $\mathrm{hd}_A(R)$ of $R$ over $A$ is the non-negative integer $h$ and $\beta_i = \beta_i^A(R) := \sum_{j\in\mathbf{Z}} \beta_{i_j}$ is called the $i$-th *Betti number* of $R$ over $A$.

In this paper, we study the Betti numbers of $R = A/I$ over $A$ when an ideal $I$ is generated by square-free monomials, i.e., $R$ is the Stanley-Reisner ring $k[\Delta] = A/I_\Delta$ of a simplicial complex $\Delta$ ([Sta$_1$], [Rei]). The first Betti numbers of $k[\Delta]$ is equal to the number of minimal generators of the ideal $I_\Delta$, i.e., the number of minimal non-faces of $\Delta$. Hence, the first Betti number of $k[\Delta]$ is independent of the base field $k$. See [T–H$_5$] for numerical study on the number of minimal non-faces of a simplicial complex. On the other hand, Bruns and Herzog ([Bru–Her$_2$]) proved that, by a ring-theoretical technique, the second Betti number of $k[\Delta]$ is independent of the base field $k$; while, based on Alexander duality theorem of topology, a short proof of their result is obtained in [T–H$_1$].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we recall some notation on simplicial complex and Hochster's topological formula on Betti numbers of Stanley–Reisner rings. In Section 2, we show that both the third and fourth Betti numbers of $k[\Delta]$ are independent of the base field $k$, when the ideal $I_\Delta$ is generated by square-free monomials of degree two (e.g., $\Delta$ is the order complex of a finite partially ordered set). On the other hand, even though it is possible to define the Stanley–Reisner ring $\mathbf{Z}[\Delta] = A/I_\Delta$ of $\Delta$ over the commutative ring $\mathbf{Z}$, a minimal free resolution of $\mathbf{Z}[\Delta]$ over the polynomial ring $A = \mathbf{Z}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_v]$ does not necessarily exist. Moreover, there exists a minimal free resolution of $\mathbf{Z}[\Delta]$ over $A$ if and only if all the Betti numbers $\beta_i^A(k[\Delta])$ are independent of the base field $k$. Thus, it might be of interest to find a natural class of simplicial complexes $\Delta$ for which all the Betti numbers $\beta_i^A(k[\Delta])$ are independent of $k$. In Section 3, we prove that all the Betti numbers of $k[\Delta]$ are independent of the base field $k$ if the geometric realization of $\Delta$ is either the 3-sphere or the 3-ball. See also [T–H$_2$] and [T–H$_3$].

## §1. Simplicial complexes and Stanley–Reisner rings

We first recall some notation on simplicial complexes and Hochster's topological formula on Betti numbers of Stanley–Reisner rings. We refer the reader to, e.g., [Bru–Her$_1$], [H$_1$], [Hoc] and [Sta$_1$] for the detailed information about combinatorial and algebraic background.

(1.1) A *simplicial complex* $\Delta$ on the *vertex set* $V = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_v\}$ is a collection of subsets of $V$ such that (i) $\{x_i\} \in \Delta$ for every $1 \leq i \leq v$ and (ii) $\sigma \in \Delta$, $\tau \subset \sigma \Rightarrow \tau \in \Delta$. Each element $\sigma$ of $\Delta$ is called a *face* of $\Delta$. Let

$\sharp(\sigma)$ denote the cardinality of a finite set $\sigma$. We set $d = \max\{\sharp(\sigma) \mid \sigma \in \Delta\}$ and define the *dimension* of $\Delta$ to be $\dim \Delta = d - 1$.

Given a subset $W$ of $V$, the *restriction* of $\Delta$ to $W$ is the subcomplex

$$\Delta_W = \{\sigma \in \Delta \mid \sigma \subset W\}$$

of $\Delta$. In particular, $\Delta_V = \Delta$ and $\Delta_\emptyset = \{\emptyset\}$. On the other hand, if $\sigma$ is a face of $\Delta$, then we define the subcomplexes $\mathrm{link}_\Delta(\sigma)$ and $\mathrm{star}_\Delta(\sigma)$ to be

$$\mathrm{link}_\Delta(\sigma) = \{\tau \in \Delta \mid \sigma \cap \tau = \emptyset, \sigma \cup \tau \in \Delta\};$$

$$\mathrm{star}_\Delta(\sigma) = \{\tau \in \Delta \mid \sigma \cup \tau \in \Delta\}.$$

Thus, in particular, $\mathrm{link}_\Delta(\emptyset) = \mathrm{star}_\Delta(\emptyset) = \Delta$.

Let $\tilde{H}_i(\Delta; k)$ denote the $i$-th *reduced simplicial homology group* of $\Delta$ with the coefficient field $k$. Note that $\tilde{H}_{-1}(\Delta; k) = 0$ if $\Delta \neq \{\emptyset\}$ and

$$\tilde{H}_i(\{\emptyset\}; k) = \begin{cases} 0 & (i \geq 0) \\ k & (i = -1). \end{cases}$$

**(1.2)** Let $A = k[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_v]$ be the polynomial ring in $v$-variables over a field $k$. Here, we identify each $x_i \in V$ with the indeterminate $x_i$ of $A$. Define $I_\Delta$ to be the ideal of $A$ which is generated by square-free monomials $x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_r}$, $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_r \leq v$, with $\{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \cdots, x_{i_r}\} \notin \Delta$. We say that the quotient algebra $k[\Delta] := A/I_\Delta$ is the *Stanley–Reisner ring* of $\Delta$ over $k$. In what follows, we consider $A$ to be the graded algebra $A = \oplus_{n \geq 0} A_n$ with the standard grading, i.e., each $\deg x_i = 1$, and may regard $k[\Delta] = \oplus_{n \geq 0} (k[\Delta])_n$ as a graded module over $A$ with the quotient grading.

**(1.3)** Let $h = \mathrm{hd}_A(k[\Delta])$ denote the homological dimension of $k[\Delta]$ over $A$ and consider a graded minimal free resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} A(-j)^{\beta_{h_j}} \xrightarrow{\varphi_h} \cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} A(-j)^{\beta_{1_j}} \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} A \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} k[\Delta] \longrightarrow 0 \quad (2)$$

of $k[\Delta]$ over $A$. It is known that $v - d \leq h \leq v$. Hochster's formula [Hoc, Theorem (5.1)] guarantees that

$$\beta_{i_j} = \sum_{W \subset V,\ \sharp(W) = j} \dim_k \tilde{H}_{j-i-1}(\Delta_W; k). \quad (3)$$

Thus, in particular,

$$\beta_i^A(k[\Delta]) = \sum_{W \subset V} \dim_k \tilde{H}_{\sharp(W)-i-1}(\Delta_W; k).$$

Some combinatorial and algebraic applications of Hochster's formula have been studied. Munkres [Mun] proved that $v - \mathrm{hd}_A(k[\Delta])$ depends only on the geometric realization of $\Delta$. Moreover, if $\Delta$ is the order complex of a modular lattice, then the last Betti number of $k[\Delta]$ can be computed by means of the Möbius function of the lattice ([$H_2$], [$H_3$]). See also [Bac], [B–$H_1$], [B–$H_2$], [Frö], [$H_4$] and [T–$H_4$] for related topics and results.

## §2. Ideals $I_\Delta$ generated by monomials of degree two

The purpose of this section is to show that the third and fourth Betti numbers of a Stanley-Reisner ring $k[\Delta] = A/I_\Delta$ are independent of the base field $k$ when the ideal $I_\Delta$ is generated by square-free monomials of degree two. For example, the ideal $I_\Delta$ associated with a simplicial complex $\Delta$ is generated by square-free monomials of degree two when, e.g., $\Delta$ is the order complex ([$\mathrm{Sta}_2$, p.120]) of a finite partially ordered set.

Let $\Delta$ (resp. $\Delta'$) be a simplicial complex on the vertex set $V$ (resp. $V'$) and suppose that $V \cap V' = \emptyset$. Recall that the *simplicial join* $\Delta * \Delta'$ of $\Delta$ and $\Delta'$ is the simplicial complex on the vertex set $V \cup V'$ which consists of all subsets of $V \cup V'$ of the form $\sigma \cup \tau$ with $\sigma \in \Delta$ and $\tau \in \Delta'$.

(2.1) LEMMA. *Let $\Delta$ be a simplicial complex on the vertex set $V$ with $\sharp(V) = v$ and suppose that the ideal $I_\Delta$ is generated by square-free monomials of degree two. Then $\tilde{H}_n(\Delta; k) = 0$ if $v < 2(n+1)$. Moreover, if $v = 2(n+1)$, then $\tilde{H}_n(\Delta; k) \neq 0$ if and only if $\Delta$ is the simplicial join of $n+1$ copies of the 0-sphere $\mathrm{S}^0 (= \bullet \ \bullet)$.*

*Proof.* We first show that $\tilde{H}_n(\Delta; k) = 0$ if $v < 2(n+1)$. Suppose that $I_\Delta \neq (0)$ and $x, y \in V$ with $xy \in I_\Delta$. We set $\Delta_1 = \mathrm{star}_\Delta(\{x\})$ and $\Delta_2 = \Delta_{V-\{x\}}$. Then $\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 = \Delta$ and $\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2 = \mathrm{link}_\Delta(\{x\})$. Note that the ideals $I_{\Delta_1}, I_{\Delta_2}, I_{\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2}$ are generated by square-free monomials of degree two. On the other hand, since $\{y\} \notin \Delta_1$, $\{x\} \notin \Delta_2$ and $\{x\}, \{y\} \notin \Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2$, we may assume that $\tilde{H}_n(\Delta_1; k) = 0$, $\tilde{H}_n(\Delta_2; k) = 0$ and $\tilde{H}_{n-1}(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2; k) = 0$. Hence, thanks to the reduced Mayer–Vietoris exact sequence, we have $\tilde{H}_n(\Delta; k) = 0$ as desired.

Secondly, let us assume $v = 2(n+1)$. If $\Delta$ is the simplicial join of $n+1$ copies of $\mathrm{S}^0$, then the geometric realization of $\Delta$ is the $n$-sphere $\mathbf{S}^n$. Thus $\tilde{H}_n(\Delta; k) \neq 0$. On the other hand, suppose that $\tilde{H}_n(\Delta; k) \neq 0$. Then $I_\Delta \neq (0)$. Let $xy \in I_\Delta$ and $\Delta_1 = \mathrm{star}_\Delta(\{x\})$, $\Delta_2 = \Delta_{V-\{x\}}$ as above. Since $\tilde{H}_n(\Delta_1; k) = 0$, $\tilde{H}_n(\Delta_2; k) = 0$ and $\tilde{H}_n(\Delta; k) \neq 0$, the reduced Mayer–Vietoris exact sequence guarantees that $\tilde{H}_{n-1}(\mathrm{link}_\Delta(\{x\}); k) \neq 0$. Let $v'$

be the number of vertices of $\mathrm{link}_\Delta(\{x\})$. Then $v' \leq v - 2 = 2n$ since $\{x\}, \{y\} \notin \mathrm{link}_\Delta(\{x\})$, while $v' \geq 2n$ since $\tilde{H}_{n-1}(\mathrm{link}_\Delta(\{x\}); k) \neq 0$. Hence $v' = 2n$. Thus, we may assume that $\mathrm{link}_\Delta(\{x\})$ is the simplicial join of $n$ copies of $\mathbf{S}^0$. Let $z \in V$ be an arbitrary vertex of $\Delta$ with $z \neq x$ and $z \neq y$. Then, since $v' = 2n$, $\{z\} \in \mathrm{link}_\Delta(\{x\})$. Hence, there exists an element $w \in V - \{x, y\}$ such that $zw \in I_{\mathrm{link}_\Delta(\{x\})}$. Since $I_\Delta$ is generated by square-free monomials of degree two, we have $zw \in I_\Delta$. Consequently, for an arbitrary element $\alpha \in V$, there exists a unique element $\beta \in V$ such that $\{\alpha, \beta\} \notin \Delta$. Hence, $\Delta$ is the simplicial join of $n+1$ copies of the 0-sphere $\mathbf{S}^0$ as required. Q. E. D.

(2.2) COROLLARY. *Suppose that the ideal $I_\Delta$ is generated by square-free monomials of degree two and that a finite free resolution* (2) *of $k[\Delta] = A/I_\Delta$ over $A$ is minimal. Then, $\beta_{i_j} = 0$ for all $i$ and $j$ with $j > 2i$.*

*Proof.* By Lemma (2.1), we have $\tilde{H}_{\sharp(W)-i-1}(\Delta_W; k) = 0$ if $\sharp(W) < 2(\sharp(W) - i)$, i.e., $\sharp(W) > 2i$. Hence, thanks to Hochster's formula (3), $\beta_{i_j} = 0$ for all $i$ and $j$ with $j > 2i$. Q. E. D.

Taylor [Tay] constructed an explicit (not necessarily minimal) finite free resolution of $k[\Delta] = A/I_\Delta$ over $A$. The above Corollary (2.2) also follows immediately from Taylor resolutions.

(2.3) LEMMA. *Let $\Delta$ be a simplicial complex on the vertex set $V$ with $\sharp(V) = 7$. Suppose that $I_\Delta$ is generated by square-free monomials of degree two and that $\tilde{H}_2(\Delta; k) \neq 0$. Then, one of the following conditions* (i) *and* (ii) *is satisfied:*

(i) *$\Delta$ is the simplicial join of the cycle of length 5 and 0-sphere $\mathbf{S}^0$;*

(ii) *there exists $x \in V$ such that $\Delta_{V-\{x\}} = \mathbf{S}^0 * \mathbf{S}^0 * \mathbf{S}^0$.*

*Proof.* Suppose that there exists no $x \in V$ with $\Delta_{V-\{x\}} = \mathbf{S}^0 * \mathbf{S}^0 * \mathbf{S}^0$. Let $x \in V$ and set $\Delta_1 = \mathrm{star}_\Delta(\{x\})$, $\Delta_2 = \Delta_{V-\{x\}}$. Then $\Delta = \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2$ and $\mathrm{link}_\Delta(\{x\}) = \Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2$. Since $\Delta_1$ is contractible, we have $\tilde{H}_2(\Delta_1; k) = 0$. On the other hand, since $\Delta_2 \neq \mathbf{S}^0 * \mathbf{S}^0 * \mathbf{S}^0$, we have $\tilde{H}_2(\Delta_2; k) = 0$ by Lemma (2.1). Thus, thanks to the reduced Mayer–Vietoris exact sequence, we have $\tilde{H}_1(\mathrm{link}_\Delta(\{x\}); k) \neq 0$ since $\tilde{H}_2(\Delta; k) \neq 0$. Let $V'$ denote the vertex set of $\mathrm{link}_\Delta(\{x\})$. Then $\sharp(V') \geq 4$ by Lemma (2.1). Moreover, again by Lemma (2.1), if $\sharp(V') = 4$, then $\mathrm{link}_\Delta(\{x\})$ is the cycle of length 4. If the number of vertices of $\mathrm{link}_\Delta(\{y\})$ is equal to 4 for every $y \in V$, then $\dim \Delta = 2$ and the number of faces $\sigma$ of $\Delta$ with $\sharp(\sigma) = 3$ is $(4 \times \sharp(V)) \div 3 = \frac{28}{3}$, a contradiction.

Hence, there exists $z \in V$ such that the number of vertices of $\mathrm{link}_\Delta(\{z\})$ is greater than or equal to 5. If the number of vertices of $\mathrm{link}_\Delta(\{z\})$ is equal to 6, then $\Delta = \mathrm{star}_\Delta(\{z\})$ and, therefore, $\Delta$ is contractible, which contradicts $\tilde{H}_2(\Delta; k) \neq 0$. Thus, the number of vertices of $\mathrm{link}_\Delta(\{z\})$ is equal to 5. Since $\tilde{H}_1(\mathrm{link}_\Delta(\{z\}); k) \neq 0$ and the ideal $I_{\mathrm{link}_\Delta(\{z\})}$ is generated by square-free monomials of degree two, it follows easily that $\mathrm{link}_\Delta(\{z\})$ is one of the following figures:




If $\mathrm{link}_\Delta(\{z\})$ is one of the above figures (a), (b), (c), (d), (f) and if $\tilde{H}_2(\Delta; k) \neq 0$, then there exists $x \in V$ with $\Delta_{V-\{x\}} = \mathbf{S}^0 * \mathbf{S}^0 * \mathbf{S}^0$ (the routine details should be omitted). On the other hand, if $\mathrm{link}_\Delta(\{z\})$ is the graph of figure (e) and if $\tilde{H}_2(\Delta; k) \neq 0$, then $\Delta$ is the simplicial join of the cycle of length 5 and 0-sphere $\mathbf{S}^0$ as required. Q. E. D.

We are now in the position to state the main result of this section.

(2.4) THEOREM. *Let $\Delta$ be a simplicial complex and suppose that the ideal $I_\Delta$ is generated by square-free monomials of degree two. Then, both the third Betti number $\beta_3^A(k[\Delta])$ and the fourth Betti number $\beta_4^A(k[\Delta])$ of $k[\Delta] = A/I_\Delta$ over $A$ are independent of the base field $k$.*

*Proof.* First, we study the third Betti number $\beta_3^A(k[\Delta])$ of $k[\Delta]$ over $A$. Let $V$ be the vertex set of $\Delta$. Thanks to Corollary (2.2), what we must prove is that $\beta_{3_j}$ is independent of the base field $k$ for every $j \leq 6$. Thus, by virtue of Hochster's formula (3), what we must prove is that $\dim \tilde{H}_{\sharp(W)-4}(\Delta_W; k)$ is independent of $k$ for every $W \subset V$ with $\sharp(W) \leq 6$. If $\sharp(W) = 5$, then $\tilde{H}_i(\Delta_W; k) = 0$ for every $i \geq 2$ by Lemma (2.1). Thus, since the reduced Euler characteristic $\tilde{\chi}(\Delta)$ and $\dim_k \tilde{H}_0(\Delta_W; k)$ are independent of $k$, it follows from Euler-Poincaré formula that $\dim \tilde{H}_1(\Delta_W; k)$ is independent of $k$. On the other hand, if $\sharp(W) = 6$, then $\dim \tilde{H}_2(\Delta_W; k) = 0$ unless $\Delta_W$ is the simplicial join of three copies of the 0-sphere by Lemma (2.1). Moreover, if $\Delta_W$ is the simplicial join of three copies of the 0-sphere, then $\dim \tilde{H}_2(\Delta_W; k) = 1$

for an arbitrary field $k$.

Secondly, we show that the fourth Betti number $\beta_4^A(k[\Delta])$ of $k[\Delta]$ over $A$ is independent of the base field $k$. We must prove that $\dim \tilde{H}_{\sharp(W)-5}(\Delta_W; k)$ is independent of $k$ for every $W \subset V$ with $\sharp(W) \leq 8$. If either $\sharp(W) = 6$ or $\sharp(W) = 8$, then we can show that $\dim \tilde{H}_{\sharp(W)-5}(\Delta_W; k)$ is independent of $k$ by the similar technique with Lemma (2.1) as above. Let $\sharp(W) = 7$ and suppose that $\tilde{H}_2(\Delta_W; k) \neq 0$. Then, by Lemma (2.3), we easily see that $\Delta_W$ has the homotopy type of one of the following spaces: (i) the 2-sphere; (ii) the disjoint union of the 2-sphere and a single point; (iii) the space $X \cup Y$, where $X$ is the 2-sphere and $Y$ is either the 1-sphere or the 2-sphere, such that $X \cap Y$ consists of a single point. Hence, $\dim_k \tilde{H}_2(\Delta_W; k)$ is independent of the base field $k$ as desired. Q. E. D.

We do not know if there exists a simplicial complex $\Delta$ such that $I_\Delta$ is generated by square-free monomials of degree two and that the fifth Betti number $\beta_5^A(k[\Delta])$ of $k[\Delta] = A/I_\Delta$ over $A$ does depend on the base field $k$.

On the other hand, let $B_N$ denote the Boolean lattice of rank $N > 0$ and $\Delta(B_N)$ its order complex. If $N \gg 0$, then there exists $j \geq 5$ such that both $\beta_j^A(k[\Delta(B_N)])$ and $\beta^A_{(2^N-N-1)-j}(k[\Delta(B_N)])$ depend on the base field $k$. See Example (3.3).

## §3. Finite free resolutions of the $n$-sphere

In general, it is possible to define the Stanley–Reisner ring $\mathbf{Z}[\Delta] = A/I_\Delta$ of $\Delta$ over the commutative ring $\mathbf{Z}$. However, a minimal free resolution of $\mathbf{Z}[\Delta]$ over the polynomial ring $A = \mathbf{Z}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_v]$ does not necessarily exist. On the other hand, there exists a minimal free resolution of $\mathbf{Z}[\Delta]$ over $A$ if and only if all Betti numbers $\beta_i^A(k[\Delta])$ are independent of the base field $k$ (see, e.g., [Has–Kur]). Thus, it might be of interest to find a natural class of simplicial complexes $\Delta$ for which all Betti numbers $\beta_i^A(k[\Delta])$ are independent of $k$. The main purpose of this section is to show that if $|\ \Delta\ |$ is the $n$-sphere $\mathbf{S}^n$ (or the $n$-ball $\mathbf{B}^n$) with $n \leq 3$, then all Betti numbers $\beta_i^A(k[\Delta])$ of $k[\Delta]$ are independent of $k$. Moreover, we construct a shellable simplicial complex $\Delta$ with $|\ \Delta\ | = \mathbf{S}^4$ such that some Betti number $\beta_i^A(k[\Delta])$ does depend on the base field $k$.

(3.1) PROPOSITION. (a) *Let $\Delta$ be a simplicial complex and suppose that the geometric realization $|\ \Delta\ |$ of $\Delta$ is a connected 3-manifold without boundary. Then, all Betti numbers $\beta_i^A(k[\Delta])$ are independent of the base field $k$ if $|\ \Delta\ |$ is orientable and $\tilde{H}_1(\Delta; \mathbf{Z}) = 0$.*

(b) *Let $\Delta$ be a simplicial complex such that $|\Delta|$ is a connected 2-manifold without boundary. Then, all Betti numbers $\beta_i^A(k[\Delta])$ are independent of the base field $k$ if and only if $|\Delta|$ is orientable.*

*Proof.* By virtue of Hochster's formula, in order for all Betti numbers $\beta_i^A(k[\Delta])$ to be independent of the base field $k$, it is necessary and sufficient that $\dim_k \tilde{H}_j(\Delta_W; k)$ is independent of $k$ for every subset $W$ of the vertex set $V$ and for each integer $j \geq -1$ (since $\dim_k \tilde{H}_j(\Delta_W; k) \geq \dim_{\mathbf{Q}} \tilde{H}_j(\Delta_W; \mathbf{Q})$ for an arbitrary field $k$).

(a) Suppose that $|\Delta|$ is orientable and that $\tilde{H}_1(\Delta; \mathbf{Z}) = 0$. Let $W = V$, i.e., $\Delta_W = \Delta$. Obviously, $\dim_k \tilde{H}_0(\Delta; k) = 0$. Since $\tilde{H}_1(\Delta; \mathbf{Z}) = 0$, it follows that $\dim_k \tilde{H}_1(\Delta; k) = 0$. Moreover, by Poincaré duality, $\dim_k \tilde{H}_3(\Delta; k) = 1$ and $\dim_k \tilde{H}_2(\Delta; k) = 0$. Let $W$ denote an arbitrary non-empty subset of $V$ with $W \neq V$. Since $|\Delta|$ is orientable, by Alexander duality, we have $(\tilde{H}_2(\Delta_W; k) \cong) \tilde{H}^2(\Delta_W; k) \cong \tilde{H}_0(|\Delta| - |\Delta_W|; k)$. Hence, $\dim_k \tilde{H}_2(\Delta_W; k)$ is independent of $k$. Thus, since $\tilde{H}_3(\Delta_W; \mathbf{Z})$ is torsion-free, it follows that $\dim_k \tilde{H}_3(\Delta_W; k)$ is independent of $k$. Moreover, since $\tilde{\chi}(\Delta_W)$ is independent of $k$, $\dim_k \tilde{H}_1(\Delta_W; k)$ is also independent of the base field $k$.

(b) First, suppose that $|\Delta|$ is non-orientable. Then $\tilde{H}_2(\Delta; \mathbf{Q}) = 0$. Since $H_2(\Delta; \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}) \cong H^0(\Delta; \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}) \cong \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ by Poincaré duality, it follows that $\dim_k \tilde{H}_2(\Delta; k)$ depends on the base field $k$. On the other hand, let us assume that $|\Delta|$ is orientable. By Poincaré duality, we have $\dim_k \tilde{H}_2(\Delta; k) = 1$. Moreover, if $W$ is a subset of $V$ with $W \neq V$ and if $\Delta_W$ is of dimension two, then $\Delta_W$ possesses non-empty boundary. Hence $\Delta_W$ has the homotopy type of the geometric realization of a one-dimensional simplicial complex; in particular $\dim_k \tilde{H}_2(\Delta_W; k) = 0$. Consequently, for every subset $W$ of $V$, $\dim_k \tilde{H}_2(\Delta_W; k)$ is independent of $k$. Since $\tilde{\chi}(\Delta_W)$ is independent of $k$, $\dim_k \tilde{H}_1(\Delta_W; k)$ is also independent of $k$. Q. E. D.

On the other hand, it follows easily that, for a simplicial complex $\Delta$ on the vertex set $V$, all Betti numbers $\beta_i^A(k[\Delta])$ are independent of $k$ if one of the following conditions is satisfied: (i) $\dim \Delta \leq 1$; (ii) $\Delta$ is a 2-manifold with non-empty boundary; (iii) $\sharp(V) \leq 5$.

(3.2) THEOREM. *Let $\Delta$ be a simplicial complex and suppose that the geometric realization $|\Delta|$ of $\Delta$ is the $n$-sphere $\mathbf{S}^n$ (or the $n$-ball $\mathbf{B}^n$) with $n \leq 3$. Then, the Betti number $\beta_i^A(k[\Delta])$ is independent of the base field $k$ for every $i \geq 0$.*

*Proof.* If $|\Delta| = \mathbf{S}^n$, then the above Proposition (3.1) guarantees that all Betti numbers $\beta_i^A(k[\Delta])$ are independent of the base field $k$.

On the other hand, suppose that $|\ \Delta\ | = \mathbf{B}^n$ and define $\Delta'$ to be the simplicial complex $\Delta \cup (\partial\Delta * \{\text{ a single point }\})$. Thus, $|\ \Delta'\ | = \mathbf{S}^n$. Let $V$ denote the vertex set of $\Delta$. Then $\Delta'_V = \Delta$. Hence, it follows that, for every subset $W$ of $V$ and for each integer $j \geq -1$, $\dim_k \tilde{H}_j(\Delta_W; k)$ is independent of the base field $k$ as required. Q. E. D.

(3.3) EXAMPLE. Let $\Gamma$ denote the simplicial complex on the vertex set $V = \{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$, discussed in, e.g., [B–H$_1$], [Rei], whose geometric realization $|\ \Gamma\ |$ is the real projective plane. Let $\Delta$ denote the simplicial complex which consists of all subsets $\sigma$ of $V$ with $\sigma \neq V$. Thus, $|\ \Delta\ |$ is the 4-sphere. We consider $\Gamma$ to be a subcomplex of $\Delta$ in the obvious way. Let $\mathrm{Sd}(\Delta)$ denote the barycentric subdivision of $\Delta$. If $W$ is the vertex set of $\mathrm{Sd}(\Gamma)$, then $\sharp(W) = 31$ and $\mathrm{Sd}(\Delta)_W = \mathrm{Sd}(\Gamma)$. Thus, we have

$$\dim_{\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}} \tilde{H}_{31-28-1}(\mathrm{Sd}(\Delta)_W; \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}) > \dim_{\mathbf{Q}} \tilde{H}_{31-28-1}(\mathrm{Sd}(\Delta)_W; \mathbf{Q});$$

$$\dim_{\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}} \tilde{H}_{31-29-1}(\mathrm{Sd}(\Delta)_W; \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}) > \dim_{\mathbf{Q}} \tilde{H}_{31-29-1}(\mathrm{Sd}(\Delta)_W; \mathbf{Q}).$$

Hence

$$\beta^A_{28}((\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})[\mathrm{Sd}(\Delta)]) > \beta^A_{28}(\mathbf{Q}[\mathrm{Sd}(\Delta)]);$$

$$\beta^A_{29}((\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})[\mathrm{Sd}(\Delta)]) > \beta^A_{29}(\mathbf{Q}[\mathrm{Sd}(\Delta)]).$$

Note that $\mathrm{hd}_A(k[\mathrm{Sd}(\Delta)]) = 57$ and $\beta^A_{28}(k[\mathrm{Sd}(\Delta)]) = \beta^A_{29}(k[\mathrm{Sd}(\Delta)])$. Since $\Delta$ is the boundary complex of the 5-simplex, it follows that $\Delta$ is shellable (defined in, e.g., [Bru–Man]). Hence, thanks to [Bjö], $\mathrm{Sd}(\Delta)$ is also shellable.

(3.4) EXAMPLE. Let $\Delta$ denote the simplicial complex as in Example (3.3) and define $\Delta'$ to be $\Delta - \{\{1,2,3,4,5\}\}$. Then $|\ \Delta'\ |$ is the 4-ball. The similar technique as in Example (3.3) enables us to see that some Betti numbers $\beta^A_i(k[\mathrm{Sd}(\Delta')])$ of the Stanley-Reisner ring $k[\mathrm{Sd}(\Delta')]$ of the barycentric subdivision $\mathrm{Sd}(\Delta')$ of $\Delta'$ depend on the base field $k$. The simplicial complex $\mathrm{Sd}(\Delta')$ is also shellable.

The above Examples (3.3) and (3.4) illustrate the following

(3.5) PROPOSITION. *Fix an integer $n \geq 4$ and let $V$ denote the finite set $\{1,2,\ldots,n,n+1,n+2\}$. Define $\Delta_n$ to be the simplicial complex which consists of all subsets $\sigma$ of $V$ with $\sigma \neq V$. Moreover, let $\Delta'_n$ denote the simplicial complex $\Delta_n - \{\{1,2,\ldots,n+1\}\}$. Then, there exist integers $i$ and $j$ such that $\beta^A_i(k[\mathrm{Sd}(\Delta_n)])$ and $\beta^A_j(k[\mathrm{Sd}(\Delta'_n)])$ depend on the base field $k$. Note that both $\mathrm{Sd}(\Delta_n)$ and $\mathrm{Sd}(\Delta'_n)$ are shellable with $|\ \mathrm{Sd}(\Delta_n)\ | = \mathbf{S}^n$ and $|\ \mathrm{Sd}(\Delta'_n)\ | = \mathbf{B}^n$.*

It would, of course, be of interest, for every fixed integer $n \geq 4$, to find an interesting class of simplicial complexes $\Delta$ with $\mid \Delta \mid = \mathbf{S}^n$ such that all Betti numbers $\beta_i^A(k[\Delta])$ are independent of the base field $k$.

(3.6) THEOREM ([T–$H_2$], [T–$H_3$]). (a) *Let $C(v,d)$ be the cyclic $d$-polytopes* ([Brø, p. 85]) *with $v$ vertices and $\Delta(C(v,d))$ its boundary complex. Then, the Betti number $\beta_i^A(k[\Delta(C(v,d))])$ is independent of the base field $k$ for every $i \geq 0$.*

(b) *Let $P(v,d)$ be a stacked $d$-polytopes* ([Brø, p. 129]) *with $v$ vertices and $\Delta(P(v,d))$ its boundary complex. Then, the Betti number $\beta_i^A(k[\Delta(P(v,d))])$ is independent of the base field $k$ for every $i \geq 0$.*

# References


[Bac] K. Baclawski, *Cohen–Macaulay connectivity and geometric lattices*, European J. Combin. **3** (1982), 293 – 305.

[Bjö] A. Björner, *Shellable and Cohen–Macaulay partially ordered sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **260** (1980), 159 – 183.

[Brø] A. Brøndsted, "An introduction to convex polytopes," Springer–Verlag, New York / Heidelberg / Berlin, 1982.

[Bru–Man] H. Bruggesser and P. Mani, *Shellable decompositions of cells and spheres*, Math. Scand. **29** (1971), 197 – 205.

[Bru–$Her_1$] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, "Cohen–Macaulay Rings," Cambridge University Press, Cambridge / New York / Sydney, 1993.

[Bru–$Her_2$] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *On multigraded resolutions*, to appear.

[B–$H_1$] W. Bruns and T. Hibi, *Cohen–Macaulay partially ordered sets with pure resolutions*, preprint.

[B–$H_2$] W. Bruns and T. Hibi, *Stanley–Reisner rings with pure resolutions*, to appear.

[Frö] R. Fröberg, *On Stanley–Reisner rings*, in "Topics in Algebra," Banach Center Publications, Volume 26, Part 2, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1990, pp. 57 – 70.

[Has–Kur] M. Hashimoto and K. Kurano, *Resolutions of determinantal ideals*, Advances in Math. **94** (1992), 1 – 66.

[$H_1$] T. Hibi, "Algebraic Combinatorics on Convex Polytopes," Carslaw Publications, Glebe, N.S.W., Australia, 1992.

[$H_2$] T. Hibi, *Cohen–Macaulay types of Cohen–Macaulay complexes*, J. Algebra **168** (1994), 780 – 797.

[$H_3$] T. Hibi, *Canonical modules and Cohen-Macaulay types of partially ordered sets*, Advances in Math. **106** (1994), 118–121.

[$H_4$] T. Hibi, *Buchsbaum complexes with linear resolutions*, preprint.

[Hoc] M. Hochster, *Cohen–Macaulay rings, combinatorics, and simplicial complexes*, in "Ring Theory II" (B. R. McDonald and R. Morris, eds.), Lect. Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., No. 26, Dekker, New York, 1977, pp.171 – 223.

[Mun] J. Munkres, *Topological results in combinatorics*, Michigan Math. J. **31** (1984), 113 – 128.

[Rei] G. Reisner, *Cohen–Macaulay quotients of polynomial rings*, Advances in Math. **21** (1976), 30 – 49.

[$Sta_1$] R. P. Stanley, "Combinatorics and Commutative Algebra," Birkhäuser, Boston / Basel / Stuttgart, 1983.

[$Sta_2$] R. P. Stanley, "Enumerative Combinatorics, Volume I," Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole, Monterey, Calif., 1986.

[Tay] D. Taylor, *Ideals generated by monomials in an R-sequence*, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Chicago, 1960.

[T–$H_1$] N. Terai and T. Hibi, *Alexander duality theorem and second Betti numbers of Stanley–Reisner rings*, preprint.

[T–$H_2$] N. Terai and T. Hibi, *Computation of Betti numbers of monomial ideals associated with cyclic polytopes*, preprint.

[T–$H_3$] N. Terai and T. Hibi, *Computation of Betti numbers of monomial ideals associated with stacked polytopes*, preprint.

[T–$H_4$] N. Terai and T. Hibi, *Finite free resolutions and* 1*-skeletons of simplicial* $(d-1)$*-spheres*, preprint.

[T–$H_5$] N. Terai and T. Hibi, *Monomial ideals and minimal non-faces of Cohen–Macaulay complexes*, preprint.

Department of Mathematics
Nagano National College of Technology
Nagano 381, Japan
E-mail address: terai@cc.nagano-nct.ac.jp

Department of Mathematics
Hokkaido University
Kita-ku, Sapporo 060, Japan
E-mail address: hibi@math.hokudai.ac.jp