



# HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

Title	Equivalent conditions on the central limit theorem for a sequence of probability measures on $R$
Author(s)	Mikami, T.
Citation	Hokkaido University Preprint Series in Mathematics, 363, 1-7
Issue Date	1996-12-01
DOI	<a href="https://doi.org/10.14943/83509">https://doi.org/10.14943/83509</a>
Doc URL	<a href="https://hdl.handle.net/2115/69113">https://hdl.handle.net/2115/69113</a>
Type	departmental bulletin paper
File Information	re363.pdf



Equivalent conditions  
on the central limit theorem  
for a sequence of  
probability measures on  $R$

Toshio Mikami

Series #363. December 1996

HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY  
PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS

- #339 N. Tominaga, Analysis of a family of strongly commuting self-adjoint operators with applications to perturbed Dirac operators, 29 pages. 1996.
- #340 A. Inoue, Abel-Tauber theorems for Fourier-Stieltjes coefficients, 17 pages. 1996.
- #341 G. Ishikawa, Topological classification of the tangent developables of space curves, 19 pages. 1996.
- #342 Y. Shimizu, A remark on estimates of bilinear forms of gradients in Hardy space, 8 pages. 1996.
- #343 N. Kawazumi and S. Morita, The primary approximation to the cohomology of the moduli space of curves and cocycles for the stable characteristic classes, 11 pages. 1996.
- #344 M.-H. Giga and Y. Giga, A subdifferential interpretation of crystalline motion under nonuniform driving force, 18 pages. 1996.
- #345 A. Douai and H. Terao, The determinant of a hypergeometric period matrix, 20 pages. 1996.
- #346 H. Kubo and K. Kubota, Asymptotic behaviors of radially symmetric solutions of  $\square u = |u|^p$  for supercritical values  $p$  in even space dimensions, 66 pages. 1996.
- #347 T. Nakazi and T. Yamamoto, Weighted Norm Inequalities For Some Singular Integral Operators, 17 pages. 1996.
- #348 Y. Ito and I. Nakamura, Hilbert schemes and simple singularities  $A_n$  and  $D_n$ , 22 pages. 1996.
- #349 R. Agemi and K. Yokoyama, The null condition and global existence of solutions to systems of wave equations with different speeds, 42 pages. 1996.
- #350 F. Hiroshima, Weak coupling limit with a removal of an ultraviolet cut-off for a Hamiltonian of particles interacting with a massive scalar field, 21 pages. 1996.
- #351 T. Nakazi and Y. Watatani, Invariant subspace theorems for subdiagonal algebras, 22 pages. 1996.
- #352 Y. Nishiura and H. Suzuki, Nonexistence of stable Turing patterns with smooth limiting interfacial configurations in higher dimensional spaces, 21 pages. 1996.
- #353 Y.-G. Chen, Y. Giga and K. Sato, On instant extinction for very fast diffusion equations, 9 pages. 1996.
- #354 A. Gyoja and H. Yamashita, Associated variety, Kostant-Sekiguchi correspondence, and locally free  $U(n)$ -action on Harish-Chandra modules, 25 pages. 1996.
- #355 G. Ishikawa, Topology of plane trigonometric curves and the strangeness of plane curves derived from real pseudo-line arrangements, 18 pages. 1996.
- #356 N.H. Bingham and A. Inoue, The Drasin-Shea-Jordan theorem for Hankel transforms of arbitrarily large order, 13 pages. 1996.
- #357 S. Izumiya, Singularities of solutions for first order partial differential equations, 20 pages. 1996.
- #358 N. Hayashi, P.I. Naumkin and T. Ozawa, Scattering theory for the Hartree equation, 14 pages. 1996.
- #359 I. Tsuda and K. Tadaki, A logic-based dynamical theory for a genesis of biological threshold, 49 pages. 1996.
- #360 I. Tsuda and A. Yamaguchi, Singular-continuous nowhere-differentiable attractors in neural systems, 40 pages. 1996.
- #361 M. Nakamura and T. Ozawa, Low energy scattering for nonlinear Schrödinger equations in fractional order Sobolev spaces, 17 pages. 1996.
- #362 I. Nakamura, Hilbert schemes and simple singularities  $E_6$ ,  $E_7$  and  $E_8$ , 21 pages. 1996.

Equivalent conditions on the central limit theorem  
for a sequence of probability measures on  $R$  \*

Toshio Mikami

Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University  
Sapporo 060, Japan

ABSTRACT

In this paper we give equivalent conditions on the central limit theorem in total variation norm for a sequence of probability measures on  $R$ . This generalizes Cacoullou, Papathanasiou and Utev's central limit theorem in  $L^1$ -norm for a sequence of probability density functions on  $R$ . We also give equivalent conditions on the central limit theorem in weak convergence and those on the local limit theorem.

1. Introduction.

Let  $f$  be a probability density function on  $R$  such that  $\int_R yf(y)dy = \mu$  and  $\int_R (y - \mu)^2 f(y)dy = \sigma^2 < \infty$ . Cacoullou and Papathanasiou (1989) introduced the following function called a covariance kernel or  $\omega$ -function of  $f$  to study the characterization of probability distributions;

$$\omega(x) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^x (\mu - y)f(y)dy / [\sigma^2 f(x)] \quad (1).$$

on the set  $\{y \in R : f(y) > 0\}$ .

It is known that  $\omega(x)f(x)$  is also a probability density function on  $R$  and that  $f(x)dx$  is normal iff  $\omega(x) \equiv 1$  (e.g. also Cacoullou, Papathanasiou and Utev, 1992).

Put

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x) &\equiv (2\pi)^{-1/2} \exp(-x^2/2), \\ \Phi(x) &\equiv \int_{-\infty}^x \phi(y)dy. \end{aligned} \quad (2).$$

Then the following was given in Cacoullou, Papathanasiou and Utev, 1994, Theorem 1.2 by Stein's method (e.g. Stein, 1972 and also Bolthausen, 1984).

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of probability density functions on  $R$  with  $\int_R yf_n(y)dy = 0$  and  $\int_R y^2 f_n(y)dy = 1$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) and with interval supports. Denoting by  $\omega_n$  the  $\omega$ -function of  $f_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ), the following holds;*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_R |\phi(x) - f_n(x)|dx = 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|\omega_n(x)-1|>\delta} f_n(x)dx = 0 \quad \text{for any } \delta > 0. \quad (3).$$

---

\* Key words and phrases; central limit theorem, total variation norm

**Remark 1.1.** It is easy to see that the following is true (e.g. Cacoullou, Papathanasiou and Utev, 1994, PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_R |\omega_n(x) - 1| f_n(x) dx = 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|\omega_n(x) - 1| > \delta} f_n(x) dx = 0 \quad \text{for any } \delta > 0. \quad (4).$$

In this paper we generalize Theorem 1.1 to a sequence of Borel probability measures on  $(R, \mathbf{B}(R))$ , using a different method from Cacoullou, Papathanasiou and Utev, 1994. We also give equivalent conditions on central and local limit theorems.

In section 2 we state our results which will be proved in section 3.

## 2. Main results.

In this section we state our results.

Let us first introduce our assumption.

(A.1).  $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of probability measures on  $(R, \mathbf{B}(R))$  such that  $\int_R x P_n(dx) = 0$  and that  $\int_R x^2 P_n(dx) = 1$  ( $n \geq 1$ ).

To generalize Theorem 1.1, we give the following definition.

**Definition 2.1.** For a probability measure  $P$  on  $(R, \mathbf{B}(R))$  such that  $\int_R y P(dy) = 0$  and  $\int_R y^2 P(dy) = 1$ , put for  $x \in R$

$$W(P)(x) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^x -y P(dy). \quad (5).$$

It is easy to see that  $W(P)(x)$  is a probability density function on  $R$  since  $\int_R y P(dy) = 0$  and  $\int_R y^2 P(dy) = 1$ . We would also like to point out that  $W(P)(\cdot)$  is defined on the whole real line, though  $\omega(\cdot)$  is not (e.g. (1)).

The following is the first result (e.g. (2)) and will be used in the proof of Theorem 2.3.

**Theorem 2.1.** Suppose that (A.1) holds. Then the following are equivalent to one another.

- (I).  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n(dx) = \Phi(dx)$  weakly.
- (II).  $W(P_n)(\cdot)$  converges to  $\phi(\cdot)$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , uniformly on every compact subsets of  $R$ .
- (III). For any  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(R; R)$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_R \varphi(x) (W(P_n)(x) dx - P_n(dx)) = 0.$$

For probability measures  $P(dx)$  and  $Q(dx)$  on  $(R, \mathbf{B}(R))$ , put

$$\rho(P, Q) \equiv \sup_{A \in \mathbf{B}(R)} |P(A) - Q(A)|. \quad (6).$$

For the sake of simplicity, we also write

$$\rho(P, W(P)) \equiv \sup_{A \in \mathbf{B}(R)} |P(A) - \int_A W(P)(x) dx|. \quad (7).$$

Then we get the following result which plays a crucial role in the proof of Theorem 2.3.

**Proposition 2.2.** For any probability measures  $P(dx)$  such that  $\int_R xP(dx) = 0$  and that  $\int_R x^2P(dx) < \infty$  and  $Q(dx) = q(x)dx$  on  $(R, \mathbf{B}(R))$  and  $r > 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\rho(P, W(P)) - \rho(P, Q)| \\ & \leq r \sup_{|x| \leq r} |q(x) - W(P)(x)| + \int_{|x| \geq r} q(x)dx + \int_{|x| \geq r} x^2P(dx). \end{aligned} \quad (8).$$

Finally we state our main result. It turns out that the following equivalence can be shown via the weak convergence on the central limit theorem by way of Proposition 2.2.

**Theorem 2.3.** Suppose that (A.1) holds. Then the following are equivalent to each other.

(I).  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(P_n, W(P_n)) = 0$ .

(II).  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(\Phi, P_n) = 0$ .

**Remark 2.1.** Theorem 2.3 generalize Theorem 1.1 from the following; for any probability density functions  $f$  and  $g$  on  $R$

$$2 \sup_{A \in \mathbf{B}(R)} \left| \int_A f(x)dx - \int_A g(x)dx \right| = \int_R |f(y) - g(y)|dy.$$

Let us state another assumption and definition to state our final result.

(A.2).  $P_n(dx) = f_n(x)dx$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

**Definition 2.2.** For a probability density function  $f$  on  $R$  such that  $\int_R yf(y)dy = 0$  and  $\int_R y^2f(y)dy = 1$ , put for  $x \in R$ ,

$$W(f)(x) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^x -yf(y)dy. \quad (9).$$

$W(f)$  is defined on  $R$  and  $W(f)(x) = \omega(x)f(x)$  on the set  $\{y \in R : f(y) > 0\}$  (e.g. (1)).

**Proposition 2.4.** Suppose that (A.1)-(A.2) hold. Then the following are equivalent to each other.

(I).  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(\cdot) = \phi(\cdot)$ , uniformly on every compact subsets of  $R$ .

(II).  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} W(f_n)(\cdot)/f_n(\cdot) = 1$ , uniformly on every compact subsets of  $R$ .

We close this section by proving Proposition 2.4.

**Proof of Proposition 2.4.**

(Proof of (II) from (I)). From (I), by Theorem 2.1,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} W(f_n)(\cdot) = \phi(\cdot)$ , uniformly on every compact subsets of  $R$ . This and (I) implies (II).

Q. E. D.

(Proof of (I) from (II)). By (II), for any  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(R; R)$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_R \varphi(x)[W(f_n)(x) - f_n(x)]dx = 0.$$

Therefore by Theorem 2.1,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} W(f_n)(\cdot) = \phi(\cdot)$ , uniformly on every compact subsets of  $R$ . Hence as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$f_n(\cdot) = W(f_n)(\cdot) \{W(f_n)(\cdot)/f_n(\cdot)\}^{-1} \rightarrow \phi(\cdot),$$

uniformly on every compact subsets of  $R$  from (II).

Q. E. D.

### 3. Proof of results in section 2.

In this section we prove Theorems 2.1-2.3.

Let us first prove Theorem 2.1.

#### Proof of Theorem 2.1.

(Proof of (II) from (I)). For  $x \in R$ , take  $r$  for which  $r > |x|$ . Then

$$W(P_n)(x) = \int_{-r}^x -yP_n(dy) + \int_{-\infty}^{-r} -yP_n(dy) \equiv I_{n,r} + II_{n,r}.$$

From (I),

$$I_{n,r} \rightarrow \int_{-r}^x -y\phi(y)dy \quad (\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty) \rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^x -y\phi(y)dy = \phi(x) \quad (\text{as } r \rightarrow \infty)$$

; and from (A.1), by Chebychev's inequality

$$II_{n,r} \leq 1/r \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{as } r \rightarrow \infty).$$

The convergence of  $W(P_n)$  at each point implies the uniform convergence of  $W(P_n)$  on every compact subsets of  $R$ , since  $W(P)(\cdot)$  is nondecreasing on  $(-\infty, 0]$  and nonincreasing on  $[0, \infty)$ , and since  $\phi(x)$  is continuous on  $R$ .

Q. E. D.

(Proof of (I) from (II)). By (A.1),  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is tight. Therefore there exist a probability measure  $Q$  on  $(R, \mathbf{B}(R))$  and a subsequence  $\{P_{n_k}\}_{k \geq 1}$  which converges weakly to  $Q$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

In the same way as in (Proof of (II) from (I)), for  $x \in R$ ,  $W(P_{n_k})(x)$  converges to  $W(Q)(x)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , and

$$W(Q)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x -yQ(dy) = \phi(x),$$

from (II). This completes the proof.

Q. E. D.

(Proof of (III) from (I)). (II) implies that  $W(P_n)(x)dx$  converges to  $\phi(x)dx$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , weakly. Since (I) and (II) are equivalent to each other, the proof is over.

Q. E. D.

(Proof of (I) from (III)). For any  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} [\varphi''(x) - x\varphi'(x)] P_n(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi''(x) [P_n(dx) - W(P_n)(x)dx] \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , from (III). This implies (I), since  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is tight from (A.1) and since  $\phi(x)$  is a unique solution of the following PDE; for any  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} [\varphi''(x) - x\varphi'(x)] \phi(x) dx &= 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(x) dx &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Q. E. D.

Next let us prove Proposition 2.2.

Proof of Proposition 2.2. Put  $U_r(o) \equiv \{y \in \mathbb{R}; |y| \leq r\}$ . Then for  $r > 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(P, Q) & \\ &\leq \rho(P, W(P)) + r \sup_{|x| \leq r} |W(P)(x) - q(x)| + \int_{|x| \geq r} x^2 P(dx) + Q(U_r(o)^c), \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

since for any  $A \in \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} P(A) - Q(A) & \\ &= P(A \cap U_r(o)) - \int_{A \cap U_r(o)} W(P)(x) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{A \cap U_r(o)} (W(P)(x) - q(x)) dx + P(A \cap U_r(o)^c) - Q(A \cap U_r(o)^c), \end{aligned}$$

and since  $r > 1$ .

We can also show the following;

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(P, W(P)) & \\ &\leq \rho(P, Q) + r \sup_{|x| \leq r} |W(P)(x) - q(x)| + Q(U_r(o)^c) + \int_{|x| \geq r} x^2 P(dx), \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

since for any  $A \in \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
P(A) &= \int_A W(P)(x) dx \\
&= P(A) - Q(A) + \int_{A \cap U_r(o)} (q(x) - W(P)(x)) dx + \int_{A \cap U_r(o)^c} (q(x) - W(P)(x)) dx,
\end{aligned}$$

and since, from the assumption on  $P$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{|x|>r} W(P)(x) dx &= \int_{|x|>r} \left( \int_{-\infty}^x -yP(dy) \right) dx \\
&= \int_r^{\infty} \left( \int_x^{\infty} yP(dy) \right) dx + \int_{-\infty}^{-r} \left( \int_{-\infty}^x -yP(dy) \right) dx \\
&= \int_r^{\infty} y(y-r)P(dy) + \int_{-\infty}^{-r} y(y+r)P(dy) \\
&\leq \int_r^{\infty} y^2P(dy) + \int_{-\infty}^{-r} y^2P(dy).
\end{aligned}$$

Q. E. D.

Finally we prove Theorem 2.3.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. (I) and (II) implies (III) and (I) in Theorem 2.1, respectively, and henceforth (II) in Theorem 2.1.

This completes the proof. In fact, by Proposition 2.2, from (A.1), for  $r > 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq |\rho(P_n, \Phi) - \rho(P_n, W(P_n))| \tag{12}. \\
&\leq r \sup_{|x| \leq r} |\phi(x) - W(P_n)(x)| + \int_{|x| \geq r} \phi(x) dx + 1 - \int_{|x| \leq r} x^2 P_n(dx) \\
&\rightarrow \int_{|x| \geq r} \phi(x) dx + 1 - \int_{|x| \leq r} x^2 \phi(x) dx \quad (\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ from Theorem 2.1, (I) and (II)}) \\
&\rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{as } r \rightarrow \infty).
\end{aligned}$$

Q. E. D.

### References

- Bolthausen, E. (1984), An estimate of the remainder in a combinatorial central limit theorem, *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* **66**, 379-386.
- Cacoullos, T. (1982), On upper and lower bounds for the variance of a function of a random variable, *Ann. Probab.* **10**, 799-809.
- Cacoullos, T. (1989), Dual Poicaré-type inequalities via the Cramér-Rao and the Cauchy-Schwartz inequalities and related characterizations, in: Y. Dodge, eds., *Proc. Statistical Data Analysis and Inference* (North-Holland, Amsterdam) pp. 239-250.

- Cacoullos, T. and Papathanasiou, V. (1989), Characterizations of distributions by variance bounds, *Statist. Probab. Lett.* **7**, 351-356.
- Cacoullos, T., Papathanasiou, V. and Utev, S. A. (1992), Another characterization of the normal law and a proof of the central limit theorem connected with it, *Theory Probab. Appl.* **37**, 581-588.
- Cacoullos, T., Papathanasiou, V. and Utev, S. A. (1994), Variational inequalities with examples and an application to the central limit theorem, *Ann. Probab.* **22**, 1607-1618.
- Stein, C. M. (1972), A bound for the error in the normal approximation to the distribution of a sum of dependent random variables, in: L. M. Le Cam, J. Neyman and E. L. Scott, eds., *Proc. Sixth Berkeley Symp. Math. Statist. Probab.* **2** (Univ. California Press, Berkeley ) pp. 583-602.