



HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

Title	ON A DISCRETE SCHEME FOR TIME FRACTIONAL FULLY NONLINEAR EVOLUTION EQUATIONS
Author(s)	GIGA, YOSHIKAZU; LIU, QING; MITAKE, HIROYOSHI
Citation	Hokkaido University Preprint Series in Mathematics, 1122, 1-9
Issue Date	2019-02-28
DOI	https://doi.org/10.14943/87342
Doc URL	https://hdl.handle.net/2115/72669
Type	departmental bulletin paper
File Information	OnaDiscreteScheme.pdf



ON A DISCRETE SCHEME FOR TIME FRACTIONAL FULLY NONLINEAR EVOLUTION EQUATIONS

YOSHIKAZU GIGA, QING LIU, HIROYOSHI MITAKE

ABSTRACT. We introduce a discrete scheme for second order fully nonlinear parabolic PDEs with Caputo's time fractional derivatives. We prove the convergence of the scheme in the framework of the theory of viscosity solutions. The discrete scheme can be viewed as a resolvent-type approximation.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we are concerned with the second order fully nonlinear PDEs with Caputo's time fractional derivatives:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^\alpha u(x, t) + F(x, t, Du, D^2u) = 0 & \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) & \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ is a given constant, $u : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an unknown function and Du and D^2u , respectively, denote its spatial gradient and Hessian of u . We *always* assume that $u_0 \in BUC(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which denotes the space of all bounded uniformly continuous functions in $\mathbb{R}^n$. We denote *Caputo's time fractional derivative* by $\partial_t^\alpha u$, i.e.,

$$\partial_t^\alpha u(x, t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} \partial_s u(x, s) ds,$$

where Γ is the Gamma function.

We assume that F is a continuous *degenerate elliptic* operator, that is,

$$F(x, t, p, X_1) \leq F(x, t, p, X_2)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \geq 0, p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $X_1, X_2 \in \mathbb{S}^n$ with $X_1 \geq X_2$, where $\mathbb{S}^n$ denotes the space of $n \times n$ real symmetric matrices. Moreover, throughout this work we assume that F is locally bounded in the sense that

$$M_R := \sup_{\substack{(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty) \\ |p|, |X| \leq R}} |F(x, t, p, X)| < \infty \quad \text{for any } R > 0. \quad (1.3)$$

Studying differential equations with fractional derivatives is motivated by mathematical models that describe diffusion phenomena in complex media like fractals, which

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35R11, 35A35, 35D40.

Key words and phrases. Approximation to solutions; Caputo's time fractional derivatives; Second order fully nonlinear equations; Viscosity solutions.

The work of YG was partially supported by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) through grants KAKENHI #26220702, #16H03948, #18H05323, #17H01091. The work of QL was partially supported by the JSPS grant KAKENHI #16K17635 and the grant #177102 from Central Research Institute of Fukuoka University. The work of HM was partially supported by the JSPS grant KAKENHI #16H03948.

is sometimes called *anomalous diffusion* (see [11] for instance). It has inspired further research on numerous related topics. We refer to a non-exhaustive list of references [10, 14, 2, 3, 7, 15, 1, 13, 9, 4] and the references therein.

Among these results, the authors of [2, 1] mainly study regularity of solutions to a space-time nonlocal equation with Caputo's time fractional derivative in the framework of viscosity solutions. More recently, unique existence of a viscosity solution to the initial value problem with Caputo's time fractional derivatives has been established in the thesis of Namba [12] and independently and concurrently by Topp and Yangari [15]. The main part of [12] on this subject has been published in [7, 13]. For example, a comparison principle, Perron's method, and stability results for (1.1) in bounded domains with various boundary conditions have been established in [7, 13]. Similar results for whole space has been established in [15] for nonlocal parabolic equations.

Motivated by these works, in this paper we introduce a discrete scheme for (1.1)–(1.2), which will be explained in detail in the subsection below.

1.1. The discrete scheme. Our scheme is naturally derived from the definitions of Riemann integral and Caputo's time fractional derivative. We first observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t^\alpha u(\cdot, mh) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^{mh} (mh-s)^{-\alpha} \partial_s u(x, s) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \int_{kh}^{(k+1)h} (mh-s)^{-\alpha} \partial_s u(x, s) ds\end{aligned}$$

for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $h > 0$. If u is smooth in $\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty)$ and h is small, then we can approximately think that

$$\int_{kh}^{(k+1)h} (mh-s)^{-\alpha} \partial_s u(x, s) ds \approx \int_{kh}^{(k+1)h} (mh-s)^{-\alpha} \frac{u(x, (k+1)h) - u(x, kh)}{h} ds.$$

Note that $z\Gamma(z) = \Gamma(z+1)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{kh}^{(k+1)h} (mh-s)^{-\alpha} ds &= \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left(((m-k)h)^{1-\alpha} - ((m-k-1)h)^{1-\alpha} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\alpha} f(m-k)h^{1-\alpha},\end{aligned}$$

where we set

$$f(r) := r^{1-\alpha} - (r-1)^{1-\alpha} \quad \text{for } r \geq 1. \quad (1.4)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t^\alpha u(\cdot, mh) &\approx \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)h^\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} f(m-k) (u(x, (k+1)h) - u(x, kh)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)h^\alpha} \left\{ u(x, mh) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} C_{m,k} u(x, kh) \right\},\end{aligned}$$

where we set

$$C_{m,0} := f(m), \quad C_{m,k} := f(m-k) - f(m-(k-1)) \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, m-1.$$

Since f is a non-increasing function, we easily see that

$$C_{m,k} \geq 0 \quad \text{for } k = 0, \dots, m-1, \quad (1.5)$$

which implies monotonicity of the scheme (see Proposition 2.1).

Inspired by this observation, for any fixed $h > 0$, we below define a family of functions $\{U^h(\cdot, mh)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} \subset BUC(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by induction. Set $U^h(\cdot, 0) := u_0^h$, where $u_0^h \in BUC(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies

$$\sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u_0^h - u_0| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0. \quad (1.6)$$

Let $U^h(\cdot, mh) \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $m \geq 1$ be the viscosity solution of

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)h^\alpha} \left\{ u(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} C_{m,k} U^h(x, kh) \right\} + F(x, t, Du, D^2u) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (1.7)$$

Let us emphasize here that the equation (1.7) is an (degenerate) elliptic problem with the elliptic operator strictly monotone in u . In fact, for any $m \geq 1$ the elliptic equation is of the form

$$\lambda u(x) + F(x, t, Du, D^2u) = g(x) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (1.8)$$

where $\lambda > 0$ and $g \in BUC(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We can obtain such a unique viscosity solution $U^h(\cdot, mh) \in BUC(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to (1.7) with $t = mh$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ under appropriate assumptions on F .

Define the function $u^h : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$u^h(x, t) := U^h(x, mh) \quad \text{for each } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \in [mh, (m+1)h), m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}. \quad (1.9)$$

Our main result of this paper is to show the convergence of u^h to the unique viscosity solution of (1.1)–(1.2).

We remark that our scheme can be regarded as a resolvent-type approximation. Recall the implicit Euler scheme for the differential equation:

$$u_t + F[u] := u_t + F(x, t, Du, D^2u) = 0,$$

which is given by

$$u^h(\cdot, mh) - u^h(\cdot, (m-1)h) + hF[u^h(\cdot, mh)] = 0 \quad (m \in \mathbb{N}).$$

This is a typical scheme by approximating u by a function u^h piecewise linear in time with time grid length h . The resulting equation is a resolvent type equation for $u^h(\cdot, mh)$ if $u^h(\cdot, (m-1)h)$ is given. It is elliptic if the original equation is parabolic.

1.2. Main Results. We first give an abstract framework on the convergence of u^h .

Theorem 1.1 (Scheme convergence). *Assume that (1.3) and the following two conditions hold.*

- (H1) *For any $g \in BUC(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exists a viscosity solution $u \in BUC(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to (1.8) for any $t > 0$. Moreover, if $u, v \in BUC(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are, respectively, a subsolution and a supersolution of (1.8) with any fixed $t > 0$, then $u \leq v$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$.*
- (H2) *Let $u \in USC(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty))$ and $v \in LSC(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty))$ be, respectively, a sub- and a supersolution of (1.1). Assume u and v are bounded in $\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T)$ for any $T > 0$. If $u(\cdot, 0) \leq v(\cdot, 0)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, then $u \leq v$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty)$.*

Let u^h be given by (1.9) for any $h > 0$, where initial data u_0^h is assumed to fulfill (1.6). Then, $u^h \rightarrow u$ locally uniformly in $\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty)$ as $h \rightarrow 0$, where u is the unique viscosity solution to (1.1)–(1.2).

We obtain the following corollary of Theorem 1.1 under more explicit sufficient conditions of (H1) and (H2).

Corollary 1.2. *Assume that (1.3) and the following two conditions hold.*

(F1) *There exists a modulus of continuity $\omega : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that*

$$F(x, t, \mu(x - y), Y) - F(y, t, \mu(x - y), X) \leq \omega(|x - y|(\mu|x - y| + 1))$$

for all $\mu > 0$, $x, p \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t \geq 0$ and $X, Y \in \mathbb{S}^n$ satisfying

$$\begin{pmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & -Y \end{pmatrix} \leq \mu \begin{pmatrix} I & -I \\ -I & I \end{pmatrix}.$$

(F2) *There exists a modulus of continuity $\tilde{\omega} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that*

$$|F(x, t, p, X) - F(x, t, q, Y)| \leq \tilde{\omega}(|p - q| + |X - Y|)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t \geq 0$, $p, q \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $X, Y \in \mathbb{S}^n$.

Then, the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 holds.

Remark 1. The assumption (F2) can be removed in the presence of periodic boundary condition, that is, $x \mapsto u_0(x)$ and $x \mapsto F(x, t, p, X)$ are periodic with the same period. Recall that in a bounded domain or with the periodic boundary condition, (H1) is established in [5] and (H2) is available in [7, Theorem 3.1] [13, Theorem 3.4] under (F1).

The comparison result in (H1) under (F1), (F2) in an unbounded domain is due to [8]. Existence of solutions in this case can be obtained by Perron's method. In fact, thanks to (1.3) with $R = 0$, we can take $C > 0$ large such that C and $-C$ are, respectively, a supersolution and a subsolution of (1.8). We then can prove the existence of solutions by adopting the standard argument in [5, 6]. In addition, as shown in [15], (H2) is also guaranteed by (F1) and (F2).

Our results above apply to a general class of nonlinear parabolic equations. We refer the reader to [5, Example 3.6] for concrete examples of F that satisfy our assumptions, especially the condition (F1).

Finally, it is worthwhile to mention that the idea for a discrete scheme in this paper can be adopted to handle a more general type of time fractional derivatives as in [3, 4], provided that the comparison theorems can be obtained. In this paper, we choose Caputo's time fractional derivatives to simplify the presentation.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give the monotonicity and boundedness of discrete schemes. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2. PREPARATIONS

We first recall the definition of viscosity solutions to (1.1).

Definition 1 (Definition of viscosity solutions). *For any $T > 0$, a function $u \in USC(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T])$ (resp., $u \in LSC(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T])$) is called a viscosity subsolution (resp., supersolution) of (1.1) if for any $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T])$ one has*

$$\partial_t^\alpha \phi(x_0, t_0) + F(x_0, t_0, D\phi(x_0, t_0), D^2\phi(x_0, t_0)) \leq (\text{resp.}, \geq) 0 \quad (2.1)$$

whenever $u - \phi$ attains a local maximum (resp., minimum) at $(x_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)$.

We call $u \in C(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T])$ a viscosity solution of (1.1) if u is both a viscosity subsolution and a supersolution of (1.1).

Remark 2. Our definition essentially follows [13, Definition 2.2]. In fact, since

$$\partial_t^\alpha \phi(x, t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \left(\frac{\phi(x, t) - \phi(x, 0)}{t^\alpha} + \alpha \int_0^t \frac{\phi(x, t) - \phi(x, s)}{(t-s)^{1+\alpha}} ds \right) \quad (2.2)$$

for any $\phi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty))$, our definition is thus the same as [13, Definition 2.2]. A similar definition of viscosity solutions is cocurrently and independently proposed in [15, Definition 2.1] for general space-time nonlocal parabolic problems.

Another possible way to define sub- or supersolutions is to separate the term $\partial_t^\alpha \phi(x, t)$ in (2.1) into two parts like (2.2) and replace ϕ in one or both of the parts by u . See [15, Definition 2.1] and [7, Definition 2.5]. Such definitions are proved to be equivalent to Definition 1. We refer to [15, Lemma 2.3] and [13, Proposition 2.5] for proofs. Note that the original definition of viscosity solutions in [12, 7] looks stronger but it turns out that it is the same [12, Lemma 2.9, Proposition 3.6].

For any $h > 0$, define $\partial_t^{\alpha, h} : L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty)) \rightarrow L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty))$ to be

$$\partial_t^{\alpha, h} u(x, t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)h^\alpha} \left\{ u(x, mh) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} C_{m,k} u(x, kh) \right\} \quad (2.3)$$

for $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty)$, and $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ satisfying $m = \lfloor t/h \rfloor$, where $\lfloor s \rfloor$ denotes the greatest integer less than or equal to $s \geq 0$.

A locally bounded function $u : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a subsolution (resp., supersolution) of

$$\partial_t^{\alpha, h} u + F(x, t, Du, D^2 u) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty) \quad (2.4)$$

if for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $U = u(\cdot, mh) \in USC(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (resp., $U = u(\cdot, mh) \in LSC(\mathbb{R}^n)$) is a viscosity subsolution (resp, supersolution) of

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)h^\alpha} \left\{ U - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} C_{m,k} u(\cdot, kh) \right\} + F(x, mh, DU, D^2 U) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

By definition, it is clear that u^h given by (1.9) is a solution of (2.4).

Proposition 2.1 (Monotonicity). *Fix $h > 0$. Assume that (H1) holds. Let $U^h(\cdot, t), V^h(\cdot, t) \in BUC(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $t \geq 0$ be, respectively, a subsolution and supersolution to (2.4). Then, $U^h(\cdot, mh) \leq V^h(\cdot, mh)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Due to the positiveness (1.5) of $C_{m,k}$, one can easily see that the scheme is monotone by iterating the comparison principle in (H1) for elliptic problems. $\square$

We next discuss below the boundedness of the scheme.

Lemma 2.2 (Barrier). *For any $h > 0$, let $V^h(x, t) := (mh)^\alpha$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \geq 0$ with $m = \lfloor t/h \rfloor$. Then,*

$$\partial_t^{\alpha, h} V^h(x, t) \geq \frac{(1 - \alpha)\alpha}{\Gamma(2 - \alpha)} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } t \geq h.$$

Proof. We have

$$\partial_t^{\alpha, h} V^h(x, t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2 - \alpha)} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} f(m - k)((k + 1)^\alpha - k^\alpha) \quad (2.5)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \geq h$. Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} f(m - k) &\geq (1 - \alpha)/(m - k)^\alpha \geq (1 - \alpha)/m^\alpha, \\ (k + 1)^\alpha - k^\alpha &\geq \alpha/(k + 1)^{1-\alpha} \geq \alpha/m^{1-\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

we can plug these estimates into (2.5) to deduce the . $\square$

Lemma 2.3 (Uniform boundedness). *Assume that (1.3) and (H1) hold. Let u^h be given by (1.9) for any fixed $h > 0$. Then,*

$$|u^h(x, t)| \leq \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u_0^h| + \frac{\Gamma(2 - \alpha)M_0}{(1 - \alpha)\alpha} t^\alpha \quad \text{for all } h > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \geq 0.$$

Proof. We define

$$W^h(x, t) := \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u_0^h| + \frac{\Gamma(2 - \alpha)M_0}{(1 - \alpha)\alpha} V^h(x, mh)$$

for any $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty)$, where $m = \lfloor t/h \rfloor$ and V^h is given in Lemma 2.2. In light of Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\partial_t^{\alpha, h} W^h(x, mh) + F(x, t, DW^h(x, mh), D^2 W^h(x, mh)) \geq M_0 + F(x, t, 0, 0) \geq 0$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Combining with $U^h(\cdot, 0) \leq W^h(\cdot, 0)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$, by Proposition 2.1, we get $U^h(\cdot, mh) \leq W^h(\cdot, mh)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Symmetrically, we get $U^h(x, mh) \geq -W^h(\cdot, mh)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, which implies the conclusion. $\square$

3. CONVERGENCE OF DISCRETE SCHEMES

Let u^h be the function defined by (1.9). By Lemma 2.3 and (1.6), we can define the half-relaxed limit of u^h as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}(x, t) &:= \limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \{u^h(y, s) : |x - y| + |t - s| \leq \delta, s \geq 0, 0 < h \leq \delta\}, \\ \underline{u}(x, t) &:= \liminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \{u^h(y, s) : |x - y| + |t - s| \leq \delta, s \geq 0, 0 < h \leq \delta\} \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty)$.

By the definition of Riemann integral and the operator $\partial_t^{\alpha, h}$, we have the following.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\partial_t^{\alpha, h}$ be given by (2.3). Then for any $\psi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty))$, we have*

$$\partial_t^{\alpha, h} \psi \rightarrow \partial_t^\alpha \psi \quad \text{locally uniformly in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty) \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0.$$

Proposition 3.2 (Sub- and supersolution property). *Let $\bar{u}$ and $\underline{u}$ be the functions defined by (3.1). Then $\bar{u}$ and $\underline{u}$ are, respectively, a subsolution and supersolution to (1.1).*

Proof. We only prove that $\bar{u}$ is a subsolution to (1.1) as we can similarly prove that $\underline{u}$ is a supersolution to (1.1).

Take a test function $\varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty))$ and $(\hat{x}, \hat{t}) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$ so that $\bar{u} - \varphi$ takes a strict maximum at $(\hat{x}, \hat{t})$ with $(\bar{u} - \varphi)(\hat{x}, \hat{t}) = 0$. By adding $|x - \hat{x}|^4$ to φ (we still denote it by φ), we may assume that $\varphi(x, t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $|x|$ uniformly for all $t \geq 0$.

We first claim that there exists $(x_j, t_j) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$, $h_j > 0$ so that $(x_j, t_j) \rightarrow (\hat{x}, \hat{t})$ and $h_j \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$,

$$u^{h_j}(\cdot, t_j) - \varphi(\cdot, t_j) \text{ takes a maximum at } x_j, \quad (3.2)$$

$$\sup_{(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)} (u^{h_j} - \varphi)(x, t) < (u^{h_j} - \varphi)(x_j, t_j) + h_j. \quad (3.3)$$

Indeed, by definition of $\bar{u}$, there exists $(y_j, s_j) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$, and $h_j > 0$ so that

$$(y_j, s_j) \rightarrow (\hat{x}, \hat{t}), \quad h_j \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{and } u^{h_j}(y_j, s_j) \rightarrow \bar{u}(\hat{x}, \hat{t}) \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

We next take $t_j > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)} (u^{h_j} - \varphi)(x, t) < \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} (u^{h_j} - \varphi)(x, t_j) + h_j.$$

Also, by Lemma 2.3 again, there exists $x_j \in \mathbb{R}^n$ so that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} (u^{h_j} - \varphi)(x, t_j) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} (u^{h_j} - \varphi)(x, t_j) = (u^{h_j} - \varphi)(x_j, t_j).$$

Then, we can also easily check that $(x_j, t_j) \rightarrow (\hat{x}, \hat{t})$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

Set $N_j := \lfloor t_j/h_j \rfloor$. Then we have $u^{h_j}(\cdot, t_j) = U^{h_j}(\cdot, N_j h_j)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Since $U^{h_j}(\cdot, N_j h_j)$ is a viscosity solution to (1.7) with $m = N_j$ and $h = h_j$, in light of (3.2), we obtain

$$\partial_t^{\alpha, h_j} u^{h_j}(x_j, t_j) + F(x_j, t_j, D\varphi(x_j, t_j), D^2\varphi(x_j, t_j)) \leq 0.$$

Set $\sigma_j := \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} (u^{h_j} - \varphi)(x, t_j) = u^{h_j}(x_j, t_j) - \varphi(x_j, t_j)$. In light of (3.3), we have

$$(u^{h_j} - \varphi)(x_j, kh_j) \leq h_j + \sigma_j$$

for all $k = 0, \dots, N_j - 1$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(2 - \alpha)(h_j)^\alpha \partial_t^{\alpha, h_j} u^{h_j}(x_j, t_j) &= u^{h_j}(x_j, N_j h_j) - \sum_{k=0}^{N_j-1} C_{N_j, k} u^{h_j}(x_j, kh_j) \\ &\geq \varphi(x_j, N_j h_j) + \sigma_j - \sum_{k=0}^{N_j-1} C_{N_j, k} (\varphi(x_j, kh_j) + h_j + \sigma_j). \end{aligned}$$

Noting that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N_j-1} C_{N_j, k} = f(1) = 1,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t^{\alpha, h_j} u^{h_j}(x_j, N_j h_j) &\geq \frac{1}{\Gamma(2 - \alpha)(h_j)^\alpha} \left\{ \varphi(x_j, N_j h_j) - \sum_{k=0}^{N_j-1} C_{N_j, k} \varphi(x_j, kh_j) - h_j \right\} \\ &= \partial_t^{\alpha, h_j} \varphi(x_j, N_j h_j) + O(h_j^{1-\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

We therefore obtain

$$\partial_t^{\alpha, h_j} \varphi(x_j, N_j h_j) + F(x_j, t_j, D\varphi(x_j, t_j), D^2\varphi(x_j, t_j)) \leq O(h_j^{1-\alpha}).$$

By Lemma 3.1 and the continuity of F , sending $j \rightarrow \infty$ yields

$$\partial_t^\alpha \varphi(\hat{x}, \hat{t}) + F(\hat{x}, \hat{t}, D\varphi(\hat{x}, \hat{t}), D^2\varphi(\hat{x}, \hat{t})) \leq 0. \quad \square$$

Proposition 3.3 (Initial consistency). *Assume that (1.3) and (H1) hold. Let $\bar{u}$ and $\underline{u}$ be the functions defined by (3.1). Then $\bar{u} \leq u_0 \leq \underline{u}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$.*

Proof. Fix any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Since $u_0 \in BUC(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and (1.6) holds, for any $\sigma > 0$ we can find a bounded smooth function ϕ_σ such that $\phi_\sigma(x_0) \leq u_0(x_0) + \sigma$ and $u_0^h(x) \leq \phi_\sigma(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and all $h > 0$ small. We claim that

$$\phi^h(x, t) = \phi_\sigma(x) + \frac{M_{R_\sigma} \Gamma(2 - \alpha)}{(1 - \alpha)\alpha} t^\alpha$$

is a supersolution of (2.4) with $h > 0$ small, where M_{R_σ} is given in (1.3) with

$$R_\sigma = \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|D\phi_\sigma| + |D^2\phi_\sigma|).$$

Indeed, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, applying Lemma 2.2, we deduce that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\partial_t^{\alpha, h} \phi^h(x, mh) \geq M_{R_\sigma} \geq -F(x, mh, D\phi_\sigma(x), D^2\phi_\sigma(x))$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We thus can adopt Proposition 2.1 to obtain that $u^h(x, Nh) \leq \phi^h(x, Nh)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \geq 0$ with $N = \lfloor t/h \rfloor$, which implies that

$$u^h(x, t) \leq \phi_\sigma(x) + \frac{M_{R_\sigma} \Gamma(2 - \alpha)}{(1 - \alpha)\alpha} t^\alpha$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \geq 0$. We thus have

$$\bar{u}(x_0, 0) \leq \phi_\sigma(x_0),$$

which implies, by letting $\sigma \rightarrow 0$, that $\bar{u}(x_0, 0) \leq u_0(x_0)$. The proof for the part on $\underline{u}$ is symmetric and therefore omitted here. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. If (H2) holds, then the conclusion of the theorem is a straightforward result of Propositions 3.2 and 3.3. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Allen, *A nondivergence parabolic problem with a fractional time derivative*, Differential Integral Equations 31 (2018), no. 3-4, 215–230.
- [2] M. Allen, L. Caffarelli, A. Vasseur, *A parabolic problem with a fractional time derivative*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 221 (2016), no. 2, 603–630.
- [3] Z.-Q. Chen, *Time fractional equations and probabilistic representation*, Chaos Solitons Fractals 102 (2017), 168–174.
- [4] Z.-Q. Chen, P. Kim, T. Kumagai, J. Wang, *Heat kernel estimates for time fractional equations*, preprint.
- [5] M. G. Crandall, H. Ishii, P.-L. Lions, *User's guide to viscosity solutions of second order partial differential equations*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 27 (1992), no. 1, 1–67.
- [6] Y. Giga, *Surface evolution equations, a level set approach*, volume 99 of Monographs in Mathematics. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2006.

- [7] Y. Giga, T. Namba, *Well-posedness of Hamilton-Jacobi equations with Caputo's time fractional derivative*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 42 (2017), no. 7, 1088–1120.
- [8] R. Jensen, P.-L. Lions, P. E. Souganidis, *A uniqueness result for viscosity solutions of second order fully nonlinear partial differential equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 102, (1988), no. 4, 975–978.
- [9] A. Kubica, M. Yamamoto, *Initial-boundary value problems for fractional diffusion equations with time-dependent coefficients*, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. 21 (2018), no. 2, 276–311.
- [10] Y. Luchko, *Maximum principle for the generalized time-fractional diffusion equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 351 (2009), no. 1, 218–223.
- [11] R. Metzler, J. Klafter, *The random walk's guide to anomalous diffusion: a fractional dynamics approach*, Phys. Rep. 339 (2000), no. 1, 77 pp.
- [12] T. Namba, *Analysis for viscosity solutions with special emphasis on anomalous effects*, January 2017, thesis, the University of Tokyo.
- [13] T. Namba, *On existence and uniqueness of viscosity solutions for second order fully nonlinear PDEs with Caputo time fractional derivatives*, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. 25 (2018), no. 3, Art. 23, 39 pp.
- [14] K. Sakamoto, M. Yamamoto, *Initial value/boundary value problems for fractional diffusion-wave equations and applications to some inverse problems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 382 (2011), no. 1, 426–447.
- [15] E. Topp, M. Yangari, *Existence and uniqueness for parabolic problems with Caputo time derivative*, J. Differential Equations 262 (2017), no. 12, 6018–6046.
- [16] R. Zacher, *Weak solutions of abstract evolutionary integro-differential equations in Hilbert spaces*, Funkcial. Ekvac. 52 (2009), no. 1, 1–18.

(Y. Giga) GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO 3-8-1 KOMABA, MEGURO-KU, TOKYO, 153-8914, JAPAN
E-mail address: labgiga@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

(Q. Liu) DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, FUKUOKA UNIVERSITY, FUKUOKA 814-0180, JAPAN.
E-mail address: qingliu@fukuoka-u.ac.jp

(H. Mitake) GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO 3-8-1 KOMABA, MEGURO-KU, TOKYO, 153-8914, JAPAN
E-mail address: mitake@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp