



HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

Title	Boundary behavior of solutions of the Helmholtz equation
Author(s)	Hirata, Kentaro
Citation	Hokkaido University Preprint Series in Mathematics, 810, 1-8
Issue Date	2006
DOI	https://doi.org/10.14943/83960
Doc URL	https://hdl.handle.net/2115/69618
Type	departmental bulletin paper
File Information	re810.pdf



BOUNDARY BEHAVIOR OF SOLUTIONS OF THE HELMHOLTZ EQUATION

KENTARO HIRATA

ABSTRACT. This paper is concerned with the boundary behavior of solutions of the Helmholtz equation in $\mathbb{R}^n$. In particular, we give a Littlewood-type theorem to show that the approach region introduced by Korányi and Taylor (1983) is best possible.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $n \geq 2$ and let us denote a typical point in $\mathbb{R}^n$ by $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. The usual inner product and norm are written respectively as $\langle x, y \rangle = x_1 y_1 + \dots + x_n y_n$ and $|x| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$. The symbol $O(n)$ stands for the set of all orthogonal transformations on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\lambda > 0$. We consider the Helmholtz equation

$$(1.1) \quad \Delta u = \lambda^2 u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $\Delta = \partial^2/\partial x_1^2 + \dots + \partial^2/\partial x_n^2$. It is known that the Martin boundary for positive solutions of (1.1) can be identified with the unit sphere S of $\mathbb{R}^n$, and that every positive solution u of (1.1) can be represented as $u = K\mu$ for some Radon measure μ on S , where

$$(1.2) \quad K\mu(x) = \int_S e^{\lambda \langle x, y \rangle} d\mu(y) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

See [4, Corollary to Theorem 4] and [9]. Let σ denote the surface measure on S . Since $K\sigma(x) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ (cf. Lemma 2.1), we investigate the behavior at infinity of the normalization $K\mu/K\sigma$. Let $e = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and let Ω be an unbounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ converging to e at ∞ in the sense that $|x/|x| - e| \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ within Ω . We write $\Omega(y)$ for the image of Ω under an element of $O(n)$ mapping e to y . Then $\{\Omega(y) : y \in S\}$ makes a collection of approach regions. By the notation $\Omega(y) \ni x \rightarrow \infty$, we mean that $x \rightarrow \infty$ within $\Omega(y)$. Korányi and Taylor [9] considered the following approach region. For $\alpha > 0$ and $y \in S$, define

$$\mathcal{A}_\alpha(y) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - |x|y| \leq \alpha \sqrt{|x|} \right\}.$$

Theorem A. *Let $\alpha > 0$ and let μ be a Radon measure on S . Then*

$$\lim_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha(y) \ni x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K\mu}{K\sigma}(x) = \frac{d\mu}{d\sigma}(y) \quad \text{for } \sigma\text{-a.e. } y \in S.$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 31B25, 35J05.

Key words and phrases. boundary behavior, Helmholtz equation.

This result corresponds to Fatou's theorem [5] for the boundary behavior of harmonic functions in the unit ball or the upper half space of $\mathbb{R}^n$ (see also [8, 12] for invariant harmonic functions in the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$). The result corresponding to Nagel–Stein's theorem [11] was established by Berman and Singman [3]. The potential theoretic extension was due to Gowrisankaran and Singman [6]. These results show that there exists an unbounded subset Ω of $\mathbb{R}^n$ converging to e at ∞ such that

$$\limsup_{\Omega \ni x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x - |x|e|}{\sqrt{|x|}} = +\infty$$

and that

$$\lim_{\Omega(y) \ni x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K\mu}{K\sigma}(x) = \frac{d\mu}{d\sigma}(y) \quad \text{for } \sigma\text{-a.e. } y \in S,$$

whenever μ is a Radon measure on S . Berman and Singman also showed the following (see [3, Theorem B and Remark 1. 13(a)]).

Theorem B. *Let Ω be an unbounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ converging to e at ∞ and satisfying*

$$(1.3) \quad \limsup_{\Omega \ni x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x - |x|e|}{\sqrt{|x|}} = +\infty.$$

Suppose in addition that Ω is invariant under all elements of $O(n)$ that preserve the point e . Then there exists a Radon measure μ on S such that

$$\limsup_{\Omega(y) \ni x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K\mu}{K\sigma}(x) = +\infty \quad \text{for every } y \in S.$$

Note that the second assumption on Ω can not be omitted from their construction even if “lim sup” in (1.3) is replaced by “lim”.

The purpose of this paper is to show the following Littlewood-type theorem. See [10, 1, 2, 7] for harmonic or invariant harmonic functions.

Theorem 1.1. *Let γ be a curve in $\mathbb{R}^n$ converging to e at ∞ and satisfying*

$$(1.4) \quad \lim_{\gamma \ni x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x - |x|e|}{\sqrt{|x|}} = +\infty.$$

Then there exists a solution u of (1.1) such that $u/K\sigma$ is bounded in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and that $u/K\sigma$ admits no limits as $x \rightarrow \infty$ along $T\gamma$ for every $T \in O(n)$.

Remark 1.2. We indeed construct u satisfying $-1 \leq u/K\sigma \leq 1$ and

$$\liminf_{T\gamma \ni x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u}{K\sigma}(x) = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{T\gamma \ni x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u}{K\sigma}(x) = 1$$

for every $T \in O(n)$. Note that “lim” in (1.4) can not be replaced by “lim sup” as mentioned above (cf. [3, 6]).

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on our previous work [7] for invariant harmonic functions in the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$, which was a refinement of Aikawa's method [1, 2] for harmonic functions in the unit disc or the upper half space of $\mathbb{R}^n$. In Section 4, we remark that our construction and estimates are applicable to show Theorem B.

2. LEMMAS

The symbol A denotes an absolute positive constant depending only on λ and the dimension n , and may change from line to line. The following estimate is found in [3, Lemma 4.1].

Lemma 2.1. *There exists a constant $A > 1$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{A}e^{\lambda|x|}|x|^{(1-n)/2} \leq K\sigma(x) \leq Ae^{\lambda|x|}|x|^{(1-n)/2}$$

whenever $|x| \geq 1$.

The surface ball of center $y \in S$ and radius $r > 0$ is denoted by

$$Q(y, r) = \{x \in S : |x - y| < r\}.$$

Then we observe that

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sigma(Q(y, r))}{r^{n-1}} = \nu_{n-1},$$

where ν_{n-1} is the volume of the unit ball of $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Moreover, there exists a constant $A > 1$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{1}{A}r^{n-1} \leq \sigma(Q(y, r)) \leq Ar^{n-1} \quad \text{for } 0 < r \leq 2.$$

Let π be the radial projection onto S , i.e., $\pi(x) = x/|x|$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. For a Radon measure μ on S , we define the maximal function $M_{(c)}\mu$ with parameter $c \geq 1$ by

$$M_{(c)}\mu(x) = \sup \left\{ \frac{\mu(Q(\pi(x), r))}{r^{n-1}} : r \geq \frac{c}{\sqrt{|x|}} \right\}.$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let $c \geq 1$ and let μ be a Radon measure on S . Then*

$$\frac{K\mu}{K\sigma}(x) \leq A \left(|x|^{(n-1)/2} \mu(Q(\pi(x), c/\sqrt{|x|})) + \frac{1}{c} M_{(c)}\mu(x) \right)$$

whenever $|x| \geq 1$.

Proof. Let $|x| \geq 1$. Since $|x| - \langle x, y \rangle = |x||\pi(x) - y|^2/2$ for $y \in S$, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{K\mu}{K\sigma}(x) \leq A|x|^{(n-1)/2} \int_S e^{-(\lambda/2)|x||\pi(x)-y|^2} d\mu(y).$$

Let $Q_1 = Q(\pi(x), c/\sqrt{|x|})$ and $Q_j = Q(\pi(x), jc/\sqrt{|x|}) \setminus Q(\pi(x), (j-1)c/\sqrt{|x|})$ for $j = 2, \dots, N$, where N is the smallest integer such that $Nc/\sqrt{|x|} > 2$. Then, for $j = 1, \dots, N$,

$$\int_{Q_j} e^{-(\lambda/2)|x||\pi(x)-y|^2} d\mu(y) \leq e^{-(\lambda/2)((j-1)c)^2} \mu(Q(\pi(x), jc/\sqrt{|x|})).$$

Therefore the right hand side of (2.3) is bounded by

$$A \left(|x|^{(n-1)/2} \mu(Q(\pi(x), c/\sqrt{|x|})) + \sum_{j \geq 2} e^{-(\lambda/2)((j-1)c)^2} (jc)^{n-1} M_{(c)}\mu(x) \right).$$

Since $\sum_{j \geq 2} e^{-(\lambda/2)((j-1)c)^2} (jc)^{n-1} \leq A/c$, we obtain the required estimate. $\square$

For an integrable function f on S , we write $Kf = K(f d\sigma)$ and $M_{(c)}f = M_{(c)}(|f| d\sigma)$.

Lemma 2.3. *The following statements hold.*

(i) *Let μ be a Radon measure on S . Then*

$$\frac{K\mu}{K\sigma}(x) \leq AM_{(1)}\mu(x)$$

whenever $|x| \geq 1$.

(ii) *Let $y \in S$, $0 < r < 1$ and $c \geq 1$. Suppose that f is a Borel measurable function on S such that $f = 1$ on $Q(y, cr)$ and $|f| \leq 1$ on S . Then*

$$\frac{Kf}{K\sigma}(ty) \geq 1 - \frac{A}{c}$$

whenever $\sqrt{t} \geq 1/r$.

Proof. Lemma 2.2 with $c = 1$ gives (i). To show (ii), let $g = (1 - f)/2$. Then $g = 0$ on $Q(y, cr)$ and $|g| \leq 1$ on S . Observe from Lemma 2.2 and (2.2) that if $\sqrt{t} \geq 1/r$, then

$$\frac{Kg}{K\sigma}(ty) \leq \frac{A}{c} M_{(c)}g(ty) \leq \frac{A}{c} \sup \left\{ \frac{\sigma(Q(y, \rho))}{\rho^{n-1}} : \rho \geq \frac{c}{\sqrt{t}} \right\} \leq \frac{A}{c}.$$

Since $Kf = K\sigma - 2Kg$, we obtain (ii). $\square$

For a set E , let $\text{diam } E = \sup\{|x - y| : x, y \in E\}$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let γ be a curve in $\mathbb{R}^n$ converging to e at ∞ and satisfying (1.4). Then there exist sequences of numbers $\{a_j\}_{j \geq 1}$, $\{b_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ and subarcs $\{\gamma_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ of γ with the following properties:*

- (i) $1 < a_1 < b_1 < \dots < a_j < b_j < a_{j+1} < b_{j+1} < \dots \rightarrow +\infty$,
- (ii) $a_j \leq \sqrt{|x|} \leq b_j$ for $x \in \gamma_j$,
- (iii) $b_{j-1} \text{diam } \pi(\gamma_j) \leq 1$ if $j \geq 2$,
- (iv) $\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} a_j \text{diam } \pi(\gamma_j) = +\infty$.

Proof. Let $\{\alpha_j\}$ be a sequence such that $\alpha_j \rightarrow +\infty$ as $j \rightarrow +\infty$, and let us choose $\{a_j\}$, $\{b_j\}$ and $\{\gamma_j\}$ inductively. By (1.4), we find $a_1 > \max\{1, \inf_{x \in \gamma} \sqrt{|x|}\}$ with

$$\sqrt{|x|} |\pi(x) - e| \geq \alpha_1 \quad \text{for } x \in \gamma \cap \{\sqrt{|x|} \geq a_1\}.$$

Let γ' be the connected component of $\gamma \cap \{\sqrt{|x|} \geq a_1\}$ which converges to ∞ , and let $x_1 \in \gamma' \cap \{\sqrt{|x|} = a_1\}$. Then

$$\text{diam } \pi(\gamma') \geq |\pi(x_1) - e| \geq \frac{\alpha_1}{a_1}.$$

Let γ'' be a subarc of γ' starting from x_1 toward ∞ such that

$$\sup_{x \in \gamma''} \sqrt{|x|} < +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \text{diam } \pi(\gamma'') \geq \frac{1}{2} \text{diam } \pi(\gamma').$$

We take $b_1 > \sup_{x \in \gamma''} \sqrt{|x|}$. Let γ_1 be the connected component of $\gamma \cap \{a_1 \leq \sqrt{|x|} \leq b_1\}$ containing γ'' . Then

$$\text{diam } \pi(\gamma_1) \geq \frac{\alpha_1}{2a_1}.$$

We next choose a_2, b_2 and γ_2 as follows. By (1.4) and the fact that $|\pi(x) - e| \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ along γ , we find $a_2 > b_1$ such that

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{1}{2b_1} \geq |\pi(x) - e| \geq \frac{\alpha_2}{\sqrt{|x|}} \quad \text{for } x \in \gamma \cap \{\sqrt{|x|} \geq a_2\}.$$

Repeat the above process to get $b_2 > a_2$ and γ_2 such that $a_2 \leq \sqrt{|x|} \leq b_2$ for $x \in \gamma_2$ and $\text{diam } \pi(\gamma_2) \geq \alpha_2/2a_2$. Then (2.4) also yields that

$$\text{diam } \pi(\gamma_2) \leq 2 \sup_{x \in \gamma_2} |\pi(x) - e| \leq \frac{1}{b_1}.$$

Continue this process to obtain the required sequences. □

3. CONSTRUCTION

Throughout this section, we suppose that $\{a_j\}_{j \geq 1}$, $\{b_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ and $\{\gamma_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ are as in Lemma 2.4. Let

$$(3.1) \quad \ell_j = \frac{\text{diam } \pi(\gamma_j)}{3}, \quad c_j = \sqrt{a_j \text{diam } \pi(\gamma_j)} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_j = \frac{c_j}{a_j}.$$

Then, by Lemma 2.4,

$$(3.2) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \ell_j = 0, \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\rho_j}{\ell_j} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} c_j = +\infty.$$

Therefore, in the construction below, we may assume that $\rho_j < \ell_j$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we choose finitely many points $\{y_j^\nu\}_\nu$ in S such that

- (I) $S = \bigcup_\nu Q(y_j^\nu, \ell_j)$,
- (II) $Q(y_j^\mu, \ell_j/2) \cap Q(y_j^\nu, \ell_j/2) = \emptyset$ if $\mu \neq \nu$.

We define

$$(3.3) \quad M_j = \bigcup_\nu \{y \in S : |y - y_j^\nu| = \ell_j\},$$

$$(3.4) \quad G_j = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : a_j \leq \sqrt{|x|} \leq b_j \text{ and } \pi(x) \in M_j \right\}.$$

Then we have the following.

Lemma 3.1. $T\gamma_j \cap G_j \neq \emptyset$ for any $T \in O(n)$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. By (I), we find ν with $\pi(T\gamma_j) \cap Q(y_j^\nu, \ell_j) \neq \emptyset$. Since $\text{diam } \pi(T\gamma_j) = \text{diam } \pi(\gamma_j) = 3\ell_j$, we see that $\pi(T\gamma_j) \cap M_j \neq \emptyset$. Therefore it follows from $T\gamma_j \subset \{a_j \leq \sqrt{|x|} \leq b_j\}$ that $T\gamma_j \cap G_j \neq \emptyset$. □

Let $R_j^\nu = \{y \in S : \ell_j - \rho_j < |y - y_j^\nu| < \ell_j + \rho_j\}$ and define

$$(3.5) \quad E_j = \bigcup_{\nu} R_j^\nu.$$

Note that $Q(y, \rho_j) \subset E_j$ if $y \in M_j$. By $\mathcal{X}_E$ we denote the characteristic function of E .

Lemma 3.2. *The following properties for the above $\{E_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ hold.*

- (i) $\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\sup \left\{ \frac{K \mathcal{X}_{E_j}}{K \sigma}(x) : \sqrt{|x|} \leq b_{j-1} \right\} \right) = 0.$
- (ii) $\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma(E_j) = 0.$

Proof. Since the value $\sigma(R_j^\nu)$ is independent of ν , we write $\sigma_j = \sigma(R_j^\nu)$. For a moment, we fix j and let $\sqrt{|x|} \leq b_{j-1}$. By Lemma 2.3(i),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{K \mathcal{X}_{E_j}}{K \sigma}(x) &\leq A M_{(1)} \mathcal{X}_{E_j}(x) \\ &\leq A \sup \left\{ \sum_{\nu} \frac{\sigma(R_j^\nu \cap Q(\pi(x), r))}{r^{n-1}} : r \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{|x|}} \right\} \\ &\leq A \sup \left\{ \frac{\sigma_j}{r^{n-1}} N_j : r \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{|x|}} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where N_j is the number of ν such that $R_j^\nu \cap Q(\pi(x), r) \neq \emptyset$. If $r \geq 1/\sqrt{|x|}$, then $r \geq 1/b_{j-1} \geq \text{diam } \pi(\gamma_j) = 3\ell_j$ by Lemma 2.4. Therefore $R_j^\nu \cap Q(\pi(x), r) \neq \emptyset$ implies $Q(y_j^\nu, \ell_j/2) \subset Q(\pi(x), 2r)$. It follows from (II) that $N_j \leq A(r/\ell_j)^{n-1}$. Hence we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad \sup \left\{ \frac{K \mathcal{X}_{E_j}}{K \sigma}(x) : \sqrt{|x|} \leq b_{j-1} \right\} \leq A \frac{\sigma_j}{\ell_j^{n-1}}.$$

Observe from (2.1) and (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sigma_j}{\ell_j^{n-1}} &= \left(\frac{\ell_j + \rho_j}{\ell_j} \right)^{n-1} \frac{\sigma(Q(y, \ell_j + \rho_j))}{(\ell_j + \rho_j)^{n-1}} - \left(\frac{\ell_j - \rho_j}{\ell_j} \right)^{n-1} \frac{\sigma(Q(y, \ell_j - \rho_j))}{(\ell_j - \rho_j)^{n-1}} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

This together with (3.6) concludes (i).

Taking $x = 0$ in (i), we obtain

$$\sigma(E_j) = \sigma(S) \frac{K \mathcal{X}_{E_j}}{K \sigma}(0) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Thus (ii) follows. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. In view of Lemma 3.2, taking a subsequence of j if necessary, we may assume that

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{K \mathcal{X}_{E_j}}{K \sigma}(x) \leq 2^{-j} \quad \text{for } \sqrt{|x|} \leq b_{j-1},$$

and $\sigma(E_j) \leq 2^{-j}$. Then $\sigma(\bigcap_k \bigcup_{i \geq k} E_i) = 0$. For $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$f_j(y) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{I_j(y)} & \text{if } y \in \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq j} E_i, \\ 0 & \text{if } y \notin \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq j} E_i, \end{cases}$$

where $I_j(y) = \max\{i : y \in E_i, 1 \leq i \leq j\}$. Then we see that f_j converges σ -a.e. on S to

$$f(y) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{I(y)} & \text{if } y \in \bigcup_{i \geq 1} E_i \setminus \bigcap_k \bigcup_{i \geq k} E_i, \\ 0 & \text{if } y \notin \bigcup_{i \geq 1} E_i \text{ or } y \in \bigcap_k \bigcup_{i \geq k} E_i, \end{cases}$$

where $I(y) = \max\{i : y \in E_i\}$ for $y \in \bigcup_{i \geq 1} E_i \setminus \bigcap_k \bigcup_{i \geq k} E_i$. Also, we have the following:

$$|f_j| \leq 1, \quad |f_{j+1} - f_j| \leq 2\mathcal{X}_{E_{j+1}} \text{ on } S; \quad f_j = (-1)^j \text{ on } E_j; \quad Kf_j \rightarrow Kf \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Let $T \in O(n)$. By Lemma 3.1, we find $x_j \in T\gamma \cap G_j$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $a_j \leq \sqrt{|x_j|} \leq b_j$ and $Q(\pi(x_j), c_j/a_j) \subset E_j$. If j is even, then Lemma 2.3(ii) and (3.7) give

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{Kf}{K\sigma}(x_j) &= \frac{Kf_j}{K\sigma}(x_j) + \sum_{k \geq j} \frac{K(f_{k+1} - f_k)}{K\sigma}(x_j) \\ &\geq \frac{Kf_j}{K\sigma}(x_j) - 2 \sum_{k \geq j} \frac{K\mathcal{X}_{E_{k+1}}}{K\sigma}(x_j) \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{A}{c_j} - 2^{1-j}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if j is odd, then

$$\frac{Kf}{K\sigma}(x_j) \leq -1 + \frac{A}{c_j} + 2^{1-j}.$$

Hence we conclude from (3.2) that

$$\liminf_{T\gamma \ni x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Kf}{K\sigma}(x) = -1 < 1 = \limsup_{T\gamma \ni x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Kf}{K\sigma}(x).$$

Obviously, $u = Kf$ is a solution of (1.1) such that $-1 \leq u/K\sigma \leq 1$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Thus the proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete. $\square$

4. REMARK

Our construction and estimates in Sections 2 and 3 are applicable to show Theorem B. Suppose that an unbounded subset Ω of $\mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies the assumption in Theorem B. Then we find a sequence $\{x_j\}$ in Ω converging to e at ∞ such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|x_j - |x_j|e|}{\sqrt{|x_j|}} = +\infty.$$

Taking a subsequence of j if necessary, we may assume that $\sqrt{|x_{j-1}|}|\pi(x_j) - e| \leq 1$. Let $\omega_j = \{T_e(x_j) : T_e \in O(n) \text{ preserves } e\}$ and let $\omega = \bigcup_j \omega_j$. Note that ω is a subset of Ω

converging to e at ∞ . Let $a_j = b_j = \sqrt{|x_j|}$ and define

$$\ell_j = \frac{|\pi(x_j) - e|}{3}, \quad c_j = \sqrt{a_j |\pi(x_j) - e|} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_j = \frac{c_j}{a_j},$$

in place of (3.1). Then these satisfy (3.2) and $3\ell_j \leq 1/b_{j-1}$. Let M_j , G_j and E_j be as in (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5) respectively. Then the conclusions in Lemma 3.2 hold in this setting as well. Note that ω_j and G_j lie on the sphere of center at the origin and radius $|x_j|$. Let $T \in O(n)$. Since $\{y \in S : |y - Te| = 3\ell_j\} \subset \pi(T\omega_j)$, we see that $\pi(T\omega_j) \cap M_j \neq \emptyset$, and so $T\omega_j \cap G_j \neq \emptyset$. Hence we observe the existence of f such that

$$\liminf_{T\omega \ni x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Kf}{K\sigma}(x) \neq \limsup_{T\omega \ni x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Kf}{K\sigma}(x) \quad \text{for every } T \in O(n).$$

Thus $Kf/K\sigma$ admits no limits as $x \rightarrow \infty$ along $\Omega(y)$ for every $y \in S$.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Aikawa, *Harmonic functions having no tangential limits*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **108** (1990), no. 2, 457–464.
- [2] ———, *Harmonic functions and Green potentials having no tangential limits*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **43** (1991), no. 1, 125–136.
- [3] R. Berman and D. Singman, *Boundary behavior of positive solutions of the Helmholtz equation and associated potentials*, Michigan Math. J. **38** (1991), no. 3, 381–393.
- [4] F. T. Brawn, *The Martin boundary of $R^n \times]0, 1[$* , J. London Math. Soc. (2) **5** (1972), 59–66.
- [5] P. Fatou, *Séries trigonométriques et séries de Taylor*, Acta Math. **30** (1906), 335–400.
- [6] K. Gowrisankaran and D. Singman, *Thin sets and boundary behavior of solutions of the Helmholtz equation*, Potential Anal. **9** (1998), no. 4, 383–398.
- [7] K. Hirata, *Sharpness of the Korányi approach region*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (2005), no. 8, 2309–2317.
- [8] A. Korányi, *Harmonic functions on Hermitian hyperbolic space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **135** (1969), 507–516.
- [9] A. Korányi and J. C. Taylor, *Fine convergence and parabolic convergence for the Helmholtz equation and the heat equation*, Illinois J. Math. **27** (1983), no. 1, 77–93.
- [10] J. E. Littlewood, *On a theorem of Fatou*, J. London Math. Soc. **2** (1927), 172–176.
- [11] A. Nagel and E. M. Stein, *On certain maximal functions and approach regions*, Adv. in Math. **54** (1984), no. 1, 83–106.
- [12] J. Sueiro, *On maximal functions and Poisson-Szegő integrals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **298** (1986), no. 2, 653–669.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY, SAPPORO 060-0810, JAPAN
E-mail address: hirata@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp