



HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

Title	Invariant subspaces and Hankel type operators on a Bergman space
Author(s)	Nakazi, Takahiko; Osawa, Tomoko
Citation	Hokkaido University Preprint Series in Mathematics, 686, 1-9
Issue Date	2005
DOI	https://doi.org/10.14943/83837
Doc URL	https://hdl.handle.net/2115/69491
Type	departmental bulletin paper
File Information	re686.pdf



Invariant Subspaces And Hankel Type Operators On A Bergman Space

by

Takahiko Nakazi*

and

Tomoko Osawa

*This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, Ministry of Education of Japan.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : Primary 47 B 35, 47 A 15

Key words and phrases : Bergman space, invariant subspace, Hankel type operator

Abstract. Let $L^2 = L^2(D, r dr d\theta / \pi)$ be the Lebesgue space on the open unit disc D and let $L_a^2 = L^2 \cap Hol(D)$ be a Bergman space on D . In this paper, we are interested in a closed subspace $\mathcal{M}$ of L^2 which is invariant under the multiplication by the coordinate function z , and a Hankel type operator from L_a^2 to $\mathcal{M}^\perp$. In particular, we study an invariant subspace $\mathcal{M}$ such that there does not exist a finite rank Hankel type operator except a zero operator.

§1. Introduction

Let D be the open unit disc in $\mathbb{C}$ and $Hol(D)$ be the set of all holomorphic functions on D . Let $d\mu = r dr d\theta / \pi$ and $L^2 = L^2(D, d\mu)$ the Lebesgue space. The Bergman space L_a^2 on D is defined by $L_a^2 = L^2 \cap Hol(D)$. Then L_a^2 is the closed subspace of L^2 . When $\mathcal{M}$ is a closed subspace of L^2 and $z\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{M}$ is called an invariant subspace. For φ in $L^\infty = L^\infty(D, d\mu)$, a Hankel type operator is defined by

$$H_\varphi^\mathcal{M} f = (I - P^\mathcal{M})(\varphi f) \quad (f \in L_a^2)$$

where $P^\mathcal{M}$ is the orthogonal projection from L^2 onto $\mathcal{M}$. When $\mathcal{M} = L_a^2$, $H_\varphi^\mathcal{M}$ is called a big Hankel operator and when $\mathcal{M} = (\overline{z}L_a^2)^\perp$, $H_\varphi^\mathcal{M}$ is called a small Hankel operator. When $L_a^2 \subseteq \mathcal{M} \subseteq (\overline{z}L_a^2)^\perp$, $H_\varphi^\mathcal{M}$ is called an intermediate Hankel operator.

It is easy to see that there does not exist a finite rank big Hankel operator except a zero one (see [5], [6]). On the other hand, there exist a lot of finite rank nonzero small Hankel operators (see [5]). In fact, it is easy to see the results. E. Strouse [7] described completely all finite rank intermediate Hankel operators for some invariant subspace. In the previous paper [5], we began to study finite rank intermediate Hankel operators for arbitrary invariant subspace. In [5, Theorem 3.2], we gave three necessary and sufficient conditions for $\mathcal{M}$ such that does not exist a finite rank intermediate Hankel operator except a zero one. In this paper, without the hypothesis on an invariant subspace $\mathcal{M}$, we give a new necessary and sufficient condition for $\mathcal{M}$ which have a finite rank Hankel type operator except a zero one.

For an invariant subspace $\mathcal{M}$ in L^2 , $\ker H_\varphi^\mathcal{M}$ denotes the kernel of $H_\varphi^\mathcal{M}$ and then $\ker H_\varphi^\mathcal{M} = \{f \in L_a^2; \varphi f \in \mathcal{M}\}$. Hence $\ker H_\varphi^\mathcal{M}$ is also an invariant subspace in L_a^2 . Thus each invariant subspace $\mathcal{M}$ in L^2 is related to an invariant subspace in L_a^2 by a Hankel type operator. In this paper, the following property of invariant subspaces in L^2 is important.

Definition. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an invariant subspace of L^2 . $\mathcal{M}$ is called weakly divisible if whenever $f \in \mathcal{M}$ and $|f(z)| \leq \gamma |z - a|$ for some $a \in D$ and some $\gamma \geq 0$ then $f(z) = (z - a)g(z)$ and g is a function in $\mathcal{M}$.

In Section 2, we generalize a theorem of S. Axler and P. Bourdon [1]. Then they will be used in the latter sections. In Section 3, we show that there does not exist a finite rank Hankel type operator $H_\varphi^\mathcal{M}$ except a zero one if and only if $\mathcal{M}$ is weakly divisible. In Section 4, we give several examples of weakly divisible invariant subspaces.

In this paper $[S]_*$ denotes the weak* closed linear span of a subset S in L^∞ and $[S]_2$ denotes the closed linear span of a subset S in L^2 .

§2. An invariant subspace and the index

In this section, for a given invariant subspace $\mathcal{M}$ we are interested in two invariant subspaces $\mathcal{M}'$ and $\mathcal{M}''$ such that $\mathcal{M}' \subseteq \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}''$, $\dim \mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{M}' < \infty$ and $\dim \mathcal{M}'' \ominus \mathcal{M} < \infty$. Under some conditions on $\mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{M}'$ and $\mathcal{M}''$, we describe $\mathcal{M}'$ and $\mathcal{M}''$ using $\mathcal{M}$. Corollary 3 will be used in Sections 3 and 4. (1) of Corollary 3 is known in [1].

When $\mathcal{M}$ is an invariant subspace of L^2 , for $a \in \mathbb{C}$ put $\text{ind}_a \mathcal{M} = \dim \{ \mathcal{M} \ominus (z-a)\mathcal{M} \}$. $\text{ind}_a \mathcal{M}$ is called index of $\mathcal{M}$ at a . It is known (cf. [1]) that for each n ($0 \leq n \leq \infty$) and for any $a \in D$ there exists an invariant subspace $\mathcal{M}$ with $\text{ind}_a \mathcal{M} = n$.

Theorem 1. Let $\mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\mathcal{M}_2$ be invariant subspaces of L^2 and $\mathcal{M}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_2$.

(1) $\text{ind}_a \mathcal{M} = 0$ for any $a \notin D$.

(2) If $\dim \mathcal{M}_2 \ominus \mathcal{M}_1 < \infty$ then there exists a polynomial b such that $b \cdot \mathcal{M}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_1$, $Z(b) \subset D$ and the degree of $b \leq \dim \mathcal{M}_2 \ominus \mathcal{M}_1$ and $\sum (\text{ind}_a \mathcal{M}_2; a \in Z(b)) \geq \dim \mathcal{M}_2 \ominus \mathcal{M}_1$.

Proof. (1) If $|a| > 1$ then $(z-a)^{-1} \in H^\infty$ and $\mathcal{M} = (z-a)\mathcal{M}$. Hence $\text{ind}_a \mathcal{M} = 0$. If $|a| = 1$ then $(z-a)\mathcal{M} = (z-a)\{z-a(1+\varepsilon)\}^{-1}\mathcal{M}$. For any $f \in \mathcal{M}$, it is easy to see that

$$\int_D \left| \frac{z-a}{z-a(1+\varepsilon)} f - f \right|^2 d\mu \longrightarrow 0 \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0)$$

by a Lebesgue's convergence theorem. This implies that $(z-a)\mathcal{M}$ is dense in $\mathcal{M}$ and so $\text{ind}_a \mathcal{M} = 0$ for $|a| = 1$. (2) Put $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{M}_2 \ominus \mathcal{M}_1$ and $\mathcal{S}_z = PM_z|_{\mathcal{N}}$ where M_z is a multiplication operator on L^2 by the coordinate function z and P is the orthogonal projection from L^2 to $\mathcal{N}$. If $n = \dim \mathcal{N} < \infty$, then there exists a polynomial b of degree n such that $\mathcal{S}_b = b(\mathcal{S}_z) = 0$ and so $b \cdot \mathcal{M}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_1$. By (1), we may assume that $Z(b) \subset D$. We will prove that $\sum (\text{ind}_a \mathcal{M}_2; a \in Z(b)) \geq n$. We can write that $b = a_0 \prod_{j=1}^n (z-a_j)$ and so

$Z(b) = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ where $a_0 \in \mathbb{C}$. If $\sum (\text{ind}_a \mathcal{M}_2; a \in Z(b)) \leq n-1$ then we may assume $\text{ind}_{a_1} \mathcal{M}_2 = 0$. Since $[(z-a_1)\mathcal{M}_2]_2 = \mathcal{M}_2$, $\prod_{j=2}^n (z-a_j)\mathcal{M}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_1 \subset \mathcal{M}_2$. Then it is

easy to see that $\dim \mathcal{M}_2 \ominus [\prod_{j=2}^n (z-a_j)\mathcal{M}_2]_2 \leq n-1$ because $\text{ind}_{a_j} \mathcal{M}_2 \leq 1$ for $2 \leq j \leq n$.

This contradicts that $\dim \mathcal{M}_2 \ominus \mathcal{M}_1 = n$. $\square$

Corollary 1. Let $\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\mathcal{M}_2$ be invariant subspaces of L^2 and $\mathcal{M}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_2$. If $\dim \mathcal{M}_2 \ominus \mathcal{M}_1 = 1$ then $(z-a)\mathcal{M}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_1 \subsetneq \mathcal{M}_2$ for some $a \in D$ and $\text{ind}_a \mathcal{M}_2 \geq 1$. If $\text{ind}_a \mathcal{M}_1 = 1$ or $\text{ind}_a \mathcal{M}_2 = 1$ then $\mathcal{M}_1 = [(z-a)\mathcal{M}_2]_2$.

Proof. By Theorem 1, $(z-a)\mathcal{M}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_1$ for some $a \in D$ and so $\text{ind}_a \mathcal{M}_2 \geq 1$. Since $(z-a)\mathcal{M}_1 \subseteq (z-a)\mathcal{M}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_1 \subsetneq \mathcal{M}_2$, $\mathcal{M}_1 = [(z-a)\mathcal{M}_2]_2$ if $\text{ind}_a \mathcal{M}_1 = 1$ or $\text{ind}_a \mathcal{M}_2 = 1$. $\square$

Corollary 2. Let $\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\mathcal{M}_2$ be invariant subspaces such that $\mathcal{M}_1 \subsetneq \mathcal{M}_2$ and $\dim \mathcal{M}_2 \ominus \mathcal{M}_1 = n < \infty$. Suppose that $(z - a)\mathcal{M}_j$ is closed for any a in D when $j = 1, 2$. If $\text{ind}_a \mathcal{M}_1 = 1$ for any a in D or $\text{ind}_a \mathcal{M}_2 = 1$ for any a in D then $\mathcal{M}_1 = b\mathcal{M}_2$ and $\mathcal{M}_2 = \langle f_1/b, \dots, f_n/b \rangle \oplus \mathcal{M}_1$ where $b = \prod_{j=1}^n (z - a_j)$, $\{a_j\} \subset D$ and $\{f_j\} \subset \mathcal{M}_1$.

Proof. By Theorem 1 there exists a polynomial b such that $b\mathcal{M}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_1$ and $Z(b) \subset D$ and the degree of $b \leq n$. Hence $b = \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (z - a_j)$ and $\{a_j\} \subset D$ and $\ell \leq n$. When $\text{ind}_a \mathcal{M}_2 = 1$ for any a in D , $\dim \mathcal{M}_2 \ominus b\mathcal{M}_2 = \ell$ because $(z - a_j)\mathcal{M}_2$ is closed for $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ and so $\ell = n$. Hence $\mathcal{M}_1 = b\mathcal{M}_2$. When $\text{ind}_a \mathcal{M}_1 = 1$ for any a in D , $\dim \mathcal{M}_1 \ominus b\mathcal{M}_1 = \ell$ by the same reason. Since $b\mathcal{M}_1 \subseteq b\mathcal{M}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_1$ and $\dim b\mathcal{M}_2 \ominus b\mathcal{M}_1 = n$, $\ell = n$ and so $\mathcal{M}_1 = b\mathcal{M}_2$. Put $\mathcal{M}_2 = \langle \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \rangle \oplus \mathcal{M}_1$ where $\{\varphi_j\}$ are orthogonal to $\mathcal{M}_1$. What was just proved above, $b\mathcal{M}_2 = \mathcal{M}_1$ and so $b\mathcal{M}_2 = \langle b\varphi_1, \dots, b\varphi_n \rangle \oplus b\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{M}_1$. Put $f_j = b\varphi_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$ then $\{f_j\}$ are in $\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\mathcal{M}_2 = \langle f_1/b, \dots, f_n/b \rangle \oplus \mathcal{M}_1$. $\square$

Corollary 3. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an invariant subspace of L^2 .

(1) If $\dim L_a^2 \ominus \mathcal{M} = n < \infty$ and $n \neq 0$ then $\mathcal{M} = bL_a^2$ where $b = \prod_{j=1}^n (z - a_j)$ and $\{a_j\} \subset D$.

(2) If $\dim \mathcal{M} \ominus L_a^2 = n < \infty$ then $\mathcal{M} = L_a^2$.

Proof. It is known that $\text{ind}_a L_a^2 = 1$ and $(z - a)L_a^2$ is closed for each $a \in D$. Hence we can apply Corollary 2 $\mathcal{M}_1 = L_a^2$ or $\mathcal{M}_2 = L_a^2$. If $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}_2 = L_a^2$ then (1) follows. If $\mathcal{M}_1 = L_a^2$ and $\mathcal{M}_2 = \mathcal{M}$ then $\mathcal{M} = \langle f_1/b, \dots, f_n/b \rangle \oplus L_a^2$ where $b = \prod_{j=1}^n (z - a_j)$, $\{a_j\} \subset D$ and $\{f_j\} \subset L_a^2$. For each $1 \leq \ell \leq n$, $f_\ell/b \in L^2$ and so $f_\ell(a_j) = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Then f_ℓ/b belongs to L_a^2 and so $f_\ell/b = 0$ for each ℓ . Thus $\mathcal{M} = L_a^2$ and so (2) follows. $\square$

§3. Finite rank Hankel type operators

In this section, we study the relation between finite rank Hankel type operators and invariant subspaces.

Theorem 2. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an invariant subspace of L^2 . Then there does not exist a finite rank Hankel type operator $H_\varphi^{\mathcal{M}}$ except a zero one if and only if $\mathcal{M}$ is weakly divisible.

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{M}$ is weakly divisible. If $H_\varphi^{\mathcal{M}}$ is of finite rank then $\ker H_\varphi^{\mathcal{M}}$ is an invariant subspace in L_a^2 and $\dim L_a^2 / \ker H_\varphi^{\mathcal{M}} < \infty$. By (1) of Corollary 3, $\ker H_\varphi^{\mathcal{M}} = bL_a^2$ for some polynomial b with $Z(b) \subset D$ and so $b\varphi$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}$. Put $f = b\varphi$ then $|f(z)| \leq \gamma$

$b(z) \mid (z \in D)$ where $\gamma = \|\varphi\|_\infty$. Suppose $b(z) = a_0 \prod_{j=1}^n (z - a_j)$ where $\{a_j\} \subset D$. For any ℓ with $1 \leq \ell \leq n$,

$$\left| \frac{f(z)}{z - a_\ell} \right| \leq \gamma |a_0| \prod_{j \neq \ell} |z - a_j| \quad (z \in D)$$

and $f(z)/(z - a_\ell)$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}$ because $a_\ell \in D$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is weakly divisible. Thus $\varphi(z) = f(z)/b(z)$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}$. Hence $H_\varphi^\mathcal{M} = 0$.

Conversely if $\mathcal{M}$ is not weakly divisible then there exists a function f in $\mathcal{M}$ and a point a in D such that $|f(z)| \leq \gamma |z - a|$ ($z \in D$) and $f(z)/(z - a)$ does not belong to $\mathcal{M}$. Put $\varphi = f(z)/(z - a)$ then $\varphi \in L^\infty$ and $H_\varphi^\mathcal{M}$ is not zero because $\varphi \notin \mathcal{M}$. On the other hand, $(z - a)\varphi \in \mathcal{M}$ and so the kernel of $H_\varphi^\mathcal{M}$ contains $(z - a)L_a^2$. This implies that $H_\varphi^\mathcal{M}$ is of rank one because $L_a^2/(z - a)L_a^2 = \mathcal{C}$. $\square$

Proposition 1. *If there exists a symbol φ such that $r(H_\varphi^\mathcal{M}) = n \geq 1$ then there exists a symbol φ_j such that $r(H_{\varphi_j}^\mathcal{M}) = j$ for any j with $0 \leq j \leq n - 1$.*

Proof. Suppose $1 \leq n = r(H_\varphi^\mathcal{M}) < \infty$. Then $\ker H_\varphi^\mathcal{M}$ = the kernel of $H_\varphi^\mathcal{M}$ is an invariant subspace of L_a^2 and $L_a^2/\ker H_\varphi^\mathcal{M}$ is of finite dimension n . By Corollary 3, $\ker H_\varphi^\mathcal{M} = bL_a^2$ where $b = \prod_{\ell=1}^n (z - a_\ell)$ and $\{a_\ell\} \subset D$. Hence $b\varphi$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}$. Put $\varphi_j = \varphi \prod_{\ell=j+1}^n (z - a_\ell)$ for $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$ then $\varphi_j \notin \mathcal{M}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$ and $\varphi_0 = b\varphi$.

Since $\ker H_{\varphi_j}^\mathcal{M} = b_j L_a^2$ for $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$ where $b_j = \prod_{\ell=1}^j (z - a_\ell)$, $H_{\varphi_j}^\mathcal{M}$ is of finite rank j for $0 \leq j \leq n - 1$. $\square$

Corollary 4. *The following (1) and (2) are equivalent for an invariant subspace $\mathcal{M}$.*

(1) *If $r(H_\varphi^\mathcal{M}) < \infty$ then $r(H_\varphi^\mathcal{M}) = 0$.*

(2) *If $r(H_\varphi^\mathcal{M}) \leq 1$ then $r(H_\varphi^\mathcal{M}) = 0$.*

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) is clear. (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). If (1) is not true then there exists a symbol φ with $r(H_\varphi^\mathcal{M}) = n \geq 2$. By Proposition 1 there exists a symbol φ_1 such that $r(H_{\varphi_1}^\mathcal{M}) = 1$. This contradicts (2). $\square$

§4. Weakly divisible invariant subspaces

For a function f in L_a^2 , put $Z(f) = \{a \in D; f(a) = 0\}$ and $Z(G) = \cap \{Z(f); f \in G\}$ for a subset G in L_a^2 . For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, if E is a open set in D , H_E^p denotes the set of all functions in L^p that are analytic on E . In Corollary 5, a weakly divisible invariant subspace $\mathcal{M}$ is described completely when $\mathcal{M}$ is in L_a^2 . There exists a nonzero invariant subspace $\mathcal{M}$ in L_a^2 such that $\mathcal{M} \cap L^\infty = \langle 0 \rangle$. For it is known (see [4]) that there exists a nonzero function f in L_a^2 such that $Z(f)$ does not satisfy the Blaschke condition.

Theorem 3. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an invariant subspace of L^2 .*

- (1) *If $\mathcal{M} \cap L^\infty \subseteq H^\infty$ and $Z(\mathcal{M} \cap L^\infty) = \emptyset$ then $\mathcal{M}$ is weakly divisible.*
- (2) *If $\mathcal{M} \cap L^\infty = H_E^\infty$ for some open set E , then $\mathcal{M}$ is weakly divisible.*
- (3) *If $\mathcal{M} \cap L^\infty = \langle 0 \rangle$ then $\mathcal{M}$ is weakly divisible.*

Proof. (1) If $\{f_n\}$ is a sequence in $\mathcal{M} \cap L^\infty$ which converges pointwise boundedly to f , then $f \in \mathcal{M}$. By the Krein-Schmulian criterion (see[3, IV 2.1]), $\mathcal{M} \cap L^\infty$ is weak-star closed. Hence, by a well known theorem of Beurling [2] $\mathcal{M} \cap L^\infty = qH^\infty$ for some inner function q . Hence if $f \in \mathcal{M}$ and $|f(z)| \leq \gamma |z - a|$ ($z \in D$) for some $a \in D$ then $f = qh$ for some $h \in H^\infty$. Since $Z(\mathcal{M} \cap L^\infty) = \emptyset$, $|q(z)| > 0$ ($z \in D$) and so $h(a) = 0$. Hence $f(z)/(z - a) = q(z) \times (h(z)/(z - a)) \in qH^\infty$. Thus $f(z)/(z - a)$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}$. (2) If $f \in H_E^\infty$ and $|f(z)| \leq \gamma |z - a|$ ($z \in D$) for some $a \in D$ then $f(z)/(z - a) \in L^\infty$ and $f(z)/(z - a)$ is analytic on E . Hence $f(z)/(z - a)$ belongs to H_E^∞ and so $\mathcal{M}$ is weakly divisible. (3) is clear. $\square$

Corollary 5. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an invariant subspace of L_a^2 . Then $\mathcal{M}$ is weakly divisible if and only if $\mathcal{M} \cap L^\infty = \langle 0 \rangle$ or $Z(\mathcal{M} \cap L^\infty) = \emptyset$.*

Proof. The part of ‘ if ’ is a result of (1) and (3) of Theorem 3. Conversely suppose that $\mathcal{M}$ is weakly divisible. If $\mathcal{M} \cap L^\infty \neq \langle 0 \rangle$ then by a theorem of Beurling there exists an inner function q with $\mathcal{M} \cap L^\infty = qH^\infty$. If $q(a) = 0$ for some $a \in D$ then there exists a finite positive constant γ such that $|q(z)| \leq \gamma |z - a|$ ($z \in D$) and $q/(z - a) \notin \mathcal{M}$. This contradicts the weakly divisibility of $\mathcal{M}$ and so $Z(q) = Z(\mathcal{M} \cap L^\infty) = \emptyset$. $\square$

Corollary 6. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an invariant subspace of L^2 .*

- (1) *If $\mathcal{M} \subsetneq L_a^2$ and $\dim L_a^2 / \mathcal{M} < \infty$ then $\mathcal{M}$ is not weakly divisible.*
- (2) *If $\mathcal{M} \supseteq L_a^2$ and $\dim \mathcal{M} / L_a^2 < \infty$ then $\mathcal{M}$ is weakly divisible.*

Proof. (1) If $\mathcal{M} \subsetneq L_a^2$ and $\dim L_a^2 / \mathcal{M} = \ell < \infty$ then by (1) of Corollary 3 $\mathcal{M} = bL_a^2$ where $b = \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (z - a_j)$ and $a_j \in D$ ($1 \leq j \leq \ell$). Hence $Z(\mathcal{M} \cap L^\infty) = Z(b) \neq \emptyset$ and so by Corollary 5 $\mathcal{M}$ is not weakly divisible. (2) By (2) of Corollary 3 $\mathcal{M} = L_a^2$ and so $\mathcal{M} \cap L^\infty = H^\infty$.

Hence (1) of Theorem 3 implies that $\mathcal{M}$ is weakly divisible. $\square$

Corollary 7. *If $\mathcal{M} = H_E^2$ for some open set E in D then $\mathcal{M}$ is weakly divisible.*

Proof. It is a result of (2) of Theorem 3. $\square$

Proposition 2. *Suppose that $\mathcal{M}_j$ is a weakly divisible invariant subspace of L^2 for $j = 1, 2, \dots$ and $\mathcal{M}_j \times \mathcal{M}_\ell = \{fg; f \in \mathcal{M}_j \text{ and } g \in \mathcal{M}_\ell\} = \langle 0 \rangle$ if $j \neq \ell$. If $\mathcal{M} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \oplus \mathcal{M}_j$ then $\mathcal{M}$ is a weakly divisible invariant subspace.*

Proof. If $f \in \mathcal{M}$ then $f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f_j$ and $|f(z)| = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |f_j(z)|$ ($z \in D$) by hypothesis.

This implies that $\mathcal{M}$ is weakly divisible. $\square$

Corollary 8. *Let $1 \leq \ell \leq \infty$. Suppose D_j is an open set in D with $\mu(\partial D_j) = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq \ell$, $D_i \cap D_j = \emptyset$ ($i \neq j$) and $D = \cup_{j=1}^{\ell} D_j$. Then $\mathcal{M} = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \oplus L_a^2(D_j)$ is weakly divisible.*

Proof. This is a result of Corollary 7 and Proposition 2. $\square$

Proposition 3. *If $\mathcal{M}$ is a weakly divisible invariant subspace of L^2 and ϕ is a unimodular function in L^∞ then $\phi \cdot \mathcal{M}$ is a weakly divisible invariant subspace.*

Proof. From the definition of weakly divisibility, the proposition follows trivially.

$\square$

Corollary 9. *If ϕ is a unimodular function in L^∞ then ϕL_a^2 is weakly divisible.*

References

- [1] S. Axler and P. Bourdon, *Finite-codimensional invariant subspaces of Bergman spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 306(1988), 805-817.
- [2] A. Beurling, *On two problems concerning linear transformations in Hilbert space*, Acta. Math. 81(1948), 239-255.
- [3] T. W. Gamelin, *Uniform Algebras*, Prentice Hall. Englewood Cliffs. New jersey.
- [4] H. Hedenmalm, B. Korenblum and K. Zhu, *Theory of Bergman Spaces*, Graduate texts in mathematics; 199(2000), Springer.
- [5] T. Nakazi and T. Osawa, *Finite-rank intermediate Hankel operators on the Bergman space*, Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci. 25(2001), 19-31.

[6] N. Das, *The kernel of a Hankel operator on the Bergman space*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 31(1999), 75-80.

[7] E. Strouse, *Finite rank intermediate Hankel operators*, Arch. Math. 67(1996), 142-149.

Takahiko Nakazi
Department of Mathematics
Hokkaido University
Sapporo 060-0810, Japan

E-mail : nakazi@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp

Tomoko Osawa
Mathematical and Scientific Subjects
Asahikawa National College of Technology
Asahikawa 071-8142, Japan

E-mail : ohsawa@asahikawa-nct.ac.jp