



|                  |                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
|------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Title            | Partial migration of juvenile temperate seabass <i>Lateolabrax japonicus</i> : a versatile survival strategy                                                                                                        |
| Author(s)        | Kasai, Akihide; Fuji, Taiki; Suzuki, Keita W. et al.                                                                                                                                                                |
| Citation         | Fisheries Science, 84(2), 153-162<br><a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/s12562-017-1166-1">https://doi.org/10.1007/s12562-017-1166-1</a>                                                                              |
| Issue Date       | 2018-03                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| Doc URL          | <a href="https://hdl.handle.net/2115/72719">https://hdl.handle.net/2115/72719</a>                                                                                                                                   |
| Rights           | The final publication is available at <a href="http://www.springerlink.com">www.springerlink.com</a> via<br><a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12562-017-1166-1">http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12562-017-1166-1</a> . |
| Type             | journal article                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| File Information | Seabass_migration(Kasai171129).pdf                                                                                                                                                                                  |



**Partial migration of juvenile temperate seabass *Lateolabrax japonicus* – a versatile survival strategy**

Running title: Partial migration of juvenile seabass

AKIHIDE KASAI<sup>1</sup>, TAIKI FUJI<sup>2\*</sup>, KEITA W. SUZUKI<sup>3</sup>, AND YOH YAMASHITA<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Fisheries Sciences, Hokkaido University, 3-1-1, Minato-cho, Hakodate, Hokkaido 041-8611, Japan

<sup>2</sup> Tohoku National Fisheries Research Institute, Japan Fisheries Research and Education Agency, 25-259 Shimomekurakubo, Same, Hachinohe, Aomori, 031-0841, Japan

<sup>3</sup> Field Science Education and Research Center, Kyoto University, Nagahama, Maizuru, Kyoto 625-0086, Japan

<sup>4</sup> Field Science Education and Research Center, Kyoto University, Oiwake, Kitashirakawa, Sakyo, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

Corresponding author: Akihide Kasai

Telephone: 0138-40-8807

Fax: 0138-40-5048

e-mail: [akihide@fish.hokudai.ac.jp](mailto:akihide@fish.hokudai.ac.jp)

e-mail addresses:

T Fuji: [tfuji114@affrc.go.jp](mailto:tfuji114@affrc.go.jp)

KW Suzuki: [suzuki.keita.3r@kyoto-u.ac.jp](mailto:suzuki.keita.3r@kyoto-u.ac.jp)

Y Yamashita: [yoh@kais.kyoto-u.ac.jp](mailto:yoh@kais.kyoto-u.ac.jp)

## Abstract

Partial migration describes intrapopulation variation in the migratory behavior i.e. some individuals from a population migrate to low salinity river areas, while others remain in coastal areas. This paper reviews the partial migration pattern of juvenile temperate seabass *Lateolabrax japonicus*, which is a migration pattern not commonly seen in Japan. Seabass spawn offshore, and eggs and larvae are transported to coastal areas. Some of these juveniles then ascend rivers, while others remain in coastal areas. Juveniles efficiently use physical structures in their habitat; they use tidal currents to ascend rivers in macrotidal estuaries, while they use the saltwedge in microtidal estuaries. Once juveniles ascend the river, they can feed on the abundant prey and attain more rapid growth than those remaining in coastal areas. As estuaries are highly productive areas, they play significant roles for juveniles of various fishes as nurseries. However, compared with coastal areas, the relative area of estuaries is considerably smaller and its environmental conditions are more variable. For example, nearly 40% of adult seabass in Tango Bay were estimated to use estuarine areas as a nursery, while the other 60% use coastal areas during their juvenile stage. Using both estuaries and coastal areas through partial migration during the juvenile stage is concluded to contribute to the stabilization and yield of seabass populations.

Keywords: partial migration, juvenile, estuary, river, temperate seabass

## Introduction

Most marine animals have complex life cycles and change their habitats according to the development stage, such as spawning area to pelagic nursery ground in early life stages, and feeding ground to spawning ground in adult stages (Secor 2015). There are various spatial scales in fish migration; salmon and eels migrate over an ocean basin scale, while some gobies have amphidromous migration over a river-estuary scale. The ecosystem network linking in coastal areas is regarded as Coastal Ecosystem Complex (CEC), and important for understanding ecosystem structure and functions (Watanabe et al. 2018). In their early life stages, fish are very sensitive to environmental conditions. For example, water that is too cold will delay egg development and hatching, and a lack of suitable food will reduce larval fish growth and survival. Survival during early life stages determines annual recruitments, which control the abundance of fish populations (Houde 1989). The choice of nursery grounds is therefore one of the most important factors for determining recruitment. Larvae or juveniles that are transported to less productive areas would suffer higher mortality rates than those that reach suitable nursery grounds (Cushing 1975). This process is generally essential for fishes, whose nurseries are distant from the spawning areas. During the course of evolution, each species has obtained its own strategy to maximize survival during the early life stage. As a strategy for survival, temperate seabass *Lateolabrax japonicus* makes a partial migration, a term which describes intrapopulation variation in migratory behavior i.e. a fraction of the population migrates and a fraction remains resident within a single habitat during the juvenile stage (Fuji et al. 2011; Islam et al. 2011). Partial migration has been broadly recognized in birds, terrestrial vertebrates and insects, but it has seen limited application to marine fishes (Secor 2015).

Temperate seabass is a euryhaline fish distributed in coastal waters of Japan and the Korean Peninsula (Nakabo 2002). Juveniles of temperate seabass are often dominant in estuaries and coastal areas (Fujita et al. 1988; Islam et al. 2011; Aoki et al. 2014) and thus play an important role in the estuarine and marine ecosystems. This fish is not only popular for sport fishing, but is also commercially important (Islam et al.

2011). It is one of a handful of species whose landings have been increasing or have remained in a high level in many Japanese coastal waters (Hibino et al. 2013), in contrast to the declining trend in the landings of other fishes since the 1980s (Fig. 1). Fluctuations in fish stocks are largely dependent on survival during the early life stages (Cushing 1975; Houde 1989; Kasai et al. 2008). Clarification of the ecology of early life of temperate seabass may enable identification and verification of the mechanism of the recent increase in its biomass.

Temperate seabass spawn in shelf areas and coastal embayments during the winter season mainly in December and January (Fujita et al. 1988). Larvae of this species hatch offshore and are transported from open waters to coastal areas (Hibino et al. 2007; Islam et al. 2010). The settled larvae and early juveniles move to various shallow environments such as eelgrass beds (Hatanaka and Sekino 1962), sandy beaches (Fuji et al. 2010), tidal flats (Hibino et al. 2002) and estuaries (Matsumiya et al. 1982; Fuji et al. 2010; Iwamoto et al. 2010). The most unique ecological characteristic of temperate seabass is the partial migration pattern in the juvenile stage, e.g., some of the juveniles ascend the river to inhabit the upper estuary, including the freshwater zone (Matsumiya et al. 1982; 1985; Secor et al. 1998; Suzuki et al. 2008; Fuji et al. 2010; 2011; Iwamoto et al. 2010), while others remain and grow in the lower estuary (Matsumiya et al. 1985; Hibino et al. 2002; Fuji et al. 2011) or in the littoral zone (Hibino et al. 2006). The life history of migratory juveniles of temperate seabass in Japan has been particularly investigated in the Ariake Bay – Chikugo River system, and the Tango Bay – Yura River system (Fig. 2). The partial migration of seabass juveniles has been observed in both systems, although their environmental conditions are considerably distinct, which can be attributed to the difference in tidal strength. We review recent findings and examine the partial migration of juvenile temperate seabass in this paper.

## **Overview of partial migration of juvenile temperate seabass**

Ariake Bay is located in the southwest of Japan, and the most important characteristic of Ariake Bay is

the large tidal range with an amplitude that exceeds 6 m during the spring tide (Fig. 2). The Chikugo River, which is the largest river in Kyushu with a total length of 143 km and a basin size of 2,860 km<sup>2</sup>, empties into the northern part of Ariake Bay. The combination of the large tide and sediments transported from the upper stream makes the water extremely turbid in the Chikugo River estuary. Seabass spawn eggs from the center to the mouth of the bay. In early spring, many of juveniles aggregate around the mouth of the Chikugo River. After that juveniles gradually ascend the river (Matsumiya et al. 1982). Some of the juveniles ascend further upstream to ingress into the freshwater area of the estuary (Secor et al. 1998). On the other hand, Hibino et al. (2002) showed that there are many juveniles that grow in the littoral zone (high salinity area). These results indicate variations in migratory pathways for simultaneously occurring juveniles of temperate seabass. Yokogawa et al. (1997) suggested from allozyme data that the temperate seabass in Ariake Bay may be a hybrid of *L. japonicus*, and Chinese seabass *Lateolabrax maculatus*. Nakayama (2002) found genetic differences between the river ascending group and the coastal resident group; the former is more genetically influenced by Chinese seabass than the latter, and suggested that the genetic difference may lead to the partial migration.

Tango Bay is a part of the Sea of Japan; as such, the tides are considerably weak (Fig. 2) (Kasai et al. 2010). The Yura River empties into the southern part of Tango Bay. The Yura River has a total length of 146 km and a basin size of 1,880 km<sup>2</sup>. The hydrographic conditions in Tango Bay and the Yura River estuary are distinctly different from those of the macrotidal Chikugo River estuary. The small tides restrict the mixing of seawater and freshwater, and the water thus tends to be stratified in the Yura River estuary (Kasai et al. 2010). Strong turbidity maximum zones are therefore not observed in the estuary, as in the case with microtidal estuaries facing the Sea of Japan. These differences in environmental conditions may lead to different migration patterns of juvenile temperate seabass. Fuji et al. (2010) sampled juvenile temperate seabass along the Yura River estuary and found that juveniles were distributed not only in the shallow coastal zone, but also in the brackish and even in the freshwater zone.

The two migratory pathways of early juveniles of the temperate seabass in the Yura River estuary are similar to those observed in the well-mixed Chikugo River estuary (Hibino et al. 2006; Suzuki et al. 2008), although the hydrographic conditions of the two estuaries are considerably different (Suzuki et al. 2008; Kasai et al. 2010). There is no report that *L. japonicus* is genetically influenced by *L. maculatus* in Honshu (the main island of Japan including the Yura River estuary), as was reported from the Chikugo River estuary (Yokogawa et al. 1997). Therefore, the similarity in migratory pathways in both types of estuaries indicates that the partial migration is ubiquitous and part of the ecology of seabass juveniles.

#### **Upstream migration mechanism**

Blackhead seabream *Acanthopagrus schlegelii*, yellowfin seabream *Acanthopagrus latus* and Japanese icefish *Salangichthys microdon* are euryhaline and their larvae and juveniles are frequently observed in sandy beaches and tidal flats, but hardly observed in freshwater areas. This indicates that seabass juveniles are not only passively transported to, but actively select estuaries as their habitats (Kinoshita 2002). A number of studies have shown that juveniles use ‘tidal selective transport’ to achieve effective upstream transport. McCleave and Kleckner (1982) investigated the distribution of juveniles of glass eels in the Penobscot River estuary, and showed that they remained on or near the bottom during the ebb tide, but in or below the halocline on the flood tide in stratified portions of the estuary. In tidal freshwater portions of the estuary, glass eels behaved similarly on the ebb tide and moved throughout the water column on the flood tide. These vertical distributions help to gain effective landward transport by selective use of tidal currents. It is also known that many flatfishes exhibit clear tidally-synchronized activity patterns in coastal habitats (Gibson 1997). A similar migratory mechanism has also been reported for seabass juveniles in the Chikugo River estuary (Matsumiya et al. 1985; Islam et al. 2007). On a flood tide, the ascending juveniles concentrate in the middle of the estuary, where the flood tide can assist the upstream progress, while on an ebb tide, they concentrate near the bank, where the low velocity of the

stream enables them to resist downstream transport (Matsumiya et al. 1985).

In contrast, the tidal range in the Yura River estuary is considerably smaller, so that strong tidal currents do not occur (Kasai et al. 2010). Therefore, juveniles cannot use tidal selective transport in microtidal estuaries, but are able to move into another substantive upstream current, in the following way. The discharge of the Yura River is generally high in winter and early spring due to melting snow. The lower reach of the river is occupied by freshwater until the early spring. However, in late spring, the river discharge gradually reduces and seawater begins to intrude into the bottom layer of the river mouth as a saltwedge. In contrast to the Chikugo River estuary, the water in the Yura River estuary is highly stratified due to the limited mixing by small tidal currents. Fuji et al. (2018) measured the salinity continuously, in addition to a seine net survey of juveniles, in the lower portion of the Yura River. They found that the timing of the salinity increase and appearance of seabass juveniles closely coincided with each other. This indicates that seabass juveniles which have a poor swimming ability can ascend the river using the saltwedge intrusion. This river ascending mechanism is entirely different from that in the well-mixed estuaries, in which the juveniles can use the tidal currents.

### **Productivity in estuaries**

The physiological ability to adapt to changing osmotic pressure is essential for migration between seawater and freshwater or brackish water, and the energetic cost would make it difficult to migrate to estuaries and rivers for coastal fishes. There must be reasonable benefit to ascend the river for seabass juveniles, even though they incur a large metabolic cost to control the osmotic pressure. During the juvenile stage, intra- and/or inter-specific competition for prey items is considered to be important for recruitment of fishes because nursery areas and the amount of available prey items are often limited (Vander Veer et al. 2000; Shoji and Tanaka 2008), and prey abundance can be a limiting factor for the growth of juveniles in nursery areas (Beck et al. 2001; Yamashita et al. 2001). Therefore, the abundance of prey



items must be an important reason for seabass juveniles to ascend rivers. As high productivity often generates plenty of prey organisms, estuaries are generally recognized as important nurseries for many fishes (North and Houde 2003; Elliott et al. 2007).

In macrotidal estuaries, the hydrodynamic function of tidal pumping and estuarine circulation maintains high concentrations of suspended solids, forming an estuarine turbidity maximum at low salinities (Suzuki et al. 2007). In the Chikugo River estuary, the estuarine turbidity maximum with turbidity as high as  $\sim 4,000 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$  is formed  $\sim 15 \text{ km}$  upstream from the river mouth, although it moves up- and down-stream with the flood and ebb tides. The estuarine turbidity maximum provides a high abundance of copepods and mysids, which are good prey items for juvenile seabass in addition to potential refuges from visual predators (Hibino et al. 1999; Islam et al. 2006; Suzuki et al. 2014). Therefore, juveniles that ascend rivers can receive appropriate nutrition and steadily gain weight. Estuaries with turbidity maximums are considered to be good nurseries not only for seabass, but also for larvae and juveniles of various fishes (North and Houde 2003; Islam et al. 2006).

In contrast, rather than estuarine turbidity maximums, strength of river discharge and associated stratification are keys for production in microtidal estuaries (Kasai et al. 2010). Paerl et al. (2014) showed that changes in freshwater input play an important role in determining the abundance and function of phytoplankton communities in the Neuse and New River estuaries. In the shallow microtidal Patos Lagoon estuary, phytoplankton accumulations occur after marine inflow events, whereas phytoplankton does not accumulate during the high water outflow (Odebrecht et al. 2015). In addition, Friedland et al. (2011) suggested that the transitions in menhaden (*Brevoortia tyrannus*) abundance are closely associated with those in zooplankton abundance in the microtidal York River estuary. Kasai et al. (2010) showed from continuous observations that the productivity in the lower portions of the Yura River increases in spring, which coincides with the season of seabass ascending. Actually in the coastal area of Tango Bay, mysids (*Orientomysis japonica*) are abundant in winter and early spring (Akiyama et al. 2015). They tend

to decrease in late spring, instead the other species of mysids (*Neomysis awatschensis*) increase in the Yura River estuary (Fig. 3). Seabass juveniles select highly productive areas and migrate from the coastal area to the estuary. This implies that the prey environments and feeding ecologies of fish differ considerably between macrotidal and microtidal estuaries.

#### **Juvenile feeding and growth in estuaries**

According to Fuji et al. (2016b), which reviewed studies on various ontogenetic shifts in feeding habits of seabass at the beginning of the juvenile stage, the size of prey items of seabass juveniles enlarges with growth to obtain energy efficiently. This prey shift leads to fast growth and higher survival rate. Hibino et al. (1999) and Islam and Tanaka (2005) showed that species composition of stomach contents and stomach fullness of seabass juveniles reflect the prey abundance in ambient water in the Chikugo River estuary. Ohta (2004) reported that high productivity in the Chikugo River often led to higher growth rates of juveniles in the river than those in the coastal zone. Among various prey items, *Sinocalanus sinensis* that is a semi-endemic copepod in the Ariake Bay plays an important role in fast growth of seabass juveniles in the Chikugo River estuary because of its high production (Suzuki et al. 2012; 2014).

Fuji et al. (2016a) analyzed the feeding ecology of juvenile temperate seabass in relation to the abundance and species of prey items in the Yura River estuary. Juveniles mainly fed on copepods and mysids, both in the estuary and in the adjacent coastal area. The dependency on mysids increased as juveniles grew from 15 mm to 25 mm standard length (SL), and the main stomach contents were mysids for juveniles larger than 25 mm SL (Fig. 4a). The mean size of ingested food items increased with the size of the juveniles (Fig. 4b), while the mean number of prey items in each stomach decreased with growth after juveniles reached 22 mm SL. As a result, the stomach content index increased with the size of juveniles (Fig. 4c). These results indicate that the shift to larger-sized prey items (from copepods to mysids) is important for juveniles to obtain energy efficiently. Mysids are larger and more abundant in the

estuaries than in the coastal area. Therefore, the estuary provides better foraging conditions for juvenile temperate seabass than the coastal area.

Foraging ability is one of the most changeable characteristics during the larval and juvenile periods. Thus, leaving or residence in natal food webs would depend on their sizes (Secor 2015). Using  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and otolith analyses, Fuji et al. (2014) compared sizes and growth rates among migration groups. The river ascending group was small and showed lower growth than the surf zone group before river ascending (left half of Fig. 5). However, the former gradually increased the growth rates, and at last, the growth rates of longer resident juveniles in the river caught up with or overcome that of the surf zone group (right half of Fig. 5). It was considered that the juveniles which experienced lower growth rates during the larval and early juvenile stage in the coastal area ascend the river by being displaced from the surf zone by the fast-growing juveniles. However, residence in the estuary enabled juveniles to achieve a similar or even higher growth than residence in the coastal area. This pattern would come from the higher temperature and better ambient prey condition in the estuary than in the coastal area during the juvenile stage (Fuji et al. 2014).

In most cases, the strong influence of body size on reproduction, physiology and behavior can result in differential migration (Secor 2015); smaller individuals with poor growth rates migrate while larger individuals with better growth rates reside. A part of the local population of juveniles of white perch *Morone americana* remain in the freshwater area while juveniles of the other part of the population migrate to brackish water. In this case, juveniles with poor growth migrate to the brackish area (Kraus and Secor 2004a). Kerr et al. (2009) considered that high productivity in the brackish area would lead to higher growth of white perch larvae and juveniles that migrated to the brackish area than those that remained in the freshwater area. Salmonid fishes show a similar pattern; drifters grow bigger than residents during their life in the polar ocean, where the productivity is apparently higher (Jonsson and Jonsson 1993). Another example of partial migrants is three-spined stickleback *Gasterosteus aculeatus*,

which spawns in freshwater ponds and only a subset of the juveniles migrated out to sea (Kitamura et al. 2006). Detailed analysis of the body length of fish from this population showed a bimodal distribution, with smaller juveniles migrating and larger fish remaining resident. These examples show that juveniles with higher growth rates remain resident in the natal habitats, while fish with lower growth rates migrate to the other areas (Bujold et al. 2004). The migration pattern of seabass juveniles is similar to these fishes.

Migration to the new environment, however, is energetically costly, both in terms of the journey itself and also the physiological changes required to adapt to the different salinity habitat (Chapman et al. 2012). There might be another reason to take this risky strategy. Higher temperature in estuaries would also lead to higher growth rates of juveniles than coastal areas (Hirata 1967; Shoji and Tanaka 2007). Shoji et al. (2006) also described lower abundances of potential predators of larvae and juveniles, including chaetognaths and medusoid jellyfish in the Chikugo River estuary compared to coastal areas. It is likely that smaller individuals migrate to take advantage of the higher growth rates and lower predation with maximizing future fitness returns.

#### **Contribution of estuaries as nurseries**

Partial migration is the divergence of the population into migratory and resident contingents (Jonsson and Jonsson 1993). Vital rates can vary substantially among habitats. Nursery values can be calculated using the proportion of adult fish from each habitat origin. An adult population is composed of individuals nourished in various nurseries. An understanding of the relative contribution of each nursery area to adult populations is essential to evaluate habitat importance as nurseries (Beck et al. 2001).

Much like tree-rings, the layered structure of otoliths can be used to retrospectively study size and age of individual fish (Pannella 1971), and trace elements within otolith layers are reflective of time spent in different habitats (Campana and Thorrold 2001). Recently, otolith microchemistry analysis has been gaining attention as a tool for providing information on the environmental conditions experienced by

various juvenile fishes (Secor and Rooker 2000; Gillanders et al. 2003). Single elements and/or combinations of elements have been used as fingerprints of the habitat origins of many fishes (Kraus and Secor 2004b; Fodrie and Levin 2008; Morais et al. 2011). The strontium:calcium ratio (Sr:Ca) of otoliths especially has been reported to be influenced by salinity and is thus used as a natural tag for tracking diadromous migrations (Tsukamoto et al. 1998; Yamashita et al. 2000; Kraus and Secor 2004a; 2004b).

Secor et al. (1998) and Ohta (2004) studied the possibility of using otolith Sr:Ca as a natural tag for temperate seabass by culturing juveniles under various salinity conditions, and showed that Sr:Ca decreases significantly in salinities lower than 5. However, a large part of the Chikugo River estuary was occupied by waters with salinity higher than 5. It is thus difficult to estimate the contribution of the estuary as a seabass nursery. Conversely, the Yura River estuary, facing Tango Bay, is classified as a microtidal estuary, and the salinity of most parts of the shallow areas where juveniles are distributed is lower than 5 (Fuji et al. 2010; Kasai et al. 2010). The environmental conditions of the Yura River estuary allow us to use otolith Sr:Ca to evaluate the contribution of estuarine habitats to the adult population of temperate seabass. Fuji et al. (2016b) compared Sr:Ca ratios at the outer edge of the otoliths of seabass juveniles collected from the coastal area in Tango Bay, and brackish estuary and freshwater areas in the Yura River. They showed that the Sr:Ca ratios of juvenile otoliths decreased significantly under lower salinity conditions. These results support the validity of using this method to classify adults into river ascended and coastal resident groups in the juvenile stage. Then the Sr and Ca concentrations of the otoliths of adult seabass were measured linearly along the longest axis of each otolith from the core to the anterior edge. Focusing on the otolith area within 3 mm from the core, which corresponds to the young-of-year period, they found that three typical patterns of fluctuation in Sr:Ca ratios were observed (Fig. 6). The Sr:Ca ratio of one type (thin black line in Fig. 6) declined in the section of the otolith corresponding to c. 0.4–1.0 mm from the core, which represented a standard length of 20–30 mm, whereas the other types showed consistently high values with growth. The second type (grey line in Fig.

6) showed a declining pattern of Sr:Ca beyond 1.0 mm from the core, corresponding to a standard length over 30 mm. The Sr:Ca ratio of the last pattern (dotted line in Fig. 6) showed a value consistently higher than the criterion within 3.0 mm of the core. These three patterns were considered to represent the early river migrant group, the late river migrant group and the coastal resident group, respectively. Comparing the trajectories of Sr:Ca with the threshold criterion, 39 out of 107, corresponding to 36% of adult seabass were estimated to use the freshwater area (the first and second type) as the nursery during their juvenile stage. Despite the small area covered by estuarine habitats compared with coastal waters, the Yura River estuary contributed considerably to the adult seabass population in Tango Bay. This indicates that estuaries play an important role as nurseries for seabass juveniles, and it should be noted that the estuaries are important nurseries to conserve the stocks of various coastal fishes.

Some studies on flatfishes have also reported high contributions of estuaries compared to other habitats, despite the relatively small areas of estuarine habitat (Kraus and Secor 2004a; Brown 2006). This high contribution of estuaries would be facilitated by the relatively high prey densities and reduced numbers of predators. Azeta (1986) reported that red seabream (*Pagrus major*) juveniles in dominant year classes utilize wider and more various habitats than fish from year classes with lower recruitment levels. Kraus and Secor (2005) also reported that abundant annual cohorts showed different patterns of habitat usage compared to minor annual cohorts. These studies showed the importance of estuaries for the coastal fishes. This means that the degradation of estuarine habitats would lead to significant decrease of fish stocks including temperate seabass. There are many modifications of estuarine environment by human activities, which potentially have considerable effects on the biotic community of estuaries, e.g., reclamation of wetlands, introduction of exotic species, reduction of freshwater inflow, and continued disposal of toxic wastes (Nichols et al. 1986). Especially, construction of estuarine weirs would restrict the area of estuarine nurseries, potentially leading to population decrease. We have to take notice of the inter-connectivity of each habitat during early life stages as important nurseries to conserve the stocks of

coastal fish.

### **Benefit of partial migration**

Density-dependent mechanism is a key to determine the mortality in the juvenile stage of various coastal fishes. Estuaries are generally smaller and thus the density of fish larvae and juveniles is higher than coastal areas (Fuji et al. 2010; Iwamoto et al. 2010). This leads to severe competition and higher mortality in estuaries. Shoji and Tanaka (2007) found that the competition for prey resources causes the density dependent mortality of temperate seabass juveniles in the Chikugo River estuary. The carrying capacity of estuarine nurseries is limited because of the spatially restricted area. This means large concentration of juveniles in estuaries could lead to mass mortality. The partial migration strategy therefore enhances total carrying capacity for juveniles, comparing with the single nursery usage (Kaeriyama 1996; Asami 2004).

The environmental conditions of estuaries are more variable than coastal areas. This is true for Japanese estuaries, where the river discharge changes enormously according to heavy rainfall and typhoons. Shoji and Tanaka (2007) investigated the abundance and growth history of seabass juveniles in the Chikugo River estuary over 11 years. They reported increase in the river discharge has a potential risk to decrease the recruitment of juveniles through the process of downward dispersion, predation due to decreased turbidity and starvation due to decreased zooplankton prey abundance in the estuary.

These two characteristics of estuaries (instability and limited area as nurseries) make it a maladaptive strategy for all seabass juveniles to migrate into estuaries. Kerr et al. (2010) showed by the theoretical study that partial migration of white perch stabilizes and maximizes their population. As often happens in unstable environments, a subpopulation sometimes suffers catastrophic damage in a nursery. Even in such an occasion, the other group in the other nursery can survive and support the recruitment, if the population takes partial migration. Temperate seabass is one of the few fishes that can take the partial migration strategy. This might be a reason of relatively steady stocks of seabass in Japanese coastal areas.

**Acknowledgements**

This study was partly supported by the Coastal Ecosystem Complex Project of the Ocean Resource Use Promotion Technology Development Program, MEXT of Japan.

**References**

- Akiyama S, Ueno M, Yamashita Y (2015) Population dynamics and reproductive biology of the mysid *Orientomysis japonica* in Tango Bay, Japan. *Plankton Benthos Res* 10: 121-131
- Aoki T, Kasai A, Fuji T, Ueno M, Yamashita Y (2014) Seasonal variation in fish community and their prey organisms in the Yura River estuary. *Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi* 78: 1-12 (in Japanese with English Abstract)
- Asami H (2004) Early life ecology of Japanese smelt (*Hypomesus nipponensis*) in Lake Abashiri, a brackish water, eastern Hokkaido, Japan. *Sci Rep Hokkaido Fish Exp Stn* 67: 1-79
- Azeta M (1986) Some considerations on carrying capacity of juvenile in their nursery ground. In: Tanaka M and Matsumiya Y (eds) *Sea Farming Technology of Red Sea Bream*. Koseisha-koseikaku, Tokyo, pp 91–105 (In Japanese)
- Beck MW, Heck KL, Able KW, Childers DL, Eggleston DB, Gillanders BM, Halpern B, Hays CG, Hoshino K, Minello TJ, Orth RJ, Sheridan PF, Weinstein MR (2001) The identification, conservation, and management of estuarine and marine nurseries for fish and invertebrates. *BioScience* 51: 633–641
- Brown JA (2006) Using the chemical composition of otoliths to evaluate the nursery role of estuaries for English sole *Pleuronectes vetulus* populations. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 306: 269–281
- Bujold V, Cunjak RA, Dietrich JP, Courtemanche DA (2004) Drifters versus residents: assessing size and age differences in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) fry. *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* 61: 273–282



354 Campana SE, Thorrold SR (2001) Otoliths, increments, and elements: keys to a comprehensive  
 355 understanding of fish populations? Can J Fish Aquat Sci 58: 30–38

356 Chapman BB, Hulthén K, Brodersen J, Nilsson PA, Skov C, Hansson LA, Brönmark C (2012) Partial  
 357 migration in fishes: causes and consequences. J Fish Biol 81: 456–478

358 Cushing DH (1975) Marine ecology and fisheries. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge

359 Elliott M, Whitfield AK, Potter IC, Blaber SJM, Cyrus DP, Nordlie FG, Harrison TD (2007) The guild  
 360 approach to categorizing estuarine fish assemblages: a global review. Fish and Fisheries 8: 241–268

361 Fodrie FJ, Levin LA (2008) Linking juvenile habitat utilization to population dynamics of California  
 362 halibut. Limnol Oceanogr 53: 799–812

363 Friedland KD, Lynch PD, Gobler CJ (2011) Time series mesoscale response of Atlantic menhaden  
 364 *Brevoortia tyrannus* to variation in plankton abundances. J Coast Res 27: 1148–1158

365 Fuji T, Kasai A, Suzuki KW, Ueno M, Yamashita Y (2010) Freshwater migration and feeding habits of  
 366 juvenile temperate seabass *Lateolabrax japonicus* in the stratified Yura River estuary, the Sea of Japan.  
 367 Fish Sci 76: 643–652

368 Fuji T, Kasai A, Suzuki KW, Ueno M, Yamashita Y (2011) Migration ecology of juvenile temperate  
 369 seabass *Lateolabrax japonicus*: a carbon stable-isotope approach. J Fish Biol 78: 2010–2025

370 Fuji T, Kasai A, Suzuki KW, Ueno M, Yamashita Y (2016a) Importance of estuarine nursery areas for the  
 371 adult population of the temperate seabass *Lateolabrax japonicus*, as revealed by otolith Sr:Ca ratios.  
 372 Fish Oceanogr 25: 448–456

373 Fuji T, Kasai A, Suzuki KW, Ueno M, Yamashita Y (2016b) The importance of estuarine production of  
 374 large prey for the growth of juvenile temperate seabass (*Lateolabrax japonicus*). Est Coast 39:  
 375 1208–1220

376 Fuji T, Kasai A, Ueno M, Yamashita Y (2014) Growth and migration patterns of juvenile temperate  
 377 seabass *Lateolabrax japonicus* in the Yura River estuary, Japan—combination of stable isotope ratio

378 and otolith microstructure analyses. Environ Biol Fish 97: 1221-1232  
 379 Fuji T, Kasai A, Yamashita Y (2018) Upstream migration mechanisms of juvenile temperate seabass  
 380 *Lateolabrax japonicus* in the stratified Yura estuary. Fish Sci 84: FISC-D-17-00121  
 381 Fujita S, Kinoshita I, Takahashi I, Azuma K (1988) Seasonal occurrence and food habits of larvae and  
 382 juveniles of two temperate basses in the Shimanto estuary, Japan. Ichthyol Res 35: 365–370  
 383 Gibson RN (1997) Behavior and the distribution of flatfishes. J Sea Res 37: 241–256  
 384 Gillanders BM, Able KW, Brown JA, Eggleston DB, Sheridan PF (2003) Evidence of connectivity  
 385 between juvenile and adult habitats for mobile marine fauna: an important component of nurseries.  
 386 Mar Ecol Prog Ser 247: 281–295  
 387 Hatanaka M, Sekino K (1962) Ecological studies on the Japanese sea-bass, *Lateolabrax japonicus* -2.  
 388 Growth. Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi 28: 857–861 (In Japanese with English abstract)  
 389 Hibino M, Mizuno M, Tajima Y, Nakamura M (2013) Synchronous fluctuation of marine benthic  
 390 resources in Ise-Mikawa and Tokyo Bays, two major enclosed bays in central Japan. Nippon Suisan  
 391 Gakkaishi 77: 259-265 (in Japanese with English Abstract)  
 392 Hibino M, Ohta T, Isoda T, Nakayama K, Tanaka M (2006) Diel and tidal changes in the distribution and  
 393 feeding habits of Japanese temperate bass *Lateolabrax japonicus* juveniles in the surf zone of Ariake  
 394 Bay. Ichthyol Res 53:129–136  
 395 Hibino M, Ohta T, Isoda T, Nakayama K, Tanaka M (2007) Distribution of Japanese temperate bass,  
 396 *Lateolabrax japonicus*, eggs and pelagic larvae in Ariake Bay. Ichthyol Res 54: 367–373  
 397 Hibino M, Ohta T, Kinoshita I, Tanaka M (2002) Fish larvae and juveniles occurring in the littoral zone of  
 398 a tidal flat, in the bottom of Ariake Bay. Japan J Ichthyol 49: 109–120 (In Japanese with English  
 399 abstract)  
 400 Hibino M, Ueda H, Tanaka M (1999) Feeding habits of Japanese temperate bass and copepod community  
 401 in the Chikugo River estuary, Ariake Sea, Japan. Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi 65: 1062–1068 (In

402 Japanese with English abstract)  
 403 Hirata M (1967) Artificial fertilization and rearing of Japanese sea perch larvae. Rep Kumamoto Pref Fish  
 404 Exp St 41: 349–354  
 405 Houde ED (1989) Subtleties and episodes in the early life of fish. J Fish Biol 35: 29–38  
 406 Islam MS, Hibino M, Tanaka M (2006) Distribution and diets of larval and juvenile fishes: influence of  
 407 salinity gradient and turbidity maximum in a temperate estuary in upper Ariake Bay, Japan. Est Coast  
 408 Shelf Sci 68: 62–74  
 409 Islam MS, Hibino M, Tanaka M (2007) Tidal and diurnal variations in larval fish abundance in an  
 410 estuarine inlet in Ariake Bay: implication for selective tidal stream transport. Ecol Res 22: 165–171  
 411 Islam MS, Tanaka M (2005) Nutritional condition, starvation status and growth of early juvenile Japanese  
 412 sea bass (*Lateolabrax japonicus*) related to prey distribution and feeding in the nursery ground. J Exp  
 413 Mar Biol Ecol 323: 172–183  
 414 Islam MS, Ueno M, Yamashita Y (2010) Growth-dependent survival mechanisms during the early life of  
 415 a temperate seabass (*Lateolabrax japonicus*): field test of the ‘growth–mortality’ hypothesis. Fish  
 416 Oceanogr 19: 230–242  
 417 Islam MS, Yamashita Y, Tanaka M (2011) A review on the early life history and ecology of Japanese sea  
 418 bass and implication for recruitment. Environ Biol Fish 91: 389–405  
 419 Iwamoto Y, Morita T, Shoji J (2010) Occurrence and feeding habits of Japanese seabass *Lateolabrax*  
 420 *japonicus* larvae and juveniles around the Ohta River estuary, upper Hiroshima Bay, Seto Inland Sea.  
 421 Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi 76: 841–848 (In Japanese with English abstract)  
 422 Jonsson B, Jonsson N (1993) Partial migration: niche shift versus sexual maturation in fishes. Rev Fish  
 423 Biol Fish 3: 348–365  
 424 Kaeriyama M (1996) Effects of population density and habitat environment on life history strategy and  
 425 migration of juvenile sockeye (*Oncorhynchus nerka*) and chum salmon (*O. keta*). Sci Rep Hokkaido

426 Salmon Hatchery 50: 101-111

427 Kasai A, Komatsu K, Sassa C, Konishi Y (2008) Transport and survival processes of egg and larvae of  
 428 jack mackerel *Trachurus japonicus* in the East China Sea. Fish Sci 74: 8–18

429 Kasai A, Kurikawa Y, Ueno M, Robert D, Yamashita Y (2010) Salt-wedge intrusion of seawater and its  
 430 implication for phytoplankton dynamics in the Yura estuary, Japan. Est Coast Shelf Sci 86: 408–414

431 Kerr LA, Cadrin SX, Secor DH (2010) The role of spatial dynamics in the stability, resilience, and  
 432 productivity of an estuarine fish population. Ecol Appl 20: 497-507

433 Kerr LA, Secor DH, Piccoli PM (2009) Partial migration of fishes as exemplified by the  
 434 estuarine-dependent white perch. Fisheries 34: 114–123

435 Kinoshita I (2002) Diversity of the early life history. In: Tanaka M and Kinoshita I (eds) Temperate bass  
 436 and biodiversity. Koseisha-koseikaku, Tokyo, pp 79–90 (In Japanese)

437 Kitamura T, Kume M, Takahashi H, Goto A (2006) Juvenile bimodal length distribution and sea-run  
 438 migration of the lower modal group in the Pacific Ocean from of the three-spined stickleback. J Fish  
 439 Biol 69:1245-1250

440 Kraus RT, Secor DH (2004a) Dynamics of white perch *Morone americana* population contingents in the  
 441 Patuxent River estuary, Maryland, USA. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 279: 247–259

442 Kraus RT, Secor DH (2004b) Incorporation of strontium into otoliths of an estuarine fish. J Exp Mar Biol  
 443 Ecol 302: 85–106

444 Kraus RT, Secor DH (2005) Application of the nursery-role hypothesis to an estuarine fish. Mar Ecol  
 445 Prog Ser 291: 301–305

446 Matsumiya Y, Matsumoto H, Tanaka M (1985) Ecology of ascending larval and early juvenile Japanese  
 447 sea bass in the Chikugo estuary. Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi 51:1955–1961

448 Matsumiya Y, Mitani T, Tanaka M (1982) Changes in distribution pattern and condition coefficient of the  
 449 juvenile Japanese sea bass with the Chikugo River ascending. Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi 48: 129–138

450 McCleave JD, Kleckner RC (1982) Selective tidal stream transport in the estuarine migration of glass eels  
 451 of the American eel (*Anguilla rostrata*). J Cons Int Explor Mer 40: 262–271  
 452 Morais P, Dias E, Babaluk J, Antunes C (2011) The migration patterns of the European flounder  
 453 *Platichthys flesus* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Pleuronectidae, Pisces) at the southern limit of its distribution  
 454 range: ecological implications and fishery management. J Sea Res 65: 235–246  
 455 Nakabo T (2002) Fishes of Japan with pictorial keys to the species, English edition. Tokai University  
 456 Press, Hadano  
 457 Nakayama K (2002) Intra-structure of the Ariake population. In: Tanaka M and Kinoshita I (eds)  
 458 Temperate bass and biodiversity. Koseisha-koseikaku, Tokyo, pp 127–139 (In Japanese)  
 459 Nichols FH, Cloern JE, Luoma SN, Peterson DH (1986) The modification of an estuary. Science 231:  
 460 567-573  
 461 North EW, Houde ED (2003) Linking ETM physics, zooplankton prey, and fish early-life histories to  
 462 striped bass *Morone saxatilis* and white perch *M. americana* recruitment. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 260:  
 463 219-236  
 464 Odebrecht C, Abreu PC, Carstensen J (2015) Retention time generates short-term phytoplankton blooms  
 465 in a shallow microtidal subtropical estuary. Est Coast Shelf Sci 162: 35-44  
 466 Ohta T (2004) Ecological studies on the river ascending migration of Japanese sea bass *Lateolabrax*  
 467 *japonicus* in Ariake Bay, on the basis of otolith information. PhD dissertation, Kyoto University,  
 468 Kyoto  
 469 Paerl HW, Hall NS, Peierls BL, Rossignol KL, Joyner AR (2014) Hydrologic variability and its control of  
 470 phytoplankton community structure and function in two shallow, coastal, lagoonal ecosystems: The  
 471 Neuse and New River estuaries, North Carolina, USA. Est Coast 37: 31-45  
 472 Pannella G (1971) Fish otoliths: daily growth layers and periodical patterns. Science 173: 1124-1127  
 473 Secor DH (2015) Migration ecology of marine fishes. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore

474 Secor DH, Ohta T, Nakayama K, Tanaka M (1998) Use of otolith microanalysis to determine estuarine  
 475 migrations of Japanese sea bass *Lateolabrax japonicus* distributed in Ariake Sea. Fish Sci 64: 740-743  
 476 Secor DH, Rooker JR (2000) Is otolith strontium a useful scalar of life cycles in estuarine fishes? Fish  
 477 Res 46: 359–371  
 478 Shoji J, Ohta T, Tanaka M (2006) Effects of river flow on larval growth and survival of Japanese seaperch  
 479 *Lateolabrax japonicus* (Pisces) in the Chikugo River estuary. J Fish Biol 69: 1662-1674  
 480 Shoji J, Tanaka M (2007) Density-dependence in post-recruit Japanese seaperch *Lateolabrax japonicus* in  
 481 the Chikugo River, Japan. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 334: 255–262  
 482 Shoji J, Tanaka M (2008) Recruitment processes of Japanese sea bass in the Chikugo estuary, Japan: shift  
 483 from density-independence to density-dependence during the early life stages. J Northwest Atlantic  
 484 Fish Sci 41: 85–91  
 485 Suzuki KW, Kanematsu Y, Nakayama K, Tanaka M (2014) Microdistribution and feeding dynamics of  
 486 *Coilia nasus* (Engraulidae) larvae and juveniles in relation to the estuarine turbidity maximum of the  
 487 macrotidal Chikugo River estuary, Ariake Sea, Japan. Fish Oceanogr 23: 157-171  
 488 Suzuki KW, Kasai A, Isoda T, Nakayama K, Tanaka M (2008) Distinctive stable isotope ratios in  
 489 important zooplankton species in relation to estuarine salinity gradients: potential tracer of fish  
 490 migration. Est Coast Shelf Sci 78: 541–550  
 491 Suzuki KW, Kasai A, Ohta T, Nakayama K, Tanaka M (2008) Migration of Japanese temperate bass  
 492 *Lateolabrax japonicus* juveniles within the Chikugo River estuary revealed by  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  analysis. Mar  
 493 Ecol Prog Ser 358:245–256  
 494 Suzuki KW, Sugimoto R, Kasai A, Shoji J, Nakayama K, Tanaka M (2007) Dynamics of particulate  
 495 organic matter in the estuarine turbidity maximum of the Chikugo River, Ariake Sea, in spring.  
 496 Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi 71: 190–198 (In Japanese with English abstract)  
 497 Suzuki KW, Ueda H, Nakayama K, Tanaka M (2012) Different patterns of stage-specific horizontal

498 distribution between two sympatric oligohaline copepods along a macrotidal estuary (Chikugo River,  
 499 Japan): implications for life-history strategies. J Plankton Res 34: 1042-1057  
 500 Suzuki KW, Ueda H, Nakayama K, Tanaka M (2014) Spatiotemporal dynamics of stable carbon isotope  
 501 ratios in two sympatric oligohaline copepods in relation to the estuarine turbidity maximum (Chikugo  
 502 River, Japan): implications for food sources. J Plankton Res 36: 461-474  
 503 Tsukamoto K, Nakai I, Tesch WV (1998) Do all freshwater eels migrate? Nature 396: 635–636  
 504 Van der Veer HW, Berghahn R, Miller JM, Rijnsdorp AD (2000) Recruitment in flatfish, with special  
 505 emphasis on North Atlantic species: progress made by the Flatfish Symposia. ICES J Mar Sci 57:  
 506 202–215  
 507 Watanabe Y, Kawamura T, Yamashita Y (2018) Coastal Ecosystem Complex as a unit of structure and  
 508 function of biological productivity in the coastal areas. Fish Sci 84: FISC-D-17-00328  
 509 Yamashita Y, Otake T, Yamada H (2000) Relative contributions from exposed inshore and estuarine  
 510 nursery grounds to the recruitment of stone flounder, *Platichthys bicoloratus*, estimated using otolith  
 511 Sr:Ca ratios. Fish Oceanogr 9: 316–327  
 512 Yamashita Y, Tanaka M, Miller JM (2001) Ecophysiology of juvenile flatfish in nursery grounds. J Sea  
 513 Res 45: 205-218  
 514 Yokogawa K, Taniguchi N, Seki S (1997) Morphological and genetic characteristics of sea bass,  
 515 *Lateolabrax japonicus*, from the Ariake Sea, Japan. Ichthyol Res 44: 51-60  
 516

**Figure captions**

**Fig. 1** Changes in landings of seabass (black line) and coastal fishes (gray line) in Japan.

**Fig. 2** Map of the Ariake Bay – Chikugo River system and the Tango Bay – Yura River system

**Fig. 3** Density of *Orientomysis japonica* in coastal area of Tango Bay and *Neomysis awatschensis* in the Yura River estuary. Redrawn from Akiyama et al. (2015).

**Fig. 4** Ontogenetic shifts of (a) percentage of index of relative importance (%IRI) for all prey items, (b) mean prey size and (c) mean stomach content index (= weight of stomach content / body weight  $\times 100$ ) of seabass juveniles in Tango Bay and the Yura River estuary. ND means no data. Redrawn from Fuji et al. (2016a).

**Fig. 5 (a)** Back-calculated growth rates and (b) standard lengths of seabass juveniles collected in coastal area (white circles) and the Yura River (black circles). Error bars indicate standard deviations. Asterisks indicate significant difference between the two groups (Student's t-tests,  $P < 0.01$ ). Redrawn from Fuji et al. (2014). Since seabass ascend the river around age of 90 days, both groups were in the coastal area under 90 day old (left half of the figure), and then separated to river and coastal area over 90 day old (right half of the figure).

**Fig. 6** Examples of Sr:Ca ratios of the otoliths of seabass adults. The horizontal line shows the criterion at  $4.4 \times 10^{-3}$ . Redrawn from Fuji et al. (2016b). The thin black line, grey line and dotted line are considered to represent the early river migrant group, the late river migrant group and the coastal resident group, respectively.






Fig. 1 Kasai et al.




Fig. 2 Kasai et al.




Fig. 3 Kasai et al.




Fig. 4 Kasai et al.




Fig. 5 Kasai et al.




Fig. 6 Kasai et al.