



HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

Title	Lagrange mappings of the first open Whitney umbrella
Author(s)	Bogaevski, I. A; Ishikawa, G.
Citation	Hokkaido University Preprint Series in Mathematics, 484, 1-22
Issue Date	2000-05-01
DOI	https://doi.org/10.14943/83630
Doc URL	https://hdl.handle.net/2115/69234
Type	departmental bulletin paper
File Information	re484.pdf



**LAGRANGE MAPPINGS OF THE FIRST OPEN
WHITNEY UMBRELLA**

I. A. Bogaevski, G. Ishikawa

Series #484. May 2000

HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY
PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS

- #460 H. Furuhata and T. Kurose, Self-dual centroaffine surfaces of codimension two with constant affine mean curvature, 14 pages. 1999.
- #461 M.-H. Giga, Y. Giga and R. Kobayashi, Very singular diffusion equations, 37 pages, 1999.
- #462 T. Nakazi, Essential norms of some singular integral operators, 6 pages, 1999.
- #463 R. Agemi, Global existence of nonlinear elastic waves, 57 pages, 1999.
- #464 T. Mikami, Dynamical systems in the variational formulation of the Fokker-Plank equation by the Wasserstein metric, 52 pages. 1999.
- #465 N. Kawazumi and Y. Shibukawa, The meromorphic solutions of the Bruschi-Calogero equation, 20 pages. 1999.
- #466 S. Izumiya, G. Kossioris and G. Makrakis, Multivalued solutions to the eikonal equation in stratified media, 24 pages. 1999.
- #467 K. Kubota and K. Yokoyama, Global existence of classical solutions to systems of nonlinear wave equations with different speed of propagation, 105 pages. 1999.
- #468 A. Higuchi, K. Matsue and T. Tsujishita, Deductive hyperdigraphs – a method of describing diversity of coherences, 35 pages. 1999.
- #469 M. Nakamura and T. Ozawa, Small solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equations in the Sobolev spaces, 26 pages. 1999.
- #470 M. Nakamura and T. Ozawa, Small solutions to nonlinear wave equations in the Sobolev spaces, 27 pages. 1999.
- #471 K. Ito and Y. Kohsaka, Stability of a stationary solution for evolving boundaries of symmetric three-phases driven by surface diffusion, 36 pages. 1999.
- #472 Y. Giga and M.-H. Sato, A level set approach to semicontinuous viscosity solutions for Cauchy problems, 32 pages. 1999.
- #473 R. Yoneda, Characterization of Bloch space and Besov spaces by oscillations, 31 pages. 1999.
- #474 T. Nakazi, Norm inequalities for some singular integral operators, 13 pages. 1999.
- #475 A. Inoue and Y. Kasahara, Asymptotics for prediction errors of stationary processes with reflection positivity, 15 pages. 1999.
- #476 T. Sano, On affine parallels of generic plane curves, 8 pages. 1999.
- #477 T. Nakazi, On an invariant subspace whose common zero set is the zeros of some function, 11 pages. 1999.
- #478 M.-H. Giga and Y. Giga, Generalized motion by nonlocal curvature in the plane, 68 pages. 2000.
- #479 M.-H. Giga and Y. Giga, Crystalline and level set flow – Convergence of a crystalline algorithm for a general anisotropic curvature flow in the plane, 16 pages. 2000.
- #480 A. Arai and M. Hirokawa, Stability of ground states in sectors and its application to the Wigner-Weisskopf model, 16 pages. 2000.
- #481 T. Nakazi, Two dimensional Q -algebras, 11 pages. 2000.
- #482 N. H. Bingham and A. Inoue, Tauberian and Mercerian theorems for systems of kernels, 16 pages. 2000.
- #483 N. H. Bingham and A. Inoue, Abelian, Tauberian and Mercerian theorems for arithmetic sums, 29 pages. 2000.

LAGRANGE MAPPINGS OF THE FIRST OPEN WHITNEY UMBRELLA

I. A. Bogaevski, G. Ishikawa

Abstract

In this paper we give a classification of simple stable singularities of Lagrange projections of the first open Whitney umbrella, the simplest singularity of Lagrange varieties. Our classification extends the *ADE*-classification, due to Arnold, of simple stable singularities of Lagrange projections of smooth Lagrange submanifolds. For the classification, we also prove a criterion of equivalence of stable Lagrange projections of open Whitney umbrellas which is analogous to Mather's fundamental theorem on stable map-germs.

0 Introduction

Systematic investigation of singularities of Lagrange mappings started in 1972 with V. I. Arnold's paper [1]. A Lagrange mapping is the projection of a Lagrange variety in a cotangent fibration onto its base. In the paper [1] it was discovered that singularities of Lagrange mappings of nonsingular Lagrange varieties are classified by degenerations of critical points of smooth functions and the discrete part of their classification is indexed by Coxeter's groups A_μ , D_μ , E_μ . This is the so-called *ADE*-classification of simple stable singularities of Lagrange mappings.

However, in applications singular Lagrange varieties appear. Among them open swallow tails and open Whitney umbrellas are very frequently encountered. Open swallow tails occur in the so-called obstacle problem about singularities of the distance on Riemannian manifold with boundary [2][9][20][16]. Concerning open Whitney umbrellas see [8][9][13][15]. They appear naturally in various situations; for instance, as singularities of the generalized Cauchy problems [9][11], singularities of Riemannian invariants [18], and singularities of tangent developables [19][20][14] as the Legendre counterpart. In [9] the discrete part of local classification of Lagrange mappings of open swallow tails is carried out and some singularities of Lagrange mappings of open Whitney umbrellas are found. See also [22].

In this paper, we give the full discrete part of local classification of Lagrange mappings of the open Whitney umbrella of type one, or the first open Whitney umbrella. More accurately, we classify simple stable singularities of mappings of the first open Whitney umbrella. This problem was inspired by the classification problem of the composition of an isotropic mapping and a cotangent fibration.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 58C27; Secondary 58F05.

(A smooth mapping is called *isotropic* if the preimage of the symplectic structure is equal to zero.) If the isotropic mapping is an immersion then the discrete part of local classification of the above compositions is the *ADE*-classification. Otherwise, the isotropic mapping can have singularities, first of all, open Whitney umbrellas [8]. Therefore, our classification gives the answer for simple stable compositions of the first open Whitney umbrella and a cotangent fibration.

We recall, in the *ADE*-classification and its generalizations, that stable mappings of Lagrange manifolds are classified by means of families of functions – generating families [3][25]. Also in our problem, namely, the classification problem of stable projections of Lagrange varieties under Lagrange fibrations, the usage of generating families remains a powerful method, however in a different manner: We fix a Lagrange variety, while Lagrange fibrations are taken arbitrarily. A Lagrange fibration are regarded as a family of Lagrange submanifolds, and each Lagrange submanifold has a generating function. Thus we describe the Lagrange fibration by means of the family of the generating functions of the Lagrangian fibers, that is, the generating family of the Lagrange fibration. Then we describe stability and simplicity of Lagrange fibrations with respect to symplectic diffeomorphisms preserving the Lagrange variety, by means of the generating families. Similar methods are applied to other problems [23][24].

First we recall the notions needed to state our main result.

DEFINITION. A smooth fibration $\pi : E \rightarrow Y$ is called *Lagrange* if its space E is a symplectic manifold and the fibers of π are Lagrange submanifolds of E .

For example, the cotangent fibration of a smooth manifold is Lagrange.

We consider the classification problem of singularities on a Lagrange variety under various Lagrange projections. A subset of a symplectic manifold of dimension $2n$ is called a *Lagrange variety* if it has a stratification with maximal dimension n such that the symplectic form vanishes on each stratum.

DEFINITION. Let $\Lambda \subset E$ be a Lagrange variety. Two Lagrange fibrations $\pi, \pi' : E \rightarrow Y$ are called *Λ -equivalent* and denoted by $\pi \sim_{\Lambda} \pi'$ if $\pi' \circ \tau = \sigma \circ \pi$ where σ is a diffeomorphism of Y and τ is a symplectic diffeomorphism of E which preserves Λ .

There are two basic notions for the classification problem, namely, the stability and the simplicity.

DEFINITION. A germ of Lagrange fibration $\pi : (E, z_0) \rightarrow Y$ is called *stable* with respect to Λ -equivalence, or *Λ -stable*, if, by any sufficiently small Lagrange perturbation of any representative of π , the Λ -equivalence class of π at z_0 does not removed and remains near z_0 . (See [9][15].)

DEFINITION. A Λ -stable germ of Lagrange fibration $\pi : (E, z_0) \rightarrow Y$ is called *simple* with respect to Λ -equivalence, or *Λ -simple*, if there exists its representative $\tilde{\pi} : U \rightarrow Y$, $z_0 \in U \subset E$ such that the number of Λ -equivalence classes of the germs $(U, z) \rightarrow Y$, $z \in U$ of all sufficiently small Lagrange perturbations of $\tilde{\pi}$ is finite.

Let Λ_1 be the first open Whitney umbrella given by the following parametric form:

$$p_1 = x_2 t, \quad p_2 = t^3/3, \quad p_3 = \dots = p_n = 0, \quad x_1 = t^2/2, \quad (1)$$

where t is the parameter, $(p, x) = (p_1, \dots, p_n, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ are local coordinates in E such that $\omega = dp \wedge dx$, and $n \geq 2$. See [8][9][13][15].

Then Λ_1 is an n -dimensional algebraic Lagrange variety (Lemma 3), whose singular locus $\Sigma(\Lambda_1) = \{p = 0, x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0\}$ is a non-singular submanifold of E of dimension $n - 2$.

Remark that, at a regular point $z_0 \in \Lambda_1$, there exists a system of symplectic coordinates (p, x) around (E, z_0) such that Λ_1 is defined by $\{p_1 = 0, \dots, p_n = 0\}$.

Now a Lagrange fibration is given by a family of Lagrange submanifolds. It is well-known that a Lagrange submanifold is locally given by $x_I = w_{p_I}(p_I, x_J)$, $p_J = -w_{x_J}(p_I, x_J)$, for a decomposition I, J of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and a smooth function $w(p_I, x_J)$.

DEFINITION. Let $W : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function of p_I , x_J , and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$, which satisfies the condition of nondegeneracy:

$$\det \begin{vmatrix} W_{p_I y} \\ W_{x_J y} \end{vmatrix} \neq 0$$

where $I \cap J = \emptyset$, $I \cup J = \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then W is called a *generating family* of the Lagrange fibration $\pi : (p, x) \mapsto y$ whose symplectic structure and fibers are given by the formulas

$$\omega = dp \wedge dx, \quad \pi^{-1}(y) = \{x_I = W_{p_I}(p_I, x_J, y), \quad p_J = -W_{x_J}(p_I, x_J, y)\}.$$

(Locally π is a fibration in consequence of nondegeneracy of W .)

For example, the natural Lagrange projections $(p, x) \mapsto p$ and $(p, x) \mapsto x$ are given by the generating families $-xy$ and py respectively.

Then the main result of this paper is the following:

THEOREM 1. *Let us consider a germ of a Lagrange fibration at a point of the first open Whitney umbrella Λ_1 . If our germ is simple and stable with respect to Λ_1 -equivalence then it is Λ_1 -equivalent to the germ at the origin of the fibration defined by one of the following generating families:*

Classification of simple stable projections at regular points $\Lambda_1 = \{p_1 = \dots = p_n = 0\}$.

$$A_1) \quad W(p_1, \dots, p_n, y) = y_1 p_1 + \dots + y_n p_n;$$

$$A_m^\pm) \quad W(x_1, p_2, \dots, p_n, y) = \pm x_1^{m+1} + y_2 x_1^{m-1} + \dots + y_{m-1} x_1^2 - y_1 x_1 + y_2 p_2 + \dots + y_n p_n, \text{ where } n \geq m-1 \geq 1 \text{ and } A_m^+ \sim_{\Lambda_1} A_m^- \text{ if } m \text{ is even};$$

$$D_m^\pm) \quad W(x_1, x_2, p_3, \dots, p_n, y) = x_1^2 x_2 \pm x_2^{m-1} + y_3 x_2^{m-2} + \dots + y_{m-1} x_2^2 - y_1 x_1 - y_2 x_2 + y_3 p_3 + \dots + y_n p_n, \text{ where } n \geq m-1 \geq 3;$$

$$E_6^\pm) \quad W(x_1, x_2, p_3, \dots, p_n, y) = x_1^3 \pm x_2^4 + y_3 x_1 x_2^2 + y_4 x_1 x_2 + y_5 x_2^2 - y_1 x_1 - y_2 x_2 + y_3 p_3 + \dots + y_n p_n, \\ \text{where } n \geq 5;$$

$$E_7) \quad W(x_1, x_2, p_3, \dots, p_n, y) = x_1^3 + x_1 x_2^3 + y_3 x_1 x_2^2 + y_4 x_1 x_2 + y_5 x_2^3 + y_6 x_2^2 - y_1 x_1 - y_2 x_2 + y_3 p_3 + \dots + y_n p_n, \\ \text{where } n \geq 6;$$

$$E_8) \quad W(x_1, x_2, p_3, \dots, p_n, y) = x_1^3 + x_2^5 + y_3 x_1 x_2^3 + y_4 x_1 x_2^2 + y_5 x_1 x_2 + y_6 x_2^3 + y_7 x_2^2 - y_1 x_1 - y_2 x_2 + y_3 p_3 + \dots + y_n p_n, \text{ where } n \geq 7.$$

Classification of simple stable projections at singular points.

$$S_3) \quad W(p, y) = y_1 p_1 + \dots + y_n p_n, \text{ where } n \geq 2;$$

$$S_m^\pm) \quad W(x_1, p_2, \dots, p_n, y) = \pm e_{m+1} + y_3 e_4 + \dots + y_{m-1} e_m + y_1 x_1 + y_2 p_2 + \dots + y_n p_n, \text{ where } e_{2k} = x_1^k, \\ e_{2k+1} = x_1^{k-1} p_2, \quad n \geq m-1 \geq 3, \text{ and } S_m^+ \sim_{\Lambda_1} S_m^- \text{ if } m \text{ is even};$$

$$T_5) \quad W(p_1, x_2, p_3, \dots, p_n, y) = x_2^3 + y_3 p_1 x_2 + y_4 x_2^2 + y_1 p_1 + y_2 x_2 + y_3 p_3 + \dots + y_n p_n, \text{ where } n \geq 4;$$

$$U_m^\pm) \quad W(x_1, x_2, p_3, \dots, p_n, y) = \pm x_2^{m-3} + y_3 x_1^2 + y_4 x_1 x_2 + y_5 x_2^2 + \dots + y_{m-1} x_2^{m-4} + y_1 x_1 + y_2 x_2 + y_3 p_3 + \dots + y_n p_n, \text{ where } n \geq m-1 \geq 5 \text{ and } U_m^+ \sim_{\Lambda_1} U_m^- \text{ if } m \text{ is even};$$

$$V_6) \quad W(p_1, p_2, x_3, p_4, \dots, p_n, y) = x_3^3 + y_3 x_3 + y_4 p_1 x_3 + y_5 p_2 x_3 + y_1 p_1 + y_2 p_2 + y_3 x_3 + y_4 p_4 + \dots + y_n p_n, \\ \text{where } n \geq 5.$$

Generic Lagrange fibration is stable with respect to Λ_1 -equivalence if $n \leq 4$. If $n = 5$, a Lagrange fibration can have a unstable germ which does not vanish after any small Lagrange perturbation of the fibration.

REMARK. The change $(t, p_2, x_2) \mapsto -(t, p_2, x_2)$ shows us that $S_m^+ \sim_{\Lambda_1} S_m^-$ and $U_m^+ \sim_{\Lambda_1} U_m^-$ if m is even.

We examine examples from the list of Theorem 1.

(1) The singularity of type S_4 , $n = 3$: The generating family is given by

$$W = x_1 p_2 + y_3 x_1^2 + y_1 x_1 + y_2 p_2 + y_3 p_3,$$

and the Lagrange fibration is given by

$$\begin{cases} y_1 &= -p_1 - p_2 - 2x_1 x_3, \\ y_2 &= -x_1 + x_2, \\ y_3 &= x_3. \end{cases}$$

The composition with the parametrization of the open Whitney umbrella is given by

$$\begin{cases} y_1 &= -x_2 t - \frac{t^3}{3} - x_3 t^2, \\ y_2 &= -\frac{t^2}{2} + x_2, \\ y_3 &= x_3. \end{cases}$$

The caustic of the S_4 -singularity is a surface with cuspidal edge (Figure 1): The edge consists of A_3 -points and the S_4 -point (the origin), and the tangent line to the curve at the origin consists of S_3 -points and the origin, with respect to the coordinates of the normal form: In fact, the caustic is the *tangent developable* of the cuspidal edge, consisting of the tangent lines to the cuspidal edge.




Figure 1: S_4 -caustic

(2) The singularity of T_5 , $n = 4$: The generating family is given by

$$W(p_1, x_2, p_3, p_4, y) = x_2^3 + y_3 p_1 x_2 + y_4 x_2^2 + y_1 p_1 + y_2 x_2 + y_3 p_3 + y_4 p_4,$$

Then the Lagrange fibration is given by

$$\begin{cases} y_1 &= x_1 - x_2 x_3 \\ y_2 &= -p_2 - 3x_2^2 - x_3 p_1 - 2x_2 x_4, \\ y_3 &= x_3, \\ y_4 &= x_4 \end{cases}$$

and the composition with the parametrization of Λ_1 is given by

$$\begin{cases} y_1 &= \frac{t^2}{2} - x_2 x_3, \\ y_2 &= -\frac{t^3}{3} - 3x_2^2 - x_2 x_3 t - 2x_2 x_4, \\ y_3 &= x_3, \\ y_4 &= x_4 \end{cases}$$

This is the example of stable projection of corank two at the singular point in the smallest dimension.

Recall that, in the general singularity theory of mappings, the classification of C^∞ -stable map-germs is reduced to their classification up to the contact equivalence [17] IV. Also recall that, in Lagrange singularity theory, the Lagrange classification of Lagrange stable immersion-germs is reduced to the classification of function-germs up to the right equivalence. Naturally, in our classification problem, we need an analogous result to establish the actual classification.

DEFINITION. Let $\pi : (E, z_0) \rightarrow (Y, y_0)$ be a germ of Lagrange fibration. We call the germ of Lagrange submanifold $(\pi^{-1}(y_0), z_0) \subset E$ the *central fiber* of the germ π .

DEFINITION. Let $\Lambda \subset E$ be a Lagrange variety. Two germs of Lagrange submanifolds $L, L' \subset E$ are called Λ -*equivalent* and denoted by $L \sim_\Lambda L'$ if they are the same with respect to a symplectic diffeomorphism preserving Λ , namely if there exists a symplectic diffeomorphism $\tau : E \rightarrow E$ such that $\tau(\Lambda) = \Lambda$ and that $\tau(L) = L'$.

The germs L and L' are called *formally Λ -equivalent* and denoted by $j^\infty(L) \sim_\Lambda j^\infty(L')$ if their ∞ -jets are the same with respect to a symplectic diffeomorphism preserving Λ . More accurately, $j^\infty(L) \sim_\Lambda j^\infty(L')$ if there exist parametrizations $i, i' : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow E$ of L, L' respectively, and a symplectic diffeomorphism $\tau : E \rightarrow E$ preserving Λ such that $j^\infty i'(0) = j^\infty(\tau \circ i)(0)$.

By an open Whitney umbrella $\Lambda \subset E$, we understand Λ is the image of an open Whitney umbrella $f : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (E, 0)$ in the sense of [13]. We have open Whitney umbrellas $\Lambda_k, 0 \leq k \leq [n/2]$; Λ_0 is a Lagrange submanifold, and Λ_1 is the first open Whitney umbrella we have already introduced.

Then we prove and utilize in this paper the following:

THEOREM 2. *Let $\Lambda \subset E$ be an open Whitney umbrella. Then two Λ -stable germs of Lagrange fibrations $\pi, \pi' : E \rightarrow Y$ are Λ -equivalent if and only if their central fibers are Λ -equivalent. Moreover, π and π' are Λ -equivalent if and only if their central fibers are formally Λ -equivalent.*

Consider the case when Λ is a Lagrange submanifold ($k = 0$). We may take a symplectic coordinates (p, x) with $\Lambda = \{p = 0\}$. Recall the fundamental theorem of Lagrange singularity theory [3]: two germs of Lagrange submanifolds in $T^*\mathbb{R}^n$ are Lagrange equivalent for the canonical projection $T^*\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ if and only if their generating families are stably R^+ -equivalent. Moreover a Lagrange submanifold is Lagrange stable if and only if its generating family $F(q, x)$, q being the inner variables, is a R^+ -versal deformation of $F(q, 0)$ [3]. So, two Lagrange stable Lagrange submanifold are Lagrange equivalent if their generating families are deformations of stably R^+ -equivalent function germs. Besides, we recall the notion of “contact equivalence” for Lagrange manifolds due to Golubitsky and Guillemin [10]. Then two germs L, L' of Lagrange submanifolds are contact equivalent via a symplectic diffeomorphism in the sense [10] if and only if L, L' are Λ -equivalent in our sense. If L, L' are graphs of functions $h(x), h'(x)$ of order ≥ 2 respectively, then two germs L and L' are Λ -equivalent if and only if h and h' are right equivalent ([10], Prop. 4.2.). In general L, L' are Λ -equivalent if and only if $F(q, 0)$ and $F'(q', 0)$ are stably R^+ -equivalent, for the generating families $F(q, x), F'(q', x)$ of L, L' respectively. Therefore Theorem 2 is the quite natural generalization of the fundamental theorem of Lagrange singularity theory. Also it is a Lagrange counterpart of the Mather’s theorem “two $\mathcal{A}$ -stable mappings are $\mathcal{A}$ -equivalent if and only if they are $\mathcal{K}$ -equivalent” [17] in ordinary singularity theory of stable mappings. See also [15] §6.

In the next section, we give the classification of central fibers of the simple stable projections by Λ_1 -preserving symplectic diffeomorphisms (Theorem 3). Theorem 3 is reduced to Theorem 4; the formal calculations. Finally Theorem 4 is reduced to the technically key lemma; Lemma 1. In §2, we prepare Lemmas needed in the following section. In particular there are given the defining equations of the first open Whitney umbrella. Then Lemma 1 is proved in §3. Theorems 2 and 1 are proved in §4. In §5, we give the relation of our study to the study of simple stable compositions.

For the proof of Theorem 1, we use the explicit equations defining the first open Whitney umbrella. To proceed to the classifications of simple stable projections of general open Whitney umbrellas, applying the method used in the present paper, we need to get the equations for them, which is left open.

1 Normal Forms of Fibers

We start to prove Theorem 1 with finding formal normal forms for separate fibers which pass through singular points of the first open Whitney umbrella Λ_1 with respect to symplectic diffeomorphisms preserving Λ_1 itself.

DEFINITION. A germ of Lagrange submanifold $L \subset E$ is called *simple* with respect to Λ -equivalence, or Λ -*simple*, if the number of Λ -equivalence classes of all germs of the kind $\tau(L)$ is finite, where $\tau : E \rightarrow E$ is any sufficiently small symplectic perturbation of the identity diffeomorphism.

In particular, a Λ -stable germ of Lagrange fibration is called *simple* with respect to Λ -equivalence, or Λ -*simple*, if there exists its representative such that the number of Λ -equivalence classes of all its germs is finite.

In coordinates (p, x) such that $\omega = dp \wedge dx$, any Lagrange submanifold is locally given by at least one of the 2^n generating functions $w(p_I, x_J)$ by the formulas:

$$x_I = w_{p_I}(p_I, x_J), \quad p_J = -w_{x_J}(p_I, x_J)$$

where $I \cap J = \emptyset$ and $I \cup J = \{1, \dots, n\}$.

THEOREM 3. *Let us consider a germ of a Lagrange submanifold at a singular point of the first open Whitney umbrella Λ_1 . If our germ is Λ_1 -simple then it is formally Λ_1 -equivalent to the germ at the origin of the Lagrange submanifold defined by one of the following generating functions:*

$$S_3) \quad w(p_1, \dots, p_n) = 0;$$

$$S_m^\pm) \quad w(x_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) = \pm e_{m+1} \text{ where } e_{2k} = x_1^k, e_{2k+1} = x_1^{k-1} p_2, m \geq 4, S_m^+ \sim_{\Lambda_1} S_m^- \text{ if } m \text{ is even};$$

$$T_5) \quad w(p_1, x_2, p_3, \dots, p_n) = x_2^3;$$

$$U_m^\pm) \quad w(x_1, x_2, p_3, \dots, p_n) = \pm x_2^{m-3} \text{ where } m \geq 6, U_m^+ \sim_{\Lambda_1} U_m^- \text{ if } m \text{ is even};$$

$V_6) w(p_1, p_2, x_3, p_4, \dots, p_n) = x_3^3$ where $n \geq 3$.

Non-simple germs occur in families of Lagrange submanifolds depending generically on at least 5 parameters. In generic 4-parametric families such germ do not occur.

REMARK. The change $(t, p_2, x_2) \mapsto -(t, p_2, x_2)$ shows us that $S_m^+ \sim_{\Lambda_1} S_m^-$ and $U_m^+ \sim_{\Lambda_1} U_m^-$ if m is even.

PROOF. Theorem 3 follows from the following Theorem 4. $\square$

DEFINITION. Let $\Lambda \subset E$ be a Lagrange variety. Two germs w, w' of generating functions are called *formally Λ -equivalent* and denoted by $w \sim_{\Lambda} w'$ if the corresponding germs of Lagrange submanifolds are formally Λ -equivalent.

THEOREM 4. I. A germ of a Lagrange submanifold at a singular point of the first open Whitney umbrella Λ_1 is Λ_1 -equivalent to the germ at the origin of the Lagrange submanifold defined by a generating function $w(p_I, x_J)$ such that $w_{p_I}(0) = w_{x_J}(0) = w_{x_J x_J}(0) = 0$ and one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- $J = \emptyset$;
- $J = \{1\}$;
- $J = \{2\}$ and $w_{p_1 x_2}(0) = 0$;
- $J = \{3\}$ and $w_{p_1 x_3}(0) = w_{p_2 x_3}(0) = 0$;
- $J = \{1, 2\}$;
- $J = \{1, 3\}$ and $w_{p_2 x_3}(0) = 0$;
- $J = \{2, 3\}$ and $w_{p_1 x_2}(0) = w_{p_1 x_3}(0) = 0$;
- $J = \{3, 4\}$ and $w_{p_1 x_3}(0) = w_{p_1 x_4}(0) = w_{p_2 x_3}(0) = w_{p_2 x_4}(0) = 0$;
- $\#J \geq 3$.

Such germs occur in families of Lagrange submanifolds depending generically on at least 2, 3, 4, 5, 5, 6, 7, 9 and 8 parameters respectively.

II. If $w_{p_I}(0) = w_{x_J}(0) = w_{x_J x_J}(0) = 0$ then

- 1) $J = \emptyset \Rightarrow w \sim_{\Lambda_1} 0$;
- 2) $J = \{1\} \Rightarrow w$ is not Λ_1 -simple or $\exists m \geq 4$ such that $w \sim_{\Lambda_1} \pm e_{m+1}$ where $e_{2k} = x_1^k$, $e_{2k+1} = x_1^{k-1} p_2$;
- 3) $J = \{2\}$, $w_{p_1 x_2}(0) = 0$, $w_{x_2 x_2 x_2}(0) \neq 0 \Rightarrow w \sim_{\Lambda_1} x_2^3$;
- 4) $J = \{2\}$, $w_{p_1 x_2}(0) = w_{x_2 x_2 x_2}(0) = 0 \Rightarrow w$ is not Λ_1 -simple;
- 5) $J = \{1, 2\} \Rightarrow w$ is not Λ_1 -simple or $\exists m \geq 6$ such that $w \sim_{\Lambda_1} \pm x_2^{m-3}$;
- 6) $J = \{3\}$, $w_{p_1 x_3}(0) = w_{p_2 x_3}(0) = 0$, $w_{x_3 x_3 x_3}(0) \neq 0 \Rightarrow w \sim_{\Lambda_1} x_3^3$;
- 7) $J = \{3\}$, $w_{p_1 x_3}(0) = w_{p_2 x_3}(0) = w_{x_3 x_3 x_3}(0) = 0 \Rightarrow w$ is not Λ_1 -simple;

- 8) $J = \{1, 3\}$, $w_{p_2x_3}(0) = 0 \Rightarrow w$ is not Λ_1 -simple;
- 9) $J = \{2, 3\}$, $w_{p_1x_2}(0) = w_{p_1x_3}(0) = 0 \Rightarrow w$ is not Λ_1 -simple;
- 10) $J = \{3, 4\}$, $w_{p_1x_3}(0) = w_{p_1x_4}(0) = w_{p_2x_3}(0) = w_{p_2x_4}(0) = 0 \Rightarrow w$ is not Λ_1 -simple;
- 11) $\#J \geq 3 \Rightarrow w$ is not Λ_1 -simple.

The non- Λ_1 -simple germs from the cases 2 and 5 have infinite codimension. They adjoin S_m and U_m respectively. The non- Λ_1 -simple germs from the cases 4 and 7 – 11 occur in families of Lagrange submanifolds depending generically on at least 5, 6, 6, 7, 9, and 8 parameters respectively. The cases 9 and 10 adjoin the case 8.

PROOF. I. The singularities of the first open Whitney umbrella Λ_1 are defined by the equations $p_1 = \dots = p_n = x_1 = x_2 = 0$. After a shift we get $w_{p_I}(0) = w_{x_J}(0) = 0$. If some principal minor $\det \|w_{x_{J'}x_{J'}}(0)\| \neq 0$ where $J' \subset J$, then we can change $I \mapsto I \cup J'$ and $J \mapsto J \setminus J'$. Therefore, we assume $w_{x_Jx_J}(0) = 0$. After renumbering among $p_3, \dots, p_n$ we reach one (but not only one) of the following cases: $J = \emptyset$, $J = \{1\}$, $J = \{2\}$, $J = \{3\}$, $J = \{1, 2\}$, $J = \{1, 3\}$, $J = \{2, 3\}$, $J = \{3, 4\}$, or $\#J \geq 3$. In order to eliminate some of these cases let us note that we can replace $i \mapsto j$ in I and $j \mapsto i$ in J if $w_{p_ix_j}(0) \neq 0$ where $i \in I$ and $j \in J$.

The singularities of the first open Whitney umbrella Λ_1 form a submanifold of codimension $n + 2$. So, germs passing through singularities of Λ_1 occur in families of Lagrange submanifolds depending generically on at least 2 parameters. This is the case $J = \emptyset$. The other cases require else the number of parameters which is equal to the quantity of conditions for the second derivatives.

II. It is sufficient to prove the cases 1 – 5 for $n = 2$ and the cases 6 – 8 for $n = 3$. This follows from the equivalence $w \sim_{\Lambda_1} w_0$ where $w_0(p_I, x_J) = w|_{p_{I''}=0}$, $I' = I \cap \{1, 2\}$, and $I'' = I \cap \{3, \dots, n\}$. The equivalence is performed by the symplectic diffeomorphism

$$(p_I, p_J, x_I, x_J) \mapsto (p_I, p_J + \tilde{w}_{x_J}, x_I - \tilde{w}_{p_I}, x_J)$$

where $\tilde{w} = w - w_0$. This diffeomorphism preserves Λ_1 because it shifts the plane $p_{I''} = 0$ along only $x_{I''}$ (preserving $p_I, p_J, x_{I'}$, and x_J) that follows from the equality $\tilde{w}|_{p_{I''}=0} = 0$.

The following infinite chains

$$\begin{aligned} 1_2 &\Rightarrow 1_3 \Rightarrow \dots, \\ 2_5 &\Rightarrow 2_6 \Rightarrow \dots \quad \text{or} \quad 2_5 \Rightarrow 2_6 \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow 2_{m+1} \Rightarrow 2_{m+1}^{m+2} \Rightarrow 2_{m+1}^{m+3} \Rightarrow \dots, \\ 3_6 &\Rightarrow 3_6^7 \Rightarrow 3_6^8 \Rightarrow \dots, \\ 5_3 &\Rightarrow 5_4 \Rightarrow \dots \quad \text{or} \quad 5_3 \Rightarrow 5_4 \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow 5_{m-3} \Rightarrow 5_{m-3}^{m-2} \Rightarrow 5_{m-3}^{m-1} \Rightarrow \dots, \\ 6_6 &\Rightarrow 6_6^7 \Rightarrow 6_6^8 \Rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

of propositions of the following Lemma 1 prove the cases 1 – 3, 5, 6 respectively.

The propositions 4_* , 7_* , and 8_* of Lemma 1 imply the propositions 4, 7 – 10 of Theorem 4 because the cases 9 and 10 adjoin the case 8.

It remains to prove that the case $\#J \geq 3$ is not Λ_1 -simple. Indeed, the tangent plane to the first open Whitney umbrella Λ_1 at the point

$$p_1 = x_2 t, \quad p_2 = t^3/3, \quad p_3 = \dots = p_n = 0, \quad x_1 = t^2/2$$

is defined by the equations:

$$t dp_1 - x_2 dx_1 - t^2 dx_2 = 0, \quad dp_2 - t dx_1 = 0, \quad dp_3 = \dots = dp_n = 0.$$

Along the curve

$$p_1 = t^3, \quad p_2 = t^3/3, \quad p_3 = \dots = p_n = 0, \quad x_1 = t^2/2, \quad x_2 = t^2, \quad x_3 = \dots = x_n = 0$$

our tangent plane is defined by the equations

$$dp_1 - t dx_1 - t dx_2 = 0, \quad dp_2 - t dx_1 = 0, \quad dp_3 = \dots = dp_n = 0$$

and tends to the plane $dp_1 = \dots = dp_n = 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, the case $\#J \geq 3$ adjoins the class of ordered pairs of germs of smooth Lagrange submanifolds whose tangent planes have three-dimensional intersection. This class is not simple up to symplectic equivalence because, according to [10], it corresponds to the so-called P_8 class consisting of the germs of smooth functions at critical points of corank 3. Moreover, the symplectic equivalence of ordered pairs of Lagrange germs corresponds to the stable right equivalence of the germs of smooth functions (see [10]). But the P_8 class contains a continuous invariant up to stable right equivalence [3]. This invariant comes from linear equivalence of cubic forms of three variables. $\square$

LEMMA 1. Let $\alpha_I = \deg p_I$ and $\beta_J = \deg x_J$ be positive integer quasidegrees and $\mathcal{A}_0 \supset \mathcal{A}_1 \supset \dots$ be the corresponding quasihomogeneous filtration in the algebra of the germs at 0 of smooth functions of p_I and x_J . Then

- 1_l) $n = 2, J = \emptyset, \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1, w_l \in \mathcal{A}_l, l \geq 2 \Rightarrow w_l \sim_{\Lambda_1} 0 \pmod{\mathcal{A}_{l+1}};$
- 2_l) $n = 2, J = \{1\}, \beta_1 = 2, \alpha_2 = 3, w_l \in \mathcal{A}_l, l \geq 4 \Rightarrow w_l \sim_{\Lambda_1} \pm e_l \pmod{\mathcal{A}_{l+1}}$ if $w_l \notin (9p_2^2 - 8x_1^3) + \mathcal{A}_{l+1}$, and $w_l \sim_{\Lambda_1} 0 \pmod{\mathcal{A}_{l+1}}$ if $w_l \in (9p_2^2 - 8x_1^3) + \mathcal{A}_{l+1};$
- 2_l^d) $n = 2, J = \{1\}, \beta_1 = 2, \alpha_2 = 3, w_d \in \mathcal{A}_d, d > l \geq 4 \Rightarrow \pm e_l + w_d \sim_{\Lambda_1} \pm e_l \pmod{\mathcal{A}_{d+1}};$
- 3₆) $n = 2, J = \{2\}, \alpha_1 = 3, \beta_2 = 2, w_6 \in \mathcal{A}_6, w_{6, x_2 x_2 x_2} \neq 0 \Rightarrow w_6 \sim_{\Lambda_1} x_2^3 \pmod{\mathcal{A}_7};$
- 3₆^d) $n = 2, J = \{2\}, \alpha_1 = 3, \beta_2 = 2, w_d \in \mathcal{A}_d, d > 6 \Rightarrow x_2^3 + w_d \sim_{\Lambda_1} x_2^3 \pmod{\mathcal{A}_{d+1}};$
- 4_{*}) $n = 2, J = \{2\}, \alpha_1 = 2, \beta_2 = 1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}_4/\mathcal{A}_5$ contains a continuous invariant with respect to Λ_1 -equivalence;
- 5_l) $n = 2, J = \{1, 2\}, \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 1, w_l \in \mathcal{A}_l, l \geq 3 \Rightarrow w_l \sim_{\Lambda_1} \pm x_2^l \pmod{\mathcal{A}_{l+1}}$ if $w_l \notin (x_1) + \mathcal{A}_{l+1}$, and $w_l \sim_{\Lambda_1} 0 \pmod{\mathcal{A}_{l+1}}$ if $w_l \in (x_1) + \mathcal{A}_{l+1};$

- 5_l^d) $n = 2$, $J = \{1, 2\}$, $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 1$, $w_d \in \mathcal{A}_d$, $d > l \geq 3 \Rightarrow \pm x_2^l + w_d \sim_{\Lambda_1} \pm x_2^l \pmod{\mathcal{A}_{d+1}}$;
- 6₆) $n = 3$, $J = \{3\}$, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 3$, $\beta_3 = 2$, $w_6 \in \mathcal{A}_6$, $w_{6,x_3x_3x_3} \neq 0 \Rightarrow w_6 \sim_{\Lambda_1} x_3^3 \pmod{\mathcal{A}_7}$;
- 6₆^d) $n = 3$, $J = \{3\}$, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 3$, $\beta_3 = 2$, $w_d \in \mathcal{A}_d$, $d > 6 \Rightarrow x_3^3 + w_d \sim_{\Lambda_1} x_3^3 \pmod{\mathcal{A}_{d+1}}$;
- 7_{*}) $n = 3$, $J = \{3\}$, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 2$, $\beta_3 = 1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}_4/\mathcal{A}_5$ contains a continuous invariant with respect to Λ_1 -equivalence;
- 8_{*}) $n = 3$, $J = \{1, 3\}$, $\beta_1 = 1$, $\alpha_2 = 2$, $\beta_3 = 1$, $\mathcal{A}'_3 = \{w_3 \in \mathcal{A}_3 \mid w_{3,p_2x_3} = 0\} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}'_3/\mathcal{A}_4$ contains a continuous invariant with respect to Λ_1 -equivalence.

2 Hamiltonian Vector Fields

It is well known that any vector field which preserve the symplectic structure $\omega = dp \wedge dx$ are locally defined by its Hamiltonian H :

$$\dot{x} = H_p, \quad \dot{p} = -H_x.$$

Let $L(w)$ be the Lagrange submanifold given by a generating function $w(p_I, x_J)$:

$$L(w) = \{x_I = w_{p_I}(p_I, x_J), p_J = -w_{x_J}(p_I, x_J)\} \quad (2)$$

and $H(w)$ denote the derivative of the generating function when the Lagrange submanifold is acted by the vector field with a Hamiltonian H .

LEMMA 2. $H(w) = H|_{L(w)} + \text{const.}$

PROOF. Indeed, (p_I, x_J) are coordinates on $L(w)$ and for any two points $A, B \in L(w)$

$$w \Big|_A^B = w(B) - w(A) = \int_A^B \psi_I$$

along any path on $L(w)$ joining A and B , where $\psi_I = x_I dp_I - p_J dx_J$. After differentiating along our Hamiltonian vector field we get

$$(H(w) + w_{p_I} \dot{p}_I + w_{x_J} \dot{x}_J) \Big|_A^B = \int_A^B H(\psi_I)$$

where $H(\psi_I)$ is the derivative of ψ_I along our Hamiltonian vector field. The Cartan formula implies that

$$\int_A^B H(\psi_I) = \int_A^B d(x_I \dot{p}_I - p_J \dot{x}_J) - \dot{p} dx + \dot{x} dp = \int_A^B d(x_I \dot{p}_I - p_J \dot{x}_J + H) = (x_I \dot{p}_I - p_J \dot{x}_J + H) \Big|_A^B.$$

Comparing the two last equalities and taking into account (2) we get $H(w) \Big|_A^B = H \Big|_A^B$. $\square$

Open Whitney umbrellas $\Lambda = \Lambda_k = f_{n,k}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are real algebraic sets in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. In fact, the complexification $f_{\mathbb{C}} : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ of the parametrization $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is proper and one to one. Therefore $f_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is a complex algebraic set in $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$, and $f_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^n) \cap \mathbb{R}^{2n} = f(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a real algebraic set. However, for the explicit classification, we need, furthermore, the explicit equation of Λ .

Let $\mathcal{I}(\Lambda_1) \subset \mathbb{R}[p, x]$ be the ideal consisting of all polynomials which vanish on the first open Whitney umbrella Λ_1 .

LEMMA 3. $\mathcal{I}(\Lambda_1) = (p_1^2 - 2x_1x_2^2, 3p_1p_2 - 4x_1^2x_2, 2p_1x_1 - 3p_2x_2, 9p_2^2 - 8x_1^3, p_3, \dots, p_n)$.

PROOF. It is sufficient to prove when $n = 2$. Let $\mathcal{I}_t(\Lambda_1) = (t^3/3 - p_2, t^2/2 - x_1, tx_2 - p_1) \subset \mathbb{R}[t, p_1, p_2, x_1, x_2]$ be the ideal defining the parametric form (1) of the first open Whitney umbrella Λ_1 if $n = 2$. Then the following nine polynomials $t^2 - 2x_1$, $tp_1 - 2x_1x_2$, $3tp_2 - 4x_1^2$, $2tx_1 - 3p_2$, $tx_2 - p_1$, $p_1^2 - 2x_1x_2^2$, $3p_1p_2 - 4x_1^2x_2$, $2p_1x_1 - 3p_2x_2$, $9p_2^2 - 8x_1^3$ form a Gröbner basis of the ideal $\mathcal{I}_t(\Lambda_1)$ with respect to the lexicographic order. Hence, the four last polynomials which do not depend on t generate $\mathcal{I}(\Lambda_1) \subset \mathbb{R}[p_1, p_2, x_1, x_2]$. $\square$

3 Proof of Lemma 1

In the cases $1_l, 2_l, 2_l^d, 3_6, 3_6^d, 5_l, 5_l^d, 6_6, 6_6^d$ we use the standard homotopy method. Namely, let ω_τ be a family of generating functions depending smoothly on a parameter τ and H_τ be a smooth family of Hamiltonians satisfying the homological equation

$$H_\tau(\omega_\tau) + \partial_\tau \omega_\tau \equiv 0$$

on a segment $[0, 1]$. Besides, the corresponding Hamiltonian vector fields v_{H_τ} are assumed to be tangent to the first open Whitney umbrella Λ_1 and to preserve the origin ($v_{H_\tau}(0) \equiv 0$). For the Hamiltonians H_τ these conditions mean $H_\tau|_{\Lambda_1} \equiv 0$ and $\partial_p H_\tau(0) \equiv \partial_x H_\tau(0) \equiv 0$ respectively. So, taking into account Lemma 2 we can rewrite the homological equation as

$$H_\tau|_{L(\omega_\tau)} + \partial_\tau \omega_\tau \equiv 0, \quad H_\tau \in \mathcal{H}(\Lambda_1) = \mathcal{E}_\tau \otimes (\mathfrak{m}^2 \cap \mathcal{I}'(\Lambda_1))$$

where $\mathcal{E}_\tau$ is the algebra of smooth functions on the segment $[0, 1]$, $\mathfrak{m}$ is the maximal ideal in the algebra of the germs at 0 of smooth functions on E , and $\mathcal{I}'(\Lambda_1)$ is the ideal consisting of all germs which vanish on the first open Whitney umbrella Λ_1 .

Now solving the Cauchy problem

$$\dot{g}_\tau(p, x) \equiv v_{H_\tau}(g_\tau(p, x)), \quad g_0(p, x) = (p, x)$$

with respect to a family of diffeomorphisms g_τ on the segment $[0, 1]$ for small (p, x) we get the equivalence $\omega_0 \sim_{\Lambda_1} \omega_1$ performed by the local symplectic diffeomorphism g_1 preserving Λ_1 and 0.

Let $\mathcal{H}(\Lambda_1)|_{L(\omega_\tau)} \subset \mathcal{E}_\tau \otimes \mathcal{A}_0$ be the restriction of the ideal $\mathcal{H}(\Lambda_1)$ onto the family of Lagrange submanifolds given by the family $\omega_\tau(p_I, x_J) \in \mathcal{E}_\tau \otimes \mathcal{A}_0$ of generating functions and $\text{Gr } \mathcal{H}(\Lambda_1)|_{L(\omega_\tau)} \subset \mathcal{E}_\tau \otimes \mathcal{A}_0$ be the quasihomogeneous ideal generated by the dominant quasihomogeneous parts of the germs from $\mathcal{H}(\Lambda_1)|_{L(\omega_\tau)}$. Let us note that

$$\text{Gr } \mathcal{H}(\Lambda_1)|_{L(\omega_\tau)} \cap (\mathcal{E}_\tau \otimes \mathcal{A}_d) = \mathcal{H}(\Lambda_1)|_{L(\omega_\tau)} \cap (\mathcal{E}_\tau \otimes \mathcal{A}_d) \pmod{\mathcal{E}_\tau \otimes \mathcal{A}_{d+1}}.$$

Therefore, in the considered cases the homological equation

$$H_\tau|_{L(\omega_\tau)} + \partial_\tau \omega_\tau \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathcal{E}_\tau \otimes \mathcal{A}_{d+1}}, \quad H_\tau \in \mathcal{H}(\Lambda_1)$$

is solvable because

$$\partial_\tau \omega_\tau \in \text{Gr } \mathcal{H}(\Lambda_1)|_{L(\omega_\tau)} \cap (\mathcal{E}_\tau \otimes \mathcal{A}_d)$$

that is shown below in each case.

1_l) Let $\omega_\tau = \tau w_l$. According to Lemma 3,

$$p_1^2 - 2x_1x_2^2|_{L(\omega_\tau)}, 3p_1p_2 - 4x_1^2x_2|_{L(\omega_\tau)}, 9p_2^2 - 8x_1^3|_{L(\omega_\tau)} \in \mathcal{H}(\Lambda_1)|_{L(\omega_\tau)}.$$

Because $L(\omega_\tau) = \{x_1 = x_2 = 0 \pmod{\mathcal{E}_\tau \otimes \mathcal{A}_1}\}$, the dominant parts of these polynomials are $p_1^2, 3p_1p_2, 9p_2^2$. Therefore, $\text{Gr } \mathcal{H}(\Lambda_1)|_{L(\omega_\tau)} \supset (p_1^2, p_1p_2, p_2^2) = \mathcal{E}_\tau \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$.

2_l) Let $w_l = ae_l + \tilde{w}_l \pmod{\mathcal{A}_{l+1}}$ where $\tilde{w}_l$ is a quasihomogeneous element of the ideal $(9p_2^2 - 8x_1^3)$ such that $\deg \tilde{w}_l = l$, $\omega_\tau = a(\tau)e_l + \tau\tilde{w}_l$, $a(0) = \text{sign}(a)$, $a(1) = a$. According to Lemma 3,

$$2p_1x_1 - 3p_2x_2|_{L(\omega_\tau)}, 9p_2^2 - 8x_1^3|_{L(\omega_\tau)} \in \mathcal{H}(\Lambda_1)|_{L(\omega_\tau)}.$$

Because $L(\omega_\tau) = \{p_1 = -\partial_{x_1}\omega_\tau, x_2 = \partial_{p_2}\omega_\tau\}$, the dominant parts of this polynomials are $\mp l\omega_\tau, 9p_2^2 - 8x_1^3$. Therefore, $\text{Gr } \mathcal{H}(\Lambda_1)|_{L(\omega_\tau)} \supset (a(\tau)e_l, 9p_2^2 - 8x_1^3) \ni \partial_\tau \omega_\tau$ if $a(\tau) \equiv 0$ or $a(\tau) \neq 0$ for $\forall \tau \in [0, 1]$.

2_l^d) Let $\omega_\tau = \pm e_l + \tau w_d$. According to Lemma 3,

$$3p_1p_2 - 4x_1^2x_2|_{L(\omega_\tau)}, 2p_1x_1 - 3p_2x_2|_{L(\omega_\tau)}, 9p_2^2 - 8x_1^3|_{L(\omega_\tau)} \in \mathcal{H}(\Lambda_1)|_{L(\omega_\tau)}.$$

Because $L(\omega_\tau) = \{p_1 = \mp \partial_{x_1}e_l \pmod{\mathcal{A}_{l-1}}, x_2 = \pm \partial_{p_2}e_l \pmod{\mathcal{A}_{l-2}}\}$, the dominant parts of these polynomials are

$$\mp(3p_2\partial_{x_1}e_l + 4x_1^2\partial_{p_2}e_l), \quad \mp(2x_1\partial_{x_1}e_l + 3p_2\partial_{p_2}e_l) = \mp le_l, \quad 9p_2^2 - 8x_1^3.$$

But

$$3p_2\partial_{x_1}e_l + 4x_1^2\partial_{p_2}e_l = \begin{cases} 3ke_{l+1} & \text{if } e_l = x_1^k \\ (8k/3 + 4)e_{l+1} \pmod{(9p_2^2 - 8x_1^3)} & \text{if } e_l = x_1^k p_2 \end{cases}$$

and $\text{Gr } \mathcal{H}(\Lambda_1)|_{L(\omega_\tau)} \supset (e_{l+1}, e_l, 9p_2^2 - 8x_1^3) \supset \mathcal{E}_\tau \otimes \mathcal{A}_l$.

3₆) Let $w_6 = ap_1^2 + bx_2^3 \pmod{\mathcal{A}_7}$, $\omega_\tau = a(\tau)p_1^2 + b(\tau)x_2^3$, $a(0) = 0$, $b(0) = \text{sign}(b)$, $a(1) = a$, $b(1) = b$. According to Lemma 3,

$$p_1^2 - 2x_1x_2^2|_{L(\omega_\tau)}, 2p_1x_1 - 3p_2x_2|_{L(\omega_\tau)} \in \mathcal{H}(\Lambda_1)|_{L(\omega_\tau)}.$$

Because $L(\omega_\tau) = \{x_1 = 2a(\tau)p_1, p_2 = -3b(\tau)x_2^2\}$, the dominant parts of these polynomials are p_1^2 , $4a(\tau)p_1^2 + 9b(\tau)x_2^3$. Therefore, $\text{Gr } \mathcal{H}(\Lambda_1)|_{L(\omega_\tau)} \supset (p_1^2, b(\tau)x_2^3) \ni \partial_\tau \omega_\tau$ if $b(\tau) \neq 0$ for $\forall \tau \in [0, 1]$.

The change $(p_2, x_2) \mapsto -(p_2, x_2)$ shows us that $x_2^3 \sim_{\Lambda_1} -x_2^3$.

3₆^d) Let $\omega_\tau = x_2^3 + \tau w_d$. According to Lemma 3,

$$p_1^2 - 2x_1x_2^2|_{L(\omega_\tau)}, 3p_1p_2 - 4x_1^2x_2|_{L(\omega_\tau)}, 2p_1x_1 - 3p_2x_2|_{L(\omega_\tau)} \in \mathcal{H}(\Lambda_1)|_{L(\omega_\tau)}.$$

Because $L(\omega_\tau) = \{x_1 = 0 \pmod{\mathcal{A}_4}, p_2 = -3x_2^2 \pmod{\mathcal{A}_5}\}$, the dominant parts of these polynomials are p_1^2 , $-9p_1x_2^2$, $9x_2^3$. Therefore, $\text{Gr } \mathcal{H}(\Lambda_1)|_{L(\omega_\tau)} \supset (p_1^2, p_1x_2^2, x_2^3) = \mathcal{E}_\tau \otimes \mathcal{A}_6$.

5_l) Let $w_l = ax_2^l + x_1\tilde{w}_{l-1} \pmod{\mathcal{A}_{l+1}}$ where $\tilde{w}_{l-1}$ is a quasihomogeneous germ such that $\deg \tilde{w}_{l-1} = l-1$, $\omega_\tau = a(\tau)x_2^l + \tau x_1\tilde{w}_{l-1}$, $a(0) = \text{sign}(a)$, $a(1) = a$. According to Lemma 3,

$$p_1^2 - 2x_1x_2^2|_{L(\omega_\tau)}, 3p_1p_2 - 4x_1^2x_2|_{L(\omega_\tau)}, 2p_1x_1 - 3p_2x_2|_{L(\omega_\tau)}, 9p_2^2 - 8x_1^3|_{L(\omega_\tau)} \in \mathcal{H}(\Lambda_1)|_{L(\omega_\tau)}.$$

Because $L(\omega_\tau) = \{p_1 = -\partial_{x_1}\omega_\tau, p_2 = -\partial_{x_2}\omega_\tau\}$, the dominant parts of these polynomials are $-2x_1x_2^2$, $-4x_1^2x_2$, $-2x_1\partial_{x_1}\omega_\tau + 3la(\tau)x_2^l + 3\tau x_1x_2\partial_{x_2}\tilde{w}_{l-1}$, $-8x_1^3$. Therefore, $\text{Gr } \mathcal{H}(\Lambda_1)|_{L(\omega_\tau)} \supset (x_1^3, x_1^2x_2, x_1x_2^2, a(\tau)x_2^l) \ni \partial_\tau \omega_\tau$ if $a(\tau) \equiv 0$ or $a(\tau) \neq 0$ for $\forall \tau \in [0, 1]$.

5_l^d) Let $\omega_\tau = \pm x_2^l + \tau w_d$. According to Lemma 3,

$$p_1^2 - 2x_1x_2^2|_{L(\omega_\tau)}, 3p_1p_2 - 4x_1^2x_2|_{L(\omega_\tau)}, 2p_1x_1 - 3p_2x_2|_{L(\omega_\tau)}, 9p_2^2 - 8x_1^3|_{L(\omega_\tau)} \in \mathcal{H}(\Lambda_1)|_{L(\omega_\tau)}.$$

Because $L(\omega_\tau) = \{p_1 = 0 \pmod{\mathcal{A}_l}, p_2 = \mp lx_2^{l-1} \pmod{\mathcal{A}_l}\}$, the dominant parts of these polynomials are $-2x_1x_2^2$, $-4x_1^2x_2$, $\pm 3lx_2^l$, $-8x_1^3$. Therefore, $\text{Gr } \mathcal{H}(\Lambda_1)|_{L(\omega_\tau)} \supset (x_1^3, x_1^2x_2, x_1x_2^2, x_2^l) \supset \mathcal{E}_\tau \otimes \mathcal{A}_l$.

6₆) Let $w_6 = a_{11}p_1^2 + a_{12}p_1p_2 + a_{22}p_2^2 + bx_3^3 \pmod{\mathcal{A}_7}$, $\omega_\tau = a_{11}(\tau)p_1^2 + a_{12}(\tau)p_1p_2 + a_{22}(\tau)p_2^2 + b(\tau)x_3^3$, $a_{11}(0) = a_{12}(0) = a_{22}(0) = 0$, $b(0) = \text{sign}(b)$, $a_{11}(1) = a_{11}$, $a_{12}(1) = a_{12}$, $a_{22}(1) = a_{22}$, $b(1) = b$. According to Lemma 3,

$$p_1^2 - 2x_1x_2^2|_{L(\omega_\tau)}, 3p_1p_2 - 4x_1^2x_2|_{L(\omega_\tau)}, 9p_2^2 - 8x_1^3|_{L(\omega_\tau)}, p_3x_3|_{L(\omega_\tau)} \in \mathcal{H}(\Lambda_1)|_{L(\omega_\tau)}.$$

Because $L(\omega_\tau) = \{x_1 = 2a_{11}(\tau)p_1 + a_{12}(\tau)p_2, x_2 = a_{12}(\tau)p_1 + 2a_{22}(\tau)p_2, p_3 = -3b(\tau)x_3^2\}$, the dominant parts of these polynomials are p_1^2 , $3p_1p_2$, $9p_2^2$, $-3b(\tau)x_3^3$. Therefore, $\text{Gr } \mathcal{H}(\Lambda_1)|_{L(\omega_\tau)} \supset (p_1^2, p_1p_2, p_2^2, b(\tau)x_3^3) \ni \partial_\tau \omega_\tau$ if $b(\tau) \neq 0$ for $\forall \tau \in [0, 1]$.

The change $(p_3, x_3) \mapsto -(p_3, x_3)$ shows us that $x_3^3 \sim_{\Lambda_1} -x_3^3$.

6₆^d) Let $\omega_\tau = x_3^3 + \tau w_d$. According to Lemma 3,

$$p_1^2 - 2x_1x_2^2|_{L(\omega_\tau)}, 3p_1p_2 - 4x_1^2x_2|_{L(\omega_\tau)}, 9p_2^2 - 8x_1^3|_{L(\omega_\tau)}, p_1p_3|_{L(\omega_\tau)}, p_2p_3|_{L(\omega_\tau)}, p_3x_3|_{L(\omega_\tau)} \in \mathcal{H}(\Lambda_1)|_{L(\omega_\tau)}.$$

Because $L(\omega_\tau) = \{x_1 = 0 \pmod{\mathcal{A}_4}, x_2 = 0 \pmod{\mathcal{A}_4}, p_3 = -3x_3^2 \pmod{\mathcal{A}_5}\}$, the dominant parts of these polynomials are $p_1^2, 3p_1p_2, 9p_2^2, -3p_1x_3^2, -3p_2x_3^2, -3x_3^3$. Therefore, $\text{Gr } \mathcal{H}(\Lambda_1)|_{L(\omega_\tau)} \supset (p_1^2, p_1p_2, p_2^2, p_1x_3^2, p_2x_3^2, x_3^3) = \mathcal{E}_\tau \otimes \mathcal{A}_6$.

In the cases 4_* , 7_* , and 8_* we consider the Lie algebra of the germs of Hamiltonian vector fields which are tangent to the first open Whitney umbrella Λ_1 and preserve 0. For the Hamiltonians H these conditions mean $H|_{\Lambda_1} = 0$ and $\partial_p H(0) = \partial_x H(0) = 0$ respectively. So, our Lie algebra is the ideal $\mathfrak{m}^2 \cap \mathcal{I}'(\Lambda_1)$ where $\mathfrak{m}$ is the maximal ideal in the algebra of the germs at 0 of smooth functions on E and $\mathcal{I}'(\Lambda_1)$ is the ideal consisting of all germs which vanish on the first open Whitney umbrella Λ_1 .

Let $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}_4/\mathcal{A}_5$ in the cases 4_* , 7_* and $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}'_3/\mathcal{A}_4$ in the case 8_* . In all these cases our Lie algebra $\mathfrak{m}^2 \cap \mathcal{I}'(\Lambda_1)$ acts on $\mathcal{B}$ by the formula from Lemma 2: $H(\omega) = H|_{L(\omega)}$. But it turns out that for any $\omega \in \mathcal{B}$

$$\dim \mathfrak{m}^2 \cap \mathcal{I}'(\Lambda_1)|_{L(\omega)} < \dim \mathcal{B}.$$

It remains to check these inequalities.

4*) Let $\omega = ap_1^2 + bp_1x_2^2 + cx_2^4 \in \mathcal{B}$ where $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}_4/\mathcal{A}_5$. Then

$$L(\omega) = \{x_1 = 2ap_1 + bx_2^2, p_2 = -2bp_1x_2 - 4cx_2^3\},$$

$$p_1^2 - 2x_1x_2^2|_{L(\omega)} \in \mathcal{B}, \quad 2p_1x_1 - 3p_2x_2|_{L(\omega)} \in \mathcal{B},$$

$$3p_1p_2 - 4x_1^2x_2|_{L(\omega)} = 9p_2^2 - 8x_1^3|_{L(\omega)} = 0 \pmod{\mathcal{A}_5}.$$

Therefore, according to Lemma 3,

$$\dim \mathfrak{m}^2 \cap \mathcal{I}'(\Lambda_1)|_{L(\omega)} \leq 2$$

but $\dim \mathcal{B} = 3$.

7*) Let $\omega = a_1p_1^2 + a_2p_1p_2 + a_3p_2^2 + b_1p_1x_3^2 + b_2p_2x_3^2 + cx_3^4 \in \mathcal{B}$ where $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}_4/\mathcal{A}_5$. Then

$$L(\omega) = \{x_1 = 2a_1p_1 + a_2p_2 + b_1x_3^2, x_2 = a_2p_1 + 2a_3p_2 + b_2x_3^2, p_3 = -2b_1p_1x_3 - 2b_2p_2x_3 - 4cx_3^3\},$$

$$p_1^2 - 2x_1x_2^2|_{L(\omega)} = p_1^2 \pmod{\mathcal{A}_5} \in \mathcal{B}, \quad 3p_1p_2 - 4x_1^2x_2|_{L(\omega)} = 3p_1p_2 \pmod{\mathcal{A}_5} \in \mathcal{B},$$

$$2p_1x_1 - 3p_2x_2|_{L(\omega)} \in \mathcal{B}, \quad 9p_2^2 - 8x_1^3|_{L(\omega)} = 9p_2^2 \pmod{\mathcal{A}_5} \in \mathcal{B}, \quad p_3x_3|_{L(\omega)} \in \mathcal{B},$$

$$p_1p_3|_{L(\omega)} = p_2p_3|_{L(\omega)} = p_3^2|_{L(\omega)} = x_1p_3|_{L(\omega)} = x_2p_3|_{L(\omega)} = 0 \pmod{\mathcal{A}_5}.$$

Therefore, according to Lemma 3,

$$\dim \mathfrak{m}^2 \cap \mathcal{I}'(\Lambda_1)|_{L(\omega)} \leq 5$$

but $\dim \mathcal{B} = 6$.

8*) Let $\omega = ax_1p_2 + b_1x_1^3 + b_2x_1^2x_3 + b_3x_1x_3^2 + b_4x_3^3 \in \mathcal{B}$ where $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}'_3/\mathcal{A}_4$. Then

$$L(\omega) = \{p_1 = -ap_2 - 3b_1x_1^2 - 2b_2x_1x_3 - b_3x_3^2, x_2 = ax_1, p_3 = -b_2x_1^2 - 2b_3x_1x_3 - 3b_4x_3^2\},$$

$$p_1^2 - 2x_1x_2^2|_{L(\omega)} = -2a^2x_1^3 \pmod{\mathcal{A}_4} \in \mathcal{B}, \quad 3p_1p_2 - 4x_1^2x_2|_{L(\omega)} = -4ax_1^3 \pmod{\mathcal{A}_4} \in \mathcal{B},$$

$$2p_1x_1 - 3p_2x_2|_{L(\omega)} = -5ax_1p_2 - 6b_1x_1^3 - 4b_2x_1^2x_3 - 2b_3x_1x_3^2 \in \mathcal{B}, \quad 9p_2^2 - 8x_1^3|_{L(\omega)} = -8x_1^3 \pmod{\mathcal{A}_4} \in \mathcal{B},$$

$$x_1p_3|_{L(\omega)} = -b_2x_1^3 - 2b_3x_1^2x_3 - 3b_4x_1x_3^2 \in \mathcal{B}, \quad x_2p_3|_{L(\omega)} = ax_1p_3|_{L(\omega)} \in \mathcal{B},$$

$$p_3x_3|_{L(\omega)} = -b_2x_1^2x_3 - 2b_3x_1x_3^2 - 3b_4x_3^3 \in \mathcal{B}, \quad p_1p_3|_{L(\omega)} = p_2p_3|_{L(\omega)} = p_3^2|_{L(\omega)} = 0 \pmod{\mathcal{A}_4}.$$

Therefore, according to Lemma 3,

$$\dim \mathfrak{m}^2 \cap \mathcal{I}'(\Lambda_1)|_{L(\omega)} \leq 4$$

but $\dim \mathcal{B} = 5$.

4 Stable Lagrange Mappings

In this Section we prove Theorems 1 and 2. Theorem 1 follows from Theorem 2, the proved Theorem 3, and the following Lemma 4.

DEFINITION. Let $\Lambda \subset E$ be a Lagrange variety. The germ at 0 of the Lagrange fibration given by a generating family $W(p_I, x_J, y)$ such that $w(p_I, x_J) = W(p_I, x_J, 0)$ is called Λ -versal if

$$\mathcal{I}'(\Lambda)|_{L(w)} + \langle W_y|_{y=0}, 1 \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathcal{A}_0$$

where $\mathcal{I}'(\Lambda)$ is the ideal consisting of the germs of all functions on E which vanish on the Lagrange variety Λ , $L(w) \subset E$ is the Lagrange submanifold defined by the generating function w , and $\mathcal{A}_0$ is the algebra of the germs at 0 of smooth functions of p_I and x_J .

REMARK. This is nothing but the Givental' versality [9] for the Lagrange mapping $\Lambda \subset E \rightarrow Y$ when the Lagrange fibration is defined by the generating family W . Also, in the case Λ is an open Whitney umbrella, the Givental' versality condition is equivalent to that the parametrization of Λ is Lagrange stable with respect to the Lagrange fibration in the sense of [13][15] (Theorem 2 from [13], page 216).

LEMMA 4. *The germs of Lagrange fibrations from Theorem 1 are stable with respect to Λ_1 -equivalence.*

PROOF. Let $\alpha_I = \deg p_I$ and $\beta_J = \deg x_J$ be positive integer quasidegrees, $\mathcal{A}_0 \supset \mathcal{A}_1 \supset \dots$ be the corresponding quasihomogeneous filtration in the algebra of the germs at 0 of smooth functions of p_I and x_J , $\text{Gr } \mathcal{I}'(\Lambda_1)|_{L(\omega_\tau)} \subset \mathcal{A}_0$ be the quasihomogeneous ideal generated by the dominant quasihomogeneous parts of the germs from $\mathcal{I}'(\Lambda_1)|_{L(\omega_\tau)}$. Let us note that

$$\text{Gr } \mathcal{I}'(\Lambda_1)|_{L(\omega_\tau)} \cap \mathcal{A}_d = \mathcal{I}'(\Lambda_1)|_{L(\omega_\tau)} \cap \mathcal{A}_d \pmod{\mathcal{A}_{d+1}}.$$

By analogy with the cases 1_l , 2_l^d , 3_6^d , 5_l^d , 6_6^d from the proof of Lemma 1 we get

$$S_3) \ w(p_1, p_2) = 0, \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1 \Rightarrow \text{Gr } \mathcal{I}'(\Lambda_1)|_{L(w)} \supset (p_1^2, p_1 p_2, p_2^2) = \mathcal{A}_2;$$

$$S_m^\pm) \ w(x_1, p_2) = \pm e_{m+1}, \beta_1 = 2, \alpha_2 = 3 \Rightarrow \text{Gr } \mathcal{I}'(\Lambda_1)|_{L(w)} \supset (e_{m+1}, e_{m+2}, 9p_2^2 - 8x_1^3) \supset \mathcal{A}_{m+1};$$

$$T_5) \ w(p_1, x_2) = x_2^3, \beta_1 = 3, \alpha_2 = 2 \Rightarrow \text{Gr } \mathcal{I}'(\Lambda_1)|_{L(w)} \supset (p_1^2, p_1 x_2^2, x_2^3) = \mathcal{A}_6;$$

$$U_m^\pm) \ w(x_1, x_2) = \pm x_2^{m-3}, \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 1 \Rightarrow \text{Gr } \mathcal{I}'(\Lambda_1)|_{L(w)} \supset (x_1^3, x_1^2 x_2, x_1 x_2^2, x_2^{m-3}) \supset \mathcal{A}_{m-3};$$

$$V_6) \ w(p_1, p_2, x_3) = x_3^3, \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 3, \beta_3 = 2 \Rightarrow \text{Gr } \mathcal{I}'(\Lambda_1)|_{L(w)} \supset (p_1^2, p_1 p_2, p_2^2, x_3^2) \supset \mathcal{A}_6.$$

Therefore, the Nakayama's lemma implies that

$$S_3) \ \mathcal{I}'(\Lambda_1)|_{L(w)} \supset (p_1^2, p_1 p_2, p_2^2);$$

$$S_m^\pm) \ \mathcal{I}'(\Lambda_1)|_{L(w)} \supset (e_{m+1}, e_{m+2}, 9p_2^2 - 8x_1^3);$$

$$T_5) \ \mathcal{I}'(\Lambda_1)|_{L(w)} \supset (p_1^2, p_1 x_2^2, x_2^3);$$

$$U_m^\pm) \ \mathcal{I}'(\Lambda_1)|_{L(w)} \supset (x_1^3, x_1^2 x_2, x_1 x_2^2, x_2^{m-3});$$

$$V_6) \ \mathcal{I}'(\Lambda_1)|_{L(w)} \supset (p_1^2, p_1 p_2, p_2^2, x_3^2).$$

Hence, in the case of an arbitrary n :

$$S_3) \ \mathcal{I}'(\Lambda_1)|_{L(w)} \supset (p_1^2, p_1 p_2, p_2^2, p_3, \dots, p_n);$$

$$S_m^\pm) \ \mathcal{I}'(\Lambda_1)|_{L(w)} \supset (e_{m+1}, e_{m+2}, 9p_2^2 - 8x_1^3, p_3, \dots, p_n);$$

$$T_5) \ \mathcal{I}'(\Lambda_1)|_{L(w)} \supset (p_1^2, p_1 x_2^2, x_2^3, p_3, \dots, p_n);$$

$$U_m^\pm) \ \mathcal{I}'(\Lambda_1)|_{L(w)} \supset (x_1^3, x_1^2 x_2, x_1 x_2^2, x_2^{m-3}, p_3, \dots, p_n);$$

$$V_6) \ \mathcal{I}'(\Lambda_1)|_{L(w)} \supset (p_1^2, p_1 p_2, p_2^2, x_3^2, p_4, \dots, p_n).$$

So, our germs of Lagrange fibrations are Λ_1 -versal. According to Theorem 3 from [9], they are stable with respect to Λ_1 -equivalence. $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. The classification at regular points is just a rewrite of Arnold's theorem. The classification at singular points follows from Theorem 3, Lemma 4, and Theorem 2. $\square$

Now we are going to prove Theorem 2.

LEMMA 5. *Let r be a non-negative integer or $r = \infty$. Let $i : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (E, 0), i' : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (E, 0)$ be germs of Lagrange immersions with $j^r i(0) = j^r i'(0)$. Then there exists a germ of symplectic diffeomorphism τ such that $i' = \tau \circ i$, and that $j^r \tau(0) = j^r \text{id}_E(0)$.*

PROOF. It is sufficient to show in the case i is the inclusion of zero-section $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow T^*\mathbb{R}^n$ and $i' : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow T^*\mathbb{R}^n$ is defined as the graph of dh for a function $h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\text{ord}_0 h > r + 1$. Then τ may be defined by $(p, x) \mapsto (p + dh(x), x)$. $\square$

For a germ of symplectic manifold $(E, 0)$ and a germ of Lagrange submanifold $(L, 0) \subset (E, 0)$ at a base point 0, we denote by $\text{Sp}(E, L)$ the group consisting of germs of symplectic diffeomorphisms $(E, 0) \rightarrow (E, 0)$ preserving L . Take a Lagrange fibration $\pi : (E, 0) \rightarrow (Y, 0)$ having L as the central fiber: $\pi^{-1}(0) = L$. We denote by $\text{Lag}(E, \pi)$ the group consisting of π -fiber preserving symplectic diffeomorphism-germs $(E, 0) \rightarrow (E, 0)$. Notice that $\text{Lag}(E, \pi) \subset \text{Sp}(E, L)$.

LEMMA 6. *$\text{Lag}(E, \pi)$ is a deformation retract of $\text{Sp}(E, L)$. More exactly, there exists a mapping $D : \text{Sp}(E, L) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Sp}(E, L)$ with the properties: (1) $D(\tau, 0) = \tau, D(\tau, 1) \in \text{Lag}(E, \pi), (\tau \in \text{Sp}(E, L))$, (2) $D(\tau, t) = \tau, (\tau \in \text{Lag}(E, \pi), t \in [0, 1])$. (3) $D(\tau, \cdot) : E \times [0, 1] \rightarrow E$ is smooth on $E \times [0, 1]$ for each $\tau \in \text{Sp}(E, L)$ and continuous on a compact neighborhood of $0 \times [0, 1]$ in $E \times [0, 1]$ with respect to C^∞ -topology, when τ is considered as a variable. (4) $j^1(D(\tau, t)|_L)(0) = j^1 \text{id}_L(0), (\tau \in \text{Sp}(E, L), 0 \leq t \leq 1)$. In particular, each element of $\text{Sp}(E, L)$ is connected to an element of $\text{Lag}(E, \pi)$ by a smooth path, fixing the 1-jet of the restriction to L , within $\text{Sp}(E, L)$.*

PROOF. It suffices to show when $E = T^*\mathbb{R}^n$ with the canonical coordinates $(p, x), L = \{x = 0\}$ and $\pi : T^*\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow Y = \mathbb{R}^n$ is the standard projection $\pi(p, x) = x$. Let $\tau \in \text{Sp}(E, L)$. Set $\tau(p, x) = (P(p, x), X(p, x))$. Then $X(p, 0) = 0$. Remark that the Jacobi matrix $A = (\partial X / \partial x)(0, 0)$ is regular. Now consider the graph $\Gamma(\tau)$ of τ in $T^*\mathbb{R}^n \times T^*\mathbb{R}^n$, with coordinates $(p, x; p', x')$. Then $\Gamma(\tau)$ is a Lagrange submanifold with respect to the symplectic form $\Omega = \sum_i dp'_i \wedge dx'_i - \sum_i dp_i \wedge dx_i$ of $T^*\mathbb{R}^n \times T^*\mathbb{R}^n$. Consider the Lagrange projection $\Pi : T^*\mathbb{R}^n \times T^*\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ defined by $\Pi(p, x, p', x') = (p, x')$. Then $\Pi|_{\Gamma(\tau)} : \Gamma(\tau) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is a germ of diffeomorphism at 0. Also consider the projection $\Pi' : T^*\mathbb{R}^n \times T^*\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow T^*\mathbb{R}^n$ defined by $\Pi'(p, x; p', x') = (p, x)$, then $\Phi(\tau) = \Pi' \circ (\Pi|_{\Gamma(\tau)})^{-1} : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow T^*\mathbb{R}^n$ is a germ of diffeomorphism at 0. If we set $\Phi(p, x') = (p, x(p, x'))$, then the condition that τ preserves L is interpreted to the equation $x(p, 0) = 0$. Moreover $\tau \in \text{Lag}(E, \pi)$ if and only if $x(p, x')$ does not depend on p . Remark that $(\frac{\partial x}{\partial x'})(0, 0)$ is equal to the inverse matrix of A .

Now take the generating function $H = H(p, x')$ of $\Gamma(\tau)$ with respect to the 1-form $\theta = \sum_i p'_i dx'_i + \sum_i x_i dp_i$: We have $(\Pi|_{\Gamma(\tau)})^*(dH) = \theta|_{\Gamma(\tau)}$, and $x = \partial H / \partial p, p' = \partial H / \partial x'$. Then $(\partial H / \partial p)(p, 0) = 0$. Now we write $H(p, x') = h_0(x') + \sum_{i=1}^n h_i(x')p_i + I(p, x')$, $I(p, x')$ being of order ≥ 2 for p . Then $h_i(0) = 0, 1 \leq i \leq n$ and $(\partial I / \partial p)(p, 0) = 0$. Moreover τ belongs to $\text{Lag}(E, \pi)$ if and only if the

generating function $H = H(p, x')$ of $\Gamma(\tau)$ is an inhomogeneous linear function with respect to p , namely if $I(p, x') = 0$. Then we set $H_t(p, x') = h_0(x') + \sum_{i=1}^n h_i(x')p_i + (1-t)I(p, x')$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$. The graphs of dH_t in $T^*\mathbb{R}^n \times T^*\mathbb{R}^n$ project to diffeomorphically by Π' and thus define a family of symplectic diffeomorphisms $\tau_t : (T^*\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (T^*\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$ in $\text{Sp}(E, L)$. Then we set $D(\tau, t) = \tau_t$. The points (1), (2), (3) and (4) are clear. $\square$

Now we set

$$\mathcal{F} := \{f : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (T^*\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \mid f \text{ is isotropic of corank } \leq 1\},$$

$$\text{Sp-}\mathcal{A} := \{(\sigma, \tau) \mid \sigma \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^n, 0), \tau \in \text{Sp}(T^*\mathbb{R}^n, 0)\},$$

$$\text{Lag-}\mathcal{A} := \{(\sigma, \tau) \mid \sigma \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^n, 0), \tau \in \text{Lag}(T^*\mathbb{R}^n, \pi)\} = \text{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \times \text{Lag}(T^*\mathbb{R}^n, \pi),$$

group of ordinary Lagrange equivalence with respect to the canonical fibration $\pi : T^*\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $\pi(p, x) = x$, and set

$$\text{Lag-}\mathcal{K} := \{(\sigma, \tau) \in \text{Sp-}\mathcal{A} \mid \tau(L) = L\} = \text{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \times \text{Sp}(T^*\mathbb{R}^n, L),$$

where $L = \pi^{-1}(0)$, the central fiber. Then the groups $\text{Lag-}\mathcal{A}$ (resp. $\text{Lag-}\mathcal{K}$) acts on $\mathcal{F}$ naturally: $(\sigma, \tau)f := \tau \circ f \circ \sigma^{-1}$. Moreover we recall that $J_I^r(n, 2n) := \{j^r f(0) \mid f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is a submanifold of the ordinary jet space $J^r(n, 2n)$ [12]. Then $\text{Lag-}\mathcal{A}$ (resp. $\text{Lag-}\mathcal{K}$) acts on $J_I^r(n, 2n)$ naturally as well.

LEMMA 7. *Let $f, f' \in \mathcal{F}$. If f, f' are Lagrange stable and $\text{Lag-}\mathcal{K}$ -equivalent, then they are $\text{Lag-}\mathcal{A}$ -equivalent.*

PROOF. Since f, f' are $\text{Lag-}\mathcal{K}$ -equivalent, there is a $(\sigma, \tau) \in \text{Lag-}\mathcal{K}$ with $f' = \tau \circ f \circ \sigma^{-1}$. By Lemma 6, there is a smooth path $\tau_t \in \text{Sp}(E, L)$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, with $\tau_0 = \tau, \tau_1 \in \text{Lag}(E, \pi)$ and $j^1(\tau_t|_L)(0) = j^1(\text{id}|_L)(0)$. Then set $f_t := \tau_t \circ f$. Then $f_0 = f' \circ \sigma$.

Remark that $f_t, 0 \leq t \leq 1$ are Lagrange stable ([13], Theorem 1.2, [15], Theorem 1.1). Therefore $f_t, (0 \leq t \leq 1)$ are finitely $\text{Lag-}\mathcal{A}$ -determined ([15], Theorem 1.3), so we may discuss on an isotropic jet space $J_I^r(n, 2n)$ of sufficiently high order. (This argument is analogous to the ordinary one. See [21].)

The vector field $v = \frac{\partial f_t}{\partial t} : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow TE$ along f_{t_0} belongs to the tangent space $tf_0(m_n V_n) + wf_0(m_n V I_{2n})$ at f_{t_0} to the $\text{Lag-}\mathcal{A}$ -orbit, for each $t_0 \in [0, 1]$. (See [13][15] for the notations.) In fact there exists $\eta \in m_n V I_{2n}$ such that $v - \eta \circ f_{t_0}$ has null generating function. So we have $\xi \in V_n$ with $tf(\xi) = v - \eta \circ f_{t_0}$ (cf. [13], Lemma 4.3). Then ξ is tangent to the stratum through 0, with respect to the stratification of $\mathbb{R}^n$, by the types of open Whitney umbrellas. Since $tf(\xi)$ must vanish at 0, and f is immersive along each stratum, we see v vanishes at 0. Thus, by Mather's Lemma ([17] IV, pp. 534–535), we see that $j^r f_t(0), (0 \leq t \leq 1)$ belong to the single $\text{Lag-}\mathcal{A}$ -orbit in $J_I^r(n, 2n)$. In particular $j^r f_0(0)$ and $j^r f_1(0)$ belong to the same $\text{Lag-}\mathcal{A}$ orbit. By the determinacy, we have f_0 and f_1 are $\text{Lag-}\mathcal{A}$ -equivalent. Since f_0 and f' are $\text{Lag-}\mathcal{A}$ -equivalent, and f_1 and f are $\text{Lag-}\mathcal{A}$ -equivalent, we see that f and f' are $\text{Lag-}\mathcal{A}$ -equivalent. $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Let $\pi, \pi' : (E, 0) \rightarrow (Y, 0)$ be Λ -stable Lagrange projections. Set $L = \pi^{-1}(0)$ and $L' = \pi'^{-1}(0)$, and assume L and L' are formally Λ -equivalent. By taking symplectic coordinates, we may assume $E = T^*\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\pi : T^*\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is the standard fibration.

Then $j^\infty i(0) = j^\infty(\tau \circ i')(0)$, for some parametrizations i of L and i' of L' and for a symplectic diffeomorphism τ preserving Λ . By Lemma 5, there exists a symplectic diffeomorphism τ' with $j^\infty \tau'(0) = j^\infty \text{id}(0)$ and $i = \tau' \circ \tau \circ i'$. Remark that τ' needs not preserve Λ . Set $f' = \tau' \circ f$ for a parametrization (open Whitney umbrella) $f : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (E, 0)$ of Λ . Then f' is symplectically equivalent to f [13], and $j^\infty f'(0) = j^\infty f(0)$. Moreover (f, π) is Lagrange stable (Theorem 1.2 of [13]). Then as shown in [15] Theorem 1.3, f is finitely Lag- $\mathcal{A}$ -determined, and therefore (f', π) and (f, π) are Lagrange equivalent by (σ, τ'') , namely, $\tau'' \circ f' = f \circ \sigma$ and $\tau'' \in \text{Lag}(E, \pi)$. Set $\tau_1 = \tau'' \circ \tau' \circ \tau$. Then $\tau_1(\Lambda) = \Lambda$ and $\tau_1(L') = L$. Therefore L and L' are Λ -equivalent.

Now take a symplectic diffeomorphisms $\tau_2 : (E, 0) \rightarrow (E, 0)$ such that $\pi = \pi' \circ \tau_2$ [3]. Set $\tilde{f} = \tau_2^{-1} \circ f$. Since π is Λ -stable, we see that f is Lagrange stable with respect to π . Therefore $\tilde{f}$ is also Lagrange stable. Since $\tau_1 \circ \tau_2$ maps L to L and $f(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\tilde{f}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, f and $\tilde{f}$ are Lag- $\mathcal{K}$ -equivalent, by Lemma 8 below. Thus, by Lemma 7, f and $\tilde{f}$ are Lag- $\mathcal{A}$ -equivalent: For a $(\sigma, \tau_3) \in \text{Lag-}\mathcal{A}$, $\tilde{f} = \tau_3 \circ f \circ \sigma^{-1}$. Then $\tau_2 \circ \tau_3$ preserves Λ and $\pi' \circ \tau_2 \circ \tau_3 = \pi$. Therefore π and π' are Λ -equivalent. $\square$

5 Simple Stable Compositions

Here we remark that our result is applied to the classification problem for compositions of an isotropic mapping and a Lagrange fibration [13], when the isotropic mapping is the first open Whitney umbrella. For this, first recall the notion of C^∞ normalization [6][7] in the special case we need: A map-germ $f : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^p, 0)$ is called a C^∞ normalization if f is C^∞ -right-left equivalent to an analytic map-germ $f' : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^p, 0)$ which is a normalization of the image.

Recall that a map-germ $f : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (E, 0)$ is called an Whitney umbrella of type k if f is symplectically equivalent to a polynomial map-germ $f_{n,k} : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (T^*\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$ explicitly given in [13], namely $f \circ \sigma = \tau \circ f_{n,k}$ for a diffeomorphism $\sigma : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$ and a symplectic diffeomorphism $\tau : (E, 0) \rightarrow (E, 0)$.

Remark that the normal form $f_{n,k}$ of open Whitney umbrellas is an analytic normalization of the image, and therefore any open Whitney umbrella is a C^∞ -normalization. The following lemma is a special case of Theorem 1.11 of [7]:

LEMMA 8. Let $f : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (E, 0)$ be a C^∞ normalization. Denote by $f(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the well-defined germ of the image of f . If a germ of diffeomorphism $h : (E, 0) \rightarrow (E, 0)$ preserves $f(\mathbb{R}^n)$, namely if $h(f(\mathbb{R}^n)) = f(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then there exists a germ of diffeomorphism $\sigma : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$ such that $h \circ f = f \circ \sigma$.

Then we have:

LEMMA 9. Let $f, f' : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (E, 0)$ be open Whitney umbrellas of same type k , and $\pi, \pi' : (E, 0) \rightarrow (Y, 0)$ Lagrange fibrations. Then the following conditions are equivalent: (1) There exist a diffeomorphism $\sigma : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$, a symplectic diffeomorphism $\tau : (E, 0) \rightarrow (E, 0)$ and a diffeomorphism $\bar{\tau} : (Y, 0) \rightarrow (Y, 0)$ with $f' \circ \sigma = \tau \circ f$ and $\pi' \circ \tau = \bar{\tau} \circ \pi$. (Lagrange equivalence of (f, π) and (f', π') , in the sense of [15].) (2) There exists a symplectic diffeomorphism $\tau : (E, 0) \rightarrow (E, 0)$ and a diffeomorphism $\bar{\tau} : (Y, 0) \rightarrow (Y, 0)$ satisfying $\tau(f(\mathbb{R}^n)) = f'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\pi' \circ \tau = \bar{\tau} \circ \pi$.

PROOF. The implication (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) is straightforward. (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): First assume $\pi' = \pi$. Since both f and f' are symplectically equivalent to the parametrization $f_{n,k}$, there exist diffeomorphisms $\sigma', \sigma'' : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$ and symplectic diffeomorphisms $\tau', \tau'' : (E, 0) \rightarrow (E, 0)$ such that $f \circ \sigma' = \tau' \circ f_{n,k}$ and $f' \circ \sigma'' = \tau'' \circ f_{n,k}$. We set $\Lambda_k = f_{n,k}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then we see that $\tau''^{-1} \circ \tau \circ \tau'(\Lambda_k) = \Lambda_k$. By Lemma 8, there exists a diffeomorphism $\sigma''' : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$ such that $\tau''^{-1} \circ \tau \circ \tau' \circ f_{n,k} = f_{n,k} \circ \sigma'''$. Then we have $\tau \circ f = f' \circ \sigma'' \circ \sigma''' \circ \sigma'^{-1}$.

In general case, apply the above argument to f and $T \circ f'$, for a symplectic diffeomorphism $T : (E, 0) \rightarrow (E, 0)$ satisfying $\pi' = \pi \circ T$ ([3]). $\square$

References

- [1] V.I. Arnol'd, *Normal forms for functions near degenerate critical points, the Weyl groups of A_k, D_k, E_k and Lagrangian singularities*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **6-4** (1972), 254-272.
- [2] V.I. Arnol'd, *Lagrangian manifolds with singularities, asymptotic rays, and the open swallowtail*, Funct. Anal. Appl., **15-4** (1981), 235-246.
- [3] V.I. Arnol'd, S.M. Gusein-Zade, A.N. Varchenko, *Singularities of Differentiable Maps I*, Birkhäuser, 1985.
- [4] I.A. Bogaevski, *Singularities of convex hulls of three-dimensional hypersurfaces*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math., **221** (1998), 71-90.
- [5] I.A. Bogaevski, *Singularities of linear waves in 2D and 3D space*, Proceedings of Arnold's Seminar, AMS, forthcoming.
- [6] A. du Plessis, T. Gaffney, L.C. Wilson, *Map-germs determined by their discriminants*, Stratifications, singularities and differential equations, I (Marseille, 1990; Honolulu, HI, 1990), pp. 1-40, Travaux en Cours, **54**, Hermann, Paris, 1997.
- [7] T. Gaffney, L. Wilson, *Equivalence of generic mappings and C^∞ normalization*, Compositio Math. **49-3** (1983), 291-308.
- [8] A.B. Givental', *Lagrangian imbeddings of surfaces and open Whitney umbrella*, Funkt. Anal. Prilozhen, **20-3** (1986), 35-41. English transl., Funct. Anal. Appl., **20** (1986), 197-203.
- [9] A.B. Givental', *Singular Lagrangian manifolds and their Lagrangian mappings*, Itogi Nauki Tekh., Ser. Sovrem. Prob. Mat., (Contemporary Problems of Mathematics) **33**, VINITI, 1988, pp. 55-112. English transl., J. Soviet Math. **52** (1990), no. 4, 3246-3278.
- [10] M. Golubitsky, V. Guillemin, *Contact equivalence for Lagrangian manifolds*, Adv. in Math., **15** (1975), 357-387.
- [11] G. Ishikawa *The local model of an isotropic map-germ arising from one dimensional symplectic reduction*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **111-1** (1992), 103-112.
- [12] G. Ishikawa, *Transversalities for Lagrange singularities of isotropic mappings of corank one*, in Singularities and Differential Equations, Banach Center Publications, **33** (1996), 93-104.
- [13] G. Ishikawa, *Symplectic and Lagrange stabilities of open Whitney umbrellas*, Invent. math., **126-2** (1996), 215-234.

- [14] G. Ishikawa, *Singularities of developable surfaces*, in London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes Series, **263** (1999), 403–418.
- [15] G. Ishikawa, *Determinacy, transversality and Lagrange stability*, in Geometry and Topology of Caustics – Caustics' 98, Banach Center Publications, **50** (1999), 123–135.
- [16] S. Janeczko, *Generating families for images of Lagrangian submanifolds and open swallowtails*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., **100** (1986), 91–107.
- [17] J.N. Mather, *Stability of C^∞ mappings I: The division theorem*, Ann. of Math., **87** (1968), 89–104. *II: Infinitesimally stability implies stability*, Ann. of Math., **89** (1969), 254–291. *III: Finitely determined map-germs*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S., **35** (1968), 127–156. *IV: Classification of stable germs by $\mathbb{R}$ algebras*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S., **37** (1970), 223–248. *V: Transversality*, Adv. Math., **4** (1970), 301–336. *VI: The nice dimensions*, Lecture Notes in Math., **192** (1972), 207–253.
- [18] A. Kh. Rakhimov, *Singularities of Riemannian invariants*, Funct. Anal. Appl., **27–1** (1992), 39–50.
- [19] O.P. Scherbak, *Projectively dual space curves and Legendre singularities*, Trudy Tbiliss. Univ. **232–233** (1982), 280–336. English transl., Sel. Math. Sov. **5–4** (1986), 391–421.
- [20] O.P. Scherbak, *Wavefront and reflection groups*, Russian Math. Surveys **43–3** (1988), 149–194.
- [21] C.T.C. Wall, *Finite determinacy of smooth map-germs*, Bull. London Math. Soc., **13** (1981), 481–539.
- [22] V. M. Zakalyukin, *Generating ideals of Lagrangian varieties*, Theory of Singularities and its Applications, ed. by V.I. Arnol'd, Advances in Soviet Mathematics, vol.1, Amer. Math. Soc., 1990, pp.201–210.
- [23] V. M. Zakalyukin, *Simple coisotropic caustics*, Preprint, Universite de Bourgogne 189 (1999).
- [24] V.M. Zakalyukin, O.M. Myasnicenko, *Lagrange singularities under symplectic reduction*, Funct. Anal. Appl., **32–1** (1998), 1–9.
- [25] V.M. Zakalyukin, R.M. Roberts, *On stable singular Lagrangian varieties*, Funct. Anal. Appl., **26–3** (1992), 174–178.

Ilia Bogaevski,
 Independent University of Moscow,
 Bolshoi Vlas'evskii per. 11, Moscow 121002
 Russia
 e-mail: bogaevsk@mccme.ru

Goo Ishikawa,
 Department of Mathematics,
 Hokkaido University,
 Sapporo 060-0810
 Japan
 e-mail: ishikawa@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp